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| World Summit for Children Indicators: Tanzania 1996 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Value |
| BASIC INDICATORS |  |  |
| Childhood mortality | Infant mortality rate Under-five mortality rate | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \text { per } 1,000 \\ 137 \text { per } 1,000 \end{array}$ |
| Maternal mortality | Maternal mortality rate | 529 per 100,000 |
| Childhood undernutrition | Percent stunted | 43.2 |
|  | Percent wasted | 7.2 |
|  | Percent underweight | 30.6 |
| Clean water supply | Percent of houscholds within 15 minutes of a safe water supply ${ }^{1}$ | 30.4 |
| Sanitary excreta disposal | Percent of households with flush toilets or VIP latrines | 2.8 |
| Basic education | Percent of women 15-49 with completed primary education | 51.7 |
|  | Percent of men 15-49 with completed primary education | 60.5 |
|  | Percent of girls 6-12 attending school | 39.6 |
|  | Percent of boys 6-12 attending school | 36.5 |
|  | Percent of women 15-49 who are literate | 65.4 |
| Children in especially difficult situations | Percent of children who are orphans (both parents dead) | 0.6 |
|  | Percent of children who do not live with their natural mother | 19.4 |
|  | Percent of children who live in single adult houscholds | 6.1 |
|  | SUPPORTING INDICATORS |  |
| Women's Health |  |  |
| Birth spacing | Percent of births within 24 months of a previous birth | 17.5 |
| Safe motherhood | Percent of births with medical prenatal care | 89.3 |
|  | Percent of births with prenatal care in first trimester | 11.1 |
|  | Percent of births with medical assistance at delivery | 46.7 |
|  | Percent of births in a medical facility | 46.5 |
|  | Percent of hirths at high risk | 57.9 |
| Family planning |  | 18.4 |
|  | Percent of currently married women with an unmet demand for family planning | 23.9 |
|  | Percent of currently married women with an unmet need for family planning to avoid a high-risk hirth | 19.8 |
| Nutrition |  |  |
| Maternal nutrition | Percent of mothers with low BMI | 9.2 |
| Low birth weight | Percent of births at low birth weight (of those reporting numeric weight) | 11.2 |
| Breastfeeding | Percent of children under 4 months who are exclusively breastfed | 38.5 |
| Child Health |  |  |
| Vaccinations | Percent of children whose mothers received tetanus toxoid vaccination during pregnancy | 91.4 |
|  | Percent of children 12-23 months with measles vaccination | 80.9 |
|  | Percent of children 12-23 months fully vaccinated | 70.5 |
| Diarrhea control | Percent of children with diarrhea in preceding 2 weeks who received oral rehydration therapy (sugar-salt-water solution) | 50.4 |
| Acute respiratory infection | Percent of children with acute respiratory infection in preceding 2 weeks who were seen by medical personnel | 69.6 |
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## FOREWORD

Similar to the 1991-92 Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey and the 1994 Tanzania Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Survey (a subsample of the 1991-92 survey), the 1996 TDHS was a truly representative survey that utilised the sample frame and the same sample clusters that were covered in the first survey.

This report summarises basic information on fertility, mortality (infant, child, and maternal), contraceptive knowledge and use, and child bearing. It also looks at key maternal and child health indicators including the extent to which mothers utilise the available medical care during pregnancy and at the time of delivery, and for the young children, the immunisation coverage and the prevalence and treatment of malaria. Compared to the 1991-92 survey, the present survey was significantly expanded to cover areas on the sexual behaviour and awareness regarding AIDS. For the first time, data on maternal mortality and prevalence of female circumcision were collected and national estimates are presented in this report.

Before the 1991-92 survey, Tanzania had been relying on censuses as the principal source of demographic data. Vital registration which is a very important source of fertility and mortality information has been run on a small scale and could not be used to generate national estimates. Data on matemal and child health were obtained from health facility records alone and hence any information that could have been included from outside this source was not obtainable. These surveys represent a big step forward, which has enabled this country to collect high-quality data on demographic situations, family planning, and health.

The availability of data on a periodic basis provides policymakers, planners and analysts with the relevant information to monitor trends. The challenge that remains is to use the information collected in the two rounds of the TDHS as a basis for monitoring and improving the health status and reproductive child health service delivery programmes in Tanzania.

N.K. Mbalilaki<br>GOVERNMENT STATISTICIAN
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## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The 1996 Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey (TDHS) is a nationally representative survey of 8,120 women age $15-49$ and 2,256 men age 15-59. The main purpose of the 1996 TDHS is to provide detailed information on fertility, family planning, infant and child mortality, maternal and child health and nutrition, knowledge and attitudes of AIDS, and female circumcision. The 1996 TDHS is the third national sample survey of its kind to be undertaken. The first survey was done in 1991-92, which was followed by the Tanzania Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Survey (TKAPS) in 1994.

## Fertility

## Fertility Trends

TDHS data show that fertility in Tanzania may be starting to decline. The total fertility rate (TFR) has declined from the level of 6.3 births per woman that prevailed in 1989-92 to 5.8 bitths for the period 19931996. The crude birth rate (CBR) for the period 1993-1996 is 41 live births per 1,000 population, lower than those from the 1991-92 TDHS ( 43 live births per 1,000 population) and the 1988 Census ( 46 births).

## Fertility Differentials

Some women are apparently leading the fertility decline. Fertility levels are much higher in rural areas (TFR 6.3 children) than in urban areas (4.1) on the mainland. Total fertility rates are lowest in the Coastal and Southern zones ( 4.9 children per woman) and higher in the Lake and Central zones ( 7.0 and 6.1 children per woman, respectively). Women who have received some secondary education have the lowest level of fertility, with a total fertility rate of 4.8 compared with a rate of 7.1 children per women from those with either no education or incomplete primary education, a difference of more than two children.

## Age at First Birth

Childbearing begins early in Tanzania, with just under half of the women becoming mothers by the time they reach age 18 and more than two-thirds having had a child by the time they reach age 20. Twenty-six percent of women age 15-19 are already mothers or pregnant with their first child, with teenage childbearing more common among mainland women ( 26 percent) than Zanzibar women ( 17 percent). The Southern zone has the highest prevalence of teenage childbearing ( 35 percent) while the Coastal zone has the lowest level ( 23 percent).

## Birth Intervals

The majority of Tanzanian children ( 83 percent) are born after a "safe" birth interval ( 24 or more months apart), with 43 percent born at least 35 months after a prior birth. Nevertheless, 17 percent of non-first births occur less than 24 months after the preceding birth, with 7 percent occurring less than 18 months since the previous birth. The overall median birth interval is 34 months.

## Fertility Preferences

Survey data indicate that there is a strong desire for children and a preference for large families. Among those with six or more children, almost one in five women wants to have more children compared to 43 percent of men. Overall, women report a mean ideal number of children of 5.5 , compared with 5.9 children for men; ideal family size is higher among currently married women and men ( 5.9 and 6.7,
respectively). Only 5 percent of women and men regard a two-child family as ideal. Despite high fertility preferences, the data show that there has been a decline in ideal family size among women in Tanzania, from an average of 6.1 children in 1991-92 to 5.5 in 1996.

## Unplanned Fertility

Despite the increasing level of contraceptive use, the 1996 TDHS data show that unplanned pregnancies are still common. About one-fourth of the births in the three years prior to the survey were reported to be unplanned; 15 percent were mistimed (wanted later) and 9 percent were unwanted. If unwanted births could be eliminated altogether, the total fertility rate in Tanzania would be 5.1 births per woman instead of the actual level of 5.8.

## Family Planning

## Knowledge of Contraceptive Use

More than 80 percent of women and men know of at least one modern method for family planning. Knowledge of at least one method mentioned by both spouses is high ( 86 percent). Among women, the pill is the best known method ( 78 percent), while among men, the condom is the best known method ( 86 percent). Seventy-one percent of women and 67 percent of men know at least three modern methods. The proportion of all women who have heard of at least one modern method increased from 72 percent in 1991-92 to 77 percent in 1994 and to 83 percent in 1996.

## Use of Contraception

Sixteen percent of all women in Tanzania are currently using a contraceptive method and 12 percent are using modem methods. The most widely used methods are the pill ( 5 percent) and injectables ( 4 percent). Current use among men is higher than among women. Twenty-two percent of men in Tanzania are currently using contraception, 14 percent using modern and 8 percent using traditional methods. Contraceptive use in 1996 has increased since the 1991-92 TDHS, from 10 to 16 percent of all women using any method and from 6 to 12 percent using modern methods. Injectables had the highest increase from less than 1 percent to 4 percent in the same time period. Among men, use of modern methods increased from 8 percent to 14 percent for the same period.

However, the 1996 TDHS data show a slight decline in the contraceptive use rate since the 1994 TKAPS (from 18 to 16 percent of all women), which is due to a decline in the use of traditional methods; use of modern methods has slightly increased since 1994.

## Differentials in Family Planning Use

There are differences in current use between the mainland and Zanzibar and more notably by regions, educational levels, and number of living children. Use of modern family planning methods is lower in Zanzibar (8 percent) than on the mainland ( 12 percent). In the mainland, urban women are much more likely to be using modern contraceptive methods ( 24 percent) than rural women ( 8 percent). Levels of current use of modern family planning methods are highest in the Kilimanjaro, Coast, and Dar es Salaam regions (23-24 percent) and lowest in the Shinyanga, Kagera, and Mara regions ( $4-5$ percent). Twenty-six to 30 percent of men in the Mbeya, Singida, Dar es Salaam, and Coast regions are using modern family planning methods, compared with only 1 to 5 percent in the Mwanza and Shinyanga regions. Women with some secondary and higher education are five times more likely to use modern methods than women with no education ( 23 vs .5 percent). Greater contraceptive use was also found to be associated with increasing level of education for men. Contraceptive use in Tanzania rises with the number of living children.

## Sources of Contraceptives

About three-fourths of women currently using modern contraceptives obtained the method from the public sector, including govemment and district hospitals ( 24 percent), govenment health centres ( 22 percent), and government dispensaries or parastatal facilities ( 28 percent). Private medical sources account for 18 percent of current users. Community-based (CBD) workers supply nearly 2 percent of modern methods.

## Family Planning Messages

Sixty-one percent of men and 45 percent women report that they have heard or seen a family planning message on the radio or television in the previous six months. Younger respondents are more exposed to family planning messages through radio and television than older respondents. Access to the media is much higher in Zanzibar than on the mainland. The proportion of respondents who have been exposed to family planning messages on the radio or television varies across regions and is by far the highest among respondents in Dar es Salaam.

## Unmet Need for Family Planning

Overall, 24 percent of currently married women have unmet need for family planning services- 15 percent for spacing and 9 percent for limiting bitths. The unmet need for family planning among currently married women in Tanzania has declined from 30 percent in 1991-92 to 24 percent in 1996 and the total demand satisfied has increased from 26 percent to 44 percent during the same period.

## Maternal And Child Health

## Childhood Mortality

At current mortality levels, one in every seven children born in Tanzania will die before the fifth birthday, with two-thirds of the deaths occurring during the first year of life. Results from the 1996 TDHS suggest a marked decline in child mortality over the years. All of the mortality rates, with the exception of postneonatal mortality, have declined steadily over the 15 years before the survey, with an 18 percent decline in under-five mortality, a 24 percent decline in child mortality, and a 14 percent decline in infant mortality. However, the biggest improvement was made in neonatal mortality with a decline of 32 percent. There is evidence of a decline when mortality rates in the 1996 TDHS are compared with the 1991-92 TDHS. For example, the infant mortality rate has declined from 92 to 88 deaths per 1,000 births and under-five mortality has declined from 141 to 137.

Mortality is consistently lower in urban than rural areas. In the 10 years preceding the survey, infant mortality is about 14 percent lower and under-five mortality is 19 percent lower in urban than in rural areas on the mainland. There are considerable variations in mortality by zones. Infant mortality rates are the lowest ( 41 per 1,000 live births) in the Northern Highlands. With the exception of this zone, infant mortality is about 100 per 1,000 live births in all other zones. Children born to mothers with no education suffer the highest mortality. The under-five mortality of children bom to mothers with incomplete primary education is 7 percent lower than that for children whose mothers have no education.

## Childhood Vaccination Coverage

The 1996 TDHS results show that 71 percent of children age 12-23 months have received all of the recommended vaccinations, while only 3 percent have not received any vaccination. The remaining 26 percent of children were partially vaccinated. Vaccination coverage is higher in Zanzibar than in the mainland. Less than half of the children age 12-23 months were fully vaccinated in the Shinyanga region in comparison with 94 percent coverage in the Kilimanjaro region. Immunisation coverage improves substantially as mothers' level of education increases, from 58 percent for children whose mothers have no formal education to 77 percent for children whose mothers have completed primary education or higher.

## Childhood Health

Diarrhoeal and respiratory illnesses are common causes of child death. In the two weeks before the survey, 14 percent of children suffered from diarrhoea and 13 percent were ill with acute respiratory infections (ARI). About 60 percent of children with diarrhoea and 70 percent of children with ARI were taken to a health facility. Of all children with diarrhoea, 48 percent were treated with a solution prepared from packets of oral rehydration salts (ORS), 3 percent received recommended home fluids (RHF), and 50 percent received either ORS or RHF. In addition, 57 percent of mothers reported that they increased the amount of fluids given to their children who had diarrhoea.

## Breastfeeding Practises

The 1996 TDHS results suggest that breastfeeding is almost universally practised in Tanzania, with a median duration of 22 months. Data show that about 60 percent of the children were breastfed within an hour of birth and 88 percent in the first 24 hours after delivery. Though exclusive breastfeeding is recommended until 4-6 months of age, 77 percent of children age 4-5 months receive complementary foods.

## Childhood Nutritional Status

Overall, 43 percent of Tanzanian children under five are classified as stunted (low height-for-age) and 18 percent are severely stunted. Seven percent of children under five are wasted (low weight-for-height); 1 percent are severely wasted. Comparison with the 1991-92 TDHS shows little change in chronic undernutrition or stunting or acute undernutrition or wasting.

## Maternal Health Care

The results of the survey indicate very high utilisation of antenatal care in Tanzania for most pregnancies ( 97 percent). In most cases antenatal care was provided by a trained nurse or midwife ( 43 percent), or a health aide ( 40 percent). Doctors provided about 7 percent of antenatal care, while traditional birth attendants (TBAs) provided 8 percent of antenatal care. In Tanzania, almost all women ( 91 percent) received tetanus toxoid vaccination during pregnancy, with women receiving two or more doses of vaccine for almost three-fourths of births and only one dose of tetanus toxoid vaccine for 17 percent of births. Overall, 47 percent of births were delivered in a health facility, while about half of the births occurred at home. More than 40 percent of births were assisted by medically trained personnel.


#### Abstract

AIDS

Most women and men in Tanzania are aware of AIDS. Radio and friends or relatives are the main sources for knowledge of AIDS among both women and men. Thirty-nine percent of women and 55 percent of men cite use of condoms as a way to avoid AIDS. One-fourth say that having only one partner can help to prevent the spread of the disease, and 20 percent of women and 17 percent of men report that limiting the number of sexual partners can prevent ADS. Twenty-nine percent of women and 41 percent of men say that they have no chance of being infected. Eighty-two percent of women and 91 percent of men reported changing their sexual behaviour to prevent getting ADS.


## Female Circumcision

The 1996 TDHS data show that 18 percent of women in Tanzania are circumcised. Younger women (age 15-19 years), women living in Zanzibar, and in urban areas on the mainland are less likely to be circumcised than other women. A higher proportion of women in the Arusha (81 percent), Dodoma ( 68 percent), and Mara ( 44 percent) regions are circumcised. About 7 percent of the eldest daughters of respondents were reported to have been circumcised.

## TANZANIA



## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Geography, History, and the Economy

## Geography

The United Republic of Tanzania is the largest country in East Africa, covering 940,000 square kilometres, 60,000 of which is inland water. Tanzania lies south of the Equator and borders eight countries: Kenya and Uganda to the north; Rwanda, Burundi, Zaire, and Zambia to the west; and Malawi and Mozambique to the south.

Tanzania has an abundance of inland water with several lakes and rivers. Lake Tanganyika runs along the westem border and is Africa's deepest and longest freshwater lake, and the world's second deepest lake. Lake Victoria is the world's second largest lake and drains into the Nile River. The Rufiji river is Tanzania's largest river and drains into the Indian Ocean south of Dar es Salaam. Although there are many rivers, only the Rufiji and Kagera are navigable by vessels larger than canoes.

One of Tanzania's most distinctive geological features is the Great Rift Valley which was caused by faulting throughout eastern Africa and is associated with volcanic activity in the north-eastern regions of the country. Two branches of the Rift Valley run through Tanzania. The western branch holds Lakes Tanganyika, Rukwa, and Nyasa, while the eastern branch ends in northem Tanzania and includes Lakes Natron, Manyara, and Eyasi.

Except for a narrow belt of 900 square kilometres along the coast, most of Tanzania lies above 200 metres, and much of the country is higher than 1,000 metres above sea level. In the north, Mount Kilimanjaro rises to more than 5,000 metres with the highest peak, Kibo, reaching 5,895 metres above sea level. This is the highest point in Africa. In all, this shows that Tanzania has a diversity of landscape.

The main climatic feature for most of the country is the long dry spell from May to October, followed by a period of rainfall from November to April. The main rainy season along the coast and the areas around Mount Kilimanjaro is from March to May, with short rains between October and December. In the western part of the country, around Lake Victoria, rainfall is well distributed throughout the year, with the peak period between March and May.

Administratively, the mainland of Tanzania is divided into 20 regions and Zanzibar into 5 regions. Each region is subdivided into districts. To estimate geographic differentials for certain demographic characteristics, this report collapsed the administrative regions of mainland Tanzania into six ecological/geographical zones. This strategy allowed the necessary geographical comparisons to be made because it provided relatively large numbers of cases in each zone and thereby reduced sampling error. However, it should be noted that these "zones" do not conform to the administrative zones of the United Republic of Tanzania. The classification of regions into the zones is shown below:

Coastal Zone :
Northern Highland Zone:
Lake Zone:
Central Zone:
Southem Highland Zone:
Southern Zone:

Tanga, Morogoro, Coast, Dar es Salaam, and Zanzibar.
Arusha and Kilimanjaro.
Tabora, Kigoma, Shinyanga, Kagera, Mwanza, and Mara.
Dodoma and Singida.
Iringa, Mbeya, and Rukwa.
Lindi, Mtwara, and Ruvuma.

## History

Tanzania the former Tanganyika, became independent of British colonial rule in December 1961. One year later, on December 9, 1962, it became a republic, severing all links with the British crown except for its membership in the Commonwealth. Zanzibar became independent on January 12, 1964, after the overthrow of the rule of the Sultanate. On April 26, 1964, Tanganyika and Zanzibar united to form the United Republic of Tanzania.

## Economy

Tanzania has a mixed economy in which agriculture plays a key role. Agriculture which comprises crop, animal husbandry, forestry, fishery and hunting subsectors, contributes the largest share of any sector to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP).

The GDP increased by 3.9 percent in 1995 according to 1985 prices, compared with 3 percent recorded in 1994. However, this growth did not reach the targeted growth of 5 percent that was predicted in the 1995-98 Economic Recovery Programmes. The economic growth rate attained in 1995 is higher than the predicted population growth rate of 3 percent.

### 1.2 Demographic Statistics

The 1967 population Census of Tanzania reported a total population of 12.3 million. According to the 1988 census, the population had increased to 23.1 million as shown in Table 1.1. Tanzania is still sparsely populated, though the population density is high in some parts of the country and has been increasing over time. In 1967, the average population density was 14 persons per square kilometre; by 1988 it had increased to 26 persons per square kilometre. Although the population is still predominantly rural, the proportion of urban residents has been increasing steadily, increasing from 6 percent in 1967 to 18 percent in 1988. While crude death rates in Tanzania may be decreasing, the total fertility rate-among the highest in Africa-is beginning to decline.

Although many small-scale surveys have been conducted in the country, censuses and the 1991-92 Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey (TDHS) have been the main sources of national-level demographic statistics in Tanzania. Civil registration has never been used as a source of demographic statistics because its coverage is incomplete. Table 1.1 gives the demographic indices as compiled from the censuses since 1967.

## Table 1.1 Demogranhic characteristics

Selected demographic indicators, Tanzania: 1967-1988

|  | Census year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Index | 1967 | 1978 | 1988 |
| Population (millions) | 12.3 | 17.5 | 23.1 |
| Intercensal growth rate | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.8 |
| Sex ratio | 95.2 | 96.2 | 94.2 |
| Crude birth rate | 47 | 49 | 46 |
| Total fertility rate | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.5 |
| Crude death rate | 24 | 19 | 15 |
| lnfant mortality rate | 155 | 137 | 115 |
| Percent urban | 6.4 | 13.8 | 18.3 |
| Density (pop/ $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | 14 | 20 | 26 |

Source: Bureau of Statistics, 1967; 1978; 1988.

### 1.3 Population and Family Planning Policies and Programmes

## Population Policy

The population of Tanzania has trebled from 7.7 million in 1948 to 23.1 million in 1988. It is estimated that the population increase is at present roughly more than 600,000 persons per year. It is, therefore, projected that by the year 2000, the population will be about 33 million on an assumption of a slight decline in fertility offset by the continued decline in mortality. However, the national economy did not grow significantly in the past decade due to various constraints, and therefore the resources available per head increased by 1 percent per annum between 1985 and 1991. During 1988-91, the economy grew at an average of 5.2 percent per year and the per capita income increased by 2 percent. However, in 1992-95, the economy grew at an average of 3.7 percent and the per capita income grew at an average of 0.8 percent per year. On the other hand, the population continued to grow at a high rate, the consequences of which are felt acutely and visibly in the public budgets for health, education, and related fields of human resource development. It is evident, therefore, that improvement in the quality and expansion of these services is unlikely to happen without controlling rapid population growth and strengthening the national economy.

It is against this background that Tanzania adopted the 1992 National Population Policy. The principal objective of the policy is to reinforce national development through developing available resources to improve the quality of life of its people. Special emphasis is placed on regulating the population growth rate, enhancing population quality, and improving the health and welfare of women and children. The primary concerns of the National Population Policy are to safeguard, as much as possible, the satisfaction of the basic needs of vulnerable groups in the population, and to develop human resources for current and future national socioeconomic progress. Since Tanzania was concerned with population and development issues before the adoption of an explicit population policy, the country has the tradition of taking population issues into account in its development plans.

With specific reference to family planning, the goals of the policy are to strengthen family planning services to promote the health and welfare of the family, the community and the nation, and eventually reduce the rate of population growth. Other specific objectives related to population regulation include making family planning services available to all who want them, encouraging every family to space births at least two years apart, and supporting family life education programmes for youth and family planning for men and women.

## Family Planning

The Family Planning Association of Tanzania (UMATI) introduced family planning services to Tanzania in 1959. During the early years the services were mostly provided in few urban areas with little support from the govermment. With the expansion of UMATI in the early 70 s , services were extended to cover more areas in the country. The government became actively involved in providing family planning services following the launching of the integrated Maternal and Child Health (MCH) programme in 1974. Currently, family planning services are provided by both governmental and nongovernmental organisations under the coordination of the Family Planning Unit (FPU) in the Ministry of Health. Clinical services are complemented by community-based services. A social marketing programme is being considered.

### 1.4 Health Priorities and Programmes

The Tanzania government emphasises equity in the distribution of health services and views access to services as a basic human right. To respond to the worldwide efforts to attain the social goal of "Health to All" by the year 2000, Tanzania's health strategy focuses on the delivery of primary health care services. In

1991 a new Primary Health Care (PHC) strategy was developed by the Ministry of Health. The primary objective of the PHC focuses on strengthening district management capacity, multisectoral collaboration, and community involvement.

The government provides more than 60 percent of health services; the remainder is provided by nongovernmental organisations. The top of the extensive network of health facilities consists at the national level of four referral hospitals, one of which is the university teaching hospital. Most regions have a regional hospital and there are 183 hospitals in the country. At the divisional level, there are 291 health centres and at the ward level there are 3,286 dispensaries. At the village level, village health posts have been established, staffed with at least two village health workers. There are more than 5,550 village health workers in Tanzania.

### 1.5 Objectives and Organisation of the 1996 Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey

The 1996 TDHS is the third national sample survey of its kind to be undertaken. The first survey was done in 1991-92 followed by the Tanzania Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Survey (TKAPS) in 1994. In addition to most of the same questions included in these two surveys, the 1996 TDHS added more detailed questions on AIDS, maternal mortality, and female circumcision.

The general objectives of the 1996 TDHS are to:

- Provide national-level data that will allow the calculation of demographic rates, particularly fertility and childhood mortality rates
- Analyze the direct and indirect factors which determine the level and trends of fertility
- Measure the level of contraceptive knowledge and practice (of both women and men) by method, by urban-rural residence, and by region
- Collect reliable data on maternal and child health indicators; immunisation, prevalence, and treatment of diarrhoea and other diseases among children under age five; antenatal visits; assistance at delivery; and breastfeeding
- Assess the nutritional status of children under age five and their mothers by means of anthropometric measurements (weight and height), and child feeding practices
- Assess among women and men the prevailing level of specific knowledge and attitudes regarding AIDS and evaluate patterns of recent behaviour regarding condom use
- Measure maternal mortality and collect data on female circumcision.


## Survey Organisation

The 1996 TDHS, like the previous similar surveys, involved various institutions and individuals. The Bureau of Statistics in the Planning Commission had the overall responsibility of running the survey while the Ministry of Health provided technical and logistical support.

Financial support was provided by the USAID and administered by Macro International Inc., which also rendered technical advice. The funds were used to meet expenses related to allowances for field personnel, data processing, anthropometric equipment, printing of questionnaires, fuel and maintenance of field vehicles, and dissemination of the survey results. The Government of Tanzania provided local professional staff, accommodation, transport, and other field logistics.

## Sample Design

The TDHS sample was a three-stage design consisting of the same 357 enumeration areas (EAs) that were used in the 1991-92 TDHS (262 EAs in rural and 95 EAs in urban areas). The selection of EAs was made in two stages: first, wards/branches and then EAs within wards/branches were selected. Lists of all households were prepared for the selected EAs and, at the third sampling stage, households were selected from these lists. The TDHS was designed to provide estimates (based on the results of the Woman's Questionnaire) for the whole country, for uban and rural areas in the country, and groups of regions (zones). In addition, the sample will provide certain estimates for each of the 20 regions in the mainland and 2 subgroups in Zanzibar: Pemba Island and Ungaja. In most regions, one in every four households was selected for the men's survey, and in six regions (Dar es Salaam, Dodoma, Iringa, Kilimanjaro, Morogoro, and Shinyanga), men in every second household were selected for the interview. The sample of men was designed to provide estimates for the country as a whole and for urban and rural areas.

Unlike most other DHS surveys, households in Tanzania were selected from the household listing for each ward (or branch) on the basis of contiguity, beginning with a randomly selected start number. This selection process was used to minimise the difficulty encountered in moving from one selected household to another given the scattered nature of housebolds.

## Questionnaires

Three types of questionnaires were used during the survey. The Household Questionnaire was used to list the names of the household members and certain individual characteristics of all usual members of the household and visitors who had spent the previous night in the household. Cerrain basic information was collected on characteristics of each person listed, including relationship, age, sex, education, and place of residence. Furthermore, the Household Questionnaire collected information on characteristics relating to the household. These included the source of water, type of toilet facilities, materials used for the floor of the house, and ownership of various durable goods. However, the main purpose of the Household Questionnaire was to identify women and men who were eligible for the individual interview.

The Female Questionnaire was used to collect information from eligible women age 15-49. The topics covered in this questionnaire included the following:

- Background characteristics of the woman including age, education, residential history
- Reproductive history
- Knowledge and use of family planning methods
- Fertility preferences and attitudes about family planning
- Antenatal and delivery care
- Breastfeeding and weaning practices
- Vaccinations and health status of children under age five
- Marriage and sexual activity
- Husband's occupation and education
- Woman's employment, occupation, and earnings
- Awareness and behaviour regarding AIDS and other sexually transmitted diseases
- Maternal mortality
- Female circumcision
- Height and weight of children under five years and their mothers.

The Male Questionnaire was used to collect information from a subsample of men age 15-59, namely, those living in every fourth household except in Dar es Salaam, Dodoma, Kilimanjaro, Morogoro, Shinyanga, and Iringa regions where every second household was selected for the male interview. The Male Questionnaire collected much of the same information found in the Women's Questionnaire, but was shorter because it did
not contain questions on reproductive history and matemal and child health. All questionnaires were translated and printed in Kiswahili. The final versions of the English questionnaires are provided in Appendix F.

Before the design of the questionnaires could be finalised, a pretest was done in May-June, 1996 to assess the viability of the questions, the flow and logical sequence of the skip pattern, and the field organisation. It covered an area outside Dar es Salaam and took about a week to complete. Modifications to the questionnaires were then made based on lessons drawn from the exercise.

## Training and Fieldwork

As in the 1991-92 TDHS, the need to find competent interviewers was the guiding factor in recruiting interviewers. The Ministry of Health was again requested to secure the services of trained nurses to be interviewers in the 1996 TDHS. For Zanzibar, a similar request was made to the Zanzibar Ministry of Health to provide nurses for the interview work.

The 1996 TDHS field staff consisted of eight teams, each composed of six female interviewers, one male interviewer, a field editor, a supervisor, and a driver. Sixty female nurses and 12 male nurses were recruited and 8 statisticians were selected as supervisors. After three weeks of intensive training, 50 female and 8 male interviewers were selected for the fieldwork. During training, a series of assessment tests were given to the class. These tests were graded and the results were used to select interviewers. Those who showed extra understanding of the questionnaires and were also able to detect errors in completed questionnaires were later chosen to be field editors. The list of persons who were involved in the survey is presented in Appendix E.

The training of field staff for the main survey was conducted over a three-week period in early July 1996, at the Vocational Training Institute (VETA) in Iringa. Permanent staff from the Bureau of Statistics and staff from Macro International conducted the training with the support of guest lecturers from the UMATI, MCH personnel from the Iringa regional hospital, and staff from the Tanzania Food and Nutrition Centre. Trial interviews were conducted in nearby villages and some parts of the city of Iringa. Computer operators participated in the training to acquaint themselves with the questionnaires. The training course consisted of instructions in interviewing techniques, field procedures, a detailed review of items on the questionnaires, training and practice in weighing and measuring children, mock interviews between participants in the classroom, and practice interviews with real respondents in areas in and around Iringa.

Supervisors and editors were trained exclusively for three days to discuss their duties and responsibilities. Emphasis was given to the importance of ensuring data quality. The supervisor was required to act as the leader of the field team and be responsible for the well-being and safety of team members, completion of the assigned workload, and maintenance of data quality. The duties and responsibilities of the editor were to monitor interviewer performance and take anthropometric measurements of children and women. Close supervision of the interviewers and editing of completed questionnaires were emphasised to ensure that data collection was accurate and complete.

The fieldwork for the main survey began in late July 1996 and lasted until November 1996. Women and men for the individual interviews were identified during the household interview. It was stressed that the household interview had to be done by an interviewer other than the one who would conduct the individual interview. This was intended to reduce the error due to the age shifting particularly among women or men at the youngest or oldest age groups. Team supervisors located the households and assigned them to the interviewers. Completed household and individual questionnaires were handed over to the field editors who checked them to ensure that all relevant questions were properly recorded, that the skip pattern instructions were followed, and that responses were internally consistent. Each team was instructed to complete the editing work and resolve all errors found in the questionnaires before the team left the cluster. Supervisors were required to ensure that all the selected households and eligible women and men in a cluster were interviewed, and that assignment sheets for the interviewers and supervisors were filled out completely and correctly. The questionnaires and the control sheets were dispatched to the head office in Dar es Salaam for data processing.

## Data Processing

The data processing staff for the survey initially consisted of four clerks and one supervisor who were staff of the Bureau of Statistics. However, to speed up the data processing work, an additional four data processing staff were recruited.

All questionnaires for the TDHS were returned to the Bureau of Statistics for data processing, which consisted of office editing, coding of open-ended questions, data entry, and editing of computer-identified errors. All data were processed on microcomputers with a software programme developed for DHS surveys, called the Integrated System for Survey Analysis (ISSA). Data entry was 100 percent verified. Office editing and data processing activities were initiated immediately after the beginning of fieldwork and completed in mid-December, 1996.

## Response Rates

A summary of response rates from the household and individual interviews is shown in Table 1.2. In all, 8,900 households were selected, out of which 8,141 were occupied. Of the households found, 7,969 were interviewed, representing a response rate of 98 percent. The shorffall between the selected and the interviewed households was largely because many dwellings were either vacant or no competent respondents were present at the time of the visit.

In the interviewed households, 8,501 eligible women (i.e. women age 15 49) were identified for the individual interview, and 8,120 women were actually interviewed, yielding a response rate of 96 percent. In the suhsample of households selected for the male interview, 2,658 eligible men (i.e., men age $15-59$ ) were identified, 2,256 were interviewed, representing a response rate of 85 percent. The principal reason for nonresponse among both eligible men and women was the failure to find them at home despite repeated visits to the household. The lower response rates among men than women were due to the more frequent and longer absences of men.

The response rates are lower in

Table 1.2Results of the household and individual interviews
Number of households, number of interviews, and response rates, Tanzania 1996

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | Uban | Rural | Total |
| Household interviews <br> Households sampled | 2,228 | 6,672 | 8,900 |
| Households occupied <br> Households interviewed | 1,989 | 6,152 | 8,141 |
| Household response rate | 96.1 | 98.5 | 97.9 |
| Individual interviews | 2,186 | 6,315 | 8,501 |
| Number of eligible women <br> Number of eligible women <br> intervicwed | 2,088 | 6,032 | 8,120 |
| Eligible women response rate | 95.5 | 95.5 | 95.5 |
| Number of eligible men | 773 | 1,885 | 2,658 |
| Number of eligible men <br> interviewed | 616 | 1,640 | 2,256 |
| Eligible men response rate | 79.7 | 87.0 | 84.9 | urban areas. One-member households are more common in urban areas and are more difficult to interview because they keep their houses locked up most of the time. In urban settings, neighbours often do not know the whereabouts of such people.

## CHAPTER 2

## CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND RESPONDENTS

This chapter presents information on selected socioeconomic characteristics of the household population and the individual survey respondents, such as age, sex, marital status, urban-rural residence, and regional distribution. The chapter also considers the conditions surrounding the households in which the survey population live, including sources of drinking water, availability of electricity, sanitation facilities, building materials, and persons per sleeping room.

The 1996 TDHS collected information on individual socioeconomic characteristics of all usual residents and visitors who had spent the previous night preceding the survey interview. This was done by using a questionnaire which was completed for each household. A household was defined as a person or group of persons who live together and share a common source of food.

### 2.1 Population by Age and Sex

Table 2.1 shows the distribution of the household population by five-year age groups, according to sex and urban-rural residence. As was observed in the censuses and the 1991-92 TDHS, the distribution conforms to the pattern typical of high-fertility populations, that is, a much higher proportion of the population is in the younger age groups than in the older age groups as clearly seen in the population pyramid (Figure 2.1). The slight irregular bulge of women at age $50-54$ indicates that some women from ages $45-49$ were shifted to the $50-54$ age group, perhaps to reduce the workload of the interviewer. There is also an unusually large

## Table 2.1. Household population by age, residence, and sex

Percent distribution of the de facto household population by five-year age group, according to urban-rural residence and sex, Tanzania 1996

| Age group | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 0-4 | 16.4 | 13.8 | 15.1 | 18.1 | 17.1 | 17.6 | 17.8 | 16.4 | 17.1 |
| 5-9 | 13.5 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 17.5 | 16.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 15.4 | 16.0 |
| 10-14 | 12.6 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 15.3 | 13.5 | 14.4 | 14.8 | 13.4 | 14.1 |
| 15-19 | 10.8 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 9.3 | 9.6 |
| 20-24 | 9.4 | 12.2 | 10.8 | 5.9 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 9.0 | 7.8 |
| 25-29 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 9.1 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 6.9 |
| 30-34 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| 35-39 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 4.6 |
| 40-44 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 45-49 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| 50-54 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.7 |
| 55-59 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| 60-64 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| 65-69 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| 70-74 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 75-79 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| $80+$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 3,690 | 3,876 | 7,567 | 14,775 | 15,931 | 30,714 | 18,464 | 19,807 | 38,281 |

Note: Total includes 9 persons whose sex was not stated.
number of girls age 14 relative to the number age 15 (see Appendix Table C.1), which presumably is due to the same phenomenon. This pattern of age shifting has also been observed in other DHS surveys.

### 2.2 Population by Age from Selected Sources

Table 2.2 shows that the population age structure is similar to that found in the 1967, 1978, and 1988 censuses as well as that observed in the 1991-92 TDHS. The proportion of the population under age 15 is about 47 percent and the population in age group
 15-64 accounts for 49 percent, with the remaining 4 percent above age 65 . The population has a low median age of 16.4 years. A dependency ratio is also presented in Table 2.2. The age dependency ratio is the ratio of the total number of persons below age 15 years and age 65 and above divided by the number of persons age 15 to 64 . This is simply an indicator of the dependency responsibility of adults in their productive years. In 1996, the dependency ratio is 106 which means that there are 106 persons less than 15 years old or more than 64 years of age in Tanzania for every 100 persons age 15-64.

### 2.3 Household Composition

Information about the composition of households by sex of the head of the household and size of the household is presented in Table 2.3. This table also shows the percentage of households with foster children. The data show that 78 percent of the households in Tanzania are headed by men, which is higher than that found in the 1988 Census ( 70 percent), but lower than the 1991-92 TDHS figure (81 percent).

Households with one or two members constitute 21 percent of all households. This category of

Table 2.2 Population by age from selected sources
Percent distribution of the population by age group, according to selected sources, Tanzania 1967-1996

| Age group | $\begin{gathered} 1967 \\ \text { Census } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1978 \\ \text { Census } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1988 \\ \text { Census } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1991-92 \\ \text { TDHS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ \text { TKAPS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1996 \\ \text { TDHS } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <15 | 43.9 | 46.1 | 45.8 | 46.8 | 49.3 | 47.2 |
| 15-64 | 50.5 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 49.3 | 46.4 | 48.5 |
| 65+ | 5.6 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Median age | U | U | U | 16.4 | 15.4 | 16.4 |
| Dependency ratio | 98 | 100 | 100 | 103 | 115 | 106 |

Sources: Bureau of Statistics, 1967-1996
$\mathrm{U}=$ Unknown households is more common in urban areas ( 30 percent) than in rural areas ( 19 percent). Rural households are larger than urban households-the mean household size is 5.1 in rural areas and 4.3 in urban areas. The average household size is 4.9 persons which is higher than the 1988 Census figure of 4.2 (Bureau of Statistics, 1994).

About one in five households has foster children, that is, children under age 15 living in a household with neither their biological mother nor father present. Although figures show a slight decline in foster children (from 23.1 percent in 1991-92 to 21 percent in 1996), the proportion is still high. The high proportion of households with foster children certainly intensifies the economic burden on these households. With the current high prevalence of AIDS, the percentage of households with foster children in Tanzania is likely to rise.

### 2.4 Fosterhood and Orphanhood

Information regarding fosterhood and orphanhood of children under 15 years of age is presented in Table 2.4. Sixty-three percent of children under 15 years of age are living with both parents, 18 percent are living with their mothers (but not with their fathers), 4 percent are living with their fathers (but not with their mothers) and 14 percent are living with neither their natural father nor natural mother. Of children under 15 years of age, 6 percent have lost their fathers and 3 percent have lost their mothers. Less than 1 percent of children have lost both their natural parents.

Table 2.3 Household composition
Percent distribution of households by sex of head of household, household size, and presence of foster children, according to urban-rural residence, Tanzania 1996

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Characteristic |  | Urban | Rural | Total

Note: Table is based on de jure members; i.e., usual residents.
Note: By convention, foster children are those who are not living with either biological parent. This includes orphans, i.e., children with both parents dead.

### 2.5 Educational Level of Household Population

In the three decades since independence, the education sector has expanded to reach most parts of the country and phenomenal growth has been recorded in both enrolment and the number of new institutions. For example, in 1970, a nationwide literacy programme was launched and in 1975 a national policy of Universal Primary Education was adopted. This programme gave every child the right to free primary education. In the mainland, primary education which includes seven ycars of schooling was made compulsory for all children 7 to 14 years of age in 1978. There are six years of secondary education. Entry into the fifth year of secondary education (Form V) is based on open competitive examination results. In Zanzibar, although education incorporates two stages, it differs slightly from the mainland system. Primary education begins at age $6-8$ years and takes 8 years to complete. It is followed by two three-year cycles of secondary education.

In the 1996 TDHS, information on educational attainment was collected for every member of the household. Tables 2.5 .1 and 2.5 .2 show the percent distribution of the de facto female and male household population age six and over, respectively, by the highest level of education attended, and the median number of years of schooling completed, according to selected background characteristics.

Table 2.4.Fosterhood and orphanhood
Percent distribution of de jure children under age 15 by survival status of parents and child's living arrangements, according to child's age, sex, residence, and region, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Living with both parents | Living with mother but not father |  | Living with father but not mother |  | Not living with either parent |  |  |  | Missing information on father/ mother | Total | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Father alive | Father dead | Mother alive | Mother dead | Both alive | Father only alive | Mother only alive | Both dead |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <2 | 74.1 | 19.1 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 3,932 |
| 3-5 | 66.7 | 13.9 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 11.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 3,868 |
| 6-9 | 61.3 | 11.8 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 12.5 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 4,892 |
| 10-14 | 54.2 | 10.8 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 13.4 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 5,384 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 63.2 | 13.6 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 10.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 9,110 |
| Female | 63.1 | 13.5 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 10.6 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 8,962 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 63.2 | 13.5 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 10.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 17,503 |
| Total urban | 58.6 | 16.0 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 12.1 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 2,935 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 61.0 | 13.3 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 11.1 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 787 |
| Other urban | 57.7 | 17.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 12.5 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 2,148 |
| Total rural | 64.1 | 13.0 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 9.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 14,568 |
| Zanzibar | 60.8 | 15.7 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 13.5 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 573 |
| Pemba | 64.0 | 15.7 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 10.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 269 |
| Unguja | 58.1 | 15.6 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 305 |
| Region ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 63.0 | 14.6 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 9.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 803 |
| Arusha | 74.8 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,466 |
| Kilimanjaro | 54.5 | 20.6 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 14.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 843 |
| Tanga | 62.9 | 15.6 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 948 |
| Morogoro | 59.1 | 19.1 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 8.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 870 |
| Coast | 53.5 | 21.8 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 11.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 344 |
| Dar es Salaam | 61.8 | 11.8 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 11.1 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 952 |
| Lindi | 47.0 | 21.0 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 14.9 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 404 |
| Mtwara | 48.4 | 19.0 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 15.4 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 628 |
| Ruvuma | 62.5 | 18.2 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 689 |
| Iringa | 62.3 | 18.2 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1,009 |
| Mbeya | 60.7 | 11.5 | 6.4 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 11.6 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 929 |
| Singida | 66.7 | 11.3 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 12.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 763 |
| Tabora | 55.2 | 14.9 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 502 |
| Rukwa | 68.9 | 14.1 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 7.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 571 |
| Kigoma | 77.5 | 8.1 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 850 |
| Shinyanga | 66.4 | 11.1 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 10.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,587 |
| Kagera | 62.8 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 9.9 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,240 |
| Mwanza | 67.4 | 10.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 14.7 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,425 |
| Mara | 54.5 | 10.9 | 9.3 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 12.8 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 681 |
| Total | 63.1 | 13.6 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 10.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 18,076 |

Note: By convention, foster children are those who are not living with either biological parent. This includes orphans, i.e., children with
both parents dead.
1 This table and subsequent tables show 20 regions from Mainland and do not include any region from Zanzibar. Dar es Salaam region
includes Dar es Salaam city and rural areas in Dar es Salaam region.

| Table 2.5.1. Educational level of the female household population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of the de facto female household population age six and over by highest level of education attended, and median number of years of schooling, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | No education | Primary incomplete | Completed primary | Some secondary and higher | Don't know/ missing | Total | Median years of schooling | Total number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 75.4 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,409 |
| 10-14 | 21.4 | 76.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 2,650 |
| 15-19 | 16.0 | 32.6 | 45.5 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 6.0 | 1,840 |
| 20-24 | 16.6 | 13.6 | 61.2 | 8.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 1,781 |
| 25-29 | 19.2 | 11.9 | 62.6 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 1,508 |
| 30-34 | 28.1 | 16.0 | 50.2 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 1,140 |
| 35-39 | 44.1 | 19.1 | 33.1 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 943 |
| 40.44 | 54.1 | 25.2 | 16.9 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 688 |
| 45.49 | 62.7 | 26.1 | 8.5 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 614 |
| $50-54$ | 71.7 | 22.3 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 641 |
| 55-59 | 79.2 | 15.3 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 528 |
| 60-64 | 83.5 | 12.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 388 |
| $65+$ | 88.1 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 761 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 41.7 | 29.0 | 25.8 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 15,417 |
| Total urban | 24.7 | 29.0 | 37.0 | 8.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 4.4 | 3,069 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 22.0 | 25.4 | 38.2 | 12.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 6.0 | 878 |
| Other urban | 25.8 | 30.5 | 36.5 | 6.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 3.9 | 12,191 |
| Total rural | 45.9 | 29.0 | 23.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 2,348 |
| Zanzibar | 43.4 | 30.4 | 9.5 | 15.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 484 |
| Pemba | 49.6 | 32.6 | 6.5 | 10.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 200 |
| Unguja | 39.1 | 28.8 | 11.7 | 19.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 283 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 46.6 | 24.9 | 25.7 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 741 |
| Arusha | 50.9 | 20.1 | 24.8 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,113 |
| Kilimanjaro | 21.5 | 40.1 | 31.9 | 5.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 819 |
| Tanga | 39.6 | 30.9 | 28.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1.9 | 903 |
| Morogoro | 45.0 47.6 | 30.6 25.9 | 23.3 240 | 0.8 | 0.4 1.4 | 100.0 1000 | 0.5 | 857 |
| Coast ${ }^{\text {Dar es Salaam }}$ | 47.6 23.5 | 25.9 25.8 | 24.0 37.8 | 11.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 100.0 | 0.1 5.6 | 357 1,019 |
| Lindi | 40.8 | 29.7 | 25.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 387 |
| Mtwara | 48.5 | 27.0 | 22.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 709 |
| Ruvuma | 28.7 | 36.6 | 31.8 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 623 |
| Iringa | 42.9 | 31.7 | 21.8 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 905 |
| Mbeya | 35.8 | 31.6 | 29.4 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 858 |
| Singida | 44.1 | 29.7 | 23.4 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 604 |
| Tabora | 44.4 50.5 | 25.4 28.4 | 26.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 100.0 | 0.4 0.0 | 476 |
| Kigoma | 44.3 | 28.0 | 25.7 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 697 |
| Shinyanga | 49.1 | 25.6 | 20.7 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,285 |
| Kagara | 42.4 | 30.7 | 25.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 965 |
| Mwanza | 49.7 | 28.4 | 19.6 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1,123 |
| Мага | 36.7 | 33.5 | 28.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1.7 | 517 |
| Total | 41.7 | 29.0 | 25.3 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 15,901 |

## Table 2.5.2 Educational level of the male household population

Percent distribution of the de facto male household population age six and over by highest level of education attended, and median number of years of schooling, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Level of education |  |  |  |  | Total | Median years of schooling | Total number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No education | Primary incomplete | Completed primary | Some secondary and higher | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't } \\ \text { know/ } \\ \text { missing } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 79.1 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2,462 |
| 10-14 | 23.4 | 74.7 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 2,729 |
| 15-19 | 9.9 | 47.9 | 36.9 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 1,853 |
| 20-24 | 9.3 | 15.4 | 61.3 | 13.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 1,223 |
| 25-29 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 66.1 | 11.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 1,143 |
| 30-34 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 66.0 | 10.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 1,018 |
| 35-39 | 16.6 | 17.3 | 54.7 | 10.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 810 |
| 40-44 | 21.7 | 31.4 | 34.4 | 11.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 641 |
| 45-49 | 26.9 | 34.2 | 28.3 | 9.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 589 |
| 50-54 | 29.8 | 45.8 | 17.2 | 6.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 407 |
| 55-59 | 39.8 | 41.9 | 11.2 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 2.6 | 378 |
| 60-64 | 52.1 | 36.5 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 427 |
| $65+$ | 64.7 | 27.5 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 863 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 31.6 | 35.2 | 27.3 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 14,109 |
| Total urban | 18.7 | 31.2 | 35.3 | 13.8 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 5.7 | 2,831 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 14.6 | 26.3 | 38.6 | 18.3 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 904 |
| Other urban | 20.6 | 33.5 | 33.7 | 11.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 1,927 |
| Total rural | 34.8 | 36.2 | 25.3 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 11,278 |
| Zanzibar | 33.0 | 34.4 | 12.1 | 18.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 2.5 | 450 |
| Pemba | 41.9 | 36.2 | 7.9 | 13.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 187 |
| Unguja | 26.6 | 33.2 | 15.1 | 22.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 3.6 | 263 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 39.5 | 32.4 | 23.2 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1.7 | 648 |
| Arusha | 40.3 | 27.1 | 26.5 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 1,038 |
| Kilimanjaro | 13.8 | 43.5 | 33.9 | 8.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 4.7 | 741 |
| Tanga | 27.6 | 41.0 | 28.9 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 3.1 | 796 |
| Morogoro | 34.2 | 35.8 | 26.3 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 704 |
| Coast | 33.4 | 33.8 | 26.6 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2.5 | 313 |
| Dar es Salaam | 15.8 | 27.0 | 37.5 | 17.2 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 1,058 |
| Lindi | 36.3 | 36.1 | 23.1 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2.4 | 367 |
| Mtwara | 35.7 | 39.0 | 23.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.9 | 590 |
| Ruvuma | 24.1 | 37.8 | 33.4 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3.4 | 549 |
| Iringa | 36.1 | 36.3 | 24.1 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 710 |
| Mbeya | 29.6 | 33.0 | 30.0 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3.4 | 817 |
| Singida | 37.1 | 35.2 | 23.8 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 552 |
| Tabora | 32.4 | 30.7 | 32.2 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 460 |
| Rukwa | 34.9 | 33.8 | 29.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.8 | 440 |
| Kigoma | 35.6 | 34.6 | 26.8 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 616 |
| Shinyanga | 38.4 | 33.9 | 21.4 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 1,221 |
| Kagera | 28.8 | 38.3 | 26.2 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2.5 | 931 |
| Mwanza | 35.5 | 38.1 | 23.7 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 1,076 |
| Мага | 26.7 | 42.0 | 26.9 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 2.6 | 481 |
| Total | 31.6 | 35.1 | 26.9 | 5.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2.8 | 14,559 |

Note: Total includes 17 men with age missing

There is a strong differential in educational attainment between the sexes, especially as age increases. About 42 percent of women and 32 percent of men in Tanzania have never been to school (Figure 2.2). The proportions with no education increase with age. For example, the proportion of women who have never attended any formal schooling increases with age from 16 percent (age group 15-19) to 88 percent (age group 65 and over); for men, the proportion increases from 10 percent (age group 15-19) to 65 percent (age group 65 and over). Fifty-four percent of women and 62 percent of men have attained some primary education and only 3 percent of women
 some secondary education. There are more men with completed primary education than women at almost all age groups except the younger age groups (below 20 years). The median number of years of schooling is 1.2 for women and 2.8 for men.

Overall, educational attainment is higher in urban areas than in rural areas on the mainland. The proportion of women with no education in urban areas is lower ( 25 percent) than in rural areas ( 46 percent); among men, the proportion with no education in urban areas is 19 percent compared to 35 percent in rural areas. The percentage with primary and secondary education is higher for urban than for rural women and men.

In Zanzibar, the proportions of both males and females with no education are higher than those observed in the mainland. However, Zanzibar records the highest proportion of the population with secondary or higher education. This is due to the fact that compulsory primary education incorporates three years of secondary education.

The highest proportions of women with no education (above 40 percent) and men (above 35 percent) are concentrated in the Dodoma, Arusha, Lindi, Mtwara, Iringa, Singida, Kigoma, Shinyanga and Mwanza regions. Dar es Salaam and Kilimanjaro regions have the lowest proportions of male and female respondents with no education (below 20 and 25 percent, respectively).

### 2.6 School Enrolment

Table 2.6 and Figure 2.3 present the percentage of the de facto household population $6-24$ years of age enrolled in schools by age, sex, and urban-rural residence. The school enrolment ratio is the number enrolled in a specific age group per hundred persons in that particular age group. As shown in this table, enrolment of children age 11-15 is higher than for children age $6-10$ ( 71 vs .27 percent) suggesting that many children start primary education after age 6 or 7 . In age group 6-15 there is a remarkable urban-rural difference in enrolment with 45 percent of rural children and 57 percent of urban children enrolled. This is in contrast with enrolment ratios observed in the 1991-92 TDHS in which an urban-rural difference of 6 percent was recorded. Enrolment drops after age 15 with about 31 percent of male children and 18 percent of females age 16-20 years old, and 5 percent of males and 1 percent of females in their early 20s still in school.

Table 2.6 School enrolment
Percentage of the de facto household population age 6-24 years enrolled in school, by age, sex, and residence, Tanzania 1996

| Age | Maje |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Usban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 6-10 | 36.3 | 23.0 | 25.1 | 45.9 | 26.0 | 29.5 | 41.2 | 24.5 | 27.3 |
| 11-15 | 76.3 | 70.3 | 71.3 | 73.9 | 70.6 | 71.2 | 75.1 | 70.4 | 71.3 |
| Total 6-15 | 54.8 | 44.0 | 45.8 | 58.5 | 45.4 | 47.7 | 56.6 | 44.7 | 46.8 |
| 16-20 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 17.6 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 23.7 | 24.3 | 24.1 |
| 21-24 | 9.4 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 2.7 |

Figure 2.3
School Enrolment by Age and Place of Residence


### 2.7 Housing Characteristics

To assess the economic and environmental conditions in which respondents live, women were asked questions about certain characteristics of their households, including electricity, sources of drinking water, time to water sources, type of toilet facility, floor materials, and number of rooms used for sleeping. Information on these characteristics is useful from a public health point of view, as well as indirectly in reflecting the household's socioeconomic status. This information on housing characteristics is given in Table 2.7.

Only 9 percent of households in Tanzania have electricity. Access to electricity is concentrated in urban areas where 36 percent of the households have electricity, compared to a mere 2 percent of rural households.

| Table 2.7 Housing characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of households by housing characteristics, according to residence, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |
|  | Residence |  |  |
| Characteristic | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Electricity |  |  |  |
| No | 63.9 | 97.5 | 90.0 |
| Yes | 35.5 | 1.8 | 9.4 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Source of drinking water |  |  |  |
| Piped into residence | 31.5 | 2.0 | 8.6 |
| Public tap | 46.3 | 23.1 | 28.3 |
| Well in residence | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Public well | 13.6 | 31.6 | 27.6 |
| Spring | 1.8 | 15.1 | 12.1 |
| River/stream | 3.2 | 20.5 | 16.6 |
| Pond/lake | 1.1 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| Dam | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Rainwater | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Other | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Time to water source |  |  |  |
| - in minutes) | 63.6 | 31.5 | 38.7 |
| Median time to source | 6.0 | 20.8 | 16.0 |
| Sanitation facility |  |  |  |
| Own flush toilet | 3.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| Shared flush toilet | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Traditional pit toilet | 89.3 | 81.9 | 83.5 |
| Vent. imp. pit latrine | 3.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| No facility/bush | 1.7 | 16.0 | 12.8 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Floor material |  |  |  |
| Eart/sand | 35.4 | 90.6 | 78.2 |
| Cement | 63.4 | 8.5 | 20.8 |
| Carpet/other | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## Table 2.7-Continued

Percent distribution of households by housing characteristics, according to residence, Tanzania 1996

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Characteristic | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Persons per room |  |  |  |
| $1-2$ | 65.0 | 56.6 | 58.5 |
| $3-4$ | 27.0 | 28.9 | 28.5 |
| $5-6$ | 1.2 | 9.0 | 8.1 |
| $7+$ | 1.7 | 1.1 | 3.4 |
| Missing/Don't know | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Mean number of persons | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.7 |
| per room |  |  |  |
| Level of houshold food |  |  |  |
| consumption | 54.3 | 40.3 | 43.4 |
| Always surplus | 39.3 | 52.0 | 49.2 |
| Smetimes deficit | 2.3 | 2.9 | 4.5 |
| Frequently deficit | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.1 |
| Always deficit | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing/Don't know |  |  | 0.8 |
| Total | 1,783 | 6,186 | 7,969 |

The source of drinking water is important because waterbome diseases, including diarrhoea and dysentery, are numerous in the country. Sources of water expected to be relatively free of these diseases are piped water, springs, and rainwater. Other sources like wells, rivers and streams, ponds and lakes, and gravity water are more likely to carry the bacteria that bring about these diseases. Table 2.7 shows that 37 percent of all households in Tanzania have access to piped water- 78 percent of urban households and about 25 percent of rural households. About half of the rural households get their drinking water from wells and springs, while one-fourth use less safe sources such as rivers, ponds, and lakes. In urban areas, 16 percent of households get their drinking water from wells and springs, but only 4 percent use less safe sources such as rivers, ponds, and lakes. In urban areas, 64 percent of the households have access to water within 15 minutes, compared to 32 percent of rural households.

Modem sanitation facilities are not yet available to large proportions of the households. The use of traditional pit toilets is still common in both urban and rural areas, accounting for about 89 percent of urban households and 82 percent of rural households. Households with no toilet facilities are more exposed to the risk of diseases such as dysentery, diarrhoea, and typhoid fever. Overall, about 13 percent of the households in

Tanzania have no toilet facilities. This problem is more common in rural areas, where 16 percent of the households have no toilet facilities, compared to 2 percent of households in urban areas.

The type of material used for the floor in these households is an indicator of the quality of housing as well as an indicator of health risk. Some flooring materials like earth and sand may pose a health problem because they may be breeding grounds for parasites such as ticks and jiggers and also may be a source of dust. They are also difficult to keep clean since they are not washable. Seventy-eight percent of Tanzanian households have floors made of earth or sand and only 21 percent are made of cement. One-third of households in urban areas and 91 percent of rural households have floors made of earth or sand. On the other hand, 63 percent of households in urban areas have cement floors, compared to only 9 percent of households in rural areas. In general, rural households have poorer quality floors than urban households.

Information on the number of rooms a household uses for sleeping was collected to determine the extent of crowding. On average, there are 2.7 persons per sleeping room, and this varies very slightly between urban and rural households.

In the 1996 TDHS, respondents were asked whether they thought their household was a surplus or deficit household in terms of food consumption. Forty-three percent of Tanzanian households indicated that they always have a surplus of food, while 56 percent of the households mentioned that they have food deficits. Food deficits are more common among rural than urban households ( 59 compared to 45 percent).

### 2.8 Household Durable Goods

Respondents were asked about ownership of particular durable goods. Ownership of a radio and a television set is a measure of access to mass media; refrigerator ownership indicates the capacity for hygienic food storage; and ownership of a bicycle, motorcycle, or private car shows the means of transport available to the household. Information on ownership of these items is presented in Table 2.8.

The results indicate that 41 percent of households own a radio, compared to only 2 percent with a television. Both radio and television ownership is higher in urban than rural households. Bicycles are the most common means of transport owned by households; 25 percent of urban households and 34 percent of rural households own a bicycle. Only 1 percent of the households owns a car and most of them are located in urban areas. Half the rural households surveyed and 29 percent of urban househoids do not own any of the above durable goods.

Ownership of radios, televisions, and bicycles has increased since 1991-92. For example, the proportion of households with radios has increased from 33 to 41 percent and the

Table 2.8 Household durable goods
Percentage of households possessing various durable consumer goods, by residence, Tanzania 1996

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Characteristic |  | Urban | Rural |
| Radio | 65.4 | 33.8 | 40.9 |
| Television | 6.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| Refrigerator | 7.5 | 0.4 | 2.0 |
| Bicycle | 1.0 | 33.8 | 31.9 |
| Motorcycle | 4.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Private car |  | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| None of the above | 29.4 | 50.3 | 45.6 |
| Number of houscholds | 1.783 | 6,186 | 7,969 |
|  |  |  |  | proportion with bicycles has increased from 22 to 32 percent. The proportion of households with a television in urban areas has increased from a mere 1 percent in the 1991-92 TDHS to about 6 percent in 1996. The increase reflects the introduction of three television stations in the country during the period between the two surveys.

### 2.9 Background Characteristics of Respondents

Table 2.9 presents the percentage distribution of women and men by age, marital status, residence, level of education, and religion. Women and men were asked two questions to determine their ages, "In what month and year were you born?" and "How old were you at your last birthday?" Interviewers were trained in probing techniques for situations in which respondents were not able to state their ages or date of birth, and as a last resort, interviewers were trained to record their best estimate of the respondent's age. Results show that about 42 percent of women and 38 percent of men are in the age group 15-24, and 32 percent of women and 26 percent of men are in the 25-34 age group.

The vast majority of women and men live on the Mainland ( 97 percent), while only 3 percent live in Zanzibar. On the mainland, 22 percent of women and men live in urban areas, and three-fourths of women and men live in rural areas.

Data on marital status at the time of the survey show that 23 percent of women and 38 percent of men have never married, 67 percent of women and 57 percent of men were currently in unions, while 10 percent of women and 5 percent of men were divorced, separated, or widowed.

The proportion of women who have never attended school is more than twice that of men ( 29 vs .14 percent). About 46 percent of women and 47 percent of men have completed primary education only, while 5 percent of women and 10 percent of men have gone beyond primary education.

Thirty-one percent of respondents are Moslems, an equal proportion are Catholics, one-fourth are Protestants, and 12 percent of women and 14 percent of men either adhere to traditional religions or have no religion.

### 2.10 Characteristics of Couples

Because the men who were interviewed individually in the TDHS were selected from the same households in which women were interviewed, it is possible to match married men with their wives to form a sample of couples. The result does not exactly represent all married couples in Tanzania, since not all couples live together. Nevertheless, the sample of 1,125 couples can be viewed as a reasonable reflection of men and women who are living together. Table 2.10 presents the distribution of couples by age difference between spouses and level of education.

According to the 1996 TDHS, among 30 percent of Tanzanian couples, the husband is $0-4$ years older than his wife, while among 38 percent of couples, the husband is 5-9 years older than his wife. Among only 3 percent of couples, the wife is older than her husband. On average, men are almost eight years older than their wives.

Among 61 percent of couples, both spouses have at least some education. Among 22 percent of couples, the husband has some education and the wife has none, while the wife has some education and the husband none among only 6 percent of couples. Cases in which neither spouse has been to school make up 11 percent of all couples.

### 2.11 Educational Level of Survey Respondents

Tables 2.11.1 and 2.11 .2 show the percent distribution of female and male respondents by highest level of education attended, respectively, according to age, residence, and region. As mentioned before, men are generally better educated than women. While 29 percent of women age $15-49$ have had no formal education, only 14 percent of men age $15-59$ have had no schooling. The proportion of respondents who

Table 2.2 Background characteristics of respondents
Percent distribution of women and men by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996


NA = Not applicable
completed secondary education is higher among men than women. Education is inversely related to age; older women and men are generally less educated than younger women and men. The percentage of women with no education rises with age, from 16 percent in the 15-19 age group to 64 percent in the age group 45-49. This means that younger women have had better educational opportunities than older women. This is again reflected in the higher percentage of women in the age group 15-19 who completed primary education ( 46 percent), compared to women age 45-49 (8 percent).

Urban women and men in mainland Tanzania are much more likely than rural women and men to go to school. One-third of rural women age 15-49 have no education, compared to only 14 percent of urban women in the mainland. Conversely, 58 percent of urban women on the mainland have completed primary education and 13 percent have been to secondary school, while 44 percent of rural women completed primary education and only 2 percent have been to secondary school. Seven percent of urban men have no education compared with 16 percent of rural men.

As a result of the difference in the secondary education system between the mainland and Zanzibar, a higher proportion of women with some secondary education is observed in Zanzibar ( 33 percent) compared to the mainland ( 5 percent). The proportion of men with some secondary education is also higher in Zanzibar (32 percent) than in the mainland ( 9 percent).

Table 2.10 Differential of characteristics between
spouses
Percent distribution of couples by differences between spouses in age and level of education, Tanzania 1996

| Difference between spouses | Percent/ <br> Years | Number of couples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wife older | 3.2 | 36 |
| Husband older by: |  |  |
| 0-4 years | 29.8 | 335 |
| 5-9 years | 38.4 | 432 |
| 10-14 ycars | 17.5 | 196 |
| 15 years + | 11.1 | 125 |
| Mean age difference (years) |  |  |
| 1 st wife | 7.5 | 1,087 |
| 2nd wife | (11.5) | 38 |
| All wives | 7.6 | 1,125 |
| Education (percent) |  |  |
| Both husband and wife not educated | 10.7 | 120 |
| Wife educated, husband not | 5.8 | 65 |
| Husband educated, wife not | 22.4 | 252 |
| Both husband and wife educated | 61.1 | 688 |
| Total | 100.0 | 1,125 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

A comparison of regions shows that the Arusha, Shinyanga, Mwanza, and Rukwa regions have the highest proportions of women with no education, while the Kilimanjaro region has the lowest proportion of women with no education. The highest percentages of men who have no education are recorded in the Dodoma, Coast, and Shinyanga regions, while again Kilimanjaro recorded about 2 percent of men with no education. The proportion of women with secondary education is high in Dar es Salaam (16 percent) and Kilimanjaro ( 11 percent), but lowest in the Tanga, Mtwara, and Kigoma regions (less than 1 percent).

### 2.12 Exposure to Mass Media

Women and men were asked how often they read newspapers and listen to the radio and watch television. This information is important to programme planners seeking to reach people with family planning and health messages through the media. Table 2.12 shows the percentage of female and male respondents exposed to different types of mass media by age, residence, region, and level of education.

Results show that 13 percent of women and 26 percent of men read newspapers or magazines weekly, while 44 percent of women and 68 percent of men listen to the radio at least once a week, and only 9 percent of women and 18 percent of men watch television at least once a week. Five percent of women and 12 percent of men have access to all three mass media. However, 55 percent of women and 29 percent of men do not use any of these mass media. Access to media is somewhat higher among younger respondents and among those
living in urban as opposed to rural areas. As expected, educated persons are more likely to read newspapers or magazines, watch television, and listen to the radio than less educated persons.

| Table 2, 1.ل Level of education; women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of women by the highest level of education attended, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Level of education: women |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | No education | Primary incomplete | Primary complete | Sccondary+ | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 16.4 | 32.3 | 45.9 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 17.5 | 13.4 | 60.5 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 18.8 | 11.8 | 63.3 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 28.3 | 15.7 | 50.5 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 45.7 | 18.9 | 32.4 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 54.7 | 25.8 | 16.8 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 63.7 | 26.8 | 7.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 585 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 28.5 | 20.1 | 46.9 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 7,881 |
| Total urban | 13.5 | 15.6 | 57.8 | 13.1 | 100.0 | 1,811 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 14.4 | 13.4 | 54.5 | 17.7 | 100.0 | 563 |
| Other urban | 13.1 | 16.7 | 59.3 | 11.0 | 100.0 | 1,248 |
| Total rural | 32.9 | 21.4 | 43.6 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 6,070 |
| Zanzibar | 30.9 | 20.7 | 15.2 | 33.2 | 100.0 | 239 |
| Pemba | 44.4 | 20.0 | 10.8 | 24.7 | 100.0 | 92 |
| Unguja | 22.5 | 21.1 | 17.9 | 38.4 | 100.0 | 148 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 30.5 | 16.5 | 48.6 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Arusha | 38.2 | 13.2 | 44.1 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 589 |
| Kilimanjaro | 7.4 | 19.6 | 61.8 | 11.2 | 100.0 | 390 |
| Tanga | 18.3 | 29.4 | 51.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 464 |
| Morogoro | 30.8 | 23.1 | 45.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 408 |
| Coast | 31.8 | 15.5 | 51.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Dar es Salaam | 15.7 | 13.5 | 54.7 | 16.1 | 100.0 | 646 |
| Lindi | 24.8 | 22.6 | 48.1 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 187 |
| Mtwara | 34.5 | 22.2 | 42.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Ruvuma | 13.7 | 22.7 | 60.3 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 305 |
| Iringa | 34.4 | 20.6 | 39.3 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 466 |
| Mbeya | 23.2 | 22.3 | 50.6 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 473 |
| Singida | 29.7 | 20.8 | 45.9 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 283 |
| Tabora | 29.3 | 13.6 | 52.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 225 |
| Rukwa | 35.4 | 26.3 | 36.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 31.9 | 18.5 | 48.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 351 |
| Shinyanga | 43.2 | 17.1 | 33.9 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 686 |
| Kagera | 26.8 | 22.9 | 48.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 467 |
| Mwanza | 37.4 | 22.3 | 37.1 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 573 |
| Mara | 20.9 | 24.9 | 52.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 257 |
| Total | 28.5 | 20.1 | 46.0 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 8,120 |


| Table 2.11.2 Level of educationi men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of men by the highest level of education attended, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Level of education: men |  |  |  |  | Number of men |
| Background characteristic | No education | Primary incomplete | Primary complete | Secondary+ | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 9.2 | 47.4 | 37.3 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 7.6 | 15.3 | 64.1 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 8.2 | 12.3 | 68.3 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 301 |
| 30-34 | 8.1 | 15.4 | 66.5 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 272 |
| 35-39 | 16.9 | 17.3 | 56.4 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 251 |
| 40-44 | 19.4 | 36.6 | 29.0 | 15.1 | 100.0 | 206 |
| 45-49 | 22.6 | 41.7 | 25.5 | 10.3 | 100.0 | 149 |
| 50-54 | 28.2 | 55.0 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 118 |
| 55-59 | 35.2 | 51.1 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 13.5 | 29.4 | 48.0 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 6.8 | 22.3 | 50.1 | 20.8 | 100.0 | 509 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 8.1 | 15.4 | 51.8 | 24.6 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Other urban | 6.2 | 25.8 | 49.2 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 338 |
| Total rural | 15.5 | 31.5 | 47.4 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 1,678 |
| Zanzibar | 13.4 | 31.5 | 22.9 | 32.1 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Pemba | 27.8 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 22.2 | 100.0 | 28 |
| Unguja | 3.7 | 27.8 | 29.6 | 38.9 | 100.0 | 41 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 22.1 | 29.3 | 41.4 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Arusha | 17.0 | 19.1 | 54.3 | 9.6 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Kilimanjaro | 1.5 | 24.1 | 60.5 | 13.8 | 100.0 | 119 |
| Tanga | 4.0 | 36.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Morogoro | 13.3 | 37.8 | 43.4 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Coast | 22.6 | 19.4 | 46.8 | 11.3 | 100.0 | 45 |
| Dar es Salaam | 8.2 | 15.8 | 51.3 | 24.7 | 100.0 | 191 |
| Lindi | 16.9 | 31.0 | 46.5 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 10.9 | 51.5 | 36.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 5.9 | 30.4 | 59.8 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Iringa | 13.1 | 32.1 | 44.5 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 8.3 | 25.0 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 137 |
| Singida | 13.1 | 27.4 | 54.8 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Tabora | 11.1 | 24.1 | 51.9 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Rukwa | 19.2 | 37.2 | 38.5 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 18.6 | 28.6 | 47.1 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 22.6 | 32.3 | 36.0 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 202 |
| Kagera | 14.5 | 27.5 | 47.8 | 10.1 | 100.0 | 139 |
| Mwanza Mara | 17.9 9.1 | 35.9 36.4 | 42.3 41.8 | 3.8 12.7 | 100.0 100.0 | 176 64 |
| Total | 13.5 | 29.4 | 47.2 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 2,256 |



### 2.13 Employment and Occupation

In the 1996 TDHS, information was collected from women regarding their current employment situation. Table 2.13 shows that 46 percent of women were unemployed; the proportion not working was higher among younger women and those residing in Zanzibar and the Mtwara region.

Women who reported to be working at the time of the survey were asked if they were working for pay, selling things, or working on a family farm or in a family business. Forty-eight percent reported being selfemployed, with 39 percent working on a family farm, 17 percent engaged in the food processing business, and a small proportion selling wild products or engaged in crafts or skilled work. Fifteen percent of women combine agriculture with other economic activities of their own. Another 15 percent of women were working for others and 7 percent were working in agriculture jobs.

In the 1996 TDHS, men were asked to state their occupation or the kind of work they were mainly doing. Table 2.14 gives the percent distribution of men age $15-59$ by current occupation according to background characteristics. Twelve percent of men were not working. Most men ( 64 percent) are occupied in agriculture and other related activities. About 3 percent are in a professional job, while an equal proportion are employed in sales or services, and 16 percent are in skilled or unskilled manual work.

As expected, employment in nonagricultural occupations is relatively more common among men in urban areas and among those with formal education. More than 60 percent of men on the mainland are employed in an agricultural occupation.

Table 2.13 Employment: women
Percentage of women in various employment categories, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

|  | Working for self |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Working for others |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not working | Any work | Agriculture | Wild product | Food processing | Craft | Shop/ taxi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agri- } \\ & \text { culture } \\ & \text { and any } \\ & \text { other } \end{aligned}$ | Any work | Agriculture | Agriculture self and other | Missing | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 62.3 | 30.0 | 23.5 | 1.4 | 9.3 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 19.7 | 9.9 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 47.5 | 47.1 | 36.7 | 1.6 | 15.9 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 42.7 | 52.8 | 42.8 | 1.8 | 17.5 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 16.1 | 12.2 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 40.3 | 53.6 | 41.6 | 2.3 | 20.3 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 16.7 | 14.0 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 35.9 | 58.2 | 46.3 | 3.2 | 25.0 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 20.8 | 14.8 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 35.0 | 60.3 | 50.6 | 2.5 | 24.7 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 20.9 | 11.5 | 6.6 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 40.2 | 56.4 | 48.2 | 2.4 | 20.1 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 17.3 | 9.4 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 585 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 45.7 | 48.6 | 39.1 | 1.9 | 17.7 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 14.7 | 14.6 | 6.6 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 7,881 |
| Tutal urban | 47.7 | 37.7 | 16.6 | 1.8 | 16.1 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 31.6 | 7.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1,811 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 56.9 | 29.0 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 17.4 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 30.0 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 563 |
| Other urban | 43.5 | 41.6 | 22.3 | 2.1 | 15.5 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 9.0 | 32.2 | 9.1 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 1,248 |
| Total rural | 45.2 | 51.8 | 45.8 | 1.9 | 18.2 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 17.2 | 9.6 | 6.4 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 6,070 |
| Zanzibar | 53.7 | 41.2 | 24.6 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 6.9 | 11.0 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 239 |
| Pemba | 55.9 | 42.4 | 33.9 | 3.1 | 8.5 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 8.8 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 92 |
| Unguja | 52.3 | 40.5 | 18.8 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 8.7 | 1.7 | 5.8 | 15.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 148 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 42.2 | 51.1 | 41.6 | 3.8 | 26.0 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 21.9 | 14.3 | 7.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 355 |
| Arusha | 54.2 | 37.1 | 26.4 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 18.3 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 589 |
| Kilimanjaro | 46.3 | 41.0 | 33.6 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 28.5 | 14.8 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 390 |
| Tanga | 56.3 | 39.4 | 25.9 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 10.8 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 464 |
| Morogoro | 41.9 | 50.1 | 42.2 | 2.7 | 21.5 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 17.2 | 15.9 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 408 |
| Coast | 58.5 | 40.1 | 21.7 | 1.4 | 15.5 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 159 |
| Dar es Salaam | 57.2 | 30.0 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 17.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 27.2 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 646 |
| Lindi | 53.8 | 42.5 | 30.8 | 5.7 | 19.2 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 9.4 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 187 |
| Mtwara | 72.8 | 24.5 | 18.4 | 2.9 | 9.3 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 6.8 | 15.2 | 10.2 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 355 |
| Ruvuma | 49.6 | 45.7 | 36.9 | 1.7 | 29.2 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 24.0 | 18.9 | 12.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 305 |
| Iringa | 31.9 | 59.6 | 56.0 | 1.5 | 42.9 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 44.5 | 23.1 | 11.1 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 466 |
| Mbeya | 22.6 | 72.6 | 57.0 | 2.2 | 32.8 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 26.4 | 11.5 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 473 |
| Singida | 19.5 | 74.4 | 64.5 | 3.0 | 40.9 | 9.4 | 1.8 | 37.8 | 15.2 | 9.6 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 283 |
| Tabora | 54.5 | 41.4 | 33.3 | 1.0 | 21.7 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 19.2 | 13.1 | 9.1 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 225 |
| Rukwa | 34.3 | 64.3 | 59.8 | 1.1 | 32.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 31.2 | 12.2 | 10.8 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 55.3 | 43.9 | 37.6 | 1.6 | 11.4 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 11.4 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 351 |
| Shinyanga | 29.6 | 66.7 | 63.7 | 0.8 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 686 |
| Kagera | 46.1 | 52.1 | 44.7 | 3.5 | 14.4 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 13.4 | 8.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 467 |
| Mwanza | 48.1 | 47.7 | 43.5 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 12.9 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 573 |
| Mara | 56.7 | 41.9 | 39.4 | 2.9 | 11.9 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 17.7 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 257 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 44.3 | 54.2 | 48.2 | 2.4 | 16.6 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 14.8 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 2,316 |
| Primary incomplete | 52.4 | 43.8 | 35.7 | 2.0 | 17.5 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 14.7 | 10.6 | 7.2 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 1,630 |
| Primary complete | 44.3 | 48.9 | 37.1 | 1.9 | 19.2 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 15.4 | 17.6 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 3,732 |
| Secondary+ | 45.2 | 29.6 | 12.8 | 1.3 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 52.2 | 12.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 441 |
| Total | 46.0 | 48.3 | 38.7 | 2.0 | 17.4 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 8,120 |

## Table 2.14 Occupation: men

Percent distribution of men by current occupation and type of nonagricultural employment, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Not currently employed | Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Agriculture | Professional technical | Sales/ service | Skilled manual | Unskilled manual | Other | Missing | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 45.2 | 39.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 8.6 | 60.1 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 12.5 | 14.9 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 1.6 | 71.6 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 10.9 | 9.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 301 |
| 30-34 | 0.2 | 72.4 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 6.8 | 13.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 272 |
| 35-39 | 0.0 | 70.7 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 251 |
| 40-44 | 0.7 | 67.2 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 9.5 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 206 |
| 45.49 | 0.0 | 74.8 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 149 |
| 50-54 | 0.5 | 87.2 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 118 |
| 55-59 | 0.0 | 88.8 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 11.3 | 64.8 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 14.1 | 24.4 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 21.5 | 24.5 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 509 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 13.6 | 4.0 | 6.6 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 33.5 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Other urban | 14.3 | 34.7 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 338 |
| Total rural | 10.4 | 77.0 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,678 |
| Zanzibar | 19.6 | 44.5 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 15.9 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.4 | 89.8 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 25.3 | 60.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 664 |
| Primary complete | 3.7 | 67.5 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,066 |
| Secondary+ | 21.7 | 23.3 | 19.6 | 5.0 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 222 |
| Total | 11.5 | 64.2 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2,256 |

## CHAPTER 3

## FERTILITY

A major objective of the 1996 TDHS was to estimate fertility levels, trends, and differentials. Like the 1991-92 TDHS, detailed information from all women on current, cumulative, and past levels of fertility was collected for the 1996 TDHS. Each woman age 15-49 was asked to provide information on the total number of sons and daughters to whom she had given birth who were living with her, the number living elsewhere, and the number who had died. She was then asked for each birth, the month and year of birth, name, sex, and survival status of the child and for those who died, age at death. This information was used to obtain various measures of fertility. It should be noted that the birth history method collects responses from surviving women and assumes that women's fertility does not differ significantly with survival status.

### 3.1 Current Fertility

Current fertility is important because of its direct relevance to population policies and programmes. The indices used to study current fertility include age-specific fertility rates (ASFR), the total fertility rate (TFR), the general fertility rate (GFR), and the crude birth rate (CBR). ASFRs are calculated by dividing the number of live births during a specified period to women in a particular age group at the time of the birth by the number of woman-years lived in that age group during the specified period. The TFR is five times the sum of the ASFRs and is considered as a useful means of summarizing the overall level of fertility. The TFR can be interpreted as the number of children a woman would have at the end of her reproductive life if she experienced the current age-specific fertility. Other summary measures of fertility include the GFR which is the number of live births per 1,000 women of reproductive age and CBR which is the annual number of live births per 1,000 population.

Measures of current fertility are estimated for the three-year period preceding the survey, which corresponds roughly to 1993-1996. The choice of the estimation period is a compromise between providing the most recent information, avoiding problems of omission or displacement of births due to a recall lapse, and obtaining enough cases to reduce the sampling errors.

Table 3.1 presents several fertility measures including ASFRs, TFRs, CBR, GFR, for all of Tanzania, and for urban and rural areas. ASFRs by residence are shown also in Figure 3.1. The TFR indicates that if fertility were to remain constant at current levels, the average Tanzanian woman would bear 5.8 children in her lifetime, a decline from 6.3 in the 1991-92 TDHS. As seen in Table 3.1, Tanzanian women bear children early in the reproductive period. A Tanzanian woman would give birth to two children by age 25 and to more than three children by age 30 .

## Table 3.1 Current fertility

Age-specific and cumulative fertility rates and crude birth rate for the three years preceding the survey, by urban-rural residence, Tanzania 1996

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age group | Unan | Rural | Total |
|  |  |  |  |
| Age | 115 | 143 | 135 |
| $15-19$ | 183 | 288 | 260 |
| $20-24$ | 138 | 270 | 255 |
| $25-29$ | 82 | 192 | 167 |
| $30-34$ | 41 | 97 | 87 |
| $35-39$ | $(54)$ | 40 | 42 |
| 40-44 | 4.11 | 6.34 | 5.82 |
| 45-49 | 3.84 | 6.14 | 5.61 |
|  |  |  |  |
| TFR 15-49 | 145 | 216 | 199 |
| TFR 15-44 |  |  |  |
| General fertility rate | 14.9 | 40.8 |  |
| Crude birth rate | 36.3 | 41.9 |  |

Note: Rates are for the period 1-36 months preceding the survey. Rates for age group 45-49 may be slightly biased due to truncation. Rates in parentheses are based on 125 to 249 women years of exposure.
TFR: Total fertility rate expressed per woman. GFR: General fertility rate (births divided by number of women 15-49), expressed per 1,000 women. CBR: Crude birth rate expressed per 1,000 population.

The childbearing peak occurs in the ages $20-29$ when women have almosthalf their lifeime binths. However, fertility declines sharply after the mid-30s, with the ASFRs being only 42 births per 1,000 women at age group 45-49 (see Figure 3.1).

Fertility levels are much higher in rural areas (TFR of 6.3 children) than in urban areas (4.1). This pattern of fertility differentials by urban-rural residence is evident at every age but is more prominent at ages 30 34, 35-39, and 40-44.

The results show a GFR for the three-year period of 199 births per 1,000 women and a CBR of 41 live births per 1,000 population. The CBR is lower than those from the 1991-92 TDHS (43 live births per 1,000 population) and the 1988 Census ( 46 births per 1,000 population). However, it should be noted that the census estimates were obtained using indirect methods, thus part of the difference may be due to a difference in methodology.

### 3.2 Fertility Differentials

Table 3.2 presents total fertility rates, percentage of women currently pregnant and the mean number of children ever born to women ages $40-49$ years


Figure 3.2
Total Fertility Rates by Background Characteristics


TDHS 1996 (completed fertility) for major sub-groups of the population. The measure of completed fertility is vulnerable to understatement of parity by older women, most of whose births took place longer ago and who consequently may omit children who died young.

Various differentials in current fertility are notable from Table 3.2 and Figure 3.2.TFRs are lowest in the Coastal and Southern zones ( 4.9 children per woman). Southern Highlands and Northern Highlands zones have TFRs of 5.4 and 5.7 children per woman, respectively. The Lake and Central zones have the highest level of fertility ( 7.0 and 6.1 children per woman, respectively).

Women with secondary education have a total fertility rate of 3.2 children per woman, which is much less than that of other women. Women who have completed primary education have a total fertility of 5.4 ; women with incomplete primary education and women with no formal education have a total fertility rate of 5.9 and 6.4 , respectively. The gap in fertility between women with no education and those with some secondary education has widened significantly in the five years between 1991-92 and 1996. In 1991-92, women who had never gone to school had an average of 2.3 children more than women who had attended secondary education. In 1996, the difference was 3.2 children.

Table 3.2 also allows a general assessment of trends in fertility over time among population subgroups. The comparison of completed fertility (mean number of children ever born) with the TFR provides an indicator of the direction and magnitude of fertility change in the country during the past $20-25$ years. The results suggest that there has been a major decline in fertility (more prominent in urban areas) in the country during the period as shown by a TFR of 5.8 births, compared with a mean number of children ever born to women in their 40s (age $40-49)$ of 7.0 children. This decline is confirmed by looking at trends in TFRs obtained

## Table 3.2 Fertility by background characteristics

Total fertility rate for the three years preceding the survey, percentage currently pregnant and mean number of children ever born to women age 40-49, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Total fertility rate 15-49 | Percentage currently pregnapt 15-49 | Mean number of children ever born to women age 40-49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 5.81 | 9.74 | 6.95 |
| Total urban | 4.09 | 7.13 | 6.07 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 3.43 | 5.71 | 5.48 |
| Other urban | 4.36 | 7.77 | 6.28 |
| Total Rural | 6.33 | 10.52 | 7.13 |
| Zanzibar | (5.93) | 10.17 | 7.65 |
| Zones |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 4.93 | 8.92 | 6.53 |
| Northem Highlands | 5.71 | 10.05 | 6.65 |
| Lake | 6.97 | 11.54 | 7.63 |
| Central | 6.10 | 9.45 | 6.90 |
| Southem Highlands | 5.42 | 9.17 | 6.80 |
| Southern | 4.94 | 6.95 | 6.55 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.36 | 9.82 | 7.13 |
| Primary incomplete | 5.90 | 7.14 | 7.11 |
| Primary complete | 5.43 | 11.10 | 6.31 |
| Secondary+ | 3.24 | 7.66 | 4.79 |
| Total | 5.82 | 9.75 | 6.97 |

Note: Total fertility rates in parentheses are based on $500-999$ women age 15-49.
${ }^{1}$ Rate for women age 15-49 years from previous surveys (see next section).

At the time of the survey, 10 percent of interviewed women reported that they were pregnant. This may be an underestimate of the true percent pregnant because many women at early stages of pregnancy will not yet know for sure that they are pregnant.

### 3.3 Fertility Trends

Table 3.3 examines trends in fertility in Tanzania by comparing the results of the 1996 TDHS with the earlier 199192 TDHS. This comparison is appropriate because the methods of data collection and rate calculation were identical in the two surveys. The TFR calculated from the 1991-92 TDHS was 6.3 children per woman, compared with 5.8 derived from the 1996 TDHS, showing a decline in fertility of 7 percent during the period between 1989-92 and 1993-96. Examination of changes in age-specific fertility rates in Figure 3.3 shows a roughly equal declines at all ages.

Table 3.3 Trends in current fertility rates
Age specific fertility rates and total fertility rates, Tanzania, 1989-1996
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc}\hline & \begin{array}{c}1991-92 \\ \text { TDHS }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}1996 \\ \text { Age } \\ \text { group }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{ccc}\text { TDHS }\end{array}\right]$


A second way to analyze fertility trends is by using the 1996 TDHS data alone for successive five-year periods preceding the survey as given in Table 3.4. Because women age 50 and above were not interviewed in the survey, the rates are successively truncated as the number of years before the survey increases. According to the table, there has been a gradual decline in fertility during the past 20 years, e.g., the cumulative fertility of women ages $15-34$ decreased from 5.4 to 4.3 during this period.

Table 3.5 gives fertility rates for ever-married women by duration since first marriage. Like the rates by age, these are also truncated as the duration and period before the survey increase. The data show that fertility rates among women married less than 10 years have not changed significantly over time, perhaps because newly married couples tend to start their families right after marriage.

## Table 3.4 Trends in age-specific fertility rates

Age-specific fertility rates for five-year periods preceding the survey, by woman's age at the time, Tanzania 1996

|  | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Woman's <br> age | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ |
| $15-19$ | 130 | 151 | 153 | 174 |
| $20-24$ | 261 | 284 | 290 | 295 |
| $25-29$ | 258 | 278 | 302 | 299 |
| $30-34$ | 218 | 248 | 269 | $[305]$ |
| $35-39$ | 174 | 202 | $[228]$ | - |
| $40-44$ | 90 | $[148]$ | - | - |
| $45-49$ | $[42]$ | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TFR 15-34 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.4 |

Note: Age-specific fertility rates per 1,000 women. Estimates enclosed in brackets are truncated.

| Table 3.5 Trends in fertility by marital status |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertility rates for ever-married women by duration (years) since first marriage for five-year periods preceding the survey, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |
| Marriage | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |
| 0-4 | 319 | 347 | 342 | 356 |
| 5-9 | 275 | 298 | 327 | 309 |
| 10-14 | 242 | 276 | 290 | 304 |
| 15-19 | 199 | 226 | 251 | [261] |
| 20-24 | 139 | 190 | [212] |  |
| 25-29 | 65 | [116] |  | - |
| Note: Fertility rates per 1,000 women. Estimates enclosed in brackets are truncated. |  |  |  |  |

### 3.4 Retrospective Fertility

Measures of lifetime fertility reflect the accumulation of births over the past 30 years or so, and therefore have limited relevance to current fertility levels, especially if the country has experienced a decline in fertility. Information on lifetime fertility is useful for examining average family size across age groups as well as estimating levels of primary infertility. Lifetime fertility is also useful in understanding changes that have taken place in the age pattem of current fertility.

The percent distribution of women by age and number of children ever born is given in Table 3.6 for all women as well as for currently married women. The mean number of children ever born for all women is 3.1, which means that, on average, Tanzanian women age $15-49$ had 3.1 births, while currently married women in Tanzania have on average 3.9 births. In contrast, women at the end of their reproductive life have given birth to an average of 7.3 children, of whom 5.8 survived. Therefore, women at the end of their reproductive period have lost one-fifth of their children to mortality. A comparison of the mean number of children ever born reported in the 1996 TDHS and the 1991-92 TDHS shows a decline in completed fertility over time at all ages except among women age $45-49$ where it shows a slight increase.

| Table 3,6. Children ever beom and living |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of all women and of currently married women by number of children ever bom (CEB) and mean number ever borm and living, according to five-year age groups, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Num | bber of | children | ever bob |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | Mean number of | Number |
| group | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ | Total | CEB | children | omen |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 79.1 | 18.6 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 25.6 | 35.4 | 23.9 | 11.2 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.33 | 1.15 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 8.2 | 13.2 | 20.9 | 24.5 | 19.3 | 9.8 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.80 | 2.43 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 3.9 | 7.0 | 9.9 | 15.2 | 18.9 | 18.4 | 12.9 | 9.0 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 4.21 | 3.59 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 12.4 | 13.7 | 17.7 | 14.5 | 10.2 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 5.46 | 4.59 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 7.2 | 10.6 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 14.1 | 10.4 | 16.1 | 100.0 | 6.70 | 5.52 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 8.3 | 13.6 | 16.2 | 12.2 | 25.2 | 100.0 | 7.29 | 5.76 | 585 |
| Total | 24.8 | 15.6 | 11.7 | 10.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 3.09 | 2.58 | 8,120 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 42.5 | 49.2 | 6.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.67 | 0.60 | 401 |
| 20-24 | 13.9 | 35.9 | 29.9 | 14.9 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.63 | 1.41 | 1,131 |
| 25-29 | 4.9 | 10.5 | 20.7 | 26.4 | 21.7 | 10.9 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3.03 | 2.62 | 1,184 |
| 30-34 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 14.5 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 13.7 | 10.1 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | ${ }^{4.44}$ | 3.80 | 947 |
| 35-39 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 17.8 | 15.2 | 11.1 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 5.62 | 4.75 | 740 |
| 40-44 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 10.6 | 12.8 | 15.3 | 14.7 | 10.6 | 17.5 | 100.0 | 6.87 | 5.65 | 561 |
| 45-49 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 14.5 | 16.9 | 11.7 | 28.8 | 100.0 | 7.63 | 6.07 | 447 |
| Total | 8.2 | 15.5 | 14.3 | 13.1 | 11.7 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 3.94 | 3.31 | 5,411 |

The distribution of children ever born by age shows that early childbearing is quite common in Tanzania; 2I percent of all women age 15-19 have already had at least one birth.

The percent childless among women at the end of the reproductive period is an indirect measure of primary infertility-the proportion of women who are unable to bear children at all. Table 3.6 shows that primary sterility is low, about 2 percent. The incidence of primary sterility seems to have declined from about 4 percent in the 1991-92 TDHS to 2 percent in the 1996 TDHS.

### 3.5 Birth Intervals

Information on the length of the birth interval provides insight into birth spacing patterns. Previous research has shown that short birth intervals are closely associated with poor health of children, especially during infancy. This is particularly true for babies born at an interval of less than 24 months. Thus, the study of birth intervals is important in understanding the health status of mothers, infants, and young children. Table 3.7 shows the distribution of births in the five years before the survey by the interval since previous birth, according to various demographic and background characteristics (first births have been excluded).

As with the 1991-92 TDHS, the 1996 TDHS indicates that most Tanzanian children ( 83 percent) are born after a "safe" hirth interval ( 24 or more months apart), with ahout 43 percent bom at least 36 months after a prior birth. Nevertheless, 17 percent of non-first births occur less than 24 months after the preceding birth, with 7 percent occurring less than 18 months since the previous birth. The overall median birth interval is 34 months.

Expectedly, younger women tend to have shorter birth intervals than older women. On the other hand, there is no significant difference in median birth interval by birth order or sex of the previous child.

The survival status of the previous birth is strongly associated with the length of the preceding birth interval. The median birth interval is six months shorter for children whose previous sibling died compared with children whose previous sibling survived. Twenty-two percent of children whose preceding sibling died are born after an interval of less than 18 months, compared with only 4 percent among children whose preceding sibling survived.

The median birth interval in urban areas is four months longer than that for nural areas on the mainland. Thirteen percent of the births in urban areas occur at intervals which are "too short" (less than 24 months), compared with 18 percent of births in the rural areas. The percentage of births with an interval of four years or more is higher for urban than rural births ( 30 percent vs. 17 percent). Births in the Southern zone exhibit a higher median birth interval ( 38 months) than the other zones.

### 3.6 Age at First Birth

The age at which childbearing starts has important consequences for the overall level of fertility and also the health and welfare of the mother and the child. Today, teenage pregnancy and mothertood are a major health and social concern. In some societies, postponement of first births due to an increase in age at marriage has contributed to overall fertility decline. However, in many societies, premarital childbearing is common.

Table 3.8 shows the percent distribution of women by age at first birth, according to current age at the time of the survey. The distribution is similar to that in the 1991-92 TDHS, and shows that the prevalence of early childbearing has declined slightly over time. While about 12 percent of older women (45-49) had their first birth before reaching age 15 , only 3 percent of the younger women (20-24) did so. Among older women (45-49), 61 percent had their first birth before reaching age 20, compared to 52 percent of the young women (20-24). The median age at first birth has increased nearly one year across cohorts age 45-49 to 20-24.

| Table 3.7 Birth intervals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by number of months since previous birth, according to demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of months since previous birth |  |  |  |  | Total | Median number of months since previous birth | Number of births |
| characteristic | 7-17 | 18-23 | 24-35 | 36-47 | 48+ |  |  |  |
| Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 16.3 | 23.5 | 34.3 | 24.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 26.2 | 44 |
| 20-29 | 7.5 | 12.8 | 45.6 | 21.3 | 12.8 | 100.0 | 31.2 | 2,583 |
| 30-39 | 5.6 | 9.2 | 36.0 | 26.0 | 23.2 | 100.0 | 35.8 | 2,174 |
| $40+$ | 5.8 | 7.6 | 31.9 | 22.2 | 32.6 | 100.0 | 38.1 | 633 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 | 6.6 | 11.7 | 39.7 | 23.2 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 33.4 | 2,264 |
| 4-6 | 6.3 | 9.8 | 41.4 | 23.9 | 18.7 | 100.0 | 33.8 | 2,014 |
| $7+$ | 7.4 | 11.0 | 38.3 | 22.4 | 20.9 | 100.0 | 33.9 | 1,157 |
| Sex of prior birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 6.5 | 10.8 | 40.6 | 22.9 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 33.6 | 2,786 |
| Female | 6.7 | 10.9 | 39.5 | 23.6 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 33.7 | 2,649 |
| Survival of prior birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dead | 22.0 | 14.1 | 31.2 | 15.8 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 28.1 | 755 |
| Living | 4.1 | 10.3 | 41.5 | 24.5 | 19.6 | 100.0 | 34.2 | 4,680 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 6.6 | 10.8 | 40.0 | 23.3 | 19.3 | 100.0 | 33.7 | 5,250 |
| Total urban | 4.1 | 8.7 | 34.0 | 23.3 | 29.9 | 100.0 | 37.1 | 808 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 1.2 | 8.2 | 33.7 | 22.4 | 34.5 | 100.0 | 39.1 | 215 |
| Other urban | 5.2 | 8.9 | 34.1 | 23.6 | 28.2 | 100.0 | 36.6 | 592 |
| Total rural | 7.1 | 11.2 | 41.1 | 23.3 | 17.4 | 100.0 | 33.1 | 4,442 |
| Zanzibar | 7.1 | 11.6 | 42.1 | 23.5 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 185 |
| Zones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 4.6 | 8.9 | 38.4 | 25.1 | 23.0 | 100.0 | 35.4 | 1,049 |
| Northern Highlands | 7.3 | 12.5 | 34.3 | 25.1 | 20.8 | 100.0 | 34.3 | 654 |
| Lake | 9.1 | 13.7 | 43.7 | 19.0 | 14.5 | 100.0 | 30.8 | 2,051 |
| Central | 7.4 | 8.7 | 42.5 | 22.1 | 19.3 | 100.0 | 33.7 | 453 |
| Southern Highlands | 3.9 | 8.7 | 41.1 | 26.4 | 19.8 | 100.0 | 34.9 | 765 |
| Southern | 3.1 | 5.6 | 31.8 | 31.3 | 28.1 | 100.0 | 38.4 | 462 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.6 | 11.0 | 37.5 | 24.6 | 20.3 | 100.0 | 34.5 | 1,787 |
| Primary incomplete | 7.0 | 11.0 | 38.8 | 21.9 | 21.3 | 100.0 | 33.9 | 919 |
| Primary complete | 6.6 | 10.8 | 42.6 | 22.6 | 17.4 | 100.0 | 33.0 | 2,581 |
| Secondary+ | 6.1 | 8.8 | 34.6 | 26.7 | 23.8 | 100.0 | 36.2 | 147 |
| Total | 6.6 | 10.8 | 40.1 | 23.3 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 33.7 | 5.435 |
| Note: First-order births are excluded. The interval for multiple births is the number of months since the preceding pregnancy that ended in a live birth. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.8 Age at first birth
Percent distribution of women 15-49 by age at first birth, according to current age, Tanzania 1996

| Current age | Women with no births | Age at first birth |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Median <br> age at first birth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<15$ | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 22-24 | $25+$ |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 79.1 | 1.1 | 12.4 | 7.4 | NA | NA | NA | 100.0 | a | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 25.6 | 3.2 | 22.1 | 27.0 | 17.3 | 4.7 | NA | 100.0 | 19.8 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 8.2 | 4.2 | 24.1 | 30.1 | 19.0 | 10.9 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 19.4 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 3.9 | 6.8 | 27.3 | 25.0 | 17.0 | 13.9 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 19.2 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 3.7 | 8.2 | 31.7 | 22.6 | 16.0 | 10.7 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 18.9 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 1.8 | 10.4 | 33.4 | 24.8 | 13.5 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 18.5 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 1.9 | 11.6 | 27.4 | 22.3 | 12.5 | 13.2 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 19.0 | 585 |

NA = Not applicable.
${ }^{2}$ Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group $15-19$ have had a birth by age 15 .

