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## PREFACE

In Nepal, censuses are conducted at an interval of ten years and demographic surveys have supplemented census data in providing more detailed information on health and family planning. Furthermore, demographic surveys not only allow comparisons with estimates obtained by censuses but also provide detailed information on health and population during the inter-censal period as well. Like many developing countries, Nepal suffers from a low level of reporting of vital events and the vital registration system is not sufficiently able to provide reliable information and estimates for use in the formulation of health and population related policies and programmes. Demographic surveys fill this void by providing much needed data on specific areas of interest to planners.

It gives me tremendous pleasure in saying that the successful completion of the 1996 Nepal Family Health Survey has provided up-to-date information on the knowledge and use of contraception, fertility preferences, the level and pattern of fertility, maternal and child health, infant, child and adult mortality, and knowledge of AIDS. Moreover, the NFHS provides, for the first time in Nepal, an estimate of the nutritional status of children and their mothers from a nationwide survey of the height and weight of children under three years of age and their mothers.

I am happy to note that the entire survey, including this report, has been on schedule and the findings of this survey will be an important source of information in formulating the 9th five-year development plan for the population, health and family planning, women and development, and education sectors of the economy.

I highly appreciate and commend the dedicated efforts of all the persons and institutions involved in the successful completion of this survey. I would especially like to thank the members of the Technical Committee for the 1996 NFHS for their advice and recommendations throughout this survey.

Dr. Kalyan Raj Pandey<br>Director General<br>Department of Health Services<br>Ministry of Health<br>His Majesty's Government<br>Teku, Kathmandu, Nepal

## FOREWORD

The 1996 Nepal Family Health Survey (NFHS), is the fifth in a series of demographic surveys. The NFHS was conducted under the aegis of the Family Health Division of the Ministry of Health.

In addition to demographic information, the NFHS provides information on maternal and child health, infant, child and adult mortality, and knowledge of AIDS. This information is not only important in understanding the problems in the areas of population and health but also in monitoring and evaluating related development programmes. His Majesty's Government is in the process of formulating the 9th five-year plan, as well as the long-term health plan, both of which are scheduled to begin in the fiscal year 1997/1998, and I am happy to note that the information and findings of this survey can be utilised in the formulation of these and other plans and activities in the near future.
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## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The 1996 Nepal Family Health Survey (NFHS) is a nationally representative survey of 8,429 evermarried women age 15-49. The survey is the fifth in a series of demographic and health surveys conducted in Nepal since 1976. The main purpose of the NFHS was to provide detailed information on fertility, family planning, infant and child mortality, and matemal and child health and nutrition. In addition, the NFHS included a series of questions on knowledge of AIDS.

## Fertility

Survey results indicate that fertility in Nepal has declined steadily from over 6 births per woman in the mid-1970s to 4.6 births per woman during the period of 1994-1996. Differentials in fertility by place of residence are marked, with the total fertility rate (TFR) for urban Nepal ( 2.9 births per woman) about two children less than for rural Nepal ( 4.8 births per woman). The TFR in the Mountains ( 5.6 births per woman) is about one child higher than the TFR in the Hills and Terai ( 4.5 and 4.6 births per woman, respectively). By development region, the highest TFR is observed in the Mid-western region ( 5.5 births per woman) and the lowest TFR in the Eastern region (4.1 births per woman).

Fertility decline in Nepal has been influenced in part by a steady increase in age at marriage over the past 25 years. The median age at first marriage has risen from 15.5 years among women age 45-49 to 17.1 years among women age $20-24$. This trend towards later marriage is supported by the fact that the proportion of women married by age 15 has declined from 41 percent among women age $45-49$ to 14 percent among women age 15-19. There is a strong relationship between female education and age at marriage. The median age at first marriage for women with no formal education is 16 years, compared with 19.8 years for women with some secondary education.

Despite the trend towards later age at marriage, childbearing begins early for many Nepalese women. One in four women age 15-19 is already a mother or pregnant with her first child, with teenage childbearing more common among rural women ( 24 percent) than urban women ( 20 percent). Nearly one in three adolescent women residing in the Terai has begun childbearing, compared with one in five living in the Mountains and 17 percent living in the Hills. Regionally, the highest level of adolescent childbearing is observed in the Central development region while the lowest is found in the Western region.

Short birth intervals are also common in Nepal, with one in four births occurring within 24 months of a previous birth. This is partly due to the relatively short period of insusceptibility, which averages 14 months, during which women are not exposed to the risk of pregnancy either because they are amenorrhoeic or abstaining. By 12-13 months after a birth, mothers of the majority of births ( 57 percent) are susceptible to the risk of pregnancy. Early childbearing and short birth intervals remain a challenge to policy-makers. NFHS data show that children born to young mothers and those born after short birth intervals suffer higher rates of morbidity and mortality.

Despite the decline in fertility, Nepalese women continue to have more children than they consider ideal. At current fertility levels, the average woman in Nepal is having almost 60 percent more births than she wants-the total wanted fertility rate is 2.9 births per woman, compared with the actual total fertility rate of 4.6 births per woman. Unplanned and unwanted births are often associated with increased mortality risks. More than half ( 56 percent) of all births in the five-year period before the survey had an increased risk of dying because
the mother was too young (under 18 years) or too old (more than 34 years), or the birth was of order 3 or higher, or the birth occurred within 24 months of a previous birth.

Nevertheless, the percentage of women who want to stop childbearing in Nepal has increased substantially, from 40 percent in 1981 to 52 percent in 1991 and to 59 percent in 1996. According to the NFHS, 41 percent of currently married women age $15-49$ say they do not want any more children, and an additional 18 percent have been sterilized. Furthermore, 21 percent of married women want to wait at least two years for their next child and only 13 percent want to have a child soon, that is, within two years.

## Family Planning

Knowledge of family planning is virtually universal in Nepal, with 98 percent of currently married women having heard of at least one method of family planning. This is a five-fold increase over the last two decades (1976-1996). Much of this knowledge comes from media exposure. Fifty-three percent of ever-married women had been exposed to family planning messages on the radio and/or the television and 23 percent have been exposed to messages through the print media. In addition, about one in four women has heard at least one of three specific family planning programmes on the radio.

There has been a steady increase in the level of ever use of modern contraceptive method over the past 20 years, from 4 percent of currently married women in 1976, to 27 percent in 1991 and 35 percent in 1996. Among ever-users, female sterilization and male sterilization are the most popular methods ( 37 percent), indicating that contraceptive methods have been used more for limiting than for spacing births.

The contraceptive prevalence rate among currently married women is 29 percent, with the majority of women using modern methods ( 26 percent). Again, the most widely used method is sterilization ( 18 percent, male and female combined), followed by injectables ( 5 percent). Although current use of modern contraceptive methods has risen steadily over the last two decades, the pace of change has been slowest in the most recent years (1991-1996). Current use among currently married non-pregnant women increased from 3 percent in 1976 to 15 percent in 1986 to 24 percent in 1991 and to 29 percent in 1996. While female sterilization increased by only 3 percent from 45 percent of modern methods in 1986 to 46 percent in 1996, male sterilization declined by almost 50 percent from 41 percent to 21 percent over the same period.

The level of current use is nearly twice as high in the urban areas ( 50 percent) as in rural areas ( 27 percent). Only 18 percent of currently married women residing in the Mountains are currently using contraception, compared with 30 percent and 29 percent living in the Hills and Terai regions, respectively. There is a notable difference in current contraceptive use between the Far-western region ( 21 percent) and all the other regions, especially the Central and Eastern regions ( 31 percent each). Educational differences in current use are large, with 26 percent of women with no education currently using contraception, compared with 52 percent of women who have completed their School Leaving Certificate (SLC). In general, as women's level of education rises, they are more likely to use modern spacing methods.

The public sector figures prominently as a source of modern contraceptives. Seventy-nine percent of modern method users obtained their methods from a public source, especially hospitals and district clinics ( 32 percent) and mobile camps ( 28 percent). The public sector is the predominant source of sterilizations, IUDs, injectables, and Norplant, and both the public and private sectors are equally important sources of the pill and condoms. Nevertheless, the public sector's share of the market has fallen over the last five years from 93 percent of current users in 1991 to 79 percent in 1996.

There is considerable potential for increased family planning use in Nepal. Overall, one in three women has an unmet need for family planning-14 percent for spacing and 17 percent for limiting. The total demand for family planning, including those women who are currently using contraception, is 60 percent. Currently, the family planning needs of only one in two women is being met. While the increase in unmet need between 1991 ( 28 percent) and 1996 ( 31 percent) was small, there was a 14 percent increase in the percentage of women using any method of family planning and, over the same period, a corresponding increase of 18 percent in the demand for family planning.

## Maternal and Child Health

At current mortality levels, one of every 8 children bom in Nepal will die before the fifth birthday, with two of three deaths occurring during the first year of life. Nevertheless, NFHS data show that mortality levels have been declining rapidly in Nepal since the eighties. Under-five mortality in the period 0-4 years before the survey is 40 percent lower than it was 10-14 years before the survey, with child mortality declining faster ( 45 percent) than infant mortality ( 38 percent).

Mortality is consistently lower in urban than in rural areas, with children in the Mountains faring much worse than children living in the Hills and Terai. Mortality is also far worse in the Far-western and Mid-westem development regions than in the other regions. Maternal education is strongly related to mortality, and children of highly educated mothers are least likely to die young. For example, infant mortality is nearly twice as high among children of mothers with no education as among children of mothers with some secondary education.

Neonatal mortality is expectedly higher among males than females. However, child mortality is 24 percent higher among females than males. First births, higher order births and births spaced less than 24 months apart also experience higher mortality.

Perinatal mortality in Nepal has declined by 17 percent over the last 15 years from 63 deaths per 1,000 stillbirths and live births in the period 10-14 years before the survey to 52 deaths in the period $0-4$ years before the survey. First pregnancies, high order pregnancies, pregnancies to women residing in rural areas, in the Terai, and in the Eastern region, are all at an increased risk of loss.

One possible reason for the declining mortality is improvements in childhood vaccination coverage. The NFHS results show that about 76 percent of children age 12-23 months have been vaccinated against tuberculosis, DPT and polio. However, coverage declines after the first dose for DPT and polio, with one-third of children who start the series not completing it. Fifty-seven percent of children age $12-23$ months were vaccinated against measles. Overall, two of five children had all the recommended vaccinations, and 36 percent were fully vaccinated before their first birthday.

Diarrhoeal and respiratory illnesses are common causes of child deaths in Nepal. In the two weeks before the survey, 28 percent of children suffered from diarrhoea and 34 percent were ill with acute respiratory infections (ARI). However, use of health facilities is low in Nepal: only 14 percent of children with diarrhoea and 18 percent of children with ARI were taken to a health facility. Solution prepared from oral rehydration salts (ORS) was given to 26 percent of children with diarrhoea, and 4 percent received recommended home fluids (RHF). In addition, 35 percent of children with diarrhoea were given more to drink than before the diarrhoea. Over one-third of children with diarrhoea received no treatment at all.

The care that a woman receives during pregnancy and childbirth reduces the risk of illness and death for both mother and child. The NFHS data show that mothers received antenatal care from a doctor for only 13
percent of births in the three years preceding the survey, and from a nurse/midwife for 11 percent of births. One of two women who received some antenatal care had fewer than 3 visits. For the majority of births ( 56 percent), mothers did not receive any antenatal care.

An important component of antenatal care is protection against tetanus. Two or more doses of tetanus toxoid vaccines were received by mothers for about one-third of births, while 13 percent received one dose. For well over half of births, mothers did not receive a single dose.

The majority of Nepalese children are born at home without assistance from trained medical personnel. Overall, only 8 percent of births are delivered in a health facility and 9 percent are delivered under the supervision of a doctor or nurse/midwife.

Breastfeeding is nearly universal in Nepal and the average length of time that children are breastfed is relatively long ( 28 months). However, a significant minority of children ( 40 percent) are not breastfed within one day of birth. Bottle feeding is relatively rare in Nepal and less than 3 percent of children under three years of age were fed with a bottle using a nipple. Even though exclusive breastfeeding is recommended until 4-6 months of age, one-third of children age 4-5 months receive complementary foods.

There is considerable malnutrition among children in Nepal. Forty-eight percent of children under age three are stunted, 11 percent are wasted, and 47 percent are underweight. Variation by place of residence is marked, with rural children, children living in the Mountains and in the Far-western regions of Nepal more likely to be malnourished than other children.

Maternal nutritional status was also assessed from the NFHS data. Fifteen percent of Nepalese mothers of children bom during the three years before the survey were less than 145 centimetres tall, the height below which a woman is considered to be at nutritional risk. Furthermore, more than one of four women fell below the cutoff of 18.5 for body mass index, which measures thinness, indicating that the level of chronic energy deficiency in Nepal is relatively high. Maternal deaths are high relative to developed countries. According to the NFHS data, maternal deaths accounted for 27 percent of all deaths to women age 15-49, with a maternal mortality ratio of 5 deaths per 1,000 live births.

## NEPAL



## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Geography and Economy

## Geography

Nepal is a land-locked country nestled in the foothills of the Himalayas. It occupies an area from $26^{\circ}$ $20^{\prime}$ to $30^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ north latitude and $80^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ to $88^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$ east longitude. It shares its northern border with the Tibetan region of the People's Republic of China, and its eastern, southern and western borders with India.

Nepal is rectangular in shape and is approximately 80 miles ( 128 kilometres) wide and 550 miles ( 880 kilometres) long. The total land area of the country is 147,181 square kilometres and its population, according to the 1991 Census, is I 8.5 million. Nepal is predominantly rural with only 9 percent of the population living in urban areas.

Topographically, Nepal is divided into three distinct ecological regions. These are the Mountains, Hills, and Terai (or plains). The Mountain region ranges in altitude from about 4,800 metres to 8,839 metres above sea level and covers a land area of 51,817 square kilometres. Because of the harsh terrain, transportation and communication facilities in this region are very limited and only about 8 percent of the total population lives here. In contrast, the Hill region which ranges in altitude from 610 metres to 4,800 metres above sea level is densely populated. About 45 percent of the total population of Nepal lives in the Hills, which cover an area of 61,345 square kilometres. This region also includes a number of very fertile valleys such as the Kathmandu and Pokhara valleys. Although the terrain is also rugged in this region, because of the higher concentration of people, transportation and communication facilities are much more developed here than in the Mountains. Unlike the Mountains and Hills, the Terai region in the southern part of the country can be regarded as an extension of the relatively flat Gangetic plains. This area which covers 34,019 square kilometres is the most fertile part of the country. While it constitutes only about 23 percent of the total land area in Nepal, 47 percent of the population live here. Because of its relatively flat terrain, transportation and communication facilities are more developed in this region than in the other two regions of the country and this has attracted newly emerging industries.

In Nepal, climatic conditions vary substantially by altitude. In the Terai temperatures can go up to $44^{\circ}$ Celsius in the summer and fall to $5^{\circ}$ Celsius in the winter. The corresponding temperatures for the Hill and Mountain areas are $41^{\circ}$ Celsius and $30^{\circ}$ Celsius, respectively, in the summer, and $3^{\circ}$ centigrade and way below $0^{\circ}$ centigrade, respectively, in the winter. The annual mean rainfall in the kingdom is around 1,500 millimetres (Central Bureau of Statistics, 1996).

For administrative purposes Nepal has been divided into five development regions, 14 zones, and 75 districts. Districts are further divided into Village Development Committees (VDCs) and sometimes into urban municipalities. A VDC consists of nine wards while the number of wards in an urban municipality depends on the size of the population as well as on political decisions made by the municipality itself.

Nepal is a multi-ethnic and multi-lingual society. The 1991 Census identified 60 caste or ethnic groups and subgroups of population. The percentage breakdown by size of some of these major groups are as follows: Chhetri and Thakuri ( 18 percent), Brahmins (14 percent), Magar (7 percent), Tharu and Rajbanshi (7 percent),

Newar ( 6 percent), Tamang ( 6 percent), Kami-a major occupational group that originated in the Hills ( 5 percent), Yadav and Ahirs ( 4 percent), Muslims ( 4 percent), Rai and Kiranti ( 3 percent), and Gurung ( 2 percent) (Central Bureau of Statistics, 1995).

The 1991 Census of Nepal lists 20 different languages or dialects prevalent in Nepal (Central Bureau of Statistics, 1995). These languages originated from two major groups: the Indo-Aryans, who constitute about 80 percent of the population, and the Tibetan-Burmese, who constitute about 17 percent of the population. Nepali is the official language of the country and is the mother tongue of over 50 percent of the population. However, it is used and understood by most of the population and is the national language of Nepal. The other two major languages are Maithili and Bhojpuri, spoken by about 8 percent and 5 percent of the population, respectively.

Nepal is a Hindu kingdom with over 86 percent of its population following the Hindu religion. The second largest religious group are the Buddhists ( 8 percent), and Muslims constitute about 4 percent of the total population (Central Bureau of Statistics, 1995).

## Economy

Forty-eight percent of the GDP comes from the service sector and the agricultural sector accounts for 42 percent of the GDP. The manufacturing sector accounts for 10 percent of the economy (Ministry of Finance, 1996). Because of variations in the climatic and rainfall conditions, agricultural production varies by ecological regions. In the Terai, rice is the main crop, followed by wheat and com. In the Hills, the major crops are com and rice, followed by wheat, and in the Mountains, corn, rice and wheat are grown (Central Bureau of Statistics, 1995).

### 1.2 Population

Table 1.1 provides a summary of the basic demographic indicators for Nepal from census data for 1971, 1981, and 1991 (Central Bureau of Statistics, 1995). There was a 59 percent increase in the population over the 20 -year period. The population growth rate increased from 2.1 in 1971 to 2.6 in 1981 and then declined again to 2.1 in 1991. Nepal's population is young, with two of five persons below the age of 15 . This young age distribution is due to the relatively high fertility in Nepal. In fact, between 1971 and 1981 there was no change in the total fertility rate (TFR) obtained from census estimates. The TFR continues to be high, 5.6 percent in 1991, although it has declined slightly from its 1971/1981 level. The mean age at marriage has risen little over the past two decades, increasing by 0.6 years for males and 1.4 years for females. Nevertheless, data obtained from different demographic surveys indicate that the desired family size in Nepal has decreased over the years from 4 children in 1976 to 3 in 1991 (Ministry of Health, 1993). There has been a corresponding increase in the contraceptive prevalence rate for modern methods from a low of 3 percent in 1976 to 24 percent in 1991.

That both fertility and mortality have been declining can be seen from Table 1.1. While the decline in fertility is a more recent phenomenon, mortality has been decreasing slowly over the years. The crude death rate declined from 20 per 1,000 in 1974/75 to 13 per 1,000 in 1991, while the infant mortality rate experienced a 44 percent decline between 1971 and 1991. These trends suggest that the demographic transition is taking place in Nepal with ample scope for both fertility and mortality to decline further. Male and female life expectancy has gone up by about 13 years over the 20 -year period.

Table ll_Demographic indicators
Selected demographic indicators for Nepal, 1971-1991

| Indicator | 1971 | 1981 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population (millions) | 11.6 | 15.0 | 18.5 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 40.5 | 41.4 | 42.4 |
| 15-64 | 56.4 | 55.4 | 54.1 |
| 65+ | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| Population density (per square km) | 79 | 102 | 126 |
| Percent urban | 4.0 | 6.4 | 9.2 |
| Crude birth rate | 42 | 44 | 42 |
| Crude death rate | $19.5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $16.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 13.3 |
| Population growth rate (percent) | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| Total fertility rate | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.6 |
| Infant mortality rate | 172 | 117 | 97 |
| Life expectancy |  |  |  |
| Males | 42.0 | 50.9 | 55.0 |
| Females | 40.0 | 48.1 | 53.5 |
| Mean age at marriage |  |  |  |
| Males | 20.8 | 20.7 | 21.4 |
| Females | 16.8 | 17.2 | 18.2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a 1974-75 } \\ & \mathrm{b}_{1986-87} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

### 1.3 Population and Reproductive Health Policies and Programmes

Evolution of Population Policy

Family planning emerged as one of the major components of Nepal's planned development activities only in 1968 with the implementation of the Third Five-Year Development Plan (1965-70). This is when the Nepal Family Planning and Maternal and Child Health Project (FP/MCH) under the Ministry of Health was launched in the government sector. Until then, family planning activities were undertaken by the Family Planning Association of Nepal (FPAN), which was established in 1959 to create awareness among the people about the need and importance of family planning but not to offer any family planning services. Very little was done to directly regulate population growth until 1965 when a family planning project was established under the maternal and child health section of the Ministry of Health. Limited family planning services were offered through the existing maternal and child health clinics.

The Fourth Development Plan (1970-1975) targeted the provision of family planning services to 15 percent of married couples by the end of the plan period. From the Fifth Five-year Development Plan (1975-80) onwards, family planning services were greatly expanded through outreach workers and serious attempts were made to reduce the birth rate by direct and indirect means. To coordinate the government's multi-sectorial activities in population and reproductive health, a population policy coordinating board was established in 1975 under the National Planning Commission. In 1978, this board was upgraded to become the National Commission on Population (NCP). It was further reorganized under the chairmanship of the prime minister and maintained its own secretariat to plan, monitor, and coordinate population activities both at the government and private sectorial levels.

Subsequent development plans dealt with the population issue from both a policy and programmatic point of view. From the Fifth Plan until the end of the Seventh Plan (1985-1990) population policies and programmes not only emphasized family planning issues in the short run, but also focussed on long-term concerns to encourage the small family norm through education and employment programmes that raised women's status and decreased infant mortality. This included launching population related programmes in reproductive health, agriculture, forestry, urbanization, manpower and employment, education and women's development, as well as community development programmes. In 1990, the NCP was dissolved and its role was given to the population division of the National Planning Commission. In 1996 the government established a National Population Committee comprised of ministers from various ministries and chaired by the Prime Minister, to
provide strong political leadership and guidance in formulating population policies and coordinating, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating population activities.

The Eighth Development Plan (1992-97) continued with the integrated development approach taken in earlier development plans and set the following demographic targets:

- to reduce the total fertility rate from 5.8 to 4.5 by the end of the plan period;
- to increase the expectation of life at birth from 54.4 to 61 years;
- to reduce the infant mortality rate from 102 per thousand to 80 per thousand;
- to decrease under-five mortality to 130 per thousand;
- to reduce the maternal mortality ratio from 5.15 to 4.00 per thousand live births;
- to manage internal migration.

In 1995, the Ministry of Population and Environment (MOPE) was established as a separate ministry for population-related activities and is viewed as the reflection of a strong governmental commitment to population programmes. The ministry is primarily responsible for formulating and implementing population policies, plans, and programmes, and for monitoring and evaluating these programmes. This ministry, along with the Ministry of Health, is also responsible for implementing programmes of action recommended by the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development. The implementation of health-related population programmes in reproductive health such as family planning, safe motherhood, adolescent reproductive health, sexually transmitted diseases, and infertility, nevertheless, falls under the purview of the Ministry of Health.

## Family Planning Programmes

Family planning services in Nepal were started by the FPAN in 1959. Initially, its services were limited to the Kathmandu valley. The pioneering work of the FPAN led to the establishment of a semi-autonomous Nepal Family Planning and Maternal Child Health Project (NFP\&MCH Project) in November 1968 at the government level. This project was gradually expanded to cover all 75 districts in Nepal.

Currently, government run family planning services have become an integral part of health services. Health services in Nepal are delivered through national, regional, zonal and district hospitals, primary health care centres/health centres, health posts, sub-health posts and peripheral health workers, and volunteers, all of which/ whom provide temporary family planning services (condoms, orals and injectables) on a regular basis. Services such as Norplant implants and IUD insertions are only available at a limited number of hospitals, health centres, and selected health posts where trained manpower is available. Depending on the district, sterilization services are provided at static sites ( 21 districts) through scheduled "seasonal" or mobile outreach services.

At the central level, the Family Health Division in the Department of Health Services is responsible for planning, supervision, and implementation of family planning activities. The National Health Training and Regional Training Centres are responsible for training field workers for reproductive health services. Information, education, and communication (IEC) activities regarding reproductive health are carried out by the National Health Education, Information and Communication Centre in the Department of Health Services.

Besides governmental programmes, a number of non-governmental organizations are also currently involved in the delivery of family planning services at the grass root level. These organizations include the FPAN, Contraceptive Retail Sales (CRS) Company, Nepal Red Cross Society, Save the Children Fund (UK and

USA), Adventist Development Relief Agency (ADRA), United Mission to Nepal (UMN), Centre for Development and Population Activities (CEDPA), the Asia Foundation (TAF) and CARE.

Among these non-governmental organizations (NGOs), FPAN and CRS are the larger ones. FPAN is currently active in providing family planning services in 32 districts in Nepal. FPAN also provides sterilization services at selected clinics and organizes a number of mobile sterilization camps in different districts. Besides the provision of family planning services, FPAN is very much involved in training and IEC activities in reproductive health.

The CRS company provides oral contraceptives and vaginal foaming tablets through pharmacies, and condoms through a full range of retail shops (e.g. general stores, paan shops, hotels, etc.) throughout the country. At present, the CRS company supplies contraceptives to more than 12,000 retailers. It is also marketing injectables (DMPA) in the Kathmandu Valley and in eight other districts where these services are available through trained paramedical personnel, and IUDs and Norplants to certified private practitioners.