To study differentials in age at first birth, Table 3.9 gives the median age at first birth for different subgroups of the population. There is little variation in age at first birth by urban and rural residence. The median age at first birth shows an inverse relationship with educational attainment, being as low as 18 years for women with no education or incomplete primary and increasing to 20 years for women with completed primary and 23 years for women with secondary or higher education.

| Table 3.9 Median age at first birth by background characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median age at first birth among women 20-49 years, by current age and selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Current age |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } \\ & 20-49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ages } \\ 25-49 \end{gathered}$ |
| characteristic | 20-24 | 25-29 | 39-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |
| Urban/Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | a | 19.9 | 19.4 | 18.7 | 18.3 | 19.1 | 19.6 | 19.3 |
| Rural | 19.7 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 19.0 | 18.6 | 18.9 | 19.2 | 19.1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 18.6 | 18.8 | 17.8 | 18.5 | 18.3 | 18.7 | 18.5 | 18.4 |
| Primary incomplete | 18.6 | 19.2 | 17.9 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 19.0 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
| Primary complete | 19.9 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 19.7 | 19.8 | 20.5 | 19.8 | 19.7 |
| Secondary+ | $b$ | 23.7 | 22.8 | 22.1 | 22.1 | 22.3 | $b$ | 23.0 |
| Total | 19.8 | 19.4 | 19.2 | 18.9 | 18.5 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 19.1 |
| amitted because less than 50 percent of the women in age group $20-24$ had a birth by age 20. <br> ${ }^{6}$ Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group 20-24 and 20-49 had a birth by age 20 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.7 Teenage Pregnancy and Motherhood

The issue of fertility among women aged 15-19 is vital because teenage mothers and their children are at high risk for social and health problems. Children born to young mothers are more prone to illness and higher mortality during childhood than children born to older mothers.

Table 3.10 and Figure 3.4 present the proportion of women age $15-19$ years who have begun childbearing, separating those who are already mothers from those who are pregnant with their first child. Overall, 26 percent of teenagers covered by this survey have already begun childbearing with 21 percent having had a child already and 5 percent carrying their first child. This represents a decline in teen childbearing-the 1991-92 TDHS showed that 23 percent of women 15-19 were already mothers and 6 percent were pregnant with their first child (Ngallaba et al., 1993:30). As expected, the percentage who have started the reproductive process increases with age, from 1 percent among the 15 year olds to 61 percent by age 19 .

Table 3.10 further shows that overall teenage childbearing is higher among rural women ( 27 percent) than their urban counter-parts ( 24 percent) on the Mainland. This is true for both the proportion who are already mothers and the proportion who are pregnant with their first child. The Southern zone has the highest prevalence of teenage childbearing ( 35 percent) while the Coastal zone has the lowest level ( 23 percent).

Figure 3.4
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| Table 3.10 Teenage pregnancy and motherhood |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women 15-19 who are mothers or pregnant with their first child, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of women who are: |  | Percentage who have begun childbearing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| Background charactenistic | Mothers | Pregnant with first child |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 288 |
| 16 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 11.2 | 408 |
| 17 | 9.7 | 7.4 | 17.1 | 343 |
| 18 | 30.0 | 9.2 | 39.2 | 358 |
| 19 | 54.3 | 6.3 | 60.6 | 335 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 21.1 | 5.2 | 26.3 | 1,683 |
| Total urban | 19.6 | 4.6 | 24.2 | 393 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 19.3 | 4.7 | 24.0 | 127 |
| Other urban | 19.7 | 4.6 | 24.2 | 266 |
| Total rural | 21.6 | 5.3 | 27.0 | 1,290 |
| Zanzibar | 11.5 | 5.9 | 17.4 | 49 |
| Zones |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 17.9 | 5.1 | 23.0 | 444 |
| Northem Highlands | 18.2 | 6.0 | 24.2 | 206 |
| Lake | 23.0 | 4.2 | 27.2 | 538 |
| Central | 22.5 | 4.8 | 27.3 | 125 |
| Southem Highlands | 18.7 | 5.2 | 23.9 | 247 |
| Southem | 26.9 | 8.0 | 34.8 | 173 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 33.1 | 6.5 | 39.6 | 284 |
| Primary incomplete | 14.1 | 2.0 | 16.1 | 559 |
| Primary complete | 23.1 | 7.2 | 30.2 | 795 |
| Secondary+ | 5.9 | 3.5 | 9.4 | 95 |
| Total | 20.9 | 5.2 | 26.1 | 1,732 |

## CHAPTER 4

## FERTILITY REGULATION

Knowledge of family planning methods and sources to obtain them are necessary in deciding whether to adopt a contraceptive method and the choice of method to use. A positive attitude toward family planning affects use. This chapter presents data on contraceptive knowledge, attitudes, behaviour, and sources. While the focus is placed on women of reproductive age, some results from the men's survey will also be presented, since men play an important role in the realisation of reproductive goals.

### 4.1 Knowledge of Family Planning Methods

Information about knowledge of family planning methods was obtained in two ways in the 1996 TDHS. Respondents were first asked to name ways or methods by which a couple could delay or avoid pregnancy. When a respondent failed to mention a particular method spontaneously, the interviewer described the method and asked if the respondent knew it. Information was collected for eight modem methods: the pill, IUD, injectables, Norplant, vaginal methods (foam, jelly, or diaphragm), condom, and female and male sterilisation, and three traditional methods: the calendar (ryythm) method, mucus method, and withdrawal. In addition, provision was made in the questionnaire to record any other methods named spontaneously by respondents. Both prompted and unprompted knowledge are combined in the report.

Table 4.1 shows the percentage of all women and men, currently married women and men, and sexually active unmarried women and men, and women with no sexual experience who know specific contraceptive methods. Almost all of the women who have heard of any method have heard of a modern method, while about half of the women have heard of a traditional or folk method. Results show that 84 percent of women age $15-49$ have heard of at least one method of family planning. The level is higher among currently married women ( 88 percent). The most commonly recognised methods in Tanzania are the pills ( 78 percent), condoms ( 72 percent), injectables ( 71 percent), female sterilisation ( 61 percent), and IUD ( 49 percent). Only 31 percent of all women know of diaphragm/foam/jelly, and about one-fourth know of male sterilisation and implants (Norplant). Of the traditional methods, similar proportions of women have knowledge of withdrawal and calendar or mucus methods (recognised by 31 to 32 percent of women, respectively).

Knowledge of family planning methods is higher among men than women. Almost 90 percent of all men interviewed know of at least one method. The difference in knowledge between men and women is especially notable for male sterilisation and condom: 35 percent of men compared with 25 percent of women know of male sterilisation and 86 percent of men compared with 72 percent of women know about condoms. While women are generally more likely than men to know the methods used by women, it is surprising to note that the proportion of men who know of the calendar or mucus method is higher than among women ( 45 vs . 31 percent). Overall, knowledge of contraceptive methods is higher among married respondents. Seventy-one percent of women and 67 percent of men know of at least these modern methods (Table 4.1). On average, women and men know of five methods, four of which are modern methods.

Table 4.2 shows the correspondence between the contraceptive knowledge of husbands and wives for the 1,125 couples interviewed in the TDHS sample. Knowledge of at least one method by both spouses is high ( 86 percent). For couples where only one partner knows of a method, husbands are more likely to know the method than their wives; exceptions are the pill, IUD, injectables, implants and folk methods, which wives are more likely to know about than their husbands.

## Table 4.1 Knowledge of contraceptive methods

Percentage of all women, of currently married women, and of sexually active unmarried women and of women with no sexual experience, and the percentage of all men 15-59, of currently married men, and of sexually active unmarried men who know specific contraceptive methods, Tanzania 1996

| Contraceptive method | Women who know method |  |  |  | Men who know method |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All women | Currently married women | Sexually active unmarried women | No sexual experience | All men | Currently married men | Sexually active unmarried men |
| Any method | 84.2 | 88.5 | 85.5 | 55.1 | 89.2 | 93.4 | 90.8 |
| Any modern method | 83.6 | 87.7 | 85.2 | 55.1 | 88.8 | 92.8 | 90.8 |
| Pill | 78.4 | 84.0 | 79.5 | 41.4 | 71.1 | 82.2 | 66.6 |
| IUD | 48.8 | 52.8 | 55.7 | 17.8 | 34.9 | 43.8 | 32.1 |
| Injectables | 70.8 | 76.8 | 72.1 | 31.0 | 55.6 | 67.1 | 52.2 |
| Diaphragm/foam/jelly | 30.7 | 34.2 | 32.0 | 8.5 | 35.3 | 42.5 | 33.8 |
| Condom | 72.2 | 75.2 | 78.9 | 45.6 | 85.8 | 89.8 | 89.8 |
| Female sterilisation | 60.7 | 66.2 | 63.8 | 25.8 | 63.3 | 74.7 | 58.9 |
| Male sterilisation | 24.8 | 27.6 | 24.8 | 8.0 | 35.1 | 42.9 | 32.7 |
| Implant | 23.5 | 25.4 | 29.5 | 7.7 | 17.0 | 21.4 | 17.6 |
| Any traditional/folk method | 47.0 | 51.8 | 50.3 | 14.4 | 56.1 | 69.1 | 55.0 |
| Calendar/mucus | 30.7 | 32.1 | 35.9 | 12.1 | 45.2 | 56.4 | 42.4 |
| Withdrawal | 31.6 | 36.3 | 29.2 | 6.2 | 42.5 | 52.6 | 43.9 |
| Abstinence | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Other | 12.6 | 14.8 | 12.1 | 1.4 | 6.2 | 9.3 | 2.8 |
| Number of respondents | 8,120 | 5,411 | 671 | 1,048 | 2,256 | 1,288 | 355 |
| Mean number of methods known | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 4.9 |
| Percent knowing three or more modern methods | 70.9 | 76.6 | 73.5 | 31.9 | 66.8 | 78.2 | 64.3 |
| Mean number of modern methods known | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 3.8 |

## Table 4,2 Knowledge of contraceptive methods among couples

Percent distribution of couples by contraceptive knowledge, according to specific methods, Tanzania, 1996

| Contraceptive method | Both know method | Only husband knows method | Only wife knows method | Neither knows method | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any method | 86.3 | 7.3 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 100.0 |
| Any modern method | 85.6 | 7.7 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 100.0 |
| Pill | 74.6 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 7.0 | 100.0 |
| IUD | 29.7 | 14.8 | 22.4 | 33.1 | 100.0 |
| Injectables | 59.0 | 8.8 | 19.5 | 12.7 | 100.0 |
| Diaphragm/foam/jelly | 18.0 | 24.8 | 15.5 | 41.8 | 100.0 |
| Condom | 71.8 | 18.5 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 100.0 |
| Female sterilisation | 56.4 | 18.6 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 100.0 |
| Male sterilisation | 16.9 | 27.3 | 15.5 | 44.3 | 100.0 |
| Implant | 10.1 | 11.4 | 14.7 | 63.8 | 100.0 |
| Any traditional/folk method | 44.2 | 25.9 | 10.2 | 19.7 | 100.0 |
| Calendar/mucus | 23.4 | 34.0 | 9.1 | 33.5 | 100.0 |
| Withdrawal | 25.8 | 26.7 | 13.1 | 34.4 | 100.0 |
| Abstinence | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 99.1 | 100.0 |
| Other | 3.6 | 5.9 | 10.6 | 79.9 | 100.0 |

Note: Table is based on 1,125 couples.

Table 4.3 presents the percentage of all respondents who know any method or any modern method according to background characteristics. Knowledge of contraceptive methods is highest among women 20-34, urban women, women in the Dar es Salaam, Coast, Lindi, Tabora, and Mbeya regions, and among women with completed primary or secondary education. Similar patterns are also observed for men.

### 4.2 Trends in Contraceptive Knowledge

There has been some increase over time in the proportion of women and men who have heard of methods of family planning (Figure 4.1). The proportion of all women who have heard of at least one method has increased from 74 percent in 1991-92, to 80 percent in 1994 and to 84 percent in 1996. The proportion who have heard of a modern method increased from 72 percent in 1991-92 to 77 percent in 1994 and to 83 percent in 1996. Knowledge of specific methods has increased even more dramatically. For example, in 199192 , only 40 percent of women had heard of the injectable contraceptive; by 1996, this figure had increased to 71 percent. Similarly, the proportion of women who know of condoms grew from 51 percent of married women in 1991-92 to 72 percent in 1996.

### 4.3 Ever Use of Family Planning Methods

All women and men interviewed in the 1996 TDHS who said that they had heard of a method of family planning were asked if they had ever used that method. Ever use of family planning methods thus refers to use of a method at any time with no distinction between past and current use. Table 4.4.1 shows the percentage of women who have ever used family planning, according to method and age. Modern methods have been more frequently used ( 23 percent) than traditional/folk methods ( 15 percent). The modern methods commonly used by women are pills ( 15 percent), condoms ( 7 percent), and injectables ( 6 percent); while traditional methods frequently used are withdrawal ( 9 percent) and calendar/mucus ( 8 percent). Ever use of contraception is higher for sexually active unmarried women than currently married women.

Table 4.4.2 shows that ever use of contraccption among men is almost equal for modern ( 26 percent) and traditional methods ( 24 percent). The most frequently used methods among men are condoms ( 18 percent), calendar/mucus ( 17 percent), and withdrawal ( 14 percent). Ever use of modern methods is higher for sexually active unmarried men than currently married men ( 36 vs .29 percent). This is due to the higher use of condoms by unmarried men than married men ( 35 vs .16 percent).

As with contraceptive knowledge, ever use of modern contraceptive methods has increased moderately since 1991-92. In 1991-92, 14 percent of all women had ever used any modern method, compared to 21 percent in 1994 and 23 percent in 1996. Increases in ever use were greatest for injectables. Among men, ever use of modern methods increased from 24 to 26 percent during 1994-96.

## Table 4.3. Knowledge of contraceptive methods by back ground characteristics

Percentage of women and men who know at least one contraceptive method and at least one modern method by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Know } \\ & \text { any } \\ & \text { method } \end{aligned}$ | Know modern method | Number of women | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Know } \\ & \text { any } \\ & \text { method } \end{aligned}$ | Know modern method | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 65.5 | 65.4 | 1,732 | 76.3 | 76.3 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 91.1 | 90.8 | 1,676 | 91.9 | 91.9 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 92.5 | 92.1 | 1,440 | 96.0 | 95.5 | 301 |
| 30-34 | 91.2 | 91.0 | 1,118 | 95.7 | 94.4 | 272 |
| 35-39 | 88.5 | 87.6 | 888 | 93.9 | 93.9 | 251 |
| 40-45 | 85.8 | 84.7 | 680 | 96.2 | 96.2 | 206 |
| 45-49 | 77.1 | 74.6 | 585 | 88.5 | 88.5 | 149 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | 91.1 | 89.7 | 118 |
| 55-59 | NA | NA | NA | 77.1 | 75.2 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 84.0 | 83.4 | 7,881 | 89.2 | 88.8 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 93.9 | 93.9 | 1,811 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 509 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 95.9 | 95.9 | 563 | 98.2 | 98.2 | 171 |
| Other urban | 93.0 | 92.9 | 1,248 | 94.6 | 94.6 | 338 |
| Total rural | 81.0 | 80.2 | 6,070 | 87.2 | 86.7 | 1,678 |
| Zanzibar | 90.8 | 90.7 | 239 | 90.4 | 90.4 | 69 |
| Pemba | 86.8 | 86.8 | 92 | 92.6 | 92.6 | 28 |
| Unguja | 93.4 | 93.1 | 148 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 41 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 85.1 | 84.4 | 355 | 87.9 | 87.9 | 96 |
| Arusha | 62.3 | 59.7 | 589 | 74.5 | 71.3 | 156 |
| Kilimanjaro | 86.3 | 86.3 | 390 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 119 |
| Tanga | 78.9 | 78.9 | 464 | 74.7 | 74.7 | 108 |
| Morogoro | 86.7 | 86.5 | 408 | 87.4 | 87.4 | 95 |
| Coast | 95.3 | 94.2 | 159 | 93.5 | 93.5 | 45 |
| Dar es Salaam | 96.1 | 96.1 | 646 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 191 |
| Lindi | 92.1 | 91.5 | 187 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 87.5 | 86.4 | 355 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 89.7 | 89.5 | 305 | 91.2 | 91.2 | 82 |
| Iringa | 81.0 | 80.5 | 466 | 87.6 | 87.6 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 90.1 | 89.5 | 473 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 137 |
| Singida | 83.2 | 81.7 | 283 | 96.4 | 95.2 | 80 |
| Tabora | 90.4 | 90.4 | 225 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 82 |
| Rukwa | 82.2 | 81.6 | 242 | 96.2 | 94.9 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 86.6 | 86.4 | 351 | 94.3 | 94.3 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 73.9 | 73.6 | 686 | 82.3 | 82.3 | 202 |
| Kagera | 88.4 | 87.0 | 467 | 97.1 | 95.7 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 84.2 | 84.2 | 573 | 85.9 | 85.9 | 176 |
| Mara | 84.1 | 84.1 | 257 | 78.2 | 78.2 | 64 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 72.4 | 70.7 | 2,316 | 78.4 | 76.7 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 78.6 | 78.3 | 1,630 | 82.5 | 82.2 | 664 |
| Primary complete | 92.5 | 92.4 | 3,732 | 94.5 | 94.3 | 1,066 |
| Secondary+ | 96.6 | 96.6 | 441 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 222 |
| Total | 84.2 | 83.6 | 8,120 | 89.2 | 88.8 | 2,256 |
| NA = Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4.4.1 Ever use of contraception: women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of all women, of currently married women, and of sexually active unmarried women who have ever used any contraceptive method, by specific method and age, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional/folk method |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
| Age | Any method | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | In-jectables | Diaphragm/ foam/ jelly | Con- <br> dom | Female steri-lisation | Male steri-lisation | Implant | Any traditional/ folk method | Calendar mucus | With-drawal | Abstinence | Other |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.7 | 5.9 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 35.6 | 25.5 | 15.3 | 1.1 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 10.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 17.8 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 40.3 | 29.3 | 21.1 | 1.6 | 7.9 | 0.2 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 8.8 | 12.0 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 40.2 | 29.4 | 21.8 | 2.5 | 9.2 | 0.8 | 8.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.0 | 10.3 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 38.1 | 30.4 | 21.6 | 3.2 | 10.1 | 0.4 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.1 | 9.0 | 12.4 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 35.3 | 26.7 | 17.2 | 2.7 | 9.9 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 7.5 | 10.8 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 26.9 | 17.0 | 9.9 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 6.5 | 9.5 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 585 |
| Total | 30.9 | 22.5 | 15.0 | 1.6 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 8,120 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 15.9 | 9.9 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 401 |
| 20-24 | 36.2 | 25.6 | 15.9 | 1.3 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 8.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 18.9 | 8.7 | 12.3 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1,131 |
| 25-29 | 41.8 | 30.1 | 21.8 | 1.7 | 8.8 | 0.2 | 8.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 8.9 | 13.0 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 1,184 |
| 30-34 | 38.3 | 28.3 | 21.4 | 2.7 | 8.5 | 0.9 | 6.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 19.1 | 9.1 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 947 |
| 35-39 | 38.3 | 30.2 | 20.4 | 3.3 | 10.1 | 0.5 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 19.9 | 7.8 | 12.7 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 740 |
| 40-44 | 34.7 | 25.5 | 15.3 | 2.8 | 10.2 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 7.9 | 11.3 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 561 |
| 45-49 | 26.0 | 15.2 | 9.0 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 6.8 | 9.9 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 447 |
| Total | 35.6 | 25.6 | 17.4 | 2.1 | 7.6 | 0.4 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 18.3 | 8.2 | 11.5 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 5,411 |
| SEXUALLY ACTIVE UNMARRED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 40.1 | 34.1 | 21.6 | 1.9 | 6.4 | 0.5 | 18.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 14.3 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 671 |

## Table 4.4.2 Ever use of contraception: men

Percentage of all men, of currently married men, and of sexualiy active unmarried men who have ever used any contraceptive method, by specific method and age, Tanzania 1996

| Age | Any method | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional/folk method |  |  |  |  | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { ject- } \\ & \text { ables } \end{aligned}$ | Dia- phragm/ foarn/ jelly | Condom | Female steri-lisation | Implant | tradi- <br> tional folk method | Calendar/ mucus | With-drawal | Abstinence | Other |  |
| ALL MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 11.7 | 10.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 38.0 | 28.9 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 19.3 | 13.9 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 51.2 | 32.2 | 9.5 | 0.2 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 26.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.1 | 24.1 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 301 |
| 30-34 | 53.8 | 37.2 | 16.3 | 2.3 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 25.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.2 | 26.5 | 23.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 272 |
| 35-39 | 53.7 | 35.2 | 17.2 | 2.7 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 36.5 | 25.0 | 20.0 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 251 |
| 40-44 | 47.3 | 31.1 | 19.9 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 14.3 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 31.8 | 19.0 | 22.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 206 |
| 45-49 | 37.6 | 20.3 | 13.4 | 1.7 | 7.6 | 0.4 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 17.1 | 12.5 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 149 |
| 50-54 | 40.3 | 20.3 | 7.5 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 25.8 | 14.2 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 118 |
| 55-59 | 29.7 | 13.1 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 14.2 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 100 |
| Total | 38.3 | 25.5 | 9.6 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 18.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 24.4 | 16.7 | 13.7 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 2,256 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 6 |
| 20-24 | 51.2 | 26.5 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 37.7 | 26.6 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 91 |
| 25-29 | 52.3 | 25.9 | 10.5 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.4 | 28.7 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 196 |
| 30-34 | 55.6 | 37.5 | 17.0 | 2.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.9 | 28.8 | 24.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 232 |
| 35-39 | 54.7 | 35.5 | 18.4 | 2.9 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 37.9 | 26.2 | 20.6 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 230 |
| 40-44 | 48.2 | 31.1 | 21.1 | 1.4 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 13.2 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 33.2 | 20.1 | 23.4 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 194 |
| 45-49 | 39.2 | 20.4 | 14.6 | 1.8 | 8.2 | 0.4 | 7.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 30.2 | 18.6 | 13.6 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 137 |
| 50-54 | 41.7 | 20.4 | 8.0 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 32.1 | 26.3 | 13.9 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 110 |
| 55-59 | 31.6 | 13.3 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 26.4 | 15.1 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 90 |
| Total | 48.7 | 28.6 | 14.8 | 1.5 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 16.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 35.2 | 24.5 | 19.2 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 1,288 |
| SEXUALLY ACTIVE UNMARRIED MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 40.7 | 36.0 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 35.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 355 |
| Note: An asterisk indicates a figure is based on fewer than 25 men and has been suppressed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



### 4.4 Current Use of Family Planning

The level of current use of family planning is one of the indicators most frequently used to assess the success of family planning programme activities. It is widely used as a measure in the analysis of the determinants of fertility. This section focuses on the levels and differentials in family planning use with particular emphasis on the method mix among users. Trends in family planning use in Tanzania are also described. Infonmation on the service providers from which users obtained methods is also presented.

Sixteen percent of all women in Tanzania are currently using a contraceptive method and 12 percent are using modern methods (Table 4.5.1). The most widely used methods are the pills ( 5 percent) and injectables ( 4 percent). Female sterilisation and condoms are used by 1 percent of women. About 2 percent of women each use the calendar/mucus method or withdrawal. The use of contraception increases with age, reaching a peak at age $30-44$ among all women. The pill is the most commonly used method among women under 35 , while injectables is the most common method among women 35-39. Female sterilisation tends to be used by older women (women in their 40 s ).

Current contraceptive use among men is slightly higher than among women (Table 4.5.2). Twenty-two percent of men in Tanzania are currently using contraception, 14 percent using modern and 8 percent using traditional methods. The condom is the method most used by men ( 7 percent), followed by the calendar/mucus method ( 6 percent). The use of traditional methods is proportionately higher for men than women. The major difference in contraceptive use reported by men and women is higher use of the calendar/mucus method and of condoms by men.

Current use of contraception is higher among the sexually active unmarried population than among all women and men. Condom use among sexually active unmarried respondents is notably higher especially among men ( 22 vs. 7 percent). In fact, condom use accounts for 86 percent of all use among sexually active unmarried men. This suggests that the intention of premarital contraceptive use involves more than pregnancy prevention and probably indicates motivation to avoid sexually transmitted diseases, especially human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).

## Table 4.5.1 Current use of contraception: women

Percentage of all women, of currently married women, and of sexually active unmarried women who are currently using a contraceptive method, by specific method, according to age, Tanzania 1996

| Age | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional/folk method |  |  |  |  | Not currently using | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any method | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | In-jectables | Condom | Female sterilisation | Any trad. or folk method | Calendar! mucus | With-drawal | Abstinence | Other methods |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 95.3 | 100.0 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 82.0 | 100.0 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 19.8 | 14.4 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 80.2 | 100.0 | 1.440 |
| 30-34 | 21.0 | 14.8 | 6.2 | 1.0 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 6.1 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 79.0 | 100.0 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 21.1 | 15.8 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 20.7 | 15.9 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 79.3 | 100.0 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 12.8 | 9.9 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 87.2 | 100.0 | 585 |
| Total | 16.1 | 11.7 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 83.9 | 100.0 | 8,120 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 7.4 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.6 | 100.0 | 401 |
| 20-24 | 18.0 | 12.7 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 82.0 | 100.0 | 1,131 |
| 25-29 | 19.9 | 14.5 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 80.1 | 100.0 | 1,184 |
| 30-34 | 20.2 | 14.0 | 6.1 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 6.2 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 79.8 | 100.0 | 947 |
| 35-39 | 21.2 | 15.9 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 78.8 | 100.0 | 740 |
| 40-44 | 22.1 | 17.1 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 77.9 | 100.0 | 561 |
| 45-49 | 12.6 | 9.2 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 87.4 | 100.0 | 447 |
| Total | 18.4 | 13.3 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 81.6 | 100.0 | 5,411 |
| SEXUALLY ACTIVE UNMARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 26.2 | 21.4 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 73.8 | 100.0 | 671 |

Some women are more likely to use contraception than others (see Table 4.6.1 and Figure 4.2). There are differences in the level of current use between the mainland and Zanzibar and more notably by regions, educational levels, and number of living children. Use of modern family planning methods is lower in Zanzibar ( 8 percent) than on the mainland ( 12 percent). Between the two islands, use of modern family planning methods is slightly higher in Unguja ( 9 percent) than Pemba ( 6 percent). In the mainland, urban women are much more likely to be using modern contraceptive methods ( 24 percent) than rural women ( 8 percent). Levels of current use of modern family planning methods are highest in the Kilimanjaro, Coast, and Dar es Salaam regions (23-24 percent) and lowest in the Shinyanga, Kagera, and Mara Regions (4-5 percent). Current use of modern family planning methods is less than 10 percent in 6 regions and more than 10 percent in 14 regions.

Education is clearly related to the use of family planning. Women with some secondary and higher education are five times more likely to use modem methods than women without education ( 23 vs .5 percent). The educational differentials are similar for any method use.

As expected, contraceptive use rises with the number of living children. The percentage of women using any modem family planning method increases rapidly from 3 percent among women with no children to 16 percent among those with three or more children. The results show that few women in Tanzania adopt contraception until after they have had at least one child.

| Table 4.5.2 Current use of contraception; men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of all men, of currently married men, and of sexually active unmartied men who are currently using a contraceptive method, by specific method, according to age, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  | Traditiona/folk method |  |  |  |  | Not currently using | TotalNumber <br> of <br> men |  |
| Age | Any method | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { ject- } \\ & \text { ables } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Con- } \\ & \text { dom } \end{aligned}$ | Female sterilisation | Any trad. or folk method | Calendar/ mucus | with-drawal | Abstinence | Other methods |  |  |  |
| ALL MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.7 | 100.0 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 19.9 | 15.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 80.1 | 100.0 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 27.7 | 15.5 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 7.8 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 72.3 | 100.0 | 301 |
| 30-34 | 33.9 | 20.3 | 6.8 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 9.2 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 66.1 | 100.0 | 272 |
| 35-39 | 37.6 | 20.4 | 6.9 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 17.2 | 11.1 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 62.4 | 100.0 | 251 |
| 40-44 | 26.8 | 16.9 | 7.4 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 9.8 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.2 | 100.0 | 206 |
| 45-49 | 22.7 | 13.6 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 9.1 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 77.3 | 100.0 | 149 |
| 50-54 | 20.7 | 10.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 10.6 | 6.8 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 79.3 | 100.0 | 118 |
| 55-59 | 12.3 | 7.2 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.7 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Total | 22.4 | 14.0 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 7.3 | 0.7 | 8.4 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 77.6 | 100.0 | 2,256 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 6 |
| 20-24 | 27.1 | 14.8 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 11.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.9 | 100.0 | 91 |
| 25-29 | 30.0 | 12.1 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 11.5 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 70.0 | 100.0 | 196 |
| 30-34 | 35.4 | 19.7 | 7.7 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 10.8 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 64.6 | 100.0 | 232 |
| 35-39 | 39.1 | 21.0 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 18.0 | 11.8 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 60.9 | 100.0 | 230 |
| 40-44 | 28.4 | 17.9 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 10.4 | 6.5 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.6 | 100.0 | 194 |
| 45-49 | 24.2 | 14.3 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 9.9 | 6.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 75.8 | 100.0 | 137 |
| 50-54 | 20.9 | 9.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 11.3 | 7.3 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 79.1 | 100.0 | 110 |
| 55.59 | 13.7 | 8.1 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 86.3 | 100.0 | 90 |
| Total | 29.4 | 15.8 | 6.6 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 13.6 | 9.2 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 70.6 | 100.0 | 1,288 |
| SEXUALLY ACTIVE UNMARRIED MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 25.2 | 22.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 74.8 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Note: An asterisk indicates a figure is based on fewer than 25 men and has been suppressed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.6 .2 shows the percent distribution of all men age $15-59$ by the contraceptive method currently used, according to background characteristics. The differentials in contraceptive use by men resemble those among women. Men in urban areas are more likely to use contraception, especially modern methods, than their counterparts in rural areas on the mainland. There are quite large differences in the use of contraceptives among men in the various regions on the mainland. For example, 26 to 30 percent of men in the Mbeya, Singida, Dar es Salaam, and Coast regions are using modern family planning methods, compared with only 1 to 5 percent in the Mwanza and Shinyanga regions. Greater contraceptive use was found to be associated with increasing level of education. Use of modern contraceptive methods increases from 4 percent among men with no formal education to 28 percent among those with at least some secondary education.

Table 4,6.1 Current use of contraception by background characteristics: women
Percent distribution of all women by contraceptive method currently used, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Any method | Modem method |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional/folk method |  |  |  | Not cur- <br> rently using | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any modem method | Pill | IUD | In-jectables | Condom | Female steri-lisation | Any trad. or folk method | Calendar/ mucus | Withdrawal | Other methods |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 16.2 | 11.9 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 83.8 | 100.0 | 7,881 |
| Total urban | 29.0 | 23.7 | 9.0 | 1.2 | 7.4 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 71.0 | 100.0 | 1,81t |
| Dar es Salaam city | ty 30.8 | 23.9 | 8.3 | 2.0 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 69.2 | 100.0 | 563 |
| Other urban | 28.2 | 23.6 | 9.3 | 0.8 | 7.8 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 71.8 | 100.0 | 1,248 |
| Total rural | 12.4 | 8.3 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 87.6 | 100.0 | 6,070 |
| Zanzibar | 9.9 | 7.9 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 90.1 | 100.0 | 239 |
| Pemba | 7.1 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 92.9 | 100.0 | 92 |
| Unguja | 11.6 | 9.2 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 88.4 | 100.0 | 148 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 13.0 | 11.4 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 87.0 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Arusha | 17.3 | 11.3 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 82.7 | 100.0 | 589 |
| Kilimanjaro | 37.7 | 23.7 | 8.1 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 5.6 | 14.0 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 62.3 | 100.0 | 390 |
| Tanga | 22.4 | 12.6 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 9.8 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 77.6 | 100.0 | 464 |
| Morogoro | 16.2 | 13.3 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 83.8 | 100.0 | 408 |
| Coast | 26.7 | 23.5 | 9.4 | 0.4 | 9.4 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 73.3 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Dar es Salaam | 29.8 | 23.0 | 8.4 | 2.0 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 70.2 | 100.0 | 646 |
| Lindi | 18.6 | 15.7 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 81.4 | 100.0 | 187 |
| Mtwara | 13.2 | 11.3 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 86.8 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Ruvuma | 18.5 | 15.2 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 81.5 | 100.0 | 305 |
| Iringa | 11.1 | 7.7 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 466 |
| Mbeya | 18.8 | 11.5 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 81.2 | 100.0 | 473 |
| Singida | 14.2 | 12.9 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 85.8 | 100.0 | 283 |
| Tabora | 16.7 | 11.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 225 |
| Rukwa | 13.3 | 7.6 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 86.7 | 100.0 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 13.6 | 10.4 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 86.4 | 100.0 | 351 |
| Stinyanga | 4.3 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 95.7 | 100.0 | 686 |
| Kagera | 9.5 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 90.5 | 100.0 | 467 |
| Mwanza | 9.4 | 8.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.6 | 100.0 | 573 |
| Mara | 6.9 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 93.1 | 100.0 | 257 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.8 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 93.2 | 100.0 | 2,316 |
| Primary incomplete | 12.5 | 9.6 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 1,630 |
| Primary complete | 21.5 | 15.7 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 78.5 | 100.0 | 3.732 |
| Secondary+ | 31.5 | 23.1 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 7.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 68.5 | 100.0 | 441 |
| No. of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 96.1 | 100.0 | 2,197 |
| 1 | 17.0 | 12.6 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 83.0 | 100.0 | 1,367 |
| 2 | 21.2 | 15.0 | 7.5 | 0.7 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 78.8 | 100.0 | 1,065 |
| 3 | 22.3 | 16.3 | 7.6 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 77.7 | 100.0 | 947 |
| 4+ | 21.6 | 16.2 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 78.4 | 100.0 | 2,545 |
| Total | 16.1 | 11.7 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 83.9 | 100.0 | 8,120 |


| Table 4,6.2 Curent use of contraception by background characteristics: men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of all men by contraceptive method currently used, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional/folk method |  |  |  | Not currently using | Total | Number of men |
| Background characteristic | Any method | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { ject- } \\ & \text { ables } \end{aligned}$ | Condom | Female steri-lisation | Any trad. or folk method | Calendar/ mucus | Withdrawal | Other methods |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 22.6 | 14.2 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 7.5 | 0.7 | 8.4 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 77.4 | 100.0 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 29.6 | 23.9 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 15.2 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 70.4 | 100.0 | 509 |
| Dar es Salaam city | ity 32.0 | 27.2 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 16.9 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 68.0 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Other urban | 28.4 | 22.2 | 5.2 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 14.4 | 0.7 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 71.6 | 100.0 | 338 |
| Total rural | 20.5 | 11.3 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 9.3 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 79.5 | 100.0 | 1,678 |
| Zanzibar | 14.0 | 7.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 86.0 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Pemba | 7.4 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.6 | 100.0 | 28 |
| Unguja | 18.5 | 9.3 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 81.5 | 100.0 | 41 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 16.4 | 13.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 83.6 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Arusha | 20.2 | 10.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 9.6 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 79.8 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Kilimanjaro | 26.7 | 15.4 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 8.2 | 1.0 | 11.3 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 100.0 | 119 |
| Tanga | 28.0 | 17.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 72.0 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Morogoro | 17.5 | 13.3 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 82.5 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Coast | 30.6 | 25.8 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 69.4 | 100.0 | 45 |
| Dar es Salamm | 31.9 | 27.0 | 5.3 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 16.4 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 68.1 | 100.0 | 191 |
| Lindi | 22.5 | 16.9 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 77.5 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 14.9 | 12.9 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 85.1 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 29.4 | 19.6 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 70.6 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Iringa | 16.8 | 10.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 83.2 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 38.9 | 30.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 2.8 | 4.2 | J. 4 | 61.1 | 100.0 | 137 |
| Singida | 36.9 | 29.8 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 19.0 | 1.2 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 63.1 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Tabora | 16.7 | 5.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Rukwa | 52.6 | 17.9 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 1.3 | 34.6 | 23.1 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 47.4 | 100.0 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 32.9 | 10.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 67.1 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 6.1 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 93.9 | 100.0 | 202 |
| Kagera | 27.5 | 7.2 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 72.5 | 100.0 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 176 |
| Mara | 14.5 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 5.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 85.5 | 100.0 | 64 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 12.5 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 11.8 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 88.2 | 100.0 | 664 |
| Primary complete | 28.5 | 18.4 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 9.3 | 0.3 | 10.1 | 6.4 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 71.5 | 100.0 | 1,066 |
| Secondary+ | 38.3 | 27.6 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 16.0 | 3.2 | 10.7 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 61.7 | 100.0 | 222 |
| No. of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10.9 | 9.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 89.1 | 100.0 | 974 |
| 1 | 27.0 | 16.8 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 11.1 | 0.3 | 10.2 | 6.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 73.0 | 100.0 | 228 |
| 2 | 27.6 | 15.2 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 7.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 72.4 | 100.0 | 206 |
| 3 | 42.8 | 26.0 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 10.4 | 0.7 | 16.8 | 13.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 57.2 | 100.0 | 188 |
| 4+ | 30.2 | 16.2 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 14.1 | 8.8 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 69.8 | 100.0 | 661 |
| Total | 22.4 | 14.0 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 7.3 | 0.7 | 8.4 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 77.6 | 100.0 | 2,256 |

## Trends in Contraceptive Use

Contraceptive use in 1996 has increased since the 1991-92 TDHS from 10 to 16 percent of all women using any method and from 6 to 12 percent using modern methods (Figure 4.3). Injectables had the highest increase from less than 1 percent to 4 percent in the same time period. Among men, use of modern methods increased from 8 percent to 14 percent for the same period of time. However, the 1996 TDHS data show a slight decline in the contraceptive use rate since the 1994 Tanzania Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Survey (TKAPS) (from 18 to 16 percent of all women) which is due to a decline in the use of traditional methods; use of modern methods has slightly increased since 1994. Thus, it appears as if use of modern methods of contraception increased rapidly between 1991-92 and 1994 and has leveled off since then.

### 4.5 Number of Children at First Use of Family Planning

Family planning methods may be used for either spacing births or limiting family size. The 1996 TDHS included questions on the number of children the woman had when she first used contraception. These data enable an
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 examination of the cohort changes in the timing of adopting contraceptive use. Table 4.7 shows the distribution of ever-married women and the number of children the women had when they first used contraception, according to age group.

The results indicate that Tanzanian women are adopting family planning at an earlier stage of the family building process than before. Younger women report first use at lower parity than older women. For example, older (age 40-49) ever-married women reported first using contraception after having a median of 3.3-3.5 births, compared with about one living child among the youngest women (under age 30). This pattern may also be a reflection of a recent increase in the availability of family planning services.

Table 4.7 Number of children at first use of contraception
Percent distribution of ever-married women by number of living children at the time of first use of contraception, and median number of children at first use, according to current age, Tanzania 1996

| Current age | Never used contraception | Number of living children at time of first use of contraception |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Median number of children at tirst use | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ | Missing |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 83.8 | 3.7 | 11.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 441 |
| 20-24 | 62.5 | 6.1 | 22.2 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 1,266 |
| 25-29 | 59.3 | 2.9 | 16.1 | 11.9 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 1,334 |
| 30-34 | 60.5 | 1.1 | 13.6 | 9.4 | 5.7 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 1,067 |
| 35-39 | 61.9 | 0.6 | 8.4 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 14.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2.4 | 873 |
| 40-44 | 65.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 19.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3.5 | 670 |
| 45-49 | 73.0 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 13.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 582 |
| Total | 64.2 | 2.5 | 13.3 | 7.5 | 4.4 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 6,233 |

### 4.6 Effect of Breastfeeding on Conception

Information on knowledge of the contraceptive effect of breastfeeding as perceived by women is shown in Table 4.8. Twenty-seven percent of currently married Tanzanian women believe that breastfeeding increases the chance of a woman becoming pregnant. Fourteen percent correctly report that breastfeeding can reduce the risk of pregnancy, while 12 percent say that it depends on the situation. Differentials in knowledge of the contraceptive effect of breastfeeding by age group and place of residence are not large. Large differentials are observed by regions. For example 29 percent of currently married women in the Kagera region correctly reported that breastfeeding can reduce the risk of pregnancy, compared with only 2 percent in the Ruvuma region.

Only 8 percent of currently married women have used breastfeeding in the past to avoid pregnancy and 4 percent are currently relying on breastfeeding as a contraceptive method. Five percent of women meet the criteria for use of the lactational amenorthoeic method (LAM) ${ }^{1}$ of family planning.

### 4.7 Sources of Family Planning Methods

Women who reported using a modern method of contraception at the time of the survey were asked where they obtained the method the last time. It is likely that some women may misreport the type of place where they obtained the method, since the distinction between hospitals, clinics, and sometimes between public and private sources may not be clear to them. Table 4.9 and Figure 4.4 show that overall family planning users in Tanzania are more likely to obtain their methods from the public sector than from a private provider. About three-fourths of women currently using modern contraceptives obtained the method from the public sector, including government and districts hospitals ( 24 percent), govermment health centres ( 22 percent), and government dispensaries or parastatal facilities ( 28 percent).
${ }^{1}$ LAM users are women who are breastfeeding a child under six months of age, are still postpartum amenorrhoeic, and are not feeding the child anything but breast milk and plain water.

| Table 4.8 Perceived contraceptive effect of breastfeeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women by perceived risk of pregnancy associated with breastfeeding and percentage who rely on breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy, and percentage who meet lactational amenorrhoeic method (LAM) criteria, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Perceived risk of pregnancy associated with breasfeeding |  |  |  |  | Missing Total |  | Reliance on breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy |  | Meet LAM criteria | Number of women |
| Background characteristic | Unchanged | Increased | Decreased | Depends | Don't know |  |  | Previously | Currently |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 12.7 | 17.9 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 57.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 8.2 | 401 |
| 20-24 | 17.4 | 27.6 | 13.3 | 9.7 | 32.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 6.6 | 1,131 |
| 25-29 | 19.5 | 29.6 | 15.0 | 10.6 | 25.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8.1 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 1,184 |
| 30-34 | 17.5 | 28.8 | 16.1 | 15.2 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 947 |
| 35-39 | 18.7 | 27.2 | 14.7 | 15.6 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 740 |
| 40-44 | 16.8 | 24.2 | 17.5 | 13.1 | 28.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 12.3 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 561 |
| 45.49 | 13.2 | 28.6 | 13.9 | 15.6 | 28.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 8.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 447 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 16.9 | 27.2 | 14.6 | 12.3 | 28.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8.1 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 5,245 |
| Total urban | 23.7 | 29.7 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 23.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1,073 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 36.6 | 20.9 | 10.0 | 7.7 | 24.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 340 |
| Other urban | 17.7 | 33.8 | 11.3 | 13.7 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 733 |
| Total rural | 15.2 | 26.6 | 15.6 | 12.5 | 30.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8.6 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 4,172 |
| Zanzibar | 28.8 | 27.5 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 5.5 | 166 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 17.9 | 20.5 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 27.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 13.1 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 258 |
| Arusha | 11.8 | 10.3 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 48.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 6.2 | 403 |
| Kilimanjaro | 13.9 | 14.8 | 15.7 | 20.6 | 34.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 8.5 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 221 |
| Tanga | 9.1 | 15.3 | 10.7 | 15.7 | 48.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 282 |
| Morogoro | 15.2 | 26.2 | 8.0 | 17.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 257 |
| Coast | 40.4 | 19.3 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 28.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 98 |
| Dar es Salaam | 38.6 | 20.6 | 9.1 | 7.8 | 23.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 399 |
| Lindi | 24.8 | 28.1 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 33.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 123 |
| Mtwara | 19.5 | 37.0 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 28.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 248 |
| Ruvuma | 17.6 | 31.3 | 2.2 | 9.3 | 39.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 205 |
| Iringa | 15.2 | 26.3 | 8.2 | 18.1 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 291 |
| Mbeya | 12.8 | 46.9 | 9.5 | 5.2 | 25.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 318 |
| Singida | 13.0 | 30.4 | 14.1 | 8.9 | 33.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 194 |
| Tabora | 15.9 | 23.9 | 19.6 | 10.9 | 29.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 7.2 | 5.1 | 157 |
| Rukwa | 6.2 | 39.4 | 11.6 | 19.3 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 15.1 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 177 |
| Kigoma | 13.9 | 14.3 | 20.9 | 12.7 | 37.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 16.8 | 11.1 | 7.8 | 233 |
| Shinyanga | 14.2 | 37.4 | 27.2 | 8.7 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 12.2 | 4.7 | 6.3 | 464 |
| Kagera | 15.6 | 19.0 | 29.3 | 17.1 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 17.1 | 8.3 | 6.3 | 337 |
| Mwanza | 14.0 | 42.1 | 18.7 | 7.9 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 395 |
| Mara | 20.3 | 37.1 | 13.7 | 15.7 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 183 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 13.0 | 24.4 | 14.4 | 11.9 | 36.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 1,829 |
| Primary incomplete | 17.1 | 25.7 | 16.7 | 12.7 | 27.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 920 |
| Primary complete | 19.2 | 29.5 | 13.5 | 12.2 | 25.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 2,462 |
| Secondary + | 33.6 | 30.9 | 13.8 | 11.4 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 200 |
| Total | 17.3 | 27.2 | 14.4 | 12.2 | 28.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8.0 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 5,411 |

Table 4.9 Source of supply for modern contraceptive methods
Percent distribution of women currently using modern contraceptive methods by most recent source of the method, according to specific methods, Tanzania 1996

| Source of supply | Contraceptive method |  |  |  |  | All modern methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pill | IUD | Injectables | Condom | Female sterilisation |  |
| Public | 77.4 | 90.8 | 88.4 | 22.8 | 68.4 | 74.2 |
| Government hospital | 2.7 | 14.6 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 37.6 | 8.3 |
| District hospital | 11.7 | 35.5 | 16.0 | 10.9 | 22.0 | 15.4 |
| Health centre | 25.5 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 21.6 |
| Dispensary/parastatal facility | 35.9 | 12.2 | 36.6 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 28.0 |
| Village health post | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Medical private | 17.9 | 5.0 | 8.4 | 36.4 | 28.1 | 17.7 |
| Religious organisation facility | 4.0 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 23.8 | 5.7 |
| Private hospital/clinic | 4.7 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Pharmacy/medical store | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0,0 | 29.3 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
| CBD worker | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Other private | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 30.8 | 0.7 | 4.9 |
| Shop/kiosk | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 24.7 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| Church | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Friends/relatives | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Other | 0.5 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Don't know | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Not stated | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of users | 389 | 40 | 298 | 105 | 116 | 954 |

CBD $=$ Community-based distribution.
Note: The total includes 3 implant users, one diaphragn/foam/jelly user, and one male sterilisation user.

Figure 4.4
Distribution of Current Users of Modern Contraceptive Methods by Source of Contraceptive


Private medical sources account for 18 percent of current users. Community-based distribution (CBD) workers supply nearly 2 percent of modern methods. The source of family planning methods varies according to the type of method used. The public sector is the principal source of the pill, IUD, injectables, and female sterilisation, while the private sector is the principal source for condom users; more than half of the condom users reported obtaining condoms from pharmacies and shops. There is little change in the sources of contraception since the 1991-92 TDHS.

### 4.8 Intention to Use Family Planning Among Nonusers

An important indicator of the changing demand for family planning is the extent to which nonusers of contraception intend to use family planning in the future. Respondents who were not using contraception at the time of the survey were asked if they intended to use family planning methods in the future. The results are presented in Table 4.10.

Almost half (48 percent) of women nonusers say they intend to use family planning at some time in the future, with 33 percent saying they intend to use in the next 12 months and 13 percent saying they intend to use later. Thirty-eight percent of women say that they do not intend to use, while 13 percent are unsure about their intention to use. Men are more likely than women to intend to use contraception in future. Fiftysix percent of men who are not using a method say that they intend to use family planning in the future (see last column in Table 4.10).

Table 4.10 Future use of contraception
Percent distribution of all women and men who are not using a contraceptive method by intention to use in the future, according to number of living children, Tanzania 1996

| Future intentions | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Total women | Total men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ |  |  |
| Intend to use in next 12 months | 18.9 | 42.8 | 40.0 | 36.7 | 36.0 | 33.0 | 26.2 |
| Intend to use later | 21.1 | 13.9 | 10.1 | 11.7 | 6.7 | 12.9 | 26.8 |
| Unsure as to timing | 2.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| Unsure as to intention | 27.0 | 10.4 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 6.4 | 13.4 | 13.7 |
| Do not intend to use | 30.2 | 30.6 | 39.7 | 40.9 | 48.3 | 38.3 | 29.0 |
| Missing | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women/men | 1,905 | 1,179 | 874 | 758 | 2,100 | 6,816 | 1,751 |

The proportion of nonusers intending to use, and especially the timing of use, varies with the number of living children. For example, the proportion of women who intend to use within the next 12 months is much lower among childless women ( 19 percent) than among those with one child ( 43 percent). The proportion who do not intend to use at all is higher among women with four or more children ( 48 percent) than among women with one child (31 percent).

### 4.9 Reasons for Nonuse

Table 4.11 presents the main reasons for not using family planning given by currently married women and men who do not intend to use contraception in the future. Desire for more children was the most important reason for nonuse among women ( 23 percent) and men ( 25 percent) followed by opposition to contraception by the individual.

| Table 4.11. Reasons for not using contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of all women and men who are not using a contraceptive method and who do not intend to use in the future, by main reason for not intending to use, according to age, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reason for not using contraception | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
|  | Age |  | Total | Age |  | Total |
|  | <30 | 30-49 |  | $<30$ | 30-49 |  |
| Not married | 19.2 | 1.0 | 8.9 | 40.9 | 3.0 | 15.9 |
| Want children | 26.1 | 20.8 | 23.1 | 18.8 | 28.6 | 25.2 |
| Side effects | 5.0 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| Health concems | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Interferes with body | 1.0 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| No method known | 10.6 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 12.7 | 9.3 | 10.5 |
| No source known | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Lack of access | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Costs too much | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Religion | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| Respondent opposed | 15.2 | 21.4 | 18.7 | 11.1 | 16.1 | 14.4 |
| Partner opposed | 5.6 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Others opposed | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Infrequent sex | 1.2 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 6.2 | 4.2 |
| Menopausal/hysterectomy | 0.0 | 18.1 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 12.5 |
| Subfecund/infecund | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Inconvenient | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Other | 6.5 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 3.5 |
| Don't know/Missing | 3.9 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 0.5 | 2.7 |
| Not stated | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women/men | 1,125 | 1,483 | 2,608 | 173 | 335 | 509 |

Ten percent of men and women do not intend to use any method due to lack of knowledge about family planning methods. Younger women and older men are more likely than older women and younger men to cite desire for more children as the main reason for not intending to use. Another important reason cited by nonusers age 30 years and older is menopause and hysterectomy.

### 4.10 Preferred Method of Contraception for Future Use

Nonusers who indicated their intention to use family planning methods in the future were asked which method they would prefer to use. One-third of the women say they prefer to use the pill, and just over one-third say they prefer injectables (Table 4.12). Sterilisation is the third method preferred by women adopting family planning in the future. Women who intend to use in the next 12 months have similar method preferences as women who intend to use after 12 months.

### 4.11 Exposure to Family Planning Messages in the Electronic Media

Radio and television are major potential sources of information about family planning. To assess the effectiveness of such media for the dissemination of family planning information, all female and male respondents in the survey were asked if they had heard or seen messages about family planning on the radio or on television during the six months preceding the interview.

Table 4.13 shows that a higher proportion of men than women are exposed to the major electronic media. Sixty-one percent of men and 45 percent women report that they have heard or seen a family planning message on the radio or television in the previous six months. Radio is by far the more prominent of the two media; less than 1 percent of respondents had seen a family planning message on the television.

Younger respondents are more exposed to family planning messages through radio and television than older respondents. A sharp contrast in access to family planning messages is observed between urban and rural residence on the mainland; 64 percent of rural women and 46 percent of rural men have not been reached through the electronic media in the past six

Table 4.12 Preferred method of contraception for future use
Percent distribution of all women who are not using a contraceptive method but who intend to use in the future by preferred method, according to timing of intended use, Tanzania 1996

| Preferred method of contraception | Timing of intended use |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In next 12 months | After 12 months | Unsure when | All women |
| Pill | 34.3 | 33.5 | 25.7 | 33.8 |
| IUD | 2.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Injectables | 38.4 | 30.3 | 27.0 | 35.7 |
| Diaphragm/foam/jelly | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Condom | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Female sterilisation | 5.3 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| Implant | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 1.8 |
| Calendar/mucus | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 1.8 |
| Withdrawal | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Other method (Folk) | 2.1 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| Missing | 10.6 | 22.0 | 32.5 | 14.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 2,248 | 882 | 126 | 3,256 | months, compared to 28 percent of urban women and 22 percent of urban men. Access to the media is much higher in Zanzibar than on the mainland. The proportion of respondents who have been exposed to family planning messages on the radio or television varies across regions and is by far the highest among respondents in Dar es Salaam. Education of respondents is closely correlated with media exposure. Seventy-six percent of women and 68 percent of men with no formal schooling have not heard or seen a family planning messages on the radio or television, compared with 19 percent of women and 9 percent of men with some secondary or higher education.

### 4.12 Acceptability of Media Messages on Family Planning

To determine the level of acceptability of dissemination of family planning information through the media, women and men interviewed in the 1996 TDHS were asked whether they thought it was acceptable for family planning information to be provided on the radio or television. Overall, 76 percent of women and 86 percent of men feel it is acceptable to use radio or television to disseminate family planning information (Table 4.14).

Urban respondents are more likely to accept the electronic media as a vehicle for family planning information than their rural counterparts. The acceptability of family planning messages on electronic media is higher for women living in the Dar es Salaam, Coast, and Kilimanjaro regions and for men living in the Mwanza, Rukwa, and Mbeya regions. Women and men who have attained higher levels of education are much more likely to accept family planning messages on the radio or on television than those with no education.

## Table 4.13 Heard about family planning on radio and television

Percent distribution of women and men by whether they heard a radio and/or television message about family planning in the six months preceding the interview, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996


## Table 4.14 Acceptability of media messages on family planning

Percent distribution of women and men by acceptability of messages about family planning on the radio or television, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Acceptability of family planning messages on radio or television |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acceptable | Not acceptable | Unsure | Total | Number of women | Acceptable | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { accept- } \\ \text { able } \end{gathered}$ | Unsure | Total | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 70.0 | 7.0 | 23.0 | 100.0 | 1,732 | 79.7 | 3.5 | 16.8 | 100.0 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 83.3 | 5.2 | 11.5 | 100.0 | 1,676 | 89.7 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 80.0 | 7.2 | 12.8 | 100.0 | 1,440 | 92.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 301 |
| 30-34 | 78.4 | 6.9 | 14.7 | 100.0 | 1,118 | 89.3 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 272 |
| 35-39 | 75.6 | 8.1 | 16.3 | 100.0 | 888 | 89.9 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 251 |
| 40-44 | 72.7 | 7.8 | 19.4 | 100.0 | 680 | 84.8 | 8.9 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 206 |
| 45-49 | 63.4 | 7.2 | 29.4 | 100.0 | 585 | 82.5 | 6.4 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 149 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 90.0 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 118 |
| 55-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 70.9 | 11.3 | 17.9 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 75.9 | 6.6 | 17.5 | 100.0 | 7,881 | 86.1 | 5.4 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 90.9 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 1,811 | 90.3 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 509 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 95.8 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 563 | 86.0 | 11.0 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Other urban | 88.7 | 4.0 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 1,248 | 92.4 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 338 |
| Total rural | 71.4 | 7.5 | 21.1 | 100.0 | 6,070 | 84.8 | 5.0 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 1,678 |
| Zanzibar | 81.5 | 14.6 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 239 | 88.2 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Pemba | 73.6 | 22.4 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 92 | 92.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 28 |
| Unguja | 86.4 | 9.8 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 148 | 85.2 | 9.3 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 41 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 67.6 | 7.3 | 25.1 | 100.0 | 355 | 75.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Arusha | 61.8 | 11.5 | 26.7 | 100.0 | 589 | 73.4 | 9.6 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Kilimanjaro | 90.1 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 390 | 87.7 | 3.1 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 119 |
| Tanga | 82.4 | 3.8 | 13.8 | 100.0 | 464 | 74.7 | 5.3 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Morogoro | 77.2 | 2.7 | 20.2 | 100.0 | 408 | 86.0 | 4.2 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Coast | 90.6 | 6.9 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 159 | 87.1 | 3.2 | 9.7 | 100.0 | 45 |
| Dar es Salaam | 95.4 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 646 | 86.8 | 10.2 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 191 |
| Lindi | 86.2 | 9.1 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 187 | 91.5 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 77.8 | 14.5 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 355 | 91.1 | 7.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 78.1 | 10.3 | 11.6 | 100.0 | 305 | 81.4 | 7.8 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Iringa | 66.8 | 4.1 | 29.0 | 100.0 | 466 | 73.0 | 5.8 | 21.2 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 77.4 | 2.5 | 20.1 | 100.0 | 473 | 95.8 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 137 |
| Singida | 70.6 | 7.9 | 21.6 | 100.0 | 283 | 82.1 | 14.3 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Tabora | 70.2 | 12.6 | 17.2 | 100.0 | 225 | 92.6 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Rukwa | 68.0 | 7.9 | 24.1 | 100.0 | 242 | 96.2 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 63.2 | 7.4 | 29.4 | 100.0 | 351 | 87.1 | 1.4 | 11.4 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 76.5 | 4.0 | 19.5 | 100.0 | 686 | 86.0 | 6.1 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 202 |
| Kagera | 71.8 | 8.1 | 20.1 | 100.0 | 467 | 85.5 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 100.0 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 72.9 | 6.1 | 21.0 | 100.0 | 573 | 98.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 176 |
| Мага | 74.4 | 9.4 | 16.2 | 100.0 | 257 | 90.9 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 64 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 58.0 | 11.0 | 31.1 | 100.0 | 2,316 | 71.7 | 10.5 | 17.7 | 100.0 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 73.3 | 6.5 | 20.2 | 100.0 | 1.630 | 81.5 | 5.7 | 12.9 | 100.0 | 664 |
| Primary complete | 86.1 | 4.9 | 9.0 | 100.0 | 3,732 | 91.4 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 1,066 |
| Secondary + | 95.7 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 441 | 94.4 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 222 |
| Total | 76.0 | 6.9 | 17.1 | 100.0 | 8,120 | 86.1 | 5.5 | 8.4 | 100.0 | 2,256 |
| NA = Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.13 Exposure to Family Planning Messages Through the Print Media

Female respondents were asked if they had been exposed to a family planning message through a newspaper or magazine article, a poster, or a billboard during the six months preceding the interview. The results are presented in Table 4.15. Only 30 percent of the women reported that they had been exposed to family planning information through print media. The most commonly reported source of a family planning message in the print media was posters ( 22 percent), and newspapers/magazines ( 21 percent), followed by billboards (19 percent).

Women in rural areas were less likely to have been exposed to family planning messages from print media (newspapers, magazines, posters, and billboards) than their urban counterparts ( 21 vs .57 percent) on the mainland. Women living in the Dar es Salaam region are more likely to have seen a family planning message in the print media than women in other regions. The proportion of women exposed to messages in any print media increases directly with educational level, from 8 percent among women with no formal education to 73 percent among women with at least some secondary education.

### 4.14 Contact of Nonusers with Family Planning Providers

Family planning field workers who are largely based in rural areas are expected to visit women and men of reproductive age who are not using modern family planning methods to discuss the options and when indicated, motivate them to adopt a method of family planning. Health facility and extension workers are also expected to visit or discuss and motivate families for family planning while providing other health services. To get an indication of the frequency of such visits or discussions, women were asked whether they had been visited by a family planning field worker within the previous 12 months. Table 4.16 shows that only 3 percent of nonusers were visited by a family planning field worker during the 12 months preceding the survey.

To get an insight into the level of "missed opportunities"-i.e., contacts between nonusers and health workers which were not utilised to motivate nonusers to adopt family planning-nonusers were also asked whether they had visited a health facility in the past 12 months and whether anyone at the health facility had discussed family planning with them during their visit. Of the 38 percent of women who visited a health facility in the previous 12 months, 29 percent ( 11 percent of all nonusers) said that someone at the facility spoke to them about family planning.

Overall, 87 percent of nonusers were neither visited by a family planning worker nor discussed family planning with a health facility staff in the 12 months preceding the survey. This represents a large pool of potential users of family planning that could be targeted for family planning counselling. To reach these women, a vigorous outreach programme is needed and all health workers should be sensitised to discuss the issues of fertility preferences and the option of family planning whenever the opportunity arises.

### 4.15 Attitudes Toward Family Planning

Use of effective contraceptive methods is facilitated when couples have a positive attitude toward family planning. Attitudinal data were collected by asking currently married women whether they approve of couples using family planning and what they perceive as their husband's attitude toward family planning. This information is useful in the formulation of family planning policies, since it indicates the extent to which further education and publicity are needed to gain or increase acceptance of family planning. Widespread disapproval of contraception acts as a barrier to adoption of methods.

| Table 4.15 Family planning messages in orint |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women who received a message about family planning through the print media in the six months preceding the interview, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Type of print media containing family planning message |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Any source | Newspaper/ magazine | Poster | Billboard | of wornen |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 25.8 | 20.0 | 17.9 | 15.5 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 37.7 | 27.2 | 28.1 | 23.7 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 31.7 | 23.1 | 25.1 | 21.7 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 32.8 | 23.3 | 24.6 | 19.4 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 30.4 | 20.1 | 22.6 | 18.4 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 23.7 | 14.5 | 20.2 | 15.1 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 12.3 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 585 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 29.5 | 21.1 | 22.1 | 18.5 | 7,881 |
| Total urban | 56.5 | 44.8 | 44.4 | 39.4 | 1,811 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 70.6 | 59.0 | 57.8 | 45.5 | 563 |
| Other urban | 50.1 | 38.3 | 38.4 | 36.7 | 1,248 |
| Total nural | 21.4 | 14.1 | 15.5 | 12.3 | 6,070 |
| Zanzibar | 34.2 | 24.8 | 26.4 | 21.0 | 239 |
| Pemba | 20.3 | 16.3 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 92 |
| Unguja | 42.8 | 30.1 | 35.5 | 26.6 | 148 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 34.6 | 25.1 | 29.2 | 19.4 | 355 |
| Arusha | 32.0 | 27.1 | 23.7 | 19.0 | 589 |
| Kilimanjaro | 41.0 | 34.9 | 21.6 | 21.9 | 390 |
| Tanga | 33.4 | 29.1 | 16.8 | 19.3 | 464 |
| Morogoro | 26.3 | 19.4 | 23.1 | 18.0 | 408 |
| Coast | 28.2 | 21.3 | 19.9 | 17.0 | 159 |
| Dar es Salaam | 69.6 | 56.8 | 57.2 | 43.3 | 646 |
| Lindi | 35.5 | 19.2 | 31.8 | 29.9 | 187 |
| Mtwara | 21.5 | 11.1 | 18.4 | 19.7 | 355 |
| Ruvuma | 18.0 | 10.7 | 14.4 | 19.1 | 305 |
| Iringa | 13.4 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 466 |
| Mbeya | 24.8 | 12.4 | 21.7 | 22.6 | 473 |
| Singida | 31.5 | 19.5 | 26.1 | 25.6 | 283 |
| Tabora | 25.8 | 12.6 | 21.2 | 8.1 | 225 |
| Rukwa | 12.5 | 5.7 | 10.8 | 12.7 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 21.5 | 10.9 | 18.8 | 11.4 | 351 |
| Shinyanga | 24.8 | 20.5 | 14.9 | 12.8 | 686 |
| Kagera | 23.9 | 12.7 | 21.5 | 10.2 | 467 |
| Mwanza | 22.3 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 12.3 | 573 |
| Mara | 21.7 | 15.5 | 13.4 | 13.0 | 257 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 8.3 | 3.3 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 2,316 |
| Primary incomplete | 21.9 | 13.0 | 16.8 | 12.8 | 1,630 |
| Primary complete | 41.0 | 30.6 | 30.3 | 25.4 | 3,732 |
| Secondary ${ }^{+}$ | 73.4 | 65.6 | 58.3 | 58.9 | 441 |
| Total | 29.6 | 21.2 | 22.3 | 18.6 | 8,120 |

## Table 4.16 Contact of nonusers with family planning providers

Percent distribution of nonusers by whether they were visited by a family planning (FP) field worker or spoke with a health facility staff member about family planning methods during the 12 months preceding the interview, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Visited by family planning field worker |  |  | Not visited by family planning field worker |  |  | Missing Total |  | No FP services or information provided | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { nonusers } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Visited health facility |  | Did not visit health facility | Visited health facility |  | Did not visit health facility |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { cussed } \\ & \text { FP } \end{aligned}$ | Did not discuss FP |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dis- } \\ & \text { cussed } \\ & \text { FP } \end{aligned}$ | Did not discuss FP |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 25.3 | 70.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 95.6 | 1,651 |
| 20-24 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 11.9 | 30.1 | 54.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 84.5 | 1,375 |
| 25-29 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 17.5 | 28.6 | 49.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 1,156 |
| 30-34 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 12.8 | 25.6 | 56.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82.0 | 883 |
| 35-39 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 12.0 | 24.4 | 59.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 84.3 | 701 |
| 40-44 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 8.0 | 20.8 | 67.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 88.0 | 539 |
| 45-49 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 19.8 | 72.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 92.1 | 511 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 10.0 | 25.8 | 60.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 86.6 | 6,600 |
| Total urban | 2.1 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 11.8 | 32.7 | 49.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 82.3 | 1,286 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 11.9 | 38.8 | 42.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 81.3 | 390 |
| Other urban | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 11.7 | 30.0 | 52.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 82.6 | 896 |
| Total rural | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 9.6 | 24.1 | 63.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 87.7 | 5,314 |
| Zanzibar | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 8.0 | 33.1 | 51.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 84.5 | 216 |
| Pemba | 2.2 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 7.7 | 22.3 | 62.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 84.7 | 85 |
| Unguja | 0.3 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 8.2 | 40.2 | 44.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 84.3 | 131 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 18.6 | 67.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 86.5 | 308 |
| Arusha | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 8.5 | 29.9 | 57.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 87.1 | 487 |
| Kilimanjaro | 1.2 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 10.6 | 26.1 | 57.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 83.7 | 243 |
| Tanga | 1.3 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 14.2 | 23.6 | 57.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81.2 | 360 |
| Morogoro | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 14.6 | 22.8 | 59.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82.3 | 342 |
| Coast | 5.4 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 21.2 | 23.2 | 45.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 68.5 | 117 |
| Dar es Salaam | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 12.9 | 38.1 | 43.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 81.2 | 453 |
| Lindi | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 33.6 | 54.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 88.0 | 152 |
| Mtwara | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 8.1 | 37.1 | 53.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 90.1 | 309 |
| Ruvuma | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 12.6 | 28.4 | 56.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 84.5 | 249 |
| Iringa | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 11.8 | 16.8 | 67.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 84.7 | 415 |
| Mbeya | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 11.8 | 23.1 | 63.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 86.7 | 384 |
| Singida | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 8.3 | 28.1 | 59.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 87.6 | 243 |
| Tabora | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 8.5 | 19.4 | 68.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 87.9 | 188 |
| Rukwa | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 7.5 | 27.8 | 61.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 209 |
| Kigoma | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 8.8 | 20.5 | 67.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 88.3 | 303 |
| Shinyanga | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 24.5 | 69.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 93.6 | 656 |
| Kagera | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 8.2 | 23.7 | 64.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 87.9 | 423 |
| Mwanza | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 8.5 | 23.1 | 66.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.7 | 519 |
| Мага | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 7.0 | 29.8 | 58.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 88.0 | 240 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 6.4 | 20.5 | 70.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 91.3 | 2,159 |
| Primary incomplete | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 8.7 | 23.4 | 64.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 88.3 | 1,427 |
| Primary complete | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 13.2 | 30.1 | 52.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 82.5 | 2,928 |
| Secondary + | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 10.2 | 38.1 | 45.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 83.7 | 302 |
| Total | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 9.9 | 26.0 | 60.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 86.5 | 6,816 |

Table 4.17 shows that approval of family planning in Tanzania is higher among currently married women ( 78 percent) than men ( 51 percent). Only 48 percent of women reported that both they and their husbands approve of family planning, while 10 percent say that both they and their husbands disapprove and 17 percent did not know their husband's opinion. Among couples in which the wife reports a difference of opinion, the husbands were more likely to disapprove ( 15 percent compared with 1 percent).

The likelihood that a woman will report that both she and her husband approve of family planning is higher among women in their 20s and 30 s and declines among women age 45-49. Couples in urban areas (62 percent) are more likely to jointly approve of family planning than those in rural areas ( 45 percent). Approval by both husband and wife was highest in the Tanga and Coast regions (more than 60 percent) and lowest in the Mara region ( 31 percent). Less educated women are more likely than more educated women to disapprove of family planning and are also likely to say that their spouse disapproves or they do not know their spouse's views.

The fact that both women and men in the same household were interviewed provides an opportunity to link responses obtained from currently married women with those obtained independently from their husbands. Table 4.18 shows the percent distribution of 1,125 couples by both spouses' approval of family planning, according to the age difference between husband and wife and couple's education. The table indicates that 65 percent of couples are in agreement about family planning. Husbands and wives differ on approval of family planning in only 20 percent of cases. Fifty-nine percent of couples reported that they both approve of family planning and only 6 percent of the couples both disapprove. Generally, an age difference of less than 15 years between husband and wife does not cbange the likelihood that either approves or disapproves of family planning; however joint approval of family planning is lower among couples in which the husband is 15 or more years older than his wife. Couples are more likely to approve of family planning when both spouses are educated.

Because both men and women interviewed in the 1996 TDHS were asked whether they approved of family planning and, if married, whether they thought their spouse approved of family planning, it is possible to examine the extent to which wives and husbands report accurately on their spouse's attitude. Table 4.19 shows the percent distribution of couples by husband's and wife's actual attitude toward family planning, according to their spouse's perception of their attitude. When husbands and wives report that their spouses approve of family planning, they are generally accurate. For example, in 88 percent of the couples in which the wife reported that her husband approved of family planning, the husband also said he approved. Similarly, for 78 percent of couples in which the husband said his wife approved of family planning, she also said she approved. However, when husbands and wives reported that their spouse disapproved of family planning, in 60 percent of cases the opposite was true, and in about 30 percent of cases, the spouse did disapprove of family
planning. Any conclusion from these data that there is considerable lack of communication between spouses and attitudes toward family planning should be taken with caution. It is also likely that at least some respondents report more favourable attitudes toward family planning than they in fact hold, perhaps in an attempt to please the interviewer or to appear more sophisticated.

### 4.16 Knowledge of Family Planning Logo

The family planning programme recently developed a logo-a Green Star-to promote utilisation of family planning services. Over the past few years the programme has launched Green Star logo campaigns throughout the country. To measure the success of the campaigns, respondents in the 1996 TDHS were asked if they had seen or heard about the Green Star, sources of that information, and their understanding of the logo. Tables 4.20 .1 and 4.20 .2 show that 36 percent of women and 38 percent of men know the Green Star. Among those wbo know the Green Star, about 80 percent know that it is related to family planning. Most women learned about the Green Star from the radio ( 57 percent) and clinics ( 54 percent).

## Table 4,17 Wives' perceptions of their husbands' attitudes toward family planning

Percent distribution of currently married non-sterilised women who know of a contraceptive method by wife's attitude toward family planning and wife's perception of ber husband's attitude toward family planning, according to selected background charactenistics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Both } \\ & \text { approve } \end{aligned}$ | Wife approves |  | Wife disapproves |  | Both <br> disap- <br> prove | Wife unsure | Missing | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wife } \\ \text { approves } \end{gathered}$ | Husband approves | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Husband disapproves | Husband's attitude unknown | Husband approves | Husband's attitude unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 44.6 | 10.2 | 24.0 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 78.9 | 45.3 | 320 |
| 20-24 | 53.7 | 15.4 | 13.7 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 7.5 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 83.0 | 56.3 | 1,025 |
| 25-29 | 51.7 | 15.1 | 12.9 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 10.6 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 79.7 | 53.3 | 1,093 |
| 30-34 | 47.3 | 17.7 | 12.9 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 78.0 | 49.9 | 853 |
| 35-39 | 48.1 | 15.2 | 13.3 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 12.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 76.6 | 49.9 | 630 |
| 40-44 | 46.1 | 15.2 | 13.6 | 0.7 | 4.7 | 10.3 | 9.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 75.1 | 47.4 | 437 |
| 45-49 | 30.9 | 15.3 | 14.0 | 1.7 | 6.1 | 14.1 | 17.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 60.4 | 34.4 | 327 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 48.5 | 15.1 | 14.2 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 9.9 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 78.0 | 50.6 | 4,529 |
| Total urban | 62.2 | 15.2 | 11.1 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 88.6 | 63.4 | 1,010 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 61.3 | 17.4 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 88.4 | 61.6 | 321 |
| Other urban | 62.6 | 14.2 | 11.9 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 88.7 | 64.3 | 689 |
| Total rural | 44.6 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 74.9 | 46.9 | 3,519 |
| Zanzibar | 45.4 | 20.6 | 9.0 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 17.2 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 47.5 | 156 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 43.4 | 18.5 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 15.1 | 8.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 69.3 | 48.3 | 231 |
| Arusha | 59.1 | 10.5 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 77.3 | 63.5 | 227 |
| Kilimanjaro | 76.8 | 8.8 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 90.2 | 78.4 | 193 |
| Tanga | 62.2 | 12.9 | 14.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.5 | 64.6 | 243 |
| Morogoro | 49.1 | 13.1 | 20.3 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 7.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 82.9 | 49.5 | 241 |
| Coast | 61.2 | 15.2 | 10.9 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 87.3 | 62.4 | 95 |
| Dar es Salaam | 58.9 | 16.3 | 10.9 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 6.7 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 86.4 | 59.4 | 379 |
| Lindi | 48.7 | 21.1 | 13.6 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 83.4 | 51.3 | 117 |
| Mtwara | 46.8 | 14.4 | 17.3 | 1.8 | 7.9 | 3.6 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 78.8 | 48.9 | 224 |
| Ruvuma | 52.7 | 16.0 | 11.6 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 8.8 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 80.6 | 54.1 | 193 |
| Iringa | 36.6 | 17.6 | 20.4 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 74.5 | 38.9 | 259 |
| Mbeya | 52.8 | 19.1 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.9 | 53.3 | 300 |
| Singida | 50.4 | 9.8 | 12.5 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 10.7 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 72.8 | 51.8 | 161 |
| Tabora | 41.9 | 9.7 | 16.9 | 0.8 | 9.7 | 12.1 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 68.5 | 44.4 | 141 |
| Rukwa | 38.7 | 12.6 | 27.9 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 6.3 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 79.3 | 39.2 | 152 |
| Kigoma | 45.0 | 10.9 | 18.6 | 1.8 | 5.9 | 7.3 | 10.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 48.6 | 210 |
| Shinyanga | 40.1 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 19.3 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 67.2 | 41.1 | 351 |
| Kagera | 46.8 | 16.1 | 18.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 12.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81.2 | 48.4 | 306 |
| Mwanza | 34.9 | 17.2 | 12.9 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 22.6 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 65.1 | 37.1 | 344 |
| Mara | 30.5 | 27.7 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 24.3 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 63.3 | 37.3 | 164 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 29.1 | 15.7 | 16.9 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 17.1 | 13.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 61.9 | 31.8 | 1,363 |
| Primary incomplete | 46.6 | 16.7 | 14.8 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 10.9 | 7.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 78.2 | 48.3 | 802 |
| Primary complete | 57.9 | 15.1 | 12.8 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 85.8 | 59.8 | 2,521 |
| Secondary+ | 77.8 | 9.7 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 78.4 | 190 |
| Total | 48.4 | 15.3 | 14.0 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 10.1 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 77.9 | 50.5 | 4,686 |

[^1]
## Table 4.18 Attitudes of couples toward family planning

Percent distribution of couples by approval of family planning, according to age difference between spouses and level of education, Tanzania 1996

| Age difference/ education | Both approve | Both disapprove | Wife approves, husband disapproves | Husband approves, wife disapproves | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know/ } \\ & \text { Missing } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Percent in agreement | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wife older | (73.0) | (0.0) | (9.3) | (13.7) | (4.0) | 100.0 | (73.0) | 36 |
| Husband older by: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 years | 61.7 | 3.8 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 65.5 | 335 |
| 5-9 years | 60.4 | 4.1 | 8.5 | 11.1 | 15.9 | 100.0 | 64.6 | 432 |
| 10-14 years | 58.4 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 7.5 | 13.4 | 100.0 | 68.4 | 196 |
| 15 or more years | 43.8 | 11.3 | 16.5 | 7.6 | 20.8 | 100.0 | 55.1 | 125 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neither educated | . 18.3 | 22.4 | 15.6 | 11.7 | 31.9 | 100.0 | 40.7 | 120 |
| Wife educated, husband not | 37.6 | 3.0 | 20.5 | 17.5 | 21.3 | 100.0 | 40.6 | 65 |
| Husband educated, wife not | 46.5 | 7.9 | 6.1 | 14.7 | 24.8 | 100.0 | 54.4 | 252 |
| Both educated | 72.8 | 2.2 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 688 |
| Total | 59.0 | 5.7 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 15.6 | 100.0 | 64.7 | 1,125 |
| Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 respondents. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent distribution of couples by husband's and wife's actual attitude towards family planning according to their spouse's perception of their attitude, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spouse's actual attitude toward family planning |  |  | Total | Number |
| Perception | Approves | Disapapproves | Unsure |  |  |
| Wife's perception of husband's attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approves | 87.7 | 8.6 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 547 |
| Disapproves | 61.2 | 32.4 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 302 |
| Don't know | 70.1 | 18.0 | 11.9 | 100.0 | 276 |
| Total | 76.3 | 17.3 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 1,125 |
| Husband's perception of wife's attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approves | 78.1 | 12.6 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 751 |
| Disapproves | 60.0 | 28.4 | 11.5 | 100.0 | 158 |
| Don't know | 63.5 | 21.8 | 14.8 | 100.0 | 216 |
| Total | 72.7 | 16.6 | 10.7 | 100.0 | 1,125 |

Table 4,20.1 Green Star loso-family planning symbol: women
Percentage of women who know the Green Star logo, and of those, the percentage who can describe logo meaning, and the percentage who cited various sources where they heard of Green Star, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Know Green Star | Know whether Green Green Star logo is family planning related |  |  | Total | Source of knowledge of Green Star logo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Don't know |  | Billboard | Bus | Poster | Leaflet | Radio | Clinic sign | Service provider | Other |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 29.5 | 70.3 | 0.7 | 29.0 | 100.0 | 21.7 | 5.9 | 19.8 | 10.4 | 59.8 | 35.9 | 10.8 | 2.2 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 45.0 | 82.9 | 1.2 | 15.9 | 100.0 | 20.7 | 3.2 | 15.6 | 8.0 | 56.3 | 58.5 | 19.5 | 1.3 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 42.0 | 83.8 | 1.0 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 23.9 | 3.6 | 15.9 | 8.7 | 49.4 | 61.1 | 23.5 | 1.4 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 37.5 | 89.4 | 0.4 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 25.5 | 5.2 | 15.3 | 11.6 | 58.6 | 58.4 | 22.8 | 1.3 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 36.4 | 83.4 | 1.7 | 15.0 | 100.0 | 22.2 | 6.9 | 16.7 | 10.5 | 60.4 | 54.8 | 25.0 | 2.1 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 27.6 | 85.2 | 0.5 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 20.9 | 3.7 | 16.1 | 9.2 | 54.9 | 56.7 | 25.3 | 1.2 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 20.5 | 77.3 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 100.0 | 16.4 | 1.9 | 8.5 | 2.9 | 66.6 | 39.4 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 585 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 36.4 | 82.1 | 0.9 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 22.5 | 4.5 | 16.4 | 9.3 | 56.6 | 54.2 | 20.6 | 1.4 | 7,881 |
| Total urban | 68.5 | 86.9 | 0.8 | 12.3 | 100.0 | 28.5 | 4.0 | 17.0 | 9.5 | 68.2 | 53.6 | 18.2 | 1.4 | 1,811 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 82.1 | 85.7 | 1.3 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 34.6 | 5.1 | 15.4 | 6.0 | 85.6 | 46.4 | 6.8 | 2.2 | 563 |
| Other urban | 62.3 | 87.6 | 0.6 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 24.8 | 3.3 | 18.0 | 11.6 | 57.9 | 57.9 | 25.0 | 0.9 | 1,248 |
| Total rural | 26.9 | 78.4 | 0.9 | 20.7 | 100.0 | 17.9 | 4,9 | 15.9 | 9.1 | 47.7 | 54.7 | 22.4 | 1.4 | 6,070 |
| Zanzibar | 21.2 | 63.9 | 4.3 | 31.8 | 100.0 | 9.0 | 0.6 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 59.2 | 29.0 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 239 |
| Pemba | 12.5 | 59.5 | 18.9 | 21.6 | 100.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 10.8 | 5.4 | 64.9 | 35.1 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 92 |
| Unguja | 26.6 | 65.2 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 100.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 57.6 | 27.2 | 9.8 | 8.7 | 148 |
| Zones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 60.6 | 83.2 | 0.8 | 16.0 | 100.0 | 22.5 | 3.3 | 11.1 | 5.1 | 69.2 | 45.6 | 17.4 | 1.5 | 1,916 |
| Northern Highlands | 35.0 | 85.4 | 1.5 | 13.2 | 100.0 | 17.5 | 3.1 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 62.2 | 55.9 | 12.9 | 1.3 | 979 |
| Lake | 20.7 | 83.6 | 2.0 | 14.4 | 100.0 | 26.5 | 6.5 | 25.8 | 14.8 | 31.1 | 65.5 | 26.6 | 1.1 | 2,559 |
| Central | 30.8 | 77.9 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 100.0 | 28.5 | 2.8 | 18.0 | 8.5 | 58.0 | 47.7 | 20.3 | 1.9 | 638 |
| Southern Highands | 23.4 | 77.2 | 0.5 | 22.2 | 100.0 | 23.5 | 1.6 | 25.7 | 4.6 | 45.3 | 58.6 | 27.4 | 0.4 | 1,181 |
| Southern | 49.0 | 77.3 | 0.1 | 22.5 | 100.0 | 16.2 | 8.9 | 16.1 | 16.4 | 56.2 | 59.5 | 22.4 | 2.7 | 847 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No educarion | 15.4 | 71.5 | 0.5 | 28.0 | 100.0 | 11.4 | 3.8 | 9.5 | 4.6 | 54.0 | 49.4 | 19.5 | 0.9 | 2,316 |
| Primary incomplete | 28.3 | 75.5 | 0.5 | 24.0 | 100.0 | 17.6 | 4.0 | 12.1 | 5.0 | 52.2 | 47.0 | 18.7 | 1.7 | 1,630 |
| Primary complete | 47.8 | 83.7 | 1.0 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 21.9 | 4.7 | 16.5 | 9.8 | 55.6 | 56.8 | 21.7 | 1.4 | 3,732 |
| Secondary+ | 72.4 | 91.4 | 1.4 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 42.6 | 4.6 | 28.4 | 17.2 | 71.2 | 51.4 | 16.6 | 2.8 | 441 |
| No. of Ilving children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 32.2 | 74.0 | 0.7 | 25.3 | 100.0 | 23.3 | 4.9 | 18.1 | 8.8 | 66.9 | 31.8 | 8.2 | 2.3 | 1.991 |
| 1 | 46.9 | 82.5 | 1.0 | 16.5 | 100.0 | 21.6 | 4.6 | 16.0 | 8.8 | 57.9 | 57.3 | 21.1 | 1.0 | 1,411 |
| 2 | 41.8 | 85.0 | 1.6 | 13.4 | 100.0 | 26.4 | 5.6 | 16.5 | 10.2 | 55.4 | 61.4 | 23.9 | 1.4 | 1,100 |
| 3 | 36.1 | 86.4 | 1.2 | 12.4 | 100.0 | 21.0 | 3.3 | 16.2 | 9.9 | 53.3 | 62.7 | 25.6 | 1.5 | 969 |
| 4 | 38.1 | 84.2 | 0.8 | 14.9 | 100.0 | 23.0 | 3.7 | 13.4 | 10.4 | 51.6 | 63.7 | 26.2 | 1.6 | 784 |
| 5 | 32.5 | 83.9 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 100.0 | 20.2 | 4.2 | 14.0 | 7.7 | 45.0 | 63.4 | 28.4 | 0.9 | 607 |
| $6+$ | 24.9 | 82.6 | 0.6 | 16.8 | 100.0 | 17.2 | 3.5 | 16.5 | 8.6 | 50.3 | 54.6 | 22.4 | 1.6 | 1,257 |
| Total | 36.0 | 81.8 | 0.9 | 17.3 | 100.0 | 22.2 | 4.4 | 16.2 | 9.2 | 56.6 | 53.8 | 20.4 | 1.5 | 8,120 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 4.20.2Green Star logo - family planning symbol: men

Percentage of men who know the Green Star logo, and of those, the percentage who can describe logo meaning, and the percentage who cited various sources where they heard of Green Star, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Know Green Star | Know whether Green Green Star logo is family planning related |  |  | Total | Source of knowledge of Green Star logo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Don't know |  | Billboard | Bus | Poster | Leaflet | Radio | Clinic sign | Service provider | Other |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 29.0 | 79.1 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 100.0 | 30.5 | 3.7 | 22.2 | 13.6 | 70.9 | 20.1 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 42.5 | 83.2 | 1.1 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 34.8 | 5.0 | 26.5 | 12.3 | 70.7 | 25.1 | 7.5 | 4.9 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 45.9 | 81.5 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 100.0 | 39.7 | 8.6 | 25.1 | 11.9 | 77.9 | 28.8 | 9.5 | 3.6 | 301 |
| 30-34 | 42.2 | 82.4 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 100.0 | 33.4 | 14.9 | 21.1 | 13.2 | 79.2 | 19.1 | 8.8 | 4.6 | 272 |
| 35-39 | 45.6 | 79.0 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 100.0 | 33.0 | 8.6 | 17.1 | 19.3 | 60.9 | 24.4 | 8.3 | 0.6 | 251 |
| 40-44 | 39.7 | 83.6 | 0.6 | 15.8 | 100.0 | 33.9 | 7.7 | 26.0 | 12.8 | 74.0 | 23.1 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 206 |
| 45-49 | 32.4 | 73.5 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 100.0 | 32.2 | 1.3 | 22.4 | 13.5 | 58.0 | 32.0 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 149 |
| 50-54 | 22.9 | 67.8 | 0.0 | 32.2 | 100.0 | 31.8 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 15.2 | 57.1 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 118 |
| 55-59 | 22.1 | 66.8 | 0.0 | 33.2 | 100.0 | 35.7 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 78.7 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 38.0 | 79.9 | 0.2 | 19.9 | 100.0 | 33.9 | 6.8 | 22.6 | 13.4 | 71.2 | 23.8 | 7.0 | 3.4 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 66.4 | 82.7 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 100.0 | 41.5 | 9.9 | 25.3 | 15.3 | 73.6 | 19.3 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 509 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 83.8 | 84.2 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 100.0 | 46.9 | 17.1 | 22.8 | 16.7 | 78.5 | 7.9 | 3.1 | 7.9 | 171 |
| Other urbar | 57.5 | 81.7 | 0.0 | 18.3 | 100.0 | 37.4 | 4.6 | 27.2 | 14.2 | 70.1 | 27.8 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 338 |
| Total rural | 29.3 | 77.9 | 0.3 | 21.7 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 4.7 | 20.8 | 12.1 | 69.4 | 26.8 | 6.9 | 2.4 | 1,678 |
| Zanzibar | 22.5 | 93.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 49.3 | 16.4 | 32.7 | 14.7 | 68.9 | 16.4 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 69 |
| Pemba | 14.8 | 75.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 28 |
| Unguja | 27.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 40.0 | 13.3 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 66.7 | 13.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 41 |
| Zones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 58.0 | 83.4 | 0.2 | 16.4 | 100.0 | 33.9 | 10.1 | 20.4 | 13.3 | 75.6 | 13.0 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 508 |
| Northern Highlands | 34.1 | 88.9 | 1.8 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 22.6 | 7.3 | 10.8 | 20.8 | 70.9 | 32.9 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 275 |
| Lake | 25.9 | 82.5 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 100.0 | 47.3 | 7.8 | 27.4 | 18.5 | 62.0 | 28.4 | 6.8 | 2.2 | 757 |
| Central | 29.3 | 68.8 | 0.0 | 31.2 | 100.0 | 19.0 | 1.9 | 18.8 | 6.9 | 73.3 | 17.2 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 176 |
| Southem Highlands | 31.1 | 62.4 | 0.0 | 37.6 | 100.0 | 43.7 | 4.0 | 24.8 | 11.0 | 68.8 | 31.6 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 309 |
| Southern | 49.1 | 80.5 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 100.0 | 20.5 | 2.1 | 31.5 | 3.7 | 76.4 | 31.5 | 10.7 | 3.4 | 231 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 13.5 | 57.6 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 7.6 | 14.4 | 7.7 | 55.1 | 11.8 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 23.1 | 68.7 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 100.0 | 25.8 | 1.6 | 15.1 | 8.2 | 71.5 | 21.2 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 664 |
| Primary complete | 45.4 | 80.7 | 0.3 | 18.9 | 100.0 | 33.1 | 4.8 | 21.6 | 12.9 | 70.9 | 25.4 | 7.5 | 2.9 | 1,066 |
| Secondary+ | 75.7 | 94.5 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 50.2 | 17.8 | 35.4 | 21.1 | 75.3 | 23.6 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 222 |
| No. of living children ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 34.6 | 82.2 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 34.4 | 6.6 | 23.8 | 13.4 | 71.9 | 21.7 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 974 |
| 1 | 46.5 | 75.3 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 100.0 | 40.7 | 11.3 | 20.4 | 16.4 | 68.9 | 21.3 | 9.7 | 3.6 | 228 |
| 2 | 47.1 | 80.0 | 1.7 | 18.2 | 100.0 | 37.8 | 7.6 | 32.8 | 14.5 | 81.8 | 25.5 | 8.5 | 4.1 | 206 |
| 3 | 44.1 | 79.9 | 0.0 | 20.1 | 100.0 | 28.4 | 6.9 | 20.4 | 8.0 | 74.0 | 27.0 | 5.5 | 1.8 | 188 |
| 4 | 39.3 | 75.9 | 0.8 | 23.3 | 100.0 | 22.7 | 9.3 | 18.8 | 13.9 | 56.1 | 31.7 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 158 |
| 5 | 29.8 | 69.0 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 100.0 | 21.1 | 4.8 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 74.2 | 26.5 | 12.1 | 2.0 | 127 |
| $6+$ | 32.8 | 84.5 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 100.0 | 38.6 | 3.5 | 21.0 | 13.6 | 67.1 | 22.2 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 375 |
| Total | 37.5 | 80.1 | 0.3 | 19.6 | 100.0 | 34.1 | 7.0 | 22.8 | 13.4 | 71.1 | 23.6 | 7.0 | 3.4 | 2,256 |

[^2]It is interesting to note that the billboards erected during the recent campaigns were a source of knowledge for 22 percent of the women. Sixteen percent learned about the Green Star from a poster. Most men on the other hand learned about the Green Star from the radio ( 71 percent) and billboards ( 34 percent).

Urban women and men are more likely than rural residents to have correct knowledge of the Green Star family planning logo. Similarly, residents in the Coast zone are more likely to have been exposed to the family planning logo than those in other zones. Education is also related to knowledge of the logo; more than 70 percent of women and men with secondary or more education have seen or heard of the logo, compared with 15 percent or less of those with no education.

### 4.17 Exposure to Family Planning Drama

Recently a number of radio drama programmes have been launched to promote family planning messages in Tanzania. As a measure of success of the radio campaigns, respondents in the survey were asked to mention the radio programmes they had listened to in the last six months. Table 4.21 shows that in general more men ( 43 percent ) than women ( 29 percent) have listened to any of the family planning dramas on the radio. Two radio programmes Zinduka and Twende na Wakati are equally popular among men and women. Ukweli Kuhusu Maisha has the lowest percentage of listeners among the three programmes. As expected, respondents from urban areas are more likely to be exposed to radio drama than their rural counterparts. Listenership to any radio programme is positively associated with levels of education of both men and women.

### 4.18 Knowledge of "Salama" Condoms

Women and men respondents in the TDHS 1996 were asked if they had ever heard of a condom called "Salama", the brand that is sold through the social marketing programme. Results in Table 4.22 show that more men ( 65 percent) than women ( 43 percent) are aware of "Salama" condoms. Awareness of the "Salama" brand varies considerably across regions of the country. Among women the highest levels of awareness are found in the Dar es Salaam ( 84 percent) and Coast ( 72 percent) regions and lowest levels of knowledge are found in the Iringa ( 24 percent) region. Differentials by region among men are much lower. Highest levels of awareness are found in Dar es Salaam ( 92 percent), Coast ( 84 percent), and Mtwara ( 82 percent) regions and the lowest levels of knowledge among men are found in Kagera and Mara ( 46 percent) regions. Awareness of "Salama" condoms increases with educational levels.

| Table 4.21_ Exposure to family planning drama |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of all women and men who have listened to family planning drama on the radio during the last 6 months, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Women |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Zinduka | Twenda Na Wakati | Ukweli Kuhusu Maisha | Other | Any drama | Number of women | Zinduka | Twenda Na Wakati | Ukweli Kuhusu Maisha | Other | Any drama | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 23.5 | 21.4 | 13.7 | 8.6 | 27.7 | 1,732 | 29.5 | 25.3 | 14.3 | 9.8 | 35.0 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 31.1 | 28.2 | 20.0 | 11.4 | 36.5 | 1,676 | 45.4 | 43.3 | 31.6 | 19.2 | 53.8 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 27.6 | 26.3 | 19.2 | 10.1 | 31.4 | 1,440 | 50.6 | 46.3 | 30.9 | 20.8 | 57.9 | 301 |
| 30-34 | 26.8 | 25.3 | 17.4 | 11.4 | 30.0 | 1,118 | 50.5 | 48.1 | 31.6 | 19.3 | 55.5 | 272 |
| 35-39 | 22.9 | 21.4 | 17.2 | 11.5 | 25.6 | 888 | 44.7 | 43.7 | 34.5 | 21.2 | 51.1 | 251 |
| 40-44 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 12.6 | 5.4 | 21.2 | 680 | 37.2 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 14.3 | 44.2 | 206 |
| 45-49 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 11.4 | 585 | 34.4 | 32.4 | 22.0 | 13.5 | 39.6 | 149 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 25.4 | 26.3 | 17.8 | 7.5 | 32.2 | 118 |
| 55-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 15.8 | 15.2 | 9.5 | 4.5 | 15.8 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 24.6 | 22.9 | 16.3 | 9.4 | 28.3 | 7,881 | 39.6 | 37.4 | 25.7 | 15.8 | 45.7 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 53.3 | 50.9 | 34.4 | 20.9 | 58.8 | 1,811 | 58.4 | 54.0 | 36.3 | 26.8 | 66.2 | 509 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 73.0 | 71.5 | 48.0 | 35.9 | 79.4 | 563 | 69.1 | 63.2 | 39.7 | 43.7 | 76.1 | 171 |
| Other urban | 44.4 | 41.6 | 28.2 | 14.1 | 49.6 | 1,248 | 52.9 | 49.3 | 34.6 | 18.2 | 61.2 | 338 |
| Total rural | 16.1 | 14.6 | 10.9 | 6.0 | 19.2 | 6,070 | 33.9 | 32.4 | 22.4 | 12.4 | 39.5 | 1,678 |
| Zanzibar | 28.7 | 27.2 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 36.4 | 239 | 31.7 | 32.1 | 24.0 | 8.1 | 40.6 | 69 |
| Regional zones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 45.4 | 42.7 | 28.8 | 20.5 | 49.0 | 1,916 | 52.7 | 47.4 | 31.9 | 27.9 | 58.7 | 508 |
| Northern Highlands | 28.2 | 25.4 | 20.2 | 5.8 | 31.2 | 979 | 35.6 | 35.9 | 24.0 | 23.5 | 45.2 | 275 |
| Lake | 10.0 | 9.7 | 8.6 | 4.5 | 14.8 | 2,559 | 27.6 | 26.8 | 20.1 | 11.1 | 33.2 | 757 |
| Central | 23.8 | 24.6 | 15.0 | 6.4 | 29.0 | 638 | 39.4 | 39.1 | 26.6 | 7.7 | 49.5 | 176 |
| Southern Highlands | 16.1 | 14.7 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 18.7 | 1,181 | 36.3 | 35.3 | 23.2 | 4.7 | 39.8 | 309 |
| Southern | 31.4 | 26.4 | 18.2 | 14.0 | 34.1 | 847 | 56.9 | 52.3 | 34.0 | 13.6 | 62.2 | 231 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 9.1 | 7.7 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 10.3 | 2,316 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 10.4 | 6.0 | 22.3 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 18.4 | 16.7 | 11.6 | 6.3 | 21.1 | 1,630 | 27.4 | 26.0 | 18.7 | 10.7 | 32.0 | 664 |
| Primary complete | 33.6 | 31.4 | 22.8 | 12.5 | 38.8 | 3.732 | 48.6 | 45.3 | 31.4 | 20.1 | 55.9 | 1,066 |
| Secondary+ | 55.8 | 55.8 | 35.7 | 25.7 | 64.9 | 441 | 60.7 | 59.3 | 39.3 | 20.8 | 68.4 | 222 |
| Total | 24.8 | 23.0 | 16.2 | 9.5 | 28.5 | 8,120 | 39.4 | 37.3 | 25.6 | 15.5 | 45.6 | 2,256 |
| NA = Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 4.22 Knowledge of "Salama" condom

Percentage of women and men who have heard of "Salama" condom, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | Number of women | Percentage | Number of men |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 43.2 | 7,881 | 65.1 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 73.3 | 1,811 | 87.2 | 509 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 84.7 | 563 | 92.6 | 171 |
| Other urban | 68.2 | 1,248 | 84.5 | 338 |
| Total rural | 34.1 | 6,070 | 58.4 | 1,678 |
| Zanzibar | 23.4 | 239 | 48.2 | 69 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 42.9 | 355 | 57.9 | 96 |
| Arusha | 40.1 | 589 | 60.6 | 156 |
| Kilimanjaro | 55.2 | 390 | 66.7 | 119 |
| Tanga | 47.0 | 464 | 72.0 | 108 |
| Morogoro | 36.3 | 408 | 59.4 | 95 |
| Coast | 72.2 | 159 | 83.9 | 45 |
| Dar es Salaam | 84.3 | 646 | 92.1 | 191 |
| Lindi | 56.3 | 187 | 80.3 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 36.7 | 355 | 82.2 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 37.6 | 305 | 71.6 | 82 |
| Iringa | 24.4 | 466 | 54.0 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 43.0 | 473 | 73.6 | 137 |
| Singida | 36.3 | 283 | 58.3 | 80 |
| Tabora | 42.9 | 225 | 57.4 | 82 |
| Rukwa | 28.0 | 242 | 65.4 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 27.5 | 351 | 47.1 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 36.3 | 686 | 59.1 | 202 |
| Kagera | 38.7 | 467 | 46.4 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 34.5 | 573 | 64.1 | 176 |
| Мага | 44.0 | 257 | 45.5 | 64 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 22.2 | 2,316 | 42.0 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 37.1 | 1,630 | 53.6 | 664 |
| Primary complete | 53.1 | 3,732 | 73.7 | 1,066 |
| Secondary + | 80.7 | 441 | 84.4 | 222 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 45.9 | 1,887 | 66.4 | 847 |
| Currently married | 41.1 | 5,411 | 63.3 | 1,288 |
| Past marriage | 44.5 | 822 | 66.4 | 117 |
| Total | 42.6 | 8,120 | 64.6 | 2,256 |

Note: Total includes 4 male respondents with missing marital information.