Services of other smaller NGOs are limited to delivery and supply of temporary methods of contraception, mostly pills, condoms and injectables. These organizations have also been involved in educational activities. While the areas served by these NGOs are modest, they do help to complement the Ministry of Health's on-going efforts to expand the availability of family planning methods.

### 1.4 Objectives and Organization of the 1996 Nepal Family Health Survey

The primary objective of the Nepal Family Health Survey (NFHS) is to provide national level estimates of fertility and child mortality. The survey also provides information on nuptiality, contraceptive knowledge and behaviour, the potential demand for contraception, other proximate determinants of fertility, family size preferences, utilization of antenatal services, breastfeeding and food supplementation practices, child nutrition and health, immunizations, and knowledge about Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS). This information will assist policy-makers, administrators and researchers to assess and evaluate population and health programmes and strategies. The NFHS is comparable to Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) conducted in other developing countries.

## Survey Organization

The NFHS was conducted under the aegis of the Family Health Division of the Department of Health Services in the Ministry of Health of His Majesty's Government of Nepal. The survey was implemented by New ERA, a local research firm. Macro International provided technical support for the survey through the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) project. Funding for the survey came from the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) through its mission in Nepal.

## Sample Design

The NFHS sample was designed to provide estimates of population and health indicators including fertility and mortality rates for the country as a whole and for urban and rural areas separately. In addition, the sample was designed to provide estimates of most key variables, with the exception of fertility and mortality estimates, for the 13 domains (sub-regions) obtained by cross classifying the three ecological zones (Mountains,

Hills and Terai) with the five developmental regions (Eastern, Central, Western, Mid-western and Far-western).' In total, 253 primary sampling units (PSUs) were selected- 34 in the urban areas and 219 in the rural areas. The number of households actually visited was 8,500 , and interviews were successfully completed for 8,429 respondents. The sample design is discussed in greater detail in Appendix A.

## Questionnaires

Two types of questionnaires were used in the NFHS: the Household Questionnaire and the Individual Questionnaire. The contents and design of the questionnaires were based on the DHS Model B Questionnaire, which is designed for use in countries with low contraceptive prevalence. The questionnaires were adapted to local conditions and a number of questions pertaining to on-going health and family planning programmes were added in consultation with various ministries and organizations. These questionnaires, which were developed in English, were translated into Nepali, the national language, and two other local languages, Maithali and Bhojpuri.

The Household Questionnaire listed all usual residents of each sampled household and all visitors who had slept in the household the night before the interview. For every person listed, some basic information such as their relationship to the head of the household, sex, age, education, and marital status was collected. The main purpose of this section of the Household Questionnaire was to identify women who were eligible for the individual interviews, that is, ever-married women age 15 to 49 years. In addition, the Household Questionnaire also obtained information on the source of water, type of toilet facilities, rooms used for sleeping, main materials of the floor, ownership of various consumer durable goods, and characteristics of household heads such as religion and ethnicity. In addition, the salt used in each household was tested for its iodine content.

The Individual Questionnaire was administered to all ever-married women age 15-49 who were usual residents or who were present in the household the night before the survey. It obtained information on the following topics:

- Background characteristics including age, education, religion;
- Reproductive history;
- Knowledge and use of family planning methods;
- Fertility preferences and attitudes about family planning;
- Marriage;
- Antenatal and delivery care;
- Breastfeeding and weaning practices;
- Vaccinations and health of children;
- Husband's background characteristics and women's work;
- Knowledge of AIDS;
- Maternal mortality;
- Height and weight of children and their mothers.

Information on vaccinations and health of children, and height and weight measurements were obtained for all children bom since Baisakh 2049 of the Nepalese calendar, which roughly corresponds to April 14, 1992 in the Western calendar.
${ }^{1}$ Due to their small size, the Mountain areas of the Western, Mid-western and Far-western regions were combined. In this report the combined sub-region is referred to as Western Mountain.

Both the Household and Individual Questionnaires were pretested in October, 1995 for about two weeks in three areas: the Nepali version in the Kathmandu valley, the Bhojpuri version in Parsa district, and the Maithali version in Dhanusha district. Pretesting was conducted in both rural and urban areas. About 600 interviews were conducted during the pretest. The questionnaires were finalized with input from interviewers involved in the pretest. The English versions of the questionnaires are included in Appendix E.

### 1.5 Recruitment, Training and Fieldwork

Prior to the main survey, 26 household listing teams, each consisting of a lister and a mapper, were recruited and trained for about a week in August 1995 at the New ERA office in Kathmandu. Household listing began soon after and was completed by the end of September 1995. Spot checks were conducted while the listers were in the field to ensure that the work was being done correctly and completely. In some cases, listers were sent back to relist areas where households had been missed or mis-listed.

Recruitment for the main survey was also carried out at the New ERA office in Kathmandu. However, keeping in mind the local language requirements, interviewers who spoke Maithili and Bhojpuri were recruited from the Central and Eastern Terai regions in order to administer these local language questionnaires. In general interviewers were recruited for their language skills, academic qualifications and previous work experience.

Training for the main survey was conducted in Kathmandu from December 17, 1995 to January 15, 1996. A total of 81 interviewers participated in the training. Because of the large number, interviewers were split up into two groups and were trained simultaneously in two separate classrooms in the same location. The training was conducted by senior project staff of New ERA, the Ministry of Health, and two representatives from Macro International.

The four-week training course consisted of instruction in general interviewing techniques and field procedures for the survey, a detailed review of the questionnaires, practice in weighing and measuring children, mock interviews between participants in the classroom, and practice interviews in the field. In addition, five special lectures were arranged-one each on the health delivery system in Nepal, family planning, maternal health, child health and AIDS. The female trainees whose participation was satisfactory were selected as female interviewers and field editors; male trainees whose participation was satisfactory were selected as male interviewers. Based on the performance of the trainees, field supervisors were also selected.

In order to maintain uniform survey procedures, four manuals relating to different aspects of the survey were prepared. The Interviewer's Manual discussed the objectives of the NFHS, interviewing techniques, field procedures, general procedures for completing the questionnaires, and included a detailed discussion of the Household and Individual Questionnaires. The Supervisor's and Editor's Manual contained instructions on organizing and supervising fieldwork, maintaining and monitoring control sheets, and general rules for editing the completed questionnaires. The manual also contained information on height and weight charts, assignment sheets, and the interviewer's progress sheet. Trainers were given the Training Guidelines for DHS Surveys Manual, which described the administrative and logistical aspects of training and data quality checks. The Household Listing Manual described the mapping and household listing procedures used in DHS surveys.

The NFHS fieldwork was carried out by 12 teams, each comprised of three female interviewers, one male interviewer, a female field editor, and a field supervisor who was either male or female (see Appendix D for a complete list of the persons involved in the NFHS). The male interviewer administered the Household Questionnaire and the female interviewers administered the Individual Questionnaire. Unlike most DHS surveys in which the IIousehold Questionnaire and the Individual Questionnaire are administered by the same
interviewer, in the NFHS, the Household Questionnaire and the Individual Questionnaire were administered by two different interviewers. This procedure was adopted to prevent possible age shifting by interviewers (to lighten their workload) when collecting information in the Individual Questionnaire on children born since the cutoff date, which is Baisakh 2049 in the Nepalese calendar. The fieldwork started in mid-January and ended in mid-June 1996. Assignment of sample points to the teams and various logistical decisions were made by the NFHS staff of New ERA in Kathmandu. Each team was allowed a fixed period of time to complete fieldwork in a primary sampling unit (PSU) before moving to the next PSU. In order to maintain close supervision of all the teams during the initial two weeks of field work, all teams started their fieldwork in the Terai region ( 10 teams in the Eastern and Central Terai regions and two teams in the Western Terai region) and were accessible within a few hours of driving. After two weeks of fieldwork in these regions, the teams went to their assigned district. All teams began their fieldwork from the Terai region and gradually moved to the north. During the initial stage, each interviewer was instructed not to conduct more than two individual interviews of women per day. As interviewers became more familiar with the questionnaire they were conducting up to a maximum of four interviews per day. A minimum of three call-backs were made by the interviewers to ensure that eligible women identified in the sampled households were successfully interviewed.

The main duty of the field editor was to examine the completed questionnaires in the field and ensure that they were correctly filled out. An additional duty was to periodically observe ongoing interviews and verify the accuracy of the method of asking questions, recording answers, following skip instructions, and identifying eligible respondents. Throughout the survey, the senior staff of New ERA maintained close contact with all the teams through direct communication and spot checking. Data collection work was also supervised by staff of the Family Health Division and Macro International. The objective was to provide support and advice to maintain good data quality. Data quality was also ensured by providing feedback to individual teams on the results of the field check tables. These tables were produced by computers at regular intervals from data obtained in the completed questionnaires. These results were discussed with the teams to improve their performance.

### 1.6 Data Entry and Processing

All the completed questionnaires were brought to the New ERA office for data entry and processing. The data entry operation consisted of office editing, coding, data entry, and machine editing. Although all completed questionnaires were throughly edited in the field, codes for ethnicity and occupation were entered in the office. In addition, the line numbers of eligible women and the birth order of all pregnancies were rechecked. Appropriate codes for "other" responses were also assigned. One supervisor and five data entry operators were responsible for the data entry and computer editing operations. The data were entered and edited using five microcomputers and the ISSA (Integrated System for Survey Analysis) software, which was developed for DHS surveys. Data entry was also 100 percent verified in order to minimize errors. The data entry was done directly from the questionnaires and was initiated within two weeks of the first receipt of the completed questionnaires. All data entry and editing operations were completed within two weeks of completion of the fieldwork, that is, by June 1996. Computer based checks were done to rectify inconsistencies.

A preliminary report highlighting the key findings of the NFHS was released in September 1996. The purpose of this report was to disseminate the basic findings of the NFHS to policy-makers, programme planners, and administrators. The report contained 19 tables and findings on fertility, awareness and practice of family planning, fertility preferences, utilization of antenatal services, unmet need, immunizations, health of children, infant and child mortality, and knowledge about AIDS.

The NFHS followed the DHS tabulation plan in order to maintain data comparability with other countries where DHS has been implemented. Final tables were generated at Macro International.

### 1.7 Coverage of the Sample

Table 1.2 shows the results of the household and individual interviews. A total of 8,500 households were selected for the NFHS, of which 8,111 were located by the field teams. Of the total 8,111 households that were occupied, 8,082 were successfully interviewed, yielding a response rate of 99.6 percent. The household response rate was almost the same in urban and rural areas.

A total of 8,580 women were identified as eligible for the individual interview, indicating a ratio of 1.06 eligible women per household. Interviews were completed for 8,429 women, yielding a 98 percent overall individual response rate. The individual response rate was slightly higher in rural areas ( 98 percent) than in urban areas ( 97 percent).

| Table 12 Results of household and individual interviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of households, number of interviews and response rates, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |
|  | Residence |  | Total |
| Result | Urban | Rural |  |
| Households interviewed |  |  |  |
| Households sampled | 1,025 | 7,475 | 8,500 |
| Households found | 961 | 7,150 | 8,111 |
| Households interviewed | 955 | 7,127 | 8,082 |
| Household response rate | 99.4 | 99.7 | 99.6 |
| Individual interviews |  |  |  |
| Eligible women | 983 | 7,597 | 8,580 |
| Eligible women interviewed | 954 | 7,475 | 8,429 |
| Eligible women response rate | 97.0 | 98.4 | 98.2 |

## CHAPTER 2

## CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND RESPONDENTS

The purpose of this chapter is to provide a descriptive summary of the demographic and socio-economic characteristics of the household population and the individual respondents in the Nepal Family Health Survey (NFHS). This information is useful for interpreting the survey findings and serves as an approximate indicator of the representativeness of the survey. It also provides valuable input for social and economic development planning. In this chapter the NFHS data have, in some instances, been compared with data from the 1991 Census and the 1991 Nepal Fertility, Family Planning and Health Survey (also known as the 1991 NFHS).

The NFHS collected information from all usual residents of a selected household (the de jure population) and persons who had slept in the selected household the night before the interview (the de facto population). The difference between these two populations is very small and since past surveys have looked at the de facto population, for comparison purposes, all tables in this report refer to the de facto population, unless otherwise specified. A household was defined as a person or group of persons who live and eat together.

### 2.1 Age and Sex Composition of the Household Population

Information on the age and sex of each household member was obtained from the household head or some other responsible adult member of the household. Table 2.1 shows the age distribution of the population by five-year age groups, according to urban-rural residence and sex. The NFHS enumerated a total of 42,863 persons of whom 52 percent were females. Because of relatively high fertility in the past, a large proportion of Nepal's population ( 44 percent ) is under 15 years of age. The median age of the population is 17.9 years (Table 2.2). There is a slightly larger proportion of children under age five than age 5 to 9 (Figure 2.1). This is not surprising because of population momentum combined with the fact that fertility has been declining very slowly in Nepal. An examination of the quality of the data in relation to age reporting indicates that there are no serious biases in reporting. For a more detailed examination of data quality refer to Appendix C. Another plausible explanation for the higher proportion of children in the youngest age group could be a marked decline in underfive mortality in the most recent five-year period (see Chapter 7). Further evidence of this is seen in Appendix Table C. 4 where the number dead among live births in the $0-4$ years before the survey is 40 percent less than in the 5-9 years before the survey. While some of these deaths can be attributed to the longer period of exposure among the older cohort, nevertheless, the marked decline in mortality in the most recent period may contribute to the higher proportion living in the $0-4$ age cohort.

As seen in Table 2.1, there is a smaller proportion of children under age five in urban areas, suggesting that recent declines in fertility are more evident in urban than rural areas and that the transition to lower fertility began with the urban population.

The overall sex ratio, the number of males per 100 females, is 93 , which is lower than that obtained in the 1991 Census ( 100 ) and the 1991 NFHS ( 98 ). The sex ratio differs by residence (Table 2.1). Urban areas have a higher sex ratio (104) than rural areas (92). The sex ratio is markedly lower among the working age population, particularly among those age 15-54 years, where it ranges from 74 to 97 . On the other hand, in the younger and older age groups the sex ratio is more balanced. A similar pattern was also documented in the 1991 NFHS. A low sex ratio among the working age population, particularly in rural areas, may be attributed to the high rate of

out-migration of males to the urban areas of Nepal, as well as to other countries, including India, in search of short-term and long-term employment.

Figure 2.2 presents the distribution of the male and female household population by single year of age (see also Appendix Table C.1). Information on the age of household members is obtained from the head of the household or any responsible adult member of the household. It is clear that there is some heaping in ages ending in zero, two and five and, as expected, age heaping is more severe at older ages. Age heaping is less pronounced among women than men, presumably because women reported their own age and this information was obtained after extensive probing whereas, many of the men's ages were provided by proxy.

Table 2.2 compares the broad age structure of the 1996 NFHS population with the population distribution in the 1991 Census and the 199I NFHS. The age distribution in the 1996 NFHS is almost identical to the age

Table 2.2 Population by age from selected sources
Percent distribution of the de facto household population by broad age groups, selected sources, Nepal 1991-1996

| Age group | $\begin{aligned} & 1991 \\ & \mathrm{NFHS} \end{aligned}$ | 1991 <br> Census | $\begin{aligned} & 1996 \\ & \text { NFHS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <15 | 43.7 | 42.4 | 44.0 |
| 15-64 | 52.8 | 54.1 | 52.2 |
| 65+ | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Median age | U | U | 17.9 |
| $\mathrm{U}=\text { Unknown (not available). }$ <br> Source: Ministry of Health, 1993: Table 3.2; Central Bureau of Statistics, 1991: Vol. 1, Table 5. |  |  |  | distribution in the 1991 NFHS and similar to the age distribution in the 1991 Census.

Figure 2.1
Population Pyramid, Nepal, 1996



### 2.2 Household Composition

Table 2.3 presents the distribution of households by selected background characteristics, which are usually indicative of the socio-economic differences between households. For example, female-headed households are often found to be poorer than male-headed households and the size and composition of a household influences the allocation of limited resources and affects the living conditions of individuals in the household.

Households in Nepal are predominantly headed by males regardless of the type of residence ( 88 percent). Household heads tend to be concentrated in the middle age groups. Thirty-seven percent are in the age group $30-44$ and 30 percent in the age group 45-59. The median age of household heads is 43 years; the median age is slightly lower in urban areas ( 40 years) than in rural areas (43).

The average household size is 5.5 persons, which is consistent with the 1991 Census (5.5) but slightly smaller than the 1991 NFHS (5.7). The average household size is slightly larger in rural areas (5.5) than in urban areas (5.2).

The majority of household heads are Hindu ( 87 percent), 7 percent are Buddhist and 5 percent are Muslims. Brahmins and Chhetris are among the most prevalent caste groups in Nepal. Eighteen percent of household heads belong to the Chhetri caste and 15 percent belong to the Brahmin caste. The Occupational caste group, which comprises 14 percent of all household heads, is predominantly found in rural areas. On the other hand, the Newars, who comprise some 7 percent of all household heads, are predominantly found in urban areas.

### 2.3 Educational Attainment of the Household Population

The level of education attained by the population is an important indicator of social development. In

## Table 2.3 Household composition

Percent distribution of households by sex, age, religion, and caste of household head and household size, according to urban-rural residence, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Household headship |  |  |  |
| Male | 87.5 | 87.6 | 87.6 |
| Female | 12.5 | 12.4 | 12.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Age of household head |  |  |  |
| $<30$ | 17.4 | 17.5 | 17.5 |
| 30-44 | 43.2 | 36.4 | 37.0 |
| 45-59 | 26.3 | 29.9 | 29.6 |
| $60+$ | 13.1 | 16.1 | 15.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Median age | 40.4 | 43.0 | 42.7 |
| Number of usual members |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| 2 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| 3 | 12.1 | 10.6 | 10.7 |
| 4 | 20.4 | 15.8 | 16.2 |
| 5 | 19.3 | 17.9 | 18.0 |
| 6 | 14.5 | 15.4 | 15.3 |
| 7 | 8.6 | 11.6 | 11.3 |
| 8 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 6.6 |
| $9+$ | 8.9 | 11.1 | 10.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean size | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Religion of household head |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 82.4 | 87.2 | 86.8 |
| Buddhist | 12.3 | 6.1 | 6.7 |
| Muslim | 3.7 | 4.6 | 4.5 |
| Christian | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Other | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Don't know/missing | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Caste of household head |  |  |  |
| Brahmin | 23.8 | 13.8 | 14.7 |
| Chhetri | 12.9 | 18.9 | 18.4 |
| Newar | 23.5 | 5.0 | 6.6 |
| Gurung | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Magar | 3.2 | 7.7 | 7.3 |
| Tamang/Sherpa | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Rai/Limbu | 2.5 | 5.3 | 5.0 |
| Muslim/Churaute | 3.6 | 4.5 | 4.4 |
| Tharu/Rajbanshi | 2.5 | 6.1 | 5.8 |
| Yadav/Ahir | 0.2 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| Occupational | 8.3 | 14.7 | 14.1 |
| Other Hill origin | 0.5 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| Other Terai origin | 10.1 | 9.4 | 9.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of households | 716 | 7,366 | 8,082 |

Note: Table is based on de jure members; i.e., usual residents.
addition, education has been found to affect reproductive behaviour, the use of contraceptives, and the health of mothers and their children. Tables 2.4.1 and 2.4.2 show the distribution of the male and female household population age 6 years and above by the level of education attained, according to age, residence, ecological region, development region, and sub-region. In this report those who have never been to school are categorized as having no education. Those who have attended primary school or completed it are categorized as "primary."

Over one-third of males ( 36 percent) and two-thirds of females ( 67 percent) have never been to school. Overall, 39 percent of males and 22 percent of females have attained some primary education, while 18 percent of males and 8 percent of females have attained some secondary education. The median number of years of schooling is 2 for males and less than 1 for females (the median for females is not shown because more than 50 percent of the female household population in most of the categories have no education). An examination of the level of education by age group reveals that there has been an improvement over time in the educational attainment for both sexes. The proportion of males who have never been to school declines from 88 percent among the oldest age group ( 65 years or more) to 14 percent among those age $10-14$. The comparable proportion among females is 99 percent and 38 percent, respectively. Nevertheless, the gender gap remains large. For example, 24 percent of males in the age group 6-9 have not been to school compared with 41 percent among females in the same age group.

Data also indicate that there is a wide gap between urban and rural areas in educational attainment. Thirty-eight percent of males and 70 percent of females in rural areas have never attended school compared with 19 percent of males and 40 percent of females in urban areas. For both sexes, this difference is more pronounced at higher levels of education, presumably because of insufficient numbers of higher educational facilities and inaccessibility in rural areas.

Table 24.1 Educational level of the male household population
Percent distribution of the de facto male household population age six and over by highest level of education attended, and median number of years of schooling, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Level of education |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Median years of schooling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No education | Primary | Some secondary | $\begin{gathered} \text { SLC } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { higher } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know/ } \\ & \text { missing } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 24.3 | 74.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2.559 | 0.8 |
| 10-14 | 14.2 | 67.3 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,883 | 3.7 |
| 15-19 | 17.3 | 26.9 | 49.6 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,925 | 6.8 |
| 20-24 | 24.2 | 25.8 | 28.5 | 21.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,477 | 6.0 |
| 25-29 | 31.0 | 24.7 | 24.2 | 19.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,348 | 4.8 |
| 30-34 | 39.4 | 25.8 | 18.0 | 15.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,137 | 3.0 |
| 35-39 | 41.7 | 30.4 | 16.1 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 989 | 2.3 |
| 40-44 | 47.8 | 26.5 | 14.9 | 9.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 824 | 1.0 |
| 45-49 | 55.8 | 24.3 | 11.5 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 787 | 0.0 |
| 50-54 | 73.2 | 14.9 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 693 | 0.0 |
| 55-59 | 79.0 | 11.6 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 590 | 0.0 |
| 60-64 | 84.1 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 490 | 0.0 |
| 65+ | 87.9 | 6.7 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 824 | 0.0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.6 | 33.4 | 23.3 | 23.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,605 | 5.7 |
| Rural | 37.8 | 39.1 | 17.0 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 14,919 | 1.6 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 43.7 | 41.2 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,155 | 0.9 |
| Hill | 31.8 | 40.5 | 18.8 | 7.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 7,129 | 2.6 |
| Terai | 38.4 | 36.5 | 17.3 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 8,240 | 1.5 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 32.9 | 37.3 | 21.0 | 8.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 4,166 | 2.8 |
| Central | 39.5 | 34.7 | 16.2 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 5,630 | 1.3 |
| Western | 33.8 | 41.6 | 17.5 | 6.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 3,056 | 2.3 |
| Mid-western | 39.1 | 40.3 | 14.9 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 2,261 | 1.2 |
| Far-western | 30.6 | 48.1 | 17.0 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,411 | 2.3 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 36.7 | 43.8 | 14.6 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 314 | 1.7 |
| Central Mountain | 48.0 | 40.3 | 10.2 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 398 | 0.9 |
| Western Mountain | 44.9 | 40.2 | 11.7 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 443 | 0.9 |
| Eastem Hill | 34.6 | 38.0 | 20.8 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,313 | 2.6 |
| Central Hill | 30.3 | 35.7 | 19.6 | 13.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2,357 | 3.2 |
| Western Hill | 30.8 | 43.5 | 18.5 | 5.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,874 | 2.6 |
| Mid-western Hill | 34.1 | 46.6 | 14.6 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,081 | 1.7 |
| Far-western Hill | 30.9 | 45.2 | 19.6 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 504 | 2.4 |
| Eastern Terai | 31.6 | 36.2 | 22.0 | 9.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2,540 | 3.1 |
| Central Terai | 45.9 | 33.2 | 14.3 | 6.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2,875 | 0.9 |
| Western Terai | 38.6 | 38.5 | 16.0 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,182 | 1.8 |
| Mid-westem Terai | 41.5 | 35.4 | 15.9 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 983 | 1.1 |
| Far-westem Terai | 27.8 | 50.4 | 17.0 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 660 | 2.5 |
| Total | 36.0 | 38.6 | 17.6 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 16,524 | 2.0 |

SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate

Table 2.4.2 Educational level of the female household population
Percent distribution of the de facto female household population age six and over by highest level of education attended, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Level of education |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of persons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No education | Primary | Some secondary | $\begin{gathered} \text { SLC } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { higher } \end{gathered}$ | Don't know/ missing |  |  |
| Age ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 41.1 | 58.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2,542 |
| 10-14 | 38.4 | 49.7 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,686 |
| 15-19 | 51.5 | 19.5 | 25.7 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,259 |
| 20-24 | 64.4 | 13.7 | 13.6 | 8.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,999 |
| 25-29 | 75.2 | 11.8 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,734 |
| 30-34 | 84.7 | 8.3 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,365 |
| 35-39 | 88.7 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,179 |
| 40-44 | 91.1 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 946 |
| 45-49 | 94.0 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 846 |
| 50-54 | 96.3 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 718 |
| 55-59 | 97.6 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 577 |
| 60-64 | 97.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 489 |
| 65+ | 99.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 794 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 40.1 | 26.9 | 19.5 | 13.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,547 |
| Rural | 69.9 | 21.8 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 16,590 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 77.2 | 17.5 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,282 |
| Hill | 62.0 | 26.0 | 9.1 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 8,285 |
| Terai | 71.0 | 19.3 | 7.4 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 8,570 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 62.3 | 24.6 | 10.4 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4,444 |
| Central | 70.5 | 18.7 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 5,839 |
| Western | 60.2 | 27.6 | 10.1 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,665 |
| Mid-western | 75.2 | 18.6 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,497 |
| Far-western | 73.2 | 21.9 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,691 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 60.7 | 25.9 | 10.6 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 326 |
| Central Mountain | 78.6 | 19.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 446 |
| Western Mountain | 86.7 | 10.8 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 509 |
| Eastern Hill | 63.8 | 25.7 | 8.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,511 |
| Central Hill | 57.9 | 24.4 | 11.5 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,475 |
| Western Hill | 54.4 | 31.9 | 11.7 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,375 |
| Mid-western Hill | 73.8 | 22.2 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,235 |
| Far-westem Hill | 77.4 | 18.4 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 688 |
| Eastern Terai | 61.6 | 23.7 | 11.3 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,607 |
| Central Terai | 80.0 | 13.9 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,918 |
| Western Terai | 71.0 | 19.7 | 7.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,291 |
| Mid-western Terai | 72.8 | 17.2 | 7.4 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,044 |
| Far-western Terai | 65.9 | 28.0 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 711 |
| Total | 67.3 | 22.2 | 8.0 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 18,136 |

Note: Median years of schooling is not shown because more than 50 percent of the female household population in most of the categories have no education. The median years of schooling for females age 6-9 and 10-14 is 0.7 and 2.1 , respectively. The median for urban residence is 2.5 .

SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate
${ }^{1}$ Excludes 2 women for whom age was not reported.

The percentage of males and females who have no education is highest in the Mountain region and lowest in the Hill region. Nearly 40 percent of males residing in the Central and Mid-western regions reported having no education. Among females, the highest percentage reporting no education was in the Mid-western region (75 percent), followed closely by the Far-westem region ( 73 percent). The difference in the percentage of males with no education is more pronounced by sub-regions ranging from 28 percent in the Far-western sub-region of the Terai to 48 percent in the Central sub-region of the Mountains. A similar difference is seen among females with no education, ranging from 54 percent in the Westem Hill sub-region to 87 percent in the Western Mountain subregion. Tables 2.4.1 and 2.4 .2 show the persistence of the gender gap in the level of education even among the sub-regions. While for males the percentage who have never been to school is less than 50 percent in all subregions, for females, the percentage who have never been to school exceeds 50 percent in all sub-regions and exceeds 75 percent in four of the thirteen sub-regions.

Table 2.5 presents the school enrolment rates of the male and female population age 6-24 years according to age and residence. Slightly more than three of five ( 65 percent) children age 6-15 are currently enrolled in school. But the enrolment drops substantially after age 15 to less than three of ten ( 28 percent) among those age $16-20$ and to one of eleven ( 11 percent) among those age 21-24. This sudden drop may be partly due to lack of financial resources to continue schooling and partly due to the need to work to support the family.

| Percentage of the de facto household population age 6-24 years enrolled in school, by age, sex, and urban-rural residence, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |
| Age | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 6-10 | 84.9 | 72.7 | 73.7 | 86.9 | 54.5 | 56.7 | 85.8 | 63.6 | 65.3 |
| 11-15 | 82.9 | 75.2 | 76.0 | 82.6 | 48.1 | 50.8 | 82.8 | 61.8 | 63.6 |
| 6-15 | 83.9 | 73.9 | 74.7 | 84.7 | 51.6 | 54.0 | 84.3 | 62.8 | 64.5 |
| 16-20 | 51.0 | 38.2 | 39.5 | 42.2 | 17.0 | 19.2 | 46.6 | 26.4 | 28.3 |
| 21-24 | 33.3 | 13.7 | 15.8 | 14.7 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 23.2 | 7.5 | 9.1 |

There continue to be differentials in enrolment by urban-rural residence (see Figure 2.3). The enrolment rate among children age $6-15$ is much higher in urban areas ( 84 percent) than in rural areas ( 63 percent). This difference persists in all age groups and is much greater among older age groups than among younger age groups. For example, among those age 21-24, urban enrolment is three times that of rural enrolment, whereas among those age 6-10, urban enrolment is only 1.3 times as high as rural enrolment.

The overall school enrolment rate is also much higher among males than females. However, the gender gap is almost non-existent in urban areas among children age less than 16. This is not the case in rural areas where males continue to be enrolled in larger proportions than females in all age groups.


### 2.4 Housing Characteristics

Table 2.6 provides information on selected housing characteristics by residence. This information is helpful in assessing the general socio-economic conditions of the population. Overall, only 18 percent of households have electricity. Nevertheless, this is an increase of 5 percentage points over the level obtained in the 1991 NFHS. There is a considerable difference between urban and rural households regarding the availability of electricity. While more than three-fourths ( 78 percent) of households in urban areas have electricity, only 12 percent in rural areas have electricity.

Information on the source of drinking water and accessibility to the source was also gathered in the NFHS. Safe drinking water is important for heaith and sanitation. Table 2.6 shows that only 9 percent of households have water piped into their residence and 23 percent collect water from a public tap. In total, 57 percent of urban households and 29 percent of rural households have access to piped water. Access to piped water has increased when compared with data from the 1991 NFHS. Nearly one-third ( 32 percent) of households have access to hand pumps, which are more common in rural areas than in urban areas ( 32 percent versus 27 percent). One-fifth of households interviewed in rural areas reported spring water as their main source of drinking water. The other sources of drinking water include well, river or stream, and stone taps. Those households which did not have access to drinking water within their own premises were also asked about the time required to fetch water. Overall, three of five households have access to water within 15 minutes. As expected there is quicker access to water in urban than in rural areas.

The majority of households ( 77 percent) do not have sanitation facilities. Lack of sanitation facilities is more common in rural areas ( 82 percent) than in urban areas ( 26 percent). Thirteen percent of households have a pit toilet or latrine ( 9 percent in urban areas and 13 percent in rural areas) and 7 percent have pan latrines ( 45 percent in urban areas and 3 percent in rural areas). Less than 2 percent of the population has access to flush toilets, which are predominantly located in urban households (17 percent).

## Table 2.6 Housing characteristics

Percent distribution of households by housing characteristics, according to urban-rural residence, Nepal 1996

| Characteristic | Residence |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Electricity |  |  |  |
| Yes | 78.4 | 12.1 | 17.9 |
| No | 21.6 | 87.9 | 82.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Source of drinking water |  |  |  |
| Piped water |  |  |  |
| Piped into residence | 46.4 | 5.2 | 8.8 |
| Public tap | 11.0 | 23.9 | 22.7 |
| Well in residence | 5.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Public well | 3.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| Hand Pump |  |  |  |
| Hand pump in residence | 20.9 | 11.0 | 11.9 |
| Public hand pump | 6.4 | 21.3 | 20.0 |
| Spring water (Kuwa) | 0.0 | 20.8 | 18.9 |
| River/stream | 3.3 | 7.6 | 7.2 |
| Stone tap (Dhara) | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Other | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Missing | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Time to water source Water within 15 minutes | 88.8 | 58.5 | 61.1 |
| Sanitation facility |  |  |  |
| Flush toilet | 17.1 | 0.2 | 1.7 |
| Traditional pit toilet | 9.2 | 13.2 | 12.9 |
| Ventilated improved toilet | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| Pan | 45.3 | 3.2 | 6.9 |
| No facility | 26.3 | 82.3 | 77.3 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Missing | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Flooring |  |  |  |
| Mud/dung | 44.3 | 95.7 | 91.2 |
| Wood planks | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| Cement | 41.3 | 1.6 | 5.1 |
| Linoleum | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Marble chips | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Carpet | 6.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Persons per sleeping room |  |  |  |
| 1-2 | 52.0 | 37.8 | 39.0 |
| 3-4 | 31.9 | 37.5 | 37.0 |
| 5-6 | 12.7 | 17.4 | 17.0 |
| 7+ | 2.8 | 7.1 | 6.7 |
| Don't know/missing | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean persons per room | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Number of households | 716 | 7,366 | 8,082 |

A large percentage ( 91 percent) of houses have mud or dung floors. Mud or dung floors are almost universal in rural households, while only two of five urban households have this type of flooring. Five percent of all households have a cement floor, which is more common in urban households ( 41 percent) than in rural households (2 percent).

The extent of crowding is estimated by the number of rooms in a household used for sleeping. Crowding is quite apparent in Nepalese households. Thirty-nine percent of households have 1-2 persons per sleeping room and 37 percent have 3-4 persons. Seventeen percent of households have as many as 5-6 persons per room and 7 percent have 7 or more persons. Overall, there are fewer persons per sleeping room in urban than in rural households, although this difference is not large among smaller-sized families. The overall mean number of persons per sleeping room is 3.5 .

Information on the possession of various durable goods was also collected at the household level. Table 2.7 shows that overall, 37 percent of households have radios, one-fifth have bicycles, 7 percent have televisions and 1 percent have telephones. There is a vast difference between urban and rural households, with urban households much more likely to own these consumer durable items than rural households. The urban-rural difference is especially pronounced for ownership of television and telephones. The percentage owning radios, televisions and bicycles has increased over the last five years, when compared with data from the 1991 NFHS.

Lack of a sufficient amount of iodine in the diet can lead to serious nutritional deficiencies such as goiter, nutritional stunting, mental retardation, and cretinism. The Government of Nepal has emphasized the addition of iodine to salt to prevent and control the occurrence of these health problems. The iodine content of salt used in households was tested by the NFHS interviewers. These results are presented in Table 2.8. The test involved

| Table 2.7 Household durable goods |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of households possessing various durable consumer goods, by urban-rural residence, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |
|  | Res |  |  |
| Consumer good | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Radio | 59.7 | 34.2 | 36.5 |
| Television | 42.6 | 3.1 | 6.6 |
| Telephone | 14.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| Bicycle | 38.9 | 17.6 | 19.5 |
| None of the above | 25.2 | 56.0 | 53.3 |
| Number of households | 716 | 7,366 | 8,082 |

placing a drop of a special solution onto a small amount of salt supplied by the household respondent, which indicates the presence of iodine in the salt, but not its quality which is subject to degradation. Test kits were supplied by the Nepal Salt Trading Corporation. Results showed that 93 percent of the households use iodized salt. This finding is consistent with an earlier survey which tested salt in local shops at the community level. The findings of that survey indicated that $\mathbf{8 8}$ percent of the $\mathbf{2 8 8}$ community shops surveyed sold iodized salt (National Planning Commission Secretariat and UNICEF-Nepal, 1996). NFHS data showed that almost all urban households ( 99 percent) use iodized salt while the figure for rural households is 93 percent. The proportion of households using iodized salt was highest in the Terai, in the Eastern development region and in the Eastern Terai sub-region. Households in which the head of the household is educated are slightly more likely than other households to use iodized salt.

### 2.5 Background Characteristics of Respondents

Table 2.9 shows the distribution of respondents to the Individual Questionnaire by selected background characteristics including age, marital status, residence, education, religion, and caste. Respondents are evermarried women age 15-49 who had slept in a selected household the night before the interview. As discussed in Chapter 1, interviews were completed for a total of 8,429 eligible women. The distributions for both evermarried and currently married women are shown but, since the distributions are very similar, only ever-married women will be discussed here.

The age distribution of women reveals that one of two women is below 30 years and one of five is 40 years or above. Women are mostly concentrated in the age groups 20-34. This could be because of a trend towards later marriage, and since the survey interviewed ever-married women only there are fewer ever-married women in the youngest age group. This is confirmed by comparison with the 1991 NFHS data. The age distribution of ever-married women in the 1996 NFHS is consistent with the age distribution in the 1991 NFHS except in the 15-19 age group, which is 3 percent higher in 1991.

The majority of women are currently married with a very small minority widowed, divorced or separated ( 5 percent).

Ninety-two percent of women are currently residing in rural areas. One of two women live in the Terai, 43 percent live in the Hills and 7 percent live in the Mountains. The distribution of women by development regions shows that one-third are from the Central region, nearly one-quarter ( 23 percent) from the Eastern region and one-fifth from the Westem region. The distribution of women in the Mid-western and Far-western regions is 14 percent and 10 percent, respectively. The sub-regional distribution shows the highest concentration of women in the Central Terai sub-region ( 19 percent), followed by the Eastern Terai ( 15 percent) and the Central and Western Hill sub-regions (around 12 percent each). In each of the remaining sub-regions, the distribution of women is less than 10 percent.

## Table 2.8 Iodized salt

Percentage of households using iodized salt, by age of household head and selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage | Number of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age of household head |  |  |
| < 30 | 94.0 | 1,415 |
| 30-44 | 93.8 | 2,994 |
| 45-59 | 92.5 | 2,393 |
| 60+ | 92.0 | 1,281 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 98.6 | 716 |
| Rural | 92.6 | 7,366 |
| Ecological region |  |  |
| Mountain | 89.2 | 608 |
| Hill | 92.2 | 3,695 |
| Terai | 94.7 | 3,779 |
| Development region |  |  |
| Easterm | 96.2 | 1,974 |
| Central | 93.2 | 2,703 |
| Western | 90.2 | 1,634 |
| Mid-western | 93.5 | 1,080 |
| Far-westerm | 91.0 | 690 |
| Sub-region |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 92.3 | 151 |
| Central Mountain | 90.8 | 228 |
| Western Mountain | 85.7 | 229 |
| Eastern Hill | 93.5 | 640 |
| Central Hill | 92.9 | 1,091 |
| Western Hill | 89.8 | 1,088 |
| Mid-western Hill | 94.1 | 581 |
| Far-western Hill | 92.7 | 294 |
| Eastern Terai | 98.2 | 1,183 |
| Central Terai | 93.8 | 1,383 |
| Western Terai | 90.9 | 546 |
| Mid-western Terai | 95.7 | 394 |
| Far-western Terai | 90.1 | 272 |
| Educational level of household head |  |  |
| No education | 91.9 | 4,806 |
| Primary | 94.4 | 1,654 |
| Some secondary | 95.7 | 951 |
| SLC and above | 96.0 | 560 |
| Don't know/missing | 94.6 | 111 |
| Total | 93.2 | 8,082 |

Note: Total includes 14 households in which the salt test was not performed.
SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate

The vast majority of ever-married women ( 80 percent) have never been to school; one in nine has attended primary school; 6 percent have some secondary education; and less than 3 percent have passed the School Leaving Certificate (SLC). Four of five women are illiterate.

The vast majority of women ( 87 percent) are Hindu. Six percent are Buddhist and 5 percent are Muslim. The ethnic composition of women shows that Chhetris are the dominant ethnic group ( 17 percent), followed by the Occupational caste group ( 15 percent) and Brahmins ( 14 percent). The Tibetan-Burmese groups such as the Gurung, Magar, Tamang, Sherpa, Rai, and Limbu represent between 1 and 7 percent of the female ever-married population and the Newars 6 percent. The Tharu and Rajbanshi represent 8 percent and the Yadav and Ahir 4 percent.

Table 2.10 shows the relationship between women's level of education and other background characteristics. As expected, the level of education decreases with increasing age of the respondents, reflecting an improvement in women's level of education over time.

The urban-rural differences in women's level of education is marked. While nearly one half (48 percent) of women in urban areas have no education, the proportion of rural women with no education is 83 percent. The urban advantage is especially pronounced at the higher levels of education. For example, while the urban-rural difference among women who have some primary education is 5 percentage points, the difference among those who have passed the SLC is almost 16 percentage points.

Women residing in the Mountain region are least likely to have any education compared with women in the Terai and Hill regions. However, there is very little difference in education between women residing in the Hills and the Terai. Women residing in the Western and Eastern regions are slightly more educated than women residing in the Central region. However, this difference is not marked. Women residing in the Far-western and Midwestern regions are less educated than women in the other regions. Nearly 4 percent of women in the Central region have passed their SLC. The proportion of women who have never attended school ranges from 70 percent in the Central Hill sub-region to a high of 95 percent in the

## Table 2.9 Background characteristics of respondents

Percent distribution of ever-married and currently married women by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Ever-married women |  |  | Currently married women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of women |  | Weighted percent | Number of women |  |
|  | Weighted percent | Weighted | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { weighted } \end{gathered}$ |  | Weighted | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { weighted } \end{gathered}$ |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 11.6 | 982 | 955 | 12.1 | 965 | 940 |
| 20-24 | 19.3 | 1,626 | 1,629 | 20.1 | 1,602 | 1,602 |
| 25-29 | 18.9 | 1,594 | 1,591 | 19.5 | 1,560 | 1,556 |
| 30-34 | 16.1 | 1,361 | 1,363 | 16.2 | 1,292 | 1,295 |
| 35-39 | 13.3 | 1,119 | 1,128 | 13.0 | 1,042 | 1,045 |
| 40-44 | 11.0 | 923 | 937 | 10.4 | 828 | 840 |
| 45-49 | 9.8 | 824 | 826 | 8.7 | 695 | 700 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 94.7 | 7,982 | 7,978 | 100.0 | 7,982 | 7,978 |
| Widowed | 3.2 | 272 | 281 | NA | NA | NA |
| Divorced | 0.2 | 14 | 15 | NA | NA | NA |
| Not living together | 1.9 | 160 | 155 | NA | NA | NA |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.4 | 712 | 954 | 8.4 | 668 | 900 |
| Rural | 91.6 | 7,717 | 7,475 | 91.6 | 7,314 | 7,078 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 6.8 | 569 | 1,061 | 6.7 | 538 | 1,002 |
| Hill | 42.7 | 3,600 | 3,597 | 42.1 | 3,363 | 3,358 |
| Terai | 50.5 | 4,259 | 3,771 | 51.1 | 4,082 | 3,618 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 23.0 | 1,941 | 1,683 | 22.9 | 1,829 | 1,583 |
| Central | 33.5 | 2,827 | 2,515 | 33.5 | 2,677 | 2,373 |
| Western | 19.5 | 1,647 | 1,594 | 19.6 | 1,561 | 1,513 |
| Mid-western | 14.2 | 1,196 | 1,390 | 14.4 | 1,146 | 1,334 |
| Far-western | 9.7 | 819 | 1,247 | 9.6 | 769 | 1,175 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 1.5 | 127 | 308 | 1.5 | 120 | 290 |
| Central Mountain | 2.3 | 195 | 349 | 2.3 | 183 | 326 |
| Western Mountain | 2.9 | 247 | 404 | 3.0 | 236 | 386 |
| Eastern Hill | 6.9 | 578 | 523 | 6.7 | 538 | 487 |
| Central Hill | 12.6 | 1,065 | 1,087 | 12.4 | 993 | 1,012 |
| Western Hill | 12.1 | 1,022 | 923 | 11.9 | 952 | 859 |
| Mid-western Hill | 7.1 | 595 | 616 | 7.1 | 567 | 587 |
| Far-western Hill | 4.0 | 340 | 448 | 3.9 | 313 | 413 |
| Eastern Terai | 14.7 | 1,236 | 852 | 14.7 | 1,171 | 806 |
| Central Terai | 18.6 | 1,566 | 1,079 | 18.8 | 1,502 | 1,035 |
| Western Terai | 7.4 | 625 | 671 | 7.6 | 609 | 654 |
| Mid-western Terai | 5.8 | 492 | 596 | 6.0 | 476 | 577 |
| Far-western Terai | 4.0 | 341 | 573 | 4.1 | 324 | 546 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 80.0 | 6,742 | 6,736 | 79.5 | 6,347 | 6,337 |
| Primary | 11.0 | 925 | 893 | 11.2 | 896 | 864 |
| Some secondary | 6.3 | 531 | 540 | 6.4 | 513 | 522 |
| SLC and above | 2.7 | 231 | 260 | 2.8 | 227 | 255 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Continued |  |


| Table 2.9-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Ever-married women |  |  | Currently married women |  |  |
|  | Number of women |  |  | Number of women |  |  |
|  | Weighted percent | Weighted | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { weighted } \end{gathered}$ | Weighted percent | Weighted | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un• } \\ \text { weighted } \end{gathered}$ |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 79.1 | 6,665 | 6,615 | 78.8 | 6,288 | 6,231 |
| Literate | 20.9 | 1,764 | 1,814 | 21.2 | 1,694 | 1,747 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 87.3 | 7,357 | 7,373 | 87.2 | 6,964 | 6,978 |
| Buddhist | 6.0 | 509 | 569 | 6.0 | 480 | 535 |
| Mustim | 5.0 | 420 | 348 | 5.1 | 406 | 337 |
| Christian | 0.4 | 35 | 31 | 0.4 | 30 | 27 |
| Other | 1.1 | 92 | 92 | 1.1 | 85 | 85 |
| Missing | 0.2 | 16 | 16 | 0.2 | 16 | 16 |
| Ethnic group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brahmin | 13.6 | 1,146 | 1,169 | 13.5 | 1,078 | 1,102 |
| Chhetri | 17.4 | 1,466 | 1,688 | 17.3 | 1,382 | 1,590 |
| Newar | 6.0 | 509 | 533 | 6.0 | 483 | 506 |
| Gurung | 1.3 | 107 | 103 | 1.3 | 101 | 97 |
| Magar | 7.1 | 596 | 581 | 7.1 | 566 | 551 |
| Tamang/Sherpa | 5.6 | 472 | 526 | 5.6 | 445 | 496 |
| Rai/Limbu | 4.4 | 370 | 387 | 4.3 | 341 | 358 |
| Muslim/Churaute | 5.0 | 421 | 349 | 5.1 | 407 | 337 |
| TharuRajbanshi | 8.0 | 670 | 716 | 8.1 | 650 | 694 |
| Yadav/Ahir | 3.8 | 319 | 234 | 3.9 | 309 | 227 |
| Occupational | 14.6 | 1,234 | 1,256 | 14.4 | 1,151 | 1,171 |
| Other Hill origin | 3.0 | 252 | 218 | 3.0 | 238 | 205 |
| Other Terai origin | 10.3 | 866 | 669 | 10.4 | 832 | 644 |
| Total | 100.0 | 8,429 | 8,429 | 100.0 | 7,982 | 7,978 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{NA}}=\overline{\text { Not applicable }}$ <br> SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Western Mountain sub-region. In the Central Hill sub-region, 8 percent of women reported having attained at least a SLC level of education. As expected, most ( 96 percent) illiterate women have no education. ${ }^{1}$ On the other hand, 20 percent of women with no formal education are literate. This is relatively high when compared with other developing countries, where the percent literate among women with no formal education ranges from less than one percent in Burkina Faso to 22 percent in Colombia (Kishor and Neitzel, 1996). ${ }^{2}$ Within Asia, this percentage ranges from less than one percent in Bangladesh to 17 percent in the Philippines. Such a high percentage in Nepal can be attributed to the emphasis on non-formal literacy classes and its success. Nearly onethird ( 30 percent) of literate women have achieved some secondary education and 13 percent have attained at least a SLC level of education.
${ }^{1}$ Women who had never attended school and women who had attended Grade 5 or less were asked if they could read and understand a letter or newspaper. If they said "No", they were given a sentence to read. If they are not able to read, they are categorized as "illiterate." All other women are categorized as "Literate."
${ }^{2}$ Any women who stated that she can read, whether easily or with difficulty, is considered literate. Unlike in Nepal, women's literacy was not tested by asking them to actually read a sentence.

## Table 2.10 Level of education

Percent distribution of ever-married women by the highest level of education attended, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Highest level of education |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No education | Primary | Some secondary | SLC and above | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 69.0 | 19.1 | 11.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 982 |
| 20-24 | 68.1 | 15.7 | 10.8 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 1,626 |
| 25-29 | 76.5 | 12.0 | 7.1 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 1,594 |
| 30-34 | 85.1 | 8.1 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1,361 |
| 35-39 | 88.0 | 8.1 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1,119 |
| 40-44 | 90.0 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 923 |
| 45-49 | 93.0 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 824 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 48.1 | 15.4 | 19.3 | 17.2 | 100.0 | 712 |
| Rural | 82.9 | 10.6 | 5.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 7,717 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 89.5 | 6.9 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 569 |
| Hill | 77.5 | 12.2 | 6.8 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 3,600 |
| Terai | 80.9 | 10.5 | 6.3 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 4,259 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 76.3 | 12.2 | 8.6 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 1,941 |
| Central | 79.4 | 10.9 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 2,827 |
| Western | 76.1 | 13.9 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 1,647 |
| Mid-western | 85.6 | 8.5 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,196 |
| Far-western | 90.2 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 819 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 76.6 | 11.4 | 9.1 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Central Mountain | 91.4 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 195 |
| Western Mountain | 94.6 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 247 |
| Eastern Hill | 81.6 | 9.8 | 6.9 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 578 |
| Central Hill | 70.3 | 12.7 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 1,065 |
| Western Hill | 72.8 | 16.5 | 8.4 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1,022 |
| Mid-westem Hill | 86.2 | 10.4 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 595 |
| Far-western Hill | 91.3 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 340 |
| Eastern Terai | 73.8 | 13.4 | 9.4 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 1,236 |
| Central Terai | 84.2 | 10.0 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,566 |
| Western Terai | 81.5 | 9.5 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 625 |
| Mid-western Terai | 82.6 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 492 |
| Far-western Terai | 87.8 | 8.1 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 341 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 19.8 | 37.0 | 30.1 | 13.1 | 100.0 | 1,764 |
| Illiterate | 95.9 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6,665 |
| Total | 80.0 | 11.0 | 6.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 8,429 |

Table 2.11 School Attendance and reasons for leaving school
Percent distribution of ever-married women $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 4}$ by whether or not currently attending school and reason for leaving school, according to highest level of education attended, Nepal 1996

| Attendance/ Reason for leaving school | Educational Attainment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary incomplete | Primary complete | Secondary incomplete | Secondary complete \& higher | Total |
| Currently attending | 0.0 | 1.2 | 9.6 | 23.1 | 6.1 |
| Reason for leaving |  |  |  |  |  |
| Got pregnant | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 2.0 |
| Got married | 10.8 | 27.7 | 54.1 | 45.3 | 31.6 |
| Care for younger children | 8.7 | 8.6 | 1.8 | 6.5 | 6.0 |
| Family needed help | 48.6 | 36.5 | 14.4 | 2.3 | 30.1 |
| Could not pay school fees | 2.7 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| Needed to eam money | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 |
| Graduated/Had enough schooling | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| Did not pass exams | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Did not like school | 9.4 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 5.0 |
| School not accessible | 3.4 | 12.9 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 4.5 |
| Other | 12.5 | 6.3 | 4.2 | 7.8 | 8.4 |
| Don't know/missing | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 354 | 89 | 285 | 96 | 824 |

## Reasons for Leaving School

Ever-married women who were not currently attending school were asked their reason for leaving school. This information can provide guidance to programs seeking to improve women's educational opportunities. Table 2.11 shows the percent distribution of women age 15-24 according to whether or not they are currently attending school and, if not, their reasons for leaving school, according to the highest level of education attended.

Only a small percentage of respondents ( 6 percent) were attending school at the time of the interview. The two principal reasons women gave for leaving school was to get married or to help in the family ( 32 percent and 30 percent, respectively). The reasons given for leaving school varied by the level of education. Nearly one out of two women who had not completed primary school said she left because her family needed help (49 percent). This was also the most common reason given by women who had completed primary school, although a smaller proportion stated this reason ( 37 percent). A sizeable proportion of these women stated that they left school to get married ( 28 percent) or because the school was not accessible ( 13 percent). Marriage was the principal reason for leaving school among women who had some secondary education or who had completed secondary education ( 54 percent and 45 percent, respectively). Twenty-three percent of women who had completed secondary education were attending school at the time of the interview.

### 2.6 Access to Mass Media

The NFHS collected information on women's exposure to both the print and broadcast media. Women were asked if they usually read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week, listen to a radio daily, and watch television at least once a week. This information is important because it provides some indication of the extent to which Nepalese women are exposed to family planning and health messages in the mass media.

## Table 2.12 Access to mass media

Percentage of ever-married women who usually read a newspaper once a week, watch television once a week, or listen to the radio daily by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | No media exposure | Reads magazine/ newspaper weekly | Watches television weekly | Listens to radio daily | All three media | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 61.9 | 3.6 | 9.4 | 33.9 | 1.1 | 982 |
| 20-24 | 56.0 | 8.7 | 11.7 | 39.7 | 3.5 | 1,626 |
| 25-29 | 57.3 | 6.9 | 14.3 | 37.1 | 3.8 | 1,594 |
| 30-34 | 58.2 | 5.3 | 13.4 | 36.4 | 2.6 | 1,361 |
| 35-39 | 58.0 | 4.0 | 13.3 | 37.3 | 2.4 | 1,119 |
| 40-44 | 60.4 | 3.3 | 12.3 | 35.0 | 2.4 | 923 |
| 45-49 | 65.0 | 1.8 | 10.2 | 31.2 | 1.2 | 824 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 21.1 | 27.1 | 63.0 | 54.3 | 18.7 | 712 |
| Rural | 62.4 | 3.3 | 7.6 | 34.7 | 1.1 | 7,717 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 70.4 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 28.8 | 0.5 | 569 |
| Hill | 52.6 | 5.9 | 12.2 | 43.2 | 2.8 | 3,600 |
| Terai | 62.7 | 5.3 | 13.7 | 31.6 | 2.7 | 4,259 |
| Development region 46.514 .8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 46.5 | 7.1 | 14.8 | 50.0 | 3.3 | 1,941 |
| Central | 61.4 | 6.3 | 20.4 | 29.2 | 3.8 | 2,827 |
| Western | 60.8 | 4.7 | 7.0 | 36.7 | 1.9 | 1,647 |
| Mid-western | 64.3 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 34.0 | 1.3 | 1,196 |
| Far-western | 68.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 31.4 | 0.3 | 819 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 49.0 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 49.0 | 1.9 | 127 |
| Central Mountain | 73.9 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 25.5 | 0.3 | 195 |
| Western Mountain | 78.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 247 |
| Eastern Hill | 43.8 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 55.3 | 1.0 | 578 |
| Central Hill | 43.0 | 12.5 | 34.5 | 44.5 | 8.4 | 1,065 |
| Western Hill | 54.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 44.8 | 0.7 | 1,022 |
| Mid-western Hill | 67.6 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 32.2 | 0.0 | 595 |
| Far-western Hill | 67.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 340 |
| Eastern Terai | 47.6 | 8.1 | 19.8 | 47.6 | 4.6 | 1,236 |
| Central Terai | 72.3 | 2.9 | 13.2 | 19.3 | 1.1 | 1,566 |
| Western Terai | 71.8 | 7.1 | 12.9 | 23.4 | 3.9 | 625 |
| Mid-western Terai | 57.0 | 6.2 | 8.9 | 39.2 | 3.2 | 492 |
| Far-western Terai | 65.2 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 34.0 | 0.6 | 341 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 66.3 | 0.4 | 7.2 | 30.7 | 0.1 | 6,742 |
| Primary | 41.1 | 9.7 | 20.0 | 49.3 | 2.8 | 925 |
| Some secondary | 18.9 | 32.9 | 40.1 | 67.5 | 16.6 | 531 |
| SLC and above | 6.3 | 69.9 | 67.2 | 76.8 | 43.5 | 231 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 28.4 | 25.4 | 31.7 | 60.1 | 12.6 | 1,764 |
| Illiterate | 67.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 30.1 | 0.0 | 6,665 |
| Total | 58.9 | 5.3 | 12.3 | 36.4 | 2.6 | 8,429 |
| $\overline{\text { SLC }}=$ School Leaving Certificate |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As shown in Table 2.12, overall, only 5 percent of women read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week, 12 percent watch television at least once a week, and 36 percent listen to the radio daily. Only 3 percent of women are exposed to all three media and nearly three of five women ( 59 percent) have no access to any of the three media.

Generally, exposure to television and radio does not vary much by women's age. Exposure to mass media is highest among the age group 20-24 with 9 percent being exposed to the print media, 12 percent to television and 40 percent to radio. Access to television was highest in the age group 25-29.

Urban women have greater exposure to all types of media than rural women. In urban areas, 54 percent of women listen to the radio daily, 63 percent of women watch television at least once a week, and 27 percent read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week, while the corresponding data for rural women are 35 percent, 8 percent, and 3 percent, respectively. Rural women have less access to the print media and television than urban women. Women in the Mountain region have less access to all three types of media than women in the Hill or Terai regions. Women residing in the Hill and Terai regions have almost equal access to print media and television, however, Hill women have greater access to the radio. Similarly, women living in the Eastern and Central development regions have greater access to these media than women living in the other development regions. Among all the sub-regions, women from the Central Hill sub-region have greater access to print media ( 13 percent) and television ( 35 percent) while, women in the Eastern Hill region have the highest exposure to radio (55 percent).

As expected, there is a close relationship between the level of education and exposure to various mass media. Two-thirds of women with no education have had no exposure to mass media in contrast to 6 percent of women who have completed their SLC. Educated women also have greater access to all three media sources. While 44 percent of women who have completed the SLC are exposed to all three media sources, women with no education have almost no exposure to all three media sources. Less educated women are more likely to be exposed to the radio but even then only one in three women with no education listens to the radio daily. The lower level of exposure to the radio among uneducated women, who are also more likely to be poor, may be due to the fact that they cannot afford a radio.

### 2.7 Employment Status

## Current Employment

In the NFHS, respondents were asked a number of questions about their employment, including whether they were currently working, and, if not, whether they had worked during the year before the survey. Women who were currently working were then asked a number of questions about the kind of work they were doing and whether they were paid in cash or not. Those who earned cash for their work were asked about who made the decision about how their earnings were used. If they had small children they were asked about the arrangements they had for child care when they were working.

Information on the employment status of women was also collected in the NFHS. Table 2.13 shows current employment status and continuity of employment by background characteristics of women. Of the 8,429 women, nearly one-fourth ( 23 percent) were currently unemployed and four in ten women were seasonally employed. Less than one-third ( 32 percent) of women were employed full-time, that is, were working at least five days a week throughout the year, reflecting the seriousness of the unemployment and underemployment problem among women in Nepal.

| Percent distribution of ever-maried women by employment status and continuity of employment, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Currently unemployed |  | Currently employed |  |  |  |  | Total | Number women |
|  | Did not | Worked |  | year |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | last 12 months | 12 <br> months | $\begin{aligned} & 5+\text { days } \\ & \text { per week } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <5 \text { days } \\ & \text { per week } \end{aligned}$ | Seasonally | Occasionally | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 26.3 | 9.3 | 24.1 | 3.5 | 33.9 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 982 |
| 20-24 | 20.3 | 6.6 | 28.2 | 2.3 | 40.6 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,626 |
| 25-29 | 15.9 | 6.8 | 33.5 | 3.0 | 38.8 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,594 |
| 30-34 | 12.5 | 5.2 | 35.3 | 2.5 | 42.5 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,361 |
| 35-39 | 11.3 | 5.7 | 35.6 | 2.1 | 43.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,119 |
| 40-44 | 10.4 | 6.9 | 34.6 | 3.7 | 42.8 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | -923 |
| 45-49 | 15.1 | 5.2 | 32.4 | 2.8 | 42.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 824 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 46.0 | 4.8 | 25.5 | 2.4 | 18.1 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 712 |
| Rural | 13.3 | 6.7 | 32.6 | 2.8 | 42.7 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 7,717 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 1.0 | 1.2 | 47.0 | 1.7 | 48.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 569 |
| Hill | 8.1 | 4.5 | 34.9 | 2.5 | 48.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3,600 |
| Terai | 24.9 | 8.9 | 27.5 | 3.1 | 33.4 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4,259 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 17.9 | 4.6 | 28.9 | 2.7 | 43.2 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,941 |
| Central | 24.6 | 7.9 | 40.1 | 1.7 | 23.4 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,827 |
| Westem | 7.4 | 5.3 | 24.5 | 2.0 | 58.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,647 |
| Mid-western | 12.5 | 11.6 | 27.9 | 3.1 | 43.6 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,196 |
| Far-western | 5.3 | 1.2 | 32.1 | 7.8 | 53.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 819 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 3.2 | 0.6 | 43.2 | 2.3 | 49.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Central Mountain | 0.9 | 0.6 | 63.6 | 1.1 | 33.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 195 |
| Western Mountain | 0.0 | 2.0 | 35.9 | 1.7 | 59.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 247 |
| Eastern Hill | 5.2 | 1.1 | 30.4 | 0.8 | 61.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 578 |
| Central Hill | 17.5 | 5.0 | 45.6 | 1.8 | 27.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,065 |
| Western Hill | 3.1 | 2.5 | 32.2 | 2.7 | 56.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,022 |
| Mid-western Hill | 6.6 | 12.1 | 27.4 | 4.1 | 48.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 595 |
| Far-western Hill | 1.6 | 1.3 | 29.9 | 4.0 | 62.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 340 |
| Eastern Terai | 25.4 | 6.6 | 26.8 | 3.7 | 34.1 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,236 |
| Central Terai | 32.4 | 10.8 | 33.4 | 1.6 | 19.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,566 |
| Western Terai | 14.4 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 0.8 | 62.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 625 |
| Mid-western Terai | 22.5 | 12.4 | 27.3 | 2.5 | 34.3 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 492 |
| Far-western Terai | 11.2 | 1.5 | 31.8 | 13.6 | 41.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 341 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 12.5 | 6.9 | 33.4 | 2.8 | 42.7 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6,742 |
| Primary | 23.6 | 4.9 | 27.8 | 3.4 | 37.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 925 |
| Some secondary | 35.7 | 4.0 | 19.6 | 2.5 | 34.4 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 531 |
| SLC and above | 45.7 | 5.8 | 36.6 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 231 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 26.7 | 4.8 | 27.7 | 2.6 | 35.4 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,764 |
| Miterate | 13.3 | 7.0 | 33.1 | 2.8 | 42.0 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6,665 |
| Total | 16.1 | 6.5 | 32.0 | 2.8 | 40.7 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8,429 |

One of two women in the urban areas was not employed at the time of the survey, compared with one of five rural women. Nevertheless, rural women are more likely to be seasonally employed ( 43 percent) than urban women ( 18 percent). The proportion of women who are currently not working is much higher ( 34 percent) in the Terai region than in the Hill ( 13 percent) or Mountain regions ( 2 percent). Full-time employment was more frequently reported by women from the Mountain region than women in the Hill or Terai regions. Fulltime employment was highest among women in the Central development region (40 percent) and lowest in the Western development region ( 25 percent). Similarly, nearly two-thirds ( 64 percent) of women in the Central Mountain sub-region reported working all year while only 12 percent of women in the Western Terai sub-region reported working year round. Unemployment was highest in the Central Terai sub-region (43 percent) and lowest in the Central and Western Mountain sub-regions ( 2 percent each).

Surprisingly, the percentage of women currently not employed increases with the level of education. For example, among those with no education, 19 percent are currently not employed, whereas among those who have completed their SLC, 52 percent are currently unemployed. This is perhaps because employment opportunities are limited in the service sector, where most educated persons seek employment, or because more educated women are wealthier and do not have to work.

## Employer and Type of Earnings

Table 2.14 shows that among working women, 7 percent are self-employed, 9 percent work for someone else and an overwhelming majority ( 84 percent) work for family members. Only 13 percent of employed women receive cash earnings. The proportion of women receiving cash earnings from being self-employed, from nonrelatives, and from family members is 2 percent, 3 percent, and 7 percent, respectively.

While a slightly higher percentage of older women earn cash for their work, there is no marked difference by age.

Regardless of the type of employer, cash employment is higher among women living in urban areas than among women in rural areas. More than one of two women ( 53 percent) receive cash earnings in urban areas in contrast to one in ten women in rural areas. Cash earnings are highest among women in the Terai. The proportion of women receiving cash earnings is low in the Western sub-region compared with the other regions.

There is a significant difference in the proportion of women earning cash by education. The proportion of women earning cash generally increases with increasing level of education and the proportion of employed women receiving cash earnings is highest among those who have passed their SLC, presumably because most of them work in the formal sector. The pattern is generally the same regardless of employer (although it is not as distinct among less educated women employed by non-relatives).

## Occupation

Table 2.15 shows the distribution of employed women by current occupation according to background characteristics. Nine of ten women are engaged in the agricultural sector, with a majority working on their own land. The proportion of women in agricultural occupations reported in the NFHS is exactly the same as that found in the 1991 Census (Central Bureau of Statistics, 1991). Nearly 4 percent of employed women are in sales or service occupations. The proportion of women working on their own land increases with age while the opposite occurs among women working on family land.

| Table 2.14 Employer and form of earnings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of ever-married currently employed women by employer and form of earnings, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Self-employed |  | Employed by nonfamily member |  | Employed by family member |  | Total | Number of women |
| Background characteristic | Earns cash | Does not eam cash | Earns cash | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Does not } \\ & \text { earn } \\ & \text { cash } \end{aligned}$ | Earns cash | $\begin{gathered} \text { Does not } \\ \text { earm } \\ \text { cash } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 7.6 | 3.6 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 631 |
| 20-24 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 81.8 | 100.0 | 1,186 |
| 25-29 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 7.4 | 9.1 | 73.1 | 100.0 | 1,229 |
| 30-34 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 73.0 | 100.0 | 1,119 |
| 35-39 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 8.8 | 74.7 | 100.0 | 929 |
| 40-44 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 75.9 | 100.0 | 762 |
| 45-49 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 77.0 | 100.0 | 656 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.4 | 0.8 | 7.5 | 0.6 | 36.4 | 45.3 | 100.0 | 348 |
| Rural | 1.8 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 78.3 | 100.0 | 6,165 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 0.6 | 6.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 85.6 | 100.0 | 557 |
| Hill | 1.5 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 81.6 | 100.0 | 3,146 |
| Terai | 3.2 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 13.1 | 8.6 | 69.1 | 100.0 | 2,810 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 4.1 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 72.5 | 100.0 | 1,497 |
| Central | 3.1 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 72.4 | 100.0 | 1,904 |
| Western | 0.4 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 85.5 | 100.0 | 1,438 |
| Mid-western | 1.6 | 20.2 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 66.3 | 100.0 | 907 |
| Far-western | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 90.3 | 100.0 | 766 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 7.8 | 84.5 | 100.0 | 122 |
| Central Mountain | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 94.5 | 100.0 | 193 |
| Western Mountain | 0.0 | 13.4 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 79.0 | 100.0 | 242 |
| Eastern Hill | 1.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 89.0 | 100.0 | 541 |
| Central Hill | 3.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 15.0 | 77.9 | 100.0 | 825 |
| Western Hill | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 7.0 | 86.9 | 100.0 | 966 |
| Mid-western Hill | 1.4 | 33.4 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 59.2 | 100.0 | 484 |
| Far-western Hill | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 330 |
| Eastern Terai | 6.4 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 12.6 | 13.0 | 60.1 | 100.0 | 833 |
| Central Terai | 3.1 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 21.8 | 9.3 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 887 |
| Western Terai | 0.6 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 8.2 | 2.3 | 82.5 | 100.0 | 473 |
| Mid-western Terai | 2.3 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 9.2 | 6.9 | 69.2 | 100.0 | 320 |
| Far-western Terai | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 92.8 | 100.0 | 298 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.5 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 77.0 | 100.0 | 5,420 |
| Primary | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 9.0 | 80.8 | 100.0 | 661 |
| Some secondary | 5.4 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 12.6 | 75.7 | 100.0 | 319 |
| SLC and above | 15.3 | 1.7 | 17.2 | 0.7 | 29.6 | 35.5 | 100.0 | 112 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 4.5 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 11.7 | 76.8 | 100.0 | 1,206 |
| Illiterate | 1.6 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 7.7 | 6.4 | 76.5 | 100.0 | 5,306 |
| Total | 2.2 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 76.6 | 100.0 | 6,513 |

## Table 2.15 Occupation

Percent distribution of ever-married currently employed women by type of agricultural land worked or type of nonagricultural employment, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Agricultural |  |  |  | Non-agricultural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Own land | Family land | Rented land | Others land | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Prof./ } \\ & \text { tech.// } \\ & \text { manag./ } \\ & \text { clerical } \end{aligned}$ | Sales, service | Skilled manual | Unskilled manual | Other |  | Number of employed women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.19 | 35.1 | 42.1 | 5.7 | 11.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 631 |
| 20-24 | 47.2 | 29.3 | 6.7 | 8.9 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,186 |
| 25-29 | 50.5 | 18.8 | 7.1 | 11.3 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,229 |
| 30-34 | 58.1 | 12.3 | 6.2 | 10.7 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,119 |
| 35-39 | 63.0 | 8.5 | 5.9 | 12.1 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 929 |
| 40-44 | 65.4 | 6.3 | 8.6 | 11.3 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 762 |
| 45-49 | 71.8 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 10.3 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 656 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 26.7 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 12.3 | 18.9 | 16.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 348 |
| Rural | 57.0 | 17.9 | 6.4 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6,165 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 68.3 | 19.1 | 3.9 | 4.3 | ${ }_{0} 0.8$ | 2.5 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 557 3.146 |
| Terai | 43.5 | 15.4 | 10.6 | 19.9 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,810 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastem | 52.3 | 12.7 | 10.3 | 13.8 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,497 |
| Central | 49.0 | 15.1 | 4.7 | 16.3 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,904 |
| Western | 60.2 | 20.9 | 3.0 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,438 |
| Mid-western | 57.2 | 20.3 | 9.2 | 7.8 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 907 |
| Far-western | 66.1 | 21.8 | 7.0 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 766 |
| Sub-regionCater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 53.0 | 20.9 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 9.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 122 |
| Central Mountain | 74.4 | 19.5 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 193 |
| Westem Mountain | 71.2 | 17.9 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 242 |
| Eastern Hill | 71.6 | 13.1 | 8.2 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 541 |
| Central Hill | 57.8 | 15.5 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 825 |
| Western Hill | 61.3 | 20.2 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 966 |
| Mid-western Hill | 67.2 | 24.5 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 484 |
| Far-western Hill | 67.4 | 23.9 | 6.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 330 |
| Eastern Terai | 39.6 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 22.3 | 0.4 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 833 |
| Central Terai | 35.4 | 13.8 | 8.1 | 30.9 | 1.7 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 887 |
| Westem Terai | 57.8 | 22.2 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 473 |
| Mid-westem Terai | 35.0 | 18.1 | 23.0 | 14.6 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 320 |
| Far-western Terai | 65.2 | 17.4 | 9.5 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 298 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 57.5 49 | 15.8 | 7.2 4.4 | 12.4 4.0 | 0.4 10 |  | 2.2 |  | 0.1 | 100.0 100.0 | 5,420 |
| Primary | 49.9 | 26.2 | 4.4 1.3 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 6.0 12.2 | 8.3 | 2.0 0.8 | 0.3 0.0 | 100.0 | 661 319 |
| Some secondary | 44.7 15.2 | 25.7 14.8 | 1.3 0.0 | 1.1 | 57.5 | 18.0 | 8.6 4.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 48.1 | 24.2 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 6.6 | 8.5 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,206 |
| Illiterate | 57.0 | 15.8 | 7.3 | 12.7 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 5,306 |
| Total | 55.4 | 17.3 | 6.5 | 10.8 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6,513 |

[^0]As expected, rural women are more likely than urban women to be employed in the agricultural sector: 92 percent of rural women compared with 47 percent of urban women. Nearly one-fifth of urban working women are in sales or services and 17 percent are in skilled manual occupations. Women living in the Mountain region are slightly more likely to be working in the agricultural sector than women in the Hill and Terai regions. One of five women living in the Central Hill sub-region is engaged in non-agricultural occupations. This is not surprising since Kathmandu, the capital and largest urban centre, is located there.

Table 2.15 also shows that as women become more educated they seek employment in non-agricultural sectors. Among employed women who have passed their SLC, nearly half ( 48 percent) are in professional, technical, managerial, or clerical occupations and 18 percent are engaged in sales or services.

## Control Over Earnings

Women earning cash for their work were asked who mainly decides how their earnings will be used. Table 2.16 shows that 42 percent of women report that they and their husband jointly decide how the money is to be spent and 39 percent state that it is their sole decision. Generally, younger women are less likely to make sole decisions on spending their earnings.

Urban women, women who reside in the Hill region and women from the Central development region are also more likely than other women to make independent decisions on spending their earnings.

While there is very little difference by education among women who make joint or independent decisions on how to spend their earnings, decisions by husbands alone decrease markedly as women's education increases. For example, 12 percent of women with no education stated that their husband decides how to spend their earnings, compared with only 3 percent of women who have completed their SLC.

## Child Care

Information on who takes care of working women's young children under age six is presented in Table 2.17. Six of ten employed women had at least one child under six years of age. It can be seen from the table that besides respondents themselves, other relatives and older female children of the respondents are important care givers. Thirty-nine percent of working women who had at least one child under six reported that their child is cared for by other relatives, while 22 percent reported that they themselves care for their young child while working; another 22 percent stated that care is provided by other female children and 8 percent stated that other male children provide child care. Other child minders constitute less than 7 percent.

Rural children are more likely than urban children to be looked after by other relatives and by older siblings. A sizeable percentage of urban women ( 10 percent) place their children in schools or institutions. Women living in the Terai region are also more likely than women living in the Mountain or Hill regions, to have other relatives look after their young children.

Women with no education are more likely to use their own older children to look after their younger siblings in contrast to educated women who more often resort to institutional child care or care from other relatives.

Surprisingly, women engaged in non-agricultural occupations are more likely to look after their young children themselves than women engaged in agricultural occupations. This could presumably be due to the fact that women working in non-agricultural occupations often work in home-based settings, which are more conducive to looking after their own children. Women engaged in agricultural work are more likely to use other relatives or their older children as care givers.

As expected, women who are only employed occasionally are more likely to look after their own children than women who work throughout the year on a part-time or full-time basis.