## CHAPTER 5

## PROXIMATE DETERMINANTS OF FERTILITY

This chapter addresses the principal factors other than contraception that affect a woman's risk of becoming pregnant and thus helps to determine the fertility level in Tanzania. These factors are marriage (including consensual union) and sexual intercourse, postpartum amenorrhoea and abstinence from sexual relations, and termination of exposure to pregnancy. Marriage and the beginning of sexual activity signal the onset of women's exposure to the risk of childbearing; postpartum amenormoea and abstinence affect the interval between births. These factors determine the length and pace of reproductive activity and are, therefore, important in understanding fertility.

### 5.1 Current Marital Status

The report defines marriage to include informal as well as formal unions. Informal unions are those in which a man and woman stay together intending to have a lasting relationship, even if a formal civil or religious ceremony has not yet occurred. Although shown separately in Table 5.1, the categories of "married" and "living together" are combined in all other tables and referred to as "currently married." Respondents who are currently married, widowed, divorced, or no longer living together (separated) are called "ever married."

Table 5.1 Current marital status
Percent distribution of women and men by current marital status, according to age, Tanzania 1996

| Age | Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  | Missing | Number of Total women/men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never married | Married | Living together | Widowed | Divorced | Not living together |  |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 74.6 | 20.1 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 24.5 | 59.4 | 8.1 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 7.4 | 74.7 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 4.5 | 75.4 | 9.3 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 1.7 | 75.3 | 8.0 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 1.4 | 75.4 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 0.7 | 71.8 | 4.6 | 11.1 | 7.6 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 585 |
| Total | 23.2 | 59.9 | 6.7 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8,120 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 96.6 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 70.5 | 20.6 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 26.9 | 57.9 | 7.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 301 |
| 30-34 | 6.1 | 80.4 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 272 |
| 35-39 | 3.5 | 86.1 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 251 |
| 40-44 | 1.7 | 88.1 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 206 |
| 45-49 | 2.9 | 88.9 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 149 |
| 50-54 | 0.0 | 90.2 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 118 |
| 55-59 | 0.0 | 85.0 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Total | 37.5 | 53.0 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,256 |

The distribution of women according to their marital status is shown in the upper panel of Table 5.1. The data show that 23 percent of women of childbearing age in Tanzania have never married, 67 percent either are currently married or living with a man, and 10 percent are widowed, divorced, or separated. The proportion of women who have never married declines sharply with age, from 75 percent among teenagers (age 15-19) to less than 2 percent among women age 35 and older. The universality of marriage in Tanzania is evident in these data and was also observed in the 1991-92 TDHS and 1994 TKAPS.

The proportion of women who are currently married increases with age until age group 40-44 and is relatively constant among women age 25-44, after which it declines because of the increasing levels of widowhood. The proportions widowed increase with age, while the proportions divorced and those who are no longer living with a man show no clear age pattern. Overall, more marital disruption among women is due to divorce and separation than to widowhood.

The lower panel of Table 5.1 shows that 38 percent of men age 15-59 have never been married, 57 percent are currently married, and 5 percent are widowed, divorced, or separated. Men tend to marry at older ages than women and 14 percent more men than women have never been married. The proportion never married among teenage males is 97 percent, but decreases to 3 percent at ages 45-49.

### 5.2 Sexual Relationships Among Unmarried Women

Table 5.2 presents information about the sexual relationships of women who are not currently married or living with a man. This information is important for the study of sexual relationships, adolescent pregnancy, and risk factors relating to AIDS and other sexually transmitted diseases. In this report, nonmarital sexual relationships include those of both never-married and formerly married women with either regular or occasional partners.

Data show that among women who are not currently married, 19 percent are never-married women with a regular sexual partner and 12 percent are formerly married women who have a regular partner. Four percent consist of never married and formally married women who have an occasional partner. Almost half of the women who are not currently married are women who never married and have no sexual partners, while 16 percent were previously married and have no sexual partners. These figures show that the prevalence of nonmarital sexual relationships is not high in Tanzania. About two-thirds of women currently unmarried do not have sexual partners.

The prevalence of nonmarital sexual relationships is higher ( 56 percent) in the age group 25-29. Nonmarital sex is more common in urban areas than rural arcas ( 44 vs .33 percent) on the mainland. The prevalence of these relationships is relatively high in the Southern zone ( 51 percent) and lowest in the Southem Highland zone ( 29 percent).

### 5.3 Polygyny

The extent of polygyny in Tanzania was measured by asking all currently married female respondents the questions: "Does your husband/partner have any other wives besides yourself?" and if so, "How many cowives do you have?" Currently married male respondents were asked, "How many wives do you have?" The proportion of currently married respondents who were in polygynous unions according to age groups and selected background characteristics is shown in Table 5.3 and Figure 5.1.

Table 5.2 Sexual relationshins of non-married women
Percent distribution of women who are not currently married or living with a man by type of current sexual relationships, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Age | Never-married women |  |  | Formerly married women |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regular partner | Occasional partner | No partner | Regular partner | Occasional partner | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { partner } \end{gathered}$ | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 18.8 | 3.0 | 75.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,331 |
| 20-24 | 31.9 | 2.4 | 41.0 | 10.5 | 2.3 | 11.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 545 |
| 25-29 | 19.8 | 1.4 | 20.2 | 31.1 | 3.6 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 256 |
| 30-34 | 14.3 | 0.7 | 14.4 | 29.8 | 2.4 | 38.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 171 |
| 35-39 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 42.5 | 5.1 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 149 |
| 40-44 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 29.9 | 3.0 | 59.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 119 |
| 45.49 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 24.4 | 3.0 | 68.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 138 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 19.3 | 2.2 | 47.9 | 12.6 | 1.7 | 16.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,636 |
| Total urban | 25.1 | 2.8 | 43.9 | 13.7 | 2.2 | 12.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 738 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 27.7 | 3.0 | 46.6 | 11.0 | 1.9 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 223 |
| Other urban | 24.0 | 2.7 | 42.7 | 14.9 | 2.3 | 13.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 515 |
| Total rural | 17.1 | 2.0 | 49.5 | 12.2 | 1.5 | 17.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,897 |
| Zanzibar | 1.7 | 0.0 | 72.4 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 20.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 73 |
| Zones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 19.4 | 3.0 | 48.2 | 12.4 | 2.0 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 714 |
| Northern Highlands | 21.0 | 1.0 | 55.4 | 8.2 | 0.7 | 13.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Lake | 17.8 | 0.6 | 48.0 | 14.6 | 0.8 | 18.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 789 |
| Central | 15.0 | 2.0 | 51.8 | 13.7 | 0.8 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 186 |
| Southern Highlands | 15.3 | 2.2 | 55.9 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 394 |
| Southern | 25.3 | 6.1 | 29.6 | 15.0 | 4.8 | 18.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 271 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 13.3 | 1.8 | 29.0 | 19.1 | 3.5 | 33.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 488 |
| Primary incomplete | 12.3 | 3.1 | 60.5 | 10.0 | 1.3 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 710 |
| Primary complete | 22.7 | 2.0 | 47.7 | 12.6 | 1.5 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,270 |
| Secondary + | 28.9 | 1.3 | 57.9 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 241 |
| Total | 18.8 | 2.2 | 48.6 | 12.4 | 1.7 | 16.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,709 |

Figure 5.1
Percent of Married Women in Polygynous Unions by Background Characteristics


| Table 5.3 Polygyny |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 years and of currently married men 15-59 years in a polygynous union, by age and selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age of respondent |  |  |  |  |  |  | All women 15-49 | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { men } \\ 15-59 \end{gathered}$ |
| characteristic | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 21.4 | 20.2 | 27.3 | 30.8 | 35.6 | 35.4 | 37.8 | 28.8 | 14.8 |
| Total urban | 13.2 | 12.1 | 18.8 | 23.5 | 28.6 | 28.5 | 27.7 | 20.4 | 12.7 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 10.3 | 8.8 | 22.2 | 17.9 | 29.0 | 25.0 | 30.8 | 18.9 | 7.6 |
| Other urban | 14.7 | 13.9 | 17.5 | 26.2 | 28.4 | 29.9 | 27.0 | 21.1 | 15.0 |
| Total nural | 23.3 | 22.5 | 29.9 | 32.5 | 37.6 | 36.8 | 39.3 | 31.0 | 15.4 |
| Zanzibar | 38.1 | 19.2 | 27.5 | 26.2 | 26.4 | 35.8 | 46.7 | 27.8 | 21.3 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 14.6 | 12.0 | 26.4 | 22.7 | 31.2 | 30.4 | 42.6 | 24.0 | 12.6 |
| Northem Highlands | 27.0 | 20.6 | 25.8 | 27.1 | 33.3 | 22.6 | 17.5 | 25.3 | 13.1 |
| Lake | 23.5 | 25.3 | 26.9 | 35.8 | 37.8 | 37.7 | 36.7 | 31.0 | 16.7 |
| Central | 24.1 | 21.7 | 31.3 | 33.2 | 36.2 | 34.0 | 38.2 | 31.0 | 12.4 |
| Southern Highlands | 29.7 | 18.8 | 26.2 | 36.1 | 42.3 | 43.2 | 43.1 | 32.4 | 16.8 |
| Southem | 13.7 | 21.3 | 31.7 | 26.3 | 30.3 | 40.4 | 42.4 | 29.1 | 15.5 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 29.9 | 32.8 | 35.4 | 43.6 | 41.9 | 39.8 | 41.2 | 38.9 | 20.0 |
| Primary incomplete | 23.3 | 22.8 | 32.6 | 25.0 | 31.7 | 31.0 | 33.0 | 28.6 | 17.1 |
| Primary complete+ | 16.9 | 15.7 | 24.1 | 24.8 | 28.4 | 28.2 | 27.9 | 21.9 | 12.5 |
| Women 15-49 | 21.8 | 20.1 | 27.3 | 30.6 | 35.3 | 35.4 | 38.0 | 28.8 | NA |
| Men 15-59 | * | 0.7 | 13.5 | 9.9 | 13.2 | 17.8 | 23.0 | NA | 15.0 |

Note: An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 respondents and has been suppressed. For men in the age group 50-59, 23.1 percent are in a polygynous union.
$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not applicable.
Overall, 29 percent of married women and 15 percent of all men are in polygynous unions. The practice of polygyny increases with age among women from 22 percent among teenagers to 38 percent among those age 45-49. Overall, older women are more likely to be in polygynous unions than younger women, reflecting either a genuine trend away from polygyny among younger couples or a life-cycle effect. The proportion of rural women and men in polygynous unions is higher than that for urban women and men on the mainland. The proportion of women in polygynous unions is slightly higher on the mainland than in Zanzibar ( 29 vs . 28 percent), whereas the proportion of men in polygynous unions is higher in Zanzibar than on the mainland ( 21 vs .15 percent). The highest level of polygynous unions is found in the Southem Highland zone.

There is an inverse relationship between education and polygyny. The proportion of currently married women in a polygynous union decreases from 39 percent among women with no formal education to 22 percent among those who have completed primary education.

Table 5.4 shows that 71 percent of currently married women have no other co-wives. Of the 29 percent of women who are in polygynous unions, the majority have only one co-wife ( 18 percent of all currently married women), while 10 percent report having two or more co-wives. The Arusha, Mbeya, and Shinyanga regions have the highest proportion of currently married women with more than one co-wife. Not only is polygyny more common among less educated women, but the propensity for those in polygynous unions to have more than one co-wife is less common among less educated women. The proportion of men in polygynous unions is much lower ( 12 percent having two wives and 2 percent having three or more wives) than that of women.

| Table 5,4 Number of co-wives |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women by number of co-wives and men by number of wives, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of co-wives |  |  | Missing | Total | Number of women | Number of wives |  |  | Missing | Total | Number of men |
|  | 0 | 1 | $2+$ |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | $3+$ |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 78.2 | 9.7 | 11.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 401 | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 6 |
| 20-24 | 79.9 | 12.2 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,131 | 99.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 91 |
| 25-29 | 72.7 | 17.7 | B. 8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,184 | 86.5 | 10.6 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 196 |
| 30-34 | 69.4 | 20.2 | 10.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 947 | 90.1 | 8.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 232 |
| 35-39 | 64.7 | 24.0 | 10.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 740 | 86.8 | 12.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 230 |
| 40-44 | 64.6 | 24.3 | 10.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 561 | 82.2 | 14.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 194 |
| 45-49 | 62.0 | 22.3 | 15.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 447 | 77.0 | 16.6 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 137 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 76.2 | 17.6 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 110 |
| 55-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 77.8 | 14.0 | 5.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 90 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 71.2 | 18.4 | 9.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 5,245 | 85.2 | 11.6 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,253 |
| Total urban | 79.6 | 14.6 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,073 | 87.3 | 9.1 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 260 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 81.1 | 10.9 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 340 | 92.4 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 83 |
| Other urban | 78.9 | 16.3 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 733 | 85.0 | 11.9 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 177 |
| Total rural | 69.0 | 19.3 | 11.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 4,172 | 84.6 | 12.2 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 992 |
| Zanzibar | 72.2 | 18.2 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 166 | 78.7 | 19.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 35 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 71.6 | 18.3 | 9.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 258 | 86.7 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 51 |
| Arusha | 66.7 | 15.9 | 16.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 403 | 83.6 | 9.1 | 5.5 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 92 |
| Kilimanjaro | 89.2 | 9.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 221 | 92.2 | 4.4 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 55 |
| Tanga | 72.3 | 21.5 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 282 | (88.4) | (11.6) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 62 |
| Morogoro | 72.6 | 20.3 | 6.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 257 | 81.7 | 15.9 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Coast | 78.9 | 13.5 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98 | (90.3) | (9.7) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 22 |
| Dar es Salaam | 81.6 | 10.2 | 7.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 399 | 92.7 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 94 |
| Lindi | 68.1 | 19.5 | 9.5 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 123 | (76.1) | (21.7) | (2.2) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 35 |
| Mtwara | 72.1 | 19.2 | 8.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 248 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100,0 | 61 |
| Ruvuma | 71.2 | 24.0 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 205 | 86.6 | 10.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Iringa | 63.4 | 24.7 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 291 | 78.7 | 17.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 59 |
| Mbeya | 72.0 | 12.3 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 318 | (85.4) | (9.8) | (4.9) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 78 |
| Singida | 65.6 | 20.7 | 12.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 194 | 88.5 | 9.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 50 |
| Tabora | 72.5 | 17.4 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 157 | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 32 |
| Rukwa | 66.8 | 24.3 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 177 | 85.2 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 49 |
| Kigoma | 70.5 | 23.8 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 233 | (85.7) | (10.2) | (2.0) | (2.0) | 100.0 | 67 |
| Shinyanga | 62.2 | 22.0 | 15.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 464 | 77.1 | 18.8 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 118 |
| Kagera | 71.7 | 15.6 | 9.8 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 337 | (86.7) | (6.7) | (4.4) | (2.2) | 100.0 | 90 |
| Mwanza | 71.5 | 19.2 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 395 | (81.8) | (18.2) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 99 |
| Mara | 70.6 | 18.8 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 183 | (88.9) | (11.1) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 32 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 61.1 | 24.1 | 14.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,829 | 80.0 | 15.9 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 213 |
| Primary incomplete | 71.4 | 19.1 | 8.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 920 | 82.9 | 12.6 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 342 |
| Primary complete + | 78.1 | 14.1 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2,662 | 87.5 | 10.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 733 |
| Total | 71.2 | 18.3 | 9.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 5,411 | 85.0 | 11.8 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,288 |
| Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 respondents; an asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 respondents and has been suppressed. <br> NA = not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.4 Age at First Marriage

Marriage is highly associated with fertility, especially in societies with low levels of contraceptive use. Women who marry early will, on average, have longer exposure to reproductive risk. Therefore, early marriage tends to lead to early childbearing and subsequently high fertility levels. The percentage of women and men ever married by specific ages and the median age at first marriage according to current age is shown in Table 5.5.

Table 5.5 Age at first marriage
Percentage of women age 15-49 and men age 25-59 who were first married by selected exact ages, and median age at first marriage, according to current age, Tanzania 1996

| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curent age | Percentage who were first married by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who had never married | Median age at first marriage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 3.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 74.6 | a | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 7.3 | 38.1 | 60.3 | NA | NA | 24.5 | 19.0 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 8.3 | 40.0 | 63.2 | 77.7 | 88.0 | 7.4 | 18.7 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 12.8 | 44.7 | 63.5 | 76.9 | 86.6 | 4.5 | 18.5 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 18.2 | 53.6 | 70.3 | 82.0 | 89.9 | 1.7 | 17.6 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 18.9 | 56.8 | 74.7 | 85.2 | 90.4 | 1.4 | 17.4 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 22.2 | 52.7 | 70.1 | 82.0 | 90.0 | 0.7 | 17.7 | 585 |
| Wormen 20-49 | 12.6 | 45.2 | 65.3 | 77.7 | 85.2 | 9.3 | 18.4 | 6,387 |
| Women 25-49 | 14.5 | 47.7 | 67.1 | 80.0 | 88.6 | 3.9 | 18.2 | 4,711 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage who were first married by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who had | Median age at | Number |
| Current age | 20 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 30 | married | marriage | men |
| 25-29 | 15.3 | 28.4 | 56.7 | NA | NA | 26.9 | 24.4 | 301 |
| 30-34 | 13.3 | 28.4 | 54.6 | 76.9 | 86.9 | 6.1 | 24.4 | 372 |
| 35-39 | 9.5 | 23.6 | 47.7 | 69.0 | 82.7 | 3.5 | 25.3 | 251 |
| 40-44 | 13.4 | 24.7 | 55.2 | 68.4 | 76.4 | 1.7 | 24.5 | 206 |
| 45-49 | 17.7 | 32.1 | 52.4 | 70.6 | 78.5 | 2.9 | 24.6 | 149 |
| 50-54 | 20.3 | 30.7 | 54.4 | 74.3 | 84.5 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 118 |
| 55-59 | 10.7 | 30.0 | 38.9 | 52.5 | 58.6 | 0.0 | 27.6 | 100 |
| Men 25-59 | 13.9 | 27.7 | 52.5 | 70.5 | 78.5 | 8.2 | 24.7 | 1,397 |

The median age at first marriage for women in Tanzania has risen steadily from less than 18 years among women age $45-49$ to 19 years among women age $20-24$ (representing recent marital patterns). The proportion of women married by age 15 declined from 22 percent among those age 45-49 to only 4 percent among women age 15-19 years. Overall, two-thirds of Tanzanian women currently age 25-49 were married by age 20. Between 1991-92 and 1996, the median age at first marriage increased from 17.9 to 18.2 among women age 25-49.

Men enter first union at a much later age than women; the median age at first marriage among men $25-59$ is 25 years, compared with 18 years for women $25-49$. Only 14 percent of men are married by age 20 , compared with 67 percent of women age $25-49$. By age 25 , which is the median age at first marriage for men, 89 percent of women have married.

Table 5.6 shows median ages at first marriage for women age 20-49 and 25-49, and men 30-59 by selected background characteristics. It can be seen that in most age groups, urban women marry later than their rural counterparts. The median age at first marriage among women age $25-49$ on the mainland is 2.4 years higher than that of Zanzibar.

There is a strong relationship between female education and median age at first marriage. The median age at first marriage for women age $25-49$ with no formal education is 17.0 years, compared with 19.3 years for those with completed primary or higher education. Median age at first marriage for men 30-59 is about one year higher on the mainland than in Zanzibar. The median age at first marriage for men is higher in the Northem Highland zone than in other zones.

| Table 5.6 Median age at first marriage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median age at first marriage among women age 20-49 years, by current age and selected background characteristics, and among men age 30-59 years by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Current age |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { age } \\ 20-49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { age } \\ 25-49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \text { age } \\ 30-59 \end{gathered}$ |
| characteristic | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 19.1 | 18.8 | 18.7 | 17.7 | 17.5 | 17.8 | 18.5 | 18.3 | 24.8 |
| Total urban | a | 19.8 | 19.1 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 18.4 | 19.2 | 18.7 | 25.6 |
| Dar es Salaam city | a | 19.7 | 19.5 | 17.9 | 18.3 | 16.5 | 19.3 | 18.8 | 27.6 |
| Other urban | a | 20.0 | 19.1 | 17.4 | 17.2 | 18.9 | 19.2 | 18.7 | 24.8 |
| Total rural | 18.7 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 17.7 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 18.3 | 18.2 | 24.7 |
| Zanzibar | 18.7 | 18.1 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 14.6 | 15.0 | 16.8 | 15.9 | 23.9 |
| Zones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 19.1 | 19.0 | 17.7 | 17.4 | 16.9 | 16.8 | 18.2 | 17.9 | 25.4 |
| Northem Highlands | a | 19.9 | 20.2 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 19.2 | 19.8 | 19.5 | 26.2 |
| Lake | 18.6 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 17.3 | 17.6 | 17.3 | 18.3 | 18.2 | 24.8 |
| Central | 19.0 | 19.3 | 18.6 | 17.3 | 16.9 | 18.2 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 24.2 |
| Southem Highlands | 19.4 | 18.8 | 19.2 | 17.0 | 17.3 | 17.6 | 18.5 | 18.2 | 24.0 |
| Southem | 18.7 | 17.9 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 16.7 | 18.0 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 23.8 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 17.4 | 18.0 | 16.6 | 16.8 | 17.1 | 17.0 | 17.1 | 17.0 | 24.8 |
| Primary incomplete | 17.7 | 18.3 | 17.6 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 17.8 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 24.5 |
| Primary complete+ | 19.7 | 19.0 | 19.7 | 19.4 | 19.5 | 20.6 | 19.5 | 19.3 | 25.0 |
| Total | 19.0 | 18.7 | 18.5 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 18.4 | 18.2 | 24.8 |

### 5.5 Age at First Sexual Intercourse

Age at first marriage is often used as a proxy for the onset of women's exposure to the risk of pregnancy. However, since some women are sexually active before marriage, the age at which women initiate sexual intercourse more precisely marks the beginning of their exposure to reproductive risk.

The 1996 TDHS collected data on the age at which men and women had their first sexual encounters. As the upper panel of Table 5.7 shows, the median age at first intercourse of Tanzanian women age 20-49 is 16.9, about one and half years lower than the median age at first marriage ( 18.4 years, Table 5.5 ). By age 15, 19 percent of women have had sexual intercourse, and by age 18,62 percent of women have had sexual intercourse, whereas only 45 percent have married by this age. Unlike age at first marriage, the median age at first intercourse has not changed over the various age groups of women.


More than half of the teenage women have never had sexual intercourse. However, this proportion falls dramatically to only 7 percent among women age $20-24$ and by age group $40-49$ almost all women have been sexually active.

Overall, women become sexually active earlier than men. The median age at first sex for men age $25-59$ is 18.1 years, compared with 16.7 years for women age $25-49$. Although men enter marriage six years later than women on average, they start sexual relations only one year later than women. Unlike women, the median age at first intercourse among men may be declining slightly over time, from 19.2 years among those age 55-59 to 17.8 among those age 20-24.

Table 5.8 shows differentials in the median age at first sexual intercourse by background characteristics for women age 20-49 years and men age 25-59. With respect to the place of residence, rural women on the mainland generally start sexual relations 16 months ( 1.3 years) earlier than urban women, especially those in Dar es Salaam (which has the highest median age at first sex). Women with secondary or higher education generally initiate sexual relations four years later, than those with no formal education.

| Table 5.8 Median age at first intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median age at first sexual intercourse among women age 20-49 years, by age groups and background characteristics, and among men age $25-59$ by background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { age } \\ 20-49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { age } \\ 25-49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \text { age } \\ 25-59 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 17.4 | 16.9 | 17.0 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 16.7 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 18.1 |
| Total urban | 17.7 | 17.8 | 17.7 | 16.9 | 16.8 | 17.2 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 17.9 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 17.8 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 18.1 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 18.0 | 18.1 | 17.9 |
| Other urban | 17.6 | 17.6 | 17.5 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 17.3 | 17.0 | 18.0 |
| Total rural | 17.3 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 18.1 |
| Zanzibar | 19.2 | 18.2 | 16.3 | 15.5 | 14.7 | 15.1 | 17.1 | 16.2 | 20.5 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.9 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 18.2 |
| Primary incomplete | 16.5 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16.0 | 16.5 | 17.0 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 18.2 |
| Primary complete | 17.7 | 17.0 | 17.7 | 17.3 | 18.2 | 18.8 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 17.8 |
| Secondary+ | 19.9 | 20.1 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 16.9 | 18.0 | 19.7 | 19.5 | 18.6 |
| All men/women | 17.4 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 18.1 |

### 5.6 Recent Sexual Activity

In societies where contraceptive use is low, the chance of becoming pregnant is closely related to the frequency of sexual intercourse. Thus, information on recent sexual activity further refines the measure of exposure to pregnancy. Although the 1996 TDHS data show that the majority of women have had sexual intercourse, not all those who have ever had sex are currently sexually active. Men and women were asked how long ago their last sexual activity occurred.

Tables 5.9.1 and 5.9.2 give data on levels of sexual activity of women and men in the four weeks before the survey by background characteristics. Fifty-six percent of women $15-49$ were sexually active in the four weeks preceding the survey, while 14 percent were practicing postpartum abstinence, 16 percent were abstaining for reasons other than recent delivery and 13 percent had never had sexual intercourse.

Recent sexual activity is lower among younger women as well as those who have never married; only 20 percent of unmarried women have had sexual intercourse in the four weeks before the survey. The proportion of sexually active women is higher on the mainland than in Zanzibar. The proportion of sexually active is higher in urban areas than rural areas, while among the regions the proportion varies between 31 percent (Iringa region) and 70 percent (Mwanza region). The proportion of women who are sexually active in the four weeks before the survey are highest among women with no education. Not surprising, women who are using a contraceptive method are more likely to be sexually active than those who are not.

| Table 59.1 Recent sexual activity: women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of women by sexual activity in the four weeks preceding the survey, and among those not sexually active the length of time they have been abstaining and whether postpartum or not postpartum, according to selected background characteristics and contraceptive method currently used, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic/ contraceptive method | Sexually active in past4 weeks | Not sexually active in past four weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Absta } \\ & \text { (postp } \end{aligned}$ | ning | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Abst } \\ & \text { (not pos } \end{aligned}$ | ning partum) | Never |  |  | Number |
|  |  | $\overline{0-1}$ years | $2+$ years | $\overline{0-1}$ years | $2+$ years | sex | Missing | Total | women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 28.2 | 8.4 | 0.4 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 51.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 58.3 | 15.7 | 1.6 | 11.8 | 3.6 | 7.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 66.9 | 15.2 | 2.3 | 10.3 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 65.0 | 15.2 | 2.5 | 11.7 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 63.3 | 11.7 | 3.1 | 15.1 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 64.3 | 8.7 | 3.0 | 14.2 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 63.7 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 585 |
| Duration of union (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 19.7 | 6.8 | 1.3 | 10.1 | 5.7 | 55.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,887 |
| 0-4 | 67.8 | 17.5 | 1.1 | 10.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1,453 |
| 5-9 | 68.2 | 15.9 | 1.8 | 11.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,357 |
| 10-14 | 67.7 | 14.6 | 2.8 | 10.2 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,047 |
| 15-19 | 65.9 | 13.9 | 2.5 | 12.8 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 817 |
| 20-24 | 62.5 | 10.7 | 3.5 | 15.4 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 731 |
| 25-29 | 65.9 | 5.5 | 1.9 | 13.8 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 524 |
| 30+ | 64.7 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 16.7 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 304 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 56.0 | 12.0 | 1.9 | 11.5 | 4.6 | 12.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 7,881 |
| Total urban | 58.7 | 9.5 | 0.7 | 11.7 | 6.0 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,811 |
| Dar es Salaum city | 62.9 | 7.4 | 0.5 | 9.5 | 6.0 | 12.6 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 563 |
| Other urban | 56.8 | 10.5 | 0.8 | 12.8 | 6.1 | 12.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,248 |
| Total rural | 55.2 | 12.8 | 2.3 | 11.4 | 4.2 | 12.8 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 6,070 |
| Zanzibar | 48.2 | 10.6 | 0.4 | 13.8 | 4.4 | 21.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 239 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 45.7 | 17.5 | 4.8 | 16.8 | 4.1 | 9.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Arusha | 47.8 | 17.5 | 4.1 | 11.7 | 3.8 | 13.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 589 |
| Kilimanjaro | 51.1 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 13.7 | 5.3 | 20.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 390 |
| Tanga | 56.5 | 7.8 | 0.8 | 10.8 | 4.0 | 18.1 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 464 |
| Morogoro | 52.8 | 16.2 | 1.3 | 13.3 | 5.6 | 10.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 408 |
| Coast | 58.1 | 11.2 | 0.4 | 13.0 | 3.2 | 13.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Dar es Salaam | 62.4 | 8.5 | 0.4 | 9.6 | 5.8 | 12.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 646 |
| Lindi | 56.9 | 19.5 | 3.1 | 11.3 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 187 |
| Mtwara | 51.7 | 22.7 | 3.6 | 11.6 | 3.9 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Ruvuma | 56.0 | 20.8 | 2.4 | 9.0 | 3.2 | 7.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 305 |
| Iringa | 31.4 | 19.0 | 6.4 | 15.2 | 8.5 | 18.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 466 |
| Mbeya | 54.8 | 14.0 | 1.6 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 14.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 473 |
| Singida | 46.4 | 16.2 | 1.3 | 14.5 | 4.1 | 16.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 283 |
| Tabora | 64.6 | 12.1 | 0.5 | 10.6 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 225 |
| Rukwa | 59.5 | 9.6 | 1.1 | 17.0 | 2.0 | 10.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 56.1 | 7.9 | 1.6 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 20.2 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 351 |
| Shinyanga | 64.0 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 10.9 | 4.3 | 13.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 686 |
| Kagera | 66.5 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 8.8 | 4.2 | 13.7 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 467 |
| Mwanza | 70.0 | 6.8 | 0.3 | 11.0 | 3.9 | 7.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 573 |
| Mara | 63.2 | 8.7 | 2.9 | 11.9 | 4.0 | 9.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 257 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 60.4 | 13.0 | 2.5 | 12.8 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 2,316 |
| Primary incomplete | 50.4 | 8.6 | 1.6 | 9.6 | 5.0 | 23.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,630 |
| Primary complete | 56.6 | 13.5 | 1.7 | 11.1 | 3.8 | 12.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 3,732 |
| Secondary+ | 44.6 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 16.1 | 7.0 | 23.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 441 |
| Contraceptive method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No method | 51.5 | 13.6 | 2.0 | 11.3 | 5.0 | 15.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 6,816 |
| Modern method Traditiona/folk method | 80.5 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 11.6 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 954 |
|  | 72.1 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 16.1 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 350 |
| Total | 55.8 | 12.0 | 1.9 | 11.5 | 4.6 | 12.9 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 8,120 |


| Table 59.2 Recent sexual activity: men |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of men by sexual activity in the four weeks preceding the survey, according to selected background characteristics and contraceptive method currently used, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic/ contraceptive method | Sexually active in past 4 week 4 weeks | Not sexually active in past 4 weeks | Never had sex | Total | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 26.9 | 14.1 | 58.9 | 100.0 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 53.2 | 33.8 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 71.5 | 26.8 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 301 |
| 30-34 | 69.8 | 29.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 272 |
| 35-39 | 77.5 | 21.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 251 |
| 40-44 | 79.7 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 206 |
| 45-49 | 73.9 | 26.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 149 |
| 50-54 | 73.7 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 118 |
| 55-59 | 72.0 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 36.1 | 23.1 | 40.8 | 100.0 | 847 |
| Polygynous union | 86.5 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 181 |
| Monogamous union | 76.8 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,107 |
| Formally married | 39.8 | 60.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 61.1 | 24.2 | 14.7 | 100.0 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 59.7 | 28.3 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 509 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 56.6 | 33.8 | 9.6 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Other urban | 61.2 | 25.6 | 13.2 | 100,0 | 338 |
| Total rural | 61.5 | 22.9 | 15.5 | 100.0 | 1,678 |
| Zanzibar | 37.1 | 26.3 | 36.6 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 57.1 | 25.7 | 17.1 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Arusha | 62.8 | 19.1 | 18.1 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Kilimanjaro | 58.5 | 30.3 | 11.3 | 100.0 | 119 |
| Tanga | 73.3 | 14.7 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Morogoro | 60.8 | 26.6 | 12.6 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Coast | 54.8 | 29.0 | 16.1 | 100.0 | 45 |
| Dar es Salaam | 57.2 | 32.6 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 191 |
| Lindi | 62.0 | 25.4 | 12.7 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 56.4 | 30.7 | 12.9 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 56.9 | 29.4 | 13.7 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Iringa | 46.7 | 33.6 | 19.7 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 76.4 | 9.7 | 13.9 | 100.0 | 137 |
| Singida | 65.5 | 22.6 | 11.9 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Tabora | 57.4 | 29.6 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Rukwa | 75.6 | 7.7 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 68.6 | 22.9 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 65.2 | 17.7 | 17.1 | 100.0 | 202 |
| Kagera | 59.4 | 27.5 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 50.0 | 28.2 | 21.8 | 100.0 | 176 |
| Mara | 58.2 | 23.6 | 18.2 | 100.0 | 64 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 64.5 | 22.5 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 51.5 | 21.0 | 27.5 | 100.0 | 664 |
| Primary complete | 64.9 | 25.3 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 1,066 |
| Secondary + | 59.4 | 31.7 | 8.9 | 100.0 | 222 |
| Contraceptive method |  |  |  |  |  |
| No method | 54.0 | 26.1 | 19.8 | 100.0 | 1,751 |
| Modern method | 83.2 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 315 |
| Traditional/ folk method | 80.9 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 190 |
| Total | 60.4 | 24.3 | 15.4 | 100.0 | 2,256 |

The proportion of women who have been postpartum abstaining for less than two years decline at older ages and at longer marital durations. Women in rural areas on the mainland and those who are not using any form of contraception are more likely to be postpartum abstaining. Abstinence unrelated to childbirth is observed to be higher among women between the ages of 35 and 49 years and women married more than 20 years. There are substantial differences in level of abstinence unrelated to childbirth among regions, ranging from 12 percent (Kigoma and Ruvuma) to 24 percent (Iringa).

Sixty percent of the men interviewed were sexually active in the four weeks preceding the survey, while 15 percent had never had sex and the remaining 24 percent had had sex but not recently (Table 5.9.2), The likelihood of sexual activity increases with age to 80 percent among men age 40-44 and declines thereafter. As expected, sexual activity is higher among men in polygynous unions ( 87 percent) than among men in monogamous unions ( 77 percent). About 40 percent of the formerly married men and 36 percent of the unmarried men were sexually active in the four weeks preceding the survey.

### 5.7 Postpartum Amenorrhoea, Abstinence, and Insusceptibility

For women who are not using contraceptives, exposure to the risk of pregnancy in the period following a birth is influenced by two factors; breastfeeding and sexual abstinence. Postpartum protection from conception can be prolonged by breastfeeding through its effect on the length of amenorrhoea (the period after birth prior to the retum of menstruation). Protection can also be prolonged by delaying the resumptions of sexual relations. Women are considered insusceptible if they are not exposed to the risk of pregnancy, either because they are amenorrhoeic or still abstaining from sex following a birth. The percencages of women who gave birth in the three years before the survey and who are still amenorrhoeic, abstaining and insusceptible are presented in Table 5.10. The data are grouped in intervals of two months to minimise fluctuations in the estimates. The estimates of median and mean duration are also shown.

Within the first two months after birth, nearly all women are in-

| Percentage of births in the three years preceding the survey for which mothers are postpartum amenorrhoeic, abstaining and insusceptible, by number of months since birth, and median and mean durations, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Months since birth | Amenorrhoeic | Abstaining | Insusceptible | Number of births |
| $<2$ | 93.9 | 92.0 | 98.7 | 206 |
| 2-3 | 85.5 | 62.8 | 90.6 | 247 |
| 4-5 | 72.5 | 52.9 | 81.8 | 253 |
| 6-7 | 73.2 | 49.9 | 85.9 | 256 |
| 8-9 | 64.4 | 32.6 | 70.9 | 240 |
| 10-11 | 57.9 | 31.3 | 64.8 | 253 |
| 12-13 | 46.5 | 24.3 | 57.6 | 277 |
| 14-15 | 41.9 | 26.3 | 51.7 | 257 |
| 16-17 | 39.9 | 25.8 | 48.7 | 223 |
| 18-19 | 33.1 | 20.6 | 44.2 | 267 |
| 20-21 | 20.7 | 14.6 | 30.4 | 219 |
| 22-23 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 25.3 | 213 |
| 24-25 | 12.7 | 13.4 | 18.9 | 230 |
| 26-27 | 10.5 | 13.1 | 19.3 | 204 |
| 28-29 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 17.6 | 229 |
| 30-31 | 8.9 | 5.5 | 12.6 | 212 |
| 32.33 | 7.3 | 3.4 | 9.7 | 209 |
| 34-35 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 217 |
| Total | 40.0 | 28.1 | 47.8 | 4,214 |
| Median | 12.1 | 5.6 | 15.7 | - |
| Mean | 14.3 | 10.3 | 17.0 | - |
| Prevalence/incidence mean ${ }^{\prime}$ | 14.2 | 10.0 | 17.0 | - |

Percentage of births in the three years preceding the survey for which mothers are postpartum amenorrhoeic, abstaining and insusceptible, by number of months since birth, and median and mean durations, Tanzania
${ }^{1}$ The prevalence-incidence mean is defined as the number of children whose births per month (incidence). susceptible to the risk of pregnancy. The period of postpartum amenorrhoea is considerably longer than the period of abstinence and is a major determinant of postpartum insusceptibility to pregnancy. By 6-7 months following birth, 86 percent of the women are still insusceptible, while 50 percent are still abstaining from sexual relations. The table shows that Tanzanian women are
insusceptible to the risk of pregnancy-either due to amenorrhoea or to abstinence-for a median period to 16 months. The proportion of women experiencing postpartum insusceptibility falls from 99 percent in the period less than two months after birth to 65 percent at 10-11 months and to 25 percent among women who had a birth 22-23 months before to the survey.

The median durations of postpartum amenorrhoea, abstinence, and insusceptibility are presented in Table 5.11 by various background characteristics. Women age 30 or older have a much longer median duration of postpartum amenorrhoea ( 17 months) than women under 30 ( 11 months); a similar pattern is observed for postpartum insusceptibility by age. Rural mothers on the mainland wait longer than urban mothers for their menstrual periods to return after birth (13 months vs. 9 months). Postpartum amenorrhoea is inversely related to

Table 5.11_Median duration of postpartum insusceptibility by background characteristics
Median number of months of postpartum amenorrhoea, postpartum abstinence, and postpartum insusceptibility, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Median duration of postpartum: |  |  | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amenorrhoea | Abtinence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insuscep- } \\ & \text { tibility } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| $<30$ | 10.5 | 5.4 | 13.3 | 2,665 |
| 30+ | 16.8 | 6.0 | 19.1 | 1,549 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 12.1 | 5.6 | 15.9 | 4,088 |
| Total urban | 8.6 | 6.0 | 11.8 | 709 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 6.7 | 6.8 | 8.3 | 199 |
| Other urban | 9.8 | 5.6 | 14.8 | 510 |
| Total rural | 13.0 | 5.5 | 16.4 | 3,379 |
| Zanzibar | 12.8 | 3.8 | 13.8 | 126 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 16.0 | 7.0 | 18.2 | 1,215 |
| Primary incomplete | 11.6 | 4.2 | 13.7 | 685 |
| Primary complete | 11.2 | 5.6 | 14.7 | 2,166 |
| Secondary + | 5.6 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 148 |
| Total | 12.1 | 5.6 | 15.7 | 4,214 |

Note: Medians are based on current status. mother's education; decreases from 16 months for women with no education to about 11 months for those with at least primary education. Women with no education have a median period of insusceptibility of 18 months, compared with 14 for those who have completed primary education.

### 5.8 Termination of Exposure to Pregnancy

The onset of infertility with increasing age reduces the proportion of women who are exposed to the risk of pregnancy. Although the onset of infecundity is difficult to determine, there are ways of estimating its effect on the population. Table 5.12 presents data on menopause.

A woman is considered menopausal if she is not pregnant, not amenorthoeic, and either declared herself as being menopausal or did not have a menstrual period for six or more months before the survey. As expected, the proportion of menopausal women rises with age, particularly among women age 44 years or older. It rises from 8 percent among those age 42-43 years to 44 percent in the age group 48-49.

| Table 5.12 Termination of exposure to the risk of presnancy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicators of menopause among women age 30-49, by age, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Men | ause ${ }^{1}$ |
| Age | Percent | Number |
| 30-34 | 1.5 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 2.3 | 888 |
| 40-41 | 6.7 | 291 |
| 42-43 | 8.3 | 260 |
| 44-45 | 16.1 | 295 |
| 46-47 | 33.6 | 188 |
| 48-49 | 43.9 | 231 |
| Total | 8.9 | 3,271 |
| ' Percentage of all women who are not pregnant, not postpartum amenorrhoeic and whose last menstrual period occurred six or more months preceding the survey. |  |  |

## CHAPTER 6

## FERTILITY PREFERENCES

A number of questions were included in the 1996 TDHS to ascertain fertility preferences. Women who were not pregnant or were unsure of their status were asked whether they would like to have (a/another) child and if so, after how long a period of time. Similarly, pregnant women were asked whether they would like to have another child after the one they were expecting and if so, when. Women were also asked how many children they would want in total if they could start afresh. Since men's prefcrences presumably affect fertility, the male questionnaire also included questions on fertility preferences.

The data on fertility preferences produce an indication of the direction that future fertility will take, as well as an assessment of the need for family planning. If the necessary family planning services are available, accessible, and affordable, it is assumed that individuals and couples will act in such a way as to achieve their preferred family size. Of course, individuals may not always be able to act on their preferences due to other pressures, particularly the preferences of their spouse.

### 6.1 Reproductive Preferences

Future reproductive preferences among women and men according to the number of living children are shown in Table 6.1. Although 60 percent of women and 74 percent of men say that they want more children, 33 percent of women and 39 percent of men say they want to wait two or more years before having their next child and thus can be considered as potential contraception users for the purpose of spacing (Figure 6.1).

Twenty-two percent of women and 24 percent of men say they want another child soon, while 15 percent of women and 21 percent of men are either unsure about whether they want another child or want another but are undecided on the timing of the next birth. Twenty-six percent of women and 13 percent of men say they want no more children and can be considered potential contraceptive users for the purpose of limiting their family size. A sinall proportion ( 3 percent of women and 1 percent of men) believe they cannot have any more children.

As expected, the proportion of women who want to stop childbearing rises with the number of living children, from 7 percent of childless women to more than 60 percent of women with six or more children (Figure 6.2). Among men, the proportion who want to stop childbearing similarly rises with the number of living children from less than 3 percent for men without children to more than 40 percent of men with six or more children.

For those who want to space (i.e., those who want another child later), the pattern is different. Seventeen percent of childless women want to postpone having a child, compared to 53 percent of those with one child. Thereafter, as the number of living children rises, the desire to space children increases markedly. For instance, the proportion of women who want to space their next birth declines steadily to a low of about 12 percent among women with six or more children. A similar pattern is displayed by men. Thirty-five percent of childless men want to postpone having a child, compared to 57 percent of those with one child. Thereafter, the proportion of men who want to space declines steadily to a low of 25 percent. This pattern confirms that most individuals want to space their children, and at higher parities, prefer to stop childbearing altogether.

As expected, the desire to have a child soon, that is, within two years of the time of interview, also declines as the number of children increases. While 30 percent of childless women want to have a child soon,

Table 6.1 Fertility preferences by number of living children
Percent distribution of women and men by desire for more children, according to number of living children, Tanzania 1996

| Desire for children | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 30.3 | 27.0 | 26.7 | 22.7 | 14.8 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 21.5 |
| Have another later ${ }^{3}$ | 17.3 | 52.6 | 49.2 | 45.0 | 35.9 | 29.8 | 11.8 | 32.9 |
| Have another, undecided when | 19.0 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 6.0 |
| Undecided | 24.8 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 9.1 |
| Want no more | 6.7 | 10.9 | 16.4 | 23.8 | 36.7 | 48.9 | 63.6 | 25.6 |
| Sterilised | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 1.4 |
| Declared infecund | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 7.9 | 3.2 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1,991 | 1,411 | 1,100 | 969 | 784 | 607 | 1,257 | 8,120 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 20.9 | 34.0 | 30.9 | 27.1 | 33.5 | 22.1 | 17.6 | 24.0 |
| Have another later ${ }^{3}$ | 34.5 | 56.7 | 56.0 | 52.8 | 46.1 | 34.4 | 24.7 | 39.4 |
| Have another, undecided when | 20.9 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 10.6 |
| Undecided | 20.4 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 6.0 | 10.7 |
| Want no more | 2.4 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 14.0 | 12.9 | 32.7 | 43.1 | 12.8 |
| Sterilised | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 0.7 |
| Declared infecund | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 1.4 |
| Missing | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of men | 974 | 228 | 206 | 188 | 158 | 127 | 375 | 2,256 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Want next birth within two years.
${ }^{3}$ Want to delay next birth for two or more years.

Figure 6.1
Fertility Preferences of Women 15-49 and Men 15-59


[^3]the proportion decreases to 7 percent for women with six or more children. Twenty-one percent of childless men want to have a child within the next two years. This proportion decreases steadily to 18 percent for those with six or more children. Among those with six or more children, 19 percent of women want to have more children compared to 43 percent of men, suggesting that men are considerably more pronatalist than women.

A comparison with data from the 1991-92 TDHS indicates that there has been a downward trend in the desire for more children. The proportion of married women who want no more children increased from 21 percent to 28 percent (Figure 6.3). Among married women with six or more children, the proportion who want to have another child declined from 28 percent in 1991-92 to 20 percent in 1996.

Table 6.2 presents the distribution of all women by reproductive preferences according to age. The desire to have another child soon increases from 14 percent for women age 15-19 to 28 percent for those ages 25-29 and then decreases progressively with age. Overall, the proportion of women who express a desire to limit childbearing increases as the age of the woman increases. Likewise, the proportion of women who declare themselves infecund is less than 1 percent at the youngest four age groups; however, it increases to 27 percent among all women age 45-49 years.

Table 6.3 shows the extent to which couples agree on the desire for more children. Generally speaking, there is substantial agreement between couples. In 60 percent of couples, both spouses want more children,


[^4]and in 12 percent of couples, neither wants more children; only 18 percent of couples disagree in their fertility desires. The proportion of couples in which the husband wants more children and his wife does not (14 percent) is almost three times the proportion in which the wife wants more and her husband does not (5 percent). Agreement among couples who have children is highest when they have between one and three children, with only 14 percent expressing different desires; disagreement is highest among couples with four to six children. Not surprisingly, as the number of living children increases, the proportion of couples who want more children declines and the proportion who want no more children increases. Moreover, as the number of children increases, disagreement between couples rises with husbands more likely than wives to want more children.

Table 6.2 Fertility preferences by age
Percent distribution of women by desire for more children, according to age, Tanzania 1996

|  | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Desire for children | 15.19 | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40-44$ | $45-49$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{1}$ | 14.4 | 24.4 | 27.8 | 26.1 | 23.8 | 18.5 | 10.1 | 21.5 |
| Have another later |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have another, undecided when | 17.4 | 51.7 | 45.7 | 33.3 | 18.1 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 32.9 |
| Undecided | 25.0 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 6.0 |
| Want no more | 10.1 | 11.5 | 19.0 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 9.1 |
| Sterilised | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 45.7 | 57.1 | 50.3 | 25.6 |
| Declared infecund | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 1.4 |
| Missing | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 7.5 | 26.9 | 3.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1,732 | 1,676 | 1,440 | 1,118 | 888 | 680 | 585 | 8,120 |

${ }^{1}$ Want next birth within two years.
${ }^{2}$ Want to delay next birth for two or more years.

## Table 6.3 Desire for more children among monogamous couples

Percent distribution of monogamously married couples by desire for more children, according to number of living children, Tanzania 1996

| Number of living children | Both want more | Husband more/ wife no more | Wife more/ husband no more | Both want no more | Husband/ wife infecund | One or both undecided missing | Total | Number of couples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Same number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 96.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 53 |
| 1-3 | 77.8 | 10.5 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 297 |
| 4-6 | 42.0 | 18.9 | 7.6 | 15.1 | 4.6 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 159 |
| 7+ | 8.5 | 16.1 | 8.4 | 46.3 | 15.8 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 74 |
| Different number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Husband > wife | 60.5 | 11.8 | 4.5 | 13.6 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 196 |
| Wife > husband | 50.7 | 24.4 | 1.0 | 11.9 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Total | 59.7 | 13.6 | 4.5 | 12.0 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 874 |

Table 6.4 shows the percentage of women and men who want no more children according to the number of children they already have and according to selected background characteristics. Although the difference between the proportion of women who want no more children on the mainland and Zanzibar is small, the difference between men is quite significant. On the mainland, 14 percent of men want no more children, compared to only 3 percent of those in Zanzibar.

Overall there is an inverse relationship between the proportion of women wanting no more children and respondent's level of education. However, among women with a given number of children, the data in Table 6.4 show a generally positive relationship between education and the proportion who want to stop childbearing. For example, among women with three children, 21 percent of those with no education want no more children, compared to 26 percent of those who have completed primary education. Among men, the relationship is inconsistent.

## Table 6.4 Desire to limit child bearing by background characteristics

Percentage of all women and men who want no more children, by number of living children and selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | All women | All men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 6.6 | 11.1 | 16.6 | 24.6 | 39.1 | 52.3 | 69.4 | 27.1 | 13.8 |
| Total urban | 6.3 | 13.8 | 25.0 | 37.2 | 54.3 | 67.7 | 81.3 | 28.3 | 15.9 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 8.7 | 16.8 | 27.3 | 33.9 | 60.3 | 59.4 | 88.9 | 27.8 | 11.0 |
| Other urban | 4.9 | 12.4 | 23.9 | 38.2 | 51.8 | 71.0 | 78.9 | 28.5 | 18.3 |
| Total rural | 6.8 | 10.0 | 13.8 | 21.2 | 34.8 | 49.4 | 67.6 | 26.7 | 13.1 |
| Zanzibar | 8.5 | 12.3 | 28.2 | 21.9 | (32.0) | 38.9 | 56.5 | 27.8 | 3.3 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.0 | 6.8 | 13.4 | 20.5 | 35.4 | 45.7 | 61.7 | 32.0 | 15.4 |
| Primary incomplete | 10.6 | 15.6 | 20.1 | 22.4 | 37.5 | 52.9 | 76.6 | 31.4 | 17.6 |
| Primary complete | 5.3 | 11.5 | 16.6 | 25.7 | 40.2 | 56.2 | 79.0 | 22.9 | 9.7 |
| Secondary+ | 5.0 | 11.9 | 29.5 | 46.2 | (58.9) | (74.9) | (63.8) | 21.1 | 16.2 |
| Total women | 6.7 | 11.1 | 16.9 | 24.6 | 38.9 | 51.8 | 68.8 | 27.1 | NA |
| Total men | 2.4 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 14.7 | 12.9 | 33.3 | 46.6 | NA | 13.5 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 cases.
NA = Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy.

### 6.2 Need for Family Planning Services

The data in this section address the extent of need for family planning services. Unmet need for family planning refers to the category of fecund women who either wish to postpone the next birth (spacers) or wish to stop childbearing altogether (limiters) but are not using a contraceptive method. Pregnant women are considered to have unmet need for spacing or limiting if their pregnancy was mistimed or unwanted, respectively. Similarly, amenorrhoeic women are classified as having unmet need if their last birth was mistimed or unwanted. Women who are currently using a family planning method are said to have a met need for family planning. The total demand for family planning comprises those who fall in the met need and unmet need categories.

Table 6.5 presents estimates for unmet need, met need, and total demand for family planning services for all women, currently married women, and unmarried women and presents data by selected background

## Table 6.5 Need for family olanning services among all women

Percentage of women with unmet need for family planning, met need for family planning, and the total demand for family planning services, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Unmet need for family planning ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Met need for family planning (currently using) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Total demand for family planning |  |  | Percentage of demand satisfied | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | For spacing | For limiting | Total | For spacing | For limiting | Total | For spacing | For limiting | Total |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.7 | 1.9 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 12.8 | 2.5 | 15.2 | 30.8 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 17.7 | 3.3 | 21.0 | 14.8 | 3.1 | 18.0 | 32.6 | 6.4 | 38.9 | 46.2 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 18.1 | 3.7 | 21.7 | 14.9 | 4.9 | 19.8 | 33.0 | 8.5 | 41.5 | 47.6 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 12.6 | 7.8 | 20.4 | 11.6 | 9.3 | 21.0 | 24.2 | 17.1 | 41.3 | 50.7 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 10.0 | 13.7 | 23.6 | 6.4 | 14.7 | 21.1 | 16.4 | 28.3 | 44.7 | 47.2 | 888 |
| 40.44 | 4.6 | 18.1 | 22.8 | 1.8 | 18.9 | 20.7 | 6.4 | 37.0 | 43.4 | 47.6 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 2.2 | 9.6 | 11.8 | 1.0 | 11.8 | 12.8 | 3.1 | 21.4 | 24.5 | 52.0 | 585 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 11.8 | 6.5 | 18.2 | 9.2 | 7.1 | 16.2 | 21.0 | 13.5 | 34.5 | 47.1 | 7,881 |
| Total urban | 9.9 | 5.2 | 15.1 | 16.6 | 12.4 | 29.0 | 26.5 | 17.6 | 44.1 | 65.7 | 1,811 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 9.6 | 4.8 | 14.4 | 19.1 | 11.7 | 30.8 | 28.7 | 16.5 | 45.2 | 68.1 | 563 |
| Other urban | 10.0 | 5.4 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 12.7 | 28.2 | 25.6 | 18.1 | 43.6 | 64.6 | 1,248 |
| Total nural | 12.3 | 6.8 | 19.2 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 12.4 | 19.3 | 12.3 | 31.6 | 39.4 | 6,070 |
| Zanzibar | 22.1 | 7.4 | 29.5 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 9.9 | 28.7 | 10.7 | 39.4 | 25.0 | 239 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 12.4 | 8.9 | 21.3 | 7.6 | 5.4 | 13.0 | 20.0 | 14.3 | 34.3 | 38.0 | 355 |
| Arusha | 11.3 | 4.9 | 16.2 | 9.2 | 8.1 | 17.3 | 20.5 | 13.0 | 33.5 | 51.6 | 589 |
| Kilimanjaro | 7.9 | 5.9 | 13.7 | 18.3 | 19.3 | 37.7 | 26.2 | 25.2 | 51.4 | 73.3 | 390 |
| Tanga | 13.8 | 5.3 | 19.1 | 12.6 | 9.8 | 22.4 | 26.4 | 15.1 | 41.5 | 53.9 | 464 |
| Morogoro | 14.3 | 2.9 | 17.2 | 11.1 | 5.0 | 16.2 | 25.5 | 8.0 | 33.4 | 48.4 | 408 |
| Coast | 11.6 | 5.1 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 9.7 | 26.7 | 28.5 | 14.8 | 43.3 | 61.7 | 159 |
| Dar es Salaam | 9.9 | 5.0 | 14.9 | 18.2 | 11.6 | 29.8 | 28.1 | 16.6 | 44.8 | 66.7 | 646 |
| Lindi | 11.0 | 8.2 | 19.2 | 11.0 | 7.5 | 18.6 | 22.0 | 15.7 | 37.7 | 49.2 | 187 |
| Miwara | 9.1 | 8.6 | 17.7 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 13.2 | 16.6 | 14.3 | 30.8 | 42.6 | 355 |
| Ruvuma | 13.7 | 6.7 | 20.4 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 18.5 | 23.4 | 15.5 | 38.8 | 47.5 | 305 |
| Iringa | 8.0 | 6.7 | 14.7 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 11.1 | 14.7 | 11.1 | 25.7 | 43.0 | 466 |
| Mbeya | 10.5 | 4.8 | 15.3 | 11.5 | 7.3 | 18.8 | 22.0 | 12.1 | 34.1 | 55.1 | 473 |
| Singida | 13.5 | 8.1 | 21.6 | 8.4 | 5.8 | 14.2 | 21.8 | 14.0 | 35.8 | 39.7 | 283 |
| Tabora | 10.1 | 6.6 | 16.7 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 16.7 | 18.7 | 14.6 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 225 |
| Rukwa | 14.7 | 4.0 | 18.7 | 9.6 | 3.7 | 13.3 | 24.4 | 7.6 | 32.0 | 41.6 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 10.6 | 4.4 | 15.0 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 13.6 | 16.9 | 11.7 | 28.6 | 47.6 | 351 |
| Shinyanga | 13.3 | 5.3 | 18.7 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 14.7 | 8.3 | 22.9 | 18.6 | 686 |
| Kagera | 15.1 | 8.8 | 23.9 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 9.5 | 19.7 | 13.7 | 33.5 | 28.4 | 467 |
| Mwanza | 11.0 | 10.6 | 21.6 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 9.4 | 16.1 | 14.8 | 31.0 | 30.2 | 573 |
| Mara | 15.9 | 11.2 | 27.1 | 5.1 | 1.8 | 6.9 | 20.9 | 13.0 | 33.9 | 20.2 | 257 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 10.3 | 8.8 | 19.1 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 6.8 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 25.9 | 26.3 | 2,316 |
| Primary incomplete | 10.9 | 8.1 | 19.0 | 4.6 | 7.9 | 12.5 | 15.5 | 16.0 | 31.5 | 39.7 | 1,630 |
| Primary complete | 13.9 | 4.6 | 18.6 | 13.5 | 8.0 | 21.5 | 27.5 | 12.6 | 40.1 | 53.6 | 3,732 |
| Secondary+ | 10.2 | 3.9 | 14.1 | 21.1 | 10.4 | 31.5 | 31.3 | 14.3 | 45.6 | 69.1 | 441 |
| All women | 12.1 | 6.5 | 18.6 | 9.1 | 6.9 | 16.1 | 21.2 | 13.4 | 34.6 | 46.4 | 8,120 |
| Currently married women | 15.4 | 8.5 | 23.9 | 10.0 | 8.4 | 18.4 | 25.4 | 16.9 | 42.3 | 43.5 | 5,411 |
| Unmarried women | 5.4 | 2.5 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 11.3 | 12.8 | 6.5 | 19.2 | 58.9 | 2,709 |

${ }^{1}$ Unmet need for spacing includes pregnant women whose pregnancy was mistimed, amenorrhoeic women whose last birth was mistimed, and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrhoeic and who are not using any method of family planning but say they want to wait two or more years for their next birth. Also included in unmet need for spacing are women who are unsure whether they want another child or who want another child but are unsure when to have the birth. Unmet need for limiting refers to pregnant women whose pregnancy was unwanted, amenorrhoeic women whose last child was unwanted, and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrhoeic and who are not using any method of family planning but want no more children. Excluded from the unmet need category are menopausal or infecund women and unmarried women who have not had sexual intercourse in the four weeks prior to the interview.
${ }^{2}$ Using for spacing is defined as women who are using some method of family planning and say they want to have another child or are undecided whether to have another. Using for limiting is defined as women who are using and who want no more children.
Note that the specific methods used are not taken into account here.
characteristics for all women. Although fertility desires remain high in Tanzania, there still exists a substantial need for family planning. The total demand for family planning for all women is 35 percent and 46 percent of the demand is satisfied. Overall, 19 percent of women have unmet need for family planning services- 12 percent for spacing and 7 percent for limiting births. Among 16 percent of women using a method (met need for family planning), 9 percent are spacing and 7 percent are limiting births.

Twenty-four percent of currently married women in Tanzania are in need of family planning services: 15 percent for spacing births and 9 percent for limiting births (see lower panel). On the other hand, 18 percent of married women are using a method (met need for family planning); 10 percent for spacing and 8 percent for limiting births. If all unmet need were satisfied, 42 percent of married women would be using a contraceptive method.

Interest in spacing births is largely concentrated among younger women (under age 30), while unmet need for limiting childbirth is higher among older women. Unmet need is greater among rural than urban women and is considerably higher among women in Zanzibar than women on the mainland ( 30 vs. 18 percent). Women in the Mara region show the greatest unmet need (27 percent), while those in the Kilimanjaro region have the lowest unmet need (14 percent). Unmet need for limiting childbirth is higher for women with no education or less educated women, and the percentage of demand satisfied increases with educational level.

Figure 6.4 shows that unmet need for family planning among currently married women in Tanzania has declined from 30 percent in 1991-92 to 24 percent in 1996 and the total demand satisfied has increased from 26 percent to 44 percent during the same period.

### 6.3 Ideal Number of Children

Information on what women and men consider the ideal family size was elicited through two questions. Respondents who had no children were asked, "If you could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" For respondents who had children the question was rephrased as follows: "If you could go back to the time when you did not have any children and could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" Some respondents, especially those for whom fertility control was an unfamiliar concept, might have had some difficulty in answering this hypothetical question.

Table 6.6 presents the distribution of respondents by ideal number of children, according to the actual number of living children (including current pregnancy). It should be noted that respondents were not forced to give an exact number of children, and only 8 percent of women and 7 percent of men gave a nonnumeric response to the question on ideal family size. This failure to specify an ideal family size could perhaps be due to lack of knowledge on how best to control their family sizes, to the belief that family size control is beyond them, or to indifference to any specific family size.

Those who gave numeric responses generally want to have large families. More than half of all women report five or more children as ideal and another 23 percent want to have four children. Overall, women report a mean ideal number of 5.5 children, compared to 5.9 for men.

Despite the high fertility preferences, the data indicate that there has been a gradual decline in the mean ideal family size among all women in Tanzania, from 6.1 children reported in 1991-92 to 5.5 in the 1996 TDHS.

## Table 6.6 Ideal and actual number of children

Percent distribution of all women and men by ideal number of children, and mean ideal number of children for all women and men and for currently married women and men, according to number of living children, Tanzania 1996

| Ideal number of children | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 1 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 10.4 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 5.1 |
| 3 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 9.1 |
| 4 | 26.7 | 29.7 | 30.8 | 23.0 | 20.6 | 10.4 | 11.6 | 23.2 |
| 5 | 15.0 | 17.1 | 19.6 | 18.2 | 14.0 | 16.5 | 7.1 | 15.2 |
| $6+$ | 19.8 | 24.5 | 33.1 | 43.9 | 55.2 | 59.0 | 67.3 | 39.0 |
| Non-numeric response | 11.3 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 7.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1,991 | 1,411 | 1,100 | 969 | 784 | 607 | 1,257 | 8,120 |
| Mean ideal number of children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For all women | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 5.5 |
| Number of women | 1,767 | 1,344 | 1,060 | 899 | 735 | 542 | 1,134 | 7,480 |
| For currently married women | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 5.9 |
| Number of women | 380 | 905 | 873 | 767 | 636 | 472 | 996 | 5,029 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 2 | 7.6 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 4.5 |
| 3 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 8.9 |
| 4 | 29.5 | 32.7 | 28.2 | 22.1 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 12.7 | 24.0 |
| 5 | 18.4 | 20.9 | 17.6 | 22.7 | 14.3 | 14.1 | 8.4 | 16.8 |
| $6+$ | 23.5 | 23.9 | 39.2 | 39.4 | 65.6 | 63.5 | 65.1 | 38.4 |
| Non-numeric response | 7.2 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 7.0 | 9.2 | 11.5 | 7.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of men | 974 | 228 | 206 | 188 | 158 | 127 | 375 | 2,256 |
| Mean ideal number of children: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For all men | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 8.7 | 5.9 |
| Number of men | 904 | 218 | 195 | 179 | 147 | 116 | 332 | 2,091 |
| For currently married men | 5.0 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 6.7 |
| Number of men | 103 | 168 | 172 | 168 | 146 | 113 | 327 | 1,198 |
| Monogamous men | 5.0 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 6.1 |
| Number of men | 98 | 162 | 163 | 151 | 131 | 94 | 227 | 1,026 |

[^5]Includes current pregnancy.

The ideal number of children increases with the actual number of living children for both men and women. The mean ideal number of children increases from 4.5 among childless women to 7.1 among women with six or more children. This correlation between actual and ideal number is driven by at least two phenomena. First, to the extent that women and men implement their preferences, those who want smaller families will tend to achieve small families. Second, women and men may "adjust" their ideal number of children upward, as the actual number of children increases (i.e., rationalisation). It is interesting to note that the mean number of children considered ideal by men is consistently higher than the mean number considered ideal by women.

The mean ideal number of children by age and selected background characteristics is given in Table 6.7 for all women and men. Ideal family size increases substantially with age, from 4.5 for women age 15-19 to 6.9 for women $45-49$; the pattern is similar for men (Figure 6.5). Urban women want one child fewer on average than rural women ( 4.4 vs. 5.7 ); this holds true for every age group. Similarly, the mean ideal family size for urban men is one child fewer than that of rural men. The ideal number of children for women in Zanzibar is higher than that for women on the mainland ( 6.9 vs. 5.4 ).

| Table 6.7 Mean ideal number of children by background characteristics <br> Mean ideal number of children for all women and all men by age and selected background characteristics, and for all men, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { women } \\ 15-49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { men } \\ 15-59 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 5.8 |
| Total urban | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 4.8 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| Other urban | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| Total rural | 4.7 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 6.2 |
| Zanzibar | 5.9 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 8.5 | (7.4) | (8.0) | 6.9 | 7.5 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 5.5 |
| Northern Highlands | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 5.2 | (5.2) | 6.3 |
| Lake | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 6.2 |
| Central | 4.3 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 5.9 |
| Southem Highlands | 4.2 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| Southern | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 5.9 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.4 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 6.8 | 7.9 |
| Primary incomplete | 4.5 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 6.4 |
| Primary complete | 4.4 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| Secondary+ | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 5.0 | (4.8) | * | * | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| Total women | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 5.5 | NA |
| Total men | 4.9 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 7.7 | NA | 5.9 |

Note: The ideal number of children for men $50-59$ is 8.8 . Figures in parentheses are based on $25-49$ cases; an asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 cases and has been suppressed.
NA = Not applicable.

Zonal variations reveal that women in the Coastal and Southern zones have the lowest mean ideal family size (5.1), while those in the Lake zone have the highest (6.0). Highly educated women exhibit a lower mean ideal number of children of 4.0 , while those with no education report a higher mean of 6.8 children. As far as education is concemed, men display a similar pattern to that of women.

### 6.4 Wanted and Unwanted Fertility

The level of unwanted fertility can be measured using the 1996 TDHS questions for each child born in the five years preceding the survey and any current pregnancy to determine whether the pregnancy was planned (wanted then), wanted but at a later time (mistimed) or unwanted (wanted no more children). The answers to these questions provide some insight into the degree to which couples can control fertility. The validity of the answers depends on the extent to which respondents were conscious of how they viewed the pregnancy at the time and how honestly they report. The limitation of such
 measures is that mistimed or unwanted pregnancies may turn out to be wanted children after birth and lead to rationalisation. Therefore, the proportion of births that are unwanted at the time of conception are likely to be underestimated.

Table 6.8 shows the percent distribution of births (including current pregnancy) in the five years preceding the survey by fertility planning status, according to birth order, and mother's age at birth. Seventy-five percent of the births in the past five years were wanted at the time of conception, while 15 percent were mistimed, and 9 percent were not wanted at the time they were conceived. The percentage of births that were mistimed or unwanted increases from 18 percent for first order births to 28 percent for fourth or higher order births. A much larger proportion of births to women more than 35 years old than births to younger women are unwanted.

Table 6.9 shows the total wanted fertility rates and the actual total fertility rates for the three years preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics. The wanted fertility rate is calculated in the

## Table 6.8 Fertility planning status

Percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey and current pregnancies by fertility planning status, according to birth order and mother's age at birth, Tanzania 1996

| Birth order and mother's age at birth | Planning status of conception |  |  | Missing | Total | Number of births ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wanted } \\ \text { then } \end{gathered}$ | Wanted later | Not wanted |  |  |  |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 81.1 | 8.0 | 9.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,651 |
| 2 | 76.5 | 16.8 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,365 |
| 3 | 74.3 | 20.0 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,162 |
| 4+ | 70.7 | 16.7 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3,530 |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 77.1 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,251 |
| 20-24 | 76.1 | 16.5 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 2,298 |
| 25-29 | 74.3 | 18.5 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,833 |
| 30-34 | 76.2 | 14.3 | 8.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,229 |
| 35-39 | 69.4 | 14.6 | 14.7 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 731 |
| 40-44 | 63.4 | 12.8 | 22.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 302 |
| 45-49 | 47.1 | 12.2 | 38.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 64 |
| Total | 74.5 | 15.3 | 9.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 7,708 |

[^6]same manner as the total fertility rate, but unwanted births are excluded from the numerator. For this purpose, unwanted births are defined as those that exceed the number considered ideal by the respondent. A comparison of the two rates suggests the potential impact of the elimination of unwanted births.

Overall, the wanted total fertility rate is $\mathbf{1 2}$ percent lower than the actual total fertility rate. If all unwanted births were to be eliminated, the total fertility rate in Tanzania would be 5.1 children per woman which is consistent with the reported ideal family size. The wanted fertility rate reported by women interviewed in the 1996 TDHS is 0.5 (half a child) lower than it was at the time of the TDHS 1991-92 (5.1 in 1996 vs. 5.6 in 1991-92) suggesting a move towards smaller family norms. Women in the Lake zone present both the highest total wanted fertility ( 6.0 ) as well as the highest total fertility rate (7.0). The gap between the wanted and actual total fertility rates is also somewhat larger among women in the Lake zone. The gap is smaller for women with secondary or higher education than those with lower education.

Table 6.9 Wanted fertility rates
Total wanted fertility rates and total fertility rates for the three years preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background <br> characteristic | Total wanted <br> fertility <br> rate | Total <br> fertility <br> rate |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |
| Mainland |  |  |
| Total urban | 5.1 | 5.8 |
| $\quad$ Dar es Salaam city | 3.5 | 4.1 |
| Other urban | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| Total rural | 3.7 | 4.4 |
| Zanzibar | 5.5 | 6.3 |
| Zone | $(5.2)$ | $(5.9)$ |
| Coastal |  |  |
| Northern Highlands | 4.3 | 4.9 |
| Lake | 4.9 | 5.7 |
| Central | 6.0 | 7.0 |
| Southem Highlands | 5.3 | 6.1 |
| Southern | 4.9 | 5.4 |
| Education | 4.4 | 4.9 |
| No education |  |  |
| Primary incomplete | 5.6 | 6.4 |
| Primary complete | 5.0 | 5.9 |
| Secondary+ | 4.6 | 5.4 |
| Total | 3.0 | 3.2 |

Note: Rates are based on births to women 15-49 in the period 1-36 months preceding the survey. The total fertility rates are the same as those presented in Table 3.2. The total fertility rates in parentheses are based on 500-999 women age 15-49.

## CHAPTER 7

## INFANT AND CHILD MORTALITY

This chapter presents estimates of levels, trends, and differentials of neonatal, postneonatal, infant, and childhood mortality among children under five years of age in Tanzania. In addition, information is presented on high-risk fertility behaviour among Tanzanian women. The data presented here are important not only in the understanding of the demographic profile, but also in the design of policies and programmes aimed at the reduction of infant and child mortality and the high risk to mothers arising out of childbirth.

### 7.1 Assessment of Data Quality

The rates of childhood mortality presented in this chapter are defined as follows:

- Neonatal mortality (NN): the probability of dying within the first month of life
- Postneonatal mortality (PNN): the arithmetic difference between infant and neonatal mortality
- Infant mortality $\left(\mathrm{I}_{0}\right)$ : the probability of dying between birth and the first birthday
- Child mortality $\left({ }_{4} q_{1}\right)$ : the probability of dying between exact age one and the fifth birthday
- Under-five mortality ( ${ }_{5} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ ): the probability of dying between birth and the fifth birthday.

All rates are expressed as deaths per 1,000 live births, except child mortality which is expressed as deaths per 1,000 children surviving to the first birthday.

The mortality rates presented in this chapter are calculated from information drawn from questions asked in the birth history section of the female questionnaire. Preceding the birth history, probing questions are posed on the aggregate childbearing experience of respondents (i.e., the number of sons and daughters who live with the mother, the number who live elsewhere, and the number who have died). In the birth history, for each live birth, information is collected on sex, month and year of birth, survivorship status and current age, and age at death if the child died.

In theory, information from birth histories gives the most robust estimates of infant and child mortality, short of an actual birth and death registration. However, in practice, this information may suffer from problems. Prominent among these are the omissions of some births and deaths, especially infants that died shortly after birth, and the misstatement of date of birth and age at death. Omission of infant deaths is usually most severe for deaths which occur early in infancy. An examination of the 1996 TDHS data on infant and child mortality indicates that the data are of good quality and that there are no serious biases in reporting. Detailed discussions on data quality are given in Appendix C.

### 7.2 Levels and Trends in Infant and Child Mortality

Table 7.1 presents neonatal, postneonatal, infant, child, and under-five mortality rates for three fiveyear periods, namely, 0-4, 5-9, and 10-14 years before the survey. Looking at the most recent period ( $0-4$ years before the survey or mid-1991 to mid-1996), approximately two-thirds of the deaths among children under five occurred during the first year of life: the infant mortality rate stands at 88 per 1,000 births. The neonatal
mortality rate (mortality in the first month of life) is low, 32 per 1,000 live births, while postneonatal mortality is 56 deaths per 1,000 live births. Under-five mortality in Tanzania is 137 per 1,000 live births. This is quite a high level of mortality because almost one in every seven children dies before the fifth birthday.

Results from the 1996 TDHS suggest a marked decline in child mortality over the years. All mortality rates in Table 7.1, with the exception of postneonatal mortality, have declined steadily over the 15 years before the survey, with an 18 percent decline in under-five mortality, a 24 percent decline in child mortality, and a 14 percent decline in infant mortality. However, the biggest improvement was made in neonatal mortality with a decline of 32 percent. There is evidence of a decline when mortality rates in the 1996 TDHS are compared with the 1991-92 TDHS. For example, the infant mortality rate has declined from 92 to 88 deaths per 1,000 births and under-five mortality has declined from 141 to 137 (Figure 7.1).


Figure 7.1
Trends in Infant and Under-five Mortality Rates 1979-1994

$190=$ inłant mortality, $5 q 0=$ Under-five mortality $\quad$ TDHS 1996

### 7.3 Socioeconomic Differentials in Childhood Mortality

Differentials in the various mortality rates by selected background characteristics are presented in Table 7.2. The table focuses largely on basic socioeconomic characteristics, including urban-rural areas, zones, mother's educational level, and matemal care prior to birth. A 10-year period (1987-1996) is used to calculate the mortality estimates in order to have a sufficient number of cases in each category, except maternity care, for which a three-year period is used. The rates are based on a sufficient number of cases in each category to ensure statistically reliable estimates.

Mortality is consistently lower in urban than rural areas (Figures 7.2 and 7.3). In the 10 years preceding the survey, infant mortality is about 14 percent lower and under-five mortality is 19 percent lower in urban than in rural areas on the mainland. There are considerable variations in mortality by zones. Infant mortality rates are the lowest ( 41 per 1,000 live births) in the Northem Highlands. Except for this zone, infant mortality is about 100 per 1,000 live births in all other zones.

Table 7.2 Infant and child mortality by background characteristics
Infant and child mortality rates for the 10 -year period preceding the survey, by residence, zone, education, and medical maternity care, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | Infant mortality $\left({ }_{1} \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$ | Child mortality $\left.{ }_{4} q_{1}\right)$ | Under-five mortality $\left({ }_{5} \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 36.4 | 58.4 | 94.7 | 56.6 | 146.0 |
| Total Urban | 33.5 | 49.6 | 83.1 | 42.6 | 122.2 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 28.4 | 42.5 | 70.9 | 42.0 | 110.0 |
| Other Urban | 35.5 | 52.3 | 87.8 | 42.8 | 126.8 |
| Total Rural | 37.0 | 60.2 | 97.1 | 59.6 | 150.9 |
| Zanzibar | (34.6) | 40.7 | 75.3 | 34.8 | 107.5 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 40.7 | 60.5 | 101.3 | 61.0 | 156.0 |
| Northem Highlands | 18.5 | 22.1 | 40.6 | 30.0 | 69.3 |
| Lake | 36.9 | 63.1 | 100.0 | 52.4 | 147.1 |
| Central | 39.8 | 58.3 | 98.1 | 60.6 | 152.7 |
| Southern Highlands | 41.5 | 60.0 | 101.5 | 71.7 | 165.9 |
| Southern | 36.0 | 71.9 | 107.9 | 63.2 | 164.2 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 39.9 | 66.0 | 105.9 | 62.6 | 161.9 |
| Primary incomplete | 35.8 | 64.7 | 100.6 | 55.6 | 150.6 |
| Primary complete | 33.9 | 51.1 | 85.0 | 52.2 | 132.7 |
| Secondary+ | (36.9) | 27.0 | 63.9 | 26.6 | 88.8 |
| Medical maternity care ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No antenatal or delivery care | 49.7 | 102.7 | 152.4 | NA | NA |
| Either antenatal or delivery care | 29.4 | 57.5 | 86.9 | NA | NA |
| Both antenatal and delivery care | 31.9 | 47.5 | 79.3 | NA | NA |
| Total | 36.3 | 57.8 | 94.1 | 55.9 | 144.8 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are rates based on 250-499 births.
${ }^{\text {I }}$ Refers to births in the three years before the survey.
NA $=$ Not applicable.

Figure 7.2
Infant Mortality by Selected Background Characteristics


As expected, education of the mother displays a strong negative relationship with infant and child mortality. Children born to mothers with no education suffer the highest mortality. The under-five mortality of children born to mothers with incomplete primary education is 7 percent lower than that for children whose mothers have no education. At higher levels of education the effect is even more dramatic. It can be observed that educating women up to secondary and higher level reduces under-five mortality rates by nearly half.

It is also clear from


Table 7.2 that the type of maternity care women receive is crucial in infant and child survival. Mothers who receive neither antenatal nor delivery care experience the highest neonatal and infant mortality. Receiving any medical care, whether antenatal or delivery care, reduces mortality substantially. The information suggests that if all Tanzanian women today were to receive medical care either during pregnancy or at delivery, early childhood mortality would be reduced by more than 40 percent. On the other hand, if Tanzanian mothers received medical care both during the antenatal period and during delivery, postneonatal and infant mortality would be cut in half and neonatal mortality would be cut by more than one-third.

### 7.4 Demographic Differentials in Mortality

Besides the socioeconomic differentials, demographic factors of both mother and child have been found to influence infant and child mortality to a great extent. These include sex of the child, age of mother, birth order, length of previous birth interval, and the mother's perception of the size of the child at birth. The relationship between these demographic characteristics and mortality is shown in Table 7.3.

Male children experience higher mortality than their female counterparts. Under-five mortality rates for males and females are 154 and 135 deaths per 1,000 live births, respectively. The excess mortality among male children does not diminish after infancy as expected.

The relationship between maternal age (at birth) and childhood mortality is U-shaped, being much higher among children born to mothers age less than 20 or more than 40 years of age. As expected first births and higher order births (order 7 or higher) experience higher mortality. For example, infant mortality rate for first births and births of order seven and higher is around 110, compared with 82-86 for births of order 2-6.

A marked relationship exists between the length of the preceding birth interval and risk of early childhood mortality. The 1996 TDHS data show that short birth intervals significantly reduce a child's chance of survival (Figures 7.2-7.3). Children born less than two years after a preceding sibling are almost twice as likely to die in infancy as those born four or more years after a preceding sibling ( 137 vs .73 per 1,000 ). During ages one to four years, children born after a short interval are more than twice as likely to die as their counterparts born after an interval of four or more years ( 83 vs .38 per 1,000 ). These findings suggest the need to reduce mortality risks for Tanzanian children by promoting family planning use and traditional practices such as breastfeeding, to space births further apart.

| Table 73 Infant and child mortality by biodemographic characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infant and child mortality rates for the 10 -year period preceding the survey, by selected biodemographic characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biodemographic characteristic | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | Infant mortality $\left({ }_{1} q_{0}\right)$ | Child mortality $\left.{ }_{4} \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ | Under-five mortality ${ }_{5} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ ) |
| Sex of child |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 39.9 | 60.9 | 100.8 | 59.3 | 154.2 |
| Female | 32.6 | 54.5 | 87.1 | 52.4 | 134.9 |
| Age of mother at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 52.2 | 67.7 | 120.0 | 63.7 | 176.1 |
| 20.29 | 28.9 | 56.5 | 85.4 | 54.1 | 134.9 |
| $30 \cdot 39$ | 39.2 | 54.1 | 93.4 | 50.3 | 139.0 |
| 40-49 | 43.0 | 52.2 | 95.2 | 86.2 | 173.2 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 44.1 | 64.2 | 108.3 | 50.4 | 153.2 |
| 2-3 | 30.7 | 55.4 | 86.1 | 60.9 | 141.7 |
| 4-6 | 29.5 | 52.8 | 82.3 | 51.0 | 129.1 |
| 7+ | 49.0 | 62.9 | 111.9 | 62.5 | 167.4 |
| Prevous birth interval |  |  |  |  |  |
| <2 years | 54.9 | 81.9 | 136.8 | 82.7 | 208.2 |
| 2-3 years | 29.7 | 47.8 | 77.5 | 52.3 | 125.8 |
| 4 or more years | 20.6 | 52.1 | 72.7 | 38.2 | 108.2 |
| Size at birth ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small or very small | 73.7 | 74.7 | 148.4 | NA | NA |
| A verage or larger | 26.6 | 50.7 | 77.3 | NA | NA |
| Total | 36.3 | 57.8 | 94.1 | 55.9 | 144.8 |
| ' Refers to births in the three years before the survey. NA $=$ Not applicable. |  |  |  |  |  |

A child's size at birth is an important determinant of its survival during infancy. In the 1996 TDHS, mothers were asked whether their young children were very small, small, average, large, or very large at birth. This type of subjective assessment has been shown to correlate closely with actual birth weight. Newborns perceived by their mothers to be small or very small are much more likely to die in the first month of life than those perceived as average or larger in size. Neonatal and infant mortality is very high for children who are reported being small at birth by their mothers.

### 7.5 High-Risk Fertility Behaviour

This section examines the relative importance of under-five mortality risk factors. Research has shown that infants and children have a greater probability of dying if they are born to mothers who are too young or too old, if they are born after a short birth interval, or if they are of high birth order. In the analysis of the effects of high-risk fertility behaviour on child survival, a mother is classified as "too young" if she is less than 18 years of age, and "too old" if she is more than 34 years of age at the time of delivery. A "short birth interval" is defined as a birth occurring less than 24 months after the previous birth, and a child is of "high birth order" if the mother had previously given birth to three or more children (i.e., if the child is of birth order four or higher). Children can be further cross-classified by combinations of these characteristics. Column one of Table 7.4 shows the percentage of births occurring in the five years before the survey that fall into these various risk categories.

Results show that about 58 percent of the children born in the five years before the survey fall into at least one risk category; 20 percent of births are characterised by two or more risk factors. The most serious single mortality risk is being bom to mothers more than 34 years of age (risk is 1.6 ), however very few births fall into this single category. Also at elevated risk of early mortality are children who are born to mothers under age 18 or after a short birth interval ( $<24$ months). These births suffer a mortality risk about 37 percent higher than births not in any high-risk category. In all, 6 percent of births occur to mothers below age 18 and another 6 percent occur less than 24 months after a prior birth.

Although higher birth orders do not constitute any increased mortality risk for the children, it is important to note that when this phenomenon is combined with short birth intervals, the risk of mortality rises by more than 39 percent. A combination of young age at birth and short birth interval contributes to the highest risk of mortality (risk is 2.4 ).

The third column of Table 7.4 shows that about three-fourths of currently married women have the potential for a high-risk birth. Three in ten women are at risk from a single risk, while 44 percent are at risk from multiple risk factors.

Similar patterns of high-risk fertility behaviour were also found in the 1991-92 TDHS.

## Table 7.4 High-risk fertility behaviour

Percent distribution of children born in the five years preceding the survey by category of elevated risk of mortality, and the percent distribution of currently married women at risk of conceiving a child with an elevated risk of mortality, by category of increased risk, Tanzania 1996

| Risk category | Births in 5 years preceding the survey |  | Percentage of currently matried |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of births | Risk ratio |  |
| Not in any high-risk category | 25.9 | 1.00 | $18.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Unavoidable risk category |  |  |  |
| First births to women 18-34 | 16.1 | 1.16 | 6.8 |
| Single high.risk category |  |  |  |
| Mother's age <18 | 5.6 | 1.37 | 0.8 |
| Mother's age $>34$ | 0.4 | 1.58 | 3.2 |
| Birth interval < 24 months | 5.6 | 1.36 | 9.6 |
| Birth order >3 | 26.3 | 0.84 | 17.2 |
| Subtotal | 37.9 | 1.00 | 30.7 |
| Multiple high-risk category |  |  |  |
| Age $<18$ \& birth interval < $24{ }^{\text {c }}$ months | 0.4 | 2.38 | 0.5 |
| Age > 34 \& birth interval $<24$ months | 0.0 | - | 0.1 |
| Age $>34$ \& birth order > 3 | 11.9 | 1.07 | 24.0 |
| Age $>34 \&$ birth interval $<24$ months \& birth order >3 | 1.9 | 2.28 | 5.7 |
| Birth interval <24 months $\&$ birth order >3 | 5.9 | 1.39 | 13.3 |
| Subtotal | 20.0 | 1.30 | 43.7 |
| In any high-risk category | 57.9 | 1.10 | 74.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |
| Number of births | 6,916 | - | 5,411 |

Note: Risk ratio is the ratio of the proportion dead of births in a specific high-risk category to the proportion dead of births not in any high-risk category.
${ }^{2}$ Women were assigned to risk categories according to the status they would have at the birth of a child, if the child were conceived at the time of the survey: age less than 17 years and 3 months, age older than 34 years and 2 months, latest birth less than 15 months ago, and latest birth of order 3 or higher.
${ }^{5}$ Includes sterilised wormen.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes the combined categories Age < 18 and birth order $>3$.

## CHAPTER 8

## MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH

This chapter presents findings from the 1996 TDHS in three areas of importance to maternal and child health. These are maternal care and characteristics of the newborn, childhood vaccinations, and common childhood illnesses and their treatment. One of the priorities of the Ministry of Health of the Tanzania Government is the provision of medical care during pregnancy and at delivery which is essential for the survival of both the mother and infant. The 1996 TDHS results provide another opportunity to assess progress in the implementation of child survival programmes and to identify the characteristics of nonusers of maternal and child health services and hence identify women whose babies are at risk. In this way, the information will assist policymakers in the planning of appropriate strategies to improve maternal and child care.

### 8.1 Antenatal Care

## Prevalence and Source of Antenatal Checkup

Table 8.1 shows the percent distribution of live births in the five years preceding the survey by source of antenatal care received during pregnancy, according to maternal and background characteristics. Interviewers recorded all persons a woman may have seen for care, but in the table, only the provider with the highest qualification is considered (if more than one person was seen). The results of the survey indicate very high utilisation of antenatal care in Tanzania for most pregnancies ( 97 percent). In most cases, antenatal care was provided by a trained nurse or midwife ( 43 percent), or a health aide (40 percent). Doctors provided about 7 percent of antenatal care, while traditional birth attendants (TBAs) provided 8 percent of antenatal care. During the five-year period preceding the survey, mothers who did not receive antenatal care accounted for only 2 percent of live births (Figure 8.1).

Source of antenatal care by women's age and birth order of the child varies slightly. Younger women are more likely to receive antenatal care from a more medically skilled provider than older women. For example, 51
 percent of women below age 20 were seen by a doctor, trained nurse, or midwife, compared to 43 percent of women 35 years or older. Similarly, lower order births are more likely to receive antenatal care from a doctor, trained nurse, or trained midwife.

Women in urban areas were more likely to receive antenatal care from a doctor, trained nurse, or midwife, while women in rural areas were more likely to receive antenatal care from health aides and TBAs.

## Table 8.1 Antenatal care

Percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by source of antenatal care during pregnancy, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Antenatal care provider ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Nurse/ Trained midwife | Health aide | Traditional birth attendant ${ }^{2}$ | No one | Missing |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 8.8 | 42.1 | 38.7 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,142 |
| 20-34 | 6.6 | 43.8 | 39.3 | 7.3 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 4,796 |
| $35+$ | 4.5 | 38.9 | 43.3 | 9.3 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 979 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10.0 | 42.3 | 38.7 | 6.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,474 |
| 2-3 | 6.8 | 43.9 | 38.8 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2,271 |
| 4-5 | 6.1 | 44.6 | 39.4 | 6.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,502 |
| $6+$ | 4.2 | 40.3 | 42.3 | 9.7 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,669 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 6.8 | 43.1 | 39.2 | 7.8 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 6,693 |
| Total urban | 14.5 | 62.9 | 18.8 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,165 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 24.0 | 64.1 | 10.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 327 |
| Other urban | 10.7 | 62.4 | 22.3 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 838 |
| Total rural | 5.2 | 38.9 | 43.4 | 8.9 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 5,529 |
| Zanzibar | 2.5 | 34.9 | 58.6 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 223 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 14.4 | 39.7 | 38.6 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 312 |
| Arusha | 11.9 | 36.0 | 28.4 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 547 |
| Kilimanjaro | 6.4 | 36.4 | 44.9 | 11.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Tanga | 2.2 | 21.1 | 56.2 | 19.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 365 |
| Morogoro | 4.3 | 52.3 | 33.4 | 6.3 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 327 |
| Coast | 13.2 | 50.5 | 33.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 104 |
| Dar es Salaam | 22.2 | 66.1 | 10.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 377 |
| Lindi | 12.7 | 63.2 | 20.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 129 |
| Miwara | 3.8 | 60.5 | 34.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 235 |
| Ruvuma | 1.0 | 52.4 | 41.1 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 250 |
| Iringa | 2.0 | 35.1 | 52.7 | 8.4 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Mbeya | 5.8 | 73.4 | 17.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 363 |
| Singida | 7.5 | 50.7 | 39.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 258 |
| Tabora | 0.7 | 13.3 | 69.3 | 13.3 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Rukwa | 2.8 | 53.5 | 35.1 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 4.2 | 14.5 | 63.4 | 14.5 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 342 |
| Shinyanga | 1.2 | 42.9 | 41.5 | 12.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 635 |
| Каgera | 8.2 | 19.2 | 61.0 | 8.8 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 540 |
| Mwanza | 2.9 | 51.3 | 35.4 | 8.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 580 |
| Mara | 15.2 | 56.4 | 18.2 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 4.7 | 35.9 | 42.7 | 10.2 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 2,048 |
| Primary incomplete | 6.7 | 42.9 | 37.9 | 10.1 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,138 |
| Primary complete | 7.2 | 46.2 | 39.3 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 3,493 |
| Secondary+ | 15.4 | 51.8 | 30.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 236 |
| Total | 6.7 | 42.8 | 39.8 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 6,916 |

In the mainland urban areas, 15 percent of births received antenatal care from a doctor, compared with 5 percent in rural areas. Moreover, 63 percent of births to women in urban areas received antenatal care from a trained nurse or midwife compared to 39 percent in rural areas. These differences reflect the fact that doctors, trained nurses, and midwives are concentrated mainly in urban areas, making them more accessible to urban women. Doctors, nurses, and midwives are prominent in the city of Dar es Salaam where they provided antenatal care for 24 percent and 64 percent of births, respectively. In Zanzibar, only 3 percent of births received antenatal care from a doctor and 35 percent from a trained nurse or midwife. There are regional variations in the utilisation of antenatal care. Apart from the Dar es Salaam region, which is predominantly urban, more than 10 percent of births in Mara ( 15 percent), Dodoma ( 14 percent), Coast and Lindi ( 13 percent), and Arusha ( 12 percent) received antenatal care from a doctor. Regions which recorded the highest percentages of antenatal care from a nurse or midwife include Mbeya ( 73 percent), Dar es Salaam ( 66 percent), Lindi ( 63 percent), Mtwara ( 60 percent), Mara ( 56 percent), Rukwa ( 54 percent), Morogoro and Ruvuma ( 52 percent), and Coast, Singida, and Mwanza ( 51 percent). At the other end, Arusha ( 11 percent) had the highest percentage of births that did not receive antenatal care, followed by Rukwa (5 percent), and Dodoma (4 percent).

Births to women with no education were less likely to receive antenatal care than births to women who had at least completed primary education. The proportion of births to women who obtained antenatal care from a doctor increases from 5 percent among women with no education to 15 percent among women with secondary or higher education. Likewise, antenatal care from a nurse or midwife increases from 36 percent of births to women with no education to 52 percent of births to women with secondary or higher education. Births to women with no education were more likely to receive antenatal care from a health aide ( 43 percent) or birth attendant ( 10 percent) than births to women with secondary or higher education ( 31 percent and 1 percent, respectively).

## Number and Timing of Antenatal Visits

Pregnancy monitoring and detection of complications are the main objectives of antenatal care. The advantage of starting antenatal care within the first three months of pregnancy is that a woman's normal baseline health can be assessed and monitoring can be done regularly. Obstetricians generally recommend that antenatal visits be made monthly for the first seven months of pregnancy, fortnightly in the eighth month, and then weekly until birth. If the first visit is made during the third month of pregnancy, this schedule translates to a total of about 12 to 13 visits. To detect possible delivery complications at least one visit is required during the last week of pregnancy.

Table 8.2 presents data on the number of antenatal care visits made and stages of pregnancy at the first visit. For 70 percent of the births in the five years before the survey, mothers made four or more antenatal care visits and for 23 percent of births, mothers made between two and three visits. For two percent of the births, women did not make any antenatal visits. The median number of antenatal care visits was 3.9 which suggests that many women make fewer than the recommended number of 12 visits. This may be related to the stage of pregnancy at the first antenatal care visit. For 61 percent of births, women received antenatal care before the sixth month of gestation and for 35 percent of births, women did not receive antenatal care until the sixth or seventh month of pregnancy. The median time at which mothers started antenatal visits is 5.6 months.

Table 8.2 Number of antenatal care visits and stage of pregnancy

Percent distribution of live births in the past five years by number of antenatal care visits, and by the stage of pregnancy at the time of the first visit, Tanzania 1991-1996

|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | TDHS | TDHS |
| Characteristic | $1991-92$ | 1996 |
| Number of visits |  |  |
| None | 3.6 | 2.1 |
| 1 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| $2-3$ visits | 23.5 | 22.5 |
| 4+ visits | 69.5 | 69.5 |
| Don't know/missing | 2.4 | 4.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Median | 5.0 | 3.9 |
|  |  |  |
| Number of months |  |  |
| pregnant at first visit |  |  |
| No antenatal care | 3.6 | 2.1 |
| $<6$ months | 60.1 | 60.5 |
| 6-7 months | 34.0 | 34.7 |
| $8+$ months. | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Don't know/missing | 0.5 | 1.0 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Median | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| Number of births | 8,032 | 6,916 |

When the 1996 TDHS data on antenatal care are compared with the 1991-92 results, the proportion of births for whom the mother received antenatal care and timing of the first visit have remained constant except that the median number of visits has declined by one (Table 8.2).

## Tetanus Toxoid Vaccination

Tetanus toxoid injections are given during pregnancy for the prevention of neonatal tetanus, a common cause of death among infants in many settings around the world. For full protection, a pregnant woman needs two doses of the toxoid. However, if a woman has been vaccinated during a previous pregnancy, she may only require one dose for a current pregnancy. Five doses are considered adequate to provide lifetime protection. To estimate the extent of tetanus toxoid coverage during pregnancy, women were asked to report if they received these injections against tetanus during pregnancy for all births in the five-year period preceding the survey. The results are presented in Table 8.3 (also in Figure 8.1).

In Tanzania, almost all women ( 91 percent) received tetanus toxoid vaccination during pregnancy, with women receiving two or more doses of vaccine for almost 75 percent of births and only one dose of tetanus toxoid vaccine for 17 percent of births. Younger women and women with Iow parity are more likely to have received two or more doses of tetanus toxoid than their counterparts. Compared with rural births, biths occurring in urban areas are slightly more likely to have received two or more doses of tetanus toxoid. In the mainland, 82 percent of births to urban mothers received two or more tetanus toxoid injections during pregnancy compared to 73 percent of births to rural mothers. Mothers in Zanzibar were much less likely to receive two doses of tetanus toxoid ( 68 percent of births) than their counterparts in the mainland ( 75 percent).

Tetanus vaccination status varies among regions. In three regions-Arusha, Morogoro, and Rukwa-more than 10 percent of births had no tetanus toxoid injections during pregnancy. In all regions, more than 60 percent of all births in the five-year period preceding the survey received at least two doses of tetanus toxoid, but the Coast, Dar es Salaam, Lindi, Mtwara, Tabora and Tanga regions exceeded 80 percent coverage. There is a positive relationship between mothers' education and tetanus toxoid coverage. The proportion of births whose mothers received two or more doses of tetanus toxoid during pregnancy increases from 69 percent among women with no education to 77 percent among those with secondary or higher education.

### 8.2 Medical Care at Delivery

## Place of Delivery

An important element in reducing health risks for mothers and children are increasing the proportion of babies that are delivered in medical facilities. Proper medical attention and hygienic conditions during delivery can reduce the risk of complications and infections that can cause death or serious illness to either the mother or the baby. Table 8.4 presents the distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by place of delivery.

Overall, 47 percent of births were delivered in a health facility, while about half of the births were delivered at home. The proportion of births delivered in a health facility decreases with mothers' age and birth order. Urban births were much more likely to take place in health facilities than rural births. Births in the mainland were also more likely to take place in health facilities than those in Zanzibar ( 47 and 31 percent, respectively). Four out of five births in the Dar es Salaam and Ruvuma regions were delivered at a health facility, compared with three out of five in Kilimanjaro and Tabora, and one out of two births in the Morogoro, Mtwara, and Coast regions. Mother's education is strongly related to place of delivery. The proportion of births delivered at health facilities increases from 29 percent among mothers with no education to 79 percent among mothers with secondary or higher education.

| Table 8.3 Tetanus toxoid vaccinations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by number of tetanus toxoid injections received during pregnancy, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of tetanus toxoid injections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | None | One dose | Two doses or more | Don't know/ missing | Total | Number of births |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 5.9 | 14.1 | 78.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,142 |
| 20-34 | 6.6 | 17.7 | 74.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 4,796 |
| 35+ | 10.1 | 18.0 | 70.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 979 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4.7 | 13.4 | 80.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,474 |
| 2-3 | 7.1 | 17.0 | 74.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 2,271 |
| 4.5 | 7.4 | 18.5 | 72.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 1,502 |
| 6+ | 8.6 | 19.5 | 70.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,669 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 7.0 | 16.9 | 74.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 6,693 |
| Total urban | 2.9 | 14.0 | 81.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,165 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 1.8 | 13.7 | 83.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 327 |
| Other urban | 3.3 | 14.1 | 81.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 838 |
| Total rural | 7.9 | 17.5 | 72.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 5,529 |
| Zanzibar | 5.3 | 24.4 | 68.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 223 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 9.0 | 12.6 | 77.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 312 |
| Arusha | 13.5 | 18.6 | 65.6 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 547 |
| Kilimanjaro | 3.9 | 19.4 | 76.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Tanga | 2.2 | 10.9 | 84.0 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 365 |
| Morogoro | 10.9 | 17.5 | 70.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 327 |
| Coast | 3.3 | 13.2 | 80.2 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 104 |
| Dar es Salaam | 1.6 | 13.9 | 83.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 377 |
| Lindi | 5.9 | 9.5 | 80.9 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 129 |
| Mtwara | 3.4 | 15.5 | 80.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 235 |
| Ruvuma | 6.5 | 17.3 | 74.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 250 |
| Iringa | 7.8 | 20.3 | 71.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Mbeya | 6.2 | 13.3 | 79.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 363 |
| Singida | 9.7 | 18.7 | 70.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 258 |
| Tabora | 0.7 | 12.7 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Rukwa | 10.5 | 13.3 | 75.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 9.5 | 17.0 | 71.5 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 342 |
| Shinyanga | 9.8 | 24.2 | 64.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 635 |
| Kagera | 5.2 | 21.3 | 70.7 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 540 |
| Mwanza | 5.7 | 15.9 | 78.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 580 |
| Mara | 5.3 | 14.5 | 74.6 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 12.1 | 16.9 | 69.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 2,048 |
| Primary incomplete | 6.2 | 16.7 | 75.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,138 |
| Primary complete | 4.5 | 17.5 | 76.7 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 3,493 |
| Secondary+ | 3.0 | 17.3 | 77.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 236 |
| Total | 7.0 | 17.2 | 74.3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 6,916 |


| Table 8,4 Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by place of delivery, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Place of dclivery |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { births } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Health facility | Home | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't know/ } \\ & \text { missing } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 53.3 | 43.5 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 1,142 |
| ${ }_{35+}^{20-34}$ | 37.1 | 58.1 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 4,979 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2}^{1}$ | ${ }_{47}^{62.1}$ | 34.9 49.3 | 3.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 1,474 |
| 4-5 | 41.7 | 53.7 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 1,502 |
| $6+$ | 35.7 | 59.1 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 1,669 |
| Residence 470 489 40 1000 6603 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland ${ }_{\text {Total urban }}$ | 47.0 80.7 | 48.9 17.0 | ${ }_{2.3}^{4.0}$ | 100.0 100.0 | 6,693 1,165 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 86.3 | 11.6 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 327 |
| Other urban | 78.6 | 19.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 838 |
| Zanzibar | 40.0 | 557.7 | 4.4 | 10000 | 5,529 |
| Zanzibar |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region $415 \quad 574{ }^{\text {l }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma Arusha | ${ }_{41.3}^{41.5}$ | 57.4 54.1 | 4.6 | 100.0 100.0 | 512 |
| Arsta | 64.3 | 31.1 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Tanga | 45.0 | 54.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 365 |
| Morogoro | 54.0 51.1 | 44.7 | 1.3 4.9 | 100.0 100.0 | $\begin{array}{r}327 \\ 104 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Dares Salaam | 85.9 | 12.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 377 |
| Lindi | 49.5 | 42.3 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 129 |
| Mtwara | 51.5 79.8 | 47.8 17.8 | 0.7 2.4 | 100.0 100.0 | 235 250 |
| Iringa | 46.6 | 53.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Mbeya | 46.9 | 52.7 5.5 | 0.4 | 1000 | 363 |
| ${ }_{\text {Singida }}^{\text {Tabora }}$ | 47.1 63.3 | 51.5 28.7 | 1.4 8.0 | 100.0 100.0 | ${ }_{171}^{258}$ |
| Rukwa | 45.6 | 53.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 35.5 | 61.7 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 342 |
| Shinyanga | 38.9 <br> 29.6 | 50.4 67.7 | 10.7 2.7 | 100.0 100.0 | 635 540 |
| Mwanza | 37.6 | 53.8 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 580 |
| Mara | 31.7 | 58.7 | 9.6 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Mother's education 29.264 .95900 |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 29.2 | 64.9 56.3 |  | 100.0 | 2,048 1138 |
| Primary incomplete Primary complete | 56.6 | 40.4 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 3,493 |
| Secondary+ ${ }^{\text {Prete }}$ | 78.5 | 18.0 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 236 |
| Antenatal care visits 70.759171 |  |  |  |  |  |
| None ${ }_{\text {N }}$ | 7.0 35.7 | 75.9 60.0 | 17.1 | 100.0 100.0 | 149 1,656 |
| ${ }_{4}^{1-3}$ or more visits | 51.5 | 45.8 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 4,805 |
| Don't know/missing | 46.6 | 38.0 | 15.4 | 100.0 | 307 |
| Total | 46.5 | 49.5 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 6,916 |

## Assistance During Delivery

The type of assistance a woman receives during childbirth has important health consequences for both mother and child. Therefore, in addition to the place of delivery, the 1996 TDHS collected data on the type of personnel who assisted during delivery. Table 8.5 shows the percent distribution of live births in the five years before the survey by type of assistance received during delivery, according to background characteristics. Overall, 6 percent were assisted by a doctor, 33 percent by a trained nurse or midwife, 9 percent by a health aide, 18 percent by a birth attendant (TBA), 28 percent by a relative or some other person, and 7 percent of all births were delivered without assistance. Maternal age and child's birth order are associated with type of assistance at delivery; births to older women and those of higher order are more likely to be delivered without any assistance, whereas first births and births to younger women tend to receive better care during delivery. This is encouraging, since first births pose greater risks than subsequent births.

As might be expected, births in urban areas are more likely to be assisted by medical personnel (doctor, trained nurse, or midwife) than rural births. Regional differences in types of assistance at delivery are also prominent. Lake regions, that is Shinyanga, Kagera, Mwanza and Mara, are least likely to receive assistance during delivery. Regions which recorded the highest proportions of births assisted by a doctor, nurse, or midwife during delivery are Dar es Salaam ( 87 percent), Ruvuma ( 68 percent), and Kilimanjaro ( 56 percent).

Again, mother's education is closely related to better supervision at delivery. The percentage of births assisted by doctors, nurses, and midwives increases from 21 percent of births to women with no education to 79 percent of births to women with secondary or higher education.

Not surprisingly, the more antenatal visits a woman makes while pregnant, the greater the likelihood that her baby will be delivered with assistance from medically trained staff. Among births for which mothers made no antenatal visit, only 7 percent were assisted by either doctors or nurses/midwives, compared with 42 percent of babies for whom mothers had four or more antenatal visits.

### 8.3 Characteristics of Delivery

In addition to the information regarding place of and assistance during delivery, the 1996 TDHS collected information on several other aspects relating to the delivery of births. Questions on birth weight and size of the baby at birth were included to estimate the proportion of low birth weight infants.

Table 8.6 presents the percentage of live births in the past five years that were delivered by caesarean section, and the distribution by birth weight and the mother's estimate of baby's size at birth. Based on the reports of mothers, only 2 percent of babies born in Tanzania are delivered by caesarean section. Caesarean sections are less common amongst older women, women with more children, rural women, women from Zanzibar, and those with little or no education. The Dar es Salaam and Kilimanjaro regions have the highest percentage of caesarean deliveries ( 5 percent), followed by Arusha ( 4 percent), and Tabora and Ruvuma ( 3 percent), while Lindi, Rukwa and Mara have less than one percent of births with caesarean deliveries.

A birth weight was reported for about half of births. Among the births for which a birth weight is reported, 89 percent ( 44 percent of all births) were reported to have a weight of more than 2.5 kg . Only 11 percent ( 5 percent of all births) were reported to have a weight of less than 2.5 kg , which is considered low birth weight.

## Table 8.5 Assistance during delivery

Percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by type of assistance during delivery, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Attendant assisting during delivery ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | No one | Don't know/ missing | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Nursel trained midwife | Health aide | Traditional birth attendant ${ }^{2}$ | Relative/ other |  |  |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 6.4 | 38.4 | 9.0 | 14.0 | 29.0 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,142 |
| 20-34 | 5.8 | 32.5 | 8.4 | 18.2 | 27.5 | 6.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 4,796 |
| 35+ | 4.5 | 25.3 | 8.1 | 19.5 | 29.6 | 12.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 979 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.0 | 43.2 | 10.2 | 13.5 | 22.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,474 |
| 2-3 | 5.9 | 33.1 | 8.5 | 17.8 | 28.6 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2,271 |
| 4-5 | 4.6 | 29.7 | 7.7 | 18.2 | 29.6 | 9.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,502 |
| $6+$ | 3.7 | 24.5 | 7.5 | 20.7 | 30.9 | 12.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,669 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 5.8 | 32.6 | 8.7 | 16.4 | 28.8 | 7.15 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 6,693 |
| Total urban Dar es Salaam city | 12.0 16.0 | 66.0 71.6 | 3.7 0.0 | 3.5 3.6 | 12.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 100.0 | 1,165 |
| Dar es Salaam city Other urban | 16.0 | 71.6 63.8 | 0.0 5.1 | 3.6 3.5 | 7.8 14.5 | 0.5 1.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 100.0 | 327 838 |
| Total rural | 4.5 | 25.6 | 9.7 | 19.1 | 32.2 | 8.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 5,529 |
| Zanzibar | 3.8 | 27.9 | 2.7 | 57.2 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 223 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 6.5 | 26.4 | 5.4 | 28.2 | 33.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 312 |
| Arusha | 8.9 | 28.0 | 4.1 | 17.9 | 39.0 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 547 |
| Kilimanjaro | 11.0 | 44.9 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 21.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Tanga | 1.9 | 24.0 | 13.1 | 21.1 | 39.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 365 |
| Morogoro | 7.0 | 37.4 | 7.9 | 27.2 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 327 |
| Coast | 6.6 | 44.5 | 4.4 | 24.2 | 19.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 104 |
| Dar es Salaam | 15.0 | 71.7 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 7.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 377 |
| Lindi | 6.4 | 41.4 | 5.9 | 10.9 | 31.8 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 129 |
| Mtwara | 4.8 | 35.7 | 10.7 | 20.3 | 25.1 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 235 |
| Ruyuma | 5.5 | 62.8 | 9.9 | 5.5 | 14.1 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 250 |
| Iringa | 5.4 | 31.4 | 8.8 | 30.1 | 22.0 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 355 |
| Mbeya | 9.5 | 34.9 | 2.1 | 11.2 | 31.1 | 10.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 363 |
| Singida | 7.5 | 27.0 | 13.1 | 8.1 | 38.7 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 258 |
| Tabora | 2.7 | 46.0 | 15.3 | 4.7 | 24.7 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Rukwa | 1.4 | 34.8 | 11.3 | 29.7 | 16.1 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 3.6 | 19.3 | 13.4 | 15.1 | 40.2 | 7.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 342 |
| Shinyanga | 1.2 | 24.5 | 17.0 | 4.0 | 38.0 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 635 |
| Kagera | 3.7 | 15.9 | 7.6 | 25.6 | 33.5 | 11.6 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 540 |
| Mwanza | 4.8 | 26.1 | 7.6 | 13.1 | 26.1 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 580 |
| Мага | 5.0 | 25.4 | 4.3 | 21.1 | 23.8 | 16.5 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No cducation | 3.0 | 18.2 | 8.4 | 21.2 | 36.4 | 11.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 2,048 |
| Primary incomplete | 5.5 | 26.8 | 7.3 | 18.2 | 33.4 | 8.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,138 |
| Primary complete | 6.8 | 40.5 | 9.3 | 15.8 | 23.0 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 3,493 |
| Secondary+ | 14.9 | 64.3 | 3.2 | 12.7 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 236 |
| Antenatal care visits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 2.0 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 23.8 | 58.0 | 10.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 149 |
| $1-3$ visits | 3.8 | 24.8 | 7.8 | 20.9 | 32.7 | 9.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,656 |
| 4 or more visits | 6.5 | 35.9 | 9.0 | 16.6 | 25.9 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,805 |
| Don't know/missing | 5.3 | 33.4 | 8.2 | 14.0 | 22.1 | 4.8 | 12.2 | 100.0 | 307 |
| Total | 5.7 | 32.5 | 8.5 | 17.7 | 28.0 | 6.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 6,916 |

[^7]| Table 8.6 Delivery characteristics; caesarean section, birth weight and size <br> Among births in the five years preceding the survey, the percentage of deliveries by caesarean section, and the percent distribution by birth weight and by the mother's estimate of baby's size at birth, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Delivery by C-section | Birth weight |  |  | Size of child at birth |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Less } \\ \text { than } \\ 2.5 \mathrm{~kg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \mathrm{~kg} \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ | Don't know | Very small | Smaller than average | Average or larger | Don't know |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 3.1 | 8.3 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 86.1 | 1.9 | 1,142 |
| 20-34 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 44.5 | 50.6 | 3.4 | 7.4 | 87.6 | 1.7 | 4,796 |
| 35+ | 1.8 | 3.5 | 35.6 | 60.9 | 3.6 | 6.6 | 88.1 | 1.7 | 979 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.8 | 9.3 | 53.8 | 36.9 | 4.3 | 9.3 | 84.7 | 1.7 | 1,474 |
| 2-3 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 45.1 | 50.6 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 87.9 | 1.9 | 2,271 |
| 4-5 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 40.4 | 55.3 | 3.1 | 7.6 | 87.6 | 1.7 | 1,502 |
| $6+$ | 1.5 | 3.5 | 35.0 | 61.5 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 89.0 | 1.6 | 1,669 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 2.2 | 5.3 | 44.1 | 50.6 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 87.5 | 1.7 | 6,693 |
| Total urban | 4.2 | 8.4 | 74.1 | 17.5 | 2.0 | 7.2 | 89.4 | 1.4 | 1,165 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 5.4 | 9.0 | 78.8 | 12.1 | 2.3 | 5.9 | 91.0 | 0.8 | 327 |
| Other urban | 3.7 | 8.2 | 72.2 | 19.6 | 1.8 | 7.6 | 88.9 | 1.7 | 838 |
| Total rural | 1.7 | 4.7 | 37.8 | 57.5 | 3.7 | 7.3 | 87.1 | 1.8 | 5,529 |
| Zanzibar | 1.2 | 1.7 | 24.2 | 74.0 | 5.6 | 8.8 | 83.7 | 1.9 | 223 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 1.8 | 7.2 | 34.7 | 58.1 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 84.8 | 1.8 | 312 |
| Arusha | 3.9 | 3.9 | 39.4 | 56.7 | 11.5 | 4.6 | 82.8 | 1.1 | 547 |
| Kilimanjaro | 4.6 | 3.2 | 68.9 | 27.9 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 90.1 | 1.4 | 281 |
| Tanga | 1.9 | 5.4 | 39.0 | 55.6 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 87.5 | 1.0 | 365 |
| Morogoro | 1.7 | 8.3 | 44.4 | 47.4 | 4.0 | 8.9 | 85.1 | 2.0 | 327 |
| Coast | 2.7 | 4.9 | 48.9 | 46.2 | 1.1 | 4.9 | 88.5 | 5.5 | 104 |
| Dar es Salaam | 4.7 | 9.0 | 78.5 | 12.6 | 2.2 | 5.8 | 91.0 | 0.9 | 377 |
| Lindi | 0.9 | 5.5 | 45.5 | 49.1 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 88.2 | 3.2 | 129 |
| Mtwara | 2.1 | 7.6 | 48.1 | 44.3 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 89.7 | 0.7 | 235 |
| Ruvurna | 2.9 | 11.5 | 66.8 | 21.7 | 2.9 | 11.5 | 84.8 | 0.8 | 250 |
| Iringa | 1.7 | 10.1 | 41.2 | 48.6 | 4.1 | 15.5 | 78.7 | 1.7 | 355 |
| Mbeya | 3.3 | 5.4 | 42.3 | 52.3 | 2.1 | 7.5 | 90.0 | 0.4 | 363 |
| Singida | 2.2 | 3.1 | 38.4 | 58.5 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 90.0 | 1.4 | 258 |
| Tabora | 3.3 | 6.7 | 60.7 | 32.7 | 1.3 | 13.3 | 83.3 | 2.0 | 171 |
| Rukwa | 0.6 | 4.0 | 33.1 | 62.9 | 1.1 | 7.4 | 90.9 | 0.6 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 1.1 | 4.5 | 45.3 | 50.3 | 1.4 | 10.3 | 82.4 | 5.9 | 342 |
| Shinyanga | 1.2 | 3.5 | 35.7 | 60.8 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 93.9 | 0.0 | 635 |
| Kagera | 1.2 | 3.4 | 34.1 | 62.5 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 83.5 | 3.7 | 540 |
| Mwanza | 1.3 | 1.9 | 37.6 | 60.5 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 92.0 | 1.3 | 580 |
| Mara | 0.7 | 4.6 | 34.3 | 61.1 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 90.4 | 4.0 | 281 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.2 | 3.6 | 26.4 | 70.0 | 4.5 | 7.2 | 85.9 | 2.5 | 2,048 |
| Primary incomplete | 1.2 | 6.3 | 37.3 | 56.4 | 4.1 | 8.3 | 86.7 | 0.9 | 1,138 |
| Primary complete | 2.7 | 5.7 | 53.5 | 40.8 | 2.8 | 7.2 | 88.4 | 1.6 | 3,493 |
| Secondary+ | 6.0 | 6.0 | 73.6 | 20.4 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 89.1 | 1.3 | 236 |
| Total | 2.1 | 5.2 | 43.5 | 51.3 | 3.5 | 7.3 | 87.4 | 1.8 | 6,916 |

According to the respondent's own assessment of her infant's size, the majority of births ( 87 percent) are classified as average or large. Only 11 percent births were reported to be either small ( 7 percent) or very small (4 percent).

### 8.4 Childhood Vaccinations

To obtain information about vaccination coverage, the 1996 TDHS collected information on vaccination coverage for all children born in the five years preceding the survey, although the data presented in this chapter are restricted to children who were alive at the time of the survey. The immunisation programme in Tanzania is implemented by the Ministry of Health through the Expanded Programme on Immunisation (EPI) which started in 1975. By 1986, the operation of the programme was established throughout the country (Ministry of Health, 1989). EPI in Tanzania follows the World Health Organisation's (WHO) guidelines for vaccinating children. To be considered fully vaccinated, a child should receive one dose of BCG vaccine, three doses each of DPT and polio vaccines (excluding polio 0), and one dose of measles vaccine. BCG confers protection against tuberculosis and should be given at birth or at first clinical contact; DPT protects against diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus. DPT and polio require three vaccinations at approximately three, four, and five months of age; measles should be given at or soon after reaching nine months. WHO recommends that children receive the complete schedule of vaccinations before 12 months of age.

Information on vaccination status was collected from vaccination cards shown to the interviewer and from mothers' verbal reports. All MCH clinics in Tanzania provide "road to health" cards (MCH form No. 3) which include dates of vaccinations. If the cards were available, the interviewers recorded vaccination dates directly. If a vaccination card was presented but a vaccine had not been recorded on the card as having been given, the mother was asked to recall whether that particular vaccine had been given. The mother was then asked if the child had received other vaccinations that were not recorded on the card, and if so, they too were noted on the questionnaire. If the mother was not able to provide a card for the child, she was asked to recall whether or not the child had received BCG, polio, DPT (including the number of doses for each), and measles vaccinations.

Table 8.7 presents the vaccination coverage among children age 12-23 months, according to the source of the information used to determine coverage. The data presented in this table are for children age 12-23 months, thereby including only those children who have reached the age by which they should be fully vaccinated. According to information from both the vaccination cards and mothers' recall (i.e., either source), 96 percent of children age 12-23 months have received a BCG vaccination. Coverage of the polio vaccine at birth is low, with about 55 percent of children having received polio 0 . Though a high percentage of children have received the first dose of DPT, there is a steady decline between the first and the third dose of DPT, from 95 percent to 85 percent of children. Likewise, there is a drop in coverage between the first dose of polio (not polio at birth), from 96 percent to 80 percent for the third dose of polio. This represents a dropout rate ${ }^{1}$ of 10 percent for DPT and 17 percent for polio. Eighty-one percent of children age 12-23 months have been vaccinated against measles, 68 percent having received it before their first birthday.

Based on both the health card and the mother's report, 71 percent of children age 12-23 months have received all of the recommended vaccinations, while only 3 percent have not received any vaccinations. The remaining 26 percent of children were partially vaccinated.

[^8]Table 8.7 Yaccinations by source of information
Percentage of children 12-23 months who had received specific vaccines at any time before the survey, by source of information about vaccination, and the percentage vaccinated by 12 months of age, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BCG | DPT |  |  | Polio |  |  |  | Measles | $\mathrm{All}^{2}$ | None |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $0^{1}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccinated at any time before the survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccination card | 76.4 | 75.9 | 74.6 | 72.1 | 46.8 | 76.0 | 74.6 | 72.2 | 66.3 | 65.1 | 0.0 | 1,022 |
| Mother's report | 19.8 | 18.8 | 16.4 | 13.1 | 8.4 | 19.7 | 17.4 | 7.4 | 14.5 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 313 |
| Either source | 96.2 | 94.7 | 90.9 | 85.2 | 55.2 | 95.7 | 92.0 | 79.6 | 80.9 | 70.5 | 3.3 | 1,335 |
| Vaccinated by | 95.9 | 94.4 | 89.6 | 82.0 | 55.1 | 95.4 | 90.2 | 77.1 | 68.0 | 59.6 | 35 | 1335 |

Note: For children whose information was based on the mother's report, the proportion of vaccinations given during the first year of life was assumed to be the same as for children with a written record of vaccination.
${ }^{1}$ Polio 0 is given at birth.
${ }^{2}$ Children who are fully vaccinated (i.e., those who have received BCG, measles, and three doses of DPT and polio (excluding polio 0).

Although overall vaccination coverage has not changed since 1991-92, the dropout rate between the first and third dose of DPT has declined from 15 to 10 percent (Figure 8.2).

## Differentials in Vaccination Coverage

Table 8.8 presents vaccination coverage (according to information from the card and mother's report) among children age 12-23 months by selected background characteristics. This table also includes information on the percentage of children for whom a vaccination card was shown to the interviewer. Vaccination status does not differ much by sex of the child. There is a decline in the proportion of children vaccinated as the birth order increases. As was observed in the 1991-92 TDHS, vaccination coverage is higher in Zanzibar than in the mainland. Less than half the
 children age 12-23 months were fully vaccinated in the Shinyanga region in comparison with 94 percent coverage in the Kilimanjaro region. It must be noted that in some instances the number of observations is too small to give a meaningful representation. Immunisation coverage improves substantially as mothers' level of education increases, from

| Percentage of children 12-23 months who had received specific vaccines by the time of the survey (according to the vaccination card or the mother's report), and the percentage with a vaccination card, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | BCG | DPT |  |  | Polio |  |  |  | Measles | All ${ }^{2}$ | None | Percent-agewiha card | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | $0^{1}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 97.3 | 95.2 | 91.5 | 85.6 | 55.3 | 96.4 | 92.1 | 81.3 | 80.7 | 71.5 | 2.7 | 77.9 | 680 |
| Female | 95.1 | 94.2 | 90.4 | 84.8 | 55.1 | 95.0 | 91.9 | 77.8 | 81.0 | 69.4 | 3.9 | 75.1 | 655 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 97.4 | 97.0 | 91.9 | 87.1 | 61.4 | 97.2 | 93.2 | 78.5 | 88.8 | 75.8 | 2.6 | 77.1 | 293 |
| 2-3 | 97.1 | 96.5 | 92.6 | 86.0 | 57.1 | 97.3 | 94.2 | 81.4 | 80.8 | 72.4 | 2.1 | 78.0 | 446 |
| 4.5 | 94.3 | 91.4 | 88.5 | 82.1 | 49.5 | 93.5 | 88.8 | 76.8 | 76.0 | 64.4 | 5.1 | 73.2 | 256 |
| 6+ | 95.4 | 93.0 | 89.9 | 85.0 | 51.7 | 94.0 | 90.5 | 80.2 | 77.8 | 68.1 | 4.0 | 76.7 | 340 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 96.1 | 94.6 | 90.9 | 85.2 | 54.3 | 95.6 | 91.9 | 79.4 | 80.9 | 70.3 | 3.4 | 76.2 | 1,293 |
| Total urban | 99.6 | 99.6 | 97.7 | 94.6 | 83.3 | 99.3 | 96.8 | 83.7 | 95.1 | 80.6 | 0.4 | 80.1 | 238 |
| Dares Salaam city | ty 98.9 | 98.9 | 95.6 | 92.3 | 90.1 | 97.8 | 94.5 | 82.4 | 93.4 | 79.1 | 1.1 | 76.9 | 77 |
| Other urban | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 95.7 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 84.2 | 95.9 | 81.2 | 0.0 | 81.6 | 161 |
| Total rural | 95.3 | 93.5 | 89.3 | 83.1 | 47.8 | 94.8 | 90.8 | 78.5 | 77.7 | ${ }_{78.0}$ | 4.0 | 75.3 | 1,055 |
| Zanzibar | 99.3 | 99.3 | 92.5 | 85.1 | 82.9 | 99.3 | 94.6 | 85.1 | 78.9 | 75.4 | 0.7 | 88.9 | 42 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | (95.7) | (95.7) | (93.5) | (91.3) | (52.2) | (93.5) | (93.5) | (87.0) | (89.1) | (82.6) | (4.3) | (84.8) | 52 |
| Arusha | 91.5 | 88.7 | 88.7 | 84.5 | 40.8 | 91.5 | 90.1 | 76.1 | 81.7 | 71.8 | 8.5 | 57.7 | 89 |
| Kilimanjaro | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 84.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.2 | 98.1 | 94.2 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 52 |
| Tanga | 98.1 | 96.2 | 88.7 | 86.8 | 64.2 | 96.2 | 90.6 | 79.2 | 83.0 | 71.7 | 1.9 | 71.7 | 62 |
| Morogoro | 94.6 | 91.1 | 89.3 | 82.1 | 60.7 | 92.9 | 91.1 | 83.9 | 82.1 | ${ }^{69.6}$ | 5.4 | 83.9 | 61 |
| Coast | (100.0) | (96.7) | (96.7) | (90.0) | (86.7) | (100.0) | (96.7) | (86.7) | (86.7) | (76.7) | (0.0) | (80.0) | 87 |
| Dar es Salaam | 99.0 | 99.0 | 95.1 | 91.3 | 91.3 | 98.1 | 94.2 | 81.6 | 94.2 | 78.6 | 1.0 | 77.7 | 87 |
| Lindi | (100.0) | (100.0) | ${ }^{(97.5)}$ | (92.5) | (70.0) | (100.0) | (95.0) | (87.5) | (92.5) | (80.0) | (0.0) | (87.5) | 23 |
| Mtwara | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 72.0 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 84.0 | 78.0 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 78.0 | 40 |
| Ruvuma | 98.4 | 96.8 | 95.2 | 90.3 | 77.4 | 98.4 | 93.5 | 82.3 | 90.3 | 80.6 | 1.6 | 82.3 | 41 |
| Iringa | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.2 | 51.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 88.5 | 94.2 | 80.8 | 0.0 | 82.7 | 62 |
| Mbeya | (97.9) | (97.9) | (95.8) | (93.8) | (45.8) | (97.9) | (97.9) | ${ }^{(89.6)}$ | (87.5) | ${ }^{(81.2)}$ | (2.1) | (83.3) | 72 |
| Singida | 90.6 | 85.9 | 81.3 | 70.3 | 34.4 | 84.4 | 78.1 | 64.1 | 70.3 | 54.7 | 9.4 | 67.2 | 46 |
| Tabora | (100.0) | (97.1) | (97.1) | (94.1) | (82.4) | (100.0) | (97.1) | (85.3) | (79.4) | (67.6) | (0.0) | (82.4) | 39 |
| Rukwa | 94.1 | 92.6 | 91.2 | 82.4 | 36.8 | 94.1 | 91.2 | 70.6 | 70.6 | 54.4 | 5.9 | 60.3 | 47 |
| Kigoma | 100.0 | 98.8 | 96.3 | 95.1 | 52.4 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 91.5 | 86.6 | 82.9 | 0.0 | 85.4 | 78 |
| Shinyanga | 93.6 | 88.5 | 76.9 | 64.1 | 32.1 | 91.0 | 83.3 | 62.8 | 56.4 | 46.2 | 6.4 | 71.8 | 143 |
| Kagera | 95.4 | 96.9 | 95.4 | 92.3 | 63.1 | 98.5 | 90.8 | 76.9 | 90.8 | 70.8 | 1.5 | 69.2 | 107 |
| Mwanza | 92.3 | 90.8 | 84.6 | 73.8 | 27.7 | 93.8 | 89.2 | 72.3 | 69.2 | 61.5 | 4.6 | 73.8 | 120 |
| Mara | 95.0 | 95.0 | 86.7 | 85.0 | 53.3 | 95.0 | 88.3 | 83.3 | 76.7 | 73.3 | 5.0 | 88.3 | 56 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 92.2 | 89.3 | 83.4 | 75.1 | 39.7 | 90.7 | 85.4 | 69.4 | 69.7 | 57.9 | 7.3 | 66.5 | 368 |
| Primary incomplete | 93.3 | 93.2 | 88.5 | 82.6 | 52.3 | 94.0 | 89.0 | 77.2 | 77.6 | 68.8 | 5.1 | 76.0 | 206 |
| Primary complete+ | 98.9 | 97.8 | 95.2 | 90. | 63.5 | 碞. | 96.0 | 85.2 | 87.2 | 77.0 | 0.8 | 81.5 | 761 |
| Total | 96.2 | 94.7 | 90.9 | 85.2 | 55.2 | 95.7 | 92.0 | 79.6 | 80.9 | 70.5 | 3.3 | 76.6 | 1,335 |
| Note: For children whose information was based on the mother's report, the proportion of vaccinations given during the first year of life was assumed to be the same as for children with a written record of vaccination. <br> ${ }^{1}$ Polio 0 is given at birth. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Children who are fully vaccinated (i.e., those who have received BCG, measles, and three doses of DPT and polio (excluding polio 0)). Numbers in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted children. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

58 percent for children whose mothers have no formal education to 77 percent for children whose mothers have completed primary education or higher.

## Trends in Vaccination Coverage

In addition to data from multiple surveys, trends in coverage can be assessed from the 1996 TDHS data. Data on vaccination status of children age 12-59 months allow for an evaluation of coverage in the first year of life among different age groups. Table 8.9 shows the percentage of children by current age group who had been vaccinated by 12 months of age (in order to maintain comparability). The information is derived from either vaccination cards or the mothers' reports. For children whose information was based on the mother's recall, the distribution of vaccinations during the first year of life was assumed to be the same as that for children for whom a vaccination record was available.

| Table 8.9 Vaccinations in first year of life by current age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among children one year to five years old, the percentage with a vaccination card and the percentage who had received each vaccine before their first birthday, according to current age of the child, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccine | Current age of child in months |  |  |  | All children 12-59 months |
|  | 12-23 | 24-35 | 36-47 | 48-59 |  |
| Vaccination card seen by interviewer | 76.6 | 66.7 | 58.9 | 52.3 | 64.2 |
| Percentage vaccinate $0-11$ months ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| BCG | 95.9 | 92.5 | 91.5 | 90.5 | 92.7 |
| DPT 1 | 94.4 | 92.0 | 90.4 | 88.7 | 91.5 |
| DPT 2 | 89.6 | 86.3 | 84.2 | 84.1 | 86.2 |
| DPT 3 | 82.0 | 77.2 | 75.5 | 74.9 | 77.6 |
| Polio $0^{2}$ | 55.1 | 47.9 | 43.6 | 44.3 | 48.0 |
| Polio 1 | 95.4 | 92.1 | 91.0 | 89.5 | 92.1 |
| Polio 2 | 90.2 | 86.3 | 83.1 | 83.3 | 85.9 |
| Polio 3 | 77.1 | 72.0 | 67.6 | 61.8 | 70.0 |
| Measles | 68.0 | 70.3 | 66.3 | 66.1 | 67.7 |
| All vaccinations ${ }^{3}$ | 59.6 | 56.8 | 52.2 | 47.5 | 54.3 |
| No vaccinations | 3.5 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 6.0 |
| Number of children | 1.335 | 1,188 | 1,157 | 1,131 | 4,812 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Information was obtained either from a vaccination card or from the mother if there was no written record. For children whose information was based on the mother's report, the proportion of vaccinations given during the first year of life was assumed to be the same as that for children with a written vaccination record. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Polio 0 is given at birth. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Children who have received BCG, measles, and three doses each of DPT and polio vaccines (excluding polio 0 ) |  |  |  |  |  |

The coverage estimates for each age group refer to a specific period of time before the survey. For instance, coverage by 12 months among children 12-23 months roughly refers to the programme performance the year before the survey (i.e., 1995), data on children 24-35 months refer roughly to 1994, data on children $36-47$ months refer roughly to 1993 , and data on children $48-59$ months refer roughly to 1992. Hence, these results may be used to assess the immunisation coverage during the first year of life for the period 1992-1995.

Overall, vaccination cards were produced for 64 percent of the children. Expectedly, the percentage of children for whom a vaccination card was seen decreases with age, from 77 percent of children 12-23 months to 52 percent of those age 48-59 months.

The proportion of children who were fully immunised by their first birthday rose from 48 percent among those age 48-59 months at the time of the survey to 60 percent among those age 12-23 months. Over the same time, the proportion of children not receiving any vaccination decreased from 8 percent of children age 48-59 months to 4 percent of children age 12-23 months.

### 8.5 Acute Respiratory Infection

Acute respiratory infection (ARI) is one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality among children in Tanzania. Common symptoms associated with severe respiratory infection include fever, cough, and difficult or rapid breathing. ARI involves the upper respiratory tract and may progress to involve the lower respiratory tract, leading to lung infection. Early diagnosis and treatment with antibiotics can prevent a large proportion of deaths from respiratory infection, especially pneumonia.

To estimate the prevalence and magnitude of ARI, mothers were asked if their children under age five had been ill with coughing accompanied by short, rapid breathing during the two weeks before the survey. Mothers whose children had experienced these symptoms were asked what they had done to treat the illness. Information on disease prevalence is highly dependent on correct reporting and interpretation of symptoms, while information on treatment practices depends on how much mothers know about the medicines their children receive. Mothers may not know whether the tablets or syrups their children receive contain antibiotics or not. Thus, the reporting may vary widely within the country due to differences in reporting.

As Table 8.10 shows, 13 percent of children under five years of age had a cough and fast breathing in the two weeks before the survey. Prevalence of respiratory illness varies by age of the child, rising to a peak at $6-11$ months of age ( 20 percent) then falling slowly to a low at $48-59$ months of age ( 8 percent). There is no significant difference in ARI prevalence by sex, birth order, residence, or education of mother.

Overall, 70 percent of children who had symptoms of ARI were taken to a health facility. Children from urban areas, especially from the city of Dar es Salaam were more likely to be taken to a health facility than those in rural areas.

### 8.6 Fever

Malaria is a leading cause of mortality and morbidity among children in Tanzania. Since the major manifestation of malaria is fever, mothers were asked whether their children under age five have fever in the two weeks preceding the survey.

Table 8.10 shows that 30 percent of children under five years of age were reported to have had fever in the two weeks prior to the survey. Fever is more prevalent among children age $6-23$ months and those who live in Zanzibar. No pronounced differences were observed in the prevalence of fever by either sex or birth order.

### 8.7 Diarrhoea

In the 1996 TDHS, mothers were asked whether their children under age five had diarthoea in the two weeks preceding the survey. Table 8.11 presents data about the prevalence of diarthoea and bloody diarrhoea among children under five years of age. Fourteen percent of children experienced diarrhoea at some time in the two weeks preceding the survey; 3 percent of children experienced bloody diarrhoea, often a symptom of
dysentery. As with fever and respiratory infection, diarrhoea is more common among children age 6 to 23 months than among older or younger children. Diarrhoea prevalence is slightly higher among children whose mothers have primary education than among those with no education or those with at least secondary education. The Coast region experienced the lowest proportion of children with diarrhoea, while Kigoma had the highest.

Dehydration due to severe diarrhoea is a major cause of morbidity and mortality among children in Tanzania. A simple and effective response to a child's dehydration is a prompt increase in fluid intake, i.e., oral rehydration therapy (ORT). ORT consists of giving the child either a solution made by mixing a commercially produced packet of oral rehydration salts (ORS) with water or a recommended home fluid made

Table 8.10 Prevalence and treatment of acute respiratory infection and prevalence of fever
Among all children under five years of age, the percentage who were ill with a cough accompanied with fast breathing and the percentage who were ill with fever during the two weeks before the survey, according to socioeconomic and demographic characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Characteristic $\quad$ Pe | Percentage of children with cough accompanied by fast breathing (ARI) | Among children with ARI, percentage taken to a health facility or provider | Percentage of children ill with fever | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |
| $<6$ months | 12.8 | 63.8 | 28.1 | 675 |
| 6-11 months | 20.3 | 70.3 | 41.5 | 700 |
| 12-23 months | 16.6 | 73.7 | 38.2 | 1,335 |
| 24.35 months | 12.2 | 69.0 | 31.0 | 1,188 |
| 36-47 months | 10.0 | 62.7 | 25.7 | 1,157 |
| 48-59 months | 8.1 | 73.6 | 19.4 | 1,313 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 13.0 | 70.2 | 30.9 | 3,136 |
| Femalc | 12.9 | 69.0 | 29.7 | 3,051 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12.1 | 74.2 | 29.2 | 1,299 |
| 2-3 | 12.4 | 67.0 | 29.4 | 2,034 |
| 4-5 | 14.2 | 69.6 | 31.5 | 1,374 |
| $6+$ | 13.3 | 69.3 | 31.4 | 1,480 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 13.0 | 69.5 | 30.0 | 5,983 |
| Total urban | 12.0 | 80.9 | 30.0 | 1,066 |
| Dar es Salaam city | y 13.3 | 85.1 | 29.4 | 299 |
| Other urban | 11.5 | 79.0 | 30.2 | 767 |
| Total rural | 13.2 | 67.2 | 30.0 | 4,917 |
| Zanzibar | 11.8 | 74.2 | 40.1 | 204 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 11.6 | 73.6 | 29.4 | 1,812 |
| Primary incomplete | - 13.5 | 68.4 | 33.8 | 1,006 |
| Primary complete | 13.7 | 67.3 | 30.1 | 3,149 |
| Secondary+ | 11.6 | 82.8 | 25.3 | 220 |
| Total | 13.0 | 69.6 | 30.3 | 6,188 |

of sugar, salt, and water. In Tanzania, the use of ORS and home fluids is being promoted by the Ministry of Health. Increasing the amount of any type of fluids during a diarrhoeal episode can be considered ORT.

Women interviewed in the 1996 TDHS who had a birth in the five years preceding the survey were asked questions regarding their knowledge of sugar-salt-water solution and treatment of diarrhoea in general. The results are presented in Table 8.12. Almost 90 percent of mothers know about the use of sugar-salt-water-solutions. When asked about specific eating and drinking regimes for children ill with diarrhoea, two-thirds of women recommended giving more fluids than before the illness, while 56 percent said children with diarrhoea should get more food. The level of knowledge of ORS and treatment of diarrhoea is lowest among young mothers 15-19 years of age and increases with age before it drops for older women. Urban women, those living in the Mbeya region and women who have completed primary education or higher tend to be more knowledgeable on the use of sugar-salt-water solution and on the appropriate feeding and drinking practices for children with diarrhoea.

Table 8.13 presents information regard-ing treatment of recent episodes of diarrhoea among children under age five. About 56 percent of children under five years whose mothers reported that they had diarrhoea in the two weeks before the survey were taken to a health facility for treatment. Of all children with diarrhoea, 48 percent were given a solution prepared from ORS packets, 3 percent received recommended home fluids (RHF), and 50 percent received cither ORS or RHF. About 57 percent of mothers reported that they increased the amount of fluids given to their children with diarrhoea, 40 percent were given antibiotics while 6 percent of mothers reported receiving injections, and 20 percent provided home remedies. One in four were given neither ORT nor increased fluids to treat the diarrhoea.

| Table 8.11 Prevalence of diarrhoea |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of children under five years of age with diarrhoea and diarrhoea with blood during the two weeks preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Diarrhoea in the preceding 2 weeks |  | Number of children |
|  | All diarrhoea | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diarthea } \\ & \text { with } \\ & \text { blood } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Child's age |  |  |  |
| < 6 months | 12.1 | 1.7 | 675 |
| 6-11 months | 27.3 | 3.9 | 700 |
| 12-23 months | 22.9 | 4.7 | 1,335 |
| 24.35 months | 13.2 | 3.7 | 1,188 |
| 36-47 months | 6.4 | 1.6 | 1,157 |
| 48-59 months | 3.3 | 0.9 | 1,131 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 14.2 | 2.4 | 3,136 |
| Female | 13.2 | 3.3 | 3,051 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |
| 1 | 14.5 | 2.6 | 1,299 |
| 2-3 | 14.4 | 2.7 | 2,034 |
| 4-5 | 11.4 | 2.8 | 1,374 |
| $6+$ | 14.0 | 3.2 | 1,480 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 13.5 | 2.8 | 5,983 |
| Total urban | 11.9 | 1.4 | 1,066 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 9.3 | 1.1 | 299 |
| Other urban | 12.9 | 1.5 | 767 |
| Total rural | 13.9 | 3.2 | 4,917 |
| Zanzibar | 17.4 | 2.2 | 204 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 14.7 | 3.9 | 260 |
| Arusha | 14.8 | 2.7 | 518 |
| Kilimanjaro | 9.6 | 1.5 | 268 |
| Tanga | 13.5 | 1.8 | 320 |
| Morogoro | 12.9 | 6.3 | 276 |
| Coast | 4.7 | 2.4 | 97 |
| Dar es Salaam | 10.0 | 1.5 | 346 |
| Lindi | 13.0 | 1.6 | 108 |
| Mtwara | 11.2 | 1.2 | 202 |
| Ruvuma | 7.4 | 1.4 | 229 |
| Iringa | 12.0 | 3.5 | 310 |
| Mheya | 18.7 | 5.0 | 330 |
| Singida | 18.2 | 2.7 | 236 |
| Tabora | 18.5 | 3.0 | 154 |
| Rukwa | 22.2 | 6.3 | 207 |
| Kigoma | 25.2 | 4.6 | 312 |
| Shinyanga | 7.3 | 2.2 | 580 |
| Kagera | 20.3 | 3.6 | 463 |
| Mwanza | 7.8 | 1.8 | 523 |
| Мага | 11.0 | 0.0 | 244 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| No education | 12.6 | 2.7 | 1,812 |
| Primary incomplete | 16.3 | 4.4 | 1,006 |
| Primary complete | 13.7 | 2.5 | 3,149 |
| Secondary+ | 10.1 | 1.3 | 220 |
| Total | 13.7 | 2.8 | 6,188 |


| Table 8.12 Knowledge of diarrhoeacare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women with births in the five years preceding the survey who know about the use of oral rehydration salts (ORS) for treatment of diarrhoea, and the percent distribution by opinion on appropriate feeding practices during diarrhoea, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Know about ORS packets | Compared with usual feeding practices, appropriate feeding during diarrhoea: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Liquids |  |  |  | Solid foods |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| Background characteristic |  | Less | Same | More | Don't know/ missing | Less | Same | More | Don't know/ missing |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 75.3 | 19.2 | 13.6 | 59.2 | 8.0 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 52.5 | 8.6 | 361 |
| 20-24 | 87.0 | 16.4 | 14.5 | 64.1 | 5.1 | 18.2 | 22.7 | 53.6 | 5.4 | 1,194 |
| 25-29 | 88.1 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 67.9 | 4.2 | 18.1 | 21.3 | 56.7 | 4.0 | 1,153 |
| 30-34 | 89.6 | 11.1 | 15.0 | 71.0 | 3.0 | 16.1 | 21.7 | 58.5 | 3.7 | 827 |
| 35+ | 88.3 | 11.3 | 16.0 | 67.7 | 4.9 | 17.6 | 21.4 | 56.0 | 5.0 | 1,043 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 87.1 | 13.6 | 14.8 | 66.9 | 4.7 | 17.2 | 21.3 | 56.5 | 4.9 | 4,441 |
| Total urban | 93.7 | 8.1 | 10.6 | 79.0 | 2.3 | 9.5 | 19.2 | 68.1 | 3.2 | 870 |
| Dar es Salaam city | ty 90.6 | 8.4 | 15.1 | 74.2 | 2.3 | 6.0 | 21.1 | 69.9 | 3.0 | 253 |
| Other urban | 95.0 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 81.0 | 2.3 | 11.0 | 18.4 | 67.4 | 3.2 | 617 |
| Total rural | 85.4 | 15.0 | 15.8 | 63.9 | 5.3 | 19.1 | 21.8 | 53.7 | 5.4 | 3.571 |
| Zanzibar | 90.0 | 22.4 | 12.4 | 62.6 | 2.6 | 35.4 | 32.1 | 29.1 | 3.5 | 136 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 91.2 | 17.6 | 16.6 | 58.0 | 7.8 | 22.3 | 14.5 | 56.5 | 6.7 | 217 |
| Arusha | 75.9 | 16.2 | 28.5 | 46.0 | 9.3 | 18.6 | 34.4 | 38.5 | 8.6 | 365 |
| Kilimanjaro | 90.8 | 3.6 | 10.2 | 82.1 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 29.1 | 60.2 | 5.6 | 195 |
| Tanga | 93.7 | 9.7 | 12.6 | 73.8 | 3.9 | 11.2 | 22.8 | 60.2 | 5.8 | 240 |
| Morogoro | 93.4 | 10.8 | 12.7 | 73.6 | 2.8 | 15.1 | 17.5 | 64.6 | 2.8 | 230 |
| Coast | 90.4 | 6.7 | 10.4 | 76.3 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 18.5 | 73.3 | 3.0 | 77 |
| Dar es Salaam | 90.5 | 8.6 | 15.8 | 73.0 | 2.6 | 6.0 | 21.6 | 69.0 | 3.4 | 294 |
| Lindi | 93.5 | 14.2 | 13.0 | 66.3 | 6.5 | 15.4 | 25.4 | 52.7 | 6.5 | 99 |
| Mtwara | 92.4 | 10.7 | 18.2 | 69.8 | 1.3 | 12.0 | 30.7 | 53.3 | 4.0 | 181 |
| Ruvuma | 94.0 | 12.8 | 12.4 | 71.1 | 3.8 | 13.9 | 16.9 | 64.3 | 4.9 | 174 |
| Iringa | 91.4 | 15.8 | 10.5 | 67.9 | 5.7 | 15.8 | 14.4 | 64.6 | 5.3 | 250 |
| Mbeya | 94.5 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 77.3 | 3.1 | 20.9 | 21.5 | 54.0 | 3.7 | 245 |
| Singida | 86.1 | 19.0 | 10.1 | 67.9 | 3.0 | 31.2 | 18.1 | 47.7 | 3.0 | 170 |
| Tabora | 81.6 | 13.6 | 8.7 | 69.9 | 7.8 | 11.7 | 19.4 | 61.2 | 7.8 | 117 |
| Rukwa | 91.8 | 11.4 | 13.6 | 70.5 | 4.5 | 19.5 | 21.4 | 55.0 | 4.1 | 151 |
| Kigoma | 76.9 | 11.8 | 10.0 | 68.3 | 10.0 | 17.6 | 14.5 | 57.5 | 10.4 | 211 |
| Shinyanga | 81.8 | 20.1 | 17.2 | 60.8 | 1.9 | 22.5 | 19.6 | 55.0 | 2.9 | 382 |
| Kagera | 78.9 | 23.2 | 13.4 | 57.7 | 5.7 | 27.8 | 12.9 | 53.6 | 5.7 | 319 |
| Mwanza | 83.1 | 13.2 | 17.5 | 65.6 | 3.7 | 19.6 | 24.3 | 52.9 | 3.2 | 349 |
| Mara | 90.8 | 9.2 | 14.6 | 73.5 | 2.7 | 15.1 | 25.4 | 57.8 | 1.6 | 172 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 78.1 | 19.5 | 20.8 | 52.9 | 6.8 | 24.3 | 25.1 | 44.5 | 6.1 | 1,338 |
| Primary incomplete | - 88.7 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 67.5 | 4.4 | 20.2 | 20.5 | 54.4 | 5.0 | 742 |
| Primary complete | 91.5 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 73.3 | 3.9 | 13.3 | 20.0 | 62.3 | 4.4 | 2,321 |
| Secondary+ | 91.8 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 82.1 | 0.2 | 17.3 | 21.3 | 59.1 | 2.4 | 177 |
| Total | 87.1 | 13.9 | 14.7 | 66.8 | 4.7 | 17.7 | 21.6 | 55.7 | 4.9 | 4,577 |

## Table 8.13 Ireatment of diarrhoea

Among children under five years who had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey, the percentage taken for treatment to a health facility or provider, the percentage who received oral rehydration therapy (ORT) (either a solution prepared from oral rehydration salts (ORS), recommended home fluids (RHF), or increased fluids), the percentage who received neither ORT nor increased fluids, and the percentage given other treatments, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage taken to a health facility or provider | Oral rehydration therapy |  |  | Received increasedfluids fluids | Received neither ORT nor increased fluids | Other treatments |  |  | None | Missing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ORS packets | $\begin{gathered} \text { RHF } \\ \text { at } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | Either ORS or RHF |  |  | Antibiotics | Injec- tion | Home remedyl other |  |  |  |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 6 month | 41.4 | 34.2 | 0.0 | 34.2 | 50.6 | 37.3 | 25.7 | 5.0 | 13.3 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 81 |
| 6-11 months | 59.2 | 52.5 | 4.6 | 56.0 | 55.8 | 24.6 | 42.1 | 5.4 | 21.7 | 8.7 | 0.8 | 191 |
| 12-23 months | 59.2 | 50.3 | 3.9 | 53.3 | 56.3 | 24.4 | 40.5 | 7.2 | 22.5 | 10.0 | 0.8 | 306 |
| $24-35$ months | 55.1 | 49.6 | 1.7 | 50.1 | 56.5 | 26.7 | 41.3 | 5.3 | 18.6 | 12.5 | 0.7 | 157 |
| 36-47 months | 61.3 | 45.4 | 0.6 | 45.4 | 67.6 | 19.6 | 39.1 | 6.3 | 19.9 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 74 |
| 48-59 months | (46.7) | (40.4) | (4.1) | (44.5) | (56.0) | (36.7) | (40.4) | (5.8) | (19.5) | (8.4) | (2.5) | 37 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 58.7 | 50.7 | 3.0 | 52.9 | 56.7 | 24.9 | 39.9 | 6.7 | 19.7 | 10.5 | 0.2 | 444 |
| Female | 53.7 | 45.6 | 3.0 | 47.6 | 56.6 | 27.8 | 38.9 | 5.5 | 21.0 | 12.5 | 1.2 | 402 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 53.9 | 47.4 | 1.4 | 48.8 | 54.0 | 30.5 | 40.5 | 3.1 | 15.1 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 188 |
| 2-3 | 59.0 | 49.6 | 1.8 | 51.1 | 55.2 | 25.2 | 40.2 | 7.6 | 19.7 | 9.0 | 1.1 | 293 |
| 4-5 | 50.5 | 47.5 | 5.0 | 51.7 | 54.8 | 26.9 | 37.4 | 6.5 | 22.0 | 9.9 | 1.8 | 157 |
| $6+$ | 59.2 | 47.7 | 4.6 | 49.9 | 62.4 | 23.4 | 39.0 | 6.5 | 24.9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 208 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 56.5 | 48.6 | 3.0 | 50.7 | 55.9 | 26.6 | 39.7 | 6.3 | 20.5 | 11.4 | 0.7 | 811 |
| Total urban | 70.0 | 55.0 | 4.2 | 57.4 | 65.3 | 18.1 | 47.6 | 9.7 | 19.6 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 127 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 78.8 | 60.6 | 3.0 | 63.6 | 60.6 | 18.2 | 66.7 | 12.1 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28 |
| Other urban | 67.6 | 53.4 | 4.5 | 55.7 | 66.6 | 18.1 | 42.2 | 9.0 | 19.2 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 99 |
| Total rural | 54.0 | 47.4 | 2.8 | 49.4 | 54.2 | 28.2 | 38.3 | 5.7 | 20.7 | 12.4 | 0.9 | 684 |
| Zanzibar | 52.2 | 41.5 | 3.6 | 43.9 | 72.6 | 17.6 | 33.3 | 0.9 | 17.6 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 36 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 54.6 | 42.7 | 3.4 | 44.8 | 48.4 | 30.6 | 35.1 | 6.6 | 23.8 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 228 |
| Primary incomplete | 60.6 | 47.6 | 1.8 | 48.7 | 55.2 | 27.1 | 44.5 | 7.2 | 20.8 | 8.9 | 1.1 | 164 |
| Primary complete+ | 55.7 | 57.3 | 3.2 | 53.8 | 61.3 | 23.8 | 39.8 | 5.5 | 18.5 | 11.2 | 0.9 | 454 |
| Total | 56.3 | 48.3 | 3.0 | 50.4 | 56.6 | 26.3 | 39.5 | 6.1 | 20.4 | 11.5 | 0.7 | 846 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 children who had diarrhoea.
${ }^{1}$ Includes health centre, hospital and private doctor.

The proportion of children with diarrhoea who were taken to a health facility was slightly lower among younger and older children and was more or less the same regardless of sex or birth order. The proportion of children with diarrhoea who are taken to health facilities is expectedly higher in urban areas than in rural areas.

In the 1996 TDHS, all mothers who had a child with diarrhoea were also asked whether they had changed the feeding practices during the diarrhoeal episode. Table 8.14 shows that about 57 percent of children ill with diarrhoea were given more solid foods to eat during the illness, and 38 percent received more to drink. These results suggest that, as the benefits of increasing fluid intake during a diarthoeal episode are quite widely understood, a reasonable proportion of mothers have decided to increase fluid intake when their children have diarrhoea. Still, it is discouraging to note that 27 percent of mothers say they gave their children less to drink. This is an increase from the 13 percent reported in the 1991-92 TDHS.

## Table 8.14 Feeding practices during diamboea

Percent distribution of children under five years who had diarrhoea in the past two weeks by amount of solid foods given and amount of fluids given, Tanzania 1996

| Feeding practice | Total |
| :--- | ---: |
| Amount of solid foods given |  |
| Same | 25.1 |
| More | 56.6 |
| Less | 16.0 |
| Don't know/missing | 2.2 |
|  |  |
| Amount of fluids given | 33.0 |
| Same | 37.8 |
| More | 27.3 |
| Less | 1.9 |
| Don't know/missing | 100.0 |
|  | 846 |
| Total |  |

## CHAPTER 9

## MATERNAL AND CHILD NUTRITION

The 1996 TDHS collected data from mothers regarding the feeding patterns of all of their children under five years of age. In this chapter, the data are used to evaluate infant feeding practices, including breastfeeding, introduction of complementary and supplementary weaning foods, and the use of feeding bottles. As part of the survey, the height and weight of all children under five and their mothers were also measured, allowing a cross-sectional assessment of maternal and child nutritional status.

### 9.1 Breastfeeding and Supplementation

Early childhood feeding practices and patterns are important determinants of the nutritional status of children which in turn influence their health status. A mother's nutritional well-being before and during pregnancy influences the health of her baby at birth, her own ability to breastfeed successfully, as well as her general health. The health benefits of breastfeeding for both mother and child are undisputed and are influenced by both the duration and intensity of breastfeeding and by the age at which the child receives supplementary foods and other liquids.

## Prevalence and Initiation of Breastfeeding

The data presented in Table 9.1 confirm that breastfeeding in Tanzania is almost universal, with 97 percent of the children born in the five years preceding the survey having been breastfed at some time. The proportion of children ever breastfed was high across all residential areas and regions, and did not vary significantly by other background characteristics. The results are similar to those of the 1991-92 TDHS.

Early initiation of breastfeeding is beneficial for mother and child. From the mother's perspective, early suckling stimulates the release of a hormone that helps her uterus to maintain a contracted state. From the child's perspective, the first breast milk is important because it contains colostrum which is rich in antibodies. Data show that about 60 percent of the children in Tanzania were breastfed within an hour of birth and 88 percent in the first 24 hours after delivery. Babies in the Arusha and Kilimanjaro regions are more likely to start breastfeeding within one hour of birth than their counterparts in other regions. Children are less likely to receive early breastfeeding if their mothers have no education, if the delivery was assisted by a traditional midwife, or if they were delivered at home.

## Age Pattern of Breastfeeding and Introduction of Supplementary Foods

In the TDHS, children who received only breast milk in the 24 hours before the survey are defined as being exclusively breastfed, and children who are fully breastfed receive only plain water in addition to breast milk. The timing of introduction of supplementary foods besides breast milk has important implications for the child and the mother. Early supplementation, especially under unhygienic conditions, can result in infection with foreign organisms and lower immunity to disease. The timing of introduction of food supplements also has an impact on the length of the mother's postpartum amenorrhoea. Early initiation of supplementation results in earlier resumption of the mother's menstrual periods, since supplementation diminishes infants' dependence on breast milk and reduces the frequency of suckling.

## Table 9.1 Initial breastreeding

Percentage of children born in the five years preceding the survey who were ever breastfed, and the percentage who started breastfeeding within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage ever breastfed | Percentage who started breastfeeding |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within one hour of birth | Within one day of birth |  |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 97.2 | 59.9 | 87.9 | 3,552 |
| Female | 97.3 | 57.7 | 87.5 | 3,364 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 97.2 | 58.6 | 87.6 | 6,693 |
| Total urban | 98.2 | 67.3 | 92.3 | 1,165 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 98.2 | 61.1 | 93.4 | 327 |
| Other urban | 98.1 | 69.8 | 91.9 | 838 |
| Total rural | 97.1 | 56.8 | 86.6 | 5,529 |
| Zanzibar | 98.7 | 65.7 | 92.0 | 223 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 95.7 | 58.5 | 94.3 | 312 |
| Arusha | 98.9 | 86.5 | 96.5 | 547 |
| Kilimanjaro | 98.2 | 88.8 | 96.0 | 281 |
| Tanga | 98.1 | 75.6 | 88.9 | 365 |
| Morogoro | 98.7 | 65.4 | 98.7 | 327 |
| Coast | 100.0 | 37.9 | 83.0 | 104 |
| Dar es Salaam | 98.0 | 61.3 | 93.6 | 377 |
| Lindi | 98.6 | 48.8 | 90.3 | 129 |
| Mtwara | 96.6 | 47.0 | 91.1 | 235 |
| Ruvuma | 98.7 | 59.7 | 96.8 | 250 |
| Iringa | 96.3 | 68.1 | 98.6 | 355 |
| Mbeya | 99.2 | 52.7 | 85.8 | 363 |
| Singida | 98.3 | 59.5 | 88.7 | 258 |
| Tabora | 88.7 | 51.9 | 79.7 | 171 |
| Rukwa | 97.7 | 44.1 | 82.9 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 93.3 | 49.4 | 84.7 | 342 |
| Shinyanga | 99.4 | 57.1 | 75.4 | 635 |
| Kagera | 95.7 | 35.0 | 70.7 | 540 |
| Mwanza | 97.1 | 54.4 | 86.6 | 580 |
| Mara | 94.4 | 38.5 | 81.8 | 281 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 97.3 | 56.1 | 85.4 | 2,048 |
| Primary incomplete | 96.3 | 58.2 | 88.2 | 1,138 |
| Primary complete | 97.7 | 60.4 | 88.9 | 3,493 |
| Secondary+ | 97.2 | 63.0 | 88.2 | 236 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Health professional | 97.6 | 66.9 | 91.1 | 3,229 |
| Traditional midwife | 96.6 | 55.9 | 89.8 | 1,223 |
| Other or none | 97.2 | 50.5 | 83.6 | 2,414 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 97.5 | 67.3 | 91.3 | 3,218 |
| At home | 97.1 | 52.0 | 86.1 | 3,425 |
| Total | 97.3 | 58.8 | 87.7 | 6,916 |

Note: Total includes 50 children for whom data on assistance at delivery and 272 children for whom information on place of delivery are missing.
${ }^{\text {I }}$ Includes children who started breastfeeding within one hour of birth.

Mothers were asked if they had given various types of liquids or solid foods to their children under three in the past 24 hours (Table 9.2). Virtually all infants under one year of age were breastfed (96-99 percent). The prevalence of breastfeeding declines to 88 percent at age 16-17 months to 46 percent at age 2223 months.

| Table 9.2 Breastfeeding status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of living children under three years of age by current breastfeeding status, according to child's current age in months, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Breastf | ding and: |  |  |
| Age in months | Not breastfeeding | Exclusively breastfed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plain } \\ & \text { water } \\ & \text { only } \end{aligned}$ | Comple- mentary foods | Total | of living children |
| 0-1 | 0.6 | 55.2 | 24.3 | 19.9 | 100.0 | 202 |
| 2-3 | 1.3 | 27.4 | 21.1 | 50.2 | 100.0 | 235 |
| 4-5 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 12.3 | 77.0 | 100.0 | 238 |
| 6.7 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 91.3 | 1000 | 240 |
| 8.9 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 95.1 | 100.0 | 226 |
| 10-11 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 234 |
| 12-13 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 95.3 | 100.0 | 250 |
| 14-15 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 90.8 | 100.0 | 229 |
| 16-17 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 202 |
| 18-19 | 18.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 80.4 | 100.0 | 245 |
| 20-21 | 39.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.6 | 100.0 | 205 |
| 22-23 | 54.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.6 | 100.0 | 205 |
| 24-25 | 71.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 27.9 | 100.0 | 215 |
| 26-27 | 75.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 100.0 | 184 |
| 28-29 | 82.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 100.0 | 208 |
| 30-31 | 90.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 100.0 | 191 |
| 32-33 | 94.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 187 |
| 34-35 | 95.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 203 |
| 0-3 months | 1.0 | 40.3 | 22.6 | 36.2 | 100.0 | 437 |
| $4-6$ months | 1.8 | 6.9 | 10.1 | 81.3 | 100.0 | 367 |
| 7-9 months | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 338 |
| 0.5 months | 1.6 | 28.9 | 19.0 | 50.6 | 100.0 | 675 |
| Note: Breastfeeding status refers to 24 hours preceding the survey. Children classified as breasffeeding and plain water only receive no complementary foods. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Overall, 29 percent of infants under five months of age were exclusively breastfed. The prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding declines from 55 percent for infants under age two months to 27 percent among those age two to three months to only 8 percent among children age four to five months. Many Tanzanian (young) infants appeared to receive water only in addition to breast milk.

By six to seven months of age, 91 percent of children are given breast milk and complementary foods other than plain water. This rises to 95 percent by eight to nine months of age and by the time they reach 34-35 months of age, 95 percent of all children have been fed solid foods.

Table 9.3 shows the differentials in duration and frequency of breastfeeding by background characteristics of the child and mother. At the national level, the median duration of any breastfeeding is around 22 months. The median duration of exclusive breastfeeding is around one month and full breastfeeding (breastfeeding plus plain water only) around two months. There is very little variation between the breastfeeding duration by sex of the children and by residence. Duration of breastfeeding is the longest in the Southern zone ( 24 months) and the shortest in the Lake zone ( 20 months). Breastfeeding seems to decrease slightly as educational level rises.

| Table 9.3 Median duration and frequency of breastfeeding by background variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median duration of any breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding, and full breastfeeding among children under three years of age, and the percentage of children under six months of age who were breastfed six or more times in the 24 hours preceding the interview, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children under 3 years of age ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Children under six months |  |
| Background characteristic | Median breasfeeding duration |  |  | Number of children | Breastfed 6 or more times in preceding 24 hours | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Any breastfeeding | Exclusive breastfeeding | Full breastfeeding ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 21.2 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 2,191 | 91.0 | 345 |
| Female | 21.8 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 2,095 | 91.9 | 330 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 21.5 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 4,156 | 91.5 | 648 |
| Total urban | 21.2 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 720 | 95.8 | 117 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 20.6 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 203 | (96.4) | 24 |
| Other urban | 21.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 517 | 95.7 | 94 |
| Total rural | 21.6 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3,436 | 90.5 | 530 |
| Zanzibar | 21.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 130 | 90.7 | 27 |
| Zones |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 21.4 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 864 | 87.7 | 130 |
| Northern Highlands | 21.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 510 | 93.4 | 88 |
| Lake | 20.2 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1,598 | 89.3 | 238 |
| Central | 22.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 350 | 92.9 | 57 |
| Southern Highlands | 23.3 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 583 | 96.7 | 102 |
| Southern | 24.4 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 380 | 94.9 | 60 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 22.2 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 1,236 | 90.8 | 185 |
| Primary incomplete | 21.0 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 699 | 85.6 | 113 |
| Primary complete | 21.3 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2,202 | 93.6 | 351 |
| Secondary+ | 20.3 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 149 | (91.6) | 25 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health professional | 21.4 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1,955 | 94.5 | 278 |
| Traditional midwife | 22.0 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 764 | 84.0 | 141 |
| Other or none | 21.4 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1,544 | 92.2 | 255 |
| Total | 21.5 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 4,286 | 91.4 | 675 |
| Mean | 21.2 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 97.4 | NA | NA |
| Prevalence/Incidence mean | 21.3 | 1.8 | 3.0 | NA | NA | NA |
| Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 children. Total includes 24 children under 3 years of age for whom data on assistance at delivery are missing. <br> NA $=$ Not applicable. <br> ${ }^{1}$ Medians and means are based on current status and durations are in months. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Either exclusive breastfeeding or breasfeeding and plain water only. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Frequent breastfeeding must be practised in order for mothers (and children) to reap all its benefits. The data in Table 9.3 indicate that 91 percent of children under six months of age were breastfed six or more times in the 24 hours preceding the interview.

## Types of Supplemental Foods

Table 9.4 presents information on the types of food received by children under age three in the 24 hours before the survey interview, according to whether or not the child is still being breastfed. Infant formula is not commonly used in Tanzania. Overall, only 9 percent of children are given infant formula. Mothers seem to prefer giving other milk and liquids to giving infant formula. Meat, poultry, fish, and eggs contain protein and other nutrients that are important for growth, recovery from illness, and mental development. The proportion of children receiving these foods rises from 4 percent at age two to three months to 53 percent at age 14-17 months. Foods made from grains, flour, or cereals (such as porridge) are common foods for children from age two to three months, while tubers and plantains are common foods for children. By age eight to nine months, more than 90 percent are getting grains, flour, or cereals and 14 percent are getting tubers and plantains.

## Table 9.4 Types of food received by children in preceding 24 hours

Percentage of children under three years of age who received specific types of food in the 24 hours before the interview, and the percentage using a bottle with a nipple, by breastfeeding status and child's age in months, Tanzania 1996

| Age (in months) | Breast milk only | Liquids |  |  | Solid/mushy food |  |  |  | Use of bottle with anipple |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Infant formula | Other milk | Other liquids | Meat poultry/ fish/ eggs | Grain/ flour/ cereal | Tubers/ plantain | Other |  |  |
| BREASTFEEDING CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0-1$ | 55.5 | 0.9 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 9.4 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 201 |
| 2-3 | 27.8 | 2.3 | 18.4 | 12.9 | 3.9 | 29.6 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 13.6 | 232 |
| 4.5 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 25.9 | 26.8 | 7.7 | 63.7 | 3.9 | 8.4 | 10.7 | 232 |
| 6-7 | 4.2 | 9.2 | 36.0 | 24.0 | 17.8 | 81.6 | 7.3 | 27.4 | 9.0 | 238 |
| 8-9 | 1.8 | 12.9 | 38.1 | 32.3 | 34.8 | 93.9 | 14.4 | 45.1 | 5.7 | 221 |
| 10.11 | 2.1 | 9.6 | 33.5 | 36.3 | 38.0 | 93.1 | 17.9 | 55.3 | 7.7 | 226 |
| 12-13 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 35.4 | 34.9 | 47.4 | 93.8 | 19.9 | 65.8 | 8.1 | 238 |
| 14-15 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 33.7 | 33.8 | 52.9 | 92.5 | 20.4 | 64.1 | 7.1 | 210 |
| 16-17 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 33.7 | 35.2 | 52.9 | 93.7 | 23.4 | 70.5 | 2.7 | 177 |
| 18.23 | 0.4 | 10.3 | 29.8 | 36.7 | 48.9 | 96.7 | 21.8 | 70.3 | 5.5 | 417 |
| 24.29 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 38.2 | 37.3 | 47.6 | 97.3 | 20.0 | 68.6 | 9.1 | 142 |
| 30-35 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 44.7 | 20.2 | 36.5 | 90.3 | 16.2 | 66.7 | 8.4 | 39 |
| 0-3 months | 40.7 | 1.7 | 12.9 | 10.1 | 2.3 | 20.2 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 9.0 | 432 |
| 4-6 months | 7.0 | 7.4 | 26.9 | 26.0 | 10.1 | 70.3 | 4.3 | 13.8 | 9.1 | 360 |
| 7-9 months | 2.3 | 12.1 | 40.3 | 29.3 | 30.4 | 89.6 | 12.9 | 40.7 | 7.9 | 331 |
| $0-5$ months | 29.3 | 3.5 | 17.4 | 15.9 | 4.2 | 35.4 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 9.6 | 664 |
| Total | 8.4 | 8.8 | 30.0 | 29.0 | 32.5 | 78.0 | 13.9 | 44.7 | 7.5 | 2,572 |
| NON-BREASTFEEDING CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-23 | NA | 10.5 | 36.7 | 37.4 | 54.6 | 93.1 | 30.6 | 74.7 | 8.7 | 238 |
| 24-29 | NA | 8.5 | 30.2 | 31.9 | 50.9 | 89.2 | 28.4 | 75.7 | 5.0 | 465 |
| 30-35 | NA | 7.4 | 28.9 | 32.7 | 50.8 | 88.9 | 26.6 | 76.9 | 3.9 | 542 |

NA $=$ Not applicable.

Bottle feeding is not commonly practised in Tanzania. Four percent of breastfed children under age two months were given a bottle with a nipple. Among children still breastfeeding, bottle feeding peaks at age two to three months ( 14 percent).

### 9.2 Nutritional Status of Children

The nutritional status of children is an outcome of many interrelated factors. These include environmental, economic, political, biological, educational, cultural, and food security factors. Feeding practices and infections also affect nutritional status. The nutritional status of children can thus be used as an indicator of the socioeconomic development of a community or nation.

## Measures of Nutritional Status in Childhood

Evaluation of nutritional status is based on the rationale that in a well-nourished population, one observes a statistically predictable distribution of children of a given age with respect to height and weight. In the 1996 TDHS, the nutritional status of children is analysed and evaluated in comparison with the commonly used U.S. National Centre for Health Statistics (NCHS) standard, which is recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO). The use of this reference population is based on the finding that wellnourished young children of all population groups follow very similar growth patterns. Although variations in height and weight exist, these approximate a normal distribution when the population under study is large.

Height and weight data as well as information on the child's age in months were used to construct the three standard indices of physical growth that describe the nutritional status of children: height-for-age, weight-for-height and weight-for-age. Each of these indices provides somewhat different information about the nutritional status of a population of children.

Height-for-age is a measure of linear growth. Children who are more than two standard deviations below ( -2 SD ) the median of the NCHS reference population are considered short for their age or "stunted," a condition reflecting the cumulative effect of chronic malnutrition. If the child is below minus three standard deviations ( -3 SD ) from the median of the reference population, the child is considered to be severely stunted. Stunting is a condition that reflects failure to receive adequate food intake over a long period of time and is also affected by repeated episodes of illness. Height-for-age thus represents a measure of the long-term effects of malnutrition in a population and does not vary appreciably according to the season of data collection.

The weight-for-height index describes current nutritional status. Children who are below -2 SD from the median of the reference population are considered "wasted" or too thin for their height, a condition reflecting acute or recent nutritional dcficit. As with stunting, children whose weight-for-height is below -3 SD of the reference median are considered severely wasted. Wasting represents the failure to receive adequate nutrition in the period immediately preceding the survey and may be the result of recent episodes of illness. Severe wasting is closely linked to mortality risk and may reflect acute shortage of food.

Weight-for-age is a composite index of weight-for-height and height-for-age and, thus does not distinguish between acute malnutrition (wasting) and chronic malnutrition (stunting). A child can be underweight for his/her age because he/she is stunted, wasted, or both. Children whose weight-for-age is below - 2 SD from the median of the reference population are classified as "underweight," and those below -3 SD are classified as severely underweight.

## Anthropometric Data Collection

In the TDHS, all children whose mothers were interviewed and who had been born since January 1991 were weighed using a digital scale with an accuracy of 100 grams. Their standing height (for children age 24 months and older) or recumbent length (for children under age 24 months) was also measured using the Shorr height board. Of the 6,188 children (age $0-59$ months at the time of the survey) eligible for measurement, 92 percent were weighed and measured (see Table C. 3 in Appendix ). The reason most commonly reported for not measuring a child was that the child was not at home. Of the children who were both weighed and
measured, there was a very small percentage of children for whom age data were not usable or who were considered to have implausibly low or high values for height-for-age or weight-for-height. The following analysis focuses on the 5,344 children (or 86 percent of children) age $0-59$ months, for whom complete age and anthropometric data were collected.

In a population in which children are healthy and well fed, only 2.3 percent of children are expected to fall below -2 SD for each of the three indices, whereas less than 1 percent are expected to fall below -3 SD.

## Levels of Childhood Malnutrition

Table 9.5 shows the proportions of children classified as malnourished according to each of the three measures of nutritional status by selected demographic characteristics of the child. Table 9.6 shows the same measures according to socioeconomic characteristics of the mother.

Table 9.5 Nutritional status of children by demographic characteristics
Percentage of children under five years of age who are classified as malnourished according to three anthropometric indices of nutritional status: height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age, and mean Z-score, by selected demographic characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Height-for-age |  |  | Weight-for-height |  |  | Weight-for-age |  |  | Numbe of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage below -3 SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 S D^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Z score | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -\mathbf{3} \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -2 S^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Z-score | Percentage below . 3 SD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -2 \mathrm{SD}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Z-score }}{\text { Mean }}$ |  |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 months | 2.8 | 10.7 | -0.6 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 7.0 | -0.2 | 597 |
| 6-11 months | 7.3 | 26.6 | -1.2 | 1.6 | 6.6 | -0.3 | 7.8 | 27.1 | -1.2 | 647 |
| 12-23 months | 20.9 | 52.3 | -2.0 | 2.1 | 13.4 | -0.7 | 11.8 | 40.9 | -1.7 | 1,236 |
| 24-35 months | 23.2 | 51.6 | -2.0 | 1.3 | 6.1 | -0.4 | 10.7 | 38.1 | -1.6 | 1,018 |
| 36-47 months | 22.2 | 51.9 | -2.1 | 0.5 | 5.0 | -0.3 | 6.4 | 30.7 | -1.5 | 955 |
| 48-59 months | 20.6 | 46.9 | -1.9 | 0.3 | 4.2 | -0.2 | 4.6 | 26.3 | -1.3 | 892 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 18.6 | 44.9 | -1.8 | 1.5 | 8.1 | -0.4 | 8.4 | 30.8 | -1.4 | 2,727 |
| Female | 17.1 | 41.9 | -1.7 | 1.2 | 6.4 | -0.3 | 7.1 | 30.4 | -1.3 | 2,617 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 15.5 | 43.1 | -1.7 | 2.3 | 8.3 | -0.3 | 8.1 | 29.3 | -1.3 | 1,078 |
| 2-3 | 18.0 | 42.7 | -1.7 | 1.1 | 7.0 | -0.3 | 7.2 | 28.4 | -1.3 | 1,758 |
| 4-5 | 18.6 | 41.5 | -1.7 | 0.9 | 5.5 | -0.3 | 6.7 | 28.5 | -1.3 | 1,209 |
| $6+$ | 18.8 | 46.5 | -1.9 | 1.3 | 8.4 | -0.5 | 9.2 | 36.8 | -1.5 | 1,299 |
| Previous birth interval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First birth | 15.7 | 43.2 | -1.8 | 2.3 | 8.3 | -0.3 | 8.3 | 29.4 | -1.3 | 1.080 |
| <24 months | 22.6 | 46.8 | -1.9 | 1.1 | 6.6 | -0.4 | 8.4 | 34.8 | -1.5 | 679 |
| 24-47 months | 18.0 | 44.3 | -1.8 | 0.9 | 6.9 | -0.3 | 7.5 | 30.5 | -1.3 | 2,739 |
| $48+$ months | 16.3 | 38.3 | -1.6 | 1.7 | 7.5 | -0.4 | 7.4 | 29.3 | -1.3 | 846 |
| Total | 17.8 | 43.4 | -1.7 | 1.3 | 7.2 | -0.4 | 7.8 | 30.6 | -1.4 | 5,344 |

Note: Figures are for children born in the period $0-59$ months preceding the survey. Each index is expressed in terms of the number of standard deviation (SD) units from the median of the NCHS/CDC/WHO intemational reference population, Children are classified as malnourished if their z-scores are below minus two or minus three standard deviations ( -2 SD or -3 SD ) from the median of the reference population.
${ }^{1}$ Includes children who are below - 3 SD .

An examination of Table 9.5 on height-for-age suggests that there is considerable chronic malnutrition among Tanzanian children. Overall, 43 percent of Tanzanian children are classified as stunted and 18 percent are severely stunted. Stunting increases sharply from 11 percent among children less than six months old to more than 50 percent among children 12-47 months old. Male children are slightly more likely to be stunted ( 45 percent) or severely stunted ( 19 percent) than female children ( 42 percent and 17 percent, respectively). Stunting is more prevalent among children with a short birth interval.

Stunting is more prevalent among children in rural areas than among their urban counterparts on the mainland (Table 9.6). Forty-six percent of rural children are stunted, compared with 33 percent of urban children. The proportion of stunted children is highest in the Iringa region (71 percent) and lowest in the Tabora region ( 26 percent). The level of mother's education is associated with her children's nutritional status. The proportion of stunted children ranges from 49 percent among children whose mothers have no education to 24 percent among those whose mothers have some secondary education.

Overall, 7 percent of children under five in Tanzania are wasted (low weight-for-height); 1 percent are severely wasted. Variations in the level of wasting by demographic characteristics show that wasting increases from 6 percent among children under six months of age, to 13 percent among children 12-23 months of age, indicating that food supplementation during the weaning period may be inadequate. There are no significant differences in the prevalence of acute malnutrition between rural and urban children on the mainland, however acute malnutrition is highest in Zanzibar. The lowest prevalence of wasting is reported in the Morogoro and Tabora regions ( 4 percent) and highest in the Coast and Kagera regions ( 11 percent). Prevalence of wasting among children is inversely related to the educational level of their mothers (Table 9.6).

More than 30 percent of Tanzanian children under five are underweight for their age, which may reflect stunting, wasting, or both. Low weight-for-age is most common during the second year of life (ages 12-23 months). The prevalence of low weight-for-age is higher among children living in rural areas on the mainland and in Zanzibar than among urban children. Underweight children are more common among those whose mothers have less education.

Figure 9.1 shows the distribution of children by age and by the extent to which they deviate from the reference population in terms of the Zscores for the three anthropometric indices. This shows the remarkable deterioration in nutritional status that begins shortly after birth, continuing through the first year and a half, and then leveling off or improving slightly thereafter to the third birthday.

## Trends in Malnutrition in Tanzania

The anthropometric data collected in the 1996 TDHS are

Figure 9.1
Nutritional Status of Children Under Five Years, Mean Z-scores by Age in Months
 similar to those obtained during the 1991-92 TDHS. Trends in the

## Table 9.6 Nutritional status of children by background characteristics

Percentage of children under five years of age who are classified as malnourished according to three anthropometric indices of nutritional status: height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age, and mean Z-scores, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Height-for-age |  |  | Weight-for-height |  |  | Weight-for-age |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -3 \mathrm{SD} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 S D^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Z score | Percentage below -3 SD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -2 S D^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Z-score | Percentage below -3 SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 \mathrm{SD}^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Z-score } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 17.9 | 43.6 | -1.8 | 1.3 | 7.1 | -0.3 | 7.7 | 30.5 | -1.3 | 5,180 |
| Total urban | 12.0 | 32.9 | -1.4 | 1.6 | 7.6 | -0.2 | 4.1 | 19.5 | -1.0 | 898 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 13.4 | 31.1 | -1.4 | 1.4 | 8.8 | -0.2 | 3.5 | 23.0 | -1.1 | 239 |
| Other urban | 11.5 | 33.5 | -1.4 | 1.7 | 7.2 | -0.1 | 4.4 | 18.3 | -1.0 | 659 |
| Total rural | 19.2 | 45.9 | -1.8 | 1.3 | 7.0 | -0.4 | 8.5 | 32.9 | -1.4 | 4,282 |
| Zanzibar | 14.7 | 37.1 | -1.6 | 1.8 | 11.0 | -0.6 | 8.8 | 33.8 | -1.5 | 163 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 23.0 | 48.1 | -2.0 | 0.5 | 8.0 | -0.4 | 7.5 | 34.2 | -1.6 | 210 |
| Arusha | 19.8 | 43.7 | -1. 7 | 1.1 | 7.2 | -0.4 | 9.2 | 35.1 | -1.4 | 451 |
| Kilimanjaro | 14.9 | 33.5 | -1.4 | 1.2 | 5.6 | -0.2 | 4.0 | 21.0 | -1.0 | 246 |
| Tanga | 23.2 | 55.3 | -2.1 | 0.8 | 4.9 | -0.4 | 8.1 | 36.2 | -1.6 | 287 |
| Morogoro | 19.1 | 52.7 | -2.1 | 0.9 | 4.1 | -0.1 | 7.3 | 25.5 | -1.4 | 238 |
| Coast | 23.8 | 51.7 | -2.0 | 2.1 | 11.2 | -0.4 | 8.4 | 34.3 | -1.6 | 82 |
| Dar es Salaam | 12.0 | 30.6 | -1.3 | 1.5 | 8.1 | -0.2 | 4.2 | 22.2 | -1.0 | 281 |
| Lindi | 31.2 | 58.6 | -2.2 | 1.3 | 7.0 | -0.4 | 13.4 | 41.4 | $-1.7$ | 92 |
| Mtwara | 26.9 | 58.0 | -2.2 | 0.5 | 5.9 | -0.3 | 8.7 | 35.6 | -1.6 | 177 |
| Ruvuma | 22.6 | 53.5 | -2.0 | 0.6 | 5.2 | -0.2 | 7.1 | 29.4 | -1.4 | 203 |
| Iringa | 35.3 | 70.5 | -2.5 | 0.9 | 6.2 | -0.4 | 14.7 | 48.2 | -1.9 | 268 |
| Mbeya | 17.2 | 46.9 | -1.8 | 2.1 | 6.2 | -0.1 | 6.8 | 20.8 | -1.2 | 289 |
| Singida | 16.8 | 38.6 | -1.6 | 1.8 | 7.0 | -0.3 | 9.8 | 28.4 | -1.2 | 205 |
| Tabora | 8.0 | 25.7 | -1.2 | 2.7 | 4.4 | -0.1 | 2.7 | 14.2 | -0.8 | 129 |
| Rukwa | 17.1 | 42.0 | -1.7 | 1.9 | 9.7 | -0.4 | 9.7 | 30.5 | -1.4 | 184 |
| Kigoma | 19.2 | 52.5 | -2.0 | 1.4 | 7.6 | -0.6 | 7.6 | 43.1 | -1.7 | 264 |
| Shinyanga | 11.0 | 31.3 | -1.4 | 0.7 | 6.8 | -0.5 | 5.0 | 27.8 | -1.3 | 514 |
| Kagera | 15.6 | 41.6 | -1.7 | 3.2 | 10.8 | -0.6 | 11.2 | 36.0 | -1.5 | 412 |
| Mwanza | 12.7 | 33.8 | -1.5 | 0.4 | 7.6 | -0.3 | 6.3 | 27.0 | -1.1 | 438 |
| Mara | 9.7 | 32.6 | -1.4 | 2.2 | 8.4 | -0.2 | 5.7 | 18.9 | $-1.0$ | 211 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 20.8 | 49.4 | -1.9 | 1.2 | 8.5 | -0.5 | 10.0 | 36.9 | -1.5 | 1,541 |
| Primary incomplete | 17.6 | 44.0 | -1.8 | 1,3 | 7.4 | -0.4 | 9.5 | 32.9 | -1.4 | 845 |
| Primary complete | 16.9 | 41.2 | -1.7 | 1.4 | 6.6 | -0.3 | 6.4 | 27.7 | -1.3 | 2,773 |
| Secondary + | 7.5 | 24.1 | -1.0 | 1.1 | 5.2 | -0.2 | 2.3 | 11.9 | -0.8 | 184 |
| Total | 17.8 | 43.4 | -1.7 | 1.3 | 7.2 | -0.4 | 7.8 | 30.6 | $-1.4$ | 5,344 |

Note: Figures are for children born in the period $0-59$ months preceding the survey. Each index is expressed in terms of the number of standard deviation (SD) units from the median of the NCHS/CDC/WHO international reference population. Children are classified as malnourished if their $z$-scores are below minus two or minus three standard deviations ( $-2 S D$ or -3 SD) from the median of the reference population.
${ }^{1}$ Includes children who are below -3 SD.
nutritional status for children under five are presented in Table 9.7. One factor that could not be controlled was the difference in the timing of the surveys-the 1991-92 TDHS fieldwork took place from October 1991 to March 1992, while the 1996 survey was conducted from July to November 1996. Nutritional status is known to be subject to seasonal variations, often deteriorating just before the peak harvest time and improving after harvest; it also varies with fluctuations in disease prevalence. However, it is difficult to assess what effect, if any, the difference timing in data collection between the two surveys might have on the results concerning nutritional status of children.

Results show that the proportion of children under age five who have chronic malnutrition or stunting (low height-for-age) was stable at 43 percent between the two surveys, while acute malnutrition or wasting (low weight-for-height) rose from 6 to 7 percent. Since the change in wasting refers to conditions immediately preceding the two surveys, the overall trend in nutrition using this measure may be misleading. The percentage of children who are underweight (low weight-for-age) increased slightly from 29 to 31 percent.

| Table 9.7 Trends in nutritional status of children |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among children under five years of age, percentage classified as malnourished according height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-fo age. 1991-92 TDHS and 1996 TDHS, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |
| Index | $\begin{gathered} 1991-92 \\ \text { TDHS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1996 \\ \text { TDHS } \end{gathered}$ |
| Height-for-age |  |  |
| <-2 SD | 42.6 16.7 | 43.4 |
| Weight-for-height |  |  |
| $<-2$ SD | 6.0 | 7.2 |
| $<-3$ SD | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Weight-for-age |  |  |
| $<-2$ SD | 28.8 | 30.6 |
| <-3 SD | 7.1 | 7.8 |
| Number of children | 6,097 | 5.344 |

### 9.3 Maternal Nutritional Status

All mothers of children born since January 1991 were eligible to be weighed and measured ${ }^{1}$ in the 1996 TDHS. The objective was to obtain a picture of the nutritional status of women of reproductive age, but in considering the cost and length of the survey, a decision was made to limit the anthropometric section to women with young children who would be measured anyway. ${ }^{2}$ In reviewing the results of the maternal anthropometric data collection, it is important to remember that the sample of women is not representative of all women age 15-49 and will overrepresent high fertility age groups, for example, women $25-34$ years old.

Several measures must be used to assess the nutritional status of women (Krasovec and Anderson, 1991). In this report, two indices are presented: height, and body mass index (BMI). Maternal height is associated with past socioeconomic status and nutritional status in childhood and adolescence. It is related to the risk of difficult delivery, since small stature is often associated with small pelvic size. Short women also often stand the risk of bearing infants with low birth weight. The cut off point below which a woman can be identified as at risk is in the range of $140-150$ centimetres (cm).

Table 9.8 shows that the mean height of mothers measured in the 1996 TDHS is 156 cm . Less than 3 percent of mothers are shorter than 145 cm .

In addition to height, the other commonly used index is the BMI, which is derived by dividing the weight in kilograms ( kg ) by the square height in metres $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. This indicator is used to assess thinness or obesity. A cut off point of $18.5\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ has been recommended for defining short term or acute nutritional

[^9]status, while a level below 16 classifies severe malnutrition (James et al., 1988) which is associated with increased mortality. The results of the 1996 TDHS show that the mean BMI among nonpregnant mothers was 22; 9 percent of mothers had a BMI below the 18.5 cut-off point, reflecting the prevalence of acute malnutrition among nonpregnant women.

## Table 9.8 Maternal nutritional status by back ground characteristics

Among women who had a birth in the five years preceding the survey, percentage of women under 145 centimetres, mean body mass index (BMI) of women, and percentage of women whose BMI is less than $18.5\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, and mean DHS z-score and percentage of Tanzanian mothers who are more than -2 SD below the median of the DHS population, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristics | Height |  |  | BMI |  |  | BMI (DHS) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Percentage $<145$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | Mean | Percent age $<18.5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { DHS } \\ & \text { z-score } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z-score } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -2 \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | Z-score number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 155.9 | 3.0 | 328 | 21.5 | 8.6 | 272 | -0.4 | 3.4 | 272 |
| 20-24 | 156.1 | 3.5 | 1,122 | 21.6 | 9.5 | 913 | -0.4 | 2.1 | 911 |
| 25-29 | 156.6 | 1.8 | 1,104 | 22.0 | 8.5 | 903 | -0.6 | 4.7 | 900 |
| 30-34 | 157.0 | 2.4 | 791 | 22.3 | 7.6 | 658 | -0.7 | 7.1 | 657 |
| 35-49 | 156.2 | 2.9 | 983 | 22.2 | 10.8 | 883 | -1.0 | 14.4 | 881 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 156.4 | 2.7 | 4,198 | 22.0 | 9.0 | 3,524 | -0.7 | 6.6 | 3,516 |
| Total urban | 156.4 | 2.1 | 809 | 23.1 | 8.1 | 710 | -0.3 | 4.9 | 710 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 155.6 | 3.0 | 227 | 23.5 | 7.3 | 207 | -0.2 | 4.5 | 207 |
| Other urban | 156.7 | 1.7 | 582 | 22.9 | 8.4 | 503 | -0.4 | 5.0 | 503 |
| Total rural | 156.4 | 2.8 | 3,389 | 21.7 | 9.2 | 2,814 | -0.8 | 7.0 | 2,806 |
| Zanzibar | 155.4 | 2.9 | 129 | 21.4 | 15.4 | 105 | -0.9 | 13.1 | 105 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 156.0 | 2.8 | 198 | 21.2 | 12.2 | 167 | -0.9 | 10.1 | 167 |
| Arusha | 158.2 | 1.4 | 355 | 22.0 | 15.4 | 285 | -0.7 | 10.1 | 285 |
| Kilimanjaro | 156.5 | 1.6 | 191 | 23.0 | 9.5 | 168 | -0.5 | 10.1 | 167 |
| Tanga | 154.6 | 5.0 | 234 | 21.2 | 11.3 | 196 | -0.9 | 7.8 | 193 |
| Morogoro | 153.3 | 6.6 | 212 | 21.6 | 6.1 | 179 | -0.8 | 6.7 | 179 |
| Coast | 153.7 | 4.8 | 72 | 21.8 | 8.3 | 62 | -0.7 | 5.6 | 62 |
| Dar es Salaam | 155.5 | 2.8 | 267 | 23.5 | 7.3 | 242 | -0.2 | 4.2 | 242 |
| Lindi | 152.9 | 7.9 | 89 | 21.7 | 8.8 | 80 | -0.8 | 9.6 | 79 |
| Mtwara | 152.8 | 6.0 | 173 | 20.9 | 13.8 | 152 | -1.0 | 9.6 | 152 |
| Ruvuma | 153.7 | 5.7 | 171 | 21.8 | 4.7 | 154 | -0.8 | 3.0 | 154 |
| Iringa | 154.5 | 3.6 | 234 | 22.5 | 6.7 | 198 | -0.7 | 5.5 | 198 |
| Mbeya | 155.8 | 2.0 | 221 | 22.8 | 3.2 | 187 | -0.4 | 1.6 | 185 |
| Singida | 158.3 | 0.9 | 165 | 22.0 | 6.9 | 145 | -0.7 | 5.4 | 145 |
| Tabora | 157.8 | 0.0 | 108 | 22.2 | 5.1 | 89 | -0.5 | 5.1 | 89 |
| Rukwa | 156.7 | 2.3 | 146 | 22.3 | 3.0 | 115 | -0.5 | 2.4 | 115 |
| Kigoma | 156.2 | 3.4 | 199 | 21.1 | 10.8 | 168 | -0.9 | 5.7 | 167 |
| Shinyanga | 159.1 | 0.5 | 382 | 21.9 | 8.2 | 311 | -0.6 | 5.3 | 311 |
| Kagera | 157.5 | 1.7 | 293 | 21.3 | 14.3 | 219 | -0.9 | 12.9 | 217 |
| Mwanza | 158.5 | 1.1 | 331 | 22.2 | 8.1 | 275 | -0.6 | 4.0 | 275 |
| Mara | 159.3 | 0.0 | 157 | 22.0 | 8.3 | 135 | -0.5 | 5.5 | 135 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 156.3 | 3.6 | 1,266 | 21.6 | 11.2 | 1,040 | -0.9 | 9.3 | 1,039 |
| Primary incomplete | 155.7 | 2.8 | 698 | 21.8 | 10.1 | 586 | -0.8 | 9.1 | 586 |
| Primary complete | 156.5 | 2.2 | 2,197 | 22.1 | 8.0 | 1,856 | -0.6 | 4.8 | 1,851 |
| Secondary + | 158.2 | 1.4 | 167 | 24.3 | 6.5 | 147 | -0.0 | 4.0 | 146 |
| Total | 156.4 | 2.7 | 4,327 | 22.0 | 9.2 | 3,629 | -0.7 | 6.8 | 3,621 |

Note: Table includes only women who had a birth in the five years preceding the survey. The BMI index excludes pregnant women and those who are less than two months postpartum.

Overall, there is very little variation by background characteristics in maternal height and body mass measures among Tanzanian women. The percentage of women with height below 145 centimetres is higher among women in the Lindi region ( 8 percent) compared to other regions. Older women ( $35-49$ years old), women from Zanzibar, women from the Arusha and Kagera regions, and women with low or no education are more likely to fall below the 18.5 BMI measure than other women.

## CHAPTER 10

## MATERNAL MORTALITY

Maternal mortality is recognised as a serious health problem in developing countries and Tanzania is no exception. These countries are characterised by high fertility, high incidence of infectious diseases, poverty, and scarcity of health services, which lead to high maternal mortality, among other things.

In Tanzania there have been deliberate efforts in the past to obtain maternal mortality estimates from small studies and hospital-based studies. Some estimates were derived from records of health facilities which also contained information about causes of deaths. Until now, such studies have estimated maternal mortality in Tanzania at 200-400 deaths per 100,000 live births (Ministry of Health, 1996). However, hospital records can over or underestimate matemal mortality. On the one hand, hospital records do not include those who do not deliver in hospitals (e.g., the poor, those in remote areas who are less likely to deliver babies in hospitals). On the other hand, hospital records are likely to overstate the true matemal mortality rate because women who develop complications during pregnancy or delivery are more likely to deliver in a hospital.

The estimates presented in this chapter are therefore important; they fill a vacuum for reliable, national estimates of matemal mortality. However, these estimates have no parallel against which they can be compared. Therefore, there is a need for further national-level investigation of this problem.

In the 1996 TDHS, both female and male respondents were requested to list all their siblings, that is, all the children bom to their mother starting with the first born, and whether or not each of these siblings was still alive at the time of survey. The current age was collected for those who were still alive, and additional information was sought on the year of death and age at death of deceased siblings.

To establish whether deaths were matemity-related, respondents were further asked questions for all sisters who died at age 12 or older: "Was [NAME OF SISTER] pregnant when she died?"; and if not, "Did she die during childbirth?"; and if not, "Did she die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth?' It is intended that this information will not only give an estimate of matemal risk but a complete profile of person-years of exposure to the risk of mortality for the adult population being investigated.

The direct approach used in this chapter to estimate adult and matemal mortality maximises use of data collected in the 1996 TDHS on the survivorship, the age of surviving siblings, the age at death of siblings who died, and the number of years since the sibling died. This allows the data to be aggregated to determine the number of person-years of exposure to mortality and the number of deaths which have occurred to siblings in a particular calcnder year. According to Rutenberg and Sullivan (1991), it is possible to compute maternal mortality rates by dividing maternal (or all female or male adult) deaths by person-years of exposure.

### 10.1 Assessment of Data Quality

Techniques presented in this report have been employed under the presumption of the existence of both accurate and complete data pertaining to the number of siblings, their survival status, and the circumstances conceming the cause of their deaths. Hence, it is important to see at the outset how well these data meet this assumption. A brief description of data quality will be presented here and a more detailed discussion appears in Appendix C. One measure of quality is the completeness of information on siblings. Overall, the TDHS data on siblings is nearly complete. The distribution of the year of birth of respondents in
relation to their' siblings is another way to measure the quality of the data on maternal mortality. The median year of birth of respondents (1969) almost coincides with the median year of birth of siblings (1970), implying that there is no substantial underreporting of siblings (Appendix Table C.8). The sex ratio of reported siblings (the ratio of brothers to sisters) was a little low (100.7), possibly showing slight underreporting of brothers (Appendix Table C.9).

### 10.2 Adult Mortality

Another way of assessing the quality of maternal mortality data is to look at estimates of adult mortality on the theory that if the overall mortality estimates show a generally stable and plausible pattern, this gives greater weight to the maternal mortality estimates derived thereafter. Estimates of male and female adult mortality can be obtained from information collected in the sibling history. Agespecific death rates are computed by dividing the number of deaths in each age group by the total person-months of exposure in that age group during the specified reference period. Age-specific death rates are then adjusted by the current age distribution of the de facto female population age 15-49 from the household schedule, by taking the sum of each age-specific mortality rate multiplied by the percentage of women in that age group, to obtain an overall agestandardized female adult mortality rate. It is assumed that the age distribution of respondents is the same as that of siblings. The same procedure is applied to obtain the male adult mortality rate using the age distribution of the male population obtained from the household schedule. Table 10.1 presents age-specific mortality estimates for females and males for the period $0-9$ years before the survey.