Table 2.16 Decisions on use of earnings
Percent distribution of ever-maried employed women who receive cash earnings by person who decides how eamings will be used, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Person who decides how eamings will be used |  |  |  |  | Missing | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Self only | Husband | Jointly with husband | Someone else | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jointly } \\ & \text { with } \\ & \text { someone } \\ & \text { else } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 23.9 | 7.6 | 33.7 | 24.5 | 8.5 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 50 |
| 20-24 | 33.8 | 10.1 | 37.9 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 104 |
| 25-29 | 33.2 | 9.6 | 50.4 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 185 |
| 30-34 | 45.7 | 11.1 | 40.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 172 |
| 35-39 | 39.7 | 10.3 | 44.8 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 131 |
| 40-44 | 48.9 | 7.5 | 42.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89 |
| 45-49 | 44.3 | 14.6 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married | 33.1 | 11.6 | 47.3 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 731 |
| Not married | 88.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 49.7 | 7.7 | 37.3 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 185 |
| Rura! | 36.3 | 11.1 | 43.4 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 639 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 32.7 | 4.8 | 57.2 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 35 |
| Hill | 44.0 | 9.0 | 38.1 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 344 |
| Terai | 36.2 | 11.7 | 43.9 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 445 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 37.1 | 11.3 | 43.1 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 241 |
| Central | 45.3 | 9.3 | 39.8 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 310 |
| Western | 41.1 | 7.1 | 40.3 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 163 |
| Mid-westem | 22.0 | 19.4 | 47.5 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 77 |
| Far-western | 30.8 | 6.8 | 50.7 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 33 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 37.2 | 11.9 | 43.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 591 |
| Primary | 44.7 | 9.6 | 36.5 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 99 |
| Some secondary | 47.7 | 4.7 | 35.1 | 3.5 | 7.3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 64 |
| SLC and above | 42.2 | 2.5 | 45.8 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 69 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Literacy } & 45.8 & 5.9 & 39.2 & 4.8 & 3.5 & 0.9 & 1000 & 239\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 45.8 | 5.9 | 39.2 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 239 |
| Illiterate | 36.7 | 12.1 | 43.2 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 585 |
| Total | 39.3 | 10.3 | 42.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 824 |

$\overline{\text { SLC }=\text { School Leaving Certificate }}$
Note: A breakdown by sub-region is not shown because the number of women in several sub-regions is less than 25 .

## Table 2.17 Child care while working

Percent distribution of currently employed women by whether or not they have a child under six years of age and the percent distribution of employed mothers with a child under six by person who cares for child while mother is at work, according to background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Employed women |  | Child's caretaker, among employed mothers who have children <6 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { employcd } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { child } \\ <6 \end{gathered}$ | One or more children $<6$ | Respondent | Husband | Other female child | Other male child | Other relative | Neighbor/ friend | Servant/ help | Child is in school/ institutional care | Not worked since birth | Other | Missing | Total |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uban | 47.8 | 52.2 | 31.9 | 2.1 | 9.7 | 4.4 | 34.3 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 348 |
| Rural | 40.6 | 59.4 | 21.9 | 1.4 | 22.1 | 7.7 | 39.3 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 6,165 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 36.2 | 63.8 | 27.7 | 2.0 | 20.9 | 9.2 | 32.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 557 |
| Hill | 40.1 | 59.9 | 26.4 | 1.5 | 20.1 | 6.4 | 37.3 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3,146 |
| Terai | 42.9 | 57.1 | 16.4 | 1.4 | 23.4 | 8.6 | 42.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 2,810 |
| Development Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 44.3 | 55.7 | 24.9 | 1.7 | 23.5 | 7.4 | 34.0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 1,497 |
| Central | 42.4 | 57.6 | 22.1 | 1.4 | 21.6 | 9.0 | 39.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,904 |
| Western | 41.7 | 58.3 | 19.6 | 0.6 | 20.0 | 6.0 | 44.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,438 |
| Mid-western | 32.4 | 67.6 | 25.0 | 1.5 | 22.6 | 8.1 | 35.2 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | +907 |
| Far-western | 40.0 | 60.0 | 19.8 | 2.9 | 19.4 | 6.7 | 42.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 766 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 40.5 | 59.5 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 9.1 | 31.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 122 |
| Central Mountain | 36.3 | 63.7 | 37.9 | 1.4 | 16.4 | 7.3 | 28.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 193 |
| Western Mountain | 33.8 | 66.2 | 15.6 | 3.4 | 24.8 | 10.7 | 35.5 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 242 |
| Eastern Hill | 38.6 | 61.4 | 33.6 | 1.0 | 23.9 | 7.0 | 29.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 541 |
| Central Hill | 41.8 | 58.2 | 23.6 | 1.6 | 17.6 | 7.6 | 40.6 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 825 |
| Western Hill | 42.2 | 57.8 | 21.7 | 0.6 | 17.5 | 3.7 | 45.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 966 |
| Mid-western Hill | 32.7 | 67.3 | 31.4 | 1.7 | 25.6 | 8.7 | 24.3 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 484 |
| Far-western Hill | 43.4 | 56.6 | 26.0 | 4.1 | 18.3 | 5.7 | 41.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 330 |
| Eastern Terai | 48.5 | 51.5 | 16.2 | 2.5 | 23.8 | 7.4 | 38.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 833 |
| Central Terai | 44.3 | 55.7 | 16.7 | 1.1 | 26.8 | 10.6 | 41.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 887 |
| Western Terai | 40.6 | 59.4 | 15.4 | 0.7 | 24.9 | 10.4 | 43.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 473 |
| Mid-western Terai | 31.4 | 68.6 | 17.7 | 0.8 | 17.3 | 7.5 | 49.2 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 320 |
| Far-western Terai | 39.2 | 60.8 | 16.3 | 1.1 | 18.0 | 4.3 | 48.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 298 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ontinued |



## CHAPTER 3

## FERTILITY

A major objective of the 1996 NFHS is to examine fertility levels, trends, and differentials in Nepal. This is important in view of the Government's policy to reduce the total fertility rate to 4.0 by the year 2000 and bring a balance between population growth and economic development. In order to meet this objective, ever-married women age 15-49 were asked about their pregnancy histories. Each woman was asked to provide information on the number of sons and daughters living with her, the number of sons and daughters living elsewhere, the number of sons and daughters who died, and the number of pregnancies she had had that did not result in a live birth. The woman was then asked to provide a complete pregnancy history including information such as the month and year of all live and non-live births, sex of live births, and survival status. The structure of these questions is designed to improve the completeness and accuracy of the information.

This chapter examines current fertility, differentials and trends in fertility, and cumulative fertility in Nepal. The chapter also examines the length of birth intervals, age at first birth, and childbearing among adolescents. As is standard practice, the analyses of fertility presented here are based only on live births. The 1996 NFHS obtained reproductive histories only from ever-married women. It is assumed that births outside marriage are negligible in Nepal and, therefore, that the pregnancies experienced by ever-married women are representative of all pregnancies.

### 3.1 Current Fertility

The level of current fertility is one of the most important indicators for health and family planning policy-makers and professionals in Nepal. Table 3.1 presents age-specific fertility rates (ASFR) ${ }^{1}$, the total fertility rate (TFR) for women age 15-49 and 15-44, the general fertility rate (GFR), and the crude birth rate (CBR) by residence. All these rates pertain to the threeyear period preceding the survey. The TFR is the sum of the ASFRs and can be interpreted as the number of children a woman would have by the end of her

Table 3.1 Current fertility
Age-specific and cumulative fertility rates and the crude birth rate for the three years preceding the survey, by urban-rural residence, Nepal 1996

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age group | Urban | Rural | Total |
| $15-19$ | 101 | 129 | 127 |
| $20-24$ | 211 | 271 | 266 |
| $25-29$ | 141 | 239 | 229 |
| $30-34$ | 59 | 171 | 160 |
| $35-39$ | 34 | 100 | 94 |
| $40-44$ | 25 | 38 | 37 |
| $45-49$ | 0 | 16 | 15 |
|  | 2.85 | 4.83 | 4.64 |
| TFR women 15-49 | 2.85 | 4.75 | 4.57 |
| TFR women 15-44 |  |  |  |
| General fertility rate | 114 | 172 | 167 |
| Crude birth rate | 27 | 38 | 37 |

Note: Rates are for the period $1-36$ months preceding the survey. Rates for age group 45-49 may be slightly biased due to truncation. Total fertility rate (TFR) expressed per woman. General fertility rate (births divided by number of women 15 44), expressed per 1,000 women. Crude birth rate expressed per 1,000 population.

[^1]childbearing career if she experienced the prevailing ASFRs. The GFR is defined as the total annual number of births per 1,000 women age $15-44$ and the CBR is defined as the total number of live births in a year per 1,000 persons.

The TFR for Nepalese women age 15-49 years is 4.6 births per woman. However, the TFR for urban areas (2.9) is about 2 children less than for rural areas (4.8). The age pattern of fertility indicates that Nepalese women have high fertility in the early part of the childbearing period. At the current ASFRs, a woman in Nepal will have given birth to 2 children by age 25 and to 3 children-i.e., two-thirds of her lifetime births-by age 30 . The ASFRs in both urban and rural areas peak at ages 20-24. In urban areas, fertility rates decline very rapidly after age 30, whereas in rural areas the fertility decline by age is more gradual. The ASFRs are consistently lower in urban areas compared with rural areas.

## Table 3.2 Fertility by background characteristics

Total fertility rate for the three years preceding the survey, percentage currently pregnant and mean number of children ever born to women age 40-49, by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

|  | Total <br> Background <br> characteristic <br> rate ${ }^{1}$ | Mean number <br> of children <br> ever born |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence | Currently <br> pregnant <br> to women <br> age $40-49$ |  |  |
| Urban <br> Rural | 2.85 | 5.7 | 4.64 |
| Ecological region | 4.83 | 7.8 | 5.78 |
| Mountain | 5.60 | 8.2 | 6.17 |
| Hill | 4.50 | 7.0 | 5.58 |
| Terai | 4.64 | 8.1 | 5.74 |
| Development region |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 4.11 | 6.7 | 5.40 |
| Central | 4.56 | 7.8 | 5.52 |
| Western | 4.66 | 7.3 | 5.48 |
| Mid-western | 5.47 | 9.2 | 6.58 |
| Far-western | 5.19 | 8.0 | 6.15 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.08 | 8.3 | 5.80 |
| Primary | 3.78 | 7.0 | 5.30 |
| Secondary | 2.51 | 4.6 | 3.70 |
| Total | 4.64 | 7.6 | 5.70 |

${ }^{1}$ Women age 15-49 years

The GFRs for urban areas, rural areas and for all of Nepal were 114, 172 and 167 per 1,000 women age $15-44$, respectively. The CBR for the three-year period before the survey was 37 per 1,000 persons. Both these summary rates also indicate higher fertility in rural than in urban areas.

## Fertility Differentials and Trends

Table 3.2 summarizes the current level of fertility by area of residence, ecological region, development region, and education. The TFR in the Terai region (4.6) is similar to that observed in the Hill region (4.5) while the TFR in the Mountain region (5.6) is around one child higher. Within the development regions the Eastern region has the lowest TFR (4.1), while the highest TFR is observed in the Mid-western region (5.5). There is a strong association between fertility and education. Women with at least some secondary education have a TFR of 2.5 , which is half the rate among women with no education (5.1).

The percentage of women who reported themselves as currently pregnant is also given in Table 3.2. This percentage is known for being underreported, since women in the early stages of pregnancy may not be aware that they are pregnant and because some women may not want to reveal that they are pregnant. However, as can be seen in the table, the percentage of women who are pregnant is generally consistent with current fertility for each major population subgroup in that the group with the highest fertility also tends to have the highest percentage of women currently pregnant.

Table 3.3 Trends in fertility
Age-specific fertility rates (per 1,000 women) and total fertility rates, Nepal 1976-96

| Age <br> group | NFS <br> $1976^{1}$ | NCPS <br> $1981^{2}$ | NFFS <br> $1986^{3}$ | NFHS <br> $1991^{4}$ | NFHS <br> 1996 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15-19$ | 145 | 94 | 50 | 98 | 132 |
| $20-24$ | 290 | 248 | 216 | 280 | 266 |
| $25-29$ | 295 | 253 | 203 | 245 | 237 |
| $30-34$ | 269 | 197 | 152 | 187 | 154 |
| $35-39$ | 169 | 160 | 124 | 129 | 87 |
| $40-44$ | 75 | 67 | 66 | 60 | 31 |
| $45-49$ | 23 | 34 | 19 | 19 | 12 |
| TFR | 6.33 | 5.27 | 4.14 | 5.09 | 4.60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Rates are for the 12 months preceding the survey. These rates are subject to larger sampling errors than rates for the three years preceding the survey. For example, the sampling error for the 1996 NFHS one-year rate is 0.133 yielding a confidence interval of 4.33-4.89, whereas, the sampling error for the three-year rate of 4.64 is 0.095 yielding a confidence interval of 4.45-4.83. A reanalysis of the data for the three years preceding the survey yields a TFR of 5.11 for 1986 and 4.79 for 1991 (Pradhan, 1995:32).
${ }^{\prime}$ Goldman et al., 1979:21
${ }^{2}$ Ministry of Health and Westinghouse Health Systems, 1983:221
${ }^{3}$ Ministry of Health, 1987:186
${ }^{4}$ Ministry of Health, 1993:58

Table 3.4 Age-specific fertility rates
Age-specific fertility rates for five-year periods preceding the survey, by mother's age, Nepal 1996

|  | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mother's <br> age | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ |  |
| $15-19$ | 131 | 156 | 158 | 147 |  |
| $20-24$ | 271 | 294 | 301 | 302 |  |
| $25-29$ | 230 | 262 | 287 | 297 |  |
| $30-34$ | 164 | 193 | 233 | $[264]$ |  |
| $35-39$ | 102 | 125 | $[193]$ | - |  |
| $40-44$ | 39 | $[59]$ | - | - |  |
| $45-49$ | $[14]$ | - | - | - |  |

Note: Age-specific fertility rates per 1,000 women. Estimates enclosed in brackets are truncated.

Table 3.2 also presents the average number of children ever born to women age 40-49, which is a measure of the average lifetime fertility experience of surviving women age $40-49$ years (completed fertility). Although this measure is susceptible to omission of children bom to older women, comparison of completed fertility among women age 40-49 years with the current TFR indicates fertility decline for all major subgroups of the population. Overall, the results in Table 3.2 suggest that there has been a decline of about 20 percent in fertility levels during the past 20-25 years. Both the current and lifetime fertility indicate that fertility is lower in urban areas and among the more educated. This may suggest that these women are relatively more exposed to media messages about the benefits of smaller families and may also have better knowledge and access to means of fertility regulation.

A comparison of direct estimates of the TFR obtained from four earlier surveys in Nepal with the TFR obtained from the 1996 NFHS also indicates a steady decline in fertility from 6.3 births per woman in 1975-76 to 4.6 births per woman for the period 1995-96 (Table 3.3). The TFR estimated for 1985-86 is very low, but this is indicative of data quality problems in the 1986 survey rather than of a true drop in fertility at that time. The pattern of age-specific fertility rates before 1981 indicates that women in Nepal had high fertility at 20-34 years of age with a peak at ages 25-29. From 1986 onwards an earlier peak in fertility at 20-24 years has emerged (Figure 3.1). The decline in fertility after age 34 is quite marked between 1986 and 1996, which indicates some fertility limiting behaviour among Nepalese women. Fertility trends have to be interpreted within the context of data quality and sample size. A discussion of these issues in relation to earlier surveys is beyond the scope of this report. As such the fertility trend shown in Table 3.3 and Figure 3.1 has to be interpreted with caution.

Information from birth histories in the 1996 NFHS allows the calculation of ASFRs for specified periods before the survey, which in turn provide further evidence of recent fertility decline. However, in situations in which the location of births in time may not be reported correctly, trends in fertility could be distorted. Furthermore, ASFRs are progressively truncated as one moves into the past. Nevertheless, the results presented in Table 3.4 indicate a 14 percent decline in fertility among women age 15-29, from 3.7 births per women during the period 15-19 years


Table 3.5 Fertility rates by marital duration
Fertility rates for ever-married women by number of years since first marriage, for five-year periods preceding the survey, Nepal 1996

| Year since <br> first <br> marriage | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ |
| $0-4$ | 293 | 290 | 268 | 235 |
| $5-9$ | 277 | 304 | 320 | 318 |
| $10-14$ | 214 | 242 | 282 | 298 |
| $15-19$ | 144 | 185 | 229 | $[282]$ |
| $20-24$ | 90 | 117 | $[199]$ | - |
| $25-29$ | 39 | $[79]$ | - | - |
| Note: Fertility rates per 1,000 women. Estimates enclosed <br> in brackets are truncated. |  |  |  |  |

before the survey to 3.2 births per women during the period $0-4$ years preceding the survey. The ASFRs suggest that most of the fertility decline among younger women (1529) occurred during the five years preceding the survey. An 11 percent decline in fertility among women age 15-29 took place between $5-9$ and $0-4$ years before the survey versus only a 5 percent decline between 10-14 and 5-9 years before the survey.

Fertility rates for ever-married women by duration since first marriage for five-year periods before the survey are presented in Table 3.5. These rates suggest that fertility is decreasing among women at all marriage durations except 0-4 years. In the ten-year period before the survey, the fertility rate among women who had been married for $5-9$ years declined by 9 percent, whereas among women who had been married for 20-24 years the corresponding decline was 23 percent. The increase in fertility observed among women who have been married for $0-4$ years may be due to an increase in age at marriage, resulting in a smaller proportion of pre-pubertal women being married.

### 3.2 Pregnancy Outcomes

The NFHS collected complete pregnancy histories from women and therefore provides information on pregnancy outcome. It is important to note that collecting pregnancy histories is comparatively more difficult
than collecting birth histories retrospectively, particularly regarding information on pregnancies which were wasted spontaneously within the first few months after conception. Therefore, the total number of pregnancies and abortions are likely to be underestimated and caution should be exercised while interpreting these data. Stillbirths are probably more completely reported than abortions.

Table 3.6 presents the pregnancy outcomes of ever-married women $0-9$ years before the survey by age of the mother and urban-rural residence. Overall, 7 percent of all pregnancies $0-9$ years before the survey did not end in a live birth: 4.9 percent were
Table 3.6 Pregnancy outcome
Among ever-married women, percent distribution of all pregnancies $0-9$ years
preceding the survey by pregnancy outcome, according to age of the woman
and residence, Nepal 1996

| Pregnancy outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age at <br> pregnancy <br> outcome | Spontaneous <br> abortion | Induced <br> abortion | Still <br> birth | Live |  | Number <br> of <br> bregnancies |

URBAN ${ }^{\prime}$

| $15-19$ | 4.1 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 92.4 | 100.0 | 398 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $20-24$ | 3.6 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 92.7 | 100.0 | 598 |
| $25-29$ | 2.4 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 91.1 | 100.0 | 317 |
| $30-34$ | 5.0 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 89.9 | 100.0 | 119 |
| $35-39$ | 7.9 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 87.7 | 100.0 | 54 |
| Total | 3.8 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 91.8 | 100.0 | 1,498 |


| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 92.4 | 100.0 | 5,179 |
| 20-24 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 94.1 | 100.0 | 7,888 |
| 25-29 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 93.5 | 100.0 | 5,164 |
| 30-34 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 91.9 | 100.0 | 2,906 |
| 35-39 | 8.6 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 88.5 | 100.0 | 1,260 |
| 40-44 | 8.3 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 87.2 | 100.0 | 304 |
| 45-49 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 26 |
| Total | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 92.9 | 100.0 | 22,726 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 92.4 | 100.0 | 5,577 |
| 20-24 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 94.0 | 100.0 | 8,486 |
| 25-29 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 5,481 |
| 30-34 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 91.8 | 100.0 | 3,025 |
| 35-39 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 88.4 | 100.0 | 1,314 |
| 40-44 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 87.1 | 100.0 | 316 |
| 45-49 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 26 |
| Total | 4.9 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 92.8 | 100.0 | 24,224 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Pregnancy outcomes for age groups 40-44 and 45-49 are not shown because they are based on less than 25 pregnancies. |  |  |  |  |  |  | spontaneous abortions, 0.4 percent were induced abortions, and 1.9 percent were stillbirths. There is little variation in pregnancy outcomes across age groups, although older women ( 30 and above) are slightly more likely to have a pregnancy resulting in a non-live birth. Similar patterns are observed in urban and rural areas; however, urban women are more likely to report having had an induced abortion than rural women, and rural women are slightly more likely than urban women to report a spontaneous abortion.

### 3.3 Children Ever Born and Living

Table 3.7 presents the distribution of all women and of currently married women by age and number of children ever born (CEB), and the mean number of living children by age. Experience suggests that, even among high fertility and illiterate populations where omission is evident, it is most likely to occur amongst the oldest women for early births (Arnold, 1990). Lifetime fertility reflects the accumulation of births over the past 30 years and, therefore, its relevance to the current situation is limited; nevertheless, information on the mean number of children ever born is useful in examining the variation among different age groups.

The mean number of children ever born for all women is 2.9 , which means that, on average, Nepalese women age 1549 have had just under 3 births, while currently married women in Nepal have on average 3.4 births. Allowing for child
mortality, Nepalese women have on average 2.3 living children, while currently married women have an average of 2.8 living children. In contrast, currently married women age $45-49$ have given birth to an average of just over 6 children, of whom 4.5 survived. Therefore, currently married women at the end of their reproductive careers lost 26 percent of their children due to mortality.

The distribution of children ever born by age shows that early childbearing is still quite common in Nepal; 43 percent of currently married women and 19 percent of all women age 15-19 have already had at least one birth.

Voluntary childlessness is rare in Nepal and currently married women with no live births are likely to be those who are unable to bear children. The level of childlessness among married women at the end of their reproductive careers (age 45-49) can therefore be used as an indicator of the level of primary sterility. In Nepal, primary sterility among currently married women age $45-49$ is 3 percent. Less than 2 percent of married women age 35-44 have never given birth, which may indicate some decline in primary sterility. However, this pattern may be due to poorer reporting among women age 45-49.

| Table 3.7 Children ever bom and living |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of all women and of currently married women by number of children ever born (CEB) and mean number ever born and living, according to five-year age groups, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of children ever born |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | Mean number of CEB | Mean number of living children |
| group | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |  |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 81.3 | 14.9 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,229 | 0.23 | 0.21 |
| 20-24 | 26.9 | 26.7 | 27.7 | 14.3 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,909 | 1.43 | 1.27 |
| 25-29 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 23.1 | 26.3 | 19.1 | 9.9 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,671 | 2.85 | 2.48 |
| 30-34 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 12.2 | 19.7 | 21.9 | 17.2 | 11.2 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,387 | 3.92 | 3.27 |
| 35-39 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 8.4 | 15.8 | 18.7 | 15.0 | 16.1 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 1,136 | 4.67 | 3.80 |
| 40-44 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 5.9 | 8.5 | 13.4 | 16.1 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 9.5 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 933 | 5.57 | 4.29 |
| 45-49 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 8.7 | 10.1 | 13.2 | 14.9 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 836 | 5.84 | 4.30 |
| Total | 26.2 | 11.3 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 11.1 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 10,101 | 2.85 | 2.32 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 57.3 | 34.1 | 8.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 965 | 0.52 | 0.47 |
| 20-24 | 13.9 | 31.2 | 32.7 | 17.0 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,602 | 1.69 | 1.51 |
| 25-29 | 4.3 | 8.9 | 24.1 | 27.7 | 20.3 | 10.6 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,560 | 3.01 | 2.62 |
| 30-34 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 12.4 | 19.8 | 22.7 | 18.3 | 11.7 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,292 | 4.07 | 3.40 |
| 35-39 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 8.1 | 15.4 | 19.0 | 15.7 | 16.9 | 10.5 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 1,042 | 4.86 | 3.97 |
| 40-44 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 8.2 | 13.0 | 16.3 | 14.9 | 14.1 | 10.5 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 828 | 5.79 | 4.47 |
| 45-49 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 10.3 | 13.4 | 16.0 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 695 | 6.09 | 4.51 |
| Total | 11.5 | 13.5 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 13.3 | 10.1 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 7,982 | 3.41 | 2.80 |

### 3.4 Birth Intervals

Short birth intervals are associated with an increased risk of death for mother and child. This is particularly true for babies born less than 24 months since the previous birth. Table 3.8 presents the percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by the number of months since the previous birth according to selected background characteristics. Three of four non-first births occurred at least 2 years after the previous birth and the median birth interval is 32 months. The long period of breastfeeding in Nepal, which is an average of 28 months (see chapter 9 ), and the corresponding long period of postpartum amenorrhoea, which is an average of 13 months (see chapter 5), are likely to contribute to the relatively low percentage of births occurring after an interval of less than 24 months.

The median birth interval increases with the age of the mother from 26 months among births to mothers age 15-19 to 41 months among births to mothers age 40 or more. The relatively high prevalence of short birth intervals among births to younger women may indicate that women generally want to complete their desired family size quickly.

The survival status of the previous birth is strongly associated with the length of the preceding birth interval. The median birth interval is more than 6 months shorter for children whose previous sibling died compared with children whose previous sibling survived. Twenty-four percent of children whose preceding sibling died are born after an interval of less than 18 months, compared with only 7 percent among children whose preceding sibling survived. Over 40 percent of children whose preceding sibling died are born within 2 years of the previous birth, compared with 21 percent of those whose preceding sibling survived.

The median birth interval decreases with increased education. Births to women with no education have a median preceding birth interval of 33 months, which decreases to 30 months among births to women with some primary education. The median birth interval decreases further to 29 months for births to women with some secondary education. There is relatively little variation in the median duration of birth intervals for other characteristics examined in Table 3.8.