In total, female respondents enumerated 47,727 siblings, of whom 23,775 were sisters and 23,952 were brothers. The number of sibling deaths during 1987-1996 is fairly small. Age-specific rates are based on relatively few occurrences and therefore subject to sampling variability. As such, it is preferable to aggregate the data over the age range 15-49. The number of reported female and male deaths in the age group 15-49 were 501 and 601, respectively. The female adult mortality rate is 21 percent lower than the male adult mortality rate.

| Table 10.1 Adult mortality rates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct estimates of female and male adult mortality for the period $0-9$ years prior to the survey, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |
| Age | Deaths | Exposure | Mortality rates |
|  |  | OMEN |  |
| 15-19 | 64 | 30,867 | 2.06 |
| 20-24 | 94 | 30,743 | 3.05 |
| 25-29 | 108 | 26,243 | 4.11 |
| 30-34 | 84 | 20,220 | 4.13 |
| 35-39 | 70 | 13,980 | 4.99 |
| 40-44 | 51 | 8,239 | 6.24 |
| 45-49 | 30 | 4,356 | 6.88 |
| 15-49 | 501 | 134,649 | $3.93{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | MEN |  |
| 15-19 | 57 | 29,599 | 1.92 |
| 20-24 | 90 | 30,506 | 2.95 |
| 25-29 | 97 | 26,734 | 3.64 |
| 30-34 | 130 | 20,649 | 6.32 |
| 35-39 | 103 | 14,061 | 7.31 |
| 40-44 | 75 | 8,095 | 9.26 |
| 45-49 | 49 | 4,076 | 12.00 |
| 15-49 | 601 | 133,719 | $4.98{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Note: Mortality rates are expressed per d,000 population. Age-adjusted rate. |  |  |  |

The observed rates may be taken to be reasonably stable. For establishing their reliability it is useful to compare them to measures generated from other sources such as the 1988 Population Census (Bureau of Statistics, 1994). This comparison reveals that the adult mortality rates calculated from the 1996 TDHS data are generally too low compared to the 1988 Population Census data (not shown). These findings suggest that underreporting of deceased siblings in the TDHS data.
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### 10.3 Maternal Mortality

The age specific estimates of maternal mortality are presented in Table 10.2. These are derived through the reported survivorship of sisters. Age-specific mortality rates are calculated by dividing the number of maternal deaths by years of exposure. The overall rate for women 15-49 is standardized by the age distribution of the survey respondents. Matemal deaths are defined as any death that occurred during pregnancy, childbirth, or within two months after the birth or termination of a pregnancy.

In all, the number of maternal deaths for the period 1987-1996 is 137. The general pattern is of high maternal mortal-

Table 10.2 Direct estimates of maternal mortality
Direct estimates of maternal mortality for the period 0-9 years prior to the survey, Tanzania 1996

| Age | Maternal deaths | Exposure | Mortality rates ${ }^{1}$ | Proportion of maternal deaths to female deaths |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | 17 | 30,867 | 0.541 | 0.266 |
| 20-24 | 38 | 30,743 | 1.238 | 0.404 |
| 25-29 | 31 | 26,243 | 1.165 | 0.287 |
| 30-34 | 20 | 20,220 | 0.996 | 0.238 |
| 35-39 | 18 | 13,980 | 1.260 | 0.257 |
| 40-44 | 6 | 8,239 | 0.751 | 0.118 |
| 45-49 | 8 | 4,356 | 1.769 | 0.267 |
| 15-49 | 137 | 134,649 | 1.043 | 0.274 |
|  |  |  | 0.197 |  |
| General Fertility Rate (GFR) <br> Matemal Mortality Ratio (MMR) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | 529 |  |
| Expressed per 1,000 woman-years of exposure. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Expressed per 100,000 live births; calculated as the maternal mortality rate divided by the general fertility rate. |  |  |  |  | ity particularly in the 20-24 and 25-29 age groups. This is probably due to the fact that more pregnancies occur in these age groups. However, the age-specific pattern should be interpreted with caution because of the small number of events. The maternal mortality rate, which is the annual number of maternal deaths per 1,000 women age $15-49$ for the period 1987-1996 is 1.043 . Matemal deaths accounted for 27 percent of all deaths to women age 15-49 during the 10 years preceding the survey.

The maternal mortality rate is conventionally converted to a maternal mortality ratio and expressed per 100,000 lives births by dividing the age-standardised maternal mortality rate by the age-standardised general fertility rate for the same reference period. The advantage of this type of conversion is that it highlights the obstetric risk, which has a high programmatic significance. Thus, for Tanzania between 1987-1996, the maternal mortality ratio is estimated at 529. In other words, for every 1,000 live births in Tanzania during this period, 5 women died of pregnancy-related causes.

## CHAPTER 11

## SEXUAL ACTIVITY AND KNOWLEDGE OF AIDS

### 11.1 Introduction

AIDS and HIV infection have been identified as serious health and socioeconomic problems in Tanzania. The AIDS virus was probably introduced in Tanzania in the early 1980s. The first three cases of AIDS were reported in 1983 in the Kagera region. Since then, cases continued to increase, and by 1986 all regions of the country had reported AIDS cases. Due to its fast spread, the control of ADS has become a top government priority. The government set up the National Aids Control Programme (NACP) under the Ministry of Health.

It is estimated that about 1.2 million Tanzanians are infected with HIV, while about 400,000 have already developed AIDS (WHO, 1995). Data received from 10 antenatal clinics throughout the country show that HIV prevalence ranges up to 33 percent. The overall cumulative case rate by 1995 was 221 per 100,000 people, 228 for men and 214 for women. The highest case rates of more than 900 per 100,000 are in the age group $30-34$ years among men and more than 700 per 100,000 in the same age group among women. Children age $10-14$ have the lowest case rates ( 5.7 per 100,000 for boys and 8.0 per 100,000 for girls). The overall male-female case ratio and case rate ratio ${ }^{1}$ were 1.01 and 1.07 , respectively, showing a slight overall female case load during 1995 (NACP, 1996). Although all regions are affected, Dar es Salaam, Mbeya and Kagera are the most affected regions. These three regions have kept the same positions in the order of reporting high number of AIDS cases for the past four years.

Other sexually transmitted diseases (STD), apart from AIDS, have been identified as co-factors in HIV transmission. In 1995, there were 375 STD cases reported from 54 STD sentinel sites and in total, 28,463 STD cases were recorded up to 1995 (NACP, 1996). On average, 2,372 cases were reported in a month, ranging from 11,864 cases found in men who accounted for 42 percent compared with 58 percent in women. The largest diagnostic category was Genital Discharge Syndrome (23 and 27 percent for males and females, respectively).

The 1996 TDHS included questions to assess the knowledge of STDs, the proportion of respondents who have had an STD, whether they sought advice or treatment for the disease, and whether they took measures to protect their sexual partners. The TDHS also included questions on AIDS to assess the knowledge and attitudes of respondents regarding transmission mechanisms and prevention of infection with the AIDS virus. Female and male respondents were asked if they had heard of AIDS and if so, the source from which they had received the most information. To assess awareness, respondents were asked to name the modes of transmission of the AIDS virus. They were also asked if they thought it was possible to prevent AIDS and if so, how, and whether they had changed their sexual behaviour to prevent getting AIDS and if so, how.
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### 11.2 Sexual Partners

Given the evidence that the vast majority of HIV infections in Tanzania are contracted through heterosexual contact, information on sexual behaviour is important in designing and monitoring intervention programmes to control the spread of the disease. Both male and female respondents were asked questions about sexual partners with whom they had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey. Respondents were asked about their spouses, and regular and nonregular sexual partners. Married respondents were asked whether they had sexual partners other than their spouse and if so, how many regular partners they had. They also were asked to state when they last had sexual intercourse with their spouse. Unmarried respondents were asked whether they had a regular sexual partner and if so, how many regular partners they had. They also were asked to state when they last had sex with a regular partner. Both married and unmarried respondents were also asked whether they had sexual intercourse with someone other than a regular partner within 12 months before the survey. They were then asked how many people they had sex with and when the most recent sexual encounter with a nonregular partner occurred.

Tables 11.1.1 and 11.1.2 present data on the number of sexual partners respondents had in the 12 months preceding the survey. The vast majority of currently married women ( 95 percent) had not had sex with anyone other than their spouse (or have not had sex at all) in the 12 months preceding the survey. In the 1994 TKAPS, the figure was 93 percent. Among married women, sex outside marriage is more likely to be higher for women from the Mtwara, Lindi, and Coast regions than other regions. Two-thirds ( 65 percent) of the unmarried women were not sexually active in the 12 months preceding the survey. Unmarried women living in Lindi region were most likely to have had more than one partner than their counterparts.

Seventy-four percent of married men reported having only one sexual partner in the previous 12 months. Only 7 percent of married men reported abstaining from sex in the past 12 months, whereas 19 percent reported having sex with two or more women. Among married men, sex outside marriage is higher among 20-29 age groups, among men married 0-4 years, among those living in the Southern zone, and among those who have some education. Forty percent of unmarried men had been sexually active in the previous 12 months. Twenty percent had one partner and about the same percent had two or more partners. A higher proportion of unmarried men had more than one partner among those age 25-29, among men living in urban areas, living in Coastal and Southem zones, and those with higher education.

### 11.3 Awareness of Sexually Transmitted Diseases

Table 11.2 shows the percentage of women and men who spontaneously mentioned knowing about specific STDs, by various background characteristics.

AIDS is by far the most widely known STD among respondents. Without probing, more than 80 percent of women and men cited AIDS. The next most commonly reported STD was gonorrhoea, with 57 percent of women and 78 percent of men spontaneously reporting knowledge of the disease. This gender difference in knowledge also occurs regarding knowledge of syphilis, with men more likely ( 72 percent) than women ( 51 percent) to mention this disease. Fifteen percent of women and 7 percent of men could not cite a single STD.

Both women and men are less likely to be informed about STDs if they lack formal education, if they live in rural areas on the mainland or live in Zanzibar, and if they are younger ( $15-19$ years). Differences by regions were also observed; lack of knowledge of STDs was lowest in the Arusha region ( 27 percent of women and 14 percent of men could not cite a single STD). Being formerly or currently married and, if never married, having had sex significantly contributes to having knowledge of STDs.

## Table 11.1.1 Number of sexual partners: women

Percent distribution of currently married and unmarried women, by number of persons with whom they had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months, according to background characteristics, Tanzania
1996 1996

| Background characteristic | Currently married women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unmarried women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of partners (including spouse) |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | Number of women | Number of partners |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | Number of women |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | 4+ | Missing/ Don't know | Total |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | 4+ | Missing/ Don't know | Total |  |  |
| Age 15-19 | 4.1 | 90.2 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 401 | 76.8 | 19.4 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 1,331 |
| 20-24 | 3.6 | 91.2 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1,131 | 76.8 52.8 | 39.0 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 1,3515 |
| 25-29 | 5.2 | 89.0 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1,184 | 44.2 | 47.7 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 256 |
| 30-39 | 6.6 | 87.4 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1,687 | 50.3 | 42.6 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 319 |
| 40-49 | 7.7 | 88.5 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1,008 | 68.0 | 26.1 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 257 |
| Marital duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 69.9 | 25.7 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 1,887 |
| 0-4 | 3.6 | 92.0 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1,312 | 53.6 | 36.7 | 7.8 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 141 |
| 5-9 | 4.4 | 89.4 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1,203 | 40.0 | 49.6 | 8.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 154 |
| 10-14 | 7.6 | 85.8 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 919 | 47.6 | 41.9 | 7.8 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 128 |
| 15+ | 7.0 | 88.1 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1,977 | 60.7 | 32.6 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 399 |
| Kesidence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 5.8 | 88.8 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 5,245 | 64.1 | 30.0 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 2,635 |
| Total urban | 3.7 | 88.8 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 1,073 | 56.1 | 36.5 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 2,738 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 3.7 | 86.1 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1.1 | , 340 | 56.4 | 36.0 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 223 |
| Other urban | 3.7 | 90.1 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 733 | 56.0 | 36.7 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 515 |
| Total rural | 6.3 | 88.7 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 4,172 | 67.2 | 27.5 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 1,897 |
| Zanzibar | 3.2 | 94.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 166 | 93.6 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.1 | , 73 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 7.9 | 83.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 258 | 66.3 | 30.2 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 97 |
| Arusha | 11.8 | 86.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 403 | 68.2 | 25.0 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 186 |
| Kilimanjaro | 4.0 | 94.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 221 | 70.0 | 24.1 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 169 |
| Tanga | 3.3 | 88.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 282 | 64.7 | 24.4 | 7.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 181 |
| Morogoro | 4.6 | 91.6 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 257 | 62.9 | 32.9 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 151 |
| Coast | 4.1 | 81.9 | 11.7 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 98 | 51.9 | 34.9 | 8.5 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 61 |
| Dar es Salaam | 3.6 | 87.3 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 399 | 55.8 | 37.3 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 246 |
| Lindi | 11.4 | 72.4 | 11.9 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 123 | 43.5 | 41.7 | 13.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 63 |
| Miwara | 12.3 | 73.7 | 11.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 248 | 56.4 | 30.1 | 8.3 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 107 |
| Ruvuma | 8.3 | 83.4 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 205 | 44.4 | 49.0 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Iringa | 17.3 | 81.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 291 | 78.8 | 19.2 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 175 |
| Mbeya | 4.7 | 91.5 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 318 | 69.9 | 25.2 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 155 |
| Singida | 5.9 | 88.9 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 194 | 71.0 | 23.4 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 89 |
| Tabora | 4.3 | 87.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 157 | 58.3 | 31.7 | 8.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 68 |
| Rukwa | 0.8 | 93.4 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 177 | 54.3 | 41.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 64 |
| Kigoma | 4.5 | 94.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 233 | 85.4 | 11.4 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 117 |
| Shinyanga | 1.2 | 95.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 464 | 65.3 | 30.6 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 221 |
| Kagera | 2.0 | 95.6 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 337 | 78.5 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 130 |
| Mwanza | 1.9 | 96.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 395 | 46.9 | 50.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 177 |
| Мага | 5.6 | 87.3 | 6.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 183 | 70.0 | 27.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 74 |
| Educational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.1 | 88.5 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1,829 | 62.2 | 32.1 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 488 |
| Primary incomplete | 5.7 | 88.0 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 921 | 73.4 | 21.3 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 710 |
| Completed primary | 5.0 | 89.2 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 2,461 | 61.2 | 32.4 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 1,270 |
| Secondary + | 2.4 | 94.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 200 | 64.8 | 31.9 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.4 | ,241 |
| Total | 5.7 | 88.9 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 5,411 | 64.9 | 29.4 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 2,709 |

NA $=$ Not applicable

## Table 11.1.2 Number of sexual partners: men

Percent distribution of currently married and unmarried men, by rumber of persons with whom they had sexual intercourse in the past 12 months, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Currenuly maried men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unmarried men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of pariners (including spouse) |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | Number of men | Number of partners |  |  |  |  |  | Меал | Number of men |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | 4+ | Missing/ don't know | Total |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | 4+ | Missing/ don't know | Tolal |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | * | ${ }^{*}$ | * | 100.0 | * | 6 | 73.6 | 13.7 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 482 |
| 20-24 | 9.0 | 52.1 | 22.1 | 14.8 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 91 | 45.5 | 26.9 | 20.1 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 280 |
| 25-29 | 6.2 | 68.3 | 19.7 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 196 | 33.9 | 26.1 | 17.4 | 17.2 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 1.9 | 105 |
| 30-39 | 5.9 | 74.1 | 14.1 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 463 | 44.1 | 21.1 | 16.5 | 10.4 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 60 |
| 40-49 | 6.8 | 75.3 | 13.7 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 332 | (60.1) | (10.8) | (11.9) | (13.1) | (4.0) | 100.0 | (1.1) | 23 |
| 50-59 | 6.6 | 85.7 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 200 | ${ }_{ \pm}$ | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 17 |
| Marital duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 60.4 | 19.2 | 13.3 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 851 |
| 0-4 | 7.1 | 64.1 | 20.0 | 7.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 293 | (44.0) | (21.3) | (20.0) | (13.2) | (1.6) | 100.0 | (1.5) | 39 |
| 5.9 | 4.6 | 74.5 | 14.1 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 275 | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | ( | 29 |
| 10-14 | 6.8 | 73.1 | 14.7 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 219 | * | ${ }^{*}$ | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 22 |
| 15+ | 7.2 | 79.2 | 10.9 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 501 | (49.8) | (23.1) | (10.2) | (16.9) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (1.4) | 27 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 6.6 | 73.2 | 14.6 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 1,253 | 57.3 | 20.1 | 14.0 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 934 |
| Total urban | 3.9 | 73.9 | 17.3 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 260 | 51.9 | 21.8 | 18.4 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 248 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 7.6 | 64.4 | 17.4 | 8.3 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 83 | 34.3 | 34.3 | 21.4 | 8.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 88 |
| Other urban | 2.2 | 78.3 | 17.3 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 177 | 61.6 | 14.9 | 16.8 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 160 |
| Total rural | 7.3 | 73.1 | 13.8 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 992 | 59.2 | 19.5 | 12.3 | 7.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 686 |
| Zanzibar | 3.6 | 90.5 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 35 | 89.5 | 8.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 33 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 6.1 | 70.2 | 17.2 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 268 | 48.7 | 23.6 | 20.5 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 240 |
| Northern highlands | 7.9 | 80.4 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 146 | 58.4 | 21.9 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 129 |
| Lake | 2.7 | 76.4 | 15.6 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 437 | 58.1 | 19.3 | 15.2 | 7.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 320 |
| Central | 7.8 | 71.7 | 17.9 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 101 | 67.6 | 16.2 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 75 |
| Southern highlands | 9.2 | 84.1 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 186 | 79.8 | 12.4 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 122 |
| Southern | 12.9 | 53.9 | 24.4 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 149 | 47.4 | 19.9 | 13.1 | 12.0 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 82 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.0 | 80.3 | 8.4 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 213 | 66.6 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 92 |
| Primary incomplete | 7.2 | 75.2 | 13.9 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 342 | 75.0 | 13.6 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 322 |
| Primary complete | 6.4 | 70.3 | 16.4 | 5.6 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 612 | 48.4 | 23.8 | 18.3 | 7.8 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 454 |
| Secondary + | 4.3 | 75.2 | 14.8 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 121 | 42.8 | 28.1 | 17.8 | 8.8 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Total | 6.5 | 73.7 | 14.3 | 4.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 1,288 | 58.4 | 19.7 | 13.5 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 968 |

## Table 11.2 Knowledge of STDs

Percent of respondents who know of specific sexually transmitted diseases according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Syphil- } \\ & \text { lis } \end{aligned}$ | Gonorthoea | AIDS | Genital warts | Other | Don't know any | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Syphil- }}{\substack{\text { lis }}}$ | Gonorrhoea | AIDS | Genital warts | Other | Don't know any | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { men } \end{aligned}$ |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 35.5 | 40.1 | 71.6 | 0.5 | 4.2 | 25.2 | 1,732 | 49.6 | 57.2 | 73.6 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 17.9 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 55.9 | 61.6 | 83.1 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 11.8 | 1,676 | 74.7 | 78.5 | 80.8 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 4.3 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 57.9 | 64.0 | 84.0 | 0.5 | 6.7 | 10.8 | 1,440 | 83.7 | 84.0 | 84.8 | 2.5 | 8.6 | 4.1 | 301 |
| 30-39 | 57.0 | 63.7 | 83.8 | 1.4 | 6.5 | 11.6 | 2,006 | 81.1 | 86.7 | 87.6 | 3.0 | 7.7 | 4.0 | 523 |
| 40-49 | 50.7 | 58.2 | 80.5 | 0.8 | 6.2 | 15.4 | 1,265 | 78.2 | 86.5 | 90.7 | 4.0 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 355 |
| 50-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 73.3 | 77.8 | 85.2 | 0.7 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 218 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 52.9 | 59.4 | 81.9 | 1.0 | 6.6 | 13.6 | 5,411 | 80.2 | 84.9 | 88.8 | 3.2 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 1,288 |
| Formerly in union | 60.1 | 69.2 | 85.2 | 1.4 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 822 | 79.7 | 83.0 | 86.3 | 0.5 | 12.7 | 2.9 | 117 |
| Never married | 43.2 | 46.5 | 74.9 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 21.4 | 1,887 | 59.3 | 66.0 | 74.8 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 13.5 | 847 |
| Had sex | 60.0 | 64.2 | 84.3 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 10.6 | 839 | 69.9 | 78.7 | 80.3 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 501 |
| Never had sex | 29.9 | 32.3 | 67.4 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 30.0 | 1,048 | 43.9 | 47.5 | 66.7 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 24.1 | 346 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 52.4 | 58.2 | 80.6 | 1.0 | 6.2 | 14.9 | 7,881 | 73.6 | 78.2 | 83.1 | 2.9 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 68.4 | 73.8 | 88.7 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 1,811 | 81.5 | 85.6 | 83.1 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 509 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 65.0 | 76.4 | 92.3 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 563 | 77.6 | 84.6 | 83.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 1.5 | 171 |
| Other urban | 69.9 | 72.6 | 87.1 | 1.1 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 1,248 | 83.4 | 86.1 | 83.2 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 338 |
| Zanzibar | 17.3 | 30.9 | 80.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 19.0 | 6,239 | 33.9 | 615 | 89.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1,678 |
| Pemba | 12.2 | 17.3 | 71.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 28.1 | 92 | 13.0 | 59.3 | 96.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 28 |
| Unguja | 20.5 | 39.3 | 85.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 13.3 | 148 | 48.1 | 63.0 | 85.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 41 |
| Regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 37.8 | 46.7 | 73.3 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 20.3 | 355 | 42.1 | 62.1 | 79.3 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 12.9 | 96 |
| Arusha | 43.5 | 50.1 | 66.3 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 27.1 | 589 | 55.3 | 62.8 | 75.5 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 13.8 | 156 |
| Kilimanjaro | 59.0 | 68.7 | 84.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 13.7 | 390 | 71.8 | 80.0 | 85.1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 119 |
| Tanga | 46.0 | 63.3 | 76.9 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 20.4 | 464 | 61.3 | 74.7 | 85.3 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 108 |
| Morogoro | 50.7 | 56.8 | 84.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 12.5 | 408 | 63.6 | 72.0 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 95 |
| Coast | 56.7 | 71.8 | 92.1 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 159 | 77.4 | 88.7 | 80.6 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 6.5 | 45 |
| Dar es Salaam | 63.6 | 74.9 | 91.8 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 646 | 77.3 | 83.6 | 82.6 | 8.2 | 9.9 | 2.0 | 191 |
| Lindi | 65.4 | 71.4 | 91.2 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 6.6 | 187 | 93.0 | 98.6 | 97.2 | 1.4 | 12.7 | 1.4 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 56.2 | 67.1 | 84.4 | 0.2 | 18.6 | 12.7 | 355 | 87.1 | 94.1 | 94.1 | 1.0 | 19.8 | 4.0 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 62.9 | 76.6 | 83.7 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 10.5 | 305 | 78.4 | 90.2 | 90.2 | 1.0 | 17.6 | 1.0 | 82 |
| Iringa | 58.1 | 47.6 | 77.6 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 14.9 | 466 | 73.0 | 72.3 | 83.9 | 0.7 | 13.9 | 5.1 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 62.1 | 64.6 | 77.4 | 0.3 | 26.1 | 14.0 | 473 | 88.9 | 81.9 | 76.4 | 15.3 | 8.3 | 9.7 | 137 |
| Singida | 53.6 | 65.2 | 82.5 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 16.0 | 283 | 72.6 | 86.9 | 88.1 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 80 |
| Tabora | 51.5 | 55.6 | 82.8 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 13.6 | 225 | 61.1 | 68.5 | 83.3 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 82 |
| Rukwa | 53.3 | 66.0 | 74.2 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 19.5 | 242 | 84.6 | 82.1 | 85.9 | 2.6 | 12.8 | 5.1 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 34.3 | 47.4 | 78.7 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 19.6 | 351 | 77.1 | 67.1 | 90.0 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 63.5 | 60.8 | 78.4 | 0.5 | 9.1 | 14.7 | 686 | 78.7 | 78.7 | 78.7 | 0.6 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 202 |
| Kagera | 34.9 | 29.9 | 86.6 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 13.4 | 467 | 58.0 | 59.4 | 89.9 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 51.9 | 44.8 | 77.4 | 0.6 | 4.8 | 16.5 | 573 | 91.0 | 93.6 | 73.1 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 176 |
| Mara | 37.9 | 59.9 | 83.0 | 1.1 | 8.3 | 11.6 | 257 | 85.5 | 85.5 | 81.8 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 10.9 | 64 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 40.8 | 44.6 | 70.3 | 0.8 | 6.2 | 24.8 | 2,316 | 59.8 | 65.3 | 72.5 | 1.7 | 9.9 | 14.3 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 44.9 | 50.0 | 78.2 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 18.4 | 1,630 | 65.9 | 69.8 | 81.7 | 2.3 | 6.6 | 10.5 | 664 |
| Primary complete | 58.6 | 66.1 | 87.2 | 1.1 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 3,732 | 76.8 | 83.1 | 86.0 | 2.7 | 6.5 | 3.8 | 1,066 |
| Secondary + | 69.7 | 78.7 | 87.9 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 441 | 87.8 | 92.4 | 90.5 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 222 |
| Total | 51.4 | 57.4 | 80.6 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 15.0 | 8,120 | 72.4 | 77.7 | 83.3 | 2.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 2,256 |

### 11.4 Prevalence of Sexually Transmitted Diseases

Respondents were asked whether they had had any sexually transmitted disease in the 12 months preceding the survey. As Table 11.3 shows, 2 percent of women and 8 percent of men reported having an STD in the year preceding the survey. These levels are likely to be underestimates of the true prevalence for two reasons. First, many STD cases are unrecognised and perhaps more importantly, many respondents fail to report a recent STD because of the social stigma.

Those who report having an STD are more likely to be in the more sexually active age groups (2039) and to have been formerly married than currently married or never married. Urban men are more likely to have had an STD than their rural counterparts on the mainland. Men from the Mtwara region and women from the Rukwa region are more likely to have had an STD than respondents from other regions. The prevalence of STDs has remained the same for women and has increased from 4 to 8 percent for men since the 1994 TKAPS.

Table 11.4 presents information on the 178 women and 174 men who report having had an STD in the 12 months preceding the survey. The vast majority of respondents ( 84 percent of both women and men) who report having any STD sought treatment, but a smaller proportion of men ( 58 percent) than women ( 83 percent) informed their partners of the infection. When asked what, if anything, was done to prevent infecting the respondent's partner, 7 percent of women and 18 percent of men said that they did nothing, while 38 percent of women and 5 percent of men reported that their partners were already infected. One-fourth of the women who had an STD said they avoided sex, another fourth ( 24 percent) said that they took medicine, and less than 1 percent reported using condoms. Among men who reported having had an STD, 52 percent mentioned that they avoided sex, while 18 percent took medicine. Four percent of men said they used condoms to avoid infecting their partners.

### 11.5 AIDS Knowledge and Awareness

Dissemination of AIDS information is a joint effort among government agencies such as the National Aids Control Programme, nongovernment organisations, and donor agencies. The messages channeled to the public include information about basic transmission modes and prevention strategies. Respondents in the 1996 TDHS were asked about sources of information from which they had learned most about AIDS.

## Awareness and Sources of AIDS Information

Tables 11.5.1 and 11.5 .2 show that nearly all women ( 97 percent) and men ( 99 percent) in Tanzania know of AIDS. Similar to the findings in the 1994 TKAPS, the most common sources of information mentioned are radio, and friends, or relatives with 64 percent of women and 87 percent of men citing radio as a source, and 65 percent of women and 55 percent of men mentioning friends or relatives as a source of A1DS information (Figure 11.1). Men are more likely than women to cite newspapers and pamphlets or posters as sources of AIDS information. On the other hand, women are more likely to receive information about AIDS from health workers than men. Religious institutions such as churches and mosques are also sources of information on AIDS, as are schools. About 7-9 percent of respondents have received information from religious institutions or from school. Respondents from the city of Dar es Salaam tend to receive information about AIDS more from radio, television, newspapers, and pamphlets than other urban and rural respondents. These media are also more widely cited as sources of AIDS information by more educated women and men.

Table 11.3 Self-reporting of sexually transmitted diseases in the past year
Percent of respondents who report having sexually transmitted diseases (STD) during the 12 months prior to the survey, by specific sexually transmitted disease, and background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Any } \\ & \text { STD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Syphil- } \\ & \text { lis } \end{aligned}$ | Gonorrhoea | AIDS | Genital warts | Other | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Any } \\ & \text { STD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Syphil- } \\ & \text { lis } \end{aligned}$ | Gonorthoea | Genital warts | Discharge from penis | Sore/ ulcer on. penis | Other | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { men } \end{gathered}$ |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,732 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1,676 | 8.6 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,440 | 12.2 | 1.4 | 7.9 | 0.2 | 9.6 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 301 |
| 30-39 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2,006 | 11.1 | 2.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 523 |
| 40-49 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1,265 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 355 |
| 50-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 3.1 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 218 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 2.6 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5,411 | 8.1 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 1,288 |
| Formerly in union | 2.9 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 822 | 19.2 | 3.2 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 117 |
| Never masried | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1,887 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 847 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 7,881 | 7.9 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 2.1 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1,811 | 11.3 | 2.0 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 7.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 509 |
| Dar es Salasm city | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 563 | 12.9 | 1.1 | 8.5 | 0.4 | 9.2 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 171 |
| Other urban | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1,248 | 10.5 | 2.5 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 338 |
| Total rural | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6,070 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 1,678 |
| Zanzibar | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 239 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69 |
| Pemba | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28 |
| Unguja | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 148 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41 |
| Regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoms | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 355 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 96 |
| Arusha | 3.2 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 589 | 8.5 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 156 |
| Kilimanjaro | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 390 | 9.7 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 119 |
| Tanga | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 464 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 108 |
| Morogoro | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 408 | 7.7 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 95 |
| Coast | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 159 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 45 |
| Dar es Salaam | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 646 | 12.2 | 1.0 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 191 |
| Lindi | 1.9 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 187 | 15.5 | 1.4 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 2.5 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 355 | 22.8 | 6.9 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 9.9 | 6.9 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 305 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 82 |
| Iringa | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 466 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 4.5 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 473 | 9.7 | 2.8 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 137 |
| Singida | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 283 | 9.5 | 2.4 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80 |
| Tabora | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 225 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 82 |
| Rukwa | 6.8 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 242 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 351 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 3.5 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 686 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 202 |
| Kagera | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 467 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 2.9 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 573 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 176 |
| Mara | 5.4 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 257 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 64 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.1 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2,316 | 9.8 | 1.4 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 2.2 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1,630 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 664 |
| Primary complete | 2.4 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3,732 | 9.0 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 1,066 |
| Secondary + | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 441 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 222 |
| Total | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 8,120 | 7.7 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 2,256 |
| NA $=$ Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 11.4 Action taken by respondents who reported a sexually transmitted diseases in the past year

Among respondents who had a sexually transmitted disease during the 12 months prior to the survey, the percent who sought advice or treatment, the percent who informed their partner(s), and the percent who took measures to avoid infecting their partner(s), according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Among respondents who had an STD |  | Percentage who took action to avoid infecting parner |  |  |  | Partner infected/ no measure taken | No measure taken | Number of women/ men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent who sought treatment | Percent who informed partners | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avoided } \\ & \text { sex } \end{aligned}$ | Used condoms | Took medicine | Ohner |  |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <30 | 86.3 | 75.2 | 22.6 | 1.2 | 18.4 | 26.1 | 36.8 | 5.6 | 96 |
| 30+ | 81.5 | 92.3 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 30.1 | 32.5 | 38.2 | 7.7 | 82 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married | 83.5 | 91.2 | 28.0 | 0.8 | 25.6 | 30.5 | 42.8 | 7.5 | 138 |
| Not currently married | 86.0 | 54.7 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 24.1 | 18.6 | 3.0 | 39 |
| Urban/Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 97.8 | 67.0 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 34.7 | 19.4 | 13.2 | 39 |
| Rural | 80.3 | 87.6 | 26.4 | 0.8 | 22.1 | 27.5 | 42.5 | 4.7 | 139 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 67.0 | 78.4 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 11.8 | 47.1 | 8.5 | 50 |
| Primary incomplete | 91.6 | 82.5 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 36.2 | 32.5 | 34.8 | 5.4 | 35 |
| Primary complete + | 90.3 | 85.9 | 28.9 | 1.2 | 20.8 | 37.0 | 33.3 | 5.9 | 93 |
| Total | 84.1 | 83.1 | 25.0 | 0.6 | 23.8 | 29.1 | 37.5 | 6.5 | 178 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <30 | 78.6 | 54.5 | 40.3 | 6.5 | 16.3 | 22.9 | 6.7 | 23.5 | 83 |
| $30+$ | 89.2 | 60.5 | 62.9 | 1.4 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 4.2 | 13.8 | 92 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married | 86.2 | 63.0 | 58.6 | 4.8 | 17.8 | 22.3 | 4.6 | 12.6 | 105 |
| Not currently married | 81.1 | 49.7 | 42.6 | 2.4 | 17.5 | 18.7 | 6.6 | 27.1 | 70 |
| Urban/Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 81.9 | 55.7 | 48.4 | 2.5 | 17.7 | 19.1 | 6.6 | 25.6 | 58 |
| Rural | 85.3 | 58.6 | 54.1 | 4.5 | 17.7 | 21.7 | 4.8 | 14.9 | 117 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 82.8 | 62.0 | 65.4 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 10.8 | 12.4 | 17.9 | 30 |
| Primary incomplete | 81.9 | 60.4 | 42.6 | 5.2 | 21.1 | 27.5 | 1.8 | 20.2 | 36 |
| Primary complete + | 85.3 | 55.6 | 51.7 | 4.5 | 20.4 | 21.4 | 4.7 | 18.0 | 109 |
| Total | 84.2 | 57.7 | 52.2 | 3.9 | 17.7 | 20.8 | 5.4 | 18.4 | 174 |

## Table 11.5.1 Knowledge of AIDS and sources of AIDS information: women

Percent of women who have ever heard of AIDS, percent who have received information about AIDS from specific sources, and mean number of sources of mformation about AIDS, by background charactenstics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Knows AIDS | Sources of ADIS information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean number of sources | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Radio | TV | Newspapers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pamph- } \\ \text { lets } \end{gathered}$ | Health worker | Mosque/ church | School | Community meeting | Friend/ relative | Work place | Other source |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 95.8 | 58.2 | 4.5 | 17.5 | 4.5 | 11.9 | 6.8 | 24.8 | 5.0 | 58.4 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 97.9 | 72.2 | 5.8 | 26.3 | 5.7 | 26.4 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 63.0 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 97.2 | 67.0 | 4.7 | 20.5 | 6.3 | 30.1 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 9.8 | 64.2 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 1,440 |
| 30-39 | 96.7 | 65.1 | 4.5 | 17.2 | 5.6 | 28.9 | 8.9 | 2.0 | 10.6 | 66.5 | 2.1 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 2,006 |
| 40-49 | 97.6 | 55.5 | 1.9 | 8.3 | 3.7 | 24.5 | 10.4 | 2.1 | 12.6 | 73.6 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 1,265 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 97.0 | 63.6 | 3.8 | 16.2 | 5.1 | 27.2 | 8.1 | 3.2 | 10.2 | 67.7 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 5,411 |
| Formerly in union | 97.4 | 65.9 | 4.7 | 17.6 | 6.1 | 26.0 | 8.8 | 3.1 | 9.4 | 68.2 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 822 |
| Never married | 96.8 | 63.9 | 6.1 | 24.8 | 5.1 | 15.3 | 8.5 | 24.6 | 5.5 | 54.7 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 1,887 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 96.9 | 63.4 | 3.8 | 18.3 | 5.2 | 24.4 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 65.4 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 7,881 |
| Total urban | 99.6 | 86.8 | 11.4 | 39.3 | 10.6 | 27.9 | 12.8 | 10.3 | 8.4 | 56.3 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 1,811 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 99.8 | 96.8 | 25.8 | 52.0 | 14.3 | 18.5 | 22.8 | 15.6 | 7.7 | 47.3 | 4.5 | 11.4 | 3.2 | 563 |
| Other urban | 99.5 | 82.2 | 4.9 | 33.6 | 8.9 | 32.1 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 60.4 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 1,248 |
| Total rural | 96.1 | 56.4 | 1.5 | 12.0 | 3.6 | 23.4 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 68.1 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 6,070 |
| Zanzibar | 99.8 | 82.6 | 25.2 | 19.8 | 4.6 | 18.9 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 10.2 | 43.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 239 |
| Pemba | 100.0 | 78.0 | 8.1 | 13.6 | 1.7 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 12.5 | 51.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 92 |
| Unguja | 99.7 | 85.5 | 35.8 | 23.7 | 6.4 | 17.6 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 8.7 | 38.2 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 148 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 97.8 | 68.3 | 2.5 | 17.5 | 7.3 | 21.0 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 12.4 | 54.9 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 355 589 |
| Arusha | 84.0 | 50.7 | 2.8 | 23.2 | 2.8 | 17.7 | 16.2 | 10.4 | 4.1 | 34.3 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 589 |
| Kilimanjaro | 98.7 | 86.5 | 3.6 | 27.2 | 1.3 | 21.9 | 16.5 | 11.2 | 5.1 | 42.5 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 390 |
| Tanga | 98.5 | 71.1 | 1.5 | 15.6 | 2.8 | 19.8 | 5.0 | 9.3 | 3.3 | 55.0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 464 |
| Morogoro | 97.9 | 71.6 | 1.1 | 16.4 | 7.4 | 26.8 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 73.2 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 408 |
| Coast | 99.6 | 83.4 | 5.1 | 18.1 | 2.5 | 19.5 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 7.9 | 66.1 | 1.4 | 9.7 | 2.5 | 159 |
| Dar es Salaam | 99.9 | 96.5 | 23.4 | 49.5 | 13.7 | 18.7 | 22.0 | 15.4 | 7.6 | 49.3 | 4.3 | 10.7 | 3.1 | 646 |
| Lindi | 99.4 | 77.0 | 1.9 | 22.6 | 5.7 | 46.9 | 1.9 | 7.2 | 5.7 | 61.6 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 187 |
| Mtwara | 99.1 | 67.3 | 2.5 | 11.1 | 2.9 | 31.1 | 2.0 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 70.3 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 355 |
| Ruvuma | 99.6 | 69.3 | 0.4 | 14.4 | 2.8 | 41.8 | 3.9 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 67.8 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 305 |
| Iringa | 96.9 | 55.0 | 0.3 | 10.5 | 5.1 | 26.0 | 6.7 | 9.3 | 20.6 | 71.7 | 3.3 | 6.4 | 2.2 | 466 |
| Mbeya | 98.7 | 64.6 | 1.3 | 15.0 | 10.2 | 31.5 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 11.8 | 78.7 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 473 |
| Singida | 95.2 | 55.1 | 2.5 | 17.8 | 6.3 | 29.2 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 17.0 | 65.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 283 |
| Tabora | 96.0 | 53.0 | 2.5 | 18.2 | 4.0 | 31.3 | 7.6 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 67.2 | 1.0 | 12.1 | 2.1 | 225 |
| Rukwa | 97.5 | 47.9 | 2.3 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 20.1 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 88.4 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 96.7 | 49.0 | 2.7 | 8.4 | 4.4 | 15.8 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 9.8 | 67.3 | 1.1 | 13.6 | 2.0 | 351 |
| Shinyanga | 96.0 | 48.0 | 1.3 | 13.3 | 5.1 | 19.2 | 2.4 | 7.7 | 15.5 | 84.3 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 386 |
| Kagera | 98.6 | 52.1 | 3.5 | 14.4 | 4.9 | 23.9 | 15.5 | 8.1 | 15.5 | 69.4 | 2.8 | 15.1 | 2.3 | 467 |
| Mwanza | 97.7 | 46.5 | 1.0 | 10.6 | 1.0 | 27.1 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 82.9 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 573 |
| Mara | 97.5 | 69.3 | 2.5 | 18.8 | 2.2 | 22.4 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 67.1 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 257 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 92.1 | 41.4 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 17.1 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 9.8 | 75.2 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 2,316 |
| Primary incomplete | 97.9 | 59.8 | 2.4 | 10.2 | 4.7 | 20.4 | 6.9 | 12.5 | 7.9 | 66.1 | 1.3 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 1,630 |
| Primary complete | 99.3 | 76.3 | 4.7 | 27.1 | 6.2 | 29.5 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 59.9 | 2.3 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 3,732 |
| Secondary ${ }^{+}$ | 99.6 | 93.0 | 27.9 | 60.3 | 18.8 | 32.0 | 12.2 | 19.1 | 11.0 | 45.7 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 441 |
| Total | 97.0 | 63.9 | 4.4 | 18.3 | 5.2 | 24.3 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 64.8 | 1.9 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 8,120 |

## Table 11.5.2 Knowledge of ADS and sources of ADS information: men

Percent of men who have ever heard of AIDS, percent who have received information about AIDS from specific sources, and mean number of sources of information about AIDS, by background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Knows AIDS | Sources of AIDS information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean number of sources | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Radio | TV | Newspapers | Pamph- | Health worker | Mosque/ church | School | Community meeting | Friend/ relative | Work place | Other source |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 97.0 | 76.6 | 8.3 | 26.1 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 22.1 | 3.7 | 55.2 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 488 |
| 20-24 | 99.4 | 91.9 | 14.4 | 35.8 | 7.3 | 11.4 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 3.0 | 52.8 | 2.9 | 9.1 | 2.5 | 371 |
| 25-29 | 99.4 | 91.4 | 13.7 | 39.5 | 12.3 | 15.7 | 10.1 | 2.8 | 7.9 | 54.6 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 301 |
| 30-39 | 99.3 | 92.2 | 12.5 | 40.1 | 10.2 | 13.9 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 8.2 | 48.9 | 3.3 | 8.8 | 2.5 | 523 |
| 40-49 | 99.5 | 88.1 | 10.0 | 37.1 | 6.0 | 15.9 | 9.6 | 1.6 | 6.6 | 56.7 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 355 |
| 50-59 | 98.9 | 79.9 | 2.2 | 21.9 | 6.9 | 14.4 | 14.3 | 0.4 | 13.6 | 66.7 | 3.9 | 8.6 | 2.4 | 218 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 99.7 | 90.0 | 9.6 | 36.1 | 9.3 | 15.2 | 9.9 | 1.7 | 8.5 | 55.9 | 4.0 | 7.3 | 2.5 | 1,288 |
| Formerly in union | 99.4 | 87.9 | 11.4 | 29.7 | 7.0 | 12.6 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 58.0 | 3.9 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 117 |
| Never married | 97.4 | 81.9 | 12.2 | 31.6 | 5.4 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 16.2 | 3.9 | 52.1 | 2.3 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 847 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 98.8 | 86.5 | 9.2 | 33.7 | 7.7 | 12.1 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 55.8 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 2.4 | 2,187 |
| Total urban | 99.8 | 93.9 | 21.0 | 53.4 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 9.2 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 49.2 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 2.8 | 509 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 100.0 | 96.3 | 42.3 | 63.6 | 14.3 | 8.1 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 10.7 | 37.5 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 171 |
| Other urban | 99.7 | 92.7 | 10.3 | 48.2 | 9.2 | 11.9 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 55.1 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 2.6 | 338 |
| Total rural | 98.5 | 84.3 | 5.7 | 27.8 | 6.7 | 12.5 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 57.8 | 2.0 | 6.9 | 2.3 | 1,678 |
| Zanzibar | 100.0 | 97.0 | 55.3 | 43.9 | 9.6 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 17.5 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 69 |
| Pemba | 100.0 | 92.6 | 16.7 | 24.1 | 1.9 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 29.6 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 28 |
| Unguja | 100.0 | 100.0 | 81.5 | 57.4 | 14.8 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 41 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 98.6 | 85.0 | 3.6 | 28.6 | 4.3 | 12.9 | 2.9 | 9.3 | 12.1 | 41.4 | 3.6 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 96 |
| Arusha | 92.6 | 76.6 | 9.6 | 31.9 | 6.4 | 16.0 | 11.7 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 34.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 156 |
| Kilimanjaro | 99.0 | 92.3 | 8.2 | 45.6 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 5.1 | 28.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 119 |
| Tanga | 98.7 | 89.3 | 5.3 | 32.0 | 5.3 | 14.7 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 1.3 | 32.0 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 108 |
| Morogoro | 99.3 | 86.7 | 2.8 | 20.3 | 8.4 | 11.9 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 4.2 | 53.8 | 2.1 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 95 |
| Coast | 98.4 | 90.3 | 14.5 | 29.0 | 3.2 | 14.5 | 3.2 | 9.7 | 4.8 | 37.1 | 8.1 | 16.1 | 2.3 | 45 |
| Dar es Salarm | 100.0 | 96.7 | 40.5 | 63.5 | 14.8 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 7.2 | 10.5 | 38.5 | 7.9 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 191 |
| Lindi | 100.0 | 94.4 | 4.2 | 26.8 | 16.9 | 19.7 | 11.3 | 4.2 | 7.0 | 63.4 | 2.8 | 22.5 | 2.7 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 100.0 | 85.1 | 6.9 | 25.7 | 8.9 | 23.8 | 9.9 | 4.0 | 11.9 | 53.5 | 2.0 | 7.9 | 2.4 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 100.0 | 89.2 | 2.0 | 31.4 | 9.8 | 26.5 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 7.8 | 44.1 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 2.4 | 82 |
| Iringa | 99.3 | 79.6 | 0.7 | 27.7 | 5.8 | 10.2 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 21.2 | 67.2 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 98.6 | 88.9 | 2.8 | 27.8 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 9.7 | 13.9 | 2.8 | 91.7 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 137 |
| Singida | 100.0 | 81.0 | 10.7 | 32.1 | 9.5 | 3.6 | 8.3 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 80 |
| Tabora | 98.1 | 79.6 | 3.7 | 38.9 | 7.4 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 53.7 | 3.7 | 29.6 | 2.3 | 82 |
| Rukwa | 100.0 | 85.9 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 28.2 | 25.6 | 6.4 | 92.3 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 100.0 | 85.7 | 2.9 | 28.6 | 7.1 | 17.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 61.4 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 2.4 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 98.2 | 80.5 | 7.3 | 32.9 | 9.1 | 14.6 | 7.3 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 73.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 202 |
| Kagera | 100.0 | 81.2 | 11.6 | 21.7 | 7.2 | 10.1 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 66.7 | 1.4 | 26.1 | 2.4 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 100.0 | 93.6 | 10.3 | 39.7 | 5.1 | 16.7 | 12.8 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 62.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 176 |
| Mara | 98.2 | 90.9 | 5.5 | 45.5 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 47.3 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 58.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64 | 2.8 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 96.2 | 68.9 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 10.8 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 73.1 | 1.6 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 98.2 | 80.8 | 5.2 | 23.8 | 5.2 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 5.5 | 60.0 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 664 |
| Primary complete | 99.8 | 93.6 | 10.5 | 41.1 | 8.2 | 13.2 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 48.5 | 3.4 | 7.8 | 2.5 | 1,066 |
| Secondary + | 100.0 | 97.1 | 33.5 | 71.1 | 21.4 | 17.6 | 12.6 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 42.4 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 222 |
| Total | 98.8 | 86.8 | 10.7 | 34.1 | 7.7 | 12.2 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 54.6 | 3.4 | 6.7 | 2.4 | 2,256 |

## Knowledge of Ways to Avoid HIV/AIDS

To ascertain the depth of knowledge about AIDS, respondents who have ever heard of AIDS were asked whether a person can do something to avoid getting AIDS and if so, what. Tables 11.6.1 and 11.6 .2 show the percentage of women and men who know of specific ways to avoid getting AIDS. Among respondents who have ever heard of AIDS, 35 percent of women and 34 percent of men believe that there is no way to avoid AIDS or that they do not know if there is any way to avoid AIDS. Thirty-nine percent of women and 55 percent of men cite use of
 condoms as a way to avoid AIDS; this is an improvement since 1994, when only 36 percent of women and 49 percent of men cited condoms as an AIDS prevention mechanism. One-fourth say that having only one partner can help to prevent the spread of the disease, and 20 percent of women and 17 percent of men report that limiting the number of sexual partners can prevent AIDS. Fifteen percent of women and 22 percent of men say that abstaining from sex can protect against getting the AIDS virus. Thirteen percent of women and one-fourth of the men mention that avoiding sex with a prostitute can prevent getting the disease. Urban respondents are more likely to report safe patterns of sexual behaviour (condom use, staying with one partner) than their rural counterparts.

## Knowledge of AIDS-related Health Issues

Additional questions were asked to learm whether respondents are aware of the levels of risk involved in contracting ADDS. Respondents were asked whether it is possible for a healthy-looking person to have the AIDS virus. Seventy percent of women and 79 percent of men reported knowing it is possible for a healthy looking person to have AIDS (Tables 11.7.1 and 11.7.2). This knowledge has not changed significantly since the 1994 TKAPS in which 69 percent of women and 78 percent of men reported knowing it is possible for a healthy looking person to have the AIDS virus. However, this knowledge varies by educational background and residence. As many as 46 percent of women with no education and 41 percent of uneducated men reported either that a heathy looking person cannot have the AIDS virus or that they did not know. In the mainland, 34 percent of rural women and 25 percent of rural men reported the same.

Most respondents ( 95 percent) know that AIDS cannot be cured. Seventy-five percent of women and 77 percent of men know that AIDS can be transmitted from mother to child. Better educated respondents and those from urban areas are more likely than less educated and rural residents to know that AIDS can be transmitted from mother to child.

## Table 11.6.1 Knowledge of ways to avoid AIDS: women

Percent of women who have ever heard of AIDS, who know of specific ways to avoid HIV/AIDS, and percent with misinformation, by background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | There is no way to avoid | Does not know if any way to avoid | Ways to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know } \\ & \text { specific } \\ & \text { way } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { with } \\ & \text { misin- } \\ & \text { formation } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Abstain from sex | Use condoms | Have only one sex parmer | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { many } \\ \text { partners } \end{gathered}$ | Avoid sex with prostitutes | Avoid sex with homosexuals | Avoid trans- <br> fusions | Avoid injections |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.0 | 34.9 | 14.8 | 29.8 | 16.4 | 13.9 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1,659 |
| 20-24 | 12.6 | 19.0 | 13.7 | 48.1 | 24.5 | 20.5 | 13.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 1,641 |
| 25-29 | 12.3 | 18.8 | 13.8 | 46.5 | 26.9 | 20.3 | 12.5 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.400 |
| 30-39 | 10.8 | 19.8 | 15.1 | 41.9 | 28.7 | 23.9 | 16.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1,941 |
| 40-49 | 10.3 | 26.1 | 18.2 | 27.9 | 26.9 | 22.3 | 15.6 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 1,235 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 11.8 | 21.8 | 13.2 | 40.0 | 26.7 | 22.3 | 14.8 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 5,249 |
| Formerly in union | 12.6 | 19.8 | 18.6 | 44.2 | 25.0 | 20.5 | 12.4 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 801 |
| Never married | 11.7 | 30.6 | 18.7 | 35.1 | 18.7 | 13.9 | 10.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1,826 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 11.6 | 23.7 | 14.8 | 39.7 | 25.2 | 20.5 | 13.3 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 7,637 |
| Total urban | 9.9 | 13.0 | 18.5 | 56.8 | 31.9 | 23.8 | 15.1 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 1,804 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 13.5 | 9.5 | 22.3 | 60.2 | 37.9 | 20.3 | 13.4 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 2.4 | , 562 |
| Other urban | 8.3 | 14.6 | 16.7 | 55.3 | 29.3 | 25.4 | 15.8 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 6.9 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 1,242 |
| Total rural | 12.1 | 27.1 | 13.7 | 34.4 | 23.1 | 19.5 | 12.8 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 5,832 |
| Zanzibar | 19.3 | 20.4 | 19.9 | 24.7 | 7.5 | 10.9 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 239 |
| Pemba | 22.0 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 10.8 | 4.7 | 8.8 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 92 |
| Unguja | 17.7 | 17.1 | 16.2 | 33.3 | 9.3 | 12.2 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 147 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 13.0 | 26.3 | 20.1 | 29.5 | 19.5 | 20.5 | 19.5 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 6.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 347 |
| Arusha | 15.7 | 33.8 | 9.4 | 24.9 | 23.1 | 12.2 | 8.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 495 |
| Kilimanjaro | 17.8 | 14.4 | 16.0 | 41.0 | 20.4 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 385 |
| Tanga | 18.6 | 19.9 | 7.1 | 37.5 | 13.5 | 18.1 | 16.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 457 |
| Morogoro | 8.9 | 21.4 | 27.9 | 39.6 | 19.0 | 24.1 | 25.5 | 8.1 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 400 |
| Coast | 16.3 | 13.0 | 20.7 | 58.3 | 30.4 | 15.6 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 158 |
| Dar es Salaam | 13.9 | 10.0 | 20.8 | 59.2 | 38.1 | 19.5 | 13.6 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 645 |
| Lindi | 12.0 | 21.8 | 13.6 | 51.3 | 13.6 | 21.5 | 25.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 185 |
| Mtwara | 9.6 | 35.5 | 17.6 | 33.6 | 9.2 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 352 |
| Ruvuma | 6.3 | 26.9 | 11.9 | 40.5 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 19.8 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 304 |
| Iringa | 13.3 | 30.5 | 14.3 | 24.9 | 19.1 | 21.8 | 19.1 | 10.3 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 452 |
| Mbeya | 6.5 | 20.6 | 21.3 | 42.6 | 31.6 | 21.9 | 9.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 467 |
| Singida | 10.7 | 28.8 | 15.2 | 34.7 | 24.0 | 18.9 | 17.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 270 |
| Tabora | 9.5 | 21.6 | 16.8 | 40.0 | 22.1 | 26.3 | 5.8 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 7.9 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 216 |
| Rukwa | 13.7 | 20.9 | 11.9 | 32.3 | 33.4 | 21.2 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 235 |
| Kigoma | 9.6 | 35.2 | 14.6 | 28.7 | 19.7 | 13.5 | 13.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 339 |
| Shinyanga | 6.1 | 24.7 | 13.3 | 47.8 | 41.4 | 21.1 | 6.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 658 |
| Kagera | 10.4 | 22.5 | 18.2 | 32.1 | 25.4 | 23.6 | 12.5 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 461 |
| Mwanza | 9.9 | 25.1 | 2.6 | 48.8 | 33.3 | 26.7 | 9.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 560 |
| Mara | 14.4 | 22.2 | 6.3 | 43.3 | 13.3 | 30.4 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 9.3 | 0.7 | 5.6 | 251 |
| Educational |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 13.3 | 34.7 | 12.3 | 21.4 | 22.8 | 17.7 | 12.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 2,135 |
| Primary incomplete | 11.5 | 28.6 | 14.6 | 34.9 | 20.6 | 17.7 | 12.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 1,595 |
| Primary complete | 11.6 | 17.3 | 15.1 | 48.7 | 26.1 | 22.1 | 14.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 3,706 |
| Secondary + | 8.0 | 5.5 | 29.1 | 62.5 | 36.4 | 25.3 | 16.1 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 11.8 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 439 |
| Total | 11.8 | 23.6 | 15.0 | 39.3 | 24.6 | 20.2 | 13.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 7,876 |

## Table 11.6.2 Knowledge of ways to avoid AIDS: men

Percent of men who have ever heard of AIDS, who know of specific ways to avoid HIV/AIDS, and percent with misinformation, by background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | There is no way to avoid | Does not know any way to avoid | Ways to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { with } \\ & \text { misin- } \\ & \text { formation } \end{aligned}$ | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Abstain from sex | Use condoms | Have only one sex partner | Not many partners | Avoid sex with prostitutes | Avoid sex with homosexuals | Avoid transfusions | Avoid injections | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know } \\ & \text { specific } \\ & \text { way } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 10.5 | 21.4 | 17.7 | 51.1 | 18.2 | 11.6 | 18.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 473 |
| 20-24 | 8.5 | 23.4 | 23.2 | 64.2 | 26.6 | 14.1 | 26.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 369 |
| 25-29 | 9.8 | 21.6 | 22.3 | 59.5 | 25.9 | 19.0 | 26.3 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 300 |
| 30-39 | 9.9 | 25.7 | 24.9 | 60.7 | 26.2 | 19.3 | 27.8 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 4.5 | 519 |
| 40-49 | 10.5 | 24.9 | 24.3 | 47.6 | 31.0 | 24.8 | 26.6 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 353 |
| 50-59 | 9.0 | 27.4 | 20.0 | 35.6 | 26.9 | 13.9 | 29.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 215 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 9.9 | 25.1 | 23.1 | 52.6 | 27.9 | 19.8 | 29.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 1,285 |
| Formerly in union | 8.6 | 23.4 | 28.2 | 63.1 | 27.2 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 0.0 | I. 3 | 117 |
| Never married | 9.9 | 22.2 | 19.8 | 56.3 | 21.2 | 13.3 | 21.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 825 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 9.8 | 24.0 | 22.5 | 55.7 | 24.7 | 16.3 | 24.4 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 2,161 |
| Total urban | 6.5 | 17.4 | 32.7 | 66.4 | 31.0 | 15.4 | 18.8 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 508 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 5.5 | 14.7 | 40.4 | 68.7 | 31.2 | 12.1 | 8.5 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 171 |
| Other uban | 7.0 | 18.8 | 28.7 | 65.1 | 30.9 | 17.0 | 24.1 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 336 |
| Total rural | 10.8 | 26.0 | 19.3 | 52.5 | 22.8 | 16.6 | 26.1 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 5.9 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 1,653 |
| Zanzibar | 9.6 | 20.5 | 13.4 | 18.5 | 44.2 | 41.1 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 69 |
| Pemba | 1.9 | 31.5 | 16.7 | 13.0 | 57.4 | 38.9 | 61.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 28 |
| Unguja | 14.8 | 13.0 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 35.2 | 42.6 | 59.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 12.3 | 23.9 | 23.2 | 49.3 | 13.8 | 15.2 | 16.7 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 94 |
| Arusha | 13.8 | 33.3 | 14.9 | 41.4 | 17.2 | 20.7 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 145 |
| Kilimanjaro | 12.4 | 16.1 | 19.7 | 47.2 | 19.7 | 16.1 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 117 |
| Tanga | 18.9 | 20.3 | 12.2 | 39.2 | 23.0 | 20.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 107 |
| Morogoro | 12.0 | 26.8 | 28.9 | 53.5 | 18.3 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 7.7 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 94 |
| Coast | 6.6 | 16.4 | 39.3 | 65.6 | 45.9 | 14.8 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 44 |
| Dar es Salaam | 5.3 | 14.5 | 40.5 | 68.7 | 32.9 | 12.2 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 191 |
| Lindi | 0.0 | 25.4 | 62.0 | 78.9 | 11.3 | 18.3 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 2.0 | 34.7 | 59.4 | 68.3 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 6.9 | 21.6 | 43.1 | 56.9 | 21.6 | 15.7 | 7.8 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 82 |
| Iringa | 16.2 | 27.2 | 19.1 | 40.4 | 22.1 | 16.9 | 24.3 | 8.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 35.2 | 15.5 | 16.9 | 36.6 | 15.5 | 14.1 | 12.7 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 135 |
| Singida | 17.9 | 27.4 | 14.3 | 46.4 | 13.1 | 8.3 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80 |
| Tabora | 3.8 | 15.1 | 5.7 | 62.3 | 9.4 | 1.9 | 52.8 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 80 |
| Rukwa | 15.4 | 26.9 | 12.8 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 17.9 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 2.9 | 28.6 | 8.6 | 48.6 | 10.0 | 1.4 | 61.4 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 5.0 | 30.4 | 15.5 | 68.9 | 46.0 | 26.7 | 34.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 198 |
| Kagera | 1.4 | 17.4 | 8.7 | 60.9 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 63.8 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 2.6 | 33.3 | 19.2 | 75.6 | 59.0 | 25.6 | 51.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 176 |
| Мага | 3.7 | 18.5 | 11.1 | 70.4 | 40.7 | 44.4 | 25.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 14.2 | 27.8 | 16.0 | 32.8 | 18.0 | 13.5 | 22.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 293 |
| Primary incomplete | 10.4 | 26.1 | 19.2 | 48.0 | 21.8 | 14.5 | 27.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 652 |
| Primary complete | 9.3 | 22.6 | 23.6 | 62.4 | 26.0 | 18.6 | 22.7 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 7.4 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 1,063 |
| Secondary + | 4.1 | 18.5 | 32.7 | 65.1 | 42.0 | 22.5 | 37.9 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 222 |
| Total | 9.8 | 23.9 | 22.2 | 54.6 | 25.3 | 17.1 | 25.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 2,230 |

Includes avoiding kissing, mosquito bites, seeking protection from traditional healer, and other misinformation.

## Table 11.7.1 Knowledge and perception about AIDS: women

Percent distribution of women who have heard of AIDS by their knowledge and perception about AIDS, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Can a healthy person have AIDS virus? |  |  | Can AIDS be cured? |  |  | Can AIDS virus be transmitted from mother to child? |  |  | Knows someone with AIDS/died of AIDS |  |  |  | Number of <br> women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | Don't know | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 62.7 | 19.5 | 17.8 | 2.6 | 93.0 | 4.4 | 65.0 | 12.6 | 22.4 | 41.3 | 52.8 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 1,659 |
| 20-24 | 74.9 | 15.6 | 9.3 | 1.8 | 96.5 | 1.8 | 80.4 | 8.7 | 10.8 | 49.5 | 45.7 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 1,641 |
| 25-29 | 74.3 | 14.9 | 10.5 | 1.7 | 96.2 | 2.0 | 80.5 | 8.4 | 11.1 | 49.0 | 45.8 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 1,400 |
| 30-39 | 73.4 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 1.9 | 95.0 | 3.1 | 79.7 | 7.8 | 12.4 | 51.7 | 43.8 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 1,941 |
| 40-49 | 64.3 | 14.4 | 21.1 | 2.2 | 93.4 | 4.3 | 69.2 | 10.9 | 19.8 | 49.1 | 45.9 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 1,235 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 71.1 | 15.1 | 13.6 | 2.0 | 94.8 | 3.1 | 76.9 | 9.1 | 14.0 | 49.0 | 46.1 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 5,249 |
| Formerly in union | 69.4 | 16.2 | 14.4 | 1.6 | 96.2 | 2.1 | 78.5 | 9.4 | 12.0 | 53.6 | 42.1 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 801 |
| Never married | 68.1 | 16.8 | 15.1 | 2.2 | 94.2 | 3.5 | 69.2 | 11.2 | 19.5 | 43.4 | 50.8 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 1,826 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 70.6 | 15.1 | 14.1 | 2.0 | 94.8 | 3.2 | 75.0 | 9.7 | 15.3 | 48.5 | 46.3 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 7,637 |
| Total urban | 84.7 | 8.6 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 97.2 | 0.8 | 83.7 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 58.6 | 35.4 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 1,804 |
| Dares Salaam city | 84.8 | 9.5 | 56 | 2.3 | 96.8 | 0.9 | 83.9 | 6.9 | 9.2 | 52.3 | 39.4 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 562 |
| Other urban | 84.6 | 8.1 | 7.0 | 1.9 | 97.3 | 0.8 | 83.6 | 8.2 | 8.1 | 61.4 | 33.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 1,242 |
| Total rural | 66.2 | 17.1 | 16.4 | 2.0 | 94.1 | 3.9 | 72.2 | 10.3 | 17.4 | 45.4 | 49.7 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 5,832 |
| Zanzibar | 57.5 | 31.1 | 11.3 | 2.1 | 96.4 | 1.4 | 85.0 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 35.8 | 60.7 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 239 |
| Pemba | 49.8 | 33.2 | 16.9 | 1.4 | 96.3 | 2.4 | 82.7 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 39.7 | 59.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 92 |
| Unguja | 62.3 | 29.9 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 96.5 | 0.9 | 86.4 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 33.3 | 61.7 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 147 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodorna | 64.3 | 16.2 | 19.5 | 2.3 | 93.8 | 3.9 | 71.1 | 13.3 | 15.6 | 49.4 | 45.5 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 347 |
| Arusha | 66.5 | 14.5 | 18.5 | 3.6 | 89.6 | 6.9 | 72.8 | 9.9 | 17.3 | 34.3 | 59.6 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 495 |
| Kilimanjaro | 84.0 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 98.2 | 0.5 | 76.5 | 9.8 | 13.7 | 49.5 | 47.4 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 385 |
| Tanga | 75.8 | 11.5 | 12.8 | 1.5 | 95.9 | 2.6 | 64.3 | 16.1 | 19.6 | 48.2 | 48.0 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 457 |
| Morogoro | 69.1 | 16.3 | 14.4 | 1.6 | 95.4 | 3.0 | 74.0 | 10.8 | 15.2 | 49.9 | 47.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 400 |
| Coast | 76.1 | 13.8 | 10.1 | 2.5 | 93.5 | 3.6 | 77.5 | 7.2 | 15.2 | 47.8 | 42.0 | 8.7 | 100.0 | 158 |
| Dar es Salaam | 84.7 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 96.5 | 1.3 | 83.6 | 7.1 | 9.3 | 51.4 | 40.5 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 645 |
| Lindi | 71.2 | 18.7 | 10.1 | 1.3 | 96.2 | 2.5 | 69.0 | 14.6 | 16.1 | 60.1 | 30.7 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 185 |
| Miwara | 63.4 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 0.7 | 95.9 | 3.4 | 69.3 | 14.0 | 16.5 | 56.5 | 131.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 352 |
| Ruvuma | 71.1 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 0.9 | 97.0 | 2.2 | 72.6 | 12.3 | 15.1 | 50.4 | 136.2 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 304 |
| Iringa | 58.9 | 15.4 | 25.7 | 0.5 | 94.4 | 4.8 | 66.8 | 9.0 | 24.1 | 48.3 | 47.7 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 452 |
| Mbeya | 80.0 | 8.7 | 11.3 | 3.5 | 93.9 | 2.6 | 77.4 | 7.7 | 14.8 | 59.7 | 34.5 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 467 |
| Singida | 65.9 | 15.5 | 18.7 | 9.9 | 84.0 | 6.1 | 71.7 | 9.9 | 18.1 | 54.1 | 42.4 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 270 |
| Tabora | 66.3 | 16.3 | 17.4 | 1.1 | 95.3 | 3.7 | 79.5 | 11.6 | 8.4 | 58.4 | 40.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 216 |
| Rukwa | 72.7 | 14.5 | 12.8 | 0.9 | 97.4 | 1.7 | 68.9 | 11.3 | 19.8 | 56.1 | 38.1 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 235 |
| Kigoma | 67.9 | 11.5 | 20.6 | 1.7 | 92.7 | 5.6 | 76.3 | 6.5 | 17.2 | 47.3 | 49.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 339 |
| Shinyanga | 62.8 | 21.9 | 15.0 | 0.8 | 96.7 | 2.5 | 76.1 | 8.3 | 15.6 | 32.8 | 65.8 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 658 |
| Kagera | 69.6 | 17.1 | 12.5 | 3.9 | 91.4 | 4.6 | 83.9 | 4.3 | 11.8 | 72.1 | 21.8 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 461 |
| Mwanza | 64.7 | 23.4 | 11.9 | 0.3 | 98.3 | 1.3 | 77.2 | 8.3 | 14.5 | 30.4 | 68.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 560 |
| Mara | 78.5 | 13.3 | 7.0 | 1.9 | 96.7 | 1.5 | 83.0 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 42.2 | 55.2 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 251 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No cducation | 53.4 | 20.5 | 25.6 | 2.6 | 90.5 | 6.9 | 62.6 | 11.7 | 25.7 | 36.8 | 57.4 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 2,135 |
| Primary incomplete | 67.0 | 16.9 | 15.9 | 2.2 | 94.9 | 2.9 | 70.5 | 12.0 | 17.5 | 46.5 | 48.3 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 1,595 |
| Primary complete | 78.7 | 13.2 | 8.0 | 1.7 | 97.0 | 1.3 | 82.3 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 53.8 | 41.6 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 3,706 |
| Secondary + | 91.5 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 97.3 | 0.5 | 94.7 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 62.3 | 33.4 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 439 |
| Total | 70.2 | 15.6 | 14.0 | 2.0 | 94.8 | 3.1 | 75.3 | 9.6 | 15.1 | 48.2 | 46.8 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 7,876 |

Table 11.7.2 Knowledge and perceptions about AIDS: men
Percent distribution of men who have heard of AIDS, by their knowledge and perception about AIDS, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Can a healthy person have AIDS virus? |  |  | Can AIDS be cured? |  |  | Can AIDS virus be transmitted from mother to child? |  |  | Knows someone with AIDS/died of AIDS |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { men } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | No | Don't know | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 67.9 | 16.6 | 14.9 | 1.2 | 94.9 | 3.7 | 67.0 | 9.7 | 23.2 | 37.9 | 56.7 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 473 |
| 20-24 | 85.9 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 1.3 | 97.4 | 1.3 | 82.4 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 51.8 | 44.8 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 369 |
| 25-29 | 82.8 | 11.0 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 96.3 | 1.3 | 82.6 | 7.2 | 9.7 | 54.8 | 40.6 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 300 |
| 30-39 | 84.2 | 8.5 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 95.7 | 2.8 | 82.1 | 7.8 | 9.8 | 56.3 | 37.0 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 519 |
| 40-49 | 78.6 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 2.6 | 95.5 | 1.8 | 77.1 | 0.6 | 12.4 | 59.5 | 36.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 353 |
| 50-64 | 70.1 | 9.5 | 19.5 | 3.7 | 92.6 | 3.7 | 70.4 | 9.6 | 19.0 | 52.3 | 40.6 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 215 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 80.4 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 2.1 | 95.6 | 2.4 | 80.4 | 7.7 | 11.5 | 56.4 | 37.7 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 1,285 |
| Formerly in union | 81.8 | 11.0 | 7.3 | 2.0 | 95.8 | 2.2 | 71.3 | 4.7 | 14.0 | 52.9 | 43.6 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Never married | 75.2 | 12.4 | 11.8 | 1.7 | 95.5 | 2.7 | 72.6 | 9.9 | 17.2 | 44.0 | 51.3 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 825 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 78.3 | 11.0 | 10.2 | 1.9 | 95.5 | 2.6 | 77.1 | 8.9 | 13.6 | 52.4 | 42.3 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 2,161 |
| Total urban | 90.2 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 95.5 | 1.7 | 82.7 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 60.4 | 33.1 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 508 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 89.3 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 95.2 | 1.1 | 82.7 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 57.4 | 34.6 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Other urban | 90.6 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 2.4 | 95.6 | 2.0 | 82.7 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 62.0 | 32.3 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 336 |
| Total rural | 74.7 | 13.1 | 11.7 | 1.7 | 95.5 | 2.8 | 75.4 | 9.3 | 15.2 | 50.0 | 45.1 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 1,653 |
| Zanzibar | 87.0 | 1.9 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 97.8 | 0.0 | 76.0 | 6.6 | 17.4 | 23.6 | 70.8 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Pemba | 87.0 | 1.9 | 11.1 | 5.6 | 94.4 | 0.0 | 81.5 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 14.8 | 79.6 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 28 |
| Unguja | 87.0 | 1.9 | 7.4 | 10.0 | 00.0 | 0.0 | 72.2 | 1.1 | 16.7 | 29.6 | 64.8 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 41 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 62.3 | 21.7 | 15.9 | 2.2 | 95.7 | 2.2 | 61.6 | 0.3 | 18.1 | 46.4 | 50.7 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 94 |
| Arusha | 69.0 | 16.1 | 14.9 | 2.3 | 92.0 | 5.7 | 74.7 | 0.3 | 12.6 | 39.1 | 57.5 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 145 |
| Kilimanjaro | 86.5 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 97.4 | 1.0 | 81.3 | 8.3 | 10.4 | 59.1 | 36.8 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Tanga | 77.0 | 6.8 | 16.2 | 2.7 | 95.9 | 1.4 | 67.6 | 0.8 | 21.6 | 60.8 | 33.8 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Morogoro | 74.6 | 13.4 | 12.0 | 2.1 | 96.5 | 1.4 | 66.9 | 6.9 | 16.2 | 49.3 | 42.3 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 94 |
| Coast | 90.2 | 3.3 | 6.6 | 1.6 | 91.8 | 6.6 | 85.2 | 9.8 | 4.9 | 47.5 | 45.9 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 44 |
| Dar es Salaam | 89.1 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 95.7 | 1.0 | 83.2 | 6.9 | 9.5 | 55.9 | 35.9 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 191 |
| Lindi | 83.1 | 11.3 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 97.2 | 1.4 | 81.7 | 8.5 | 9.9 | 46.5 | 46.5 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Miwara | 77.2 | 10.9 | 11.9 | 2.0 | 93.1 | 5.0 | 68.3 | 5.8 | 15.8 | 42.6 | 50.5 | 6.9 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 79.4 | 8.8 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 84.3 | 4.9 | 10.8 | 52.0 | 42.2 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Iringa | 73.5 | 9.6 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 97.1 | 2.9 | 78.7 | 7.4 | 14.0 | 54.4 | 38.2 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 70.4 | 23.9 | 5.6 | 7.0 | 91.5 | 1.4 | 80.3 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 50.7 | 39.4 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 135 |
| Singida | 59.5 | 19.0 | 21.4 | 3.6 | 91.7 | 4.8 | 76.2 | 1.9 | 11.9 | 53.6 | 39.3 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Tabora | 81.1 | 5.7 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 71.7 | 5.7 | 22.6 | 79.2 | 18.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Rukwa | 76.9 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 1.3 | 98.7 | 0.0 | 65.4 | 1.5 | 23.1 | 43.6 | 48.7 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 87.1 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 74.3 | 4.3 | 20.0 | 62.9 | 31.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 72.0 | 16.1 | 11.8 | 1.2 | 95.7 | 3.1 | 76.4 | 6.2 | 17.4 | 39.1 | 59.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 198 |
| Kagera | 82.6 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 82.6 | 5.8 | 11.6 | 75.4 | 21.7 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 91.0 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 93.6 | 5.1 | 85.9 | 3.8 | 9.0 | 43.6 | 51.3 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 176 |
| Mara | 79.6 | 14.8 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 94.4 | 1.9 | 87.0 | 9.3 | 3.7 | 59.3 | 40.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 63 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 58.6 | 18.3 | 22.5 | 0.6 | 91.6 | 7.7 | 60.4 | 2.0 | 27.6 | 38.9 | 55.1 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 293 |
| Primary incomplete | 71.2 | 12.4 | 16.1 | 1.9 | 95.0 | 3.0 | 69.2 | 1.1 | 19.3 | 43.3 | 49.1 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 652 |
| Primary Complete | 85.4 | 9.0 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 96.9 | 1.2 | 83.9 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 55.5 | 40.2 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 1,063 |
| Secondary + | 94.4 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 95.9 | 0.3 | 89.6 | 6.4 | 4.1 | 73.5 | 23.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 222 |
| Total | 78.6 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 1.9 | 95.6 | 2.5 | 77.1 | 8.8 | 13.7 | 51.6 | 43.1 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 2,230 |

## Personal Knowledge of Someone with AIDS

The 1996 TDHS included a question on whether respondents personally know somebody who has AIDS or who has died of AIDS. Results in Tables 11.7.1 and 11.7.2 show that personal experience with AIDS patients is common in Tanzania. About half the respondents report knowing someone who has AIDS or has died of AIDS. Personal acquaintance with AIDS on the mainland is higher than in Zanzibar. The data show that more than 70 percent of respondents from the Kagera region know someone with AIDS or who died of AIDS.

### 11.6 Perception of the Risk of Getting HIV/AIDS

Respondents who have heard of AIDS were asked whether they thought that their chances of getting the AIDS virus were great, moderate, small, or nil. Interviewers then asked respondents why they thought their chances were great/moderate or small/nil. Tahle 11.8 shows that 32 percent of women and 25 percent of men were unable to classify their own risk. Twenty-nine percent of women and 41 percent of men say that they have no chance of being infected. This is similar to the results from the 1994 TKAPS. Women are more likely than men to report that their chances of getting AIDS are great ( 13 vs .7 percent).

As might be expected, the proportion who feel that they have no chance of getting AIDS is higher among young women and men, among those who are never married, and those who had no sexual partners other than their spouses in the preceding 12 months. The proportion reporting no risk is also higher among rural residents on the mainland and residents in Zanzibar. Women who reported that they have no risk at all of getting AIDS are more likely to be from the Kigoma and Kilimanjaro regions and men are more likely to be from the Mwanza region.

Perceptions of risk may or may not accurately reflect one's true risk of AIDS. However, causes of concern arise when an individual at moderate or great risk of contracting AIDS has a spouse who considers himself or herself at little or no risk. This situation may arise from a person engaging in high-risk activities without his or her spouse's knowledge. It is possible to compare spouses' views of their risks to assess whether couples have similar or desperate levels of perceived risk of contracting AIDS. The 1996 TDHS used the fact that in some houscholds, both women and men were interviewed, making it possible to link data on currently married men and their wives living in the same household and look at couples in Tanzania as units of study. Data regarding couple's perception of their risk of getting AIDS are presented in Table 11.9.

The results show that there is a considerable difference of opinion between spouses couples as to their risk of getting AIDS. Among 19 percent of couples, both spouses report the same level of risk ( 13 percent say no risk at all, 4 percent say a small risk, and 1 percent say either a moderate or great risk of getting AIDS). A higher proportion of husbands ( 40 percent) than wives ( 25 percent) reported that they have no risk of getting AIDS and more wives ( 13 percent) than husbands ( 7 percent) think that they have a great risk of getting AIDS. This disproportionate perception of risk among couples is probably based on information about marital relations not captured in these data.

Respondents who classified themselves to be at no risk or to have a small risk of getting AIDS were asked why they perceive themselves so. Table 11.10 shows information on reasons why individual women and men state that their risk is low or nil. Roughly equal proportions of women and men state that their risk is low or nil because they were abstaining from sex altogether ( 29 and 25 percent, respectively). More than half the women and 45 percent of men report that sticking to one sexual partner or limiting the number of sexual partners is the reason for their low risk. Although low ( 15 percent), men are more likely than women (4 percent) to report that condom use is the reason for their low risk of getting AIDS. More respondents now think that their risk of getting AIDS is low or nil because of abstaining from sex than found in the 1994 TKAPS.

Table 11.8 Perception of risk of getting AIDS
Percent distribution of respondents who have heard of AIDS, by their perception of the risk of getting AIDS, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Personal chance of getting AIDS |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number | Personal chance of getting AIDS |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No risk } \\ \text { at all } \end{gathered}$ | Small | Moderate | Great | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Has } \\ & \text { AIDS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No risk } \\ \text { at all } \end{gathered}$ | Small | Moderate | Great | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Has } \\ & \text { AIDS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 26.8 | 17.4 | 11.4 | 13.0 | 0.1 | 31.4 | 100.0 | 1,641 | 41.2 | 19.3 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 0.1 | 22.8 | 100.0 100.0 | 473 369 |
| 25-29 | 23.5 | 15.2 | 14.6 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 32.4 | 100.0 | 1,400 | 38.4 | 18.2 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 100.0 | 300 |
| 30-39 | 23.7 | 15.0 | 12.6 | 16.5 | 0.2 | 32.1 | 100.0 | 1,941 | 37.3 | 19.6 | 11.8 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 100.0 | 519 |
| 40-49 | 30.7 | 14.4 | 9.2 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 34.2 | 100.0 | 1,235 | 35.6 | 19.2 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 100.0 | 353 |
| 50-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 45.5 | 13.8 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 31.7 | 100.0 | 215 |
| Marital status 25.4150 le 14.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currenily in union | 25.4 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 5,249 | 39.3 | 18.4 | 10.7 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 25.2 | 100.0 | 1,285 |
| Formenty in union | 27.1 | 14.4 | 11.9 | 14.2 | 0.1 | 32.3 | 100.0 | 801 | 38.2 | 21.1 | 5.0 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Never maxried | 38.6 | 17.6 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 29.4 | 100.0 | 1,826 | 43.5 | 16.8 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 26.1 | 100.0 | 825 |
| No. of serual partmers other than sponse in past 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 - 0 | 30.4 | 15.1 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 0.1 | 32.3 | 100.0 | 6,661 | 45.8 | 17.0 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 100.0 | 1,563 |
| 1 | 18.8 | 18.3 | 13.6 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 31.8 | 100.0 | 1,001 | 31.7 | 21.0 | 15.1 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 100.0 | 342 |
| 2-3 | 16.1 | 16.9 | 14.5 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 100.0 | 142 | 29.0 | 20.5 | 17.1 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 100.0 | 198 |
| $4+$ | (11.4) | (8.9) | (15.7) | (22.0) | (3.3) | (38.8) | 100.0 | 37 | 18.6 | 15.4 | 10.0 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 100.0 | 109 |
| Restience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 27.7 | 15.7 | 10.9 | 13.0 | 0.1 | 32.6 | 100.0 | 7,637 | 40.0 | 18.0 | 9.1 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 25.8 | 100.0 | 2,161 |
| Total urban | 24.7 | 18.4 | 11.9 | 12.1 | 0.2 | 32.6 | 100.0 | 1,804 | 34.6 | 24.5 | 9.2 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 25.6 | 100.0 | , 508 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 20.8 | 22.0 | 11.1 | 9.8 | 0.2 | 36.2 | 100.0 | 562 | 25.7 | 32.4 | 9.9 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 26.8 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Other urban | 26.5 | 16.8 | 12.3 | 13.2 | 0.2 | 31.0 | 100.0 | 1,242 | 39.1 | 20.5 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 336 |
| Total rural | 28.6 | 14.8 | 10.6 | 13.3 | 0.1 | 32.6 | 100.0 | 5,832 | 41.6 | 15.9 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 25.8 | 100.0 | 1,653 |
| Zanzibar | 58.3 | 10.5 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 100.0 | 239 | 68.6 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Pemba | 62.0 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 100.0 | 92 | 85.2 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 28 |
| Unguja | 55.9 | 13.0 | 7.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 147 | 57.4 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 100.0 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 37.3 | 13.3 | 12.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 347 | 48.6 | 13.8 | 10.1 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 100.0 | 94 |
| Arusha | 36.0 | 13.2 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 38.3 | 100.0 | 495 | 40.2 | 13.8 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 28.7 | 100.0 | 145 |
| Kilimanjaro | 38.4 | 10.6 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 40.7 | 100.0 | 385 | 35.8 | 15.0 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 37.8 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Tanga | 32.4 | 9.9 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 44.9 | 100.0 | 457 | 32.4 | 10.8 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 41.9 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Morogoro | 27.4 | 8.7 | 17.6 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 100.0 | 400 | 44.4 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 32.4 | 100.0 | 94 |
| Coast | 14.9 | 22.8 | 8.3 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 44.6 | 100.0 | 158 | 39.3 | 24.6 | 1.6 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 26.2 | 100.0 | 44 |
| Dar es Salaam | 20.8 | 21.8 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 0.1 | 36.7 | 100.0 | 645 | 24.3 | 32.6 | 10.5 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 28.0 | 100.0 | 191 |
| Lindi | 16.8 | 15.2 | 20.3 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 100.0 | 185 | 46.5 | 28.2 | 14.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 27.5 | 13.7 | 8.9 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 34.3 | 100.0 | 352 | 50.5 | 14.9 | 10.9 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 19.2 | 11.2 | 11.4 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 100.0 | 304 | 47.1 | 18.6 | 4.9 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Iringa | 27.9 | 12.2 | 11.4 | 15.1 | 0.3 | 33.2 | 100.0 | 452 | 43.4 | 17.6 | 9.6 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 24.2 | 11.6 | 7.1 | 9.7 | 0.6 | 46.8 | 100.0 | 467 | 22.5 | 16.9 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 49.3 | 100.0 | 135 |
| Singida | 35.2 | 14.9 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 34.4 | 100.0 | 270 | 31.0 | 20.2 | 16.7 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Tabora | 30.0 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 37.9 | 100.0 | 216 | 18.9 | 9.4 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 60.4 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Rukwa | 27.0 | 7.3 | 14.2 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 39.5 | 100.0 | 235 | 25.6 | 14.1 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 48.7 | 100.0 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 40.0 | 14.6 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 0.3 | 26.2 | 100.0 | 339 | 45.7 | 11.4 | 10.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 27.1 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 27.5 | 19.7 | 9.2 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 658 | 49.7 | 29.2 | 6.8 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 198 |
| Kagera | 26.8 | 20.0 | 14.3 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 100.0 | 461 | 30.4 | 13.0 | 21.7 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 100.0 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 19.1 18.9 | 28.1 | 18.2 | 18.8 18.9 | 0.0 0.0 | 15.8 17.4 | 100.0 100.0 | 560 | 66.7 519 | 17.9 | 3.8 14.8 | 5.1 | 0.0 0.0 | 6.4 | 100.0 1000 | 176 |
| Mara | 18.9 | 26.3 | 18.5 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 100.0 | 251 | 51.9 | 13.0 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 63 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 26.1 | 14.0 | 9.4 | 14.1 | 0.1 | 36.3 | 100.0 | 2,135 | 39.0 | 12.0 | 7.5 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 32.3 | 100.0 | 293 |
| Primary incomplete | 31.6 | 13.5 | 9.4 | 12.0 | 0.1 | 33.4 | 100.0 | 1,595 | 45.2 | 14.7 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 0.1 | 26.6 | 100.0 | 652 |
| Primary complete | 27.9 | 16.6 | 11.8 | 13.0 | 0.1 | 30.5 | 100.0 | 3,706 | 39.5 | 19.0 | 10.1 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 100.0 | 1,063 |
| Seondary + | 36.0 | 21.2 | 13.3 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 100.0 | 439 | 37.2 | 30.0 | 11.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 100.0 | 222 |
| Total | 28.6 | 15.5 | 10.8 | 12.7 | 0.1 | 32.3 | 100.0 | 7,876 | 40.9 | 17.9 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 100.0 | 2,230 |

[^12] NA = Not applicable.

| Table.11.9 Perception of risk of getting HIV/AIDS among couples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of couples who know about AIDS, by husband's and wife's perception of risk of getting AIDS, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chances of getting AIDS: Husband |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of couples |
| Chances of getting AIDS: wife | No risk at all | Small | Moderate | Great | Don't know |  |  |
| No risk at all | 12.7 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 25.4 | 277 |
| Small | 6.7 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 16.4 | 179 |
| Moderate | 3.9 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 10.8 | 118 |
| Great | 5.2 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 12.6 | 137 |
| Don't know | 11.3 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 14.1 | 34.7 | 378 |
| Total | 39.8 | 17.4 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 26.1 | 100.0 | - |
| Number of couples | 433 | 190 | 110 | 72 | 284 | - | 1,089 |


| Table 11.10 Reasons for perception of small/no risk of getting AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of women and men who think they have a small or no risk of getting AIDS, by reasons for that perception of risk, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marital status | Abstain from sex | Use condoms | One sex partner/ limit partners | (Spouse) avoid prostitution | No blood transfusion | No injections | Other | Number |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never in union | 70.4 | 5.2 | 10.0 | 14.1 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 1,027 |
| Currently in union | 4.9 | 2.3 | 78.3 | 20.2 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 7.2 | 2,117 |
| Formerly in union | 52.4 | 7.3 | 16.0 | 25.9 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 7.0 | 332 |
| Total | 28.8 | 3.6 | 52.2 | 18.9 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 6.4 | 3,476 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never in union | 50.1 | 18.2 | 9.1 | 29.7 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 5.3 | 497 |
| Currently in union | 7.3 | 10.5 | 72.6 | 51.3 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 742 |
| Formerly in union | 30.8 | 39.6 | 14.3 | 47.1 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 69 |
| Total | 24.9 | 14.9 | 45.3 | 42.9 | 1.7 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 1,310 |

Respondents who classified themselves to be at moderate or great risk of contracting AIDS were also asked why they perceive themselves to be at such risk. Results are presented in Table 11.11. Sixty-one percent of women believe that they are at moderate or great risk because their spouses or regular partners have another sexual partner besides themselves. Twenty-eight percent of women say they are at moderate or great risk because they do not use condoms. The most common reasons given by men being at moderate or great risk are that they do not use condoms ( 34 percent), they have sex with prostitutes ( 21 percent), and they have many sex partners ( 20 percent). Eighteen percent of men perceive themselves to be at moderate or great risk because their spouse or regular partner has another sexual partner.

Women and men who have heard of AIDS and ever had sexual intercourse were asked if they had changed their sexual behaviour to prevent getting AIDS and if so, in what way. As shown in Tables 11.12.1 and 11.12.2 (and Figure 11.2), 82 percent of women and 91 percent of men reported changing their sexual
behaviour. By far the most common change among respondents was to restrict sex to one partner ( 49 percent of women and 45 percent of men), while 15 percent of women and 24 percent of men had reduced their number of sexual partners. Only 2 percent of women and 9 percent of men say they began using condoms to avoid AIDS. Respondents living in rural areas on the mainland and those with no education are more likely not to have changed their sexual behaviour in response to their perceived risk of AIDS than their counterparts.

| Percent of women and men who think they have moderate or great risk of getting AIDS, reasons for that perception of risk, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marital status | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't } \\ \text { use } \\ \text { condoms } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multiple } \\ & \text { sex } \\ & \text { s partners } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spouse } \\ & \text { has } \\ & \text { partner } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Had } \\ \text { sex with } \\ \text { prosti- } \\ \text { tute } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Had } \\ & \text { blood } \\ & \text { trans- } \\ & \text { fusion } \end{aligned}$ | Had injections | Other | Number of women/ men |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never in union | 31.8 | 11.8 | 37.0 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 8.8 | 21.0 | 257 |
| Currently in union | 25.6 | 3.7 | 67.4 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 17.1 | 1,384 |
| Formerly in union | 35.6 | 15.6 | 44.6 | 8.4 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 16.7 | 209 |
| Total | 27.6 | 6.1 | 60.6 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 6.1 | 17.6 | 1,849 |
| MEN ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never in union | 30.9 | 20.8 | 13.5 | 23.7 | 4.7 | 11.7 | 7.2 | 112 |
| Currently in union | 34.2 | 18.4 | 21.9 | 19.6 | 2.7 | 13.2 | 15.7 | 219 |
| Total | 34.1 | 19.7 | 18.4 | 20.6 | 3.1 | 12.1 | 13.6 | 354 |

Figure 11.2
Changes in Behaviour after Hearing about HIV/AIDS, by Sex


TDHS 1996

Table 11.12 .1 AIDS prevention behaviour: women
Percent of women who have heard of AIDS, by specific changes in behaviour in order to avoid AIDS, and background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No change } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { sexual } \\ & \text { behaviour } \end{aligned}$ | Changes in behaviour to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kept } \\ & \text { virgin. } \\ & \text { ity } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stopped } \\ & \text { sex } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Began } \\ \text { using } \\ \text { condom } \end{gathered}$ | Increased condom use | Restricted to one partner | Fewer partners | Avoided sex with prosstitutes | Other sexual behaviour |  |
| Perception of AIDS risk among those who have heard of AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small risk | 14.9 | 19.2 | 8.5 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 45.7 | 12.5 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 3,476 |
| Moderate risk | 19.4 | 1.9 | 8.4 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 58.6 | 24.8 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 847 |
| Great risk/has AIDS | 24.5 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 55.8 | 23.4 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 1,011 |
| Don't know/missing | 19.5 | 11.6 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 47.2 | 10.2 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 2,542 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 10.8 | 51.5 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 24.4 | 8.4 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1,659 |
| 20-24 | 18.5 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 51.9 | 17.3 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 1,641 |
| 25-29 | 18.6 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 61.5 | 16.3 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 1,400 |
| 30.39 | 19.8 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 58.4 | 18.1 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 1,941 |
| 40-49 | 24.3 | 0.1 | 12.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 48.5 | 11.2 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 1,235 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 22.2 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 60.0 | 16.1 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 5,249 |
| Formerly in union | 16.7 | 0.1 | 25.3 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 36.8 | 17.7 | 5.2 | 1.8 | 801 |
| Never married | 7.0 | 55.0 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 22.3 | 8.3 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 1,826 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 17.8 | 12.5 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 49.3 | 14.7 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 7,637 |
| Total urban | 10.9 | 12.2 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 55.6 | 15.9 | 5.7 | 2.0 | 1,804 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 11.6 | 12.8 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 2.6 | 58.0 | 12.5 | 7.8 | 3.6 | , 562 |
| Other urban | 10.6 | 11.9 | 8.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 54.5 | 17.5 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 1,242 |
| Total rural | 19.9 | 12.6 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 47.3 | 14.4 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 5,832 |
| Zanzibar | 29.2 | 22.0 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 36.0 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 239 |
| Pemba | 40.7 | 22.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 25.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 92 |
| Unguja | 22.0 | 21.7 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 42.3 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 147 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 5.2 | 9.1 | 16.2 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 49.4 | 31.8 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 347 |
| Arusha | 7.9 | 15.5 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 50.5 | 8.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 495 |
| Kilimanjaro | 11.9 | 19.3 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 53.9 | 6.2 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 385 |
| Tanga | 19.9 | 17.9 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 46.4 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 457 |
| Morogoro | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 62.3 | 10.0 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 400 |
| Coast Dar es Salaam | 16.3 12.3 | 12.7 | 5.8 8.3 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 48.9 58.5 | 10.9 11.8 | 13.4 | 6.2 | 158 |
| Lindi | 14.9 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 38.0 | 39.6 | 13.0 | 1.6 | 185 |
| Mtwara | 21.1 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 47.8 | 26.1 | 11.0 | 1.8 | 352 |
| Ruvuma | 13.4 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 60.1 | 18.5 | 10.6 | 1.5 | 304 |
| Iringa | 10.3 | 17.8 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 53.3 | 7.7 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 452 |
| Mbeya | 12.9 | 14.2 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 54.2 | 8.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 467 |
| Singida | 6.7 | 16.0 | 6.4 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 56.0 | 13.6 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 270 |
| Tabora | 25.3 | 5.8 | 20.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 30.0 | 11.1 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 216 |
| Rukwa | 27.0 | 9.6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 51.5 | 7.6 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 235 |
| Kigoma | 24.5 | 20.0 | 9.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 33.5 | 12.1 | 6.8 | 1.1 | 339 |
| Shinyanga | 35.6 | 13.3 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 40.8 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 658 |
| Kagera | 17.9 | 13.2 | 7.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 44.6 | 26.1 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 461 |
| Mwanza | 30.0 26.3 | 8.6 | 3.6 4.8 | 3.6 | 2.6 0.4 | 46.9 46.3 | 17.8 18.9 | 1.0 3.7 | 0.0 1.5 | 560 251 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 26.4 | 4.2 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 45.5 | 13.4 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 2,135 |
| Primary incomplete | 19.4 | 23.3 | 5.9 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 39.6 | 10.7 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 1,595 |
| Primary complete | 14.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 54.9 | 17.0 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 3,706 |
| Secondary + | 7.9 | 23.4 | 9.6 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 48.2 | 12.4 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 439 |
| Total | 18.1 | 12.8 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 48.9 | 14.5 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 7,876 |

## Table 11.12.2 AIDS prevention behaviour: men

Percent of men who have heard of AIDS, by specific changes in behaviour in order to avoid AIDS, and background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | No change in sexual behaviour | Changes in behaviour to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Kept virginity | Stopped sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Began } \\ \text { using } \\ \text { condom } \end{gathered}$ | Increased condom use | Restricted to one partner | Fewer partners | Avoided sex with stitutes | Other sexual behaviou |  |
| Perception of AIDS risk among those who have heard of AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small risk | 5.9 | 17.2 | 9.2 | 8.2 | 4.7 | 48.7 | 26.2 | 17.9 | 1.2 | 1,310 |
| Moderate risk | 10.5 | 1.8 | 6.5 | 11.2 | 7.6 | 42.8 | 33.7 | 22.3 | 3.6 | 197 |
| Great risk /has AIDS | 22.3 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 38.5 | 27.5 | 22.2 | 1.2 | 158 |
| Don't know/missing | 12.4 | 16.4 | 4.6 | 7.9 | 4.6 | 38.4 | 14.8 | 16.5 | 1.0 | 565 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 7.4 | 57.6 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 17.1 | 8.4 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 473 |
| 20-24 | 4.8 | 12.6 | 7.5 | 15.9 | 8.7 | 38.5 | 26.0 | 21.9 | 0.5 | 369 |
| 25-29 | 10.7 | 1.7 | 6.9 | 14.5 | 8.5 | 48.9 | 22.0 | 20.9 | 2.4 | 300 |
| 30-39 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 58.8 | 32.4 | 22.4 | 2.7 | 519 |
| 40-49 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 59.3 | 31.7 | 20.3 | 1.6 | 353 |
| 50-59 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 54.1 | 25.3 | 19.9 | 0.7 | 215 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 10.9 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 2.6 | 60.9 | 29.7 | 22.3 | 1.7 | 1,285 |
| Formerly in union | 9.8 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 10.5 | 14.9 | 24.4 | 31.4 | 20.6 | 0.5 | 117 |
| Never married | 6.3 | 39.7 | 5.7 | 11.8 | 6.8 | 22.9 | 14.2 | 11.6 | 0.9 | 825 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 9.3 | 14.0 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 4.9 | 45.0 | 24.3 | 17.9 | 1.4 | 2,161 |
| Total urban | 9.1 | 11.8 | 8.0 | 13.4 | 7.8 | 44.1 | 23.5 | 15.2 | 1.5 | 508 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 14.3 | 9.6 | 3.3 | 24.3 | 3.3 | 37.5 | 25.4 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 171 |
| Other urban | 6.4 | 12.9 | 10.4 | 7.9 | 10.1 | 47.4 | 22.6 | 19.9 | 1.1 | 336 |
| Total rural | 9.4 | 14.7 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 45.3 | 24.6 | 18.7 | 1.4 | 1,653 |
| Zanzibar | 2.2 | 36.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 39.3 | 15.5 | 30.7 | 0.0 | 69 |
| Pemba | 5.6 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.6 | 5.6 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 28 |
| Unguja | 0.0 | 38.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 37.0 | 22.2 | 35.2 | 0.0 | 41 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 5.1 | 15.9 | 5.8 | 10.1 | 4.3 | 40.6 | 28.3 | 11.6 | 0.7 | 94 |
| Arusha | 13.8 | 16.1 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 6.9 | 33.3 | 21.8 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 145 |
| Kilimanjaro | 13.0 | 10.9 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 43.5 | 17.1 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 117 |
| Tanga | 23.0 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 5.4 | 37.8 | 14.9 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 107 |
| Morogoro | 9.9 | 12.7 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 44.4 | 19.7 | 12.0 | 1.4 | 94 |
| Coast | 21.3 | 14.8 | 6.6 | 16.4 | 1.6 | 31.1 | 16.4 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 44 |
| Dar es Salaam | 14.8 | 10.2 | 3.6 | 24.0 | 3.9 | 37.2 | 24.3 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 191 |
| Lindi | 1.4 | 12.7 | 25.4 | 12.7 | 8.5 | 57.7 | 38.0 | 26.8 | 2.8 | 54 |
| Mtwara | 4.0 | 12.9 | 59.4 | 9.9 | 3.0 | 66.3 | 14.9 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 96 |
| Ruvuma | 4.9 | 13.7 | 32.4 | 5.9 | 9.8 | 52.0 | 17.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 82 |
| lringa | 9.6 | 19.1 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 50.0 | 21.3 | 5.1 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Mbeya | 2.8 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 18.3 | 76.1 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 135 |
| Singida | 3.6 | 11.9 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 8.3 | 69.0 | 23.8 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 80 |
| Tabora | 11.3 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 1.9 | 11.3 | 13.2 | 52.8 | 3.8 | 80 |
| Rukwa | 11.5 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 60.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 71 |
| Kigoma | 11.4 | 8.6 | 1.4 | 12.9 | 1.4 | 42.9 | 15.7 | 48.6 | 4.3 | 95 |
| Shinyanga | 14.3 | 15.5 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 44.7 | 38.5 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 198 |
| Kagera | 5.8 | 13.0 | 4.3 | 17.4 | 2.9 | 23.2 | 14.5 | 53.6 | 4.3 | 139 |
| Mwanza | 0.0 | 21.8 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 61.5 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 176 |
| Mara | 1.9 | 16.7 | 3.7 | 9.3 | 3.7 | 46.3 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 1.9 | 63 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 17.4 | 11.5 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 43.0 | 19.5 | 21.3 | 1.4 | 293 |
| Primary incomplete | 11.2 | 26.4 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 38.8 | 21.9 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 652 |
| Primary complete | 5.8 | 9.7 | 7.7 | 11.0 | 6.7 | 48.5 | 25.9 | 17.5 | 2.1 | 1,063 |
| Secondary + | 7.8 | 8.9 | 2.7 | 16.4 | 7.3 | 47.8 | 27.4 | 20.6 | 1.5 | 222 |
| Total | 9.1 | 14.7 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 4.8 | 44.9 | 24.1 | 18.3 | 1.4 | 2,230 |
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### 11.7 AIDS Testing

Tables 11.13.1 and 11.13.2 show the percentage of women and men who have been tested for AIDS or want to be tested and, of these, the percentage who know of a source of AIDS testing, according to selected background characteristics. Four percent of women and 11 percent of men have already been tested for AIDS and interestingly, about two-thirds of women and men express a desire to be tested for AIDS. Among those who have already been tested for the AIDS virus and those who express a desire for AIDS testing, more than half of them know a place where they can be tested for the AIDS virus.

### 11.8 Sources of Condom Supply

Because of the important role condom use plays in combating the transmission of HIV, respondents were asked if they knew of a source for condoms and if so, to name the source. Table 11.14 shows knowledge of condoms and knowledge of a source for condoms among women and men who have heard of AIDS and who have had sexual intercourse. While most of the respondents know about condoms, many do not know where they can obtain them. Eighty-seven percent of women and 95 percent of men know of condoms. Nevertheless, only 58 percent of women and 74 percent of men who have heard of condoms know where to get them. Among those who know a source, 81 percent of women and 72 percent men reported that they could obtain condoms from a public source, while 32 percent of women and 40 percent of men mentioned private pharmacies as a source for condoms. Knowledge of condoms and knowledge of a source for condoms are highest among respondents who live in urban areas and those who have some formal schooling.

### 11.9 Use of Condoms

Tables 11.15 .1 and 11.15 .2 show the percentage of women and men who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey who have ever used condoms for contraceptive purposes, for STD prevention, or either reason. One-third of men and 13 percent of women report using a condom for either reason. Results show that both men and women are slightly more likely to use condoms for STD prevention than to use for fertility regulation.

Condom use rises with increasing education among both women and men; urban dwellers are more likely than rural dwellers to have ever used a condom. The tables also show the prevalence of condom use during the last sexual intercourse by type of sexual contact (i.e., spouse or nonspouse). Not surprisingly, the likelihood of a condom being used is higher when the respondent had sex with someone other than their spouses. Seventeen percent of women and more than one-third of men used a condom when they had sex with a partner other than their spouse. However, only 2 percent of women and 4 percent of men used a condom with their spouses.

| Table 11.13,1 Testing for Alds: women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among women who know of AIDS, the percent who have been tested and the percent who would like to be tested, and for those respondents tested or who desired testing, the percent who know a source for testing, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Know of AIDS |  |  | Number | Know source for test | Tested for AIDS or want to be tested |  |  | Number |
| Background characteristic | Have been tested for AIDS |  |  |  | Source of AIDS testing |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Public | Private medical | Other |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.3 | 64.6 | 1,659 | 42.2 | 38.5 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 1,109 |
| 20-24 | 5.3 | 70.4 | 1,641 | 54.7 | 49.6 | 8.8 | 0.5 | 1.243 |
| 25-29 | 5.3 | 69.1 | 1,400 | 57.3 | 50.5 | 12.2 | 0.3 | 1,041 |
| 30-39 | 4.7 | 67.8 | 1,941 | 57.4 | 51.8 | 10.6 | 0.6 | 1,408 |
| 40-49 | 2.7 | 62.5 | 1,235 | 46.0 | 39.8 | 10.1 | 0.7 | 805 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 4.0 | 68.4 | 5,249 | 52.2 | 46.7 | 9.8 | 0.4 | 3,804 |
| Formerly in union | 6.1 | 66.0 | 801 | 57.8 | 52.3 | 10.9 | 0.7 | 577 |
| Never married | 3.5 | 63.6 | 1,826 | 49.4 | 44.1 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 1,226 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 4.1 | 67.0 | 7,637 | 52.1 | 46.5 | 9.9 | 0.5 | 5,428 |
| Total urban | 7.3 | 66.8 | 1,804 | 65.3 | 61.5 | 8.6 | 1.0 | 1,336 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 10.1 | 60.9 | 562 | 60.4 | 58.1 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 399 |
| Other urban | 6.0 | 69.4 | 1,242 | 67.4 | 63.0 | 8.8 | 1.0 | 938 |
| Total rural | 3.1 | 67.0 | 5,832 | 47.7 | 41.6 | 10.3 | 0.3 | 4,092 |
| Zanzibar | 3.7 | 70.8 | 239 | 55.0 | 54.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 178 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 1.3 | 57.5 | 495 | 59.7 | 55.2 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 204 |
| Arusha | 4.1 | 72.8 | 385 | 32.7 | 25.7 | 10.9 | 0.3 | 380 |
| Kilimanjaro | 10.1 | 59.0 | 457 | 60.1 | 47.4 | 15.3 | 0.4 | 266 |
| Tanga | 2.3 | 61.5 | 400 | 40.8 | 38.4 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 291 |
| Morogoro | 3.0 | 66.1 | 158 | 54.9 | 48.6 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 276 |
| Coast | 2.9 | 65.6 | 645 | 39.2 | 38.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 108 |
| Dar es Salaam | 9.2 | 61.2 | 185 | 59.6 | 57.4 | 7.3 | 1.5 | 454 |
| Lindi | 8.5 | 73.1 | 352 | 66.3 | 58.9 | 14.3 | 0.4 | 151 |
| Mtwara | 1.8 | 75.3 | 304 | 54.3 | 54.0 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 272 |
| Ruvuma | 7.1 | 71.1 | 452 | 64.2 | 44.1 | 32.0 | 0.6 | 238 |
| Iringa | 4.8 | 61.0 | 467 | 54.4 | 37.9 | 21.8 | 2.0 | 297 |
| Mbeya | 4.5 | 66.8 | 270 | 51.6 | 43.9 | 11.8 | 0.5 | 333 |
| Singida | 3.2 | 64.0 | 216 | 51.2 | 49.2 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 181 |
| Tabora | 5.8 | 69.5 | 235 | 64.3 | 53.8 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 163 |
| Rukwa | 1.2 | 57.3 | 339 | 37.8 | 36.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 138 |
| Kigoma | 3.7 | 64.8 | 658 | 58.0 | 54.7 | 10.7 | 0.4 | 232 |
| Shinyanga | 1.9 | 69.7 | 461 | 48.1 | 47.3 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 472 |
| Kagera | 3.6 | 76.4 | 560 | 55.4 | 49.1 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 369 |
| Mwanza | 1.7 | 70.6 | 251 | 44.3 | 43.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 405 |
| Mara | 1.9 | 77.4 |  | 54.7 | 51.4 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 199 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.6 | 64.4 | 2,135 | 36.0 | 32.9 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 1,408 |
| Primary incomplete | 3.4 | 66.6 | 1,595 | 46.2 | 39.6 | 9.2 | 0.4 | 1,117 |
| Primary complete | 5.1 | 69.6 | 3,706 | 59.4 | 53.3 | 11.5 | 0.5 | 2,769 |
| Secondary + | 10.6 | 60.5 | 439 | 81.7 | 76.2 | 11.4 | 1.4 | 312 |
| Total | 4.1 | 67.1 | 7,876 | 52.2 | 46.7 | 9.6 | 0.5 | 5,606 |

## Table 11.13.2 Testing for AIDS: men

Among men who know of AIDS, the percent who have been tested and the percent who would like to be tested, and for those respondents tested or who desire testing, the percent who know a source for testing, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Know of AIDS |  | Number | Tested for AIDS or want to be tested |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have been tested for AIDS | Want to be tested for AIDS |  | Source of AIDS testing |  |  |  | Number |
|  |  |  |  | source <br> for test | Public | Private medical | Other |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 3.4 | 69.5 | 473 | 47.2 | 43.3 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 345 |
| 20-24 | 11.1 | 66.8 | 369 | 69.7 | 65.6 | 12.5 | 0.2 | 287 |
| 25-29 | 16.6 | 64.7 | 300 | 69.0 | 59.0 | 13.2 | 1.8 | 243 |
| 30-39 | 15.1 | 66.7 | 519 | 69.4 | 62.8 | 11.5 | 0.3 | 425 |
| 40-49 | 14.5 | 57.6 | 353 | 69.1 | 62.6 | 11.6 | 0.3 | 255 |
| 50-59 | 7.1 | 65.5 | 215 | 55.6 | 48.5 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 157 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 13.2 | 65.3 | 1,285 | 66.6 | 59.7 | 11.7 | 0.5 | 1,009 |
| Formerly in union | 15.8 | 57.5 | 117 | 78.4 | 70.5 | 15.4 | 0.7 | 86 |
| Never married | 7.7 | 66.8 | 825 | 57.0 | 52.1 | 10.3 | 0.2 | 615 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 11.4 | 65.9 | 2,161 | 63.1 | 56.8 | 11.7 | 0.4 | 1,670 |
| Total urban | 17.9 | 58.9 | 508 | 72.7 | 66.4 | 10.4 | 1.2 | 390 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 17.6 | 50.0 | 171 | 68.5 | 63.6 | 7.1 | 2.2 | 116 |
| Other urban | 18.1 | 63.4 | 336 | 74.5 | 67.6 | 11.8 | 0.7 | 274 |
| Total rural | 9.3 | 68.1 | 1,653 | 60.2 | 53.8 | 12.1 | 0.2 | 1,281 |
| Zanzibar | 9.3 | 51.4 | 69 | 84.2 | 84.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 6.5 | 65.9 | 94 | 63.0 | 59.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 68 |
| Arusha | 10.3 | 64.4 | 145 | 50.8 | 38.5 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 108 |
| Kilimanjaro | 18.7 | 64.8 | 117 | 59.6 | 49.7 | 11.2 | 1.9 | 98 |
| Tanga | 12.2 | 73.0 | 107 | 52.4 | 44.4 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 91 |
| Morogoro | 12.7 | 69.0 | 94 | 56.9 | 52.6 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 77 |
| Coast | 4.9 | 77.0 | 44 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 36 |
| Dar es Salaam | 16.4 | 51.0 | 191 | 66.8 | 62.0 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 129 |
| Lindi | 16.9 | 69.0 | 54 | 75.4 | 68.9 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 46 |
| Mtwara | 6.9 | 82.2 | 96 | 68.9 | 67.8 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 85 |
| Ruvuma | 23.5 | 52.9 | 82 | 80.8 | 53.8 | 33.3 | 1.3 | 62 |
| Iringa | 8.1 | 58.8 | 100 | 62.6 | 56.0 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 67 |
| Mbeya | 14.1 | 73.2 | 135 | 64.5 | 56.5 | 12.9 | 1.6 | 118 |
| Singida | 8.3 | 44.0 | 80 | 68.2 | 68.2 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 42 |
| Tabora | 5.7 | 69.8 | 80 | 70.0 | 57.5 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 61 |
| Rukwa | 14.1 | 64.1 | 71 | 54.1 | 54.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55 |
| Kigoma | 8.6 | 68.6 | 95 | 64.8 | 59.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 73 |
| Shinyanga | 6.8 | 72.7 | 198 | 50.8 | 50.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 157 |
| Kagera | 11.6 | 66.7 | 139 | 75.9 | 66.7 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 108 |
| Mwanza | 6.4 | 65.4 | 176 | 73.2 | 69.6 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 126 |
| Mara | 18.5 | 77.8 | 63 | 69.2 | 65.4 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 61 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.2 | 63.7 | 293 | 42.1 | 37.8 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 205 |
| Primary incomplete | 6.4 | 68.9 | 652 | 55.5 | 50.4 | 8.8 | 0.2 | 491 |
| Primary complete | 13.7 | 66.6 | 1,063 | 69.4 | 62.8 | 12.9 | 0.2 | 855 |
| Secondary + | 20.7 | 52.3 | 222 | 85.1 | 75.7 | 16.7 | 2.7 | 162 |
| Total | 11.3 | 65.5 | 2,230 | 63.6 | 57.4 | 11.4 | 0.4 | 1,712 |

Tabie 11.14 Knowledge of condoms
Among respondents who have heard of AIDS and have ever had sex, percent who know of condoms and know a source of condoms, according to background characteristics, and by specific sources, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Knows condom | Source of condoms: women |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | Knows condorn | Source of condoms: men |  |  |  |  | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Knows any source | Public | Private medical | Pharmacy | Other source | Missing |  |  | Knows any source | Public | Private medical | Pharmacy | Other source |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 86.7 | 54.2 | 68.9 | 5.3 | 39.1 | 47.6 | 0.0 | 806 | 97.4 | 74.3 | 63.7 | 4.5 | 48.8 | 56.5 | 200 |
| 20-24 | 92.2 | 61.9 | 77.7 | 8.9 | 36.8 | 37.8 | 0.0 | 1,522 | 97.8 | 81.2 | 69.9 | 6.4 | 42.1 | 57.4 | 322 |
| 25-29 | 90.8 | 64.3 | 84.9 | 8.1 | 32.1 | 32.2 | 0.0 | 1,378 | 98.9 | 79.2 | 72.5 | 8.8 | 39.5 | 52.2 | 295 |
| 30-39 | 87.6 | 59.1 | 84.3 | 8.9 | 28.6 | 32.4 | 0.1 | 1,934 | 95.8 | 76.4 | 75.4 | 5.2 | 38.5 | 48.8 | 515 |
| 40-49 | 73.8 | 46.7 | 84.8 | 12.1 | 20.7 | 27.8 | 0.4 | 1,234 | 93.5 | 71.6 | 74.2 | 7.7 | 37.7 | 46.7 | 353 |
| 50-59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 82.3 | 47.4 | 70.3 | 7.7 | 29.2 | 55.6 | 215 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 85.6 | 57.3 | 83.9 | 8.8 | 29.1 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 5,249 | 93.9 | 71.6 | 74.6 | 6.8 | 38.1 | 48.6 | 1,285 |
| Formerly in union | 88.4 | 60.2 | 77.4 | 9.8 | 30.9 | 38.5 | 0.4 | 801 | 91.0 | 75.4 | 69.4 | 3.9 | 33.8 | 54.4 | 117 |
| Never mamried | 91.8 | 63.3 | 69.9 | 7.4 | 46.1 | 46.7 | 0.0 | 823 | 98.0 | 78.7 | 67.1 | 6.8 | 44.9 | 58.9 | 498 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 86.7 | 58.6 | 80.8 | 8.9 | 32.2 | 35.3 | 0.1 | 6,687 | 94.9 | 73.6 | 71.4 | 6.7 | 40.6 | 53.2 | 1,858 |
| Total uban | 97.6 | 73.1 | 75.8 | 9.5 | 50.6 | 41.7 | 0.1 | 1,587 | 98.9 | 85.9 | 60.3 | 6.0 | 60.7 | 64.7 | 448 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 99.1 | 68.9 | 65.7 | 11.8 | 74.3 | 57.7 | 0.0 | 491 | 99.2 | 90.2 | 52.3 | 8.2 | 62.7 | 71.8 | 155 |
| Other urban | 96.9 | 75.1 | 80.1 | 8.5 | 40.6 | 35.0 | 0.1 | 1,096 | 98.8 | 83.7 | 64.8 | 4.7 | 59.6 | 60.7 | 293 |
| Total rural | 83.4 | 53.3 | 83.3 | 8.6 | 23.1 | 32.0 | 0.1 | 5,100 | 93.7 | 69.5 | 76.0 | 6.9 | 32.2 | 48.4 | 1,410 |
| Zanxibar | 84.2 | 49.0 | 95.8 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 186 | 89.4 | 79.8 | 98.3 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 44 |
| Pemba | 76.0 | 46.0 | 93.8 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 71 | (83.3) | (93.3) | (96.4) | (3.6) | (0.0) | (3.6) | 19 |
| Unguja | 89.3 | 50.6 | 96.7 | 2.5 | 5.7 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 115 | (93.9) | (71.0) | (100.0) | (4.5) | (9.1) | (0.0) | 25 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 83.2 | 62.2 | 91.7 | 7.6 | 28.3 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 315 | 93.1 | 73.1 | 78.5 | 8.9 | 44.3 | 22.8 | 79 |
| Arusha | 76.6 | 52.2 | 60.9 | 6.8 | 27.1 | 49.6 | 0.0 | 418 | 87.7 | 67.2 | 51.2 | 2.3 | 23.3 | 72.1 | 121 |
| Kilimanjaro | 96.2 | 66.9 | 55.9 | 20.3 | 21.8 | 46.0 | 0.5 | 312 | 93.6 | 77.0 | 41.1 | 11.3 | 28.2 | 56.5 | 105 |
| Tanga | 88.5 | 55.9 | 76.2 | 8.1 | 28.7 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 376 | 93.9 | 71.0 | 54.5 | 6.8 | 25.0 | 54.5 | 95 |
| Morogoro | 88.6 | 65.9 | 88.7 | 5.6 | 27.7 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 362 | 96.8 | 65.0 | 80.8 | 6.4 | 29.5 | 43.6 | 82 |
| Coast | 93.8 | 64.6 | 84.9 | 18.5 | 43.2 | 49.3 | 0.0 | 138 | 98.1 | 80.4 | 73.2 | 17.1 | 19.5 | 68.3 | 38 |
| Dar es Salaam | 99.1 | 66.6 | 67.0 | 11.5 | 73.4 | 56.0 | 0.2 | 567 | 99.3 | 90.0 | 52.5 | 8.6 | 62.7 | 71.3 | 172 |
| Lindi | 93.7 | 61.7 | 96.0 | 8.0 | 14.4 | 31.0 | 0.0 | 177 | 100.0 | 72.6 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 35.6 | 24.4 | 47 |
| Mtwara | 88.3 | 57.7 | 96.7 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 332 | 96.6 | 58.8 | 94.0 | 2.0 | 18.0 | 10.0 | 83 |
| Ruvuma | 93.1 | 62.5 | 78.2 | 9.1 | 25.8 | 40.5 | 0.0 | 284 | 95.5 | 75.0 | 63.5 | 4.8 | 36.5 | 47.6 | 70 |
| Iringa | 81.0 | 50.8 | 82.8 | 7.8 | 21.9 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 373 | 91.8 | 65.3 | 81.8 | 7.6 | 39.4 | 28.8 | 81 |
| Mbeya | 91.7 | 70.5 | 86.6 | 5.2 | 49.4 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 401 | 98.4 | 86.9 | 81.1 | 3.8 | 69.8 | 58.5 | 118 |
| Singida | 79.4 | 59.2 | 95.9 | 5.4 | 31.8 | 34.5 | 0.0 | 226 | 93.2 | 75.4 | 88.5 | 1.9 | 38.5 | 23.1 | 71 |
| Tabora | 90.5 | 66.0 | 80.4 | 14.0 | 9.3 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 204 | 100.0 | 76.6 | 69.4 | 2.8 | 13.9 | 72.2 | 71 |
| Rukwa | 85.5 | 58.3 | 82.6 | 3.2 | 43.2 | 16.8 | 0.6 | 213 | 95.4 | 62.9 | 89.7 | 0.0 | 82.1 | 51.3 | 59 |
| Kigoma | 86.0 | 45.3 | 85.6 | 9.9 | 5.4 | 34.2 | 0.0 | 272 | 100.0 | 71.9 | 89.1 | 10.9 | 17.4 | 39.1 | 87 |
| Shinyanga | 78.2 | 50.8 | 90.3 | 1.6 | 38.7 | 31.5 | 0.0 | 571 | 92.6 | 65.1 | 70.7 | 6.1 | 48.8 | 52.4 | 167 |
| Kagera | 87.2 | 50.5 | 63.6 | 20.6 | 14.0 | 58.9 | 0.0 | 400 | 95.0 | 78.9 | 66.7 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 71.1 | 120 |
| Mwanza | 77.9 879 | 49.1 | 95.3 | 5.6 | 35.5 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 517 | 91.8 | 71.4 | 82.5 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 67.5 | 137 |
| Мага | 87.9 | 61.3 | 86.5 | 4.5 | 22.6 | 36.1 | 0.0 | 229 | 91.1 | 70.7 | 96.6 | 20.7 | 75.9 | 44.8 | 53 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 69.7 | 39.1 | 82.7 | 6.7 | 20.0 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 2,048 | 83.1 | 51.5 | 70.0 | 8.4 | 29.2 | 43.8 | 259 |
| Primary incomplete | 88.8 | 52.8 | 80.7 | 9.2 | 27.2 | 36.0 | 0.2 | 1,223 | 92.7 | 62.0 | 74.1 | 4.5 | 25.0 | 52.4 | 480 |
| Primary complete | 95.2 | 66.3 | 81.6 | 9.2 | 32.5 | 35.6 | 0.1 | 3,266 | 98.0 | 80.1 | 72.1 | 6.0 | 43.1 | 50.3 | 960 |
| Secondary + | 99.4 | 84.7 | 75.8 | 8.8 | 57.5 | 39.9 | 0.0 | 337 | 99.6 | 93.9 | 69.7 | 11.0 | 54.0 | 62.9 | 202 |
| Total | 86.7 | 58.4 | 81.2 | 8.7 | 31.6 | 34.8 | 0.1 | 6,873 | 94.8 | 73.7 | 72.0 | 6.6 | 39.7 | 52.0 | 1,901 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 men.
NA $=$ not applicable.

## Table 11.15.1 Use of condoms: women

Percent of women who have had sex in the past year who ever used condoms and percent who used condoms during last sexual intercourse, according to perception of AIDS risk, background characteristics and changes in sexual behaviour, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Ever used condom |  |  |  | Used condom during last sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Use condom for FP | $\begin{gathered} \text { Use } \\ \text { condom } \\ \text { to avoid } \\ \text { STD } \end{gathered}$ | Either | Number | Last sex with spouse | Number | Last sex with other | Number | Last sex with any partner | Number |
| AIDS not always fatal or don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small risk | 7.9 | 10.8 | 13.2 | 2,282 | 1.8 | 1,978 | 25.5 | 390 | 5.9 | 2,282 |
| Moderate risk | 11.1 | 12.2 | 16.6 | 700 | 2.3 | 596 | 15.8 | 143 | 5.2 | 700 |
| Great risk/has AIDS | 9.8 | 10.2 | 13.9 | 877 | 1.8 | 732 | 10.9 | 188 | 3.8 | 877 |
| Don't know/missing | 8.2 | 6.8 | 10.2 | 1,905 | 1.4 | 1,637 | 11.7 | 335 | 3.3 | 1,905 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 7.4 | 13.4 | 15.6 | 620 | 1.5 | 362 | 18.7 | 276 | 9.2 | 620 |
| 20-24 | 12.4 | 13.8 | 17.5 | 1,291 | 2.6 | 1,065 | 21.9 | 273 | 6.8 | 1,291 |
| 25-29 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 14.7 | 1,205 | 2.0 | 1,084 | 15.6 | 177 | 4.1 | 1,205 |
| 30-39 | 8.1 | 7.5 | 11.0 | 1,667 | 1.4 | 1,525 | 15.5 | 226 | 3.4 | 1,667 |
| 40-49 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 981 | 1.0 | 907 | 7.2 | 105 | 1.7 | 981 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 6.9 | 6.3 | 9.5 | 4,954 | 1.7 | 4,943 | 17.0 | 247 | 2.6 | 4,954 |
| Formerly in union | 21.9 | 31.6 | 34.5 | 332 | NA | 0 | 15.5 | 332 | 15.5 | 332 |
| Never married | 17.6 | 28.6 | 30.8 | 478 | NA | 0 | 18.4 | 478 | 18.4 | 478 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 8.8 | 9.7 | 12.9 | 5,600 | 1.7 | 4,783 | 17.3 | 1,049 | 4.7 | 5,600 |
| Total urban | 17.8 | 21.1 | 26.1 | 1,318 | 3.6 | 1,022 | 29.4 | 358 | 10.8 | 1,318 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 18.3 | 24.1 | 29.4 | 411 | 5.7 | 324 | 34.3 | 118 | 14.4 | 411 |
| Other urban | 17.6 | 19.8 | 24.6 | 907 | 2.6 | 699 | 27.1 | 240 | 9.1 | 907 |
| Total rural | 6.0 | 6.2 | 8.9 | 4,282 | 1.2 | 3,761 | 11.0 | 690 | 2.9 | 4,282 |
| Zanzibar | 3.6 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 165 | 1.3 | 160 | * | 8 | 1.5 | 165 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 11.9 | 14.8 | 18.2 | 1,378 | 3.0 | 1,141 | 22.7 | 313 | 7.7 | 1,378 |
| Northern highlands | 14.2 | 9.2 | 16.5 | 596 | 2.8 | 505 | 22.7 | 98 | 6.1 | 596 |
| Lake | 4.8 | 6.2 | 7.9 | 1,909 | 1.0 | 1,674 | 13.5 | 284 | 2.8 | 1,909 |
| Central | 7.3 | 9.5 | 12.8 | 457 | 1.4 | 404 | 15.3 | 79 | 3.9 | 457 |
| Southern highlands | 9.3 | 7.1 | 11.0 | 802 | 1.4 | 710 | 13.5 | 113 | 3.1 | 802 |
| Southern | 8.3 | 12.2 | 14.2 | 623 | 0.9 | 509 | 13.5 | 170 | 4.4 | 623 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 1,735 | 0.5 | 1,586 | 5.2 | 215 | 1.1 | 1,735 |
| Primary incomplete | 5.6 | 8.3 | 9.6 | 1,020 | 1.6 | 858 | 16.1 | 215 | 4.7 | 1,020 |
| Primary complete | 12.5 | 12.6 | 17.3 | 2,740 | 2.4 | 2,305 | 19.4 | 546 | 5.9 | 2,740 |
| Secondary + | 24.7 | 26.0 | 35.4 | 269 | 4.5 | 194 | 37.2 | 80 | 14.3 | 269 |
| Changes in sexual behaviour No sexual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No sexual behaviour change | 4.2 | 3.7 | 5.9 | 1,263 | 0.4 | 1,108 | 7.2 | 222 | 1.6 | 1,263 |
| Stopped sex | 6.8 | 6.5 | 10.2 | 203 | 1.7 | 169 | (10.3) | 38 | 3.4 | 203 |
| Began using condom | 58.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 136 | 24.3 | 61 | 71.8 | 93 | 60.0 | 136 |
| Used condom more | 64.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 82 | 43.2 | 33 | (83.3) | 54 | 72.2 | 82 |
| Restrict one partner | 9.6 | 9.8 | 13.6 | 3.427 | 2.1 | 2,986 | 16.3 | 537 | 4.4 | 3,427 |
| Fewer partners | 9.3 | 12.3 | 15.7 | 942 | 1.7 | 796 | 15.4 | 221 | 5.0 | 942 |
| Avoid sex with prostitute | e 9.8 | 13.2 | 15.1 | 270 | 2.1 | 225 | 9.7 | 63 | 4.0 | 270 |
| Total | 8.7 | 9.6 | 12.7 | 5.764 | 1.7 | 4,943 | 17.2 | 1,057 | 4.6 | 5,764 |

[^14]| Percent of men who have had sex in the past year who ever used condoms and percentage who used condoms during last sexual intercourse, according to perception of AIDS risk, background characteristics and changes in sexual behaviour, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever used condom |  |  |  | Used condom during last sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Use } \\ & \text { condom } \\ & \text { for FP } \end{aligned}$ |  | Either | Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ \text { sex with } \\ \text { spouse } \end{gathered}$ | Number | Last sex with other | Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ \text { sex with } \\ \text { any } \\ \text { partner } \end{gathered}$ | Number |
| AIDS not always fatal or don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small risk | 22.8 | 29.3 | 32.2 | 880 | 3.3 | 664 | 39.0 | 312 | 16.0 | 880 |
| Moderate risk | 24.5 | 38.0 | 39.4 | 171 | 5.1 | 125 | 29.5 | 93 | 18.0 | 171 |
| Great riskhas AIDS | 26.8 | 27.5 | 32.4 | 130 | 2.2 | 71 | 20.7 | 83 | 14.5 | 130 |
| Don't know/missing | 18.1 | 27.6 | 31.0 | 391 | 4.3 | 295 | 37.1 | 152 | 16.8 | 391 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 23.3 | 36.5 | 37.5 | 129 |  | 5 | 25.4 | 125 | 24.6 | 129 |
| 20-24 | 35.9 | 49.6 | 51.5 | 226 | 6.3 | 75 | 40.3 | 180 | 33.1 | 226 |
| 25-29 | 27.4 | 40.2 | 44.2 | 248 | 4.5 | 177 | 45.8 | 116 | 23.8 | 248 |
| 30-39 | 25.1 | 30.8 | 34.3 | 462 | 5.7 | 416 | 38.6 | 125 | 14.6 | 462 |
| 40-49 | 12.0 | 16.1 | 18.7 | 314 | 1.9 | 297 | 19.0 | 69 | 5.9 | 314 |
| 50-64 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 11.7 | 193 | 0.3 | 184 | 15.1 | 24 | 2.2 | 193 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently in union | 16.7 | 22.2 | 25.4 | 1,190 | 3.7 | 1,154 | 30.2 | 258 | 9.4 | 1,190 |
| Formerly in union | 54.0 | 61.0 | 64.4 | 61 | NA | 0 | 35.3 | 61 | 35.3 | 61 |
| Never Married | 36.4 | 51.9 | 53.9 | 319 | NA | 0 | 38.5 | 319 | 38.5 | 319 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 22.6 | 30.3 | 33.3 | 1,535 | 3.8 | 1,122 | 35.1 | 634 | 16.7 | 1,535 |
| Total urban | 36.1 | 44.7 | 49.1 | 360 | 7.8 | 241 | 47.1 | 168 | 26.5 | 360 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 43.7 | 53.4 | 57.3 | 130 | 11.2 | 73 | 54.9 | 77 | 37.9 | 130 |
| Other urban | 31.8 | 39.7 | 44.5 | 230 | 6.3 | 168 | 40.5 | 92 | 20.1 | 230 |
| Total rural | 18.5 | 25.9 | 28.5 | 1,175 | 2.7 | 881 | 30.8 | 466 | 13.7 | 1,175 |
| Zanzibar | 2.1 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 37 | 0.0 | 32 | * | 6 | 0.0 | 37 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 30.7 | 37.7 | 40.6 | 368 | 6.1 | 238 | 42.3 | 184 | 24.1 | 368 |
| Northern highlands | 25.4 | 30.9 | 34.9 | 180 | 4.1 | 125 | 39.2 | 66 | 17.3 | 180 |
| Lake | 12.1 | 24.6 | 26.6 | 549 | 0.0 | 417 | 29.1 | 216 | 11.4 | 549 |
| Central | 25.2 | 31.9 | 35.6 | 116 | 5.4 | 90 | 35.5 | 44 | 17.2 | 116 |
| Southem highlands | ${ }^{26.6}$ | 25.4 | 30.5 | 194 | 7.9 | 167 | (48.5) | 39 | 15.1 | 194 |
| Southern | 25.8 | 31.1 | 33.5 | 165 | 4.1 | 118 | 23.9 | 91 | 14.7 | 165 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.8 | 11.7 | 12.4 | 222 | 2.2 | 191 | 13.8 | 54 | 4.9 | 222 |
| Primary incomplete | 11.8 | 17.9 | 20.3 | 391 | 1.4 | 306 | 18.1 | 138 | 7.5 | 391 |
| Primary complete | 26.6 | 36.0 | 39.4 | 789 | 5.0 | 550 | 39.7 | 366 | 20.9 | 789 |
| Secondary + | 44.2 | 50.9 | 56.6 | 170 | 6.0 | 107 | 55.0 | 82 | 29.8 | 170 |
| Changes in sexual behaviourNo sexual behaviour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| change | 14.1 | 12.8 | 18.2 | 172 | 1.3 | 119 | 15.9 | 80 | 8.3 | 172 |
| Stopped sex | 13.5 | 18.1 | 19.4 | 99 | 3.2 | 77 | (13.5) | 43 | 7.6 | 99 |
| Began using condom | 60.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 167 | 10.2 | 68 | 69.3 | 142 | 61.7 | 167 |
| Used condom more | 83.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 78 | 49.2 | 29 | 82.2 | 64 | 79.1 | 78 |
| Restrict one partner | 18.6 | 22.7 | 26.1 | 858 | 3.8 | 706 | 30.7 | 236 | 11.0 | 858 |
| Fewer partners | 20.9 | 29.2 | 31.1 | 468 | 1.9 | 342 | 26.1 | 213 | 12.6 | 468 |
| Avoid sex with prostitute | te 12.8 | 23.9 | 26.1 | 349 | 0.0 | 272 | 24.7 | 143 | 10.1 | 349 |
| Total | 22.2 | 29.7 | 32.7 | 1,572 | 3.7 | 1,154 | 34.8 | 640 | 16.3 | 1,572 |
| Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 men; an asterisk indicates a figure is based on fewer than 25 men and has been suppressed. <br> $\mathrm{NA}=$ not applicable. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CHAPTER 12

## FEMALE CIRCUMCISION

Female circumcision, also known as female genital mutilation, is practiced in various parts of Tanzania, but little is known about its prevalence. The practice of female circumcision in the country is based mainly on cultural tradition.

In the 1996 TDHS, every female respondent was asked a series of questions on female circumcision. First, she was asked if she had been circumcised. If circumcised, she was asked the type of circumcision ${ }^{1}$, age at which the operation was performed, and who performed the operation. Whether a woman was circumcised or not, if she had a daughter, the same information was collected on the eldest living daughter.

### 12.1 Prevalence of Female Circumcision

Table 12.1 shows that 18 percent of women are circumcised. Younger women (age 15-19 years), women living in Zanzibar, and in urban areas on the mainland are less likely to be circumcised than other women. A higher proportion of circumcised women live in the Arusha ( 81 percent), Dodoma ( 68 percent), and Mara ( 44 percent) regions. Twenty to forty percent of circumcised women are found in the Kilimanjaro ( 37 percent), Iringa ( 27 percent), Singida and Tanga ( 25 percent), and Morogoro ( 20 percent) regions. In the rest of the regions, less than 5 percent of women are circumcised.

### 12.2 Type of Circumcision

Table 12.2 shows the percent distribution of circumcised women by the type of circumcision. Three types of female circumcision are presented in this table: clitoridectomy, excision, and infibulation. Clitoridectomy is the removal of the prepuce with or without excision of all or part of the clitoris. Excision is the removal of the prepuce with all or part of the labia minora. Infibulation is the most severe form of female circumcision. It involves removing not only the clitoris and adjacent tissues (labia minora), but the external labia as well. The raw edges of the wounds are then sewn together leaving only a tiny opening for urination and menstruation (WHO, 1996).

Among the circumcised women, 57 percent underwent clitoridectomy, 36 percent excision, and 5 percent infibulation. Sixty-five percent of urban women and 56 percent of rural women underwent clitoridectomy. Six percent of rural women and only 2 percent of urban women had infibulation. Nine out of 10 circumcised women in the Lake zone underwent clitoridectomy, in contrast to 1 out of 4 women in the Southern Highlands. Excision is more common among circumcised women in the Southem Highlands (70 percent), and infibulation accounts for 12 percent of all circumcised women in Central zone.

### 12.3 Age at Circumcision and Person who Performed Circumcision

Table 12.3 presents the percent distribution of all women who are circumcised, by age at circumcision. Nine percent of all women reported that they were circumcised before age six, 30 percent were between age $6-10,32$ percent were age 11-15 and 15 percent were age 16 years or older when they were circumcised.

[^15]Fourteen percent of women did not know at what age they were circumcised. Women from the Central zone are circumcised at a younger age than in any other zone.

In Africa, female circumcision is usually performed by traditional birth attendants, midwives, or elderly women in the locality who have experience but not necessarily any medical training (Rushwan, 1990). Table 12.4 shows that only about 4 percent of all circumcisions were performed by a doctor or trained nurse/midwife, 9 percent by a traditional midwife, and 74 percent by a circumcision practitioner. More than 80 percent of all circumcisions were performed by a traditional practitioner in the Coastal and Lake zones.

### 12.4 Female Circumcision Among Daughters

Female respondents who had one or more daughters at the time of the survey were asked whether their eldest daughter was circumcised, and if so, the age at which she was circumcised, and the person who performed the circumcision.

As Tablc 12.5 shows that about 7 percent of eldest daughters were reported to have been circumcised. Although the percentage of daughters circumcised is lower than the percentage of circumcised respondents, it does not necessarily indicate a decline in female circumcision because some daughters were still too young to be circumcised. Among the eldest daughters, 23 percent were circumcised when they were less than six years old, 36 percent were between six and 10 years old, and 34 percent were 11 years or older. Women from the Northern Highland and Central zones are most likely to have their daughter(s) circumcised. There is a negative relationship between mother's education and the likelihood a daughter will be circumcised (Table 12.5).

Among circumcised daughters, 78 percent were circumcised by a circumcision practitioner, 9 percent by a traditional midwife, and only 4 percent were eircumcised by a doctor or a trained nurse/midwife (Table 12.6).

Table 12.1 Prevalence of female circumcision
Percent of women circumcised, by background characteristics, Tanzania, 1996.

| Background <br> characteristic | Percent <br> circumcised | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |


| Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | 13.5 | 1,732 |
| 20-24 | 15.9 | 1,676 |
| 25-29 | 19.6 | 1,440 |
| 30-34 | 20.8 | 1,118 |
| 35-39 | 18.7 | 888 |
| 40-44 | 21.3 | 680 |
| 45-49 | 22.2 | 585 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Mainland | 18.4 | 7,881 |
| Total urban | 10.4 | 1,811 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 6.2 | 563 |
| Other urban | 12.4 | 1,248 |
| Total rural | 20.8 | 6,070 |
| Zanzibar | 0.5 | 239 |
| Pemba | 0.3 | 92 |
| Unguja | 0.6 | 148 |
| Zones |  |  |
| Coastal | 12.4 | 1,916 |
| Northern Highlands | 63.7 | 979 |
| Lake | 5.1 | 2,559 |
| Central | 49.0 | 638 |
| Southem Highlands | 11.3 | 1,181 |
| Southern | 1.8 | 847 |
| Regions |  |  |
| Dodoma | 67.9 | 355 |
| Arusha | 81.4 | 589 |
| Kilimanjaro | 36.9 | 390 |
| Tanga | 25.1 | 464 |
| Morogoro | 20.2 | 408 |
| Coast | 1.8 | 159 |
| Dar es Salaam | 5.4 | 646 |
| Lindi | 1.9 | 187 |
| Mtwara | 2.9 | 355 |
| Ruvuma | 0.4 | 305 |
| Iringa | 27.0 | 466 |
| Mbeya | 1.0 | 473 |
| Singida | 25.4 | 283 |
| Tabora | 1.5 | 225 |
| Rukwa | 1.4 | 242 |
| Kigoma | 0.0 | 351 |
| Shinyanga | 0.5 | 686 |
| Kagera | 1.1 | 467 |
| Mwanza | 1.3 | 573 |
| Mara | 43.7 | 257 |
| Total | 17.9 | 8,120 |

## Table 12.2 Tyoes of female circumcision

Percent distribution of circumcised women, by the type of circumcision, according to background characteristic, Tanzania, 1996.

| Background characteristic | Types of circumcision |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clitoridectomy | Excision | Infibulation | Other | Missing/ don't know |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 57.6 | 32.9 | 7.0 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 233 |
| 20-24 | 66.1 | 28.9 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 267 |
| 25-29 | 51.9 | 39.6 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 282 |
| 30-34 | 58.0 | 37.6 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 233 |
| 35-39 | 54.1 | 36.9 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 166 |
| 40-44 | 50.0 | 44.0 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 145 |
| 45-49 | 63.0 | 33.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 130 |
| Urban/Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 65.2 | 31.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 190 |
| Rural | 56.3 | 36.5 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,264 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 56.3 | 40.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 237 |
| Northern Highlands | 60.4 | 34.5 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 623 |
| Lake | 90.0 | 7.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Central | 53.8 | 32.5 | 12.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Southern Highlands | 23.9 | 70.2 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 134 |
| Total | 57.4 | 35.8 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,454 |

Note: Total includes 15 women in the Southern zone.

| Table 12.3 Age at circumcision |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of circumcised women, by age at circumcision, according to background characteristics, Tanzania, 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Age at circumcision |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
|  | 0-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | $16+$ | Missing/ don't know |  |  |
| Urban/Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 12.6 | 33.1 | 26.1 | 12.5 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 190 |
| Rural | 8.0 | 29.5 | 33.2 | 15.7 | 13.6 | 100.0 | 1,264 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 3.5 | 22.3 | 33.8 | 27.2 | 13.2 | 100.0 | 237 |
| Northem Highlands | 8.2 | 32.5 | 28.8 | 16.3 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 623 |
| Lake | 1.4 | 11.6 | 61.1 | 18.3 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Central | 17.8 | 43.3 | 20.9 | 1.4 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Southem Highlands | 6.8 | 19.8 | 42.8 | 18.8 | 11.7 | 100.0 | 134 |
| Total | 8.6 | 29.9 | 32.3 | 15.3 | 13.9 | 100.0 | 1,454 |

## Table 12.4 Person who performed the circumcision

Percent distribution of circumcised women, by person who performed the circumcision, according to background characteristics, Tanzania, 1996

| Background characteristic | Person who performed circumcision |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Trained nurse/ midwife | Traditional midwife | Circumcision practitioner | Other | Missing/ don't know |  |  |
| Urban/Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.9 | 4.4 | 9.9 | 70.1 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 190 |
| Rural | 2.5 | 0.6 | 8.4 | 74.8 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 1,264 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 0.0 | 1.1 | 9.1 | 82.7 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 237 |
| Northern Highlands | 5.2 | 1.7 | 9.9 | 71.9 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 623 |
| Lake | 0.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 91.4 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Central | 0.5 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 69.7 | 12.6 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Southern Highlands | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 64.6 | 14.3 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 134 |
| Total | 2.4 | 1.1 | 8.6 | 74.2 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 1,454 |

Note: Total includes 15 women in the Southern zone.

## Table 12.5 Age at circumcision: eldest daughter

Among women with at least one daughter, percent whose eldest daughter has been circumcised, and percent distribution of circumcised eldest daughters, by age at circumcision, according to background characteristics of the mother, Tanzania, 1996

| Background characteristics of mother | Percentage with eldest daughter circumcised | Number with daughter | Age at circumcision |  |  |  |  | Number of circumcised daughters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0-5 | 6-10 | $11+$ | Don't know/ missing | Total |  |
| Urban/Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.6 | 967 | , | * | * | * | 100.0 | 25 |
| Rural | 7.8 | 3,786 | 22.1 | 36.1 | 34.2 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 296 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 4.8 | 1,040 | (6.4) | (36.4) | (52.0) | (5.2) | 100.0 | 50 |
| Northern Highlands | 21.2 | 563 | 34.4 | 32.7 | 28.1 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 119 |
| Lake | 1.7 | 1,570 | (13.7) | (3.5) | (79.4) | (3.5) | 100.0 | 27 |
| Central | 22.4 | 398 | 27.6 | 50.0 | 12.4 | 10.0 | 100.0 | 89 |
| Southern Highlands | 4.3 | 683 | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 29 |
| Southern | 1.2 | 499 | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 6 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 10.7 | 1,669 | 17.5 | 34.9 | 40.8 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 178 |
| Primary incomplete | 9.1 | 879 | 19.0 | 40.2 | 37.8 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Primary complete + | 2.8 | 2,205 | 46.1 | 31.5 | 8.6 | 13.8 | 100.0 | 62 |
| Total | 6.7 | 4,753 | 23.4 | 35.6 | 33.8 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 321 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

## Table 12.6_Person who performed the circumcisioni eldest daughter

Percent distribution of circumcised eldest daughters by person who performed the circumcision according to background characteristics, Tanzania, 1996

| Background characteristics of mother | Doctor | Trained nurse/ midwife | Traditional midwife | Circumcision practitioner | Other | Don't know/ missing | Total | Number of circumcised daughters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban/Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ubban | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 25 |
| Rural | 2.3 | 1.0 | 8.3 | 78.8 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 296 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.7 | 0.6 | 9.5 | 77.1 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 178 |
| Primary incomplete | 1.2 | 1.2 | 9.8 | 82.5 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 80 |
| Primary complete + | 1.6 | 1.6 | 5.9 | 73.3 | 3.8 | 13.8 | 100.0 | 62 |
| Total | 2.7 | 0.9 | 8.9 | 77.7 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 321 |
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## SAMPLE DESIGN

The sample developed for the 1996 TDHS survey was a probabilistic sample selected in three stages. The selection of EAs was made in two stages: first, wards/branches and then enumeration areas within wards/branches were selected. Lists of all households were prepared for the selected EAs and, at the third sampling stage, households were selected from these lists. The 1996 TDHS survey was designed to sustain a variety of analyses at the various domains of interest. The survey was planned to provide estimates (based on the results of the Woman's Questionnaire) for the whole country, for urban and rural areas in the country, and groups of regions (zones). In addition, the sample will provide certain estimates for each of the 20 regions in the mainland and 2 subgroups in Zanzibar: Pemba and Unguja. The sample was designed to be selfweighted in each of the 20 regions on the mainland and each of the 2 subgroups in Zanzibar. In each region (subgroup), the sample of EAs was proportionally distributed accordingly to its urban and rural size. However, the sample for any major domain was also weighted. In most regions, one in every four households was selected for the men's survey, and in six regions (Dar es Salaam, Dodoma, Iringa, Kilimanjaro, Morogoro, and Shinyanga), men in every second household were selected for the interview. The sample of men was designed to provide estimates for the country as a whole and for urban and rural areas.

A total sample of 8,900 households were selected with the objective to have 9,000 completed interviews of women 15 to 49 years old. A total of 8,141 households were occupied and in 7,969 households, interviews were completed. In those households interviewed, 8,501 women 15 to 49 years old were identified and 8,120 were completed interviews.

The sample for the 1996 TDHS was selected from the same primary sampling units used in the 199192 TDHS. The sample frame for the 1991-92 survey was based on the list of enumeration areas from the 1988 Population Census; therefore, this census is also implicitly a frame for the 1996 TDHS. The list of census enumeration areas for the 1996 TDHS survey was stratified by each of the 20 regions (for the mainland) and within each region by urban and rural areas. In total, 357 EAs were selected, 95 in the urban area and 262 in the rural. Table A1 shows the sample distribution of EAs.

The absolute probability of selecting an EA (product of the probability of selecting a ward/branch and the conditional probability of selecting an EA within a ward/branch) can be expressed as:

$$
P_{1 i}=\left(a * M_{i}\right) /\left(\Sigma M_{i}\right)
$$

where
a $\quad=$ the number of designated EAs to be selected in the urban or rural areas in a particular region;
$M_{i} \quad=$ the number of households of the $i^{\text {th }}$ EA according to the 1988 population census,
$\Sigma \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}}=$ the total number of households in the urban/rural region according to the 1988
population census.

In each of the selected EAs, a complete household listing operation was carried out and households were selected so as to maintain a self-weighting sample with the urban and rural areas of each of the 20 regions on the mainland and each of the 2 subgroups in Zanzibar. However, the total 1996 TDHS sample is a weighted one, and it will require a final weighing adjustment procedure to provide national estimates.

The overall probability of household selection or the sampling fractions (f) is given by the formula: $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{P}_{1 \mathrm{i}}$ * $\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
where:
$c_{i}=\quad$ is the number of households selected (sample take); and
$\mathrm{Li}=\quad$ the total number of households, listed in the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ selected EA.

Accordingly, the sample take is calculated as:

$$
c_{i}=\left(f^{*} L_{i}\right) / P_{1 i}
$$

| Table A. 1 Sample allocation by enumeration areas |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | Expected number of completed interviews | Urban EAs | Rural EAs | Total EAs |
| Dodoma | 400 | 1 | 14 | 15 |
| Arusha | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Kilimanjaro | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Tanga | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Morogoro | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Coast | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Dar cs Salaam | 750 | 27 | 2 | 29 |
| Lindi | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Mtwara | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Ruvuma | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| lringa | 400 | 1 | 14 | 15 |
| Mbeya | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Singida | 400 | 1 | 14 | 15 |
| Tabora | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Rukwa | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Kigoma | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Shinyanga | 400 | 1 | 14 | 15 |
| Kagera | 400 | 1 | 14 | 15 |
| Mwanza | 400 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Mara | 400 | 1 | 14 | 15 |
| Zanzibar |  |  |  |  |
| Pemba | 350 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Unguja | 350 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| Total | 9000 | 95 | 262 | 357 |

## Table A.2.1 Sample Implementation: women

Percent distribution of households and eligible women in the DHS sample by result of the interview and household, eligible women and overall response rates, according to residence and zone, Tanzania 1996

| Interview results | Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mainland | Total urban | Dar es <br> Salaam city | Other urban | Total rural | Zanzibar | Pemba | Unguja | Coastal | Northern highlands | Lake | Central | Southern highlands | Southern | Total |
| Selected households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (C) | 89.7 | 85.3 | 83.3 | 86.4 | 91.1 | 88.1 | 82.9 | 93.6 | 86.3 | 87.7 | 91.8 | 89.5 | 91.1 | 93.1 | 89.5 |
| Household present but no competent respondent at home (HP) | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| Refused (R) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Dwelling not found (DNF) | 0.8 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Household absent (HA) | 3.3 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 9.6 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 3.1 |
| Dwelling vacant (DV) | 4.2 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 3.7 | 7.2 | 13.0 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3,8 | 3.7 | 4.5 |
| Dwelling destroyed (DD) | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Other (O) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 8,188 | 2.029 | 714 | 1,315 | 6,159 | 712 | 368 | 344 | 2,735 | 977 | 1,870 | 770 | 1,180 | 1,368 | 8,900 |
| Household response rate (HRR) | 98.0 | 96.0 | 95.2 | 96.4 | 98.6 | 96.6 | 95.9 | 97.3 | 96.7 | 99.3 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 97.6 | 99.0 | 97.9 |
| Eligible women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (EWC) | 95.6 | 95.5 | 93.5 | 96.6 | 95.7 | 94.3 | 94.6 | 94.0 | 94.3 | 95.2 | 95.3 | 95.8 | 97.0 | 97.1 | 95.5 |
| Not at Home (EWNH) | 2.8 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.8 |
| Refused (EWR) | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Partly completed (EWPC) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Incapacitated (EWI) | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| Other (EWO) | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 7,821 | 1,941 | 712 | 1,229 | 5,880 | 680 | 312 | 368 | 2,605 | 905 | 1,900 | 740 | 1,089 | 1,262 | 8,501 |
| Eligible woman response rate (EWRR) ${ }^{2}$ | 95.6 | 95.5 | 93.5 | 96.6 | 95.7 | 94.3 | 94.6 | 94.0 | 94.3 | 95.2 | 95.3 | 95.8 | 97.0 | 97.1 | 95.5 |
| Overall response rate (ORR) | 93.7 | 91.7 | 89.0 | 93.1 | 94.4 | 91.1 | 90.7 | 91.5 | 91.2 | 94.6 | 93.5 | 94.0 | 94.7 | 96.1 | 93.5 |
| Note: The household response rate is calculated for completed households as a proportion of completed, no competent respondent, refused, and dwelling not found. The eligible woman response rate is calculated for completed interviews as a proportion of completed, not at home, postponed, refused. partially completed, incapacitated and "other."The pverall response rate is the product of the household and woman response rates. <br> Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as: $\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{HP}^{\mathrm{P}}+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{DNF}}{ }^{* 100}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Using the number of eligible women falling into specific response categories, the eligible woman response rate (EWRR) is calculated as:EWC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ The overall response rate (ORR) is calculated as: $\mathrm{ORR}=(\mathrm{HRR} * \mathrm{EWRR}) \div 100$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table A. 2.2 Sample Implementation: men

Percent distribution of households and eligible men in the DHS sample by result of the interview and household, eligible men and overall response rates, according to residence and zone, Tanzania 1996

|  | Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interview results | Mainland | Total urban | Dares Salaam city | Other urban | Total rural | Zanzibar | Pemba | Unguja | Coastal | Northem highlands | Lake | Central | Southern highlands | Southern | Total |
| Selected households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household present but no competent respondent at home (HP) | 1.1 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| Refused (R) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Dwelling not found (DNF) | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Household absent (HA) | 3.8 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 10.4 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 3.7 |
| Dwelling vacant (DV) | 4.4 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
| Dwelling destroyed (DD) | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Other (0) | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 2,882 | 794 | 366 | 428 | 2,088 | 186 | 96 | 90 | 1.033 | 367 | 579 | 296 | 428 | 365 | 3,068 |
| Household response rate (HRR) ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 97.7 | 95.7 | 94.3 | 96.8 | 98.4 | 95.3 | 94.0 | 96.6 | 95.8 | 99.4 | 98.2 | 99.3 | 96.9 | 98.8 | 97.6 |
| Eligible men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (EMC) | 86.2 | 80.3 | 74.7 | 86.0 | 88.6 | 64.7 | 67.5 | 62.1 | 77.8 | 88.1 | 84.9 | 88.5 | 90.8 | 92.9 | 84.9 |
| Not at Home (EMNH) | 9.1 | 13.5 | 17.0 | 9.8 | 7.3 | 24.6 | 16.3 | 32.2 | 15.1 | 7.9 | 9.5 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 10.1 |
| Refused (EMR) | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Partly completed (EMPC) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incapacitated (EMD) | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.3 |
| Other (EMO) | 2.3 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 10.2 | 16.3 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 2.8 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 2,491 | 721 | 364 | 357 | 1,770 | 167 | 80 | 87 | 889 | 328 | 577 | 253 | 316 | 295 | 2,658 |
| Eligible man response rate (EMRR) ${ }^{2}$ | 86.2 | 80.3 | 74.7 | 86.0 | 88.6 | 64.7 | 67.5 | 62.1 | 77.8 | 88.1 | 84.9 | 88.5 | 90.8 | 92.9 | 84.9 |
| Overall response rate (ORR) ${ }^{3}$ | 84.3 | 76.8 | 70.5 | 83.2 | 87.3 | 61.6 | 63.5 | 59.9 | 74.6 | 87.6 | 83.4 | 87.9 | 88.0 | 91.8 | 82.8 |

Note: The household response rate is calculated for completed households as a proportion of completed, no competent respondent, refused, and dwelling not found. The eligible man response rate is calculated for completed interviews as a proportion of completed, not at home, postponed, refused, partially completed, incapacitated and "other. "The overall response rate is the product of the household and man response rates.
${ }^{1}$ Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{HP}+\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{DNF}}{ }^{100}
$$

${ }^{2}$ Using the number of eligible men falling into specific response categories, the eligible man response rate (EWRR) is calculated as:
EMC

$$
* 100
$$

$\mathrm{EMC}+\mathrm{EMNH}+\mathrm{EMR}+\mathrm{EMPC}+\mathrm{EMI}+\mathrm{EMO}$
${ }^{3}$ The overall response rate $(\mathrm{ORR})$ is calculated as: $\mathrm{ORR}=(\mathrm{HRR} * \mathrm{EMRR}) \div 100$
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## APPENDIX B

## ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS

The estimates from a sample survey are affected by two types of errors: nonsampling errors, and sampling errors. Nonsampling errors are the results of mistakes made in implementing data collection and data processing, such as failure to locate and interview the correct household, misunderstanding the questions on the part of either the interviewer or the respondent, and data entry errors. Although numerous efforts were made during the implementation of the 1996 TDHS to minimize this type of error, nonsampling errors are impossible to avoid and difficult to evaluate statistically.

Sampling errors, on the other hand, can be evaluated statistically. The sample of respondents selected in the TDHS is only one of many samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and expected size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between all possible samples. Although the degree of variability is not known exactly, it can be estimated from the survey results.

A sampling error is usually measured in terms of the standard error for a particular statistic (mean, percentage, etc.), which is the square root of the variance. The standard error can be used to calculate confidence intervals within which the true value for the population can reasonably be assumed to fall. For example, for any given statistic calculated from a sample survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error of that statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

If the sample of respondents had been selected as a simple random sample, straightforward formulae for calculating sampling errors could have been used. However, the TDHS sample is the result of a two-stage stratified design, and, consequently, it was necessary to use more complex formulae. The computer software that calculated sampling errors for the TDHS was the ISSA Sampling Error Module (SAMPERR). This module used the Taylor linearization method of variance estimation for survey estimates that are means or proportions. The Jackknife repeated replication method is used for variance estimation of more complex statistics such as fertility and mortality rates.

The Taylor linearization method treats any percentage or average as a ratio estimate, $r=y / x$, where $y$ represents the total sample value for variable $y$, and $x$ represents the total number of cases in the group or subgroup under consideration. The variance of $r$ is computed using the formula given below, with the standard error being the square root of the variance:

$$
\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1-f}{x^{2}} \sum_{h=1}^{H}\left[\frac{m_{h}}{m_{h}-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} z_{h i}^{2}-\frac{z_{h}^{2}}{m_{h}}\right)\right]
$$

in which

$$
z_{h i}=y_{h i}-r \cdot x_{h i}, \text { and } \quad z_{h}=y_{h}-r \cdot x_{h}
$$

where $h \quad$ represents the stratum which varies from 1 to $H$,
$m_{h} \quad$ is the total number of enumeration areas selected in the $h^{\text {dh }}$ stratum,
$y_{h i} \quad$ is the sum of the values of variable $y$ in EA I in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$x_{h i} \quad$ is the sum of the number of cases in EA I in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum, and
$f \quad$ is the overall sampling fraction, which is so small that it is ignored.
The Jackknife repeated replication method derives estimates of complex rates from each of several replications of the parent sample, and calculates standard errors for these estimates using simple formulae. Each replication considers all but one cluster in the calculation of the estimates. Pseudo-independent replications are thus created. In the TDHS, there were 357 non-empty clusters. Hence, 357 replications were created. The variance of a rate $r$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(r_{i}-r\right)^{2}
$$

in which

$$
r_{i}=k r-(k-1) r_{(i)}
$$

where $r$ is the estimate computed from the full sample of 357 clusters,
$r_{(l)}$ is the estimate computed from the reduced sample of 356 clusters ( $\mathrm{i}^{\text {lh }}$ cluster excluded), and $k \quad$ is the total number of clusters.

In addition to the standard error, SAMPERR computes the design effect (DEFT) for each estimate, which is defined as the ratio between the standard error using the given sample design and the standard error that would result if a simple random sample had been used. A DEFT value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a value greater than 1.0 indicates the increase in the sampling error due to the use of a more complex and less statistically efficient design. SAMPERR also computes the relative error and confidence limits for the estimates.

Sampling errors for the TDHS are calculated for selected variables considered to be of primary interest. Two sets of results, one for women and one for men, are presented in this appendix for the country as a whole, for urban and rural areas, for each of the six zones: Coastal, Northern Highlands, Lake, Central, Southern Highlands, and Southern, and six residential areas: Mainland, Zanzibar, Urban mainland, Rural mainland, Dar es Salaam urban, and rest of Urban mainland. For cach variable, the type of statistic (mean, proportion, or rate) and the base population are given in Table B.1. Tables B2 to B16 present the value of the statistic (R), its standard error (SE), the number of unweighted (N) and weighted (WN) cases, the design effect (DEFT), the relative standard error (SE/R), and the 95 percent confidence limits ( $\mathrm{R} \pm 2 \mathrm{SE}$ ), for each variable. The DEFT is considered undefined when the standard error considering simple random sample is zero (when the estimate is close to 0 or 1 ).

In general, the relative standard error for most estimates for the country as a whole is small, except for estimates of very small proportions. There are some differentials in the relative standard error for the estimates of sub-populations. For example, to estimate the proportion of Using Contraceptive to Currently married women age 15-49, the relative standard errors as a percent of the estimated mean for the whole country, for urban areas, and for rural areas are 3.9 percent, 6.8 percent, and 4.8 percent, respectively. The confidence interval (e.g., as calculated the proportion for Using Contraceptive to currently married women age 15-49)
can be interpreted as follows: the overall national sample proportion is 0.184 and its standard error is .007 . Therefore, to obtain the 95 percent confidence limits, one adds and subtracts twice the standard error to the sample estimate, ie. $0.184 \pm 2(.007)$. There is a high probability ( 95 percent) that the true average proportion of contraceptive use for currently married women age 15 to 49 is between 0.170 and 0.198 .

Table B.2.1 Sampling errors - National sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Un-weighted(N) (N) | Weighted (WN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design } \\ & \text { effect } \\ & \text { DEFT } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error SER | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban residence | 0.235 | 0.011 | 8120 | 8120 | 2.399 | 0.048 | 0.212 | 0.257 |
| No education | 0.285 | 0.008 | 8120 | 8120 | 1.530 | 0.027 | 0.270 | 0.301 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.054 | 0.005 | 8120 | 8120 | 1.953 | 0.090 | 0.045 | 0.064 |
| Never in union | 0.232 | 0.006 | 8120 | 8120 | 1.323 | 0.027 | 0.220 | 0.245 |
| Currently married | 0.666 | 0.007 | 8120 | 8120 | 1.366 | 0.011 | 0.652 | 0.681 |
| Married before 20 | 0.654 | 0.008 | 6391 | 6388 | 1.382 | 0.013 | 0.637 | 0.670 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.622 | 0.009 | 6391 | 6388 | 1.422 | 0.014 | 0.605 | 0.640 |
| Children ever born (15-49) | 3.087 | 0.041 | 8120 | 8120 | 1.255 | 0.013 | 3.004 | 3.169 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 6.970 | 0.092 | 1251 | 1265 | 1.109 | 0.013 | 6.786 | 7.153 |
| Children surviving | 2.584 | 0.036 | 8120 | 8120 | 1.306 | 0.014 | 2.511 | 2.657 |
| Knowing any method | 0.885 | 0.006 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.411 | 0.007 | 0.873 | 0.897 |
| Knowing any modem method | 0.877 | 0.006 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.410 | 0.007 | 0.865 | 0.890 |
| Ever use any method | 0.356 | 0.010 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.534 | 0.028 | 0.336 | 0.376 |
| Using any method | 0.184 | 0.007 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.355 | 0.039 | 0.170 | 0.199 |
| Using any modern method | 0.133 | 0.006 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.299 | 0.045 | 0.121 | 0.145 |
| Using pill | 0.055 | 0.004 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.129 | 0.064 | 0.048 | 0.062 |
| Using IUD | 0.006 | 0.001 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.126 | 0.200 | 0.004 | 0.008 |
| Using injectables | 0.045 | 0.003 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.053 | 0.066 | 0.039 | 0.050 |
| Using condom | 0.008 | 0.001 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.087 | 0.162 | 0.006 | 0.011 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.019 | 0.002 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.328 | 0.131 | 0.014 | 0.024 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.020 | 0.002 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.172 | 0.111 | 0.016 | 0.025 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.026 | 0.002 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.094 | 0.091 | 0.021 | 0.031 |
| Using public sector source | 0.742 | 0.018 | 1018 | 954 | 1.312 | 0.024 | 0.706 | 0.778 |
| Want no more children | 0.280 | 0.007 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.157 | 0.025 | 0.266 | 0.294 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.372 | 0.008 | 5404 | 5411 | 1.217 | 0.022 | 0.356 | 0.388 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.462 | 0.044 | 7474 | 7480 | 1.576 | 0.008 | 5.374 | 5.550 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.914 | 0.006 | 6789 | 6916 | 1.489 | 0.006 | 0.903 | 0.926 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.467 | 0.013 | 6789 | 6916 | 1.806 | 0.028 | 0.441 | 0.493 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.137 | 0.005 | 6080 | 6188 | 1.107 | 0.036 | 0.127 | 0.147 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.483 | 0.022 | 850 | 846 | 1.224 | 0.045 | 0.439 | 0.526 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.563 | 0.021 | 850 | 846 | 1.167 | 0.037 | 0.522 | 0.605 |
| Having health card | 0.766 | 0.013 | 1297 | 1335 | 1.139 | 0.017 | 0.739 | 0.792 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.962 | 0.007 | 1297 | 1335 | 1.311 | 0.007 | 0.948 | 0.976 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.852 | 0.011 | 1297 | 1335 | 1.087 | 0.012 | 0.831 | 0.874 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.796 | 0.012 | 1297 | 1335 | 1.099 | 0.015 | 0.772 | 0.820 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.809 | 0.014 | 1297 | 1335 | 1.250 | 0.017 | 0.781 | 0.836 |
| Fully immunised | 0.705 | 0.015 | 1297 | 1335 | 1.212 | 0.022 | 0.674 | 0.736 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.072 | 0.004 | 5226 | 5344 | 1.010 | 0.051 | 0.065 | 0.080 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.434 | 0.010 | 5226 | 5344 | 1.408 | 0.023 | 0.414 | 0.454 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2SD) | 0.306 | 0.008 | 5226 | 5344 | 1.206 | 0.026 | 0.290 | 0.322 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 5.818 | 0.133 | NA | 22758 | 1.604 | 0.023 | 5.551 | 6.084 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (5 years) | 31.741 | 2.715 | 6908 | 7039 | 1.215 | 0.086 | 26.311 | 37.172 |
| Infant mortality rate (5 years) | 87.471 | 4.689 | 6931 | 7065 | 1.279 | 0.054 | 78.093 | 96.850 |
| Child mortality rate (5 years) | 53.675 | 3.485 | 7026 | 7154 | 1.166 | 0.065 | 46.705 | 60.645 |
| Under-five mortality rate (5 years) | 136.452 | 5.841 | 7051 | 7182 | 1.302 | 0.043 | 124.769 | 148.134 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (5 years) | 55.730 | 3.696 | 6929 | 7062 | 1.244 | 0.066 | 48.337 | 63.123 |

[^16]Table B.2.2 Sampling errors - National sample: men, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Un-weighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SER | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.237 | 0.012 | 2256 | 2256 | 1.372 | 0.052 | 0.213 | 0.262 |
| No education | 0.135 | 0.009 | 2256 | 2256 | 1.238 | 0.066 | 0.117 | 0.153 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.098 | 0.008 | 2256 | 2256 | 1.253 | 0.080 | 0.083 | 0.114 |
| Never in union | 0.375 | 0.012 | 2256 | 2256 | 1.166 | 0.032 | 0.352 | 0.399 |
| Currently married | 0.571 | 0.012 | 2256 | 2256 | 1.151 | 0.021 | 0.547 | 0.595 |
| Knowing any method | 0.934 | 0.009 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.224 | 0.009 | 0.917 | 0.951 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.928 | 0.009 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.197 | 0.009 | 0.911 | 0.946 |
| Ever use any method | 0.487 | 0.017 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.183 | 0.034 | 0.454 | 0.520 |
| Using any method | 0.294 | 0.016 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.221 | 0.053 | 0.263 | 0.326 |
| Using any modem method | 0.158 | 0.012 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.164 | 0.075 | 0.134 | 0.182 |
| Using pill | 0.066 | 0.007 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.041 | 0.110 | 0.051 | 0.080 |
| Using IUD | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1268 | 1288 | 0.866 | 0.368 | 0.001 | 0.008 |
| Using injectables | 0.030 | 0.005 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.016 | 0.163 | 0.020 | 0.039 |
| Using condom | 0.046 | 0.007 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.167 | 0.150 | 0.032 | 0.059 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.012 | 0.004 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.175 | 0.296 | 0.005 | 0.020 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.092 | 0.011 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.360 | 0.120 | 0.070 | 0.114 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.037 | 0.007 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.253 | 0.180 | 0.024 | 0.050 |
| Want no more children | 0.194 | 0.013 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.145 | 0.065 | 0.169 | 0.220 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.412 | 0.015 | 1268 | 1288 | 1.091 | 0.037 | 0.382 | 0.442 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.882 | 0.104 | 2047 | 2091 | 1.338 | 0.018 | 5.674 | 6.091 |

Table B.3.1 Sampling errors - Urban sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | DesigneffectDEFT | Relative еттог SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 1.000 | 0.000 | 2088 | 1906 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.138 | 0.012 | 2088 | 1906 | 1.625 | 0.089 | 0.113 | 0.162 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.149 | 0.016 | 2088 | 1906 | 2.010 | 0.105 | 0.118 | 0.181 |
| Never in union | 0.294 | 0.016 | 2088 | 1906 | 1.585 | 0.054 | 0.262 | 0.325 |
| Currently married | 0.593 | 0.016 | 2088 | 1906 | 1.529 | 0.028 | 0.560 | 0.625 |
| Married before 20 | 0.573 | 0.016 | 1632 | 1492 | 1.309 | 0.028 | 0.541 | 0.605 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.552 | 0.019 | 1632 | 1492 | 1.532 | 0.034 | 0.514 | 0.590 |
| Children ever born (15-49) | 2.366 | 0.085 | 2088 | 1906 | 1.520 | 0.036 | 2.197 | 2.535 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 6.072 | 0.203 | 235 | 218 | 1.075 | 0.033 | 5.666 | 6.479 |
| Children surviving | 2.044 | 0.075 | 2088 | 1906 | 1.558 | 0.037 | 1.893 | 2.195 |
| Knowing any method | 0.976 | 0.005 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.215 | 0.005 | 0.965 | 0.986 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.975 | 0.006 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.241 | 0.006 | 0.964 | 0.986 |
| Ever use any method | 0.562 | 0.029 | 1245 | 1130 | 2.027 | 0.051 | 0.505 | 0.619 |
| Using any method | 0.327 | 0.022 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.669 | 0.068 | 0.282 | 0.371 |
| Using any modern method | 0.266 | 0.019 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.498 | 0.070 | 0.229 | 0.304 |
| Using pill | 0.102 | 0.010 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.198 | 0.101 | 0.081 | 0.122 |
| Using IUD | 0.014 | 0.004 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.077 | 0.253 | 0.007 | 0.022 |
| Using injectables | 0.095 | 0.009 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.139 | 0.100 | 0.076 | 0.114 |
| Using condom | 0.020 | 0.005 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.213 | 0.241 | 0.010 | 0.029 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.033 | 0.008 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.496 | 0.231 | 0.018 | 0.048 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.041 | 0.008 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.470 | 0.201 | 0.025 | 0.058 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.015 | 0.004 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.192 | 0.272 | 0.007 | 0.023 |
| Using public sector source | 0.682 | 0.031 | 463 | 437 | 1.432 | 0.046 | 0.619 | 0.744 |
| Want no more children | 0.290 | 0.014 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.102 | 0.049 | 0.262 | 0.319 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.335 | 0.017 | 1245 | 1130 | 1.265 | 0.050 | 0.302 | 0.369 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.491 | 0.077 | 1972 | 1798 | 1.767 | 0.017 | 4.337 | 4.646 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.959 | 0.007 | 1351 | 1235 | 1.142 | 0.007 | 0.945 | 0.972 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.801 | 0.024 | 1351 | 1235 | 1.862 | 0.030 | 0.753 | 0.850 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.123 | 0.012 | 1234 | 1132 | 1.245 | 0.096 | 0.099 | 0.147 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.543 | 0.055 | 154 | 139 | 1.318 | 0.101 | 0.434 | 0.653 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.678 | 0.042 | 154 | 139 | 1.095 | 0.062 | 0.594 | 0.763 |
| Having health card | 0.806 | 0.025 | 277 | 252 | 1.068 | 0.032 | 0.755 | 0.857 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.997 | 0.003 | 277 | 252 | 0.965 | 0.003 | 0.990 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.942 | 0.014 | 277 | 252 | 0.983 | 0.015 | 0.915 | 0.970 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.838 | 0.026 | 277 | 252 | 1.162 | 0.031 | 0.786 | 0.890 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.944 | 0.014 | 277 | 252 | 1.016 | 0.015 | 0.916 | 0.972 |
| Fully immunised | 0.804 | 0.026 | 277 | 252 | 1.068 | 0.032 | 0.753 | 0.855 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.077 | 0.010 | 1026 | 950 | 1.251 | 0.134 | 0.056 | 0.097 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.324 | 0.018 | 1026 | 950 | 1.162 | 0.054 | 0.289 | 0.360 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.196 | 0.013 | 1026 | 950 | 1.026 | 0.069 | 0.169 | 0.223 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.108 | 0.223 | NA | 5403 | 1.370 | 0.054 | 3.662 | 4.554 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 33.410 | 5.101 | 2570 | 2329 | 1.246 | 0.153 | 23.209 | 43.612 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 81.653 | 7.227 | 2573 | 2331 | 1.219 | 0.089 | 67.198 | 96.108 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 42.119 | 4.944 | 2587 | 2344 | 1.110 | 0.117 | 32.230 | 52.007 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 120.332 | 8.521 | 2591 | 2347 | 1.207 | 0.071 | 103.290 | 137.375 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 48.242 | 4.576 | 2572 | 2330 | 1.071 | 0.095 | 39.091 | 57.394 |

NA $=$ Not applicable.

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted <br> (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 1.000 | 0.000 | 616 | 535 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.068 | 0.012 | 616 | 535 | 1.167 | 0.174 | 0.045 | 0.092 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.219 | 0.027 | 616 | 535 | 1.604 | 0.122 | 0.166 | 0.273 |
| Never in union | 0.431 | 0.022 | 616 | 535 | 1.093 | 0.051 | 0.387 | 0.474 |
| Currently married | 0.504 | 0.024 | 616 | 535 | 1.196 | 0.048 | 0.456 | 0.552 |
| Knowing any method | 0.970 | 0.012 | 303 | 270 | 1.205 | 0.012 | 0.946 | 0.994 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.970 | 0.012 | 303 | 270 | 1.205 | 0.012 | 0.946 | 0.994 |
| Ever use any method | 0.631 | 0.037 | 303 | 270 | 1.323 | 0.058 | 0.557 | 0.704 |
| Using any method | 0.361 | 0.033 | 303 | 270 | 1.177 | 0.090 | 0.296 | 0.426 |
| Using any modern method | 0.263 | 0.030 | 303 | 270 | 1.203 | 0.116 | 0.202 | 0.324 |
| Using pill | 0.094 | 0.015 | 303 | 270 | 0.872 | 0.156 | 0.065 | 0.123 |
| Using IUD | 0.012 | 0.005 | 303 | 270 | 0.829 | 0.438 | 0.001 | 0.022 |
| Using injectables | 0.028 | 0.009 | 303 | 270 | 0.993 | 0.339 | 0.009 | 0.046 |
| Using condom | 0.109 | 0.022 | 303 | 270 | 1.246 | 0.205 | 0.064 | 0.154 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.018 | 0.008 | 303 | 270 | 1.075 | 0.451 | 0.002 | 0.035 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.071 | 0.014 | 303 | 270 | 0.977 | 0.204 | 0.042 | 0.100 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.022 | 0.013 | 303 | 270 | 1.570 | 0.608 | 0.000 | 0.048 |
| Want no more children | 0.243 | 0.027 | 303 | 270 | 1.104 | 0.112 | 0.188 | 0.297 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.349 | 0.029 | 303 | 270 | 1.071 | 0.084 | 0.290 | 0.408 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.818 | 0.156 | 559 | 495 | 1.448 | 0.032 | 4.507 | 5.130 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Confide | nce limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | (R) | (SE) | (N) | (WN) | DEFT | SE/R | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 6032 | 6214 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| No education | 0.331 | 0.009 | 6032 | 6214 | 1.539 | 0.028 | 0.312 | 0.349 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.025 | 0.004 | 6032 | 6214 | 2.021 | 0.162 | 0.017 | 0.033 |
| Never in union | 0.214 | 0.007 | 6032 | 6214 | 1.269 | 0.031 | 0.200 | 0.227 |
| Currently married | 0.689 | 0.008 | 6032 | 6214 | 1.338 | 0.012 | 0.673 | 0.705 |
| Married before 20 | 0.678 | 0.009 | 4759 | 4895 | 1.395 | 0.014 | 0.659 | 0.697 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.644 | 0.010 | 4759 | 4895 | 1.388 | 0.015 | 0.625 | 0.663 |
| Children ever born (15-49) | 3.308 | 0.048 | 6032 | 6214 | 1.227 | 0.015 | 3.211 | 3.404 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 7.156 | 0.101 | 1016 | 1048 | 1.114 | 0.014 | 6.954 | 7.358 |
| Children surviving | 2.750 | 0.043 | 6032 | 6214 | 1.291 | 0.016 | 2.664 | 2.835 |
| Knowing any method | 0.861 | 0.008 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.418 | 0.009 | 0.846 | 0.876 |
| Knowing any modem method | 0.852 | 0.008 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.412 | 0.009 | 0.836 | 0.867 |
| Ever use any method | 0.301 | 0.010 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.405 | 0.033 | 0.281 | 0.321 |
| Using any method | 0.147 | 0.007 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.293 | 0.048 | 0.133 | 0.161 |
| Using any modern method | 0.098 | 0.006 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.282 | 0.060 | 0.086 | 0.110 |
| Using pill | 0.043 | 0.003 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.099 | 0.081 | 0.036 | 0.050 |
| Using IUD | 0.004 | 0.001 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.182 | 0.304 | 0.001 | 0.006 |
| Using injectables | 0.031 | 0.003 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.043 | 0.090 | 0.026 | 0.037 |
| Using condom | 0.005 | 0.001 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.047 | 0.224 | 0.003 | 0.008 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.015 | 0.002 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.276 | 0.160 | 0.010 | 0.020 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.015 | 0.002 | 4159 | 4282 | 0.972 | 0.123 | 0.011 | 0.018 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.029 | 0.003 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.067 | 0.096 | 0.024 | 0.035 |
| Using public sector source | 0.794 | 0.019 | 555 | 517 | 1.095 | 0.024 | 0.756 | 0.831 |
| Want no more children | 0.277 | 0.008 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.165 | 0.029 | 0.261 | 0.293 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.382 | 0.009 | 4159 | 4282 | 1.193 | 0.024 | 0.364 | 0.400 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.769 | 0.051 | 5502 | 5682 | 1.538 | 0.009 | 5.668 | 5.871 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.905 | 0.007 | 5438 | 5681 | 1.510 | 0.008 | 0.891 | 0.919 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.394 | 0.014 | 5438 | 5681 | 1.841 | 0.037 | 0.365 | 0.423 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.140 | 0.005 | 4846 | 5056 | 1.073 | 0.039 | 0.129 | 0.151 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.471 | 0.024 | 696 | 707 | 1.220 | 0.051 | 0.422 | 0.519 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.541 | 0.024 | 696 | 707 | 1.179 | 0.044 | 0.493 | 0.588 |
| Having health card | 0.756 | 0.015 | 1020 | 1083 | 1.145 | 0.020 | 0.726 | 0.787 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.954 | 0.008 | 1020 | 1083 | 1.296 | 0.009 | 0.937 | 0.971 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.831 | 0.013 | 1020 | 1083 | 1.082 | 0.015 | 0.806 | 0.857 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.786 | 0.014 | 1020 | 1083 | 1.075 | 0.017 | 0.759 | 0.814 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.777 | 0.016 | 1020 | 1083 | 1.262 | 0.021 | 0.744 | 0.810 |
| Fully immunised | 0.682 | 0.018 | 1020 | 1083 | 1.218 | 0.026 | 0.646 | 0.717 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.072 | 0.004 | 4200 | 4394 | 0.952 | 0.054 | 0.064 | 0.079 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.458 | 0.011 | 4200 | 4394 | 1.430 | 0.025 | 0.435 | 0.481 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.330 | 0.009 | 4200 | 4394 | 1.205 | 0.028 | 0.312 | 0.349 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 6.344 | 0.143 | NA | 17354 | 1.570 | 0.023 | 6.057 | 6.631 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 36.958 | 2.563 | 10494 | 10912 | 1.288 | 0.069 | 31.833 | 42.083 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 96.794 | 4.860 | 10517 | 10934 | 1.510 | 0.050 | 87.073 | 106.514 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 58.926 | 3.176 | 10578 | 11006 | 1.123 | 0.054 | 52.574 | 65.277 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 150.016 | 5.941 | 10602 | 11029 | 1.486 | 0.040 | 138.134 | 161.897 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 59.836 | 3.542 | 10516 | 10933 | 1.385 | 0.059 | 52.751 | 66.920 |

Table B.4.2 Sampling errors - Rural sample: men, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1640 | 1721 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| No education | 0.156 | 0.011 | 1640 | 1721 | 1.238 | 0.071 | 0.133 | 0.178 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.061 | 0.006 | 1640 | 1721 | 1.059 | 0.103 | 0.048 | 0.073 |
| Never in union | 0.358 | 0.014 | 1640 | 1721 | 1.189 | 0.039 | 0.330 | 0.386 |
| Cucrently married | 0.592 | 0.014 | 1640 | 1721 | 1.141 | 0.023 | 0.564 | 0.619 |
| Knowing any method | 0.924 | 0.010 | 965 | 1018 | 1.208 | 0.011 | 0.904 | 0.945 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.917 | 0.010 | 965 | 1018 | 1.179 | 0.011 | 0.896 | 0.938 |
| Ever use any method | 0.449 | 0.019 | 965 | 1018 | 1.159 | 0.041 | 0.412 | 0.486 |
| Using any method | 0.277 | 0.018 | 965 | 1018 | 1.233 | 0.064 | 0.241 | 0.312 |
| Using any modern method | 0.130 | 0.013 | 965 | 1018 | 1.168 | 0.097 | 0.105 | 0.156 |
| Using pill | 0.058 | 0.008 | 965 | 1018 | 1.098 | 0.142 | 0.042 | 0.075 |
| Using IUD | 0.002 | 0.001 | 965 | 1018 | 0.939 | 0.616 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| Using injectables | 0.030 | 0.006 | 965 | 1018 | 1.016 | 0.185 | 0.019 | 0.041 |
| Using condom | 0.029 | 0.006 | 965 | 1018 | 1.201 | 0.224 | 0.016 | 0.042 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.011 | 0.004 | 965 | 1018 | 1.213 | 0.377 | 0.003 | 0.019 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.097 | 0.013 | 965 | 1018 | 1.406 | 0.138 | 0.071 | 0.124 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.041 | 0.008 | 965 | 1018 | 1.194 | 0.186 | 0.026 | 0.056 |
| Want no more children | 0.182 | 0.014 | 965 | 1018 | 1.153 | 0.079 | 0.153 | 0.210 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.429 | 0.017 | 965 | 1018 | 1.089 | 0.040 | 0.394 | 0.464 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.213 | 0.128 | 1488 | 1596 | 1.324 | 0.021 | 5.957 | 6.468 |

Table B.5.1 Sampling errors - Coastal zone sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standarderror(SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design } \\ & \text { effect } \\ & \text { DEFT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relative } \\ & \text { error } \\ & \text { SE/R } \end{aligned}$ | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban residence | 0.445 | 0.024 | 2457 | 1916 | 2.351 | 0.053 | 0.398 | 0.492 |
| No education | 0.228 | 0.013 | 2457 | 1916 | 1.570 | 0.058 | 0.201 | 0.254 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.101 | 0.010 | 2457 | 1916 | 1.609 | 0.097 | 0.081 | 0.120 |
| Never in union | 0.263 | 0.012 | 2457 | 1916 | 1.371 | 0.046 | 0.239 | 0.288 |
| Currently married | 0.627 | 0.013 | 2457 | 1916 | 1.322 | 0.021 | 0.601 | 0.653 |
| Married before 20 | 0.662 | 0.013 | 1903 | 1472 | 1.195 | 0.020 | 0.636 | 0.687 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.616 | 0.014 | 1903 | 1472 | 1.253 | 0.023 | 0.588 | 0.643 |
| Children ever born (15-49) | 2.739 | 0.051 | 2457 | 1916 | 0.890 | 0.019 | 2.638 | 2.840 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 6.526 | 0.195 | 331 | 261 | 1.186 | 0.030 | 6.137 | 6.916 |
| Children surviving | 2.265 | 0.043 | 2457 | 1916 | 0.911 | 0.019 | 2.179 | 2.351 |
| Knowing any method | 0.945 | 0.01 I | 1565 | 1202 | 1.929 | 0.012 | 0.923 | 0.967 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.943 | 0.011 | 1565 | 1202 | 1.919 | 0.012 | 0.920 | 0.965 |
| Ever use any method | 0.479 | 0.018 | 1565 | 1202 | 1.463 | 0.039 | 0.442 | 0.516 |
| Using any method | 0.263 | 0.016 | 1565 | 1202 | 1.400 | 0.059 | 0.232 | 0.295 |
| Using any modern method | 0.195 | 0.012 | 1565 | 1202 | 1.182 | 0.061 | 0.171 | 0.218 |
| Using pill | 0.080 | 0.008 | 1565 | 1202 | 1.118 | 0.096 | 0.065 | 0.096 |
| Using IUD | 0.009 | 0.002 | 1565 | 1202 | 0.973 | 0.257 | 0.004 | 0.014 |
| Using injectables | 0.068 | 0.006 | 1565 | 1202 | 0.977 | 0.091 | 0.056 | 0.081 |
| Using condom | 0.017 | 0.003 | 1565 | 1202 | 0.928 | 0.179 | 0.011 | 0.023 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.018 | 0.004 | 1565 | 1202 | 1.276 | 0.237 | 0.010 | 0.027 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.030 | 0.006 | 1565 | 1202 | 1.446 | 0.207 | 0.018 | 0.043 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.032 | 0.006 | 1565 | 1202 | 1.335 | 0.186 | 0.020 | 0.044 |
| Using public sector source | 0.712 | 0.026 | 390 | 317 | 1.113 | 0.036 | 0.660 | 0.763 |
| Want no more children | 0.260 | 0.015 | 1565 | 1202 | 1.338 | 0.057 | 0.231 | 0.290 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.366 | 0.017 | 1565 | 1202 | 1.381 | 0.046 | 0.333 | 0.400 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.052 | 0.080 | 2214 | 1703 | 1.566 | 0.016 | 4.892 | 5.212 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.936 | 0.007 | 1851 | 1396 | 1.099 | 0.008 | 0.921 | 0.950 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.556 | 0.024 | 1851 | 1396 | 1.674 | 0.043 | 0.508 | 0.604 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.123 | 0.009 | 1668 | 1244 | 1.086 | 0.075 | 0.105 | 0.142 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.498 | 0.026 | 214 | 154 | 0.701 | 0.052 | 0.446 | 0.549 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.558 | 0.028 | 214 | 154 | 0.742 | 0.049 | 0.503 | 0.613 |
| Having health card | 0.796 | 0.026 | 360 | 269 | 1.171 | 0.032 | 0.745 | 0.847 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.979 | 0.007 | 360 | 269 | 0.882 | 0.007 | 0.966 | 0.993 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.871 | 0.017 | 360 | 269 | 0.939 | 0.019 | 0.837 | 0.905 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.824 | 0.024 | 360 | 269 | 1.166 | 0.029 | 0.776 | 0.872 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.860 | 0.026 | 360 | 269 | 1.401 | 0.030 | 0.808 | 0.913 |
| Fully immunised | 0.744 | 0.031 | 360 | 269 | 1.294 | 0.041 | 0.683 | 0.805 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.070 | 0.007 | 1392 | 1052 | 0.942 | 0.096 | 0.057 | 0.083 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.450 | 0.016 | 1392 | 1052 | 1.117 | 0.035 | 0.419 | 0.482 |
| Weight-for-age (below-2 SD) | 0.295 | 0.014 | 1392 | 1052 | 1.051 | 0.046 | 0.268 | 0.322 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.929 | 0.231 | NA | 5359 | 1.404 | 0.047 | 4.466 | 5.391 |
| Neonatal mortality rate ( 10 years) | 40.745 | 4.934 | 3594 | 2717 | 1.338 | 0.121 | 30.876 | 50.614 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 101.262 | 8.188 | 3600 | 2721 | 1.442 | 0.081 | 84.886 | 117.638 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 60.951 | 5.775 | 3621 | 2743 | 1.244 | 0.095 | 49.402 | 72.501 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 156.041 | 9.858 | 3627 | 2748 | 1.434 | 0.063 | 136.325 | 175.757 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 60.517 | 5.152 | 3600 | 2721 | 1.175 | 0.085 | 50.212 | 70.821 |

Table B.5.2 Sampling errors - Coastal zone sample: men, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.473 | 0.030 | 692 | 508 | 1.566 | 0.063 | 0.414 | 0.533 |
| No education | 0.102 | 0.011 | 692 | 508 | 0.969 | 0.109 | 0.080 | 0.125 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.157 | 0.018 | 692 | 508 | 1.334 | 0.118 | 0.120 | 0.194 |
| Never in union | 0.404 | 0.022 | 692 | 508 | 1.154 | 0.053 | 0.361 | 0.447 |
| Currently married | 0.528 | 0.022 | 692 | 508 | 1.172 | 0.042 | 0.483 | 0.572 |
| Knowing any method | 0.938 | 0.014 | 362 | 268 | 1.100 | 0.015 | 0.910 | 0.966 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.938 | 0.014 | 362 | 268 | 1.100 | 0.015 | 0.910 | 0.966 |
| Ever use any method | 0.527 | 0.034 | 362 | 268 | 1.287 | 0.064 | 0.460 | 0.595 |
| Using any method | 0.319 | 0.030 | 362 | 268 | 1.235 | 0.095 | 0.258 | 0.379 |
| Using any modern method | 0.223 | 0.025 | 362 | 268 | 1.152 | 0.113 | 0.173 | 0.274 |
| Using pill | 0.091 | 0.016 | 362 | 268 | 1.045 | 0.174 | 0.059 | 0.122 |
| Using IUD | 0.010 | 0.005 | 362 | 268 | 0.911 | 0.489 | 0.000 | 0.019 |
| Using injectables | 0.035 | 0.010 | 362 | 268 | 1.024 | 0.283 | 0.015 | 0.055 |
| Using condom | 0.074 | 0.015 | 362 | 268 | 1.125 | 0.210 | 0.043 | 0.105 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.012 | 0.006 | 362 | 268 | 1.046 | 0.505 | 0.000 | 0.024 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.047 | 0.012 | 362 | 268 | 1.117 | 0.265 | 0.022 | 0.072 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.042 | 0.012 | 362 | 268 | 1.135 | 0.286 | 0.018 | 0.066 |
| Want no more children | 0.162 | 0.026 | 362 | 268 | 1.355 | 0.162 | 0.110 | 0.215 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.457 | 0.030 | 362 | 268 | 1.145 | 0.066 | 0.397 | 0.517 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.454 | 0.162 | 585 | 434 | 1.242 | 0.030 | 5.130 | 5.779 |


| Table B.6.1 Sampling errors - Northem Highlands zone sample: women, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Confide | nce limits |
| Variable | (R) | (SE) | (N) | (WN) | DEFT | SE/R | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.209 | 0.030 | 862 | 979 | 2.189 | 0.145 | 0.149 | 0.270 |
| No education | 0.259 | 0.016 | 862 | 979 | 1.102 | 0.064 | 0.226 | 0.292 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.072 | 0.014 | 862 | 979 | 1.649 | 0.202 | 0.043 | 0.101 |
| Never in union | 0.280 | 0.018 | 862 | 979 | 1.208 | 0.066 | 0.243 | 0.317 |
| Currently married | 0.638 | 0.020 | 862 | 979 | 1.247 | 0.032 | 0.597 | 0.679 |
| Marricd before 20 | 0.515 | 0.024 | 679 | 773 | 1.262 | 0.047 | 0.466 | 0.563 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.512 | 0.023 | 679 | 773 | 1.182 | 0.044 | 0.467 | 0.558 |
| Children ever born (15-49) | 2.852 | 0.112 | 862 | 979 | 1.194 | 0.039 | 2.627 | 3.076 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 6.648 | 0.239 | 124 | 135 | 1.043 | 0.036 | 6.169 | 7.127 |
| Children surviving | 2.598 | 0.095 | 862 | 979 | 1.117 | 0.037 | 2.407 | 2.789 |
| Knowing any method | 0.721 | 0.020 | 544 | 624 | 1.030 | 0.028 | 0.681 | 0.760 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.703 | 0.022 | 544 | 624 | 1.109 | 0.031 | 0.659 | 0.746 |
| Ever use any method | 0.483 | 0.027 | 544 | 624 | 1.244 | 0.055 | 0.429 | 0.536 |
| Using any method | 0.310 | 0.017 | 544 | 624 | 0.872 | 0.056 | 0.276 | 0.345 |
| Using any modern method | 0.199 | 0.018 | 544 | 624 | 1.071 | 0.092 | 0.162 | 0.235 |
| Using pill | 0.064 | 0.013 | 544 | 624 | 1.284 | 0.211 | 0.037 | 0.091 |
| Using IUD | 0.023 | 0.007 | 544 | 624 | 1.079 | 0.301 | 0.009 | 0.037 |
| Using injectables | 0.048 | 0.010 | 544 | 624 | 1.125 | 0.216 | 0.027 | 0.068 |
| Using condom | 0.016 | 0.008 | 544 | 624 | 1.466 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.031 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.048 | 0.009 | 544 | 624 | 1.030 | 0.196 | 0.029 | 0.067 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.036 | 0.008 | 544 | 624 | 1.052 | 0.234 | 0.019 | 0.053 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.071 | 0.008 | 544 | 624 | 0.720 | 0.112 | 0.055 | 0.087 |
| Using public sector source | 0.575 | 0.060 | 146 | 159 | 1.472 | 0.105 | 0.454 | 0.695 |
| Want no more children | 0.257 | 0.012 | 544 | 624 | 0.656 | 0.048 | 0.232 | 0.281 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.403 | 0.025 | 544 | 624 | 1.173 | 0.061 | 0.354 | 0.452 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.216 | 0.123 | 785 | 889 | 1.265 | 0.024 | 4.970 | 5.462 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.881 | 0.016 | 719 | 828 | 1.151 | 0.018 | 0.849 | 0.913 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.493 | 0.035 | 719 | 828 | 1.583 | 0.071 | 0.423 | 0.563 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.130 | 0.014 | 683 | 786 | 1.072 | 0.108 | 0.102 | 0.158 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.334 | 0.062 | 87 | 102 | 1.189 | 0.184 | 0.211 | 0.458 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.591 | 0.048 | 87 | 102 | 0.912 | 0.081 | 0.496 | 0.687 |
| Having health card | 0.676 | 0.034 | 123 | 141 | 0.798 | 0.050 | 0.609 | 0.743 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.946 | 0.021 | 123 | 141 | 1.038 | 0.022 | 0.905 | 0.988 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.902 | 0.018 | 123 | 141 | 0.678 | 0.020 | 0.866 | 0.938 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.827 | 0.025 | 123 | 141 | 0.746 | 0.031 | 0.777 | 0.878 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.877 | 0.030 | 123 | 141 | 1.014 | 0.034 | 0.817 | 0.937 |
| Fully immunised | 0.800 | 0.034 | 123 | 141 | 0.957 | 0.043 | 0.732 | 0.869 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.067 | 0.012 | 607 | 697 | 1.116 | 0.174 | 0.043 | 0.090 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.401 | 0.026 | 607 | 697 | 1.258 | 0.066 | 0.348 | 0.454 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.301 | 0.021 | 607 | 697 | 1.075 | 0.069 | 0.260 | 0.343 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 5.710 | 0.416 | NA | 2741 | 1.790 | 0.073 | 4.878 | 6.542 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 18.479 | 4.347 | 1357 | 1557 | 1.126 | 0.235 | 9.785 | 27.173 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 40.550 | 6.472 | 1358 | 1558 | 1.152 | 0.160 | 27.606 | 53.495 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 29.976 | 6.383 | 1361 | 1562 | 1.220 | 0.213 | 17.210 | 42.743 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 69.311 | 6.468 | 1363 | 1564 | 0.907 | 0.093 | 56.374 | 82.247 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 22.071 | 4.034 | 1357 | 1557 | 0.961 | 0.183 | 14.003 | 30.139 |
| NA $=$ Not applicable. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.6.2 Sampling errors - Northem Highlands zone sample: men, Tanzania 1996

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Confidence limits |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable |  | Standard <br> (R) <br> (SE) | Un- <br> weighted <br> (N) | Weighted <br> (WN) | Design <br> effect <br> DEFT | Relative <br> error <br> SE/R | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.213 | 0.035 | 289 | 275 | 1.442 | 0.163 | 0.144 | 0.283 |
| No education | 0.103 | 0.028 | 289 | 275 | 1.552 | 0.269 | 0.048 | 0.159 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.114 | 0.025 | 289 | 275 | 1.345 | 0.221 | 0.064 | 0.165 |
| Never in union | 0.405 | 0.031 | 289 | 275 | 1.070 | 0.076 | 0.343 | 0.467 |
| Currently married | 0.532 | 0.027 | 289 | 275 | 0.924 | 0.051 | 0.477 | 0.586 |
| Knowing any method | 0.812 | 0.044 | 145 | 146 | 1.344 | 0.054 | 0.725 | 0.900 |
| Knowing any modem method | 0.790 | 0.043 | 145 | 146 | 1.277 | 0.055 | 0.703 | 0.876 |
| Ever use any method | 0.492 | 0.054 | 145 | 146 | 1.288 | 0.109 | 0.385 | 0.600 |
| Using any method | 0.354 | 0.048 | 145 | 146 | 1.196 | 0.135 | 0.259 | 0.450 |
| Using any modern method | 0.177 | 0.038 | 145 | 146 | 1.182 | 0.212 | 0.102 | 0.252 |
| Using pill | 0.059 | 0.020 | 145 | 146 | 1.013 | 0.337 | 0.019 | 0.099 |
| Using IUD | 0.012 | 0.007 | 145 | 146 | 0.751 | 0.557 | 0.000 | 0.026 |
| Using injectables | 0.024 | 0.014 | 145 | 146 | 1.115 | 0.594 | 0.000 | 0.052 |
| Using condom | 0.051 | 0.012 | 145 | 146 | 0.659 | 0.238 | 0.027 | 0.075 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.031 | 0.017 | 145 | 146 | 1.208 | 0.562 | 0.000 | 0.066 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.075 | 0.027 | 145 | 146 | 1.237 | 0.363 | 0.020 | 0.129 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.094 | 0.033 | 145 | 146 | 1.373 | 0.355 | 0.027 | 0.161 |
| Want no more children | 0.265 | 0.047 | 145 | 146 | 1.276 | 0.177 | 0.172 | 0.359 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.372 | 0.045 | 145 | 146 | 1.120 | 0.121 | 0.282 | 0.462 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.279 | 0.579 | 261 | 244 | 1.650 | 0.092 | 5.120 | 7.437 |

Table B.7.1 Sampling errors - Lake zone sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standarderror(SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban residence | 0.130 | 0.017 | 1811 | 2559 | 2.141 | 0.130 | 0.096 | 0.164 |
| No education | 0.339 | 0.017 | 1811 | 2559 | 1.513 | 0.050 | 0.305 | 0.373 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.032 | 0.010 | 1811 | 2559 | 2.340 | 0.300 | 0.013 | 0.052 |
| Never in union | 0.205 | 0.011 | 1811 | 2559 | 1.110 | 0.051 | 0.184 | 0.226 |
| Currently married | 0.692 | 0.012 | 1811 | 2559 | 1.150 | 0.018 | 0.667 | 0.717 |
| Married before 20 | 0.688 | 0.016 | 1428 | 2021 | 1.285 | 0.023 | 0.656 | 0.719 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.649 | 0.019 | 1428 | 2021 | 1.515 | 0.030 | 0.610 | 0.687 |
| Children ever born (15-49) | 3.405 | 0.100 | 1811 | 2559 | 1.334 | 0.029 | 3.204 | 3.605 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 7.632 | 0.198 | 284 | 394 | 1.080 | 0.026 | 7.237 | 8.028 |
| Children surviving | 2.851 | 0.088 | 1811 | 2559 | 1.375 | 0.031 | 2.676 | 3.027 |
| Knowing any method | 0.870 | 0.014 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.449 | 0.016 | 0.842 | 0.897 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.864 | 0.014 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.423 | 0.016 | 0.837 | 0.892 |
| Ever use any method | 0.195 | 0.020 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.757 | 0.101 | 0.155 | 0.234 |
| Using any method | 0.099 | 0.013 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.535 | 0.131 | 0.073 | 0.124 |
| Using any modern method | 0.073 | 0.011 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.546 | 0.156 | 0.050 | 0.095 |
| Using pill | 0.027 | 0.006 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.215 | 0.208 | 0.016 | 0.038 |
| Using IUD | 0.002 | 0.001 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.045 | 0.744 | 0.000 | 0.004 |
| Using injectables | 0.029 | 0.006 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.164 | 0.191 | 0.018 | 0.040 |
| Using condom | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.028 | 0.580 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.012 | 0.005 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.462 | 0.368 | 0.003 | 0.022 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.019 | 0.004 | 1252 | 1771 | 0.928 | 0.188 | 0.012 | 0.026 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.005 | 0.002 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.027 | 0.414 | 0.001 | 0.009 |
| Using public sector source | 0.768 | 0.053 | 131 | 175 | 1.421 | 0.069 | 0.662 | 0.873 |
| Want no more children | 0.296 | 0.014 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.114 | 0.049 | 0.267 | 0.324 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.386 | 0.014 | 1252 | 1771 | 1.033 | 0.037 | 0.358 | 0.415 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.006 | 0.095 | 1700 | 2440 | 1.718 | 0.016 | 5.816 | 6.195 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.914 | 0.012 | 1800 | 2549 | 1.594 | 0.014 | 0.889 | 0.938 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.381 | 0.024 | 1800 | 2549 | 1.735 | 0.063 | 0.333 | 0.429 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.136 | 0.009 | 1605 | 2275 | 0.997 | 0.065 | 0.119 | 0.154 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.437 | 0.044 | 238 | 310 | 1.267 | 0.102 | 0.348 | 0.526 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.514 | 0.045 | 238 | 310 | 1.246 | 0.087 | 0.424 | 0.604 |
| Having health card | 0.762 | 0.025 | 384 | 543 | 1.138 | 0.033 | 0.711 | 0.812 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.952 | 0.014 | 384 | 543 | 1.252 | 0.014 | 0.924 | 0.979 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.806 | 0.021 | 384 | 543 | 1.014 | 0.025 | 0.765 | 0.847 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.755 | 0.020 | 384 | 543 | 0.930 | 0.027 | 0.714 | 0.796 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.741 | 0.026 | 384 | 543 | 1.134 | 0.035 | 0.689 | 0.793 |
| Fully immunised | 0.641 | 0.027 | 384 | 543 | 1.081 | 0.042 | 0.587 | 0.694 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.079 | 0.006 | 1384 | 1966 | 0.869 | 0.081 | 0.066 | 0.092 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.366 | 0.018 | 1384 | 1966 | 1.300 | 0.048 | 0.331 | 0.402 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.295 | 0.014 | 1384 | 1966 | 1.072 | 0.047 | 0.268 | 0.323 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 6.968 | 0.260 | NA | 7161 | 1.386 | 0.037 | 6.449 | 7.487 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 36.861 | 4.480 | 3355 | 4759 | 1.249 | 0.122 | 27.901 | 45.822 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 99.995 | 7.622 | 3364 | 4768 | 1.297 | 0.076 | 84.751 | 115.238 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 52.366 | 4.649 | 3373 | 4789 | 0.964 | 0.089 | 43.068 | 61.664 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 147.124 | 8.646 | 3383 | 4800 | 1.198 | 0.059 | 129.832 | 164.416 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 63.133 | 5.372 | 3363 | 4767 | 1.166 | 0.085 | 52.390 | 73.876 |

$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not applicable .