### 3.5 Age at First Birth

Age at onset of childbearing is an important demographic indicator since early childbearing adversely affects the health of mother and child. Furthermore, in many countries, postponement of first births, reflecting an increase in age at marriage, has made a large contribution to overall fertility decline. The proportion of women who become mothers before age 20 is a measure of the magnitude of adolescent fertility, which is a major health and social problem in many countries. However, in Nepal adolescent childbearing takes place mostly within marriage.

Table 3.9 presents the distribution of women by age at first birth. The median age at first birth is not presented for the cohort of women born 15-19 years before the survey because fewer than 50 percent had had a birth by the time of the survey. The median age at first birth is around 20 years across all age cohorts, indicating virtually no change in the age at first birth. In every cohort, except the cohort born 35-39 years before the survey, at least 50 percent of women had their first birth before reaching age 20 . The highest percentage of women who began childbearing in their teens is observed in the cohort of women who are currently 25-29 years of age ( 57 percent).

## Table 3.8 Birth interyals

Percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by number of months since previous birth, according to demographic and socio-economic characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Characteristic | Number of months since previous birth |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of births | Median number of months since previous birth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $7-17$ | 18.23 | 24-35 | 36-47 | 48+ |  |  |  |
| Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 21.0 | 20.3 | 47.2 | 9.8 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 88 | 25.7 |
| 20-29 | 10.5 | 17.0 | 40.4 | 21.0 | 11.2 | 100.0 | 3,062 | 30.0 |
| 30-39 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 32.5 | 24.9 | 22.5 | 100.0 | 2,019 | 34.7 |
| $40+$ | 5.8 | 9.5 | 23.7 | 25.3 | 35.7 | 100.0 | 426 | 40.9 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 | 10.1 | 16.6 | 35.9 | 21.4 | 16.0 | 100.0 | 2,758 | 31.4 |
| 4-6 | 8.0 | 12.4 | 38.4 | 23.2 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 2,090 | 32.4 |
| $7+$ | 10.5 | 13.8 | 32.6 | 25.0 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 747 | 32.9 |
| Sex of prior birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 9.0 | 13.5 | 35.9 | 24.2 | 17.5 | 100.0 | 2,768 | 33.0 |
| Female | 9.8 | 15.8 | 36.9 | 21.0 | 16.5 | 100.0 | 2,827 | 31.1 |
| Survival of prior birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living | 6.8 | 14.5 | 37.6 | 23.4 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 4,779 | 32.7 |
| Dead | 24.4 | 15.8 | 29.5 | 17.9 | 12.4 | 100.0 | 816 | 26.4 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.8 | 15.7 | 33.3 | 19.5 | 22.6 | 100.0 | 316 | 33.3 |
| Rural | 9.4 | 14.6 | 36.6 | 22.7 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 5,279 | 31.9 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 11.4 | 14.1 | 34.1 | 22.5 | 17.9 | 100.0 | 464 | 31.8 |
| Hill | 8.5 | 14.5 | 36.4 | 21.9 | 18.7 | 100.0 | 2,375 | 32.6 |
| Terai | 9.8 | 14.9 | 36.8 | 23.1 | 15.4 | 100.0 | 2,756 | 31.4 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 11.2 | 15.3 | 35.6 | 22.6 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 1,177 | 31.3 |
| Central | 9.0 | 13.7 | 36.7 | 22.9 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 1,824 | 32.6 |
| Western | 7.6 | 14.9 | 35.4 | 22.7 | 19.4 | 100.0 | 1,075 | 32.7 |
| Mid-western | 10.5 | 14.2 | 37.4 | 21.6 | 16.3 | 100.0 | 925 | 31.4 |
| Far-western | 8.4 | 16.6 | 37.3 | 22.8 | 14.9 | 100.0 | 594 | 31.3 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 9.1 | 14.3 | 35.5 | 23.2 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 4,753 | 32.5 |
| Primary | 10.5 | 15.4 | 42.2 | 19.0 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 537 | 30.0 |
| Secondary+ | 11.5 | 18.6 | 40.1 | 18.4 | 11.4 | 100.0 | 305 | 29.3 |
| Total | 9.4 | 14.7 | 36.4 | 22.6 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 5,595 | 32.0 |

Note: First-order births are excluded. The interval for multiple births is the number of months since the preceding pregnancy that ended in a live birth.

## Table 3.9 Age at first birth

Percent distribution of women 15-49 by age at first birth, according to current age, Nepal 1996

| Current age | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Women } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { no } \\ \text { births } \end{gathered}$ | Age at first birth |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | Median age at first birth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<15$ | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 22-24 | 25+ |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 81.3 | 0.9 | 12.4 | 5.3 | NA | NA | NA | 100.0 | 2,229 | a |
| 20-24 | 26.9 | 1.9 | 24.3 | 25.4 | 16.3 | 5.3 | NA | 100.0 | 1,909 | 19.9 |
| 25-29 | 8.8 | 3.0 | 27.5 | 26.7 | 18.2 | 13.0 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 1,671 | 19.4 |
| 30-34 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 25.8 | 24.8 | 20.3 | 14.0 | 7.8 | 100.0 | 1,387 | 19.7 |
| 35-39 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 22.4 | 24.0 | 20.3 | 17.3 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 1,136 | 20.1 |
| 40-44 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 25.9 | 23.8 | 21.2 | 13.5 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 933 | 19.8 |
| 45-49 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 25.5 | 21.5 | 19.0 | 13.7 | 12.9 | 100.0 | 836 | 20.0 |
| NA = Not ap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.10 summarizes the median age at first birth for different cohorts and compares age at entry into motherhood for selected subgroups of the population. Rural and urban women age 25-49 have an identical median age at first birth (19.8 years). But among the younger cohorts (under 30) urban women have a later median age at first birth than rural women. In all cohorts, women in the Mountain and Hill regions have a higher median age at first birth than their Terai counterparts. Overall, there is little difference in the median age at first birth among the development regions. However, younger women (age 25-29) in the Mid-western and Far-western development regions have the lowest median age at first birth. Women with some secondary education generally have a higher median age at first birth than women with some primary education or women with no education.

### 3.6 Adolescent Fertility

Adolescent fertility is a major social and health concern. Teenage mothers are more likely to suffer from severe complications during pregnancy and childbirth which can be detrimental to the health and survival of both mother and child. Table 3.11 presents the percentage of women aged 15-19 who are mothers or who are pregnant with their first child by selected background characteristics. Overall, 24 percent of adolescent women age 15-19 are already mothers or are pregnant with their first child. The proportion of teenage women who have started childbearing increases with age from 3 percent among women age 15 to 51 percent among women age 19. The practice of early marriage (almost 44 percent of women age 15-19 are already married (see Chapter 5)) is the major factor accounting for the relatively high proportion of teenagers who have begun childbearing, particularly in their late teens. The low level of early teenage childbearing is largely due to the low proportion of young teenagers who are currently married and partly due to adolescent subfecundity.

In Nepal, almost a quarter of rural adolescents have begun childbearing, compared with one-fifth of their urban counterparts. Only 17 percent of adolescents living in the Hills have begun childbearing, compared with 20 percent in the Mountains and 31 percent in the Terai areas. Regionally, the highest level of adolescent childbearing is observed in the Central development region while the lowest is found in the Western development region. The proportion of adolescents who have begun childbearing declines with increasing education from 32 percent among those with no education to 10 percent among those with some secondary education.

Table 3.10 Median age at first birth
Median age at first birth among women 20-49, by current age and selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { age } \\ 20-49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { age } \\ 25-49 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 21.5 | 20.1 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 20.2 | 19.8 |
| Rural | 19.8 | 19.3 | 19.8 | 20.2 | 19.7 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 19.8 |
| Ecological Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | a | 20.2 | 20.8 | 21.3 | 20.9 | 22.1 | a | 20.9 |
| Hill | a | 20.0 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 20.4 | 20.5 | a | 20.4 |
| Terai | 19.2 | 18.7 | 19.0 | 19.5 | 18.9 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 19.0 |
| Development Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | a | 20.3 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 19.9 | 20.6 | ${ }_{19}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 20.3 |
| Central | 19.4 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 20.2 | 19.6 | 19.4 | 19.5 | 19.6 |
| Western | 20.0 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 19.9 | 19.8 |
| Mid-western | 19.5 | 18.7 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.6 | 20.4 | 19.5 | 19.5 |
| Far-westerm | 19.0 | 18.8 | 19.2 | 19.4 | 19.6 | 20.1 | 19.3 | 19.3 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 19.3 | 19.0 | 19.6 | 20.1 | 19.7 | 20.1 | 19.6 | 19.6 |
| Primary | 19.6 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 19.6 | 19.4 | 19.7 | 19.7 |
| Secondary+ | a | 21.6 | 21.8 | 21.3 | 21.7 | 18.5 | a | 21.5 |
| Total | 19.9 | 19.4 | 19.7 | 20.1 | 19.8 | 20.0 | 19.8 | 19.8 |

Note: The median for cohorts 15-19 could not be determined because some women may still have a birth before reaching age 20.
${ }^{2}$ Medians were not calculated for these cohorts because less than 50 percent of women in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ had a birth by age $x$.

Table 3.12 presents the distribution of adolescents by number of children ever born. Almost one of five teenage women in Nepal has at least one child: 15 percent have one child and 4 percent have two or more children. As already noted, teenage motherhood increases with age. The proportion of teenage women who have two or more children is negligible until age 18 and then increases substantially to 12 percent among women age 19.

## Table 3. ل1 Adolescent pregnancy and motherhood

Percentage of women 15-19 who are mothers or pregnant with their first child, by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage who are: |  | Percentage who have begun childbearing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mothers | Pregnant with first child |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 485 |
| 16 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 11.8 | 469 |
| 17 | 15.0 | 7.8 | 22.8 | 428 |
| 18 | 31.2 | 4.8 | 36.0 | 449 |
| 19 | 44.1 | 6.6 | 50.7 | 399 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 15.5 | 4.2 | 19.7 | 173 |
| Rural | 18.9 | 5.3 | 24.3 | 2,054 |
| Ecological Region |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 14.8 | 5.6 | 20.4 | 148 |
| Hill | 13.1 | 3.9 | 16.9 | 1,036 |
| Terai | 24.6 | 6.5 | 31.1 | 1,052 |
| Development Region |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 15.3 | 4.5 | 19.8 | 537 |
| Central | 22.2 | 6.2 | 28.5 | 725 |
| Western | 15.5 | 3.3 | 18.8 | 457 |
| Mid-western | 19.5 | 7.6 | 27.0 | 297 |
| Far-western | 20.3 | 4.7 | 25.1 | 217 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 25.0 | 6.8 | 31.8 | 1,123 |
| Primary | 17.2 | 5.1 | 22.3 | 497 |
| Secondary+ | 7.3 | 2.3 | 9.6 | 672 |
| Total | 18.7 | 5.3 | 23.9 | 2,229 |

Note: Numbers may not add to total due to slight differences in the factors used to inflate the number of ever-married women.

## Table 3.12 Children born to adolescents

Percent distribution of women 15-19 by number of children ever born (CEB), Nepal 1996

|  | Number of <br> children ever bom |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> number <br> of |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | $2+$ | Total | Number <br> of <br> women |  |
| 15 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.01 | 485 |
| 16 | 93.6 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 0.08 | 469 |
| 17 | 85.0 | 13.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 0.17 | 428 |
| 18 | 68.8 | 26.5 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 0.36 | 449 |
| 19 | 55.9 | 31.7 | 12.4 | 100.0 | 0.57 | 399 |
| Total | 81.3 | 14.9 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 0.23 | 2,229 |

## CHAPTER 4

## KNOWLEDGE, USE AND ATTITUDES TOWARD FAMILY PLANNING

Although information about family planning in Nepal was disseminated as early as 1958 by the Family Planning Association of Nepal (FPAN), family planning services became available only in 1968 with the implementation of the Third Five-Year Plan. Since then, the Nepalese Government has been actively involved in providing family planning services, which have become an integral part of the country's health services. This chapter presents a number of indicators from the 1996 Nepal Family Health Survey (NFHS) pertaining to knowledge and use of family planning, women's attitudes towards family planning, sources of family planning, and exposure to media messages about family planning. This information is of particular interest to policymakers, programme managers, and researchers in population and family planning.

### 4.1 Knowledge of Contraception

In the NFHS respondents' knowledge of contraception was assessed in two ways. Respondents were first asked to name all the methods of contraception they knew or had heard of. Each method mentioned was recorded as a spontaneous response. The interviewer then read out the name and a short description of each method not mentioned spontaneously but listed in the questionnaire. If the respondent recognized the method it was recorded as a probed response. If she had not heard of the method then

Table 4.1 Knowledge of contraceptive methods
Percentage of ever-married women and currently married women who know specific contraceptive methods, Nepal 1996

| Contraceptive <br> method | Ever <br> married <br> women | Currently <br> married <br> women |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Any method | 98.4 | 98.4 |
| Any modern method | 98.3 | 98.3 |
| Pill | 80.0 | 80.5 |
| lUD | 35.6 | 35.9 |
| Injectables | 84.8 | 85.0 |
| Diaphragm/Foam/Jelly | 28.2 | 28.3 |
| Condom | 74.8 | 75.3 |
| Female sterilization | 96.3 | 96.3 |
| Male sterilization | 89.8 | 89.7 |
| Norplant | 57.0 | 57.3 |
| Any traditional method | 43.9 | 44.4 |
| Periodic abstinence | 36.6 | 37.0 |
| Withdrawal | 28.7 | 29.1 |
| $\quad$ Other methods | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Number of women | 8,429 | 7,982 |
| Mean number of methods | 6.1 | 6.2 | she was recorded as having no knowledge of the method. Thus knowledge of a family planning method in the NFHS is defined simply as having heard of a method. Additional questions are not asked to elicit the depth of this knowledge.

Information on knowledge of specific methods was collected for eight modern methods-the pill, IUD, injectables, Norplant, vaginal methods (diaphragm, foam or jelly), the condom, female sterilization and male sterilization-and two traditional methods, periodic abstinence and withdrawal. In addition, there was also provision to record any other methods mentioned spontaneously.

Knowledge of contraception is nearly universal in Nepal. Table 4.1 shows that 98 percent of both ever-married and currently married women age 15-49 know at least one method of family planning. A greater proportion of currently married women reported knowing a modern method (98 percent) than a traditional method ( 44 percent). One of the reasons for the low reporting of traditional methods is that these methods are not included in the government family planning programme; therefore, it is possible that there may be some underreporting of traditional methods. Moreover, women may feel reluctant to mention a traditional method since they are not widely accepted. Most currently married women know about female sterilization ( 96 percent) and nine of ten know about male sterilization ( 90 percent). Eightyfive percent of women know about injectables and 81 percent and 75 percent know about the pill and condom,
respectively. Knowledge of Norplant, the IUD, and vaginal methods is much lower. Among the traditional methods, periodic abstinence is more widely known than withdrawal ( 37 percent compared with 29 percent).

## Trends in Knowledge of Family Planning Methods

Trends in contraceptive knowledge over the past 20 years are presented in Table 4.2 and Figure 4.1. There has been a five-fold increase in the percentage of currently married women who know about modern methods, over the last two

## Table 4.2 Trends in knowledge of family planning methods

Percentage of currently married women who know specific modern contraceptive methods, Nepal 1976-1996

| Method | 1976 <br> NFS | 1981 <br> NCPS | 1986 <br> NFFS | 1991 <br> NFHS | NFHS <br> NF |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Any modern method | 21.3 | 51.9 | 55.9 | 92.7 | 98.3 |
| Pill | 12.0 | 25.1 | 27.8 | 65.8 | 80.5 |
| IUD | 6.0 | 8.4 | 6.7 | 24.1 | 35.9 |
| Injectables | NA | 9.0 | 13.5 | 64.7 | 85.0 |
| Diaphragm/Foam/Jelly | NA | NA | NA | 19.0 | 28.3 |
| Condom | 4.8 | 13.6 | 16.8 | 51.6 | 75.3 |
| Female sterilization | 13.0 | 44.4 | 51.2 | 88.8 | 96.3 |
| Male sterilization | 15.7 | 38.1 | 43.1 | 85.3 | 89.7 |
| Norplant | NA | NA | NA | 34.6 | 57.3 |

NA = not applicable
Source: Ministry of Health, 1993:100
decades, from 21 percent in 1976 to 98 percent in 1996. As shown in Table 4.2, knowledge of specific methods has become more widespread. For example, the proportion of currently married women who have heard of the IUD has increased from 6 percent in 1976 to 36 percent 1996. Knowledge of both female and male sterilization has also increased substantially since 1976 from less than 20 percent to 90 percent or more.

Figure 4.1
Trend in Knowledge of Modern Contraceptive Methods Nepal, 1976-1996


## Differentials in Knowledge by Background Characteristics

The percentages of currently married women who know any method of contraception and any modern method are presented in Table 4.3 by background characteristics. Because the level of knowledge is so high in Nepal, there is little difference by background characteristics. The high level of knowledge is a result of the successful dissemination of family planning messages through the mass media.

### 4.2 Ever Use of Family Planning

In the NFHS, respondents who said they had heard of a method of family planning were asked if they had ever used it. Ever use of family planning methods thus refers to use of a method at any time, with no distinction made between past and current use. These data indicate the proportion of the population using contraceptives at least once. Data on ever use has special significance since it reveals the cumulative success of programmes promoting the use of family planning among eligible couples. Since the proportion and pattern of ever-use among ever-married and currently married women is very similar, the discussion in this section is limited to currently married women only.

While almost all currently married women reported knowing at least one method (usually a modem method), only 38 percent of currently married women report ever having used any method and 35 percent report having used a modem method (Table 4.4). The gap between knowledge and use may in part be due to the fact that knowledge as measured in the NFHS may not imply the detailed familiarity with a method that actually leads to its use. Moreover, women who are either pregnant or trying to get pregnant may not yet have the need for family planning. Ever use varies little between currently married women and ever-married women. Female sterilization is the most popular method among currently married women ( 12 percent). In contrast, less than 6 percent of currently married women have used male sterilization. Among the modern temporary methods, injectables are the most widely used,

Table 4.3 Knowledge of contraceptive methods by background characteristics

Percentage of currently married women who know at least one contraceptive method and at least one modern method, by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background | Knows <br> any <br> method | Knows <br> modem <br> method | Number <br> of <br> oracteristic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | 96.9 | 96.6 | 965 |
| 20-24 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 1,602 |
| 25-29 | 98.9 | 98.8 | 1,560 |
| 30-34 | 98.9 | 98.9 | 1,292 |
| 35-39 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 1,042 |
| 40-45 | 98.5 | 98.4 | 828 |
| 45-49 | 97.7 | 97.7 | 695 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.1 | 99.1 | 668 |
| Rural | 98.4 | 98.3 | 7,314 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 96.9 | 96.9 | 538 |
| Hill | 98.2 | 98.1 | 3,363 |
| Terai | 98.9 | 98.8 | 4,082 |
| Development region |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 98.4 | 98.2 | 1,829 |
| Central | 98.2 | 98.1 | 2,677 |
| Western | 98.8 | 98.8 | 1,561 |
| Mid-westem | 98.8 | 98.8 | 1,146 |
| Far-westem | 98.0 | 98.0 | 769 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 97.9 | 97.9 | 120 |
| Central Mountain | 95.1 | 95.1 | 183 |
| Western Mountain | 97.7 | 97.7 | 236 |
| Eastern Hill | 99.2 | 99.0 | 538 |
| Central Hill | 97.2 | 97.1 | 993 |
| Westem Hill | 98.6 | 98.6 | 952 |
| Mid-western Hill | 99.0 | 98.8 | 567 |
| Far-westem Hill | 96.6 | 96.6 | 313 |
| Eastern Terai | 98.1 | 97.9 | 1,171 |
| Central Terai | 99.2 | 99.1 | 1,502 |
| Western Terai | 99.1 | 99.1 | 609 |
| Mid-western Terai | 99.1 | 99.1 | 476 |
| Far-westem Terai | 99.3 | 99.3 | 324 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | 98.1 | 98.0 | 6,347 |
| Primary | 99.7 | 99.7 | 896 |
| Some secondary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 513 |
| SLC and above | 100.0 | 100.0 | 227 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |
| Literate | 99.8 | 99.8 | 1,694 |
| Illiterate | 98.1 | 98.0 | 6,288 |
| Total | 98.4 | 98.3 | 7,982 |
| $\overline{\text { SLC }}=$ School Leaving Certificate |  |  |  |

## Table 4.4 Ever use of contraception

Percentage of ever-married women and of currently married women who have ever used any contraceptive method, by specific method and age, Nepal 1996

|  | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Any method | Any modem method | Pill | IUD | $\begin{gathered} \text { In- } \\ \text { ject- } \\ \text { ables } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dia- } \\ \text { phragm/ } \\ \text { Foam/ } \\ \text { Jelly } \end{gathered}$ | Condom | Norplant | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Female } \\ & \text { steri- } \\ & \text { liza- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & \text { steri- } \\ & \text { liza- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | Any $\underset{\text { trad. }}{\text { method }}$ method | Periodic abstinence | With-drawal | Other methods |  |
| EVER-MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 12.1 | 9.3 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 982 |
| 20-24 | 26.1 | 22.6 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 9.0 | 0.7 | 8.5 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 1,626 |
| 25-29 | 43.1 | 39.1 | 9.9 | 0.8 | 14.6 | 0.8 | 8.8 | 1.0 | 12.5 | 4.4 | 7.9 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 1,594 |
| 30-34 | 48.9 | 45.5 | 11.4 | 1.7 | 16.3 | 0.9 | 6.5 | 1.4 | 16.5 | 6.9 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 1,361 |
| 35-39 | 50.3 | 47.8 | 11.2 | 0.8 | 11.6 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 20.2 | 9.6 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 1,119 |
| 40-44 | 45.6 | 42.8 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 19.3 | 10.7 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 923 |
| 45-49 | 33.3 | 31.6 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 13.3 | 9.9 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 824 |
| Total | 37.4 | 34.3 | 8.1 | 0.8 | 10.2 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 0.6 | 11.9 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 8,429 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 12.3 | 9.5 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 965 |
| 20-24 | 26.4 | 22.9 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 9.0 | 0.7 | 8.6 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 1,602 |
| 25-29 | 43.6 | 39.7 | 10.1 | 0.8 | 14.9 | 0.8 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 12.6 | 4.5 | 8.0 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 1,560 |
| 30-34 | 50.3 | 47.0 | 11.9 | 1.7 | 17.0 | 0.9 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 16.9 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 1,292 |
| 35-39 | 51.9 | 49.3 | 11.6 | 0.7 | 12.1 | 0.7 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 20.8 | 9.9 | 6.3 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 1,042 |
| 40-44 | 48.7 | 45.8 | 9.6 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 20.5 | 11.4 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 828 |
| 45-49 | 35.5 | 33.8 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 14.4 | 10.6 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 695 |
| Total | 38.4 | 35.2 | 8.3 | 0.8 | 10.6 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 12.1 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 7,982 |

having been used by 11 percent of currently married women. Eight percent of currently married women have used the pill, 7 percent have used the condom, and less than 1 percent each have used the IUD, Norplant or vaginal methods. Altogether, 6 percent of currently married women have used a traditional method to avoid becoming pregnant.

Ever-use rates vary with women's age. The pattern of ever-use is curvilinear, with use being lowest among women in the youngest age group (15-19), increasing with age, and reaching a maximum for women in their late thirties, before declining. The level of ever-use among currently married women rises to a high of 52 percent among those age $35-39$, then declines to 36 percent among those age 45-49. Ever use of any modern method follows a similar pattern by women's age.

## Trends in Ever Use of Family Planning

There has been a steady increase in the level of ever use of modern family planning methods over the past 20 years. The level of ever use of modern contraceptives among currently married women increased from 4 percent in 1976 to 27 percent in 1991 (Ministry of Health, 1993), and reached 35 percent in 1996. During the last 20 years the percentage increase in female sterilization is higher than any other method. It increased from almost zero percent in 1976 to 12 percent in 1996. Ever use of injectables increased from 1 percent in 1986 to

5 percent in 1991 and more than doubled to 11 percent in 1996. In recent years, however, the proportion sterilized has risen less rapidly. Female sterilization increased by only one percentage point between 199 ( 11 percent) and 1996 ( 12 percent), while ever use of male sterilization appears to have declined from 7 percent in 1991 to 6 percent in 1996.

## First Method Used

The survey also asked women about the first method ever used. Thirty-seven percent of ever-married women who had ever used a method used sterilization ( 25 percent female sterilization and 12 percent male sterilization) as their first method indicating that they used family planning to limit births altogether (Table 4.5). Among temporary methods, 21 percent of women used injectables as a first method of family planning, followed by the pill (18 percent) and condom ( 11 percent). Eleven percent of women in Nepal used a traditional method as a first method of family planning.

As expected, modern temporary methods were most commonly used as a first method by younger women, while sterilization was used by older women. The pill, injectables, and condoms were also more commonly used as a first method in urban areas, whereas, sterilization was more common as a first method in the rural areas. Injectables were most commonly used as a first method in the Mountains and Hills and female sterilization was most commonly used in the Terai. Male sterilization was lowest as a first method in the Eastern region. Women with no education tended to use female sterilization as a first method, in contrast to women with some education, who tended to use the pill, injectables or condoms as a first method.