Table B.7.2 Sampling errors - Lake zone sample: men, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted ( N ) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relative } \\ \text { error } \\ \text { SE/R } \end{gathered}$ | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.126 | 0.021 | 490 | 757 | 1.421 | 0.169 | 0.083 | 0.169 |
| No education | 0.171 | 0.019 | 490 | 757 | 1.101 | 0.109 | 0.134 | 0.209 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.084 | 0.012 | 490 | 757 | 0.969 | 0.145 | 0.060 | 0.108 |
| Never in union | 0.382 | 0.026 | 490 | 757 | 1.198 | 0.069 | 0.329 | 0.434 |
| Currently married | 0.578 | 0.027 | 490 | 757 | 1.193 | 0.046 | 0.524 | 0.631 |
| Knowing any method | 0.929 | 0.016 | 282 | 438 | 1.045 | 0.017 | 0.897 | 0.961 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.925 | 0.017 | 282 | 438 | 1.056 | 0.018 | 0.891 | 0.958 |
| Ever use any method | 0.352 | 0.030 | 282 | 438 | 1.061 | 0.086 | 0.292 | 0.413 |
| Using any method | 0.222 | 0.029 | 282 | 438 | 1.178 | 0.132 | 0.164 | 0.280 |
| Using any modern method | 0.071 | 0.016 | 282 | 438 | 1.073 | 0.232 | 0.038 | 0.104 |
| Using pill | 0.032 | 0.013 | 282 | 438 | 1.218 | 0.397 | 0.007 | 0.058 |
| Using IUD | 0.003 | 0.003 | 282 | 438 | 0.902 | 1.013 | 0.000 | 0.009 |
| Using injectables | 0.012 | 0.006 | 282 | 438 | 0.920 | 0.491 | 0.000 | 0.024 |
| Using condom | 0.016 | 0.006 | 282 | 438 | 0.850 | 0.398 | 0.003 | 0.029 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.007 | 0.005 | 282 | 438 | 1.037 | 0.716 | 0.000 | 0.018 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.146 | 0.027 | 282 | 438 | 1.285 | 0.186 | 0.091 | 0.200 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.005 | 0.004 | 282 | 438 | 0.899 | 0.722 | 0.000 | 0.013 |
| Want no more children | 0.163 | 0.022 | 282 | 438 | 1.008 | 0.136 | 0.118 | 0.207 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.475 | 0.028 | 282 | 438 | 0.925 | 0.058 | 0.420 | 0.530 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.183 | 0.164 | 482 | 746 | 1.186 | 0.026 | 5.855 | 6.510 |

Table B.8.1 Sampling errors - Central zone sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design } \\ & \text { effect } \\ & \text { DEFT } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.139 | 0.060 | 709 | 638 | 4.616 | 0.432 | 0.019 | 0.259 |
| No education | 0.301 | 0.030 | 709 | 638 | 1.731 | 0.099 | 0.242 | 0.361 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.040 | 0.010 | 709 | 638 | 1.390 | 0.254 | 0.020 | 0.061 |
| Never in union | 0.201 | 0.017 | 709 | 638 | 1.114 | 0.084 | 0.167 | 0.234 |
| Currently married | 0.708 | 0.028 | 709 | 638 | 1.656 | 0.040 | 0.652 | 0.765 |
| Married before 20 | 0.641 | 0.030 | 569 | 513 | 1.473 | 0.046 | 0.581 | 0.700 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.604 | 0.029 | 569 | 513 | 1.390 | 0.047 | 0.547 | 0.661 |
| Children ever bom (15-49) | 3.407 | 0.102 | 709 | 638 | 0.905 | 0.030 | 3.202 | 3.612 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 6.900 | 0.289 | 130 | 119 | 1.134 | 0.042 | 6.322 | 7.478 |
| Children surviving | 2.854 | 0.078 | 709 | 638 | 0.814 | 0.027 | 2.698 | 3.010 |
| Knowing any method | 0.876 | 0.020 | 499 | 452 | 1.351 | 0.023 | 0.836 | 0.916 |
| Knowing any modem method | 0.863 | 0.022 | 499 | 452 | 1.435 | 0.026 | 0.819 | 0.907 |
| Ever use any method | 0.347 | 0.034 | 499 | 452 | 1.606 | 0.099 | 0.278 | 0.415 |
| Using any method | 0.149 | 0.021 | 499 | 452 | 1.312 | 0.141 | 0.107 | 0.190 |
| Using any modern method | 0.132 | 0.017 | 499 | 452 | 1.110 | 0.127 | 0.099 | 0.166 |
| Using pill | 0.059 | 0.011 | 499 | 452 | 1.008 | 0.181 | 0.037 | 0.080 |
| Using IUD | 0.007 | 0.005 | 499 | 452 | 1.353 | 0.746 | 0.000 | 0.016 |
| Using injectables | 0.057 | 0.009 | 499 | 452 | 0.854 | 0.156 | 0.039 | 0.074 |
| Using condom | 0.002 | 0.002 | 499 | 452 | 0.892 | 1.002 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.009 | 0.005 | 499 | 452 | 1.127 | 0.534 | 0.000 | 0.018 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.008 | 0.005 | 499 | 452 | 1.237 | 0.611 | 0.000 | 0.018 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.008 | 0.004 | 499 | 452 | 0.929 | 0.459 | 0.001 | 0.016 |
| Using public sector source | 0.900 | 0.043 | 87 | 77 | 1.335 | 0.048 | 0.814 | 0.987 |
| Want no more children | 0.305 | 0.021 | 499 | 452 | 1.041 | 0.070 | 0.262 | 0.348 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.375 | 0.020 | 499 | 452 | 0.909 | 0.053 | 0.335 | 0.414 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.449 | 0.120 | 646 | 568 | 1.217 | 0.022 | 5.210 | 5.689 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.897 | 0.022 | 636 | 570 | 1.387 | 0.024 | 0.854 | 0.940 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.425 | 0.040 | 636 | 570 | 1.743 | 0.093 | 0.346 | 0.504 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.164 | 0.018 | 560 | 496 | 1.153 | 0.113 | 0.127 | 0.201 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.712 | 0.039 | 94 | 81 | 0.813 | 0.055 | 0.633 | 0.790 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.708 | 0.042 | 94 | 81 | 0.874 | 0.060 | 0.623 | 0.792 |
| Having health card | 0.765 | 0.044 | 110 | 98 | 1.081 | 0.058 | 0.677 | 0.853 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.933 | 0.028 | 110 | 98 | 1.170 | 0.030 | 0.877 | 0.989 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.814 | 0.043 | 110 | 98 | 1.138 | 0.052 | 0.729 | 0.899 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.762 | 0.062 | 110 | 98 | 1.517 | 0.082 | 0.638 | 0.886 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.803 | 0.048 | 110 | 98 | 1.251 | 0.060 | 0.707 | 0.898 |
| Fully immunised | 0.695 | 0.064 | 110 | 98 | 1.435 | 0.092 | 0.567 | 0.822 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.075 | 0.010 | 472 | 415 | 0.767 | 0.128 | 0.056 | 0.095 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.434 | 0.031 | 472 | 415 | 1.271 | 0.071 | 0.373 | 0.496 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.314 | 0.024 | 472 | 415 | 1.117 | 0.077 | 0.265 | 0.362 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 6.101 | 0.472 | NA | 1796 | 1.567 | 0.077 | 5.156 | 7.046 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 39.836 | 6.141 | 1263 | 1126 | 0.985 | 0.154 | 27.553 | 52.118 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 98.101 | 13.935 | 1266 | 1129 | 1.405 | 0.142 | 70.232 | 125.970 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 60.555 | 10.744 | 1276 | 1138 | 1.260 | 0.177 | 39.066 | 82.044 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 152.715 | 20.834 | 1279 | 1140 | 1.713 | 0.136 | 111.047 | 194.384 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 58.265 | 13.316 | 1266 | 1129 | 1.703 | 0.229 | 31.633 | 84.897 |

[^17]Table B.8.2 Sampling errors - Central zone sample: men, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.123 | 0.042 | 224 | 176 | 1.905 | 0.340 | 0.040 | 0.207 |
| No education | 0.180 | 0.033 | 224 | 176 | 1.284 | 0.183 | 0.114 | 0.246 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.061 | 0.027 | 224 | 176 | 1.717 | 0.453 | 0.006 | 0.115 |
| Never in union | 0.379 | 0.028 | 224 | 176 | 0.851 | 0.073 | 0.324 | 0.434 |
| Currently married | 0.574 | 0.029 | 224 | 176 | 0.862 | 0.050 | 0.517 | 0.631 |
| Knowing any method | 0.957 | 0.020 | 127 | 101 | 1.108 | 0.021 | 0.917 | 0.997 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.947 | 0.022 | 127 | 101 | 1.104 | 0.023 | 0.903 | 0.991 |
| Ever use any method | 0.495 | 0.029 | 127 | 101 | 0.659 | 0.059 | 0.437 | 0.554 |
| Using any method | 0.242 | 0.019 | 127 | 101 | 0.487 | 0.077 | 0.205 | 0.279 |
| Using any modern method | 0.194 | 0.020 | 127 | 101 | 0.557 | 0.101 | 0.154 | 0.233 |
| Using pill | 0.058 | 0.018 | 127 | 101 | 0.842 | 0.302 | 0.023 | 0.093 |
| Using IUD | 0.000 | 0.000 | 127 | 101 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using injectables | 0.051 | 0.017 | 127 | 101 | 0.859 | 0.329 | 0.018 | 0.085 |
| Using condom | 0.074 | 0.026 | 127 | 101 | 1.124 | 0.353 | 0.022 | 0.127 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.009 | 0.009 | 127 | 101 | 1.099 | 1.001 | 0.000 | 0.028 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.032 | 0.012 | 127 | 101 | 0.790 | 0.384 | 0.008 | 0.057 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.000 | 0.000 | 127 | 101 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Want no more children | 0.304 | 0.045 | 127 | 101 | 1.093 | 0.147 | 0.215 | 0.394 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.288 | 0.043 | 127 | 101 | 1.066 | 0.149 | 0.202 | 0.374 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.920 | 0.284 | 200 | 159 | 0.984 | 0.048 | 5.352 | 6.489 |

$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not applicable.

Table B.9.1 Sampling errors - Southern Highlands zone sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.216 | 0.033 | 1056 | 1181 | 2.611 | 0.153 | 0.150 | 0.282 |
| No education | 0.302 | 0.020 | 1056 | 1181 | 1.397 | 0.065 | 0.262 | 0.341 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.042 | 0.017 | 1056 | 1181 | 2.700 | 0.396 | 0.009 | 0.076 |
| Never in union | 0.245 | 0.018 | 1056 | 1181 | 1.389 | 0.075 | 0.208 | 0.282 |
| Currently married | 0.666 | 0.021 | 1056 | 1181 | 1.459 | 0.032 | 0.624 | 0.708 |
| Married before 20 | 0.640 | 0.023 | 837 | 933 | 1.357 | 0.035 | 0.595 | 0.685 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.591 | 0.020 | 837 | 933 | 1.183 | 0.034 | 0.550 | 0.631 |
| Children ever bom (15-49) | 3.138 | 0.103 | 1056 | 1181 | 1.121 | 0.033 | 2.933 | 3.343 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 6.802 | 0.187 | 186 | 217 | 0.983 | 0.027 | 6.429 | 7.176 |
| Children surviving | 2.523 | 0.098 | 1056 | 1181 | 1.322 | 0.039 | 2.327 | 2.719 |
| Knowing any method | 0.919 | 0.013 | 713 | 786 | 1.289 | 0.014 | 0.893 | 0.945 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.912 | 0.013 | 713 | 786 | 1.248 | 0.015 | 0.886 | 0.939 |
| Ever use any method | 0.398 | 0.019 | 713 | 786 | 1.025 | 0.047 | 0.360 | 0.435 |
| Using any method | 0.178 | 0.018 | 713 | 786 | 1.260 | 0.102 | 0.142 | 0.214 |
| Using any modern method | 0.103 | 0.014 | 713 | 786 | 1.228 | 0.136 | 0.075 | 0.131 |
| Using pill | 0.052 | 0.008 | 713 | 786 | 1.009 | 0.161 | 0.036 | 0.069 |
| Using IUD | 0.000 | 0.000 | 713 | 786 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using injectables | 0.031 | 0.006 | 713 | 786 | 0.872 | 0.182 | 0.020 | 0.042 |
| Using condom | 0.004 | 0.003 | 713 | 786 | 1.063 | 0.606 | 0.000 | 0.010 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.015 | 0.007 | 713 | 786 | 1.512 | 0.456 | 0.001 | 0.029 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.011 | 0.005 | 713 | 786 | 1.287 | 0.461 | 0.001 | 0.021 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.057 | 0.010 | 713 | 786 | 1.200 | 0.183 | 0.036 | 0.078 |
| Using public sector source | 0.816 | 0.050 | 93 | 109 | 1.228 | 0.061 | 0.717 | 0.915 |
| Want no more children | 0.262 | 0.017 | 713 | 786 | 1.029 | 0.065 | 0.228 | 0.296 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.388 | 0.022 | 713 | 786 | 1.232 | 0.058 | 0.343 | 0.433 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.343 | 0.101 | 961 | 1071 | 1.382 | 0.019 | 5.141 | 5.545 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.915 | 0.013 | 890 | 959 | 1.351 | 0.015 | 0.888 | 0.942 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.464 | 0.037 | 890 | 959 | 1.860 | 0.079 | 0.391 | 0.538 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.171 | 0.015 | 780 | 847 | 1.098 | 0.090 | 0.140 | 0.202 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.495 | 0.048 | 139 | 145 | 1.049 | 0.096 | 0.400 | 0.591 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.545 | 0.046 | 139 | 145 | 1.021 | 0.085 | 0.452 | 0.638 |
| Having health card | 0.772 | 0.032 | 168 | 181 | 0.965 | 0.042 | 0.707 | 0.837 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.977 | 0.015 | 168 | 181 | 1.239 | 0.015 | 0.947 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.910 | 0.022 | 168 | 181 | 0.992 | 0.025 | 0.865 | 0.955 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.843 | 0.032 | 168 | 181 | 1.092 | 0.038 | 0.779 | 0.907 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.855 | 0.028 | 168 | 181 | 1.022 | 0.033 | 0.798 | 0.912 |
| Fully immunised | 0.742 | 0.035 | 168 | 181 | 1.002 | 0.047 | 0.672 | 0.812 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.071 | 0.011 | 685 | 742 | 1.078 | 0.154 | 0.049 | 0.093 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.542 | 0.029 | 685 | 742 | 1.439 | 0.053 | 0.485 | 0.599 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.331 | 0.028 | 685 | 742 | 1.421 | 0.084 | 0.275 | 0.387 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 5.416 | 0.267 | NA | 3299 | 1.403 | 0.049 | 4.881 | 5.951 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 41.498 | 5.161 | 1741 | 1875 | 1.001 | 0.124 | 31.175 | 51.821 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 101.530 | 10.265 | 1745 | 1880 | 1.303 | 0.101 | 81.000 | 122.060 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 71.665 | 8.793 | 1764 | 1900 | 1.076 | 0.123 | 54.080 | 89.251 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 165.919 | 14.482 | 1768 | 1905 | 1.368 | 0.087 | 136.955 | 194.883 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 60.032 | 7.834 | 1745 | 1880 | 1.249 | 0.131 | 44.364 | 75.701 |

Table B.9.2 Sampling errors - Southern Highlands zone sample: men, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SER | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.211 | 0.024 | 287 | 309 | 0.996 | 0.114 | 0.163 | 0.259 |
| No education | 0.124 | 0.020 | 287 | 309 | 1.034 | 0.162 | 0.084 | 0.164 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.094 | 0.025 | 287 | 309 | 1.424 | 0.261 | 0.045 | 0.144 |
| Never in union | 0.363 | 0.025 | 287 | 309 | 0.893 | 0.070 | 0.313 | 0.414 |
| Currently married | 0.602 | 0.028 | 287 | 309 | 0.957 | 0.046 | 0.547 | 0.658 |
| Knowing any method | 0.982 | 0.009 | 175 | 186 | 0.867 | 0.009 | 0.965 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.977 | 0.007 | 175 | 186 | 0.635 | 0.007 | 0.963 | 0.992 |
| Ever use any method | 0.640 | 0.051 | 175 | 186 | 1.400 | 0.080 | 0.538 | 0.742 |
| Using any method | 0.398 | 0.054 | 175 | 186 | 1.466 | 0.137 | 0.289 | 0.507 |
| Using any modern method | 0.178 | 0.048 | 175 | 186 | 1.653 | 0.269 | 0.082 | 0.274 |
| Using pill | 0.042 | 0.016 | 175 | 186 | 1.029 | 0.374 | 0.010 | 0.073 |
| Using IUD | 0.000 | 0.000 | 175 | 186 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using injectables | 0.049 | 0.020 | 175 | 186 | 1.221 | 0.406 | 0.009 | 0.089 |
| Using condom | 0.070 | 0.034 | 175 | 186 | 1.766 | 0.486 | 0.002 | 0.139 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.017 | 0.013 | 175 | 186 | 1.331 | 0.774 | 0.000 | 0.043 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.111 | 0.027 | 175 | 186 | 1.142 | 0.245 | 0.057 | 0.166 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.094 | 0.029 | 175 | 186 | 1.291 | 0.303 | 0.037 | 0.152 |
| Want no more children | 0.197 | 0.035 | 175 | 186 | 1.146 | 0.175 | 0.128 | 0.267 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.350 | 0.049 | 175 | 186 | 1.359 | 0.140 | 0.252 | 0.449 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.414 | 0.217 | 259 | 288 | 1.416 | 0.040 | 4.981 | 5.848 |

NA = Not applicable.

Table B.10.1 Sampling errors - Southern zone sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Standard } \\ & \text { error } \\ & \text { (SE) } \end{aligned}$ | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design } \\ & \text { effect } \\ & \text { DEFT } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error SER | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.203 | 0.024 | 1225 | 847 | 2.077 | 0.118 | 0.155 | 0.251 |
| No education | 0.249 | 0.019 | 1225 | 847 | 1.552 | 0.077 | 0.210 | 0.287 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.023 | 0.006 | 1225 | 847 | 1.312 | 0.243 | 0.012 | 0.034 |
| Never in union | 0.195 | 0.019 | 1225 | 847 | 1.648 | 0.096 | 0.158 | 0.232 |
| Currently married | 0.680 | 0.020 | 1225 | 847 | 1.517 | 0.030 | 0.640 | 0.721 |
| Married before 20 | 0.722 | 0.021 | 975 | 675 | 1.488 | 0.030 | 0.679 | 0.765 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.743 | 0.019 | 975 | 675 | 1.349 | 0.025 | 0.705 | 0.781 |
| Children ever bom (15-49) | 2.869 | 0.077 | 1225 | 847 | 0.985 | 0.027 | 2.716 | 3.023 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 6.553 | 0.166 | 196 | 139 | 0.814 | 0.025 | 6.220 | 6.886 |
| Children surviving | 2.365 | 0.067 | 1225 | 847 | 1.030 | 0.028 | 2.230 | 2.499 |
| Knowing any method | 0.944 | 0.008 | 831 | 577 | 0.972 | 0.008 | 0.929 | 0.960 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.935 | 0.008 | 831 | 577 | 0.908 | 0.008 | 0.920 | 0.951 |
| Ever use any method | 0.407 | 0.025 | 831 | 577 | 1.486 | 0.062 | 0.357 | 0.458 |
| Using any method | 0.183 | 0.018 | 831 | 577 | 1.347 | 0.099 | 0.147 | 0.219 |
| Using any modern method | 0.161 | 0.017 | 831 | 577 | 1.339 | 0.106 | 0.127 | 0.196 |
| Using pill | 0.082 | 0.011 | 831 | 577 | 1.126 | 0.131 | 0.060 | 0.103 |
| Using IUD | 0.001 | 0.001 | 831 | 577 | 0.918 | 0.998 | 0.000 | 0.003 |
| Using injectables | 0.049 | 0.008 | 831 | 577 | 1.068 | 0.164 | 0.033 | 0.065 |
| Using condom | 0.011 | 0.004 | 831 | 577 | 1.092 | 0.359 | 0.003 | 0.019 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.019 | 0.005 | 831 | 577 | 1.129 | 0.282 | 0.008 | 0.030 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.009 | 0.003 | 831 | 577 | 0.977 | 0.356 | 0.003 | 0.015 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.004 | 0.002 | 831 | 577 | 0.966 | 0.552 | 0.000 | 0.008 |
| Using public sector source | 0.843 | 0.035 | 171 | 116 | 1.265 | 0.042 | 0.773 | 0.914 |
| Want no more children | 0.302 | 0.021 | 831 | 577 | 1.308 | 0.069 | 0.261 | 0.344 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.282 | 0.022 | 831 | 577 | 1.404 | 0.078 | 0.238 | 0.326 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.123 | 0.082 | 1168 | 809 | 1.274 | 0.016 | 4.958 | 5.287 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.929 | 0.007 | 893 | 614 | 0.741 | 0.008 | 0.914 | 0.943 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.628 | 0.030 | 893 | 614 | 1.635 | 0.048 | 0.567 | 0.688 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.100 | 0.012 | 784 | 539 | 1.149 | 0.123 | 0.075 | 0.124 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.604 | 0.059 | 78 | 54 | 1.057 | 0.097 | 0.486 | 0.721 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.639 | 0.053 | 78 | 54 | 0.965 | 0.082 | 0.534 | 0.744 |
| Having health card | 0.818 | 0.040 | 152 | 104 | 1.222 | 0.048 | 0.739 | 0.897 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.994 | 0.006 | 152 | 104 | 0.972 | 0.006 | 0.981 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.915 | 0.027 | 152 | 104 | 1.134 | 0.030 | 0.860 | 0.970 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.841 | 0.038 | 152 | 104 | 1.224 | 0.045 | 0.766 | 0.916 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.861 | 0.035 | 152 | 104 | 1.223 | 0.040 | 0.791 | 0.930 |
| Fully immunised | 0.756 | 0.045 | 152 | 104 | 1.262 | 0.060 | 0.666 | 0.846 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.058 | 0.010 | 686 | 472 | 1.093 | 0.170 | 0.038 | 0.078 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.562 | 0.023 | 686 | 472 | 1.200 | 0.041 | 0.516 | 0.608 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.340 | 0.023 | 686 | 472 | 1.199 | 0.068 | 0.294 | 0.387 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 4.944 | 0.217 | NA | 2401 | 1.096 | 0.044 | 4.510 | 5.377 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 35.998 | 6.639 | 1754 | 1207 | 1.364 | 0.184 | 22.720 | 49.276 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 107.875 | 12.979 | 1757 | 1209 | 1.642 | 0.120 | 81.918 | 133.832 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 63.164 | 6.132 | 1770 | 1218 | 0.943 | 0.097 | 50.900 | 75.428 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 164.226 | 13.207 | 1773 | 1220 | 1.452 | 0.080 | 137.812 | 190.639 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 71.877 | 9.914 | 1757 | 1209 | 1.489 | 0.138 | 52.049 | 91.705 |

$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not applicable.


| Table B.11.1 Sampling errors - Mainland sample: women, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Confide | nce limits |
| Variable | (R) | (SE) | (N) | (WN) | DEFT | SE/R | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.230 | 0.012 | 7479 | 7881 | 2.379 | 0.050 | 0.207 | 0.253 |
| No education | 0.285 | 0.008 | 7479 | 7881 | 1.508 | 0.028 | 0.269 | 0.300 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.046 | 0.005 | 7479 | 7881 | 2.075 | 0.109 | 0.036 | 0.056 |
| Never in union | 0.233 | 0.006 | 7479 | 7881 | 1.303 | 0.027 | 0.220 | 0.245 |
| Currently married | 0.666 | 0.007 | 7479 | 7881 | 1.346 | 0.011 | 0.651 | 0.680 |
| Martied before 20 | 0.650 | 0.008 | 5880 | 6197 | 1.354 | 0.013 | 0.634 | 0.667 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.623 | 0.009 | 5880 | 6197 | 1.402 | 0.014 | 0.606 | 0.641 |
| Children ever bom (15-49) | 3.076 | 0.043 | 7479 | 7881 | 1.239 | 0.014 | 2.991 | 3.161 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 6.951 | 0.094 | 1161 | 1232 | 1.097 | 0.013 | 6.764 | 7.139 |
| Children surviving | 2.572 | 0.037 | 7479 | 7881 | 1.292 | 0.015 | 2.497 | 2.647 |
| Knowing any method | 0.883 | 0.006 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.383 | 0.007 | 0.870 | 0.895 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.875 | 0.006 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.382 | 0.007 | 0.862 | 0.888 |
| Ever use any method | 0.358 | 0.010 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.511 | 0.029 | 0.338 | 0.379 |
| Using any method | 0.186 | 0.007 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.333 | 0.040 | 0.171 | 0.201 |
| Using any modern method | 0.134 | 0.006 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.277 | 0.046 | 0.122 | 0.146 |
| Using pill | 0.055 | 0.004 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.110 | 0.065 | 0.048 | 0.062 |
| Using IUD | 0.006 | 0.001 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.101 | 0.202 | 0.004 | 0.008 |
| Using injectables | 0.045 | 0.003 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.030 | 0.067 | 0.039 | 0.051 |
| Using condom | 0.008 | 0.001 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.064 | 0.164 | 0.006 | 0.011 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.019 | 0.003 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.305 | 0.134 | 0.014 | 0.024 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.021 | 0.002 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.147 | 0.112 | 0.016 | 0.025 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.027 | 0.002 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.067 | 0.091 | 0.022 | 0.032 |
| Using public sector source | 0.739 | 0.018 | 969 | 935 | 1.300 | 0.025 | 0.702 | 0.775 |
| Want no more children | 0.279 | 0.007 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.132 | 0.026 | 0.265 | 0.294 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.371 | 0.008 | 4961 | 5245 | 1.200 | 0.022 | 0.354 | 0.387 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.416 | 0.044 | 6866 | 7253 | 1.563 | 0.008 | 5.327 | 5.504 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.914 | 0.006 | 6181 | 6693 | 1.462 | 0.007 | 0.902 | 0.926 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.471 | 0.013 | 6181 | 6693 | 1.784 | 0.028 | 0.444 | 0.498 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.135 | 0.005 | 5521 | 5983 | 1.094 | 0.038 | 0.125 | 0.146 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.486 | 0.023 | 755 | 811 | 1.216 | 0.047 | 0.440 | 0.531 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.565 | 0.022 | 755 | 811 | 1.155 | 0.038 | 0.522 | 0.608 |
| Having health card | 0.762 | 0.014 | 1179 | 1293 | 1.120 | 0.018 | 0.734 | 0.789 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.961 | 0.007 | 1179 | 1293 | 1.281 | 0.007 | 0.947 | 0.975 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.852 | 0.011 | 1179 | 1293 | 1.073 | 0.013 | 0.831 | 0.874 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.794 | 0.013 | 1179 | 1293 | 1.083 | 0.016 | 0.769 | 0.819 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.809 | 0.014 | 1179 | 1293 | 1.235 | 0.017 | 0.781 | 0.837 |
| Fully immunised | 0.703 | 0.016 | 1179 | 1293 | 1.196 | 0.022 | 0.672 | 0.735 |
| Weight-for-beight (below -2 SD) | 0.071 | 0.004 | 4776 | 5180 | 1.007 | 0.053 | 0.064 | 0.079 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.436 | 0.010 | 4776 | 5180 | 1.389 | 0.024 | 0.416 | 0.457 |
| Wcight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.305 | 0.008 | 4776 | 5180 | 1.193 | 0.027 | 0.289 | 0.322 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 5.813 | 0.137 | NA | 22082 | 1.582 | 0.024 | 5.539 | 6.087 |
| Neonatal mortality rate ( 10 years) | 36.390 | 2.360 | 11907 | 12817 | 1.271 | 0.065 | 31.670 | 41.111 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 94.746 | 4.343 | 11932 | 12841 | 1.462 | 0.046 | 86.060 | 103.433 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 56.618 | 2.867 | 12005 | 12926 | 1.118 | 0.051 | 50.885 | 62.351 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 146.000 | 5.329 | 12032 | 12952 | 1.448 | 0.037 | 135.342 | 156.658 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 58.356 | 3.127 | 11930 | 12839 | 1.337 | 0.054 | 52.102 | 64.610 |

Table B.11.2 Sampling errors - Mainland sample: men, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative ertor SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.233 | 0.013 | 2148 | 2187 | 1.374 | 0.054 | 0.208 | 0.258 |
| No education | 0.135 | 0.009 | 2148 | 2187 | 1.242 | 0.058 | 0.117 | 0.153 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.091 | 0.008 | 2148 | 2187 | 1.295 | 0.088 | 0.075 | 0.107 |
| Never in union | 0.373 | 0.012 | 2148 | 2187 | 1.165 | 0.033 | 0.349 | 0.398 |
| Currently married | 0.573 | 0.012 | 2148 | 2187 | 1.147 | 0.021 | 0.548 | 0.597 |
| Knowing any method | 0.932 | 0.009 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.216 | 0.009 | 0.915 | 0.950 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.927 | 0.009 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.189 | 0.010 | 0.909 | 0.945 |
| Ever use any method | 0.494 | 0.017 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.180 | 0.034 | 0.460 | 0.528 |
| Using any method | 0.296 | 0.016 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.220 | 0.054 | 0.264 | 0.328 |
| Using any modern method | 0.159 | 0.012 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.160 | 0.077 | 0.134 | 0.183 |
| Using pill | 0.065 | 0.007 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.036 | 0.113 | 0.051 | 0.080 |
| Using IUD | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1212 | 1253 | 0.858 | 0.368 | 0.001 | 0.008 |
| Using injectables | 0.030 | 0.005 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.015 | 0.167 | 0.020 | 0.039 |
| Using condom | 0.046 | 0.007 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.160 | 0.151 | 0.032 | 0.060 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.013 | 0.004 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.164 | 0.296 | 0.005 | 0.020 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.092 | 0.011 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.359 | 0.122 | 0.070 | 0.115 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.037 | 0.007 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.246 | 0.182 | 0.024 | 0.051 |
| Want no more children | 0.198 | 0.013 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.139 | 0.066 | 0.172 | 0.224 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.400 | 0.015 | 1212 | 1253 | 1.093 | 0.038 | 0.369 | 0.430 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.844 | 0.106 | 1974 | 2044 | 1.342 | 0.018 | 5.632 | 6.056 |

Table B.12.1 Sampling errors - Zanzibar sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design } \\ & \text { effect } \\ & \text { DEFT } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error SER | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.398 | 0.036 | 641 | 239 | 1.850 | 0.090 | 0.327 | 0.470 |
| No education | 0.309 | 0.021 | 641 | 239 | 1.155 | 0.068 | 0.267 | 0.351 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.332 | 0.019 | 641 | 239 | 1.041 | 0.058 | 0.293 | 0.371 |
| Never in union | 0.228 | 0.018 | 641 | 239 | 1.060 | 0.077 | 0.193 | 0.264 |
| Currently married | 0.694 | 0.016 | 641 | 239 | 0.894 | 0.023 | 0.661 | 0.727 |
| Married before 20 | 0.754 | 0.027 | 511 | 191 | 1.399 | 0.035 | 0.700 | 0.807 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.594 | 0.025 | 511 | 191 | 1.147 | 0.042 | 0.544 | 0.644 |
| Children ever bom (15-49) | 3.434 | 0.130 | 641 | 239 | 0.990 | 0.038 | 3.175 | 3.694 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 7.645 | 0.263 | 90 | 33 | 0.798 | 0.034 | 7.118 | 8.172 |
| Children surviving | 2.973 | 0.106 | 641 | 239 | 0.936 | 0.036 | 2.761 | 3.184 |
| Knowing any method | 0.957 | 0.006 | 443 | 166 | 0.663 | 0.007 | 0.944 | 0.970 |
| Knowing any modem method | 0.954 | 0.006 | 443 | 166 | 0.608 | 0.006 | 0.942 | 0.967 |
| Ever use any method | 0.274 | 0.019 | 443 | 166 | 0.886 | 0.068 | 0.237 | 0.312 |
| Using any method | 0.131 | 0.012 | 443 | 166 | 0.752 | 0.092 | 0.107 | 0.155 |
| Using any modern method | 0.108 | 0.015 | 443 | 166 | 1.014 | 0.139 | 0.078 | 0.138 |
| Using pill | 0.055 | 0.011 | 443 | 166 | 1.028 | 0.203 | 0.033 | 0.077 |
| Using IUD | 0.003 | 0.003 | 443 | 166 | 1.056 | 0.989 | 0.000 | 0.008 |
| Using injectables | 0.031 | 0.010 | 443 | 166 | 1.232 | 0.330 | 0.010 | 0.051 |
| Using condom | 0.004 | 0.003 | 443 | 166 | 1.029 | 0.733 | 0.000 | 0.011 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.015 | 0.005 | 443 | 166 | 0.940 | 0.360 | 0.004 | 0.026 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.011 | 0.005 | 443 | 166 | 1.049 | 0.463 | 0.001 | 0.022 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.006 | 0.004 | 443 | 166 | 0.972 | 0.580 | 0.000 | 0.014 |
| Using public sector source | 0.916 | 0.046 | 49 | 19 | 1.156 | 0.051 | 0.823 | 1.000 |
| Want no more children | 0.299 | 0.033 | 443 | 166 | 1.531 | 0.112 | 0.232 | 0.366 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.418 | 0.021 | 443 | 166 | 0.877 | 0.049 | 0.376 | 0.459 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.946 | 0.200 | 608 | 227 | 1.481 | 0.029 | 6.546 | 7.347 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.924 | 0.016 | 608 | 223 | 1.419 | 0.017 | 0.892 | 0.956 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.344 | 0.020 | 608 | 223 | 0.867 | 0.059 | 0.304 | 0.385 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.174 | 0.017 | 559 | 204 | 1.022 | 0.100 | 0.139 | 0.209 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.415 | 0.046 | 95 | 36 | 0.843 | 0.111 | 0.323 | 0.507 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.522 | 0.056 | 95 | 36 | 1.038 | 0.107 | 0.410 | 0.634 |
| Having health card | 0.889 | 0.039 | 118 | 42 | 1.296 | 0.043 | 0.811 | 0.966 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.993 | 0.007 | 118 | 42 | 0.885 | 0.007 | 0.978 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.851 | 0.027 | 118 | 42 | 0.803 | 0.032 | 0.797 | 0.905 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.851 | 0.024 | 118 | 42 | 0.725 | 0.029 | 0.802 | 0.900 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.789 | 0.032 | 118 | 42 | 0.830 | 0.041 | 0.725 | 0.853 |
| Fully immunised | 0.754 | 0.032 | 118 | 42 | 0.797 | 0.043 | 0.689 | 0.819 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.110 | 0.012 | 450 | 163 | 0.714 | 0.107 | 0.086 | 0.133 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.371 | 0.024 | 450 | 163 | 0.980 | 0.064 | 0.324 | 0.419 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.338 | 0.027 | 450 | 163 | 1.080 | 0.079 | 0.284 | 0.391 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 5.930 | 0.415 | NA | 676 | 1.342 | 0.070 | 5.099 | 6.760 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 34.598 | 5.681 | 1157 | 423 | 0.880 | 0.164 | 23.235 | 45.960 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 75.304 | 7.829 | 1158 | 424 | 0.926 | 0.104 | 59.646 | 90.963 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 34.773 | 4.839 | 1160 | 425 | 0.791 | 0.139 | 25.094 | 44.452 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 107.459 | 8.097 | 1161 | 425 | 0.835 | 0.075 | 91.264 | 123.654 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 40.706 | 6.706 | 1158 | 424 | 1.164 | 0.165 | 27.294 | 54.119 |

Table B.12.2 Sampling errors - Zanzibar sample: men, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted <br> (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 0.383 | 0.059 | 108 | 69 | 1.247 | 0.153 | 0.266 | 0.500 |
| No education | 0.134 | 0.022 | 108 | 69 | 0.669 | 0.164 | 0.090 | 0.179 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.321 | 0.029 | 108 | 69 | 0.637 | 0.089 | 0.264 | 0.379 |
| Never in union | 0.440 | 0.053 | 108 | 69 | 1.114 | 0.121 | 0.334 | 0.547 |
| Currently married | 0.508 | 0.058 | 108 | 69 | 1.199 | 0.114 | 0.392 | 0.624 |
| Knowing any method | 0.985 | 0.015 | 56 | 35 | 0.894 | 0.015 | 0.956 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.985 | 0.015 | 56 | 35 | 0.894 | 0.015 | 0.956 | 1.000 |
| Ever use any method | 0.254 | 0.047 | 56 | 35 | 0.797 | 0.184 | 0.161 | 0.348 |
| Using any method | 0.233 | 0.048 | 56 | 35 | 0.846 | 0.207 | 0.136 | 0.329 |
| Using any modern method | 0.138 | 0.049 | 56 | 35 | 1.063 | 0.358 | 0.039 | 0.237 |
| Using pill | 0.080 | 0.042 | 56 | 35 | 1.160 | 0.531 | 0.000 | 0.165 |
| Using IUD | 0.000 | 0.000 | 56 | 35 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using injectables | 0.036 | 0.024 | 56 | 35 | 0.939 | 0.651 | 0.000 | 0.084 |
| Using condom | 0.022 | 0.023 | 56 | 35 | 1.155 | 1.046 | 0.000 | 0.067 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.000 | 0.000 | 56 | 35 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.073 | 0.031 | 56 | 35 | 0.871 | 0.419 | 0.012 | 0.134 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.022 | 0.023 | 56 | 35 | 1.189 | 1.077 | 0.000 | 0.068 |
| Want no more children | 0.065 | 0.036 | 56 | 35 | 1.092 | 0.558 | 0.000 | 0.138 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.870 | 0.039 | 56 | 35 | 0.868 | 0.045 | 0.791 | 0.949 |
| Ideal number of children | 7.530 | 0.460 | 73 | 47 | 0.974 | 0.061 | 6.609 | 8.450 |

NA $=$ Not applicable.

Table B.13.1 Sampling errors - Mainland urban sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted ( N ) | Weighted (WN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design } \\ & \text { effect } \\ & \text { DEFT } \end{aligned}$ | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban residence | 1.000 | 0.000 | 1853 | 1811 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.135 | 0.013 | 1853 | 1811 | 1.614 | 0.095 | 0.109 | 0.161 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.131 | 0.016 | 1853 | 1811 | 2.082 | 0.125 | 0.098 | 0.163 |
| Never in union | 0.293 | 0.017 | 1853 | 1811 | 1.562 | 0.056 | 0.260 | 0.326 |
| Currently married | 0.592 | 0.017 | 1853 | 1811 | 1.510 | 0.029 | 0.558 | 0.627 |
| Married before 20 | 0.567 | 0.017 | 1449 | 1418 | 1.274 | 0.029 | 0.534 | 0.600 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.553 | 0.020 | 1449 | 1418 | 1.508 | 0.036 | 0.514 | 0.592 |
| Children ever born (15-49) | 2.343 | 0.088 | 1853 | 1811 | 1.507 | 0.038 | 2.167 | 2.519 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 6.074 | 0.212 | 208 | 207 | 1.053 | 0.035 | 5.651 | 6.497 |
| Children surviving | 2.019 | 0.079 | 1853 | 1811 | 1.556 | 0.039 | 1.861 | 2.176 |
| Knowing any method | 0.975 | 0.006 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.190 | 0.006 | 0.964 | 0.987 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.974 | 0.006 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.216 | 0.006 | 0.963 | 0.986 |
| Ever use any method | 0.574 | 0.030 | 1106 | 1073 | 2.023 | 0.052 | 0.514 | 0.635 |
| Using any method | 0.335 | 0.023 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.652 | 0.070 | 0.289 | 0.382 |
| Using any modem method | 0.273 | 0.020 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.478 | 0.072 | 0.234 | 0.313 |
| Using pill | 0.104 | 0.011 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.169 | 0.103 | 0.083 | 0.126 |
| Using IUD | 0.015 | 0.004 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.050 | 0.259 | 0.007 | 0.022 |
| Using injectables | 0.098 | 0.010 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.118 | 0.102 | 0.078 | 0.118 |
| Using condom | 0.021 | 0.005 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.182 | 0.245 | 0.010 | 0.031 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.033 | 0.008 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.466 | 0.238 | 0.017 | 0.049 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.042 | 0.009 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.436 | 0.206 | 0.025 | 0.060 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.016 | 0.004 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.161 | 0.277 | 0.007 | 0.024 |
| Using public sector source | 0.679 | 0.032 | 443 | 428 | 1.423 | 0.047 | 0.616 | 0.742 |
| Want no more children | 0.290 | 0.015 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.062 | 0.050 | 0.261 | 0.319 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.333 | 0.018 | 1106 | 1073 | 1.243 | 0.053 | 0.298 | 0.369 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.403 | 0.079 | 1748 | 1707 | 1.802 | 0.018 | 4.244 | 4.561 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.960 | 0.007 | 1175 | 1165 | 1.145 | 0.008 | 0.945 | 0.974 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.817 | 0.025 | 1175 | 1165 | 1.913 | 0.031 | 0.766 | 0.868 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.119 | 0.012 | 1070 | 1066 | 1.240 | 0.104 | 0.094 | 0.144 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.550 | 0.060 | 123 | 127 | 1.346 | 0.110 | 0.429 | 0.671 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.700 | 0.046 | 123 | 127 | 1.128 | 0.066 | 0.608 | 0.793 |
| Having health card | 0.801 | 0.027 | 242 | 238 | 1.047 | 0.034 | 0.747 | 0.855 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.996 | 0.004 | 242 | 238 | 0.933 | 0.004 | 0.989 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.946 | 0.014 | 242 | 238 | 0.966 | 0.015 | 0.918 | 0.974 |
| Received polio vaccination ( 3 doses) | 0.837 | 0.027 | 242 | 238 | 1.146 | 0.033 | 0.782 | 0.891 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.951 | 0.014 | 242 | 238 | 1.016 | 0.015 | 0.923 | 0.979 |
| Fully immunised | 0.806 | 0.027 | 242 | 238 | 1.056 | 0.033 | 0.752 | 0.859 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.076 | 0.011 | 896 | 898 | 1.247 | 0.142 | 0.055 | 0.098 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.329 | 0.018 | 896 | 898 | 1.140 | 0.056 | 0.292 | 0.366 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.195 | 0.014 | 896 | 898 | 1.008 | 0.072 | 0.167 | 0.223 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.094 | 0.235 | NA | 5134 | 1.357 | 0.057 | 3.625 | 4.564 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 33.541 | 5.405 | 2228 | 2192 | 1.237 | 0.161 | 22.731 | 44.350 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 83.119 | 7.655 | 2231 | 2194 | 1.199 | 0.092 | 67.809 | 98.430 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 42.580 | 5.246 | 2244 | 2206 | 1.103 | 0.123 | 32.089 | 53.071 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 122.160 | 9.063 | 2248 | 2210 | 1.195 | 0.074 | 104.034 | 140.286 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 49.579 | 4.831 | 2230 | 2193 | 1.046 | 0.097 | 39.916 | 59.241 |
| NA $=$ Not applicable. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.13.2 Sampling errors - Mainland urban sample: men, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted ( N ) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 1.000 | 0.000 | 579 | 509 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.068 | 0.012 | 579 | 509 | 1.186 | 0.182 | 0.044 | 0.093 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.208 | 0.028 | 579 | 509 | 1.658 | 0.135 | 0.152 | 0.264 |
| Never in union | 0.425 | 0.022 | 579 | 509 | 1.091 | 0.053 | 0.380 | 0.470 |
| Currently married | 0.512 | 0.025 | 579 | 509 | 1.194 | 0.049 | 0.462 | 0.562 |
| Knowing any method | 0.969 | 0.012 | 290 | 260 | 1.199 | 0.013 | 0.944 | 0.993 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.969 | 0.012 | 290 | 260 | 1.199 | 0.013 | 0.944 | 0.993 |
| Ever use any method | 0.641 | 0.037 | 290 | 260 | 1.329 | 0.058 | 0.566 | 0.716 |
| Using any method | 0.362 | 0.033 | 290 | 260 | 1.179 | 0.092 | 0.295 | 0.429 |
| Using any modern method | 0.267 | 0.031 | 290 | 260 | 1.193 | 0.116 | 0.205 | 0.329 |
| Using pill | 0.095 | 0.015 | 290 | 260 | 0.862 | 0.157 | 0.065 | 0.124 |
| Using IUD | 0.012 | 0.005 | 290 | 260 | 0.824 | 0.438 | 0.002 | 0.023 |
| Using injectables | 0.029 | 0.010 | 290 | 260 | 0.988 | 0.339 | 0.009 | 0.048 |
| Using condom | 0.110 | 0.023 | 290 | 260 | 1.243 | 0.208 | 0.064 | 0.156 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.019 | 0.009 | 290 | 260 | 1.067 | 0.450 | 0.002 | 0.036 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.067 | 0.015 | 290 | 260 | 0.988 | 0.216 | 0.038 | 0.097 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.022 | 0.014 | 290 | 260 | 1.563 | 0.608 | 0.000 | 0.050 |
| Want no more children | 0.246 | 0.028 | 290 | 260 | 1.097 | 0.113 | 0.190 | 0.301 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.335 | 0.030 | 290 | 260 | 1.073 | 0.089 | 0.275 | 0.395 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.766 | 0.156 | 534 | 477 | 1.425 | 0.033 | 4.454 | 5.078 |

Table B.14.1 Sampling errors - Mainland rural sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted ( N ) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban residence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5626 | 6070 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| No education | 0.329 | 0.010 | 5626 | 6070 | 1.519 | 0.029 | 0.310 | 0.348 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.021 | 0.004 | 5626 | 6070 | 2.189 | 0.201 | 0.012 | 0.029 |
| Never in union | 0.214 | 0.007 | 5626 | 6070 | 1.250 | 0.032 | 0.201 | 0.228 |
| Currently married | 0.687 | 0.008 | 5626 | 6070 | 1.318 | 0.012 | 0.671 | 0.704 |
| Married before 20 | 0.675 | 0.010 | 4431 | 4779 | 1.368 | 0.014 | 0.656 | 0.694 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.644 | 0.010 | 4431 | 4779 | 1.368 | 0.015 | 0.625 | 0.664 |
| Children ever born (15-49) | 3.295 | 0.049 | 5626 | 6070 | 1.214 | 0.015 | 3.196 | 3.394 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 7.128 | 0.103 | 953 | 1026 | 1.102 | 0.014 | 6.922 | 7.335 |
| Children surviving | 2.737 | 0.044 | 5626 | 6070 | 1.277 | 0.016 | 2.650 | 2.825 |
| Knowing any method | 0.859 | 0.008 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.392 | 0.009 | 0.843 | 0.874 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.849 | 0.008 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.387 | 0.009 | 0.834 | 0.865 |
| Ever use any method | 0.303 | 0.010 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.385 | 0.034 | 0.282 | 0.323 |
| Using any method | 0.148 | 0.007 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.273 | 0.049 | 0.133 | 0.162 |
| Using any modern method | 0.098 | 0.006 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.263 | 0.062 | 0.086 | 0.110 |
| Using pill | 0.043 | 0.004 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.086 | 0.083 | 0.035 | 0.050 |
| Using IUD | 0.004 | 0.001 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.153 | 0.304 | 0.001 | 0.006 |
| Using injectables | 0.031 | 0.003 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.021 | 0.091 | 0.026 | 0.037 |
| Using condom | 0.005 | 0.001 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.027 | 0.227 | 0.003 | 0.008 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.015 | 0.002 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.256 | 0.164 | 0.010 | 0.020 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.015 | 0.002 | 3855 | 4172 | 0.951 | 0.124 | 0.011 | 0.019 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.030 | 0.003 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.043 | 0.096 | 0.024 | 0.035 |
| Using public sector source | 0.789 | 0.019 | 526 | 506 | 1.083 | 0.024 | 0.751 | 0.828 |
| Want no more children | 0.276 | 0.008 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.143 | 0.030 | 0.260 | 0.293 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.380 | 0.009 | 3855 | 4172 | 1.178 | 0.024 | 0.362 | 0.399 |
| Ideal number of children | 5.727 | 0.051 | 5118 | 5545 | 1.525 | 0.009 | 5.625 | 5.830 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.904 | 0.007 | 5006 | 5529 | 1.484 | 0.008 | 0.890 | 0.919 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.398 | 0.015 | 5006 | 5529 | 1.818 | 0.037 | 0.369 | 0.428 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.139 | 0.006 | 4451 | 4917 | 1.061 | 0.040 | 0.128 | 0.150 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.474 | 0.025 | 632 | 684 | 1.208 | 0.053 | 0.424 | 0.524 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.540 | 0.024 | 632 | 684 | 1.165 | 0.045 | 0.492 | 0.588 |
| Having health card | 0.753 | 0.016 | 937 | 1055 | 1.127 | 0.021 | 0.721 | 0.784 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.953 | 0.009 | 937 | 1055 | 1.271 | 0.009 | 0.936 | 0.970 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.831 | 0.013 | 937 | 1055 | 1.069 | 0.015 | 0.806 | 0.857 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.785 | 0.014 | 937 | 1055 | 1.061 | 0.018 | 0.756 | 0.813 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.777 | 0.017 | 937 | 1055 | 1.248 | 0.022 | 0.744 | 0.811 |
| Fully immunised | 0.680 | 0.018 | 937 | 1055 | 1.202 | 0.027 | 0.644 | 0.717 |
| Weight-for-height (below-2 SD) | 0.070 | 0.004 | 3880 | 4282 | 0.951 | 0.056 | 0.062 | 0.078 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.459 | 0.012 | 3880 | 4282 | 1.412 | 0.026 | 0.435 | 0.482 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.329 | 0.009 | 3880 | 4282 | 1.191 | 0.028 | 0.310 | 0.347 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 6.330 | 0.146 | NA | 16948 | 1.549 | 0.023 | 6.037 | 6.623 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 36.979 | 2.624 | 9679 | 10625 | 1.275 | 0.071 | 31.731 | 42.227 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 97.149 | 4.984 | 9701 | 10647 | 1.489 | 0.051 | 87.182 | 107.116 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 59.588 | 3.256 | 9761 | 10719 | 1.104 | 0.055 | 53.076 | 66.101 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 150.948 | 6.089 | 9784 | 10742 | 1.464 | 0.040 | 138.769 | 163.127 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 60.170 | 3.629 | 9700 | 10646 | 1.362 | 0.060 | 52.912 | 67.428 |
| NA = Not applicable. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Confiden | ce limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | (R) | (SE) | (N) | (WN) | DEFT | SE/R | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban residence | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1569 | 1678 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| No education | 0.155 | 0.011 | 1569 | 1678 | 1.240 | 0.073 | 0.132 | 0.178 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.056 | 0.006 | 1569 | 1678 | 1.087 | 0.113 | 0.043 | 0.068 |
| Never in union | 0.358 | 0.014 | 1569 | 1678 | 1.187 | 0.040 | 0.329 | 0.387 |
| Currently married | 0.591 | 0.014 | 1569 | 1678 | 1.136 | 0.024 | 0.563 | 0.620 |
| Knowing any method | 0.923 | 0.011 | 922 | 992 | 1.199 | 0.011 | 0.902 | 0.944 |
| Knowing any modem method | 0.916 | 0.011 | 922 | 992 | 1.170 | 0.012 | 0.894 | 0.937 |
| Ever use any method | 0.455 | 0.019 | 922 | 992 | 1.155 | 0.042 | 0.417 | 0.493 |
| Using any method | 0.279 | 0.018 | 922 | 992 | 1.231 | 0.065 | 0.243 | 0.315 |
| Using any modern method | 0.130 | 0.013 | 922 | 992 | 1.166 | 0,099 | 0.105 | 0.156 |
| Using pill | 0.058 | 0.008 | 922 | 992 | 1.095 | 0.146 | 0.041 | 0.074 |
| Using IUD | 0.002 | 0.002 | 922 | 992 | 0.930 | 0.616 | 0.000 | 0.006 |
| Using injectables | 0.030 | 0.006 | 922 | 992 | 1.016 | 0.191 | 0.018 | 0.041 |
| Using condom | 0.030 | 0.007 | 922 | 992 | 1.189 | 0.224 | 0.016 | 0.043 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.011 | 0.004 | 922 | 992 | 1.201 | 0.377 | 0.003 | 0.019 |
| Using periodic ahstinence | 0.099 | 0.014 | 922 | 992 | 1.399 | 0.139 | 0.071 | 0.126 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.041 | 0.008 | 922 | 992 | 1.185 | 0.188 | 0.026 | 0.057 |
| Want no more children | 0.186 | 0.015 | 922 | 992 | 1.146 | 0.079 | 0.156 | 0.215 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.416 | 0.018 | 922 | 992 | 1.089 | 0.042 | 0.381 | 0.452 |
| Ideal number of children | 6.172 | 0.129 | 1440 | 1567 | 1.329 | 0.021 | 5.914 | 6.431 |

NA $=$ Not applicable.

Table B.15.1 Sampling errors - Mainland Dar es Salaam city sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Design } \\ \text { effect } \\ \text { DEFT } \end{gathered}$ | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 1.000 | 0.000 | 666 | 563 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.144 | 0.024 | 666 | 563 | 1.793 | 0.169 | 0.095 | 0.193 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.177 | 0.028 | 666 | 563 | 1.919 | 0.160 | 0.120 | 0.234 |
| Never in union | 0.306 | 0.030 | 666 | 563 | 1.698 | 0.099 | 0.246 | 0.367 |
| Currently married | 0.604 | 0.029 | 666 | 563 | 1.541 | 0.048 | 0.545 | 0.662 |
| Married before 20 | 0.568 | 0.026 | 516 | 436 | 1.173 | 0.045 | 0.517 | 0.619 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.498 | 0.033 | 516 | 436 | 1.517 | 0.067 | 0.431 | 0.565 |
| Children ever born (15-49) | 2.069 | 0.094 | 666 | 563 | 1.047 | 0.045 | 1.881 | 2.257 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 5.476 | 0.292 | 63 | 53 | 0.907 | 0.053 | 4.893 | 6.059 |
| Children surviving | 1.761 | 0.072 | 666 | 563 | 0.938 | 0.041 | 1.617 | 1.905 |
| Knowing any method | 0.983 | 0.004 | 402 | 340 | 0.632 | 0.004 | 0.974 | 0.991 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.983 | 0.004 | 402 | 340 | 0.632 | 0.004 | 0.974 | 0.991 |
| Ever use any method | 0.580 | 0.034 | 402 | 340 | 1.398 | 0.059 | 0.511 | 0.649 |
| Using any method | 0.378 | 0.036 | 402 | 340 | 1.483 | 0.095 | 0.306 | 0.450 |
| Using any modem method | 0.301 | 0.028 | 402 | 340 | 1.219 | 0.093 | 0.245 | 0.357 |
| Using pill | 0.102 | 0.018 | 402 | 340 | 1.187 | 0.176 | 0.066 | 0.138 |
| Using IUD | 0.025 | 0.007 | 402 | 340 | 0.903 | 0.282 | 0.011 | 0.039 |
| Using injectables | 0.095 | 0.014 | 402 | 340 | 0.946 | 0.146 | 0.067 | 0.122 |
| Using condom | 0.037 | 0.008 | 402 | 340 | 0.862 | 0.219 | 0.021 | 0.054 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.037 | 0.012 | 402 | 340 | 1.317 | 0.334 | 0.012 | 0.062 |
| Using male sterilisation | 0.000 | 0.000 | 402 | 340 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.020 | 0.008 | 402 | 340 | 1.076 | 0.377 | 0.005 | 0.035 |
| Using public sector source | 0.591 | 0.036 | 159 | 134 | 0.914 | 0.060 | 0.520 | 0.663 |
| Want no more children | 0.279 | 0.020 | 402 | 340 | 0.888 | 0.071 | 0.239 | 0.318 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.326 | 0.021 | 402 | 340 | 0.916 | 0.066 | 0.283 | 0.369 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.266 | 0.106 | 635 | 537 | 1.363 | 0.025 | 4.054 | 4.478 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.972 | 0.009 | 387 | 327 | 0.959 | 0.009 | 0.954 | 0.989 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.876 | 0.023 | 387 | 327 | 1.268 | 0.027 | 0.829 | 0.922 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.093 | 0.010 | 354 | 299 | 0.636 | 0.108 | 0.073 | 0.113 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.606 | 0.052 | 33 | 28 | 0.630 | 0.086 | 0.502 | 0.710 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.788 | 0.078 | 33 | 28 | 1.083 | 0.099 | 0.632 | 0.943 |
| Having health card | 0.769 | 0.061 | 91 | 77 | 1.386 | 0.080 | 0.646 | 0.892 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.989 | 0.011 | 91 | 77 | 1.008 | 0.011 | 0.967 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.923 | 0.035 | 91 | 77 | 1.234 | 0.037 | 0.854 | 0.992 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.824 | 0.065 | 91 | 77 | 1.623 | 0.079 | 0.694 | 0.954 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.934 | 0.027 | 91 | 77 | 1.031 | 0.029 | 0.880 | 0.988 |
| Fully immunised | 0.791 | 0.059 | 91 | 77 | 1.374 | 0.074 | 0.674 | 0.909 |
| Weight-for-height (below -2 SD) | 0.088 | 0.015 | 283 | 239 | 0.915 | 0.172 | 0.058 | 0.119 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.311 | 0.029 | 283 | 239 | 1.015 | 0.093 | 0.253 | 0.369 |
| Weight-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.230 | 0.031 | 283 | 239 | 1.179 | 0.136 | 0.167 | 0.292 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 3.425 | 0.253 | NA | 1602 | 1.234 | 0.074 | 2.920 | 3.931 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 28.450 | 9.242 | 706 | 597 | 1.308 | 0.325 | 9.965 | 46.934 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 70.949 | 10.557 | 709 | 599 | 1.073 | 0.149 | 49.835 | 92.062 |
| Child morality rate (10 years) | 42.029 | 9.613 | 711 | 601 | 1.155 | 0.229 | 22.803 | 61.254 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 109.995 | 16.062 | 714 | 603 | 1.311 | 0.146 | 77.872 | 142.119 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 42.499 | 6.791 | 709 | 599 | 1.032 | 0.160 | 28.918 | 56.081 |

NA $=$ Not applicable.

Table B.15.2 Sampling errors - Mainland Dar es Salaam city sample: men, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted ( N ) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 1.000 | 0.000 | 272 | 171 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.081 | 0.017 | 272 | 171 | 1.005 | 0.206 | 0.048 | 0.114 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.246 | 0.043 | 272 | 171 | 1.637 | 0.174 | 0.161 | 0.332 |
| Never in union | 0.471 | 0.031 | 272 | 171 | 1.024 | 0.066 | 0.409 | 0.533 |
| Currently married | 0.485 | 0.033 | 272 | 171 | 1.080 | 0.068 | 0.420 | 0.551 |
| Knowing any method | 0.985 | 0.011 | 132 | 83 | 1.001 | 0.011 | 0.963 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.985 | 0.011 | 132 | 83 | 1.001 | 0.011 | 0.963 | 1.000 |
| Ever use any method | 0.659 | 0.050 | 132 | 83 | 1.218 | 0.077 | 0.558 | 0.760 |
| Using any method | 0.379 | 0.055 | 132 | 83 | 1.293 | 0.145 | 0.269 | 0.488 |
| Using any modem method | 0.295 | 0.047 | 132 | 83 | 1.180 | 0.159 | 0.201 | 0.389 |
| Using pill | 0.083 | 0.020 | 132 | 83 | 0.814 | 0.236 | 0.044 | 0.123 |
| Using IUD | 0.023 | 0.012 | 132 | 83 | 0.944 | 0.541 | 0.000 | 0.047 |
| Using injectables | 0.038 | 0.014 | 132 | 83 | 0.831 | 0.366 | 0.010 | 0.066 |
| Using condom | 0.121 | 0.037 | 132 | 83 | 1.288 | 0.303 | 0.048 | 0.195 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.030 | 0.016 | 132 | 83 | 1.080 | 0.534 | 0.000 | 0.063 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.053 | 0.020 | 132 | 83 | 1.031 | 0.381 | 0.013 | 0.093 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.023 | 0.012 | 132 | 83 | 0.919 | 0.527 | 0.000 | 0.047 |
| Want no more children | 0.159 | 0.040 | 132 | 83 | 1.257 | 0.252 | 0.079 | 0.239 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.386 | 0.047 | 132 | 83 | 1.101 | 0.121 | 0.293 | 0.480 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.406 | 0.191 | 251 | 158 | 1.135 | 0.043 | 4.025 | 4.788 |

$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not applicable.

Table B.16.1 Sampling errors - Mainland other urban sample: women, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative ertor SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban residence | 1.000 | 0.000 | 1187 | 1248 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.131 | 0.015 | 1187 | 1248 | 1.523 | 0.114 | 0.101 | 0.161 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.110 | 0.020 | 1187 | 1248 | 2.172 | 0.180 | 0.070 | 0.149 |
| Never in union | 0.287 | 0.020 | 1187 | 1248 | 1.484 | 0.068 | 0.248 | 0.326 |
| Currently married | 0.587 | 0.021 | 1187 | 1248 | 1.495 | 0.036 | 0.545 | 0.630 |
| Married before 20 | 0.567 | 0.021 | 933 | 982 | 1.298 | 0.037 | 0.524 | 0.609 |
| First sex relationship before 18 | 0.577 | 0.024 | 933 | 982 | 1.460 | 0.041 | 0.530 | 0.625 |
| Children ever born (15-49) | 2.466 | 0.117 | 1187 | 1248 | 1.561 | 0.048 | 2.231 | 2.701 |
| Children ever born (40-49) | 6.281 | 0.259 | 145 | 154 | 1.044 | 0.041 | 5.763 | 6.800 |
| Children surviving | 2.135 | 0.106 | 1187 | 1248 | 1.618 | 0.050 | 1.923 | 2.346 |
| Knowing any method | 0.972 | 0.008 | 704 | 733 | 1.246 | 0.008 | 0.957 | 0.988 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.971 | 0.008 | 704 | 733 | 1.268 | 0.008 | 0.955 | 0.987 |
| Ever use any method | 0.572 | 0.041 | 704 | 733 | 2.194 | 0.072 | 0.490 | 0.654 |
| Using any method | 0.316 | 0.029 | 704 | 733 | 1.673 | 0.093 | 0.257 | 0.374 |
| Using any modern method | 0.261 | 0.026 | 704 | 733 | 1.547 | 0.098 | 0.209 | 0.312 |
| Using pill | 0.105 | 0.013 | 704 | 733 | 1.156 | 0.127 | 0.078 | 0.132 |
| Using IUD | 0.010 | 0.005 | 704 | 733 | 1.253 | 0.470 | 0.001 | 0.019 |
| Using injectables | 0.099 | 0.013 | 704 | 733 | 1.169 | 0.133 | 0.073 | 0.126 |
| Using condom | 0.013 | 0.006 | 704 | 733 | 1.513 | 0.501 | 0.000 | 0.026 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.031 | 0.010 | 704 | 733 | 1.522 | 0.319 | 0.011 | 0.051 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.037 | 0.010 | 704 | 733 | 1.352 | 0.262 | 0.017 | 0.056 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.014 | 0.005 | 704 | 733 | 1.220 | 0.389 | 0.003 | 0.024 |
| Using public sector source | 0.719 | 0.043 | 284 | 294 | 1.593 | 0.059 | 0.634 | 0.804 |
| Want no more children | 0.295 | 0.019 | 704 | 733 | 1.101 | 0.064 | 0.258 | 0.333 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.337 | 0.024 | 704 | 733 | 1.342 | 0.071 | 0.289 | 0.385 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.466 | 0.103 | 1113 | 1171 | 1.940 | 0.023 | 4.259 | 4.672 |
| Mother received tetanus injection | 0.955 | 0.009 | 788 | 838 | 1.125 | 0.010 | 0.936 | 0.974 |
| Received medical care at delivery | 0.794 | 0.033 | 788 | 838 | 1.939 | 0.042 | 0.727 | 0.860 |
| Had diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks | 0.129 | 0.017 | 716 | 767 | 1.325 | 0.130 | 0.096 | 0.163 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.534 | 0.075 | 90 | 99 | 1.391 | 0.140 | 0.385 | 0.683 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.676 | 0.055 | 90 | 99 | 1.116 | 0.081 | 0.566 | 0.785 |
| Having health card | 0.816 | 0.027 | 151 | 161 | 0.846 | 0.032 | 0.763 | 0.869 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 1.000 | 0.000 | 151 | 161 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.957 | 0.012 | 151 | 161 | 0.715 | 0.012 | 0.933 | 0.980 |
| Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.842 | 0.026 | 151 | 161 | 0.870 | 0.030 | 0.791 | 0.894 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.959 | 0.016 | 151 | 161 | 1.006 | 0.017 | 0.926 | 0.991 |
| Fully immunised | 0.812 | 0.028 | 151 | 161 | 0.886 | 0.034 | 0.756 | 0.868 |
| Weight-for-height (below-2 SD) | 0.072 | 0.014 | 613 | 659 | 1.343 | 0.191 | 0.044 | 0.099 |
| Height-for-age (below -2 SD) | 0.335 | 0.023 | 613 | 659 | 1.160 | 0.069 | 0.289 | 0.381 |
| Weight-for-age (below-2 SD) | 0.183 | 0.016 | 613 | 659 | 0.949 | 0.086 | 0.151 | 0.214 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.358 | 0.297 | NA | 3532 | 1.336 | 0.068 | 3.765 | 4.952 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 35.455 | 6.677 | 1522 | 1595 | 1.217 | 0.188 | 22.100 | 48.809 |
| Infant mortality rate (10 years) | 87.752 | 9.829 | 1522 | 1595 | 1.219 | 0.112 | 68.093 | 107.410 |
| Child mortality rate (10 years) | 42.806 | 6.348 | 1533 | 1605 | 1.085 | 0.148 | 30.110 | 55.502 |
| Under-five mortality rate (10 years) | 126.801 | 11.136 | 1534 | 1607 | 1.170 | 0.088 | 104.530 | 149.073 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (10 years) | 52.297 | 6.163 | 1521 | 1594 | 1.037 | 0.118 | 39.970 | 64.623 |
| NA $=$ Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.16.2 Sampling errors - Mainland other urban sample: men, Tanzania 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard entor (SE) | Unweighted ( N ) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect DEFT | Relative error SE/R | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| Urban residence | 1.000 | 0.000 | 307 | 338 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.062 | 0.017 | 307 | 338 | 1.233 | 0.274 | 0.028 | 0.096 |
| Secondary education or more | 0.188 | 0.035 | 307 | 338 | 1.571 | 0.186 | 0.118 | 0.258 |
| Never in union | 0.402 | 0.031 | 307 | 338 | 1.092 | 0.076 | 0.340 | 0.463 |
| Currently married | 0.525 | 0.034 | 307 | 338 | 1.186 | 0.064 | 0.458 | 0.593 |
| Knowing any method | 0.961 | 0.017 | 158 | 177 | 1.117 | 0.018 | 0.927 | 0.996 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.961 | 0.017 | 158 | 177 | 1.117 | 0.018 | 0.927 | 0.996 |
| Ever use any method | 0.633 | 0.050 | 158 | 177 | 1.289 | 0.078 | 0.534 | 0.732 |
| Using any method | 0.354 | 0.042 | 158 | 177 | 1.093 | 0.118 | 0.271 | 0.437 |
| Using any modern method | 0.254 | 0.040 | 158 | 177 | 1.156 | 0.158 | 0.173 | 0.334 |
| Using pill | 0.100 | 0.020 | 158 | 177 | 0.825 | 0.198 | 0.060 | 0.139 |
| Using IUD | 0.007 | 0.005 | 158 | 177 | 0.752 | 0.706 | 0.000 | 0.017 |
| Using injectables | 0.024 | 0.013 | 158 | 177 | 1.042 | 0.528 | 0.000 | 0.050 |
| Using condom | 0.105 | 0.029 | 158 | 177 | 1.187 | 0.277 | 0.047 | 0.163 |
| Using female sterilisation | 0.014 | 0.010 | 158 | 177 | 1.065 | 0.716 | 0.000 | 0.034 |
| Using periodic abstinence | 0.074 | 0.019 | 158 | 177 | 0.914 | 0.258 | 0.036 | 0.112 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.022 | 0.019 | 158 | 177 | 1.629 | 0.863 | 0.000 | 0.061 |
| Want no more children | 0.286 | 0.034 | 158 | 177 | 0.951 | 0.120 | 0.218 | 0.355 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.311 | 0.036 | 158 | 177 | 0.985 | 0.117 | 0.238 | 0.384 |
| Ideal number of children | 4.944 | 0.207 | 283 | 319 | 1.424 | 0.042 | 4.529 | 5.358 |
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## APPENDIX C

## DATA QUALITY

This appendix provides an assessment of the quality of the 1996 TDHS data. For this purpose, misreporting of ages, respondents' recall problems and other problems encountered during data collection are investigated.

Table C. 1 and Figure C. 1 present the distribution of the de facto household population by single year of age and information on age is obtained from the Household Questionnaire. The table shows some preferences for ages ending in 0,2 , and 5 , and, as expected, age heaping is more severe in the older ages. The typical pattern of heaping on age 12 is also prominent. Nevertheless, age reporting is particularly good. The Myer's blended index ${ }^{1}$ is commonly used to measure overall digit preference in age reporting. The indices for the male and female population age 10-60 years are 9.3 and 7.8 , respectively, suggesting that age heaping exists in the data. Another measure of the quality of the age data is the very small number of persons whose ages were recorded as not known or missing. However, there is some evidence that interviewers "displaced" women and men outside the eligible age range (15-49 for women and 15-59 for men), presumably to avoid the need to interview them. The number of women and men age 15 is substantially lower than the number age 14 or 13. At the other end of the range, the number of women age 50 exceeds the number age 49 , implying that interviewers assigned an age of 50 to women whose ages might not have been known with certainty, in order to avoid interviewing them. Similar displacement of men for 59 years of age to age 60 is also prominent. These lower and upper boundary effects can be explained by looking at the age ratio ${ }^{2}$. The age ratio at 15 years for women and men are 66 and 87, respectively, whereas, age ratio at 49 years for women is 71 and age ratio at 59 years for men is 58. These results suggest a strong boundary effect in the 1996 TDHS.

Table C. 2 shows that during the household interview, 8,514 women age 15-49 were recorded, of which 8,142
 women were successfully interviewed, yielding a response

[^18]rate of 96 percent. The five-year age distribution of women follows the expected pattern. Little difference can be seen between the age distribution of women recorded in the household schedule and those interviewed with the individual questionnaire, indicating that response rates vary little across the age of respondents (Table C.2).

Information on the completeness of reporting in connection with a set of important variables is provided in Table C.3. Among births in the 15 years preceding the survey, the percentage of cases with missing information on month and year of birth or age at death is extremely low (less than 1 percent). Data are 100 percent complete for mother's educational level. Data on height and weight are available for more than 90 percent of the children.
 measured by the completeness and accuracy of information on births. Table C. 4 shows the distribution of births by calendar year to ascertain if any unusual patterns exist which may indicate that births have been omitted or that the ages of children have been displaced. The percentage of surviving children with known month and year of births in the 1996 TDHS is 92 and for dead children, the percentage is 82 . Age displacement is common in many surveys that include both demographic and health information for children below a specific age. It is difficult to measure the extent of displacement precisely, but examination of the year of birth distributions of children helps to identify whether displacement is a significant problem.

The cutoff date for asking health question was January 1991. Children borm in this year are the oldest children included in the health and breastfeeding section of the questionnaire. If births are being incorrectly transferred from this year to the previous year (1990), then a shortage of births should be evident in 1991 and an excess of births should appear in 1990. Observing the calendar ratios, there seems to be deficits of births in 1991 and a surplus in 1990 (Figure C.2). For all births, the ratio of births in 1991 to the average of two adjoining years is 0.91 ; for births in 1990 , the ratio is 1.05 (Table C.5). The phenomenon is more serious among dead children ( 0.76 in 1991 and 1.21 in 1990). A similar pattern of age distribution was also observed in the 1991-92 TDHS. These numbers may represent a deliberate attempt by some interviewers to reduce their workloads, in particular to shorten the interview by skipping the health sections that contain extensive questions about children under five.

Underreporting of deaths is most severe for deaths which occur very early in infancy. A selective underreporting of early neonatal deaths would result in an abnormally low ratio of deaths under seven days to all neonatal deaths. Early infant deaths have not been severely underreported in the 1996 TDHS as suggested by the high ratio of deaths in the first six days to all neonatal deaths (Table C.5). This ratio also varies little over the 20 years before the survey (between 59 and 67) which further supports the evidence that early infant deaths have not been grossly underreported.

Heaping of the age at death on certain digits is another problem that is inherent in most retrospective surveys. Misreporting of age at death biases estimates of the age pattern of mortality if the net result of misreporting is transference of deaths between age segments for which rates are calculated; for example, an overestimate of child mortality relative to infant mortality may result if children who died during the first year of life are reported as having died at age one or older. To minimise the error in the reporting of age at death, the 1996 TDHS interviewers were instructed to record the age at death in days for deaths under one month, and in months for deaths under two years. They were specifically asked to probe for deaths reported at one year of age to ensure that they had actually occurred at 12 months. Nevertheless, there is evidence of some "heaping" on age 12 months in the reporting of age at death; however, this heaping is more significant for deaths that occurred five or more years before the survey but not in recent years (Table C.6). The index of heaping is 7.4 for deaths occurred $0-4$ years before the survey and 10.0 for deaths occurred 15-19 years before the survey. From this standpoint, it is not necessarily to adjust for underreporting of deaths below age one.

The 1996 TDHS uses the direct method to collect data on maternal mortality. The procedure involved listing all the siblings of the respondent and then collecting information on: the survival status of each sibling; the ages of the surviving siblings; the ages and years since the death of deceased siblings. For each deceased sister, additional questions were asked to determine if a death is due to maternal causes. Maternal death is defined as any death that occurs during pregnancy, childbirth, or within two months following the birth or termination of a pregnancy. Table C. 7 shows the number of siblings reported by the 1996 TDHS female respondents and level of completeness of the data on survivorship status, current age, age at death, and years since death of siblings. The sex ratio of reported siblings (the ratio of brothers to sisters) was a little low (1.01), possibly indicating slight underreporting of brothers. Respondents were highly knowledgeable about their siblings' survival status; in only less than 1 percent of the cases were respondents unable to report the survival status of their siblings, with negligible differences in reporting for sisters and brothers. Respondents could not tell the ages of their surviving siblings for less than 1 percent cases. As expected, information regarding deceased siblings is less complete than for living siblings. For about 3 percent of deceased siblings, both age at death and the year of death were not reported by the respondents.

Table C. 8 provides the distribution of respondents and their siblings by year of birth which is a crude measure of data quality. If there is no bias, the year of birth of siblings should be roughly equivalent to the year of birth of respondents overall. The distribution of respondents and their siblings by year of birth is very similar-- in fact, the median year of birth is about the same, 1969 for respondents and 1970 for siblings. This indicates that there is no serious underreporting of siblings. The mean sibship size (number of siblings) is yet another crude measure of data quality (Table C.9). Since fertility in Tanzania has declined over time, one would expect mean sibship sizes to decline as well. The absence of a monotonic decline in the sibship size suggests there may be some omission in the reporting of older siblings. Table C. 9 shows that there may be some omission in the reporting of siblings born before 1965. However, since adult mortality rates are reported here for 9 years preceding the survey this omission is unlikely to affect the calculation of mortality rates.

## Table C.I Household age distribution

Single-year age distribution of the de facto household population by sex (weighted), Tanzania 1996

| Age | Males |  | Females |  | Age | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | percent | Number | percent |  | Number | percent | Number | percent |
| <1 | 707 | 3.8 | 706 | 3.6 | 36 | 172 | 0.9 | 215 | 1.1 |
| 1 | 632 | 3.4 | 672 | 3.4 | 37 | 103 | 0.6 | 142 | 0.7 |
| 2 | 642 | 3.5 | 601 | 3.0 | 38 | 160 | 0.9 | 198 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 641 | 3.5 | 653 | 3.3 | 39 | 111 | 0.6 | 116 | 0.6 |
| 4 | 665 | 3.6 | 624 | 3.1 | 40 | 210 | 1.1 | 214 | 1.1 |
| 5 | 620 | 3.4 | 650 | 3.3 | 41 | 96 | 0.5 | 76 | 0.4 |
| 6 | 713 | 3.9 | 655 | 3.3 | 42 | 146 | 0.8 | 167 | 0.8 |
| 7 | 628 | 3.4 | 572 | 2.9 | 43 | 94 | 0.5 | 107 | 0.5 |
| 8 | 544 | 2.9 | 610 | 3.1 | 44 | 95 | 0.5 | 125 | 0.6 |
| 9 | 578 | 3.1 | 571 | 2.9 | 45 | 181 | 1.0 | 190 | 1.0 |
| 10 | 630 | 3.4 | 619 | 3.1 | 46 | 107 | 0.6 | 107 | 0.5 |
| 11 | 439 | 2.4 | 409 | 2.1 | 47 | 78 | 0.4 | 78 | 0.4 |
| 12 | 627 | 3.4 | 557 | 2.8 | 48 | 115 | 0.6 | 141 | 0.7 |
| 13 | 524 | 2.8 | 538 | 2.7 | 49 | 108 | 0.6 | 98 | 0.5 |
| 14 | 509 | 2.8 | 527 | 2.7 | 50 | 134 | 0.7 | 137 | 0.7 |
| 15 | 414 | 2.2 | 318 | 1.6 | 51 | 55 | 0.3 | 90 | 0.5 |
| 16 | 442 | 2.4 | 436 | 2.2 | 52 | 86 | 0.5 | 166 | 0.8 |
| 17 | 336 | 1.8 | 351 | 1.8 | 53 | 41 | 0.2 | 127 | 0.6 |
| 18 | 385 | 2.1 | 400 | 2.0 | 54 | 90 | 0.5 | 122 | 0.6 |
| 19 | 276 | 1.5 | 336 | 1.7 | 55 | 106 | 0.6 | 164 | 0.8 |
| 20 | 351 | 1.9 | 440 | 2.2 | 56 | 103 | 0.6 | 115 | 0.6 |
| 21 | 230 | 1.2 | 340 | 1.7 | 57 | 50 | 0.3 | 68 | 0.3 |
| 22 | 251 | 1.4 | 364 | 1.8 | 58 | 59 | 0.3 | 116 | 0.6 |
| 23 | 175 | 0.9 | 291 | 1.5 | 59 | 60 | 0.3 | 65 | 0.3 |
| 24 | 216 | 1.2 | 345 | 1.7 | 60 | 147 | 0.8 | 195 | 1.0 |
| 25 | 275 | 1.5 | 365 | 1.8 | 61 | 58 | 0.3 | 43 | 0.2 |
| 26 | 238 | 1.3 | 319 | 1.6 | 62 | 82 | 0.4 | 68 | 0.3 |
| 27 | 202 | 1.1 | 278 | 1.4 | 63 | 70 | 0.4 | 44 | 0.2 |
| 28 | 255 | 1.4 | 350 | 1.8 | 64 | 71 | 0.4 | 38 | 0.2 |
| 29 | 174 | 0.9 | 196 | 1.0 | 65 | 135 | 0.7 | 121 | 0.6 |
| 30 | 344 | 1.9 | 358 | 1.8 | 66 | 59 | 0.3 | 40 | 0.2 |
| 31 | 155 | 0.8 | 159 | 0.8 | 67 | 67 | 0.4 | 43 | 0.2 |
| 32 | 222 | 1.2 | 244 | 1.2 | 68 | 68 | 0.4 | 60 | 0.3 |
| 33 | 139 | 0.8 | 177 | 0.9 | 69 | 47 | 0.3 | 37 | 0.2 |
| 34 | 158 | 0.9 | 202 | 1.0 | 70+ | 487 | 2.6 | 461 | 2.3 |
| 35 | 264 | 1.4 | 272 | 1.4 | Don't kn missing | $17$ | 0.1 | 11 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 18,464 | 100.0 | 19,807 | 100.0 |

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who slept in the household the night before the interview.

## Table C.2Ase distribution of eligible and interviewed women

Percent distribution of the de facto household population of women age 10-54, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed (weighted) by five-year groups, Tanzania 1996

| Age | Household population of women |  | Interviewed women age 15-49 |  | Percentage interviewed (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| 10-14 | 2,650 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15-19 | 1,840 | 21.6 | 1,727 | 21.2 | 93.9 |
| 20-24 | 1,781 | 20.9 | 1,705 | 20.9 | 95.7 |
| 25-29 | 1,508 | 17.7 | 1,457 | 17.9 | 96.6 |
| 30-34 | 1,140 | 13.4 | 1,097 | 13.5 | 96.3 |
| 25-39 | 943 | 11.1 | 904 | 11.1 | 95.9 |
| 40-44 | 688 | 8.1 | 667 | 8.2 | 96.9 |
| 45-49 | 614 | 7.2 | 584 | 7.2 | 95.1 |
| 50-54 | 641 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15-49 | 8,514 | NA | 8,142 | NA | 95.6 |

## Table C. 3 Completeness of reporting

Percentage of observations missing information for selected demographic and health questions, Tanzania 1996

| Subject | Reference group | Percentage missing information | Number of cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birth Date | Births in past 15 years |  |  |
| Month only |  | 6.73 | 17,902 |
| Month and year |  | 0.06 | 17,902 |
| Age at death | Deaths to births in past 15 years | s 0.54 | 2,583 |
| Age/date at first union ${ }^{1}$ | Ever-married women | 1.04 | 6,233 |
| Respondent's education | All women | 0.00 | 8,120 |
| Anthropometry ${ }^{2}$ | Living children 0-59 months |  |  |
| Height missing |  | 7.43 | 6,188 |
| Weight missing |  | 7.16 | 6,188 |
| Height/weight missing |  | 7.50 | 6,188 |
| Diarrhoea last 2 weeks | Living children 0-59 months | 3.81 | 6,188 |

${ }^{1}$ Both year and age missing
${ }^{2}$ Child not measured

## Table C.4 Births by calendar year

Distribution of births by calendar years for living (L), dead (D), and all (T) children, according to reporting completeness, sex ratio at birth, and ratio of births by calendar year,
Tanzania 1996

| Year | Number of births |  |  | Percentage with complete birth date ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Sex ratio at birth ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Calendar ratio ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T | L | D | T |
| 96 | 1,194 | 122 | 1,316 | 98.5 | 87.4 | 97.4 | 104.0 | 143.3 | 107.1 | NA | NA | NA | 608 | 72 | 681 | 585 | 50 | 635 |
| 95 | 1,202 | 179 | 1,381 | 96.3 | 88.2 | 95.3 | 108.5 | 124.6 | 110.4 | 102.5 | 122.5 | 104.7 | 625 | 99 | 725 | 577 | 80 | 657 |
| 94 | 1,152 | 170 | 1,322 | 96.0 | 90.0 | 95.2 | 96.9 | 114.7 | 99.0 | 101.8 | 104.1 | 102.1 | 567 | 91 | 658 | 585 | 79 | 665 |
| 93 | 1,061 | 148 | 1,209 | 97.3 | 88.3 | 96.2 | 105.4 | 136.7 | 108.8 | 88.5 | 69.4 | 85.6 | 545 | 85 | 630 | 517 | 62 | 579 |
| 92 | 1,248 | 256 | 1,503 | 92.7 | 85.1 | 91.4 | 99.4 | 117.1 | 102.2 | 118.0 | 154.6 | 123.0 | 622 | 138 | 760 | 626 | 118 | 743 |
| 91 | 1,053 | 183 | 1,236 | 92.6 | 86.7 | 91.7 | 103.0 | 131.2 | 106.7 | 93.8 | 75.9 | 90.6 | 534 | 104 | 638 | 519 | 79 | 598 |
| 90 | 998 | 226 | 1,223 | 91.8 | 81.4 | 89.9 | 94.6 | 104.9 | 96.4 | 101.8 | 121.4 | 105.0 | 485 | 116 | 601 | 513 | 110 | 623 |
| 89 | 906 | 189 | 1,096 | 94.7 | 81.8 | 92.5 | 118.1 | 117.1 | 117.9 | 89.3 | 93.1 | 90.0 | 491 | 102 | 593 | 416 | 87 | 503 |
| 88 | 1,031 | 181 | 1,212 | 90.4 | 86.8 | 89.8 | 99.1 | 104.7 | 100.0 | 127.5 | 98.0 | 122 | 513 | 93 | 606 | 518 | 88 | 606 |
| 87 | 712 | 180 | 892 | 92.7 | 84.0 | 90.9 | 102.4 | 99.2 | 101.8 | NA | NA | NA | 360 | 90 | 450 | 352 | 90 | 442 |
| 92-96 | 5,857 | 876 | 6,732 | 96.1 | 87.5 | 95.0 | 102.7 | 124.7 | 105.3 | NA | NA | NA | 2,967 | 486 | 3,453 | 2,889 | 390 | 3,279 |
| 87-91 | 4,700 | 959 | 5,659 | 92.4 | 84.0 | 90.9 | 102.9 | 110.6 | 104.2 | NA | NA | NA | 2,384 | 504 | 2,887 | 2,316 | 455 | 2,772 |
| 82-86 | 3,529 | 792 | 4,321 | 90.6 | 80.2 | 88.7 | 97.0 | 106.5 | 98.6 | NA | NA | NA | 1,737 | 408 | 2,146 | 1,792 | 384 | 2,175 |
| 77-81 | 2,453 | 546 | 2,999 | 88.7 | 77.2 | 86.6 | 90.2 | 144.4 | 98.2 | NA | NA | NA | 1,163 | 323 | 1,486 | 1,290 | 223 | 1,513 |
| $<77$ | 2,073 | 685 | 2,759 | 85.8 | 75.4 | 83.2 | 105.4 | 106.2 | 105.6 | NA | NA | NA | 1,064 | 353 | 1,417 | 1,010 | 332 | 1,342 |
| All | 18,612 | 3,857 | 22,469 | 92.0 | 81.5 | 90.2 | 100.2 | 116.2 | 102.8 | NA | NA | NA | 9,315 | 2,073 | 11,388 | 9,297 | 1,784 | 11,081 |

## $\mathrm{NA}=$ not applicable.

${ }^{1}$ Both year and month of birth given.
${ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{B}_{f}\right) * 100$, where $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{f}$ are numbers of male and female births, respectively
${ }^{3}\left[2 B_{\mathrm{x}} f\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}-1}+\mathrm{Bx}_{\mathrm{x}+1}\right)\right] *=100$, where $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is the number of births in calendar year x .

## Table C. 5 Reporting of age at death in days

Distribution of reported deaths under 1 month of age by age at death in days and the percentage of neonatal deaths reported to occur at ages $0-6$ days, for five-year periods of birth preceding the survey, Tanzania 1996

| Age at death (in days) | Number of years preceding survey |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 0-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |  |
| < 1 | 47 | 63 | 58 | 27 | 195 |
| 1 | 35 | 40 | 33 | 24 | 132 |
| 2 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 80 |
| 3 | 17 | 21 | 15 | 13 | 67 |
| 4 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 29 |
| 5 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 28 |
| 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 16 |
| 7 | 26 | 42 | 30 | 20 | 118 |
| 8 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 11 |
| 9 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| 10 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 14 | 22 | 24 | 19 | 16 | 81 |
| 15 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 18 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 19 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 21 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 15 |
| 22 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 28 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 13 |
| 30 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 38 |
| Total 0-30 | 235 | 268 | 225 | 144 | 873 |
| Percent early neonatal | 58.9 | 62.0 | 64.1 | 67.1 | 62.5 |
| 1 (0-6 days/ $0-3$ days) * 100 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table C.6-Reporting of age at death in months |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution of reported deaths under 2 years of age by age at death in months and the percentage of infant deaths reported to occur at ages under one month, for five-year periods of birth preceding the survey, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age at deaths (in months) | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 0-19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |  |
| $<1^{\text {a }}$ | 236 | 268 | 225 | 144 | 873 |
| 1 | 31 | 26 | 22 | 19 | 97 |
| 2 | 24 | 48 | 27 | 25 | 124 |
| 3 | 39 | 42 | 26 | 19 | 127 |
| 4 | 46 | 35 | 22 | 17 | 120 |
| 5 | 30 | 30 | 21 | 12 | 93 |
| 6 | 39 | 64 | 28 | 19 | 151 |
| 7 | 29 | 32 | 20 | 10 | 90 |
| 8 | 24 | 31 | 26 | 15 | 95 |
| 9 | 39 | 36 | 29 | 16 | 120 |
| 10 | 10 | 15 | 9 | 5 | 38 |
| 11 | 14 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 40 |
| 12 | 18 | 32 | 38 | 18 | 106 |
| 13 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 16 |
| 14 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 22 |
| 15 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 17 |
| 16 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 12 |
| 17 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| 18 | 16 | 13 | 18 | 23 | 70 |
| 19 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 20 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 19 |
| 21 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 23 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| 1 Year | 36 | 45 | 40 | 22 | 144 |
| Total 0-11 | 561 | 632 | 467 | 308 | 1,968 |
| Percent neonatal ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 42 | 42 | 48 | 46 | 44 |
| Index of heaping ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 7.4 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 10.0 | 8.6 |
| "Includes death under 1 month reported in days. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ (Under 1 month/under 1 year) * 100 <br> $\mathrm{c}^{\text {Index of heaping }=} \frac{4 \mathrm{D} 12}{\mathrm{D} 10+\mathrm{D} 11+\mathrm{D} 13+\mathrm{D} 14} \quad(\mathrm{D}=$ Deaths $)$ <br> where D12 includes all deaths reported at 12 months and one year. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table C. 7 Completness of information on siblings

Number of siblings reported by survey respondents and completeness of reported data on age, age at death (AD) and years since death (YSD), Tanzania 1996

| Sibling status and completeness of reporting | Sisters |  | Brothers |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| All siblings | 23,775 | 100.0 | 23,952 | 100.0 | 47,727 | 100.0 |
| Living | 19,788 | 83.2 | 19,401 | 81.0 | 39,189 | 82.1 |
| Dead | 3,977 | 16.7 | 4,532 | 18.9 | 8,508 | 17.8 |
| Status unknown | 10 | 0 | 19 | 0.I | 29 | 0.1 |
| Living siblings | 19,788 | 100.0 | 19,401 | 100.0 | 39,189 | 100.0 |
| Age reported | 19,699 | 99.6 | 19,301 | 99.5 | 39,000 | 99.5 |
| Age missing | 89 | 0.4 | 100 | 0.5 | 189 | 0.5 |
| Dead siblings | 3,977 | 100.0 | 4,532 | 100.0 | 8,508 | 100.0 |
| AD and YSD reported | 3,532 | 88.8 | 3.940 | 86.9 | 7,472 | 87.8 |
| Missing only AD | 23 | 0.6 | 39 | 0.9 | 62 | 0.7 |
| Missing only YSD | 322 | 8.1 | 412 | 9.1 | 734 | 8.6 |
| Missing both AD and YSD | 100 | 2.5 | 140 | 3.1 | 240 | 2.8 |


| Table C. 8 Data on Siblings: Indicators on Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quality |  |  |
| Percent distrib by years of b | of responden Tanzania 1996 | siblings |
| Year of bith | Respondents | Siblings |
| Before 1945 | 0.0 | 2.7 |
| 1945-49 | 3.9 | 3.4 |
| 1950-54 | 8.1 | 6.0 |
| 1955-59 | 9.4 | 9.3 |
| 1960-64 | 13.4 | 12.4 |
| 1965-69 | 16.0 | 14.6 |
| 1970-74 | 19.8 | 15.6 |
| 1975 or later | 29.4 | 36.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Lower range | 1946 | 1915 |
| Upper range | 1981 | 1996 |
| Median | 1969 | 1970 |
| No. of cases | 8,120 | 47,705 |


| Table C. 9 Sibship size and sex ratio of siblings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean sibship size and sex ratio of births, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |
| Year of birth of respondents' siblings | Mean sibship size | Sex ratio at birth |
| 1940s | 6.2 | 93.5 |
| 1950-54 | 6.2 | 99.8 |
| 1955-59 | 6.7 | 101.3 |
| 1960-64 | 7.0 | 101.0 |
| 1965-69 | 7.2 | 103.2 |
| 1970-74 | 7.2 | 101.8 |
| 1975-79 | 6.8 | 99.4 |
| Total | 6.9 | 100.7 |

## APPENDIX D

## ADDITIONAL TABLES

## Table D. 1 Knowledge of contraceptive methods by background characteristics; married respondents

Percentage of currently married respondents who know at least one contraceptive method and at least one modern method, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Know } \\ \text { any } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | Know modern method | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Know } \\ \text { any } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Know } \\ & \text { modern } \\ & \text { method } \end{aligned}$ | Numbe of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 80.0 | 79.7 | 401 | * | * | 6 |
| 20-24 | 90.7 | 90.2 | 1,131 | 92.0 | 92.0 | 91 |
| 25-29 | 92.6 | 92.0 | 1,184 | 94.7 | 94.7 | 196 |
| 30-34 | 91.1 | 90.7 | 947 | 96.6 | 95.0 | 232 |
| 35-39 | 88.5 | 87.5 | 740 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 230 |
| 40-45 | 85.3 | 84.1 | 561 | 98.2 | 98.2 | 194 |
| 45-49 | 78.2 | 75.9 | 447 | 89.7 | 89.7 | 137 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | 90.5 | 89.0 | 110 |
| 55-59 | NA | NA | NA | 78.4 | 76.4 | 90 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 88.3 | 87.5 | 5,245 | 93.2 | 92.7 | 1,253 |
| Total urban | 97.5 | 97.4 | 1,073 | 96.9 | 96.9 | 260 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 98.3 | 98.3 | 340 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 83 |
| Other urban | 97.2 | 97.1 | 733 | 96.1 | 96.1 | 177 |
| Total rural | 85.9 | 84.9 | 4,172 | 92.3 | 91.6 | 992 |
| Zanzibar | 95.7 | 95.4 | 166 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 35 |
| Pemba | 93.9 | 93.9 | 61 | (96.8) | (96.8) | 16 |
| Unguja | 96.7 | 96.3 | 105 | (100.0) | (100.0) | 19 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 90.0 | 89.1 | 258 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 51 |
| Arusha | 59.2 | 56.4 | 403 | 80.0 | 76.4 | 92 |
| Kilimanjaro | 95.5 | 95.5 | 221 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 55 |
| Tanga | 87.2 | 87.2 | 282 | (81.4) | (81.4) | 62 |
| Morogoro | 94.9 | 94.5 | 257 | 93.9 | 93.9 | 54 |
| Coast | 97.7 | 96.5 | 98 | (100.0) | (100.0) | 22 |
| Dar es Salaam | 98.1 | 98.1 | 399 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 94 |
| Lindi | 97.1 | 96.2 | 123 | (100.0) | (100.0) | 35 |
| Mtwara | 91.9 | 90.3 | 248 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 61 |
| Ruvuma | 95.8 | 95.8 | 205 | 95.5 | 95.5 | 54 |
| Iringa | 89.7 | 88.9 | 291 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 59 |
| Mbeya | 96.7 | 96.2 | 318 | (100.0) | (100.0) | 78 |
| Singida | 84.4 | 82.6 | 194 | 98.1 | 96.2 | 50 |
| Tabora | 91.3 | 91.3 | 157 | * | * | 32 |
| Rukwa | 86.9 | 86.1 | 177 | 96.3 | 94.4 | 49 |
| Kigoma | 93.0 | 92.6 | 233 | (95.9) | (95.9) | 67 |
| Shinyanga | 77.2 | 76.8 | 464 | 89.6 | 89.6 | 118 |
| Kagera | 92.2 | 90.2 | 337 | (100.0) | (97.8) | 90 |
| Mwanza | 87.4 | 87.4 | 395 | (88.6) | (88.6) | 99 |
| Мага | 89.8 | 89.8 | 183 | (85.2) | (85.2) | 32 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 75.6 | 73.8 | 1,829 | 84.6 | 82.2 | 213 |
| Primary incomplete | 90.6 | 90.2 | 920 | 91.2 | 91.2 | 342 |
| Primary complete | 96.3 | 96.2 | 2,462 | 96.5 | 96.2 | 612 |
| Secondary+ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 122 |
| Total | 88.5 | 87.7 | 5,411 | 93.4 | 92.8 | 1,288 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 respondents. An asterisk indicates a figure is based on fewer than 25 respondents and has been suppressed.
$\mathrm{NA}=\mathrm{Not}$ applicable.

| Table D,2.1 Current use of contraception by background characteristics: currently married women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women by contraceptive method currently used, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional/folk method |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| Background characteristic | Any method | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { ject- } \\ & \text { ables } \end{aligned}$ | Con- <br> dom | Female steri-lisation | Any trad/ folk method | Calendar/ mucus | Withdrawal | Other methods | Not currently using |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 18.6 | 13.4 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 81.4 | 100.0 | 5,245 |
| Total urban | 33.5 | 27.3 | 10.4 | 1.5 | 9.8 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 66.5 | 100.0 | 1,073 |
| Dar es Salaam city | 37.8 | 30.1 | 10.2 | 2.5 | 9.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 62.2 | 100.0 | 340 |
| Other urban | 31.6 | 26.1 | 10.5 | 1.0 | 9.9 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 68.4 | 100.0 | 733 |
| Total sural | 14.8 | 9.8 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 4,172 |
| Zanzibar | 13.1 | 10.8 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 86.9 | 100.0 | 166 |
| Pemba | 9.6 | 7.6 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 90.4 | 100.0 | 61 |
| Unguja | 15.1 | 12.7 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 84.9 | 100.0 | 105 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 12.7 | 10.9 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 87.3 | 100.0 | 258 |
| Arusha | 20.2 | 12.8 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 79.8 | 100.0 | 403 |
| Kilimanjaro | 50.7 | 32.7 | 11.2 | 3.1 | 7.2 | 2.7 | 8.5 | 17.9 | 6.7 | 10.3 | 0.9 | 49.3 | 100.0 | 221 |
| Tanga | 26.0 | 13.6 | 6.2 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 12.4 | 2.5 | 8.7 | 1.2 | 74.0 | 100.0 | 282 |
| Morogoro | 18.6 | 14.8 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 81.4 | 100.0 | 257 |
| Coast | 33.3 | 28.7 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 98 |
| Dar es Salanm | 35.4 | 28.0 | 9.7 | 2.3 | 9.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 7.4 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 64.6 | 100.0 | 399 |
| Lindi | 19.0 | 17.1 | 8.6 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 81.0 | 100.0 | 123 |
| Miwara | 14.3 | 12.3 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 248 |
| Ruvuma | 22.7 | 20.1 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 77.3 | 100.0 | 205 |
| Iringa | 13.2 | 8.6 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 86.8 | 100.0 | 291 |
| Mbeya | 23.7 | 13.3 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 10.4 | 0.9 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 76.3 | 100.0 | 318 |
| Singida | 17.8 | 16.3 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 82.2 | 100.0 | 194 |
| Tabora | 18.8 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.2 | 100.0 | 157 |
| Rukwa | 14.7 | 7.7 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 177 |
| Kigoma | 17.2 | 13.1 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 82.8 | 100.0 | 233 |
| Shinyanga | 5.1 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 94.9 | 100.0 | 464 |
| Kagera | 12.2 | 6.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 87.8 | 100.0 | 337 |
| Mwanza | 6.1 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.9 | 100.0 | 345 |
| Mara | 8.6 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 91.4 | 100.0 | 183 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.5 | 5.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 92.5 | 100.0 | 1,829 |
| Primary incomplete | 16.8 | 13.0 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 83.2 | 100.0 | 920 |
| Primary complete | 25.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 0.9 | 6.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 7.0 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 2,462 |
| Secondary+ | 44.6 | 31.2 | 11.8 | 3.2 | 7.7 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 13.3 | 11.1 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 55.4 | 100.0 | 200 |
| No. of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.8 | 100.0 | 562 |
| 1 | 16.4 | 11.7 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 83.6 | 100.0 | 941 |
| 2 | 20.2 | 14.0 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 79.8 | 100.0 | 879 |
| 3 | 21.9 | 15.4 | 7.7 | 1.0 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 78.1 | 100.0 | 812 |
| 4+ | 21.7 | 16.2 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 6.4 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 78.3 | 100.0 | 2,217 |
| Total | 18.4 | 13.3 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 81.6 | 100.0 | 5,411 |


| Table D 2.2 Current use of contraception by background characteristicsi currently |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married men by contraceptive method currently used, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Modem method |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional/folk method |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { women } \end{gathered}$ |
| Background characteristic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Any } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Any } \\ & \text { modem } \\ & \text { meth- } \\ & \text { od } \end{aligned}$ | Pill | IUD | $\begin{gathered} \text { lin- } \\ \text { ject. } \\ \text { ables } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Con- } \\ & \text { dom } \end{aligned}$ | Female steri-lisation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Any } \\ \text { trad// } \\ \text { folk } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cal- } \\ \text { endar } \\ \text { mucus } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { With- } \\ \text { draw- } \\ \text { al } \end{gathered}$ | Other methods | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { cur- } \\ \text { rently } \\ \text { rusing } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainland | 29.6 | 15.9 | 6.5 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 13.7 | 9.2 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 70.4 | 100.0 | 1,253 |
| Total urban | 36.2 | 26.7 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 11.0 | 1.9 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 63.8 | 100.0 | 260 |
| Dar es Salaam ciry | 37.9 | 29.5 | 8.3 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 12.1 | 3.0 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 62.1 | 100.0 | 83 |
| Other urban | 35.4 | 25.4 | 10.0 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 10.5 | 1.4 | 10.1 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 64.6 | 100.0 | 177 |
| Total rural | 27.9 | 13.0 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 14.9 | 9.9 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 72.1 | 100.0 | 992 |
| Zanzibar | 23.3 | 13.8 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 76.7 | 100.0 | 35 |
| Pemba | (12.9) | (6.5) | (3.2) | (0.0) | (3.2) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (6.5) | (6.5) | ${ }^{(0.0)}$ | (0.0) | (87.1) | (100.0) | 16 |
| Unguja | (32.0) | (20.0) | (12.0) | ${ }^{(0.0)}$ | (4.0) | (4.0) | (0.0) | (12.0) | (8.0) | (4.0) | (0.0) | (68.0) | (100.0) | 19 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 16.0 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.0 | 100.0 | 51 |
| Arsha | 32.7 | 16.4 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 16.4 | 7.3 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 67.3 | 100.0 | 92 |
| Kilimanjaro | 40.0 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 20.0 | 7.8 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 55 |
| Tanga | (30.2) | (14.0) | (7.0) | (0.0) | (2.3) | (4.7) | (0.0) | (16.3) | (7.0) | (9.3) | (0.0) | (69.8) | 100.0 | ${ }_{6}^{62}$ |
| Morogoro | 24.4 | 19.5 | 8.5 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 75.6 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Coast | (45.2) | (38.7) | (19.4) | (0.0) | (9.7) | (9.7) | ${ }^{(0.0)}$ | (6.5) | ${ }^{0.0}$ | (6.5) | (0.0) | (54.8) | 100.0 | 22 |
| Dares Salaam | 37.3 | 28.7 | 8.7 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 11.3 | 3.3 | 8.7 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 62.7 | 100.0 | 94 |
| Lindi | (30.4) | (21.7) | (8.7) | (0.0) | (6.5) | (6.5) | (0.0) | (8.7) | (4.3) | (0.0) | (2.2) | (69.6) | 100.0 | 35 |
| Mtwara | 21.9 | 20.3 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 78.1 | 100.0 | 61 |
| Ruvuma | 41.8 | 26.9 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 14.9 | 10.4 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 58.2 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Iringa | 20.0 | 10.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 10.0 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 59 |
| мbeya | 39.0 | 24.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 14.6 | 0.0 | (14.6) | 4.9 | ${ }^{7} .3$ | (2.4) | (61.0) | (100.0) | (78) |
| Singida | 32.7 | 26.9 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 1.9 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 67.3 | 100.0 | 50 |
| Tabora |  | 167 |  | * |  | * | 19 | * |  |  | * |  | 100.0 | 32 |
| Rukwa | 64.8 | 16.7 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 48.1 | 31.5 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 35.2 | 100.0 | 49 |
| Kigoma | 46.9 | 14.3 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | (32.7) | 32.7 | (0.0) | (0.0) | (53.1) | (100.0) | (67) |
| Stinyanga | 7.3 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 92.7 | 100.0 | 118 |
| Kagera | 42.2 | 11.1 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | (31.1) | 31.1 | ${ }^{(0.0)}$ | ${ }^{(0.0)}$ | (57.8) | (100.0) | (90) |
| Mwanza | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | (0.0) | 0.0 | ${ }^{(0.0)}$ | (0.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (99) |
| Mara | 22.2 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | (14.8) | 11.1 | (3.7) | (0.0) | (77.8) | (100.0) | (32) |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 16.3 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 11.6 | 9.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 83.7 | 100.0 | 213 |
| Primary incomplete | 17.6 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 9.3 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 82.4 | 100.0 | 342 |
| Primary complete | 37.3 | 20.9 | 9.7 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 5.6 | ${ }^{0.5}$ | ${ }_{1}^{16.4}$ | 10.6 | 4.7 | 0.8 | ${ }_{5}^{62.7}$ | 100.0 | 612 |
| Secondary+ | 46.3 | 30.8 | 9.1 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 11.2 | 5.8 | 15.4 | 9.7 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 53.7 | 100.0 | 122 |
| No. of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.3 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 89.7 | 100.0 | 108 |
|  | 25.0 | 12.7 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 12.3 | 8.1 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 176 |
| 2 | 28.5 | 14.3 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 14.2 | 8.9 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 71.5 | 100.0 | 179 |
|  | 42.4 | 24.9 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 8.2 | 0.8 | 17.5 | 14.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 57.6 | 100.0 | 175 |
| ${ }^{4+}$ | 30.6 | 16.4 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 14.2 | 8.9 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 69.4 | 100.0 | 650 |
| Total | 29.4 | 15.8 | 6.6 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 13.6 | 9.2 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 70.6 | 100.0 | 1,288 |
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## APPENDIX F

## QUESTIONNAIRES



HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE
Now we would like some information about the people who usually live in your household or who are staying with you now.


HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE CONTINUED

tick here if continuation sheet used
Just to make sure that $I$ have a complete listing:

1) Are there any other persons such as small children or infants that we have not listed?
2) In addition, are there any other people who may not be menbers of your family, such as danestic servants, lodgers or friends who usually live here?
3) Do you have any guests or temporary visitors staying here, or anyone else who slept here last night?

но $\square$

CODES FOR O.3, RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD:

| $01=$ HEAD | $05=$ GRANDCHILD |
| :--- | :--- |
| $02=$ UIFE OR HUSBAND | $06=$ PARENT |
| 03 $=$ SON OR DAUGHER | O7 $=$ PARENT-IN-LAN |
| $04=$ SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAW | $08=$ BROTHER OR SISTER |

09= CO-WIFE
$10=$ OTHER RELATIVE
$11=$ ADOPTED/FOSTER CHILD
12= NOT RELATED $12=$ NO
$98=\mathrm{DK}$
*** QUESTIONS 12 and 14: RECORD ' 00 'If the NATURAL (BIOLOGICAL) PARENT IS NOT A MEMBER OF THE HOUSEHOLD.
** CODES FOR O. 9, HIGHEST FORMAL SCHOOL:
$00=$ LESS THAN 1 YEAR COMPLETED
$01=$ STANDARD $1 \quad 05=$ STANDARD 5 $02=$ STANDARD 2 $02=$ STANDARD 2
$03=$ STANDARD 3 $03=$ STANDARD $3 \quad 07=$ STANDARD 7 $07=$ STANDARD 7
$08=$ STAMDARD $09=$ FORM 1
$10=$ FORM 2
$11=$ FORM 3
$12=$ FORM 4
$13=$ FORM 5 13= FORM 5

14= FORM 6 $15=$ UNIVERSITY 96= OTHER $96=$ OTHER
$98=$ DON'T KNOW

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household? | PIPED WATER <br> PIPED INTO HOUSE/YARD/PLOT....... 11 <br> PU8LIC /PRIVATE TAP................. 12 <br> WELL WATER <br> WELL IN RESIDENCE/YARD/PLOT..... 21 <br> PUBLIC /PRVATE WELL................ 22 <br> SURFACE WATER <br> SPRING. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 31 <br> RIVER/STREAM. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 32 <br> POND/LAKE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 33 <br> DAM..................................... . . 34 <br> RAINLATER................................... 41 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 19 | How long does it take to go there, get water, and come back? | MINUTES. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> ON PREMISES. $\qquad$ |  |
| 20 | What kind of toilet facility does your household have? <br> If flush toilet, ask if it is shared with ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD. | FLUSH TOILET <br> OWN FLUSH TOILET..................... 11 <br> SHARED FLUSH TOILET.................. 12 <br> PIT TOILET/LATRINE <br> traditional pit toilet............ 21 <br> VENTILATED IMPROVED PIT LATRINE. 22 <br> NO FACILITY/BUSH/FIELD............... 31 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |  |
|  | Does your household have: <br> Electricity? <br> A radio? <br> A television? <br> A refrigerator? |  |  |
| 22 | How many roons in your household are used for sleeping? | ROOMS. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\square$ |  |
| 23 | MAIN MATERIAL OF THE FLOOR. RECORD OBSERVATION. | NATURAL FLOOR <br> EARTH/SAND. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11 <br> RUDIMENTARY FLOOR <br> WOOD PLANKS............................ 21 <br> FINISHED FLDOR <br> PARQUET OR POLISHED WOOD......... 31 <br> CERAMIC TILES......................... 32 <br> CEMENT.................................... . . 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
|  | Does any member of your household own: <br> A bicycle? <br> A motorcycle? <br> A car? |  |  |
| 25 | Does your household always enough food to eat, or do you have sometimes or frequently have not enough food to eat? | ALHAYS ENOUGH............................. 1 SOMETIMES NOT ENOUGH.................... 2 FREQUENTLY NOT ENOUGH. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 ALWAYS NOT ENOUGH......................... 4 DOES NOT KNOW. . . . . . . .................... . . 8 |  |

WOMAN'S QUESTIONNAIRE



SECTION 1. RESPONDENT'S BACKGROUND

| NO. | QUESTIDNS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | RECORD THE TIME. | MORNING/AM.... 1 <br> HOURS. $\qquad$ <br> AFTERNOON/PM. . 2 <br> MINUTES. $\square$ |  |
| 102 | First I would like to ask some questions about you and your household. For most of the time until you were 12 years old, did you live in Dar es Salaam city, another urban area or in a rural area? | DAR ES SALAAM. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 OTHER URBAN AREA. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |  |
| 103 | How long have you been living continuously in (NAME OF CURRENT PLACE OF RESIDENCE)? |  | $105$ |
| 104 | Just before you moved here, did you live in Dar es Salaan city, another urban area or in a rural area? | DAR ES SALAAM................................ <br> OTHER URBAN AREA......................... 2 <br> RURAL AREA/VILLAGE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |  |
|  | In what month and year were you born? | MONTH. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DOES NOT KNOW MONTH. $\qquad$ <br> YEAR. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DOES NOT KNOW YEAR. $\qquad$ |  |
|  | How old were you at your last birthday? <br> COMPARE AND CORRECT 105 AND/OR 106 IF INCONSISTENT. | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS......... $\square$ |  |
|  | Can you read and write kiswahili easily, with difficulty, or not at all? |  | $\rightarrow 109$ |
| 108 | How often do you read a newspaper? | EVERY DAY/ALMOST EVERY DAY........... $\uparrow$ AT LEAST ONCE A HEEK................... 2 AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH................... 3 ONCE A MONTH................................ 4 HARDLY EVER/ACTUALLY NEVER.......... 5 DOES NOT KNOW............................... 8 |  |
|  | Have you ever attended school? |  | $\rightarrow 114$ |
| 110 | What is the highest formal school you completed? |  |  |


| NO. | OUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | ```CHECK 106: AGE 24 AGE 25 OR BELOW OR AbOVE``` | $7$ | $\rightarrow 114$ |
| 112 | Are you currently attending school? |  | 114 |
| 113 | What was the main reason you stopped attending school? | GOT PREGNANT........................... . . 01 <br> GOT MARRIED.............................. .02 <br> HAD TO CARE FOR YOUNGER CHILDREN. . 03 <br> FAMILY MEEDED HELP ON FARM <br> OR IN BUSINESS........................ 04 <br> COULD NOT PAY SCHOOL FEES.......... 05 <br> NEEDED TO EARN MONEY................. . 06 <br> GRADUATED/HAD ENOUGH SCHOOLING.... 07 <br> BAD GRADES............................... . . 08 <br> DID NOT LIKE SCHOOL. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 09 <br> SCHOOL NOT ACCESSIBLE/TOO FAR..... 10 <br> NO SPACE/OPPORTUNITY TO CONTINUE.. 11 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. ......................... . . . 98 |  |
|  | How often do you listen to the radio? |  |  |
|  | Do you usually watch television at least once a week? |  |  |
|  | What is your religion? |  |  |
|  | To which tribe do you belong? <br> IF NOT A TANZANIAN CITIZEN, URITE NAME OF COUNTRY. |  |  |
|  | CHECK Q. 4 IN THE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE: <br> THE WOMAN INTERVIEWED <br> IS NOT A USUAL <br> RESIDENT <br> THE WOMAN INTERVI <br> IS A USUAL <br> RESIDENT |  | $\rightarrow 201$ |
| 119 | Now l would like to ask about the place in which you usually live. <br> Do you usually live in Dar es Salaam city, another urban area or in a rural area? <br> IF CITY: In which city do you live? $\qquad$ | DAR ES SALAAM, LARGE CITY........... 1 <br> SMALL CITY................................... 2 <br>  <br> COUNTRYSIDE. . . . . . . ....................... . . 4 |  |
|  | In which region is that loceted? If USUAL RESIDENCE IS OUTSIDE TANZANIA, WRITE COUNTRY. | REGION___ $\square$ |  |




211 Now I would like to record the names of all your births, whether still alive or not, starting with the first one you had,
RECORD NAMES OF ALL THE BIRTHS IN 212. RECORD TWINS AND TRIPLETS ON SEPARATE LINES.

| 212 |  | $214$ | $215$ |  | $217$ <br> If ALIVE: | $218$ <br> IF ALIVE | $219$ <br> IF DEAD: | $220$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What name was given to your (first/next) baby? <br> (NAME) | Were any of these births twins? | Is <br> (NAME) <br> a boy or a girl? | In what month and year was (NAME) born? <br> PROBE: <br> What is his/ her birthday? OR: In what season was he/she born? | Is <br> (NAME) <br> still <br> alive? | How old was (NAME) at his/her last birthday? <br> RECORD AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS. | Is <br> (NAME) <br> living <br> with <br> you? | How old was (NAME) when he/she died? <br> IF 11 YR.', PROBE: How many months old was (NAME)? RECORD DAYS IF LESS THAN 1 MONTH; MONTHS IF LESS THAN THO YEARS; OR YEARS. | FROM <br> YEAR OF BIRTH of (NAME) SUBTRACT YEAR OF PREVIOUS BIRTH. <br> IS THE DIFFERENCE 4 OR MORE? | Were <br> there <br> any other live births between (NAME OF PREVIOUS BIRTH) and (NAME)? |
| 01 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING. . } 1 \\ & \text { MULT. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | BOY. . . 1 GIRL. 2 | MONTH. . <br> YEAR... $\square$ |  | AGE IN YEARS | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { YES...1 } \\ \text { NO.... } 2 \\ \text { (NEXT } \\ \text { BIRTH) } \end{array}\right]$ | DAYS.... 1 <br> MONTHS. . 2 <br> YEARS... 3 |  |  |
| 02 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING. . } 1 \\ & \text { MULT. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY... } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH.. YEAR... | YES.. 1 | AGE IN YEARS | $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { YES... } \\ \text { NO.... } 2 \\ \text { (GO TO4 } \\ \text { 220) }\end{array}\right]$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.... } 1 \\ & \text { NO..... } 2 \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { (NEXT \& } \\ \text { BIRTH) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | YES.. 1 NO... 2 |
| 03 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING. . } 1 \\ & \text { MULT. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | BOY . . 1 GIRL. . 2 | MONTH. <br> YEAR... | YES. 1 | AGE IN YEARS | YES... ${ }^{1}$ NO. . . 2 (GO TO4 220) |  | YES.... 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> (NEXT <br> BIRTH) | YES.. 1 NO... 2 |
| $04$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING. . } 1 \\ & \text { MULT. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY... } \\ & \text { GIRL.. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH. . <br> YEAR... |  | AGE IN YEARS | YES... 1 NO.... $2-$ (GO TO4 $220)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . } 2 \\ & \text { (NEXT \& } \\ & \text { BIRTH) } \end{aligned}$ | YES.. 1 NO. . 2 |
| $05$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING. . } 1 \\ & \text { MULT. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | BOY... 1 GIRL. . 2 | MONTH. . <br> YEAR... | YES.. 1 | AGE IN YEARS | YES . . 1 NO. . . $2-$ (GO TO4 220) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.... } 1 \\ & \text { NO..... } 2 \\ & \text { (NEXT - } \\ & \text { BIRTH) } \end{aligned}$ | YES.. 1 MO... 2 |
| 06 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SING. . } 1 \\ & \text { MULT. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY... } ? \\ & \text { GIRL. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH. . <br> YEAR... | YES.. 1 | AGE IN YEARS | YES...1 NO....2-2 (60 TO-4 220) | DAYS $\qquad$ MONTHS, . 2 YEARS... 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.... } 1 \\ & \text { NO...... } \\ & \substack{\text { (NEXT } \\ \text { BIRTH) }} \end{aligned}$ | YES. . 1 NO... 2 |
| $07$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SJNG. . } 1 \\ & \text { MULT. . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | BOY... 1 | MONTH. . <br> YEAR... |  | AGE IN YEARS | $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { YES... }{ }^{1} \\ \text { NO.... } 2 \\ \text { (GO TO. } \\ 220)\end{array}\right]$ | DAYS.... 1 MONTHS. . 2 YEARS... 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. .... } 2 \\ & \text { (NEXT } \left.\begin{array}{c} \text { BIRTH) } \end{array}\right] \end{aligned}$ | YES. . 1 <br> NO. . . 2 |



| NO. QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: |
| 226 Are you pregnant now? |  |
| 227 How many months pregnant are you? | MONTHS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\square$ |
| 228 At the time you becane pregnant, did you want to become pregnant then, did you want to wait until later, or did you not want to have any more children at all? | THEN . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 LATER. 2 NOT WANT MORE CHILDREN . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 |
| 229 When did your last menstrual period start? <br> (DATE, IF GIVEN) | DAYS AGO. $\qquad$ <br> LEEKS AGO $\qquad$ <br> MONTHS AGO. $\qquad$ <br> YEARS AGO. $\qquad$ <br> IN MENOPAUSE $\qquad$ .994 <br> BEFDRE LAST BIRTH...................... 995 <br> NEVER MENSTRUATED...................... . . . 996 |
| 230 Between the first day of a woman's period and the first day of her next period, are there certain times when she has o greater chance of beconing pregnant than other times? |  |
| 231 During which times of the monthly cycle does a woman have the greatest chance of becoming pregnant? | DURING HER PERIOD........................ 1 <br> RIGHT AFTER HER PERIOD <br> HAS ENDED................................ 2 <br> IN THE MIDDLE OF THE CYCLE........... 3 <br> JUST BEFORE HER PERIOD BEGINS...... 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNOW. .8 $\qquad$ |

Now I would like to talk about family planning - the various ways or methods that a couple can use to delay or avoid a pregnancy.
CIRCLE CCDE 1 IN 301 fOR EACH METHOD MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. THEN PROCEED DOWN COLUMN 3O2, READING THE NAME AND DESCRIPTIOM OF EACH METHOO NOT MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. CIRCLE CODE 2 IF METHOO IS RECOGNIZED, AND CDDE 3 JF NOT RECOGNIZED. THEN, FOR EACH METHOD UITH CODE 1 OR 2 CIRELED IN 301 OR 302, ASK 303.

|  | Which ways or methods have you heard about? | SPONTANEOUS YES | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc}302 & \text { Have you ever } \\ \text { heard of (METHOD)? } \\ \text { PROBED } & \\ \text { YES } & \text { NO }\end{array}\right\|$ | 303 Have you ever used (METHOD)? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | PILL Women can take a pill every day. | 1 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 02 | IUB Women can have a toop or coil placed inside them by a doctor or a nurse. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.............................. } \\ & \text { No. .............................. } \end{aligned}$ |
| $03$ | INJECTIONS Women can have an injection by a doctor or nurse which stops them from becoming pregnant for severel months. | 1 | 2 3- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 04 | IMPLANTS Wamen can have several small rods placed in their upper arm by a doctor or nurse which can prevent pregnancy for several years. | 1 | 2 | YES............................. 1 <br> NO. .............................. 2 |
| 05 | DIAPHRAGM, FOAM, JELLY Women can place a sponge, suppository, diaphragm, jelly, or cream inside themselves before intercourse. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 06 | CONDOM, RUBBER, RAINCOAT, DUREX A man can wear a rubber bag on his penis during sex to prevent pregnancy. The rubber bag is also used to prevent passing diseases such as AIDS and for cleanliness. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 07. | FEMALE STERILIZATION Women can have an operation to avoid having any more children. | 1 | 2 | Have you ever had an operation to avoid having any more children? <br> YES........................... 1 <br> NO. <br> ............................. 2 |
| 081 | male sterilization Men can have an operation to avoid having any more children. | 1 | 23 | Have you ever had a partner who had an operation to avoid having children? <br> YES.......................... <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |
| 09 | CALENDAR/SAFE PER100 Couples can have sexual intercourse only during the safe period of the monthly cycle that is the times during monthly cycle when women is least likely to get pregnant. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { N0. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | MUCUS METHOD A woman can observe daily the state of the mucus and avoid sexual intercourse at the time when the mucus is colorless and extremely elaastic. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES............................. } 1 \\ & \text { NO............................... } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11] | withdranal Men can be careful and pull out before climax. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES............................. } 1 \\ & \text { N0................................ } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Have you heard of any other ways or methods that women or men can use to avoid pregnancy? | ) <br> (SPECIFY <br> (SPECIF | $3$ |  |

304 CHECK 303:
NOT A SINGLE
"YES"
(NEVER USED)



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES ISKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 316 | CHECK 315: <br> MORE THAN 2 DAYS AGO $\square$ TWO DAYS AGO OR |  |
| 317 | Why aren't you taking the pill these days? | HUSBAND AWAY............................... FORGOT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $B$ HEALTH REASONS............................. $C$ COST TOO MUCH............................ NO NEED TO TAKE EVERY DAY.............E RAN OUT. CBD HAS NOT BROUGHT RESUPPLY........G MENSTRUATING. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ......... DTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) |
| 318 | Just about everyone forgets to take s pill sometime. What do you do when you forget to take a pill for two days in a row? | START TAKING AGAIN AS USUAL........ TAKE EXTRA/MISSED PILLS.............. 2 USE ANOTHER METHOO........................ 3 take extra pill and use <br> ANOTHER METHOD. ...................... . . 4 <br> NEVER FORGOT............................. . . . 5 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) |
| 319 | Where did the sterilisation take place? <br> If SOURCE 15 HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENYRE, OR CLINIC, URITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIFY the type of source and circle the appropriate code. | government and parastatal <br> REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL.... 11 <br> DISTRICT HOSPITAL.................. 12 <br> HEALTH CENTRE........................ 13 <br> DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY.. 14 <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORXER...... 15 <br> MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. FACIL!TY........... 21 <br> PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL..... . 22 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 $\qquad$ .98 |
| 320 | Do you regret that (you/your husband) had the operation not to have any (more) children? |  |
| 321 | Why do you regret the operation? | RESPONDENY WANTS ANOTHER CHILD..... 1 PARTNER WANTS ANOTHER CHILD......... 2 SIDE EFFECTS............................... 3 CHILD DIED.................................. 4 OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) |
|  | In what month and year was the sterílisation performed? |  |
| 323 | You said that you have avoided having sexual intercourse on certain days of the month to avoid getting pregnant. <br> How do you determine which days of your monthly cycle not to have gexual relations? | BASEO ON CALENOAR........................ 1 <br> BASED ON BCDY TEMPERATURE............ 2 BASED ON CERVICAL MUCUS <br> (BILLINGS METHOD)...................... 3 <br> BASED ON BDOY TEMPERATURE <br> AND CERVICAL MUCUS................... 4 <br> NO SPECIFIC SYSTEM....................... 5 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 |
|  | For how marty months have you been using (METHOD) continuous ly? <br> IF LESS THAN 1 MONTH, RECORD 'OO'. |  |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 330 | What is the main reason you are not using a method of contraception to avoid pregnancy? | NOT MARRIED $\qquad$ <br> FERTILITY-RELATED REASONS <br> NOT HAVING SEX...................... 21 <br> INFREQUENT SEX........................ 22 <br> MENOPAUSAL/HYSTERECTOHY........... 23 <br> SUBFECUND/INFECUND.................. . 24 <br> POSTPARTUM/BREASTFEEDING. . . . . . . . 25 <br> WANTS MORE CHILDREN................. 26 <br> OPPOSITION TO USE <br> RESPONDENT OPPOSED.................... 31 <br> HUSBAND OPPOSED........................ 32 <br> OTHERS OPPOSED......................... 33 <br> RELIGIOUS PROHIBITION.............. 34 <br> LACK OF KNONLEDGE <br> KNOWS NO METHDD..................... 41 <br> KNOWS NO SOURCE........................ 42 <br> METHOD-RELATED REASONS <br> health Concerns....................... 51 <br> FEAR OF SIDE EFFECTS................ 52 <br> LACK OF ACCESS/TOO FAR. ........... 53 <br> COST TOO MUCH.......................... 54 <br> INCONVENIENT TO USE.................. 55 <br> INTERFERES WITH BODY'S <br> NORMAL PROCESSES.................. 56 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> DOES NOT XNOW................. |  |
|  | Do you know of a place where you can obtain a method of family planning? | YES.................................................................. 2 | $\xrightarrow{\\|} 333$ |
| 332 | Where is that? <br> If SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC, URITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIFY the type of source and circle the appropriate cooe. | GOVERNMENT AND PARASTATAL <br> REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL.... 11 <br> DISTRICT HOSPITAL.................. 12 <br> HEALTH CENTRE......................... 13 <br> DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY.. 14 <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER...... 15 <br> MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. FACILITY........... 21 <br> PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL...... 22 <br> PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE............ 23 <br> CBD WORKER............................. 24 <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 31 <br>  <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES/NEI GHBORS. . . . 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 333 | Were you visited by a family planning program worker in the last 12 months? | YES................................... 1 n0............................... 2 |  |
| 334 | Have you visited a heal th facility in the last 12 months for any reason? | YES.................................. 1 N0............................. 2 | $\rightarrow 335 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| 335 | Did anyone at the health facility speak to you about family planning methods? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 335A | Have you seen or heard of the Green Star Logo (Symbol)? |  | $\rightarrow 336$ |
| 3358 | What does the Green Star Logo mean to you? | FAMILY PLANHING RELATED............. 1 NOT FAMILY PLANNING RELATED....... 2 DOESN'T KNOW...................... 8.8 |  |
| 3350 | How did you learn about the Green Star? CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED. | BILLBOARDS.......................................... <br> Bus......................................... <br> POSTERS.................................... . <br> LEAFLETS. ................................. . D <br> RADIO........................................ <br> CLINIC SIGN................................. <br> SERVICE PROVIDER........................... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 336 | Some women think that breastfeeding can affect their chance of becoming pregnant. Do you think a woman's chance of becoming pregnant is increased, decreased, or not affected by breastfeeding? |  | $\xrightarrow[\underset{\mid}{\mid} 401]{\underset{\mid}{\mid} 401} 4$ |
|  | CHECK 210: <br> ONE OR MORE <br> NO BIRTHS <br> BIRTHS $\square$ | $\square$ | $+401$ |
|  | Have you ever relied on breastfeeding as a method of avoiding pregnancy? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow 401$ |
|  | CHECK 226 AND 308: <br> NOT PREGNANT OR UNSURE <br> EITHER PR <br> AND NOT STERILISED $\square$ | EGNANT OR $\square$ | $\underset{\rightarrow 401}{ }$ |
|  | Are you currently relying on breastfeeding to avoid getting pregnant? |  |  |




|  |  | NAME LAST BIRTH | NAME NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH | SECOND-FROH-LAST BIRTH NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 414 | Around the time of the birth of (NAME), did you have any of the following problems: <br> Long labor, that is, did your regular contractions last more than 12 hours? <br> Excessive bleeding that was so much that you feared it was life threatening? <br> A high fever with bad smelling vaginal discharge? <br> Convulsions not caused by fever? |  | YESLABOR <br> MORE THAN 12 HOURS.. 1EXCESSIVEBLEEDING.............. 1 | YES NO <br> LABOR <br> MORE THAN 12 HOURS.. 12 <br> EXCESSIVE <br> bleeding............... 12 <br> fever/bad smelling VAG. DISCHARGE....... 12 <br> CONVULSIONS........... 1 |
| 414A | CHECK 412 ( 11 OR 12) delivered at home |  |  |  |
| 415 | Was (NAME) delivered by caesarian section? | YES....................... 1 N0.................. 2 | YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO. . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | Yes.......... . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 |
| 416 | When (NAME) was born, was he/she: <br> very large, larger than average, average, smaller than average, or very small? |  | VERY LARGE................... 1 <br> LARGER THAN aVERAGE...... 2 <br> AVERAGE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 <br> SMALLER THAN AVERAGE..... 4 <br> VERY SMALL.................. 5 <br> DON'T KNOW................... 8 | VERY LARGE................... 1 <br> LARGER THAN AVERAGE...... 2 <br> AVERAGE. ................... . . . 3 <br> SMALLER THAN AVERAGE..... 4 <br> VERY SMALL................... 5 <br> DON TT KNOW................... 8 |
| 417 | Was (NAME) weighed at birth? |  |  |  |
| 417B | How much did (NAME) weigh? <br> RECORD WEIGHT FROM HEALTH CARD, IF AVAllable | GRAMS FROM CARD....... 1 $\square$ <br> GRAMS FROM RECALL.... 2 <br> DONיT KNOH. $\qquad$ .99998 | GRAMS FROM CARD....... 1 <br> GRAMS FROM RECALL.... 2 DOH'T KNOW. $\qquad$ 99998 | GRAMS FROM CARD....... 1 <br> GRAMS FROH RECALL.... 2 <br> DON'T KNOH. $\qquad$ 99998 |
| 418A | Did you see anyone for pospartum care within six weeks after delivery of (NAME)? | YES. $\qquad$ <br> NO. $\qquad$ (SKIP TO 419)< | YES $\qquad$ <br> NO. $\qquad$ (SxIP to 420 ) |  |
| 418B | Who provided the postnatal care? <br> Anyone else? <br> PROBE FOR THE TYPE OF PERSON AND RECORD ALL PERSONS COHSULTED. | HEALTH PROFESSIONAL <br> DOCTOR/MEDICAL ASST . ......A <br> RURAL MEDICAL AIDE........ $B$ <br> NURSE/MIDHIFE. ..............C <br> MCH AIDE...................... <br> OTHER PERSON <br> VILLAGE HEALTH WORKER....E <br> TRAINED BIRTH ATTENDANT..F <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDANT...................G <br> NEIGHBORS/RELATIVES....... H OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) | health professional <br> DOCTOR/MEDICAL ASST......A <br> RURAL MEDICAL AIDE........ <br> NURSE/MIDWIFE. . . . .......... C <br> MCH AIDE..................... <br> OTHER PERSON <br> VILLAGE HEALTH WORKER....E <br> TRAINED BIRTH ATTENDANT..F <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDANT................... G <br> NEIGHBORS/RELATIVES....... H <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) | HEALTH PROFESSIONAL <br> DOCTOR/MEDICAL ASST......A <br> RURAL MEDICAL AIDE........ $B$ <br> NURSE/MIDWI FE...............C <br> HCH AIDE..................... <br> OTHER PERSON <br> VILLAGE HEALTH HORKER....E TRAINED BIRTH ATTENDANT..F TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDANT. . . . . . ........... G <br> NEIGHBORS/RELATIVES....... H OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) |
|  | Has your period returned since the birth of (NAME)? |  |  |  |


|  |  | MAME LAST BIRTH | $\qquad$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 420 | Did your period return between the birth of (NAME) and your next pregnancy? |  |  |  |
| 421 | For how many months after the birth of (NAME) did you not have a period? | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNON $\qquad$ | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ |
| 422 | CHECK 226: RESPONDENT PREGNANT? |  |  |  |
|  | Have you resumed sexual relations since the birth of (NAME)? |  |  | $\qquad$ |
|  | For how many months after the birth of (NAME) did you not have sexual relations? | MONTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'I KNOW. .98 | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ | MONTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW. 98 |
| 425 | Did you ever breastfeed (NAME)? | $\left\|\begin{array}{r}\text { YES...................... }{ }^{1} \\ \text { NO...................... } \\ \text { (SKIP TO } 431 \text { ¢ }\end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |
| 426 | How long after birth did you first put (NAME) to the breast? <br> IF LESS THAN 1 HOUR, RECORD 'OO'. <br> IF LESS THAN 24 HOURS, RECORD HOURS. <br> OTHERNISE, RECORD DAYS. | immediately. .............. 000 <br> HOURS $\qquad$ <br> DAYS | IMMEDIATELY. . . . . . . . . . . . 000 <br> HOURS $\qquad$ <br> DAYS $\qquad$ $\square$ | IMMEDIATELY. . . . . . . . . . . 000 <br> HOURS. $\qquad$ <br> DAYS. |
|  | CHECK 404: <br> CHILD ALIVE? |  |  |  |
| 428 | Are yau still breastfeeding (NAME)? |  |  |  |
|  | For how many months did you breastfeed (NAME)? | MONTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ 98 | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ 98 |
| 430 | Why did you stop breastfeeding (NAME)? | MOTHER ILL/HEAK. . . . . . . . . 01 <br> CHILD ILL/WEAK........... 02 <br> CHILD DIED................. 03 <br> NIPPLE/BREAST PROBLEM... 04 <br> NOT ENOUGH MILK.......... 05 <br> MOTHER NORKING........... 06 <br> CHILD REFUSED............. 07 <br> WEANING AGE/AGE TO STOP. 08 <br> BECAME PREGNANT......... . 09 <br> STARTED USING <br> CONTRACEPTION......... . 10 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) | MOTHER ILL/WEAK. . . . . . . . . 01 <br> CHILD ILL/WEAK........... . 02 <br> CHILD DIED.................. 03 <br> HIPPLE/BREAST PROBLEM... 04 <br> NOT ENOUGH MILK......... 05 <br> MOTHER WORKING........... . 06 <br> CHILD REFUSED............. 07 <br> WEANING AGE/AGE TO STOP. 08 <br> BECAME PREGNANT.......... 09 <br> STARTED USING <br> CONTRACEPTION. . ........ 10 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 (SPECIFY) | MOTHER ILL/WEAK. . . . . . . . . 01 CHILD ILL/WEAK........... 02 CHILD DIED................. 03 NIPPLE/BREAST PROBLEM... 04 NOT ENOUGH MILK.......... 05 MOTHER WORKING........... . 06 CHILD REFUSED............. 07 WEANING AGE/AGE TO STOP. 08 BECAME PREGNANT.......... 09 STARTED USING <br> CONTRACEPIION.......... 10 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 (SPECIFY) |


|  |  | LAST EIRTK <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NAME NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH | SECOND*FROM-LAST BIRTH NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 431 | CHECK 404: CHILD ALIVE? |  |  |  |
| 432 | How many times did you breastfeed last night between sunset and sunrise? <br> IF ANSWER IS NOT NUMERIC, PROBE FOR APPROXIMATE NUMBER. <br> How many times did you breastfeed yesterday during the daylight hours? <br> IF ANSWER IS NOT NUMERIC, PROBE FOR APPROXIMATE NUMBER. | MUMBER OF MIGHTIME FEEDINGS ............. | NUMBER OF NIGHTIME FEEDINGS $\qquad$ <br> NUMBER OF <br> DAYLIGHT <br> FEEDINGS. |  |
| 434 | Did (NAME) drink anything from a bott(e with a nipple yesterday or last night? | YES.......................... 1 NO................. 2 DON'T KNOW............. 8 |  |  |
| 43 | At any time yesterday or last night was (NAME) given any of the following?: <br> Plain water? <br> Sugar water? <br> Juice? <br> Beby formula? <br> Cow's milk? <br> Any other liquids? <br> Ugali, uji or other food from rice, wheat or maize? <br> Any green vegetables? <br> Any yellow food like yams, mangoes, paw paws or carots? <br> Egg, fish or poultry? <br> Meat? <br> Any other solid or semi-solid food? |  |  |  |
| 436 | CHECK 435 : <br> FOOD OR LIGUID GIVEN YESTERDAY? |  |  |  |
| 43 | (Aside from breastfeeding) how meny times did (NAME) eat yesterday, including both meals and snacks? <br> IF 7 OR MORE TIMES, RECORD 17 ' | NUMBER OF TIMES. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ | NUMEER OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KHOW. $\qquad$ | NUMBER OF TIMES. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ |
| 439 |  | GO BACK TO 405 IN NEXT COLUMN: OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 440. | GO BACK TO 405 IN NEXT COLUMN: OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 440. | GO BACK TO 405 IN HEXT COLUMN: OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 440. |




|  |  | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST BIRTH NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 454 | Has (NAME) had diarrhea (three or more watery stools) in the last two weeks? | ```YES............................. NO. . . . ....................... . 2 (SKIP TO 464)<- DON'T KNOW.``` |  |  |
| 455 | Was there any blood in the stools? |  |  |  |
|  | On the warst day of the diarrhea, how many bowel movements did (NAME) have? | NUMBER OF BOWEL MOVEMENTS.... DONיT KNOW. $\qquad$ | NUMBER OF BOWEL MOVEMENTS.... <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ | NUMBER OF BOWEL MOVEMENTS.... $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ |
| 457 | Was he/she given the same amount to drink as before the diarrhea, or more, or less? |  | SAME. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 19 MORE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 LESS . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
|  | Was he/she given the same amount of food as before the diarrhea, or more, or less? | SAME . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 19 MORE $1 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ |  |  |
| 460 | Was anything (else) given to treat the diarrhea? |  |  |  |
|  | What was given to trear the diarrhea? <br> Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL TREATMENTS MENTIONED. | FLUID FROM ORS PACKET....A HOMEMADE SUGAR SALT SOLN.B ANTIBIOTIC PILL OR <br> SYRUP......................... <br> OTHER PILL OR SYRUP...... D <br> INJECTION. . .................. . $E$ <br> DRIP............................. <br> HOME REMEDIES/ <br> HERBAL MEDICINES..........G <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) | FLUID FROM ORS PACKET.....A homemade sugar salt soln.b ANTIBIDTIC PILL OR <br> SYRUP........................ <br> OTHER PILL OR SYRUP...... 0 <br> INJECTION................... <br> DRIP.............................. <br> home remedies/ <br> herbal medicines.........g OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) | FLUID FROM ORS PACKET....A homemade sugar salt soln.b ANTI8IOTIC PILL OR <br> SYRUP........................C <br> OTHER PILL OR SYRUP...... 0 <br> INJECTION. . ................... <br> DRIP............................. <br> HOME REMEDIES/ <br> HERBAL MEDICINES.........G <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) |
|  | Did you seek advice or treatment for the diarrhea? |  | YES............................. 1 <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> (5K1P 10 464) < $\qquad$ | YES............................... NO.............................. (SK1P 10464 ) |
|  | From whom or where did you seek advice or treatment? <br> Anyone etse? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | government and parastatal. <br> HOSPITAL. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A <br> hEALTH CENTRE.............. . <br> DISPENSARY................... C <br> PARASTATAL HOSP/CLINIC...D <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/ <br> WORKER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .E <br> OTHER PUBLIC MEDICAL <br> (SPECIFY) <br> MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. HOSP/CLIN.G PRIVATE DOCTOR/HOSP/CLIN.H PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE...I OTHER PRIVATE MEDICAL $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> TRADITIONAL PRACTIONER...K NEIGHBORS/RELATIVES.......L OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> (SPECIFY) | government and parastatal HOSPITAL. ................... A HEALTH CENTRE............... DISPENSARY..................... PARASTATAL HOSP/CLINIC...D VILLAGE HEALTH POST/ WORKER......................E OTHER PUBLIC MEDICAL $\qquad$ F <br> (SPECIFY) <br> MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR RELIGIOUS ORG. HOSP/CLIN.G PRIVATE DOCTOR/HOSP/CLIN.H PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE...I OTHER PRIVATE MEDICAL $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> TRADITIONAL PRACTIONER...X NEIGHBORS/RELATIVES....... L OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> (SPECIFY) | government and parastatal $\qquad$ <br> HEALTH CENTRE............... <br> DISPENSARY.................... <br> PARASTATAL HOSP/CLINIC...D <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/ <br> WORKER. . . . . . . . . . . . ..... $E$ <br> OTHER PUBLIC MEDICAL $\qquad$ <br> F <br> (SPECIFY) <br> MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. HOSP/CLIN.G <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR/HOSP/CLIN.H <br> PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE...I <br> OTHER PRIVATE MEDICAL $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> TRADITIONAL PRACTIONER...K <br> NEIGHBORS/RELATIVES.......L OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> (SPECIFY) |
| 464 |  | GO BACK TO 442 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 465. | GO BACK TO 442 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 465. | GO BACK TO 442 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 465. |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 465 | When a child has diarrhea, should he/she be given less to drink than usual, sbout the some amount, or more than usual? | LESS TO DRINK........................... 1 <br> ABOUT SAME AMOUNT TO DRINK.......... 2 <br> MORE TO DRINK............................. 3 <br> DOW'T KNOW................................... 8 |  |
| 466 | When a child has diarrhea, should he/she be given less to eat than usual, about the same amount, or more than usual? | LESS TO EAT................................ 1 <br> ABOUT SAME AMOUNT TO EAT.............. 2 <br> MORE TO EAT................................. 3 <br> DON'T KNOW. ................................. 8 |  |
| 467 | When a child is sick with diarrhea, what signs of illness would tell you that he or she should be taken to a health facility or health worker? <br> recoro all mentioned. | REPEATED WATERY STOOLS............... A <br> ANY WATERY STOOLS...................... $B$ <br> REPEATED VOMITING......................... <br> ANY VOMITING............................... <br> BLOOD IN STOOLS..........................E <br> FEVER,......................................... <br> MARKED THIRST. <br> NOT EATING/NOT DRINKING WELL......... H <br> GETTING SICKER/VERY SICK. <br> NOT GETTING BETTER......................... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNON. |  |
| 468 | When a child is sick with a cough, what signs of illness would tell you that he or she should be taken to a heal th facility or heal th worker? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | FAST BREATHING.............................. <br> DIFFICULT BREATHING..................... $B$ <br> NDISY BREATHING............................ $C$ <br> FEVER........................................ D <br> UNABLE TO DRINK.......................... <br> NOT EATING/NOT DRINKING WELL........ <br> GETTING SICKER/VERY SICK.............. G <br> NOT GETTING BETTER......................... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNOW.. <br> . .2 |  |
|  | CHECK 461, ALL COLUMNS: <br> NO CHILD <br> QUESTION <br> RECEIVED ORS <br> NOT ASKED | 12 ORS $\square$ | $\xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{ } 471$ |
| 470 | Have you ever heard of a special product called ORS you can get for the treatment of diarrhea? |  |  |
| 471 | Have you fallen sick during the last 4 weeks? |  | $\rightarrow 480$ |
| 472 | What is the type of most recent jliness? | FEVER........................................ 01 <br> MALARIA..................................... 02 <br> CHEST PROBLEM............................. 03 <br> JOINT BODY ACHE......................... . 04 <br> STOMACH PROBLEMS........................ 05 <br> INJURIES...................................... 06 <br> EYES PROBLEM.............................. 07 <br> EARS PROBLEM............................... . 08 <br> TEETH PROBLEM............................ 09 <br> GYNAECOLOGICAL PROBLEM............... 10 <br> ANTENATAL................................... 11 <br> COUGH...................................... . . 12 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |  |


| NO. QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: |
| 473 Where did you go for the last treatment? | government and parastatal <br> REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL.... 11 <br> DISTRICT HOSPITAL.................. 12 <br> HEALTH CENTRE........................ 13 <br> DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY.. 14 <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER...... 15 <br> medical private sector <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. FACILITY.......... 21 <br> PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL.... . 22 <br> PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE........... 23 <br> CBD WORKER............................. . 24 <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 31 <br> CHURCH. ................................. . 32 <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES/NEIGHBORS..... 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |
| 474A How tong did it take to ger there? (in minutes) | MINUTES.................. $\square_{\square}^{\square}$ |
| 4748\| How many kilometers did you travel? | KILOMETERS.................. |
| 475 Is there another health facility nearer your home than the one you went for treatment? |  |
| 476 What is the main reason you didn'r go to the closer facility? <br> CIRCLE ONE ONLY | WAS REFERRED HERE. ...................... 01 <br> YOU HAVE TO PAY THERE............... 02 <br> NO DRUGS THERE......................... . . . 03 <br> NO DOCTOR THERE..................... . . . 04 <br> STAFF POOR THERE...................... . 05 <br> EMPLOYER DOES NOT PAY THERE....... 06 <br> OTHER FACILITY WOULD HAVE <br> SENT HERE.............................. 07 <br> OTHER FACILITY WOULD NOT HAVE <br> SEEN. . . . . . . . . . ....................... . 08 <br> INCONVENIENT HOURS OF OPERATION... 09 <br> SERVICES I NEEDED NOT AVAILABLE... 10 <br> WAITING TIME TOO LONG................ 11 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> DOES NOT KNOW. ........................... 98 |
| 477 How do you rate the service you received from the |  |
| How much did treatment cost you? <br> i. Transport cost <br> ii. Clinic fee <br> iii. Cost of drugs <br> iv. Other expenses |  |
| 479 Do you think the cost was too high, fair or too low? |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 480 | Do you think that patients should be charged for each visit to raise funds for more drugs and other supplies for the facility? |  |
| 481 | Do you ever go to a facility where you have to pay? |  |
| 482 | Why not? |  |
| 483 | How often do you visit a health facility where you have to pay? | RARELY........................................... 1 <br> MOST OF THE TIME........................ 2 <br> ALL OF THE TIME............................. 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES $\square$ |
| 484 | For what service did you go there last time? ChOOSE ONE ONLY | CONSULTATION FOR ILLNESS........... . 01 <br> MATERNITY SERVICES..................... . . 02 <br> LABORATORY/X-RAY. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 03 <br> DRUGS...................................... . . . 04 <br> FAMILY PLANNING...................... . . . 05 <br> ANTE-NATAL CARE....................... 06 <br> IMMUNIZAION.............................. . . 07 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |




| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILIERS | CODING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 601 | CHECK 311: <br> nEITHER STERILISED $\square$ HE OR SHE STERILI |  |
| 602 | CHECK 226: <br> NOT PREGNANT OR UNSURE PREGNANT $\square$ <br> Now I have some questions about the future. Would you like to have (a/another) child or would you prefer not to have any (more) children? <br> Now l have some questions about the future. After the chitd you are expecting, would you like to have another child or would you prefer not to have any more children? | have (a/ANOTHER) CHILD............... 1 NO MORE/NOHE. SAYS SHE CAN'T GET PREGNANT $\qquad$ UNDECIDED/DOES NOT KHOW.............. $8 \rightarrow 604$ |
| 603 | CHECK 226: <br> NOT PREGNANT OR UNSURE <br> pregnant <br> How long would you like <br> How long would you like to wait from now before to wait after the birth the birth of (a/another) of the child you are child? expecting before the birth of another child? | MONTHS. $\qquad$ YEARS $\qquad$ <br> SOON/NOU. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 993 <br> SAYS SHE CAN'T GET PREGNANT...... $994 \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 606$ <br> AFTER MARRIAGE......................... . 995 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 996 |
| 604 | CHECK 226: <br> NOT PREGNANT <br> PREGNANT OR UNSURE |  |
| 605 | If you became pregnant in the next few weeks, would you be happy, unhappy, or would it not matter very much? |  |
| 606 | CHECK 310: USING A METHOD? <br> NOT nOT CURRENTLY $\square$ CURREN ASKED USING USING |  |
| 607 | Do you think you will use a method to delay or avoid pregnancy within the next 12 months? |  |
| 608 | Do you think you will use a method at any time in the future? |  |
| 609 | Which method would you prefer to use? |  |


| no. | OUESTIONS AND FILIERS | COOING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 610 | What is the main reason you think you will never use a method? | not married.............................. 11 <br> FERTILITY-RELATED REASONS <br> IWFREQUENT/NO SEX.. <br> menopausal/hysterectomy........... 23 <br> SUBFECUND/INFECUND................. 24 <br> WANTS MORE CHILDREN............... . 26 <br> OPPOSITION TO USE <br> RESPONDENT OPPOSED................... 31 <br> hUSBAND OPPOSED. ..................... 32 <br> OTHERS OPPOSED....................... 33 <br> RELIGIOUS PROHIBITION............. 34 <br> lack of knowledge <br> knOws no method. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 41 <br> KNOWS NO SOURCE..................... 42 <br> methoo-related reasons <br> HEALTH CONCERNS.. <br> fear of side effects................. 51 <br> LaCK of access/too far........... . 53 <br> COST TOO MUCH........................ 54 <br> INCONVENIENT TO USE................ 55 <br> INTERFERES WITH BOOY'S <br> NORMAL PROCESSES................. 56 <br> no Other reason......................... 95 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> DOES NOT KNOW............ . . 98 |
|  | Would you ever use a method if you were married? |  |
|  | CHECK 216: <br> HAS LIVING CHILDREN <br> If you could go back to the time you did not have any children and could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be? <br> NO LIVING CHILDREN $\square$ <br> If you could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole tife, how meny would that be? <br> PROBE FOR A NUMERIC RESPONSE. | NUMBER $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 (SPECIFY) |
|  | How many of these children would you tike to be boys and how many would you like to be girls? |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In general, do you approve or disapprove of couples using a method to avoid getting pregnant? | APPROVE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 DISAPPROVE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | 617 |
|  | Have you ever recommended family planning to a friend, relative, or anyone else? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | If you wanted to get information on family planning, who would you like to talk to most: <br> Family planning worker from your cormunity? <br> Health clinic staff? <br> Traditional Birth Attendant (TBA)? <br> Your husband or partner? <br> Friend? <br> Relative? <br> Religious leader? <br> Somebody else? | CBD WORKER................................. 01 <br> CLINIC STAFF.............................. 02 <br> TBA........................................ . . . 03 <br> HUSBAND/PARTNER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 04 <br> FRIEND . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 05 <br> RELATIVE. .................................. . 06 <br> RELIGIOUS LEADERS...................... 07 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
|  | Is it acceptable or not acceptable to you for information on family planning to be provided: <br> On the radio? <br> On the television? | ACCEPT- ABLE |  |
|  | In the last six months have you heard about family planning: <br> On the radio? <br> On the television? <br> In a newspaper or magazine? <br> From a poster? <br> From billboards? <br> At community events/logo launches <br> From live drama? <br> From a doctor or nurse? <br> From a community health worker? |  |  |
|  | In the past six months, what drama series have you listened to on the radio? <br> CIRCLE ThE SERIES MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY, FOR SERIES NOT MENTIONED ASK, <br> In the 6 months, have you listened to (NAME OF SERIES)? <br> Zinduka <br> Twende na Waketi <br> Ukweli Kuhusu Maisha <br> Other | YES YPO- SPO- NTA- NTA |  |
|  | $\qquad$ | DUKA | $19 E$ |
|  | How often do you listen to Zinduka? |  |  |
|  | As a result listening to Zinduka, did you do anything or take any any action related to family planning? |  | $\rightarrow 61$ |
|  | What did you do as a result of listening to Zinduka? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | TALKED TO PARTNER..........................A <br> TALKED TO HEALTH WORKER................ <br> TALKED TO SOMEONE ELSE.................C <br> VISITED A CLINIC FOR FAMILY PLANN..D <br> began using a modern methoo.........E <br> CONTINUED USING A MODERN METHOD.....F <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNON.............................. |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 619E | CHECK 619: LISTENED TO TWENDE NA WAKAT: $\square$ HAS NOT LISTENED TWENDE NA WAKA | ED TO <br> KATI $\square$ | $\operatorname{l}_{\rightarrow 620}$ |
| 619F | How often do you listen to Twende na Wakati? |  |  |
| 620 | In the last six months have you discussed family planning with your friends or relatives? |  | $\rightarrow 622$ |
| 621 | With whom? <br> Anyone else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | HUSBAND/PARTNER............................. A <br> MOTHER..................................... $B$ <br> FATHER........................................ C <br> SISTER(S).................................... . D <br> BROTHER(S).................................. <br> DAUGHTER....................................... <br> SONS. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $G$ <br> MOTHER-IN-LAW. . ................................ <br> FRIENDS........................................ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| $622$ |  | NOT IN <br> UNION | $\underset{\mid}{\underset{\\|}{\\|}} 701$ |
| $623$ | Spouses/partners do not always agree on everything. Now\| I want to ask you about your husband's/partner's views on family planning. <br> Do you think that your husband/partner approves or disapproves of couples using a method to avoid pregnancy? |  |  |
|  | How often have you talked to your husband/partner about family planning in the past year? |  |  |
|  | Have you and your husband/partner ever discussed the number of children you would like to have? |  |  |
|  | Who mainly decides how many children should you have? | HERSELF..................................... 1 <br> HUSBAND..................................... . 2 <br> вотн......................................... . 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOL............................. |  |
|  | Do you think your husband/partner wants the same number of children that you want, or does he want more or fewer than you want? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 701 | CHECK 502 AND 503  <br> FORMERLY <br> CURRENTLY <br> MARRIED/ <br> LIVING WITH <br> A MAN MARIED/ <br> LIVED WITH <br> A MAN |  |
|  | How old was your husband/partner on his last birthday? | AGE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
| 703 | \| Did your (last) husband/partner ever attend school? |  |
| 704 | What is the highest formal school he completed? |  |
| 705 | What is (was) your (last) husband/partner's occupation That is, what kind of work does (did) he mainly do? |  |
| 706 |  |  |
| 707 | (Does/did) your husband/partner work mainly on his own land or on family rent land, or borrow for share crop, goverment allocation, shifting cultivation land? <br> OHN LAND...................................... 1 <br> FAMILY RENT................................ 2 <br> BORROW SHARE CROP........................ 3 <br> GOVERNMENT ALLOCATION................. 4 <br> SHIFTING CULTIVATION.................... 5 |  |
| 708 | Aside from your own housework, are you currently working? |  |
|  | As you know, some women take up jobs for which they are paid in cash or kind. Others sell things, have a small business or work on the family farm or in the family business. <br> Are you currently doing any of these things or any other work? |  |
|  | Do you work for money for yourself, for someone else, or both? |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | How many employees are working for you? | NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES. $\qquad$ $\square$ NONE $\qquad$ |  |
| 712 | Do you work in agriculture, livestock, or poultry production? | YES.................. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 |  |
|  | Do you collect and sell wild products like honey, nuts, firewood, etc ? | YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 N0. 1 |  |
|  | Do you process food products for sale like ponbe? |  |  |
|  | Do you engage in a craft or skilled work such as tailoring, making bricks, pottery, etc for money? |  |  |
|  | Do you do any other work for yourself such as ann a shop or driving a taxi? <br> IF YES, specify <br> (SPECIFY) | YES..................................................... ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | CHECK 712  <br> WORKS In  <br> AGRICULTURE $\square$DOES NOT WORK <br> IN AGRICULTURE |  |  |
|  | Do you work mainly on your oun land or on family rent land, or borrow for share crop, government allocation, shifting cultivation land? |  |  |
|  |  |  | 723 |
|  | You told me that you (also) work for someone else. <br> Do you work for the goverment, for a private business, or a semi-government (parastatal) organization, or for family/friend? |  |  |
|  | \| Do you work in agriculture, 1 mean on a farm? | YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 |  |
|  | Do you yourself receive money from the following: <br> Money from friends/relatives? <br> Pension? <br> Rent? <br> Savings/Loans? |  |  |
| 723 | CHECK 502: <br> YES, CURRENTLY MARRIED NO, OR LIVING WITH A MAN NOT IN UNION <br> $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ <br> Who mainly decides how <br> Who mainly decides how the the money you earn will money you earn will be be used: you, your used: you, someone else, husband/partner, you and or you and someone else your husband/partner jointly? jointly, or someone else? | RESPONDENT DECIDES...................... 1 <br> HUSBAND/PARTNER DECIDES.............. 2 <br> JOINTLY WITH HUSBAND/PARTNER....... 3 <br> SOMEONE ELSE DECIDES.................. 4 <br> JOINTLY WITH SOMEONE ELSE........... 5 |  |





| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORJES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 829 | Can AIDS be cured? |  |
| 830 | Can AIDS transmitted from mother to child? |  |
| 830A | How do you think that it can be transmitted? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED | DURING PREGNANCY $\qquad$ <br> DURING DELIVERY.......................... <br> THROUGH BREASTFEEDING................ C <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOH. .2 |
| 831 | Does any member of your household have AIDS or has any member of your household died of AIDS? |  |
| 831A | Do you personally know someone who has AIDS or has died of AlDS? |  |
| 832 | Do you think your chances of getting AIDS are small, moderate, great, or no risk at all? |  |
| 833 | Why do you think that you have (NO RISK/ A SMALL CHANCE) of getting AIDS? <br> Any other reasons? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED | HO SEXUAL INTERCOURSE.. $\qquad$ <br> NO SEX HITH PROSTITUTES.............. <br> SLEEP ONLY WITH SPOUSE/PARTNER....C <br> USE CONDONS............................... <br> NO IMJECTIONS.............................. <br> NO BLOCD TRANSFUSIONS. $\qquad$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNON. $\qquad$ |
| 834 | Why do you think that you have a (MOCERATE/GREAT) chance of getting AlDS? <br> Any other reasons? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED | MULTIPLE PARTMERS....................... A <br> SEX MITH PROSTITUTES....... ........ $B$ <br> SPOUSE HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS.......C <br> DO NOT USE CONDOMS................... . . <br> HAD INJECTIONS.......................... . . <br> KAD BLOOD TRANSFUSION................... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $X$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW............................ 2 |
| $834 \mathrm{~A}$ | CHECX 811: HAS HAD SEX | HAD SEX |
| 835 | Since you heard of AIDS, have you changed your sexual behaviour to prevent getting AIDS? |  |




| 904 What was the name given to your oldest （next oldest） brother or sister？ | ［1］ | （2） | [3] | [4] | ［5］ | ［6］ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 905 is（NAME） male or female？ | MALE．．．．．．． 1 <br> FEMALE．．．． 2 | MALE．．．．．． 1 <br> FEMALE．．．．． 2 | MALE．．．．．．．． 1 <br> FEMALE．．．．． 2 | MALE．．．．．．．． 1 <br> FEMALE．．．．． 2 | MALE．．．．．．． 1 <br> FEMALE．．．．． 2 | MALE．．．．．．．．${ }^{1}$ <br> FEMALE．．．．． 2 |
| 906 Is（NAME） still ative？ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { YES........ }{ }^{1} \\ \text { GO......... } \\ \text { GO TO } 908 \\ \text { OK......... } \\ \text { GO TO }[2] \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { ND. . . . . . . } \\ & \text { GO TO } 9084 \\ & \text { DK.........8 } \\ & \text { GO TO }[3] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots . .1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { GO TO } 908 . \\ & \text { DK. . . . . . } \\ & \text { GO TO }[4] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 907 How old is （NAME）？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 908 In what year did（NAME） die？ | 19 <br> DK． $\qquad$ |  | 19 $\square$ <br> GO 70 910』 $\text { DK . . . . . . . . } 98$ | 19 $\square$ GO TO 910. DK． $\qquad$ | $\square$ <br> 19 |  |
| 909 How many years ago did （NAME）die？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 910 How old was（NAME）when she／he died？ <br> 911 Was（NAME） pregnant when she died？ | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO［2］ $\qquad$ GO TO 9144－ NO．．．．．．．．． 2 | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 years of age GO TO［3） YES．．．．．．．． 1 GO TO 914．4－ NO．．．．．．．．． 2 | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO［4］ <br> シニニニニニニニシニ YES．．．．．．．．． $1_{1}$ GO TO $914 .-$ NO．．．．．．．．． 2 | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO［5］ <br>  GO TO 9144 NO．．．．．．．．． 2 | IF MALE OR OIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO［6］ YES ．．．．．．．．． GO TO 914.1 NO．．．．．．．．．． 2 | If Male or DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO［7］ $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . ...... } 1 \\ & \text { GO TO } 914.4 \\ & \text { No. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 912 Did（NAME） die during childbirth？ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . } 1 \text { ] } \\ & \text { GO TO } 9154 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . ....11] } \\ & \text { GO TO } 91541 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.......1 } \\ & \text { GO TO } 9154 \\ & \text { NO. ........ } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ........1] } \\ & \text { GO to } 915 \text { - } \\ & \text { NO. . . . ..... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . .....1 } \\ & \text { CO TO } 915 . \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES........ } \\ & \text { GO TO } 9154 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 913 Did（NAME） die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth？ | YES．．．．．．．． 1 NO．．．．．．．． 2 GO TO 915. | YES．．．．．．．．．． 1 NO．．．．．．．．．． GO TO 915 | YES ．．．．．．．． 1 NO．．．．．．．． GO TO 915 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. .........2 } \\ & \text { GO TO } 9154 \end{aligned}$ | YES ．．．．．．．． 1 NO．．．．．．．． 2 GO TO 915 | YES ．．．．．．．．． 1 NO．．．．．．．．．． 2 GO TO 915 |
| 914 Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth？ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 915 How many children did （NAME）give birth to during her lifetime？ | GO TO [2] | GO TO [3] | GO TO [4] | GO то［5］ | 60 TO［6］ | GO TO [7] |


| 904 What was the neme given to your oldest (next oldest) brother or sister? | [71 | [8] | [9] | [10] | [11] | [12] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 905 is (NAME) male or female? | MALE........ 1 <br> fEMALE. .... 2 | MALE........ 1 <br> fEMALE. .... 2 | MALE. . ......? <br> FEMALE. .... 2 | male. . . . . . 1 <br> FEMALE. . . . . 2 | male. . . . . . 1 <br> fEMALE..... 2 | male. . . . . . 1 <br> FEMALE..... 2 |
| 906 is (NAME) still alive? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \text { NO......... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 9084 \\ & \text { OK.........8 } \\ & G 0 \text { TO } 99] 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 907 How old is (NAME)? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 908 In what year did (NAME) die? | 19 <br> OK. $\qquad$ | 19 <br> 0K......... 98 | 19 |  |  |  |
| 909 How many years ago did (NAME) die? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 910 How old was (NAME) when she/he died? <br> 911 Was (NAME) pregnant when she died? | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 yEARS OF AGE GO TO [8] $\qquad$ GO TO $914 \longleftarrow$ $\qquad$ | IF MALE OR DIEO BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [9] YES......... 1 GO TO $914 \longleftarrow$ NO. . . . . . . . . 2 |  |  | If MALE OR died before 12 yEARS OF AGE GO TO [12] $\qquad$ GO TO 9144- <br> NO. . . . . . . . . 2 | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO (13) YES. . . . . . . . $1_{7}$ GO TO 9144No. . . . . . . . . 2 |
| 912 Did (NAME) die during childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.......1 } \\ & \text { GO TO } 915 \text { - } \\ & \text { NO......... } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 915 . \\ & \text { NO.......... } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . .......1 } \\ & \text { GO TO } 915{ }_{4}^{1} \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 9154 \\ & \text { NO.......... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 9154 \\ & \text { NO.......... } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . ..... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 915 . \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 913 Did (NAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnency or childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . } 2 \\ & \text { GO TO } 9154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . ........2] } \\ & \text { G0 TO } 915 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { N0. ........ } \\ \text { GO To } 9154 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO......... }{ }^{2} \text { GO TO } 9154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2 \\ & \text { GO TO } 9154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. .........2] } \\ & \text { GO TO } 9154 \end{aligned}$ |
| 914 Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 915 How many children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? |  |  |  |  |  |  |

IF NO MORE GROTHERS OR SISTERS, GO TO 1001

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1001 | Are women circumcised in this area? |  |
| 1002 | Have you ever been circuncised? |  |
| 1003 | What type of circumcision did you have? Did you have clitoridectonty, excision, or infibulation? |  |
| 1004 | How old were you when you kere circuncised? | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS..... $\square$ DOES NOT KNOW. $\qquad$ |
| 1005 | Who performed the circumcision? | DOCTOR................................ 1 <br> TRAINED NURSE/MIDHIFE. . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> TRADITIONAL MIDWIFE. ............... 3 <br> CIRCUMCISION PRACTITIONER........ 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> DOES NOT KNOW. |
| $1006$ | CHECK 214 AND 216: <br> has at least one <br> has mo living LIVING DAUGHTER DAUGHTER |  |
| 1007 | Has (NAME Of ELDEST DAUGHTER) been circumcised? |  |
| 1008 | How old was she when she was circumcised? | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS $\square$ DOES NOT KNOW. $\qquad$ |
| 1009 | Who performed the circumcision? | DOCTOR................................. 1 <br> TRAINED NURSE/MIDWIFE............. 2 <br> TRADITIONAL MIDWIFE................ 3 <br> CIRCUMCISION PRACTITIONER........ 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KHOW. $\qquad$ 8 |
| 1010 | Did anyone object to your eldest daughter being circuncised? <br> Anyone else? <br> RECORD ALL PERSONS MENTIONED. | RESPONDENT. . . . . ...................... . . A <br> RESPONDENT'S HUSBAKD............... <br> RESPONDENT'S MOTHER................. $C$ <br> RESPONDENT'S MOTHER-IN-LAH.......D <br> OTHER RELATIVE OF RESPONDENT....E <br> OTHER RELATIVE OF HUSBAND.........F <br> OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) |
| $1011$ | RECORD THE TIME. |  |

INTERVIENER: JH 1102 (COLUMHS 2-4) RECORD THE LINE NUMBER FOR EACH CHILD BORN SINCE JANUARY 1991 AND STILL ALIVE. In 1103 AND 1104 RECORD THE NAME AND BIRTH DATE FOR THE RESPONDENT AND FOR ALL LIVING CHILDREN BORN SINCE JANUARY 1991. IN 1106 AND 1108 RECORD HEIGHT AND HEIGHT OF THE RESPONDENT AND THE LIVJNG CHILDREN. (NOTE: ALL RESPONDENTS WITH ONE OR MORE BIRTHS SIMCE JANUARY 1991 ShOULD be heighed and measured Even if all of the children have died. If there are more than 3 living children born since january 1991 , USE ADDITIONAL FORMS).

|  | (1) RESPONDENT | (2) YOUNGEST ${ }^{\text {LIVING CHILD }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \begin{array}{l} \text { NEXT-TO- } \\ \text { YOUNGEST } \end{array} \\ & \\ & \text { LIVING CHILD } \end{aligned}$ | (4) SECONO-TO- <br> LIVING CHILD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1102$ <br> LINE NO. FROM Q212 |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| ```\[ 1103 \] NAME \[ \text { FROM } 0.212 \text { FOR CHILDREN } \]``` | (NAME) | (NAME) | (NAME) | (NAME) |
| 1104 <br> DATE OF BIRTH <br> FROM 0.105 FOR RESPONDENT <br> FROM Q. 215 FOR CHILDREN, AND <br> ASK FOR DAY OF BIRTH | MONTH. $\qquad$ YEAR. $\square$ | DAY. <br> MONTH. <br> YEAR $\square$ | DAY. MONTH YEAR $\square$ | DAY. <br> MONTH. <br> YEAR $\square$ |
| 1105 BCG SCAR ON TOP OF RIGHT SHOULDER |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCAR SEEN...... } 1 \\ & \text { NO SCAR........ } 2 \end{aligned}$ | SCAR SEEN...... 1 <br> NO SCAR........ 2 | SCAR SEEN....... 1 <br> NO SCAR........ 2 |
| ```1106 HEIGHT (in centimeters)``` |  |  |  |  |
| 1107 <br> WAS HEIGHT/LENGTH OF CHILD MEASURED WHILE CHILO WAS LYING DONN OR STANDING UPRIGHT? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LYING. . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { STANDING. . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LYING. . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { STANDING. ....... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | LYING............. 1 <br> STANDING. ...... 2 |
| ```1108 WEIGHT (in kilograms)``` |  | 0 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1109 \\ & \text { DATE } \\ & \text { WEIGHED AND MEASURED } \end{aligned}$ | DAY. <br> MONTH <br> YEAR $\square$ | DAY. <br> MONTH <br> YEAR $\square$ | DAY <br> MONTH <br> YEAR | DAY MONTH YEAR |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1110 \\ & \text { RESULT } \end{aligned}$ | MEASURED........ ? <br> not Present.... 3 <br> REFUSED........ . . 4 <br> OTHER. . . . . . . . . . 6 <br> (SPECIFY) | CHILO MEASURED. 1 <br> CHILD SICK..... 2 <br> CHILO NOT <br> PRESENT........ 3 <br> CHILD REFUSED. 4 <br> MOTHER REFUSED. 5 <br> OTHER............ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) | CHILD MEASURED. 1 <br> CHILD SICK..... 2 <br> CHILO NOT <br> PRESENT ....... . 3 <br> CHILD REFUSED. . 4 <br> MOTHER REFUSED. 5 <br> OTHER............ 6 $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) | CHILD MEASURED. 1 <br> CHILD SICK...... 2 <br> CHILD NOT <br> PRESENT . . . . . . . 3 <br> CHILD REFUSED. 4 <br> MOTHER REFUSED. 5 <br> OTHER. . . . . ..... 6 <br> (SPECIFY) |
| 1111 <br> NAME OF MEASURER: |  | name of ASSISTANT: |  |  |

## INTERVIEHER'S OBSERVATIONS

To be filled in after completing interview

## Comments about Respondent:

Comments on
Specific Questions: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Any Other Comments:

SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Name of Supervisor: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Name of Editor: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$





| 208 | SUM ANSWERS TO 203, 205, AND 207, AND ENTER TOTAL. IF NONE RECORD 'OO'. | TOTAL. ......................... $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


$209 |$| CHECK 208: |
| :--- |
| Just to make sure that l have this right: you have had |
| in TOTAL children during your life. |
| Is that correct? |

Now : would like to talk about family planning - the various ways or methods that a couple can use to delay or avoid a pregnancy.
CIRCLE CODE 1 IN 301 FOR EACH METHOD MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. THEN PROCEED DOWH COLUMN 3O2, READING THE HAME AND DESCRIPTION OF EACH METHOD NOT MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. CIRCLE CODE 2 IF METHOD IS RECOGNIZED, AND CODE 3 IF NOT RECOGNIZED. THEN, FOR EACH METHOD WITH CODE 1 OR 2 CIRCLED IN 301 OR 302, ASK 303.

| 301 Which ways or methods have you heard about |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |



| NO. 1 OUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING Categories |
| :---: | :---: |
| $312 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { What is the main reason you are not using } \\ & \text { a method of contraception to avoid pregnancy }\end{aligned}\right.$ | NOT MARRIED............................... 11 <br> FERTILITY-RELATED REASONS <br> NOT HAVING SEX...................... 21 <br> INFREQUENT SEX........................ 22 <br> WIFE MEHOPAUSAL/HYSTERECTOMY.... 23 <br> WIFE SUBFECUND/INFECUND.......... 24 <br> POSTPARTUM/BREASTFEEDING........ . 25 <br> WAMTS (MORE) CHILDREN............ 26 <br> WIFE PREGNANT.......................... 27 <br> OPPOSITION TO USE <br> RESPONDENT OPPOSED.................. 31 <br> WIFE/PARTNER OPPOSED............... 32 <br> OTHERS OPPOSED........................ 33 <br> RELIGIOUS PROHIBITION............. 34 <br> LACK OF KNOWLEDGE <br> KNOWS NO METHOD . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 41 <br> KNOWS NO SOURCE....................... 42 <br> METHOD-RELATED REASONS <br> HEALTH CONCERNS...................... 51 <br> FEAR OF SIDE EFFECTS............... 52 <br> LACK of ACCESS/TOO FAR............ 53 <br> COST TOO MUCH..................... . . . 54 <br> INCONVENIENT TO USE. . . . . . . . . . . . . 55 <br> INTERFERES WITH BODY'S <br> NORMAL PROCESSES................. . . 56 <br> UP TO THE WOMAN TO USE.............. 61 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> DOES NOT KNOW. .98 |
| 313 <br> Do you know of a place where you can obtain a method of family planning? |  |
| Where is that? <br> If SOURCE is hospital, health centre, or Clinic, WRITE THE NAME OF the place. probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriaie code. <br> (NAME OF PLACE) | government and parastatal <br> REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL..... 11 DISTRICT HOSPITAL. <br> HEALTH CENTRE.. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY.. 14 <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER....... 15 MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. FACILITY........... 21 <br> PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL...... 22 <br> PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE........... 23 <br> CBD WORKER............................ . . 24 <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 31 <br> CHURCH..................................... 32 <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES/NEIGHBORS..... 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |
| 315 Have you seen or heard of the Green Star Logo (Symbol)? | Yes........................ 1 I ${ }_{\text {I }}$ |
| 316 What does the Green Star Logo mean to your |  |
| 317 ( How did you learn about the green Star? | Billsoards. $\qquad$ <br> Bus. <br> POSTERS. $\qquad$ <br> LEAFLETS. $\qquad$ <br> RADIO. $\qquad$ <br> Clinic sign. $\qquad$ <br> SERVICE PROVIDER........................... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILIERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401 | PRESENCE OF OTHERS AT THIS POINT. |  |  |
| 402 | Are you currently married or living with a woman? | yes, currently married................ 1 <br> yes, living with a homan............. 2 <br> no, NOT IN UNION......................... 3 | $\xrightarrow[1]{\rightarrow} 407$ |
| 403 | Have you ever been married or lived with a woman? | YES.................................. . 1 NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\rightarrow 412$ |
| 404 | What is your marital status now: are you widowed, divorced, or separated? | WIDOWED . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 DIVORCED. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ? SEPARATED. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 | $\xrightarrow{1}$ |
|  | How many wives do you have? | NUMBER $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DOES NOT KNOW. $\qquad$ |  |
| 410 | In what month and year did you start living with your (first) wife/partner? | MONTH. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DOES NOT KNON MONTH. $\qquad$ <br> YEAR. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DDES NOT KNON YEAR. $\qquad$ | $\underset{\sim}{\int_{1}}$ |
|  | How old were you when you started living with her? | AGE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\square$ |  |
|  | CHECK 402: <br> MARRIED OR LIVING WITH A $\square$ not married a <br> WOMAN <br> LIVING WITH A | NDT <br> CMAN $\square$ | $\rightarrow 415$ |
| 413 | Now I need to ask you some questions about sexual activity in order to gain a better understanding of some family planning issues. <br> When was the last time you had sexual intercourse with your wife? | DAYS AGO. $\qquad$ WEEXS AGO $\qquad$ 2 MONTHS AGO .3 YEARS AGO $\qquad$  $\square$ <br> BEFORE LAST BIRTH. |  |
|  | For that sexust intercourse, did you use a condom? |  |  |
| 415 | Oo you now have a regular partner (apart from your wife)? I mean someone with whom you have been having sex for about a year or more? |  | $\rightarrow 417$ |
| 416 | How many such regular partners do you have (aside from your wife)? | NUMBER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
|  | When was the last time you had sexual intercourse with the regular partner (other than your wife)? | DAYS AGO. $\qquad$ WEEKS AGO MONTHS AGO YEARS AGO $\qquad$ $\square$ BEFORE LAST BIRTH. |  |


| NO. 1 | Questions and filters | cooing categories | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Did you use a condom for that sexual intercourse? | Yes............................... 11 No........................... 21 |  |
| 417 | Have you had sexual intercourse with anyone (else) in the last 12 months? (I mean, with someone other than your wife or regular partner that you mentioned earlier?) |  | $\underset{\mid}{\underset{\mid}{\rightarrow}} 424$ |
| 418 | With how many different women have you had sexual intercourse in the last 12 months (apart from your wife or regular partners)? | number of homen.............. |  |
| 419 | When was the last time you had sexual intercourse (apart from your wife/regular partner)? | DAYS AGO $\qquad$ WEEKS AgO. $\qquad$ <br> MONTHS AGO. $\qquad$ <br> years ago. $\qquad$ <br> before last birth. $\qquad$ |  |
|  | For that last sexual intercourse, did you give money, gifts or favours in return for sex? |  |  |
|  | Was this person someone you had met before or someone you met for the first time? |  |  |
|  | Did you use a condom for that last sexual intercourse? | YES............................... 11 No......................... 2 | $\rightarrow 424$ |
|  | What was the main reason that you did not use a condon that time? | [-_ |  |
|  | CHECK 414, 416B OR 422: <br> CONDDMS USED WITH WIFE <br> DID NOT USE COND <br> or Partier (S) <br> WITH ANY ONE | DOM | $4248$ |
| 424 A | Last time you used condom, where was that condom obtained? <br> IF SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC, hrite the make of the place. probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate cooe. | gOVERNMENT AND PARASTATAL <br> REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL.... 19 <br> DISTRICT HOSPITAL................... 12 <br> health Centre........................... 13 <br> DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY.. 14 <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER....... 15 <br> medical private sector <br> religious org. facility........... 21 <br> PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL..... 22 <br> PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE........... 23 <br> CBD WORKER.............................. 24 <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP..................................... 31 <br> CHURCH.................................... 32 <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES/NEIGHBORS. . . . . 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> DOES NOT KNOW. <br> (SPECIFY) .98 |  |
| 4248 | Have you heard of a condom called 'Salama'? |  |  |
|  | Now think back to the past. How old were you when you had sexual intercourse for the first time? |  | $\rightarrow 501$ |
|  | In the last four weeks, how many times have you had sexual intercourse? | MUMBER OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ DOES NOT KNOW. $\qquad$ |  |




| No. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES \| SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 512 | CHECX 203 AND 205: <br> HAS LIVING CHILDREN <br> NO LIVING CHILDREN <br> $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ <br> If you could go back to the time you did not have exactly the number of any children and could children to have in choose exactly the number your whole life, how of children to have in mary woutd that be? your whale life, how many would that be? <br> PROBE FOR A NUMERIC RESPONSE. |  |
| 513 | How many of these children would you like to be boys and how many would you like to be girls? |  |
|  | In general, da you approve or disapprove of couples using a method to avoid getting pregnant? |  |
|  | \|lat $\begin{aligned} & \text { Have you ever recommended family planning to a friend, } \\ & \text { relative, or anyone else? }\end{aligned}$ | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {. }}$. N0. |
|  | If you wanted to get information on family planning, who would you like to talk to most: <br> Family planning worker trom your community? <br> Traditional Birth Attendant (TBA)? <br> Your wife or partner? <br> Friend? <br> Relative? <br> Religious leader? <br> Somebody else? | CBD WORKER................................. 01 <br> TBA. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 02 <br> WIFE/PARTNER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 03 <br> FRIEND. . . ................................ . . . . 04 <br> RELATIVE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 05 <br> RELIGIOUS LEADERS..................... 06 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ |
|  | Is it acceptable or not acceptable to you for information on family planning to be provided: On the radio? On the television? | $\begin{array}{ccc} \\ & \text { ACCEPT- } \\ \text { ABLE }\end{array}$ |
|  | In the last six months have you heard about family planning: <br> On the radio? <br> On the television? <br> In a newspaper or magazine? <br> from a poster? <br> From billboards? <br> At community events/logo launches <br> From live drama? <br> from a doctor or nurse? <br> from a community health worker? |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 519 | In the past six months, what drama series have you listened to on the radio? <br> circle the series mentioned spontaneously. for SERIES NOT MENTIONED ASK, <br> In the 6 months, have you tistened to (NAME OF SERIES)? <br> Zinduke <br> Twende na Wakati <br> Ukweli Kuhusu Maisha <br> Other |  |  |
|  |  | IDUKA | 19E |
|  | How often do you listen to 2 induka? |  |  |
|  | As a result of listening to zinduka, did you do anything or take any any action related to family planning? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | $\rightarrow 519$ |
| 5190 | What did you do as a result of listening to Zinduka? <br> Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | TALKED TO PARTNER........................ A <br> TALKED TO HEALTH WORKER................ <br> TALKED TO SOWEONE ELSE.................. <br> VISITED A CLINIC FOR FAMILY PLANN. D <br> GEGAN USING A MODERN METHOD........E <br> CONTINUED USING A MODERN METHOD....F <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW................................ |  |
| 519 E |  | $10$ $\mathrm{Tl}$ | $520$ |
| 519 F | How often do you listen to Twende na Wakati? | TWICE A WEEK................................. <br> ONCE A WEEK................................. 2 <br> ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH.................. 3 <br> RARELY........................................ 4 <br> DOES NOT KNOW............................... 8 |  |
|  | In the last six months have you discussed family planning with your friends or relatives? |  | $\rightarrow 601$ |
| 521 | With whom? <br> Anyone else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | WIFE/PARTNER. . . . . . . . . ..................... <br> MOTHER....................................... . . . <br> FATHER........................................ $C$ <br> SISTER(S)..................................... $D$ <br> BROTHER(S)................................... . . <br>  <br> SONS. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> MOTHER-IN-LAW. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> FRIENDS. $\qquad$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 601 | CHECK 302 (06): <br> HAS HEARD OF CONDOMS <br> NEVER HEA | OF CONDOMS | $\rightarrow 609$ |
| 602 | CHECK 303 (06), 414, 416B AND 422 <br> has Never USED CONDOMS <br> (ALL ARE 'NO') | USED CONDOMS <br> LEAST ONE 'YES') $\square$ | $\rightarrow 604$ |
| 603 | Have you ever seen a condom? |  |  |
| 604 | Do you know where you can get condoms? | YES.................................. 1 N0.............................. 2 | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 606$ |
| 605 | Where can you get condoms? <br> Any other places? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED. <br> probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate cooe. | government and parastatal <br> REGIONAL/CONSULTAKT HOSPITAL.....A <br> DISTRICT HOSPITAL.................... $B$ <br> HEALTH CENTRE.......................... <br> DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY... 0 <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER........E <br> MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. FACILITY............ <br> PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL....... G <br> PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE.............. H <br> CBD WORKER............................... <br> other private sector <br> SHOP. . . ..................................... <br> CHURCH....................................... <br> fRIENDS/RELATIVES/NEIGHBORS. . . . . . L <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. ............................. 2 |  |
| 606 | How many times can a condom be used? | ONCE.......................................... 1 <br> MORE THAN ONCE.......................... 2 <br> UNTIL IT BREAKS........................... 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW............................ 8 |  |
| 607 | Do you think that using condoms can give you Alds? |  |  |
| 608 | In general, do you think that most women like men to use condoms, they don't like men to use condoms, or it does not matter? | LIKE MEN TO USE CONDOHS.............. 1 <br> DON'T LIKE MEN TO USE CONDOMS..... 2 <br> DOES NOT MATTER......................... 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. $\qquad$ |  |
| 609 | Have you heard about diseases that can be transmitted through sex? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { no. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{I}{\rightarrow} 611$ |
| 610 | Which diseases do you know? <br> Any other diseases? | SYPHILIS................................... GONORRHOEA. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . AlDS........................................ $C$ GENITAL WARTS/CONDYLOMATA.......... $D$ OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |  |




| NO. 1 | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | Cooing categories \|skip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 629 | Can AIDS be cured? |  |
| 630 | Can AIDS be transmitted from mother to child? |  |
| 630A | How do you think that it can be transmitted? <br> circle all mentioned | DURING PREGNANCY DURING DELIVERY. $\qquad$ through breastfeeding.................. . . OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KHOW $\qquad$ |
| 631 | Does any menber of your household have AlDS or has any member of your household died of AIDS? |  |
| 631A | Do you personally know someone who has aids or has died of AIDS? |  |
|  | Do you think your chances of getting AIDS are small, moderate, great, or no risk at all? |  |
| 633 | Why do you think that you have (NO RISK/ A SMALL CHANCE) of getting AIDS? <br> Any other reasons? <br> CJrcle all mentioned |  |
| 634 | Why do you think that you have a (MODERATE/GREAT) chance of getting AIDS? <br> Any other reasons? <br> Circle all mentioneo | MULTIPLE PARTHERS.....................A <br> SEX hith prostitutes. . <br> SPOUSE haS MULTIPLE PARTNERS.......C <br> DO NOT USE CONDOMS..................... <br> HAD INJECTIOHS............................ <br> had BlOOD tRANSFUSION.................. <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $\times$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT kNOW. $\qquad$ |
| 634A | CHECK 425: <br> HAS HAD SEX | HAD sex $\square$ |
| 635 | Since you heard of ALDS, have you chenged your sexual behaviour to prevent getting AIDS? |  |




| 704 What was the name given to your oldest （next oldest） brother or sister？ | ［1］ | ［2］ | ［3］ | ［4］ | ［5］ | ［6］ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 705 is（NAME） male or female？ | MALE ．．．．．．．．． 1 <br> female．．．．． 2 | MALE．．．．．．．．． 1 <br> fEMALE．．．．． 2 | MALE ．．．．．．． 1 <br> FEMALE．．．．． 2 | MALE．．．．．． 1 <br> FEMALE．．．．．． 2 | MALE．．．．．．．． 1 <br> FEMALE．．．． 2 | MALE ．．．．．．．． 1 <br> FEMALE．．．．． 2 |
| 706 Is（NAME） still alive？ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \text { NO......... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 7084 \\ & \text { OK.........8 } \\ & \text { GO TO }[2] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \text { NO......... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 7084 \\ & \text { OK.........8 } \\ & \text { GO TO }[3] 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots . .1 \\ & \text { NO.......... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 7084 \\ & \text { OK........8 } \\ & \text { GO TO }[4] . \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { YES . . . . . . . . } \\ \text { NO. . . . . . } \\ \text { GO TO } 7084 \\ \text { DK......... } \\ \text { GO TO }[7] \end{array}\right]$ |
| 707 How old is （NAME）？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 708 In what year did（NAME） die？ | 19 $\square$ GO TO 710』 DK．．．．．．． 98 | 19 | 19 $\square$ <br> GO TO 710』 <br> DK．．．．．．．．． 98 |  | 19 $\square$ GO TO 710 DK．．．．．．．．． 98 | 19 $\square$ DK．．．．．．．．． 98 |
| 709 How many years ago did （NAME）dic？ | $[\square]$ |  | $\square$ | $\square]$ | $\square$ | T， |
| 710 How old yas（NAME）when she／he died？ <br> 711 Has（NAME） pregnant when she died？ | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO［2］ <br> YES．．．．．．．． 1 GO 10714.1 <br> NO．．．．．．．．． 2 | If Male OR OIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO［3］ $\qquad$ GO TO 7144 <br> NO．．．．．．．．． 2 | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO［4］ $\qquad$ YES．．．．．．．．． 1 6010714. NO．．．．．．．．．． 2 | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO［5］ $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ......... } 1 \\ & \text { GO TO } 7144] \\ & \text { NO. ......... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO［6］ －＝＝＝ェ＝ GO TO 714 － NO．．．．．．．．． 2 | If Male or DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO［7］ YES．．．．．．．．． 1 GO TO 7144 NO．．．．．．．．． 2 |
| 712 Did（NAME） die during childbirth？ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots .{ }^{1} \\ & \text { GO TO } 7154 \\ & \text { NO. ........ } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . ..... } \\ & \text { GO To } 715 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES .......1 } \\ & \text { GO TO } 7154 \\ & \text { NO. ........ } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES .......1 } \\ & \text { GO TO } 7154 \\ & \text { NO......... } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . } 1 \text { in } \\ & \text { GO TO } 7154 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES........ } \\ & \text { GO TO } 7154 \\ & \text { NO. ........ } \end{aligned}$ |
| 713 Did（NAME） die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth？ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . ..... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. ........ } 2 \\ & \text { GO TO } 7154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. ........ } 2 \\ & \text { GO TO } 715_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . ....... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. ......... } \\ & \text { GO TO } \left.715_{4}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. ........2 } \\ & \text { GO TO } \left.715{ }_{4}\right] \end{aligned}$ | YES．．．．．．．．． 1 NO．．．．．．．．．．2 GO TO 7154 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES........ . } \\ & \text { NO. ......... } \\ & \text { GO To } 7154 \end{aligned}$ |
| 714 Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth？ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 715 How many children did （NAME）give birth to during her lifetime？ | GO TO［2］ |  <br> GO TO［3］ | GO TO [4] | GO TO［5］ | GO TO［6］ | GO TO［7］ |

If NO MORE BROTHERS OR SISTERS，STOP

| 704 What was the name given to your oldest (next oldest) brother or sister? | [7] | [8] | [9] | [10] | [11] | [12] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 705 Is (NAME) male or female? | MALE . . . . . . . 1 <br> FEMALE...... 2 | MALE....... . 1 <br> fEMALE...... 2 | MALE . . . . . . . 1 <br> FEMALE. . . . . 2 | MALE. ...... . 1 <br> FEMALE..... 2 | MALE. ....... 1 <br> FEMALE. . . . . 2 | MALE. . . . . . . 1 <br> FEMALE. . . . . 2 |
| 706 Is (NAME) still alive? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 707 How old is (NAME)? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ```708 In what yesr did (NAME) die?``` | 19 $\square$ GO TO 710. DK........ . 98 | 19 $\square$ GO TO 710 |  | 19 |  | 19 |
| 709 How meny years ago did (NAME) die? | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 710 How old was (NAME) when she/he died? <br> 711 Was (NAME) pregnant when she died? | If male or DIED BEFDRE 12 YEARS DF AGE GO TO [8] YES.........1] GO TO 7144 NO. . . . . . . . . 2 | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS DF AGE GO TO [9] <br>  | IF MALE OR DIED GEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [10] <br>  | LF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TD [11] $============$ YES........ GO TO 914.1$]$ NO.......... 2 | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS DF AGE GO TO [12] <br>  |  |
| 712 Did (NAME) die during childbirth? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.......1] } \\ & \text { co to } 71541 \\ & \text { NO.......... } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . .....1 } \\ & \text { GO To } 7154 . \\ & \text { No. . . . ..... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.......1 } \\ & \text { CO TO } 7154 . \\ & \text { NO......... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \text { GO To } 7154 . \\ & \text { NO. . . . ..... } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . .....1 } \\ & \text { GO TO } 7154 . \\ & \text { NO. . . . ..... } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 713 Did (NAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES........ } 1 \\ & \text { NO.........2] } \\ & \text { GO T0 } 7154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO......... } 2 \\ & \text { GO To } 7154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . ....... } 2 \\ & \text { GO TO } 7154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO.........2] } \\ & \text { GO TO } 7154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO......... } 2 \\ & \text { GO TO } 7154 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . .... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 7154 \end{aligned}$ |
| 714 Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. ......... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 715 How many children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? |  |  |  |  |  |  |

IF NO MORE BROTHERS OR SISTERS, GO TO 716

716 RECORD THE TIME.
MORNING/AM. . . . . 1
AFTERNOON/PM... 2
HOUR
MINUTES. .


## Comments about Respondent:

Corments on
Specific Questions:

## Any Other Corments:

SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Name of Supervisor:
Date: $\qquad$

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Name of Editor: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ Piped, well, and bottled water.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes women who are unsure about their own attitude, but know their husbands' attitudes

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy

[^3]:    Note: "Want no more" includes sterilised persons.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ To be comparable with tables from the 1991-92 TDHS, the proportions are based on currently married women.

[^5]:    Note: The means exclude respondents who gave nonnumeric responses.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancies.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ If the respondent mentioned more than one attendant, only the most qualified attendant was considered.
    ${ }^{2}$ Traditional midwife.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dropout rate $=[($ Dose $1-$ Dose 3)/Dose 1] multiplied by 100

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ The measuring boards and scales used to measure the mothers were the same as those used to collect anthropometric measurements of children.
    ${ }^{2}$ Interviewers were instructed to weigh and measure all women who had had a birth since January 1991, regardless of whether or not the child was still living.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although data were collected from male respondents, the analysis here is restricted to female respondents

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ The case rates have been calculated from the reported cumulative number of AIDS cases (as the numerator) and the corresponding total regional populations after projection (as the denominator).

    Male-female case ratio $=$ (Total male AIDS cases/Total female AIDS cases).
    Male-female rate ratio $=($ Male case rate $/$ Female case rate $)($ NACP, 1996 $)$.

[^12]:    Note: Total includes 34 women and 17 men who reported "Don't know" to number of scxual partners in past 12 months. Figures in parentheses are based on $25-49$ unweighted cases.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes those who say "don't know"

[^14]:    Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 women; an asterisk indicates a figure is based on fewer than 25 women and has been suppressed.
    NA = not applicable.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ In gathering information on the type of circumcision, women were asked : "What type of circumcision did you have? Did you have clitoridectomy, excision, or infibulation?" It is possible that some women may be misclassified, because the distinctions between these types are not always completely clear.

[^16]:    NA = Not applicable.

[^17]:    NA $=$ Not applicable.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ The index can range from 0 if no age heaping is present to 90 if all ages were reported at a single digit.
    ${ }^{2}$ The age ratio is the number of respondents in the reference group divided by the average of the number of respondents in the two immediately adjacent age groups, multiplied by 100 . Normally, one would expect roughly equal number of respondents at these three ages and therefore, the age ratio should be near 100 .