Women were also asked who advised them about their first method. Surprisingly, 34 percent of ever users reported that they were advised by their husband (data not shown). Another 20 percent of women were advised by their friends or neighbours, 18 percent by health personnel, and 7 percent by other relatives. Twenty percent of women did not receive advice from anybody.

### 4.3 Current Use of Family Planning

Current use of contraception is expressed as the proportion of currently married women who reported they were using a family planning method at the time of the interview. In the NFHS, only cur-rently married women were asked questions about current use of family planning. Table 4.6 shows the percent distribution of currently married women by current contraceptive use, according to age.

Overall, 29 percent of currently married women in Nepal are currently using a contraceptive method. Many more women are using modern methods ( 26 percent) than traditional methods ( 3 percent). The most widely used method is female sterilization ( 12 percent), followed by male sterilization and injectables ( 5 percent each). Two percent of currently married women reported using condoms, while about 1 percent rely on the pill.

The pattern of current use by age shows a peak at age 35-39. The drop in contraceptive use among older women may reflect declining fecundity, while lower levels among women under 25 are to be expected since many may not yet have reached their desired family size. By ages $40-44$, the level of current use among married women was more than six times that of women under 20 years, indicating a high level of acceptance of family planning methods even among the older age group. It is also to be noted that the pattern of increasing use of permanent methods with age suggests that contraceptive users are more interested in limiting as they reach their desired family size. The condom and withdrawal are the most commonly used methods among women age 1519. After age 25 female sterilization is the most widely used method.

## Table 4.5 First method used

Percent distribution of ever-married women who have ever used a family planning method by first method used, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Pill | Injectables | Condom | Female sterilization | Male sterilization | Other modern methods ${ }^{1}$ | Trad. methods ${ }^{2}$ | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.4 | 15.3 | 41.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 25.1 | 100.0 | 119 |
| 20-24 | 16.0 | 27.5 | 25.1 | 7.9 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 17.1 | 100.0 | 425 |
| 25-29 | 17.3 | 25.4 | 12.9 | 22.3 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 12.1 | 100.0 | 686 |
| 30-34 | 17.3 | 25.2 | 7.9 | 24.5 | 11.3 | 4.5 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 665 |
| 35-39 | 19.0 | 18.1 | 6.4 | 32.4 | 14.9 | 2.1 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 563 |
| 40-44 | 18.0 | 11.8 | 2.5 | 37.4 | 20.8 | 2.1 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 421 |
| 45-49 | 18.5 | 10.7 | 2.5 | 34.4 | 26.3 | 1.7 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 275 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.1 | 25.7 | 15.4 | 16.7 | 5.0 | 7.2 | 11.0 | 100.0 | 464 |
| Rural | 17.2 | 20.0 | 10.4 | 26.4 | 13.0 | 2.4 | 10.6 | 100.0 | 2,690 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 17.6 | 31.3 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 21.6 | 1.5 | 13.5 | 100.0 | 146 |
| Hill | 21.5 | 24.7 | 9.8 | 12.8 | 17.4 | 3.3 | 10.5 | 100.0 | 1.410 |
| Terai | 13.9 | 16.6 | 12.5 | 37.4 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 10.5 | 100.0 | 1,597 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 18.7 | 20.3 | 8.9 | 27.0 | 7.7 | 3.4 | 14.0 | 100.0 | 772 |
| Central | 17.7 | 23.2 | 10.7 | 26.2 | 10.6 | 3.1 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 1,094 |
| Westem | 16.4 | 18.5 | 10.2 | 23.1 | 18.8 | 2.9 | 10.1 | 100.0 | 620 |
| Mid-western | 17.8 | 18.2 | 16.9 | 19.4 | 12.1 | 3.1 | 12.4 | 100.0 | 442 |
| Far-western | 14.7 | 23.4 | 11.6 | 28.0 | 12.5 | 2.5 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 225 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 22.6 | 30.2 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 23.6 | 0.9 | 10.4 | 100.0 | 44 |
| Central Mountain | 21.6 | 27.9 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 22.5 | 0.9 | 11.7 | 100.0 | 62 |
| Western Mountain | 6.1 | 37.9 | 10.6 | 4.5 | 18.2 | 3.0 | 19.7 | 100.0 | 40 |
| Eastem Hill | 31.1 | 28.1 | 4.2 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 1.8 | 9.6 | 100.0 | 185 |
| Central Hill | 22.7 | 30.3 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 13.4 | 4.8 | 8.9 | 100.0 | 574 |
| Western Hill | 18.3 | 18.1 | 8.5 | 19.3 | 22.0 | 2.7 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 415 |
| Mid-western Hill | 16.2 | 21.7 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 21.3 | 1.8 | 16.8 | 100.0 | 164 |
| Far-western Hill | 17.7 | 15.6 | 14.6 | 15.6 | 26.0 | 2.1 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 73 |
| Eastem Terai | 14.1 | 16.8 | 10.7 | 33.6 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 15.8 | 100.0 | 544 |
| Central Terai | 10.9 | 13.7 | 9.8 | 51.4 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 458 |
| Western Terai | 12.4 | 19.3 | 13.5 | 31.0 | 12.3 | 3.4 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 205 |
| Mid-western Terai | 10.2 | 14.8 | 21.6 | 25.5 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 262 |
| Far-western Terai | 15.4 | 25.2 | 9.3 | 39.0 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 17.3 | 20.8 | 7.9 | 28.5 | 14.0 | 2.1 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 2,273 |
| Primary | 18.1 | 24.3 | 14.1 | 20.9 | 8.3 | 2.9 | 11.4 | 100.0 | 430 |
| Secondary+ | 17.9 | 18.0 | 24.4 | 10.9 | 4.6 | 8.3 | 16.0 | 100.0 | 450 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 18.2 | 20.5 | 18.8 | 15.5 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 13.9 | 100.0 | 959 |
| Illiterate | 17.2 | 21.0 | 7.7 | 29.1 | 13.9 | 1.9 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 2,194 |
| Total | 17.5 | 20.9 | 11.1 | 25.0 | 11.9 | 3.1 | 10.6 | 100.0 | 3,153 |

[^2]Table 4.6 Current method use
Percent distribution of currently married women by contraceptive method currently used, according to age, Nepal 1996

| Age | Any method | Any modern method | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Not currently using | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pill | IUD | In-jectables | Dia- phragm/ Foam/ Jelly | Condom | Norplant | Female steri-lization | Male <br> steri- <br> liza- <br> tion |  | Periodic abstinence | Withdrawal | Other method |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.5 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 93.5 | 100.0 | 965 |
| 20-24 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 84.2 | 100.0 | 1.602 |
| 25-29 | 30.7 | 27.3 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 12.6 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 69.3 | 100.0 | 1,560 |
| 30-34 | 39.0 | 35.8 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 16.9 | 6.9 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 61.0 | 100.0 | 1,292 |
| 35-39 | 42.7 | 40.3 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 20.8 | 9.4 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 57.3 | 100.0 | 1,042 |
| 40-44 | 40.7 | 38.7 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 20.5 | 11.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 59.3 | 100.0 | 828 |
| 45-49 | 27.9 | 27.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 10.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 72.1 | 100.0 | 695 |
| Total | 28.5 | 26.0 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 12.1 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 71.5 | 100.0 | 7,982 |

## Trends in Current Use of Family Planning

The level of modem contraceptive use in Nepal has risen steadily over the last two decades (Table 4.7 and Figure 4.2). Current use of modern contraception among currently married, non-pregnant women has increased from 3 percent in 1976 to 15 percent in 1986 and to 29 percent in 1996. The percentage increase in current use of contraception among currently married nonpregnant women was highest during the 1981-1986 and 1986-1991 periods and lowest in the 1991-1996 period.

In terms of specific modern family planning methods, the percentage of current use accounted for by female and male
 sterilization together, among contracepting women, has declined over the last decade. While female sterilization increased by only 3 percent from 45 percent of modern methods in 1986 to 46 percent in 1996, male sterilization declined by almost 50 percent from 41 percent to 21 percent over the same period. On the other hand, the share of temporary methods has risen from 14 percent to 33 percent over the same period. This is an indication that more women are now using contraception to space rather than limit births. The use of injectables more than doubled between 1991 and 1996 and even though condom use is low, its use more than tripled during this period.

## Differentials in Current Use of Family Planning

Studies have shown that the use of contraception is influenced by the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of couples (Kabir et al., 1986). The NFHS data indicate that some women in Nepal are much more likely to use contraception than others (see Table 4.8). The level of current contraceptive use is nearly twice as high in urban areas ( 50 percent) as in rural areas ( 27 percent). Female sterilization is the most popular method

among both urban and rural women. Injectables are the next most widely used method among urban women, while male sterilization is the second most popular method among rural women. There is a sharp difference in condom use between urban ( 6 percent) and rural (less than 2 percent) women. Even though the use of other modern spacing methods is relatively small, urban women are twice as likely to use these methods as rural women, with the exception of Norplant, which urban women are six times more likely to use than rural women. This may be due to the fact that Norplant is more readily available in urban areas than in rural areas. The percentage of traditional method users is more than double in urban areas ( 5 percent) compared with rural areas ( 2 percent).

Current use of family planning by ecological regions shows that only 18 percent of currently married women residing in the Mountain region are currently using contraception compared with 30 percent and 29 percent in the Hill and Terai regions, respectively. Female sterilization is extremely popular in the Terai where 17 percent of women are using it, in contrast to male sterilization ( 3 percent). On the other hand, male sterilization is the most popular method in both the Mountain and Hill regions.

There is a notable difference in current contraceptive use between the Far-western region and all the other regions. Twenty-one percent of currently married women living in the Far-western region are currently using contraception compared with 31 percent of women residing in the Central and Eastern regions. There is little difference between the Central and Eastern regions and the other three regions, however. Female sterilization is the most popular method in all five development regions and ranges from 14 percent in the Central region to 9 percent in the Far-western region. Male sterilization, which is the second most popular method in all the regions, except the Eastern, is relatively higher in the Western region (8 percent) than in the other regions. The use of traditional methods is most popular in the Eastern region.

Current contraceptive use is higher in the Central Hill (43 percent) and Mid-western Terai (40 percent) sub-regions and lowest in the Western Mountain sub-region (11 percent). Female sterilization is especially

| Table 4.8 Current use of contraception by background characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women by contraceptive method currently used, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Not <br> Currently using | Total | Number of women |
| Background characteristic | Any | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | In-jectables | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dia- } \\ \text { phragn/ } \\ \text { Foam/ } \\ \text { Jelly } \end{gathered}$ | Condom | Norplant | Female steri-lization | Male <br> steri- <br> liza- <br> tion | Any trad. method | Periodic abstinence | With-drawal | Other Methods |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 50.1 | 45.1 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 16.5 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 49.9 | 100.0 | 668 |
| Rural | 26.5 | 24.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 11.7 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 73.5 | 100.0 | 7,314 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 17.8 | 16.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 6.8 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 82.2 | 100.0 | 538 |
| Hill | 29.6 | 26.9 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 70.4 | 100.0 | 3,363 |
| Terai | 29.0 | 26.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 17.1 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 71.0 | 100.0 | 4,082 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 30.8 | 26.8 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 13.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 69.2 | 100.0 | 1,829 |
| Central | 31.0 | 28.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 13.6 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 69.0 | 100.0 | 2,677 |
| Western | 26.5 | 25.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 10.6 | 8.1 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 73.5 | 100.0 | 1,561 |
| Mid-western | 26.9 | 24.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 10.5 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 73.1 | 100.0 | 1,146 |
| Far-western | 20.8 | 19.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 79.2 | 100.0 | 769 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 26.2 | 22.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 9.3 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 73.8 | 100.0 | 120 |
| Central Mountain | 21.2 | 19.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 78.8 | 100.0 | 183 |
| Western Mountain | 10.9 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 89.1 | 100.0 | 236 |
| Eastern Hill | 25.1 | 21.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 74.9 | 100.0 | 538 |
| Central Hill | 43.2 | 39.4 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 11.1 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 56.8 | 100.0 | 993 |
| Westem Hill | 28.0 | 26.8 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 9.1 | 10.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 72.0 | 100.0 | 952 |
| Mid-western Hill | 19.2 | 16.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 80.8 | 100.0 | 567 |
| Far-western Hill | 17.4 | 15.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 82.6 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Eastem Terai | 33.9 | 29.5 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 17.6 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 66.1 | 100.0 | 1,171 |
| Central Terai | 24.1 | 22.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 17.6 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 75.9 | 100.0 | 1,502 |
| Westem Terai | 24.0 | 22.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 12.8 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 76.0 | 100.0 | 609 |
| Mid-western Terai | 40.3 | 37.7 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 20.1 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 59.7 | 100.0 | 476 |
| Far-western Terai | 26.9 | 26.5 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.1 | 100.0 | 324 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ntinued |


popular in the Mid-western Terai sub-region (20 percent). Male sterilization is most popular in the Western Hill sub-region ( 11 percent). Injectables are most widely used in the Central Hill sub-region (11 percent). Condoms are used more frequently in the Mid-western Terai sub-region than in any of the other regions ( 5 percent). The pill is most commonly used in the Central Hill sub-region (4 percent). Traditional methods are more common among women living in the Eastem Terai and Central Hill sub-regions ( 4 percent each) than in any of the other regions.

Differentials in current use of family planning by educational groups are large. Current use of contraception increases with increasing level of education. Twenty-six percent of currently married women with no formal education are contraceptive users, compared with 52 percent of women with a SLC. The most popular method among women who have completed their SLC is condoms ( 14 percent), whereas the most popular method among women who have no education is female sterilization ( 12 percent). In fact, female sterilization is the most popular method among all women who have less than a SLC. In general, as women's level of education increases they are more likely to use modem spacing methods. For example, the use of injectables increases from 4 percent among women with no education to 8 percent among women who have completed their SLC. This trend suggests that highly educated women are more likely to use contraception for spacing as well as for limiting births whereas women with little or no education generally use contraception only for limiting births. These patterns are no doubt influenced by the fact that uneducated women tend to be older and have more children than educated women, and are more likely to have achieved their desired family size.

It is well documented that in developing countries current use of contraception increases with parity of the women. As shown in Table 4.8, the proportion using any contraceptive method rises from a low of 3 percent among currently married women with no children to 40 percent among those with three children and then declines slightly to 39 percent among women with four or more children. As expected, permanent methods are more popular among high parity women. For example, 9 percent of women with two children use female sterilization, compared with 19 percent of women with four or more children.

### 4.4 Number of Children at First Use of Family Planning

In order to investigate when family planning was initiated during the family building process, the NFHS included a question for all women who had ever used a method as to how many living children they had when they first used a method. Table 4.9 shows the distribution of ever-married women by the number of living children when they first used a method.

As the number of children increases the use rate increases as well. For example, only 2 percent of women initiated use when they had no children compared with 8 percent when they had 3 children. Looking at first use rate by age, it can be seen that there is a tendeney for younger women to have initiated family planning use at lower parities than older women. Table 4.9 shows that 11 percent of women age $20-24$ started using contraception after their first child, compared with only 1 percent of women age 45-49. The trend is similar for those who had no children. This may be due to the fact that young women are more likely to use contraception to space births, while older women use it to limit births.

### 4.5 Effect of Breastfeeding on Contraception

Breastfeeding is one of the important proximate determinants of fertility; therefore, a reduction in breastfeeding can lead to an increase in fertility (Bongaarts, 1982). Breastfeeding is almost universal in Nepal with over 95 percent of married women breastfeeding their children (Ministry of Health, I993). In countries

| Table 4.9 Number of children al first use of contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of ever-married women by number of living children at the time of first use of contraception, and median number of children at first use, according to current age, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current age | Never used contraception | Number of living children at first use of contraception |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | Median number of children at first use ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 87.9 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 982 | 1.0 |
| 20-24 | 73.9 | 4.1 | 11.1 | 8.1 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,626 | 1.8 |
| 25-29 | 56.9 | 2.3 | 9.7 | 12.4 | 12.1 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 1,594 | 2.8 |
| 30-34 | 51.1 | 1.0 | 5.4 | 10.4 | 14.4 | 17.7 | 100.0 | 1,361 | 3.5 |
| 35-39 | 49.7 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 8.2 | 13.5 | 24.7 | 100.0 | 1,119 | 4.0 |
| 40-44 | 54.4 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 9.1 | 29.1 | 100.0 | 923 | 4.5 |
| 45-49 | 66.7 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 5.7 | 23.7 | 100.0 | 824 | 5.1 |
| Total | 62.6 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 8,429 | 3.3 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Among those who have ever used contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

where breastfeeding is universal but where there is little use of contraception, breastfeeding provides added protection against pregnancy (United Nations, 1987). Although breastfeeding is nearly universal in Nepal, the majority of women do not know the contraceptive importance of breastfeeding. This was found to be true even in Kathmandu (Aryal, 1991).

Data from the NFHS show that the vast majority of women ( 75 percent) believe that breastfeeding does not affect the risk of pregnancy, 15 percent believe that it reduces the risk of pregnancy and 9 percent report that breastfeeding in fact increases the risk of pregnancy (Table 4.10). The table also shows that 3 percent of currently married women had previously relied on breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy and 1 percent were relying on it at the time of the survey. Six percent of women meet the criteria for use of the lactational amenorrhoeic method (LAM)' of family planning.

There is very little difference by background characteristics among women who believe that breastfeeding does not affect the risk of pregnancy. However, women in the youngest (15-19) age group are least likely to believe that breastfeeding decreases their chances of becoming pregnant and, consequently, are least likely to have relied on breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy. Interestingly, 7 percent of women age 15-19 meet the LAM criteria.

Women living in the Mountain region, and especially those in the Central Mountain sub-region are least likely to believe that breastfeeding decreases a woman's chance of becoming pregnant. However, 3 percent of the latter have relied on breastfeeding either previously or currently to avoid pregnancy and 8 percent meet the LAM criteria.
${ }^{1}$ LAM users are women who are postpartum amenorrhoeic and who are currently fully breastfeeding a child who is less than six months old.

| Table 4.10 Perceived contraceptive effect of breastfeeding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women by perceived risk of pregnancy associated with breastfeeding and percentage of currently married women who previously relied and who currently rely on breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy and percentage who meet lactational amenorthoeic method (LAM) criteria, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perceived risk of pregnancy associated with breastfeeding |  |  |  |  |  |  | Reliance on breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy |  | Meet Number LAM of criteria' women |  |
| Background characteristic | Unchanged | Increased | Decreased | Depends | Don't know | Total | Previously | Currently |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 81.5 | 7.1 | 10.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 7.3 | 965 |
| 20-24 | 76.4 | 8.8 | 13.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 10.6 | 1,602 |
| 25-29 | 70.9 | 11.5 | 16.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 7.8 | 1,560 |
| 30-34 | 71.5 | 8.7 | 18.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 5.0 | 1,292 |
| 35-39 | 74.9 | 10.3 | 13.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 1,042 |
| 40-44 | 75.9 | 9.1 | 14.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 828 |
| 45-49 | 77.6 | 6.6 | 14.7 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 695 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 72.0 | 10.9 | 15.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 668 |
| Rural | 75.3 | 9.0 | 14.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 6.2 | 7,314 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 82.6 | 7.5 | 8.6 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 6.5 | 538 |
| Hill | 78.6 | 7.3 | 13.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 5.4 | 3,363 |
| Terai | 71.1 | 10.9 | 16.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 6.3 | 4,082 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 70.6 | 11.6 | 16.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 1,829 |
| Central | 74.9 | 9.4 | 14.2 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 5.5 | 2,677 |
| Western | 76.6 | 7.4 | 15.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 6.4 | 1,561 |
| Mid-western | 77.5 | 8.9 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 7.1 | 1,146 |
| Far-western | 79.3 | 6.4 | 13.9 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 5.5 | 3.1 | 6.1 | 769 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 85.5 | 3.1 | 10.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 120 |
| Central Mountain | 78.5 | 11.0 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 7.7 | 183 |
| Western Mountain | 84.2 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 236 |
| Eastern Hill | 72.3 | 9.7 | 17.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 538 |
| Central Hill | 79.3 | 6.4 | 13.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 4.2 | 993 |
| Western Hill | 83.9 | 5.5 | 10.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 952 |
| Mid-western Hill | 79.8 | 7.2 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 567 |
| Far-western Hill | 68.8 | 11.1 | 19.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 313 |
| Eastern Terai | 68.3 | 13.3 | 16.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 6.3 | 1,171 |
| Central Terai | 71.5 | 11.1 | 15.7 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 6.1 | 1,502 |
| Western Terai | 65.2 | 10.3 | 23.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 609 |
| Mid-western Terai | 73.6 | 11.1 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 476 |
| Far-western Terai | 87.0 | 1.9 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 6.4 | 324 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 76.1 | 8.7 | 14.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 6,347 |
| Primary | 73.1 | 10.3 | 14.3 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 7.7 | 896 |
| Some secondary | 70.8 | 10.7 | 16.6 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 513 |
| SLC and above | 62.9 | 12.7 | 22.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 227 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 70.9 | 11.0 | 16.3 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 1,694 |
| Illiterate | 76.1 | 8.6 | 14.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 5.9 | 6,288 |
| Total | 75.0 | 9.1 | 14.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 7,982 |
| SLC = School Leaving Certificate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Women who have completed their SLC are most likely to believe that breastfeeding decreases women's risk of pregnancy ( 22 percent) and most likely to rely on breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy ( 6 percent). However, only 4 percent meet the LAM criteria for use in contrast to 8 percent of women who have some primary education.

### 4.6 Timing of Sterilization

As mentioned earlier, 12 percent of currently married Nepalese women age $15-49$ reported that they had been sterilized. Table 4.11 shows the distribution of these women by age at the time of sterilization, according to the number of years since the operation. Since data on age at sterilization were derived from a question on the month and year of the operation, it is possible that the data are distorted by some systematic error in reporting the date of operation or the date of birth and/or age of the woman. This is especially so in a country where literacy, especially among women, is very low.

Table 4.11 shows that female sterilization in Nepal occurs relatively early in women's reproductive lives indicating that spacing of childbearing is not common. Sixty percent of sterilized women had the operation before age 30 and about one-fourth had it before age 25 . Less than 5 percent of sterilized women had the operation when they were in their 40 s . The median age at sterilization is 28 . There has been little change in the median age at which women are sterilized in Nepal.

| Percent distribution of currently married sterilized women by age at the time of sterilization, according to the number of years since the operation, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years since operation | Age at time of sterilization |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \text { age' } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $<25$ | 25.29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |  |
| $<2$ | 24.1 | 34.6 | 17.5 | 13.2 | 8.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 225 | 27.5 |
| 2-3 | 24.7 | 37.7 | 16.1 | 17.3 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 180 | 28.3 |
| 4-5 | 28.0 | 31.5 | 20.1 | 14.8 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 114 | 28.2 |
| 6.7 | 21.6 | 30.9 | 28.0 | 16.0 | 3.6 | NA | 100.0 | 127 | 28.9 |
| 8-9 | 20.9 | 35.9 | 28.5 | 13.7 | 1.0 | NA | 100.0 | 93 | 28.6 |
| ${ }^{10+}$ | 25.4 | 41.4 | 20.4 | 12.8 | NA | NA | 100.0 | 223 | a |
| Total | 24.3 | 36.0 | 20.7 | 14.5 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 963 | 28.0 |
| NA = Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'Median age was calculated only for women less than 40 years of age to avoid problems of censoring <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Not calculated due to censoring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.7 Sterilization Regret

In the NFHS, women who had been sterilized or whose husbands had been sterilized were asked if they regretted having had the operation and, if so, why. The results are presented in Table 4.12. Overall, 9 percent of women reported that they regretted their own or their husband's sterilization. More than half of these women regretted sterilization because of side effects and 2 percent stated that they or their husbands wanted another child. Just over 1 percent of women regretted sterilization because one or more of their children died. Sterilization regret is slightly higher among rural than urban women. Sterilization regret is also higher among
women from the Terai region than among women from the other ecological regions. Sterilization regret ranges from 11 percent among women from the Mid-western development region to 7 percent among women from the Western region. Women with some primary education are least likely to regret sterilization. As expected, the level of sterilization regret decreases as the number of living children increases. (The number of living children refers to the current number and not the number at the time of sterilization.)

## Table-4.12 Sterilization regret

Percentage of currently married women who are sterilized or whose husbands are sterilized who regret the opcration, by reason for regret and selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Reason for regret |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent who regret sterilization | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Respon- } \\ & \text { dent } \\ & \text { wants } \\ & \text { another } \\ & \text { child } \end{aligned}$ | Husband wants another child | Side effects | Child died | Other reason |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.8 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 150 |
| Rural | 9.2 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1,245 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 7.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 48 |
| Hill | 8.1 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 531 |
| Terai | 9.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 816 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 9.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 314 |
| Central | 9.2 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 510 |
| Western | 7.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 292 |
| Mid-westem | 11.2 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 176 |
| Far-western | 7.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 104 |
| Sub-regions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | (8.8) | (5.9) | (0.0) | (2.9) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 14 |
| Central Mountain | (9.5) | (4.8) | (0.0) | (2.4) | (2.4) | (0.0) | 24 |
| Eastern Hill | 14.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60 |
| Central Hill | 6.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 187 |
| Western Hill | 7.2 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 189 |
| Mid-westem Hill | 10.2 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60 |
| Far-western Hill | (4.3) | (2.2) | (0.0) | (2.2) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 35 |
| Eastem Terai | 8.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 240 |
| Central Terai | 10.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 299 |
| Western Terai | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 103 |
| Mid-western Terai | 12.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 112 |
| Far-western Terai | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 63 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 9.4 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1,114 |
| Primary | 6.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 166 |
| Secondary + | 9.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 115 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <3 | 14.2 | 8.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 256 |
| 3 | 8.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 432 |
| 4+ | 7.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 707 |
| Total | 9.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1,395 |

[^3]
### 4.8 Source of Family Planning Services

All current users of modern methods of family planning were asked to report the source from which they most recently obtained their methods. Since women often do not know exactly into which category to place the source, interviewers were instructed to write the name of the source in the questionnaire. Supervisors and field editors were instructed to verify that the name and type of source was consistent with what was actually available from talking with local residents and village heads.

Table 4.13 and Figure 4.3 show that 79 percent of modern method users obtain their methods from a public source. Among these, 32 percent obtain their method from a hospital or district clinic and 28 percent from a mobile camp. Fourteen percent of modern method users get their method from private medical sources, the most important of which are the Family Planning Association of Nepal (FPAN) ( 5 percent) and pharmacies (4 percent). Six percent of users obtain their methods from other private sources.

Table 4.13 Source of supply for modern contraceptive methods
Percent distribution of currently married women currently using modern contraceptive methods by most recent source of the method, according to specific methods, Nepal 1996

| Source of supply | Pill | IUD | Injectables | Condom | Norplant ${ }^{2}$ | Female sterilization | Male sterilization | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public | 39.7 | (60.8) | 85.9 | 34.1 | (87.6) | 87.3 | 81.5 | 79.0 |
| Hospital/District clinic | 8.3 | (52.3) | 16.3 | 3.7 | (53.6) | 46.3 | 29.2 | 32.4 |
| Primary health centre | 0.0 | (0.0) | 2.5 | 2.8 | (3.1) | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 |
| Family Planning clinic | 1.7 | (3.6) | 3.8 | 0.4 | (4.5) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Health post | 14.0 | (0.0) | 30.7 | 19.5 | (12.4) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
| Sub-health post | 13.3 | (0.0) | 26.2 | 6.2 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 |
| Mobile clinic ${ }^{1}$ | 0.0 | (0.0) | 3.9 | 0.0 | (4.7) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Mobile camp | 0.0 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | (0.0) | 38.7 | 49.8 | 28.3 |
| Other public | 2.4 | (5.0) | 2.5 | 1.3 | (9.3) | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.1 |
| Private medical | 36.4 | (29.2) | 13.5 | 38.3 | (12.4) | 9.1 | 10.6 | 14.1 |
| Private hospital | 0.0 | (0.0) | 3.6 | 0.0 | (3.4) | 2.3 | 3.3 | 2.4 |
| Clinic/Nursing home | 4.1 | (12.9) | 1.4 | 1.5 | (0.0) | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Family Planning Association of Nepal | 1.7 | (10.8) | 4.8 | 0.6 | (9.0) | 6.1 | 6.2 | 5.3 |
| Pharmacy | 26.3 | (0.0) | 2.1 | 32.7 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
| Community health volunteer | 4.3 | (0.0) | 0.2 | 2.9 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Other private | 0.0 | (5.5) | 1.4 | 0.6 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Other source | 23.9 | (10.0) | 0.3 | 27.7 | (0.0) | 3.1 | 3.3 | 5.6 |
| Female community health volunteer ${ }^{3}$ | 18.0 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 6.7 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Shop | 0.7 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 12.7 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Friends/Relatives | 1.4 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 1.2 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Other | 3.8 | (10.0) | 0.3 | 7.0 | (0.0) | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| Don't know/Missing | 0.0 | (0.0) | 0.3 | 0.0 | (0.0) | 0.6 | 4.5 | 1.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of users | 110 | 21 | 358 | 154 | 33 | 963 | 433 | 2,077 |

[^4]Both the public and private sectors are important suppliers of the pill ( 40 percent and 36 percent, respectively). Within the public sector, health posts and sub-health posts are important suppliers ( 14 percent and 13 percent, respectively) and within the private sector, pharmacies are prominent suppliers, accounting for 26 percent of all pill users. Three of five IUDs were inserted at public facilities, the most important of which are government hospitals or district clinics, which account for more than half of the insertions. The public sector is a major source for injectables ( 86 percent) with health posts and sub-health posts supplying 57 percent of users. Condoms are almost equally likely to be supplied by the private and public sectors, with health posts supplying one of five users and pharmacies supplying three of ten users. Private shops are also important sources, supplying 13 percent of users. Eight-eight percent of Norplant users obtained their method from government facilities. As expected, both female and male sterilizations are mainly performed in government facilities. While most female sterilizations are performed in hospitals and district clinics ( 46 percent), most male sterilizations are performed in mobile camps ( 50 percent).

Although the public sector continues to be an important source of contraceptives in Nepal, its share of the market has declined over the last five years from 93 percent of current users in 1991 to 79 percent of current users in 1996 (Ministry of Health, 1993). In contrast, the private sector has become increasingly important. In 1991, the proportion of current users obtaining contraceptives from the private medical sector was only 5 percent, but this increased to 14 percent in 1996. Similarly, other private sources supplied less than 1 percent of users in 1991, but this increased to 6 percent in 1996.


### 4.9 Nonuse of Family Planning

## Future Use

In the NFHS, all currently married women who were not using contraception at the time of the survey were asked if they intended to use a method at any time in the future. This provides information about the
potential demand for family planning services. Table 4.14 shows the distribution of women by their intention to use in the future, according to the number of living children.

Sixty-two percent of currently married nonusers say that they intend to use family planning in the future; 30 percent intend to use within the next 12 months, 33 percent intend to use later, and less than 1 percent were not sure when they would start using contraception. Table 4.14 also shows that 34 percent of nonusers said that they did not intend to practice family planning in the future, while 3 percent were unsure. The vast majority of those who intend to use in the future have never used contraception in the past.

Intention to use family planning varies with the number of living children. The proportion intending to use family planning peaks at 74 percent among nonusers with one child. The proportion who intend to use within 12 months is considerably lower among childless nonusers than among those with children, while the proportion who intend to use after 12 months is lower among women with four or more children.

| Table 4.14 Future use of contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women who are not using a contraceptive method by past experience with contraception and intention to use in the future, according to number of living children, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Future intentions | Number of living children ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ |  |
| Never used contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intend to use in next 12 months | 5.8 | 25.2 | 24.4 | 25.9 | 26.1 | 22.8 |
| Intend to use later | 51.3 | 39.3 | 32.0 | 25.4 | 11.4 | 28.7 |
| Unsure as to timing | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Unsure as to intention | 7.3 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 2.8 |
| Do not intend to use | 32.5 | 21.1 | 26.0 | 27.9 | 42.7 | 31.3 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Previously used contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intend to use in next 12 months | 0.4 | 4.6 | 6.6 | 10.0 | 9.2 | 6.7 |
| Intend to use later | 1.7 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 3.8 |
| Unsure as to timing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Unsure as to intention | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Do not intend to use | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 3.1 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| All currently married nonusers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intend to use in next 12 months | 6.2 | 29.8 | 31.0 | 35.9 | 35.2 | 29.5 |
| Intend to use later | 52.9 | 43.6 | 37.9 | 29.3 | 14.5 | 32.5 |
| Unsure as to timing | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Unsure as to intention | 7.4 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 2.9 |
| Do not intend to use | 32.9 | 22.3 | 27.6 | 31.7 | 48.9 | 34.4 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 776 | 1,138 | 1,093 | 973 | 1,729 | 5,708 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Reasons for Nonuse

Knowledge of the reasons for nonuse of contraception is of value to family planning programmes. In the NFHS currently married women who were not using family planning at the time of the survey and who said that they did not intend to use it in the future were asked why they did not intend to use.

| Table 4.15 Reasons for not using contraception |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women who are not using a contraceptive method and who do not intend to use in the future, by main reason for not intending to use, according to age, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |
| Reason |  |  |  |
| contraception | $<30$ | 30-49 | Total |
| Infrequent sex | 4.3 | 7.9 | 6.8 |
| Menopausal/hysterectomy | 0.7 | 25.8 | 18.5 |
| Subfecund/infecund | 2.4 | 6.4 | 5.2 |
| Wants more children | 35.4 | 7.2 | 15.3 |
| Respondent opposed | 6.9 | 3.8 | 4.7 |
| Husband opposed | 6.7 | 3.3 | 4.3 |
| Others opposed | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Religious prohibition | 16.2 | 5.7 | 8.7 |
| Knows no metbod | 4.1 | 1.6 | 2.3 |
| Knows no source | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Health concerns | 2.4 | 7.4 | 6.0 |
| Fear of side effects | 13.0 | 16.7 | 15.7 |
| Lack of access | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Costs too much | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Interferes with body | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Other | 1.4 | 11.3 | 8.4 |
| Don't know | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 569 | 1,396 | 1,966 |

Table 4.15 shows the main reasons for not using family planning. Nineteen percent of women said they did not intend to use family planning because they were menopausal or had had a hysterectomy. Fear of side effects also appears to be an important reason for nonuse (16 percent), followed by a desire to have more children ( 15 percent). Other commonly mentioned reasons are: religious prohibitions ( 9 percent), infrequent sex ( 7 percent), health concerns ( 6 percent), subfecundity/infecundity ( 5 percent), respondent's opposition to use ( 5 percent), and husband's opposed to use (4 percent). Less than 3 percent cited lack of knowledge about methods as the main reason for nonuse.

There are significant differences in reasons for nonuse by women's age. The major reasons that younger women (under age 30) give for not intending to use contraception in the future are a desire to have children ( 35 percent), religious prohibitions ( 16 percent), and fear of side effects ( 13 percent). The major reasons that older women (age 30 and over) give for not intending to use contraception in the future are because they are menopausal or had a hysterectomy ( 26 percent), fear of side effects ( 17 percent), and other unspecified reasons ( 11 percent).

Table 4.15 shows that fear of side effects is an important reason for nonuse, suggesting that there is still substantial scope for the family planning programme in Nepal to increase contraceptive use by providing information to dispel misconceptions about the various methods.

## Preferred Method

Currently married nonusers who said they intend to use family planning in the future were asked which method they preferred to use. Injectables is the most popular method, with 28 percent of nonusers who intend to use in the future stating that they would choose this method (Table 4.16). Of those who intend to use in the next 12 months, 38 percent intend to use injectables. Female sterilization is a close second with 27 percent of future users intending to use it. As expected, the proportion is even higher among women who intend to use after 12 months. In fact, it is the most popular method for later use. Pill use is preferred by 14 percent of currently married women intending to use in the future.

### 4.10 Exposure to Family Planning Information

## Exposure to Messages through Radio and Television

Respondents in the NFHS were asked if they had heard a message about family planning in the media especially on the radio or on the television in the few months prior to the survey. Table 4.17 presents the percent distribution of ever-married women by whether or not they were exposed to such messages, according to background characteristics. Eleven percent of ever-married women reported that they had heard a family planning message on both radio and television. However, radio is a more effective media than television in Nepal because television services are mostly limited to urban areas. Half of the women had heard a family planning message on the radio in the month before the interview, compared with only 13 percent who had been exposed to a message on the television.

There are no substantial differences by age in the percentage of women who were exposed to family planning messages on radio or television. As expected, urban women are more likely to have been exposed to family planning messages on both radio and television than rural women (48 percent compared with 8 percent, respectively). One of two rural women has not been exposed to family planning messages on either the radio or television. Twelve percent of women from the Terai and Hills had heard a family planning message on radio and television, compared with only 3 percent of women from the Mountains. However, 65 percent of women from the Hills had heard a family planning message on the radio compared with only 40 percent of women from the Terai. The radio, rather than the television, is a more common source of information on family planning in the Hill and Mountain regions.

Fifteen percent of women residing in the Eastern and Central regions have heard a family planning message on both the radio and television compared with only 5 percent of women in the Far-western region. Television is a more effective medium for family planning messages in the Central and Eastern regions than in the other development regions.

Differences in media exposure by sub-regions are large; for example, 28 percent of women residing in the Central Hill sub-region have been exposed to family planning messages on both the radio and television, in contrast to only 1 percent of women in the Western Mountain sub-region.

Exposure to family planning messages through the two media is positively correlated with the educational level of women. Only 7 percent of respondents with no education reported having heard a family planning message on both radio and television, compared with 60 percent of women with an SLC. Similarly,

Table 4.17 Exposure to family planning messages on radio and television
Percent distribution of ever-married women by whether they have heard a radio and/or television message about family planning in the few months prior to the interview, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Heard family planning message on the radio and/or television |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Heard } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { both } \end{gathered}$ | Radio only | Television only | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Heard } \\ & \text { on } \\ & \text { neither } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.4 | 40.5 | 1.6 | 49.4 | 100.0 | 982 |
| 20-24 | 12.0 | 42.0 | 1.5 | 44.5 | 100.0 | 1,626 |
| 25-29 | 12.7 | 38.8 | 1.9 | 46.4 | 100.0 | 1,594 |
| 30-34 | 12.0 | 41.0 | 1.7 | 45.2 | 100.0 | 1,361 |
| 35-39 | 12.2 | 39.5 | 1.8 | 46.5 | 100.0 | 1,119 |
| 40-44 | 11.7 | 38.5 | 1.3 | 48.2 | 100.0 | 923 |
| 45-49 | 7.8 | 39.1 | 0.9 | 52.2 | 100.0 | 824 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 47.5 | 24.1 | 8.5 | 19.8 | 100.0 | 712 |
| Rural | 8.0 | 41.5 | 0.9 | 49.5 | 100.0 | 7,717 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 3.1 | 46.7 | 0.2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 569 |
| Hill | 12.1 | 53.0 | 0.9 | 34.0 | 100.0 | 3,600 |
| Terai | 11.7 | 28.2 | 2.3 | 57.5 | 100.0 | 4,259 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 15.3 | 39.5 | 1.7 | 43.2 | 100.0 | 1,941 |
| Central | 14.8 | 24.4 | 2.9 | 57.9 | 100.0 | 2,827 |
| Western | 7.8 | 48.5 | 0.7 | 43.0 | 100.0 | 1,647 |
| Mid-western | 5.9 | 58.6 | 0.6 | 34.8 | 100.0 | 1,196 |
| Far-western | 4.9 | 51.4 | 0.1 | 43.6 | 100.0 | 819 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 5.8 | 58.8 | 0.3 | 35.1 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Central Mountain | 3.7 | 35.8 | 0.3 | 60.2 | 100.0 | 195 |
| Western Mountain | 1.2 | 49.0 | 0.0 | 49.8 | 100.0 | 247 |
| Eastern Hill | 8.6 | 55.1 | 0.0 | 36.3 | 100.0 | 578 |
| Central Hill | 28.0 | 36.6 | 3.1 | 32.3 | 100.0 | 1,065 |
| Western Hill | 5.5 | 63.0 | 0.1 | 31.4 | 100.0 | 1,022 |
| Mid-western Hill | 2.8 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 32.3 | 100.0 | 595 |
| Far-western Hill | 3.8 | 50.4 | 0.0 | 45.8 | 100.0 | 340 |
| Eastern Terai | 19.3 | 30.2 | 2.7 | 47.3 | 100.0 | 1,236 |
| Central Terai | 7.1 | 14.6 | 3.0 | 75.1 | 100.0 | 1,566 |
| Westem Terai | 11.5 | 24.9 | 1.6 | 61.9 | 100.0 | 625 |
| Mid-western Terai | 10.9 | 55.4 | 1.5 | 32.0 | 100.0 | 492 |
| Far-western Terai | 7.0 | 50.3 | 0.2 | 42.5 | 100.0 | 341 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.5 | 38.8 | 0.9 | 53.7 | 100.0 | 6,742 |
| Primary | 18.4 | 50.3 | 3.2 | 28.1 | 100.0 | 925 |
| Some secondary | 38.7 | 43.5 | 4.9 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 531 |
| SLC and above | 60.1 | 28.4 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 231 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 30.0 | 49.1 | 4.0 | 16.9 | 100.0 | 1,764 |
| Illiterate | 6.3 | 37.7 | 1.0 | 54.9 | 100.0 | 6,665 |
| Total | 11.3 | 40.1 | 1.6 | 47.0 | 100.0 | 8,429 |

[^5]SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate
only 6 percent of illiterate women reported having heard a family planning message on both media, compared with 30 percent of women who are literate.

As part of a strong effort to inform women about family planning, the National Health Education, Information and Communication Centre had recently launched three radio programmes with technical assistance from the Johns Hopkins University/Population Communication Services (JHU/PCS) in Nepal. The NFHS asked women if they had heard these programmes on which family planning messages are broadcast. Table 4.18 shows that one of four women had heard the programmes Jana Swastha Karyakram and/or Shriman Shrimatie Pariwarbare Kurakani Gareko Chhoto Radio Natak and one of five had heard the programme and/or heard the song Ghanti heri haad nilaun. Urban women, women living in the Hills and in the Mid-western region (especially in the Western Hill and Central Hill sub-regions), women who had completed their SLC, and literate women were more likely than other women to be exposed to these three radio programmes.

## Acceptability of Radio and Television Broadcasts about Family Planning

In the NFHS, survey respondents were asked whether or not it was acceptable to them for information to be provided on radio or television about family planning methods. Table 4.19 shows that the vast majority of ever-married women ( 81 percent) indicated that it was acceptable to have information about family planning in the media. Sixteen percent of women reported that they were not sure whether such messages were acceptable and 3 percent reported that such messages were not acceptable. There were no substantial differences by women's age.

Rural women are less likely than urban women to approve of the dissemination of family planning information through the media. Similarly, women in the Terai are less likely to accept family planning messages through the media than women in the Mountains or Hills. Women in the Central development region are less likely to accept a family planning message on radio and television than women in the other regions. Only 58 percent of women in the Central Terai sub-region find family planning messages on the media acceptable, compared with over 75 percent of women in the other sub-regions.

Women with no education and illiterate women are less likely than other women to approve of the dissemination of family planning information through radio and television.

## Exposure to Family Planning Messages through Print Media

Respondents were asked if they had read a message about family planning in the print media in the few months prior to the survey. Table 4.20 presents the percentage of ever-married women who were exposed to family planning messages through the print media, according to background characteristics. Twenty-three percent of women reported that they had seen a message about family planning in any type of print media, while 22 percent saw a message in a poster. This indicates that posters play an important role in transmitting family planning information in Nepal.

Younger women (less than 35 years) are more likely to be exposed to print media than older women ( 40 years or older), as are urban women and women living in the Hills. Differences in print media exposure by development regions are not large; however, there are relatively large differences by sub-regions. For example, 39 percent of women from the Central Hill sub-region were exposed to family planning messages in the print media, compared with 10 percent of women from the Central Terai sub-region.

Table 4.18 Heard specific family planning shows on radio
Percentage of ever-married women who have heard specific radio shows about family planning in the month prior to the interview, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Jana swastha karyakram | Ghanti heri haad nilaun, the drama | Ghanti heri haad nilaun, the song | Shriman shrimatie' | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 23.5 | 19.8 | 18.8 | 24.7 | 982 |
| 20-24 | 28.1 | 23.3 | 23.8 | 28.8 | 1,626 |
| 25-29 | 25.7 | 20.3 | 19.9 | 27.2 | 1,594 |
| 30-34 | 25.3 | 22.2 | 20.5 | 26.9 | 1,361 |
| 35-39 | 23.9 | 21.8 | 21.3 | 24.4 | 1,119 |
| 40-44 | 22.0 | 20.1 | 20.6 | 22.0 | 923 |
| 45-49 | 21.1 | 19.6 | 19.5 | 21.5 | 824 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 42.6 | 31.1 | 26.7 | 43.1 | 712 |
| Rural | 23.1 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 24.1 | 7,717 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 19.7 | 20.6 | 22.7 | 23.3 | 569 |
| Hill | 32.6 | 29.4 | 28.7 | 35.2 | 3,600 |
| Terai | 18.8 | 14.4 | 13.9 | 17.9 | 4,259 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 27.3 | 23.8 | 23.6 | 27.5 | 1,941 |
| Central | 18.9 | 15.3 | 14.0 | 20.3 | 2,827 |
| Western | 28.0 | 26.1 | 23.2 | 27.4 | 1,647 |
| Mid-western | 31.2 | 27.0 | 29.7 | 33.2 | 1,195 |
| Far-western | 22.8 | 17.2 | 20.3 | 25.5 | 819 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 24.7 | 29.2 | 31.5 | 27.6 | 127 |
| Central Mountain | 18.9 | 20.6 | 15.8 | 23.5 | 195 |
| Western Mountain | 17.8 | 16.1 | 23.8 | 21.0 | 247 |
| Eastern Hill | 31.2 | 29.8 | 30.8 | 31.2 | 578 |
| Central Hill | 37.0 | 29.9 | 26.4 | 39.2 | 1,065 |
| Western Hill | 34.1 | 33.8 | 29.3 | 34.4 | 1,022 |
| Mid-western Hill | 29.5 | 27.2 | 34.0 | 35.6 | 595 |
| Far-western Hill | 22.5 | 17.9 | 21.2 | 31.5 | 340 |
| Eastern Terai | 25.8 | 20.5 | 19.5 | 25.7 | 1,236 |
| Central Terai | 6.6 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 1,566 |
| Western Terai | 18.1 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 15.9 | 625 |
| Mid-western | 35.8 | 28.5 | 26.8 | 33.2 | 492 |
| Far-western Terai | 25.8 | 17.9 | 16.6 | 21.0 | 341 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 19.7 | 17.6 | 17.9 | 20.5 | 6,742 |
| Primary | 35.6 | 30.3 | 27.8 | 37.6 | 925 |
| Some secondary | 52.9 | 42.6 | 38.7 | 52.7 | 531 |
| SLC and above | 65.2 | 42.0 | 38.2 | 67.0 | 231 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 48.0 | 39.0 | 35.2 | 49.7 | 1,764 |
| Illiterate | 18.6 | 16.5 | 17.0 | 19.3 | 6,665 |
| Total | 24.7 | 21.2 | 20.8 | 25.7 | 8,429 |

SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate
${ }^{1}$ Shriman Shrimatie Pariwarbare Kurakani Gareko Chhoto Radio Natak

## Table 4.19 Acceptability of media messages on family planning

Percent distribution of ever-married women by acceptability of messages about family planning on the radio and television, by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Acceptability of family planning messages on radio or television |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acceptable | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { accept- } \\ \text { able } \end{gathered}$ | Unsure | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 77.4 | 4.5 | 17.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 982 |
| 20-24 | 82.9 | 3.5 | 13.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,626 |
| 25-29 | 84.0 | 3.0 | 12.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,594 |
| 30-34 | 81.0 | 3.2 | 15.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,361 |
| 35-39 | 80.5 | 2.6 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,119 |
| 40-44 | 77.1 | 4.3 | 18.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 923 |
| 45-49 | 76.9 | 3.8 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 824 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 92.1 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 712 |
| Rural | 79.6 | 3.4 | 16.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 7,717 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 78.0 | 0.7 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 569 |
| Hill | 89.8 | 1.5 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3,600 |
| Terai | 73.2 | 5.5 | 21.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4,259 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 80.6 | 5.7 | 13.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,941 |
| Central | 70.5 | 3.6 | 25.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,827 |
| Western | 88.8 | 1.6 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,647 |
| Mid-western | 87.4 | 3.1 | 9.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,196 |
| Far-western | 89.4 | 1.7 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 819 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 86.4 | 0.3 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Central Mountain | 75.4 | 0.3 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 195 |
| Western Mountain | 75.7 | 1.2 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 247 |
| Eastern Hill | 87.0 | 2.9 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 578 |
| Central Hill | 87.4 | 0.9 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,065 |
| Western Hill | 92.8 | 0.2 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,022 |
| Mid-western Hill | 89.3 | 2.9 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 595 |
| Far-western Hill | 94.4 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 340 |
| Eastem Terai | 77.0 | 7.5 | 15.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,236 |
| Central Terai | 58.3 | 5.9 | 35.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,566 |
| Western Terai | 82.4 | 3.7 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 625 |
| Mid-western Terai | 90.2 | 3.5 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 492 |
| Far-western Terai | 86.4 | 2.1 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 341 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 77.6 | 3.8 | 18.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6,742 |
| Primary | 90.9 | 1.2 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 925 |
| Some secondary | 95.4 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 531 |
| SLC and above | 95.3 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 231 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 94.9 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,764 |
| Illiterate | 76.9 | 3.9 | 19.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6,665 |
| Total | 80.6 | 3.4 | 15.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8,429 |


| Table 4.20 Family planning messages in print |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of ever-married women who received a message about family planning through the print media in the few months prior to the interview, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of print media containing family planning message |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Any source | Newspaper/ magazine | Poster | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leaflet// } \\ & \text { brochure } \end{aligned}$ | of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 24.4 | 7.9 | 21.2 | 6.0 | 982 |
| 20-24 | 29.0 | 12.0 | 27.1 | 9.0 | 1,626 |
| 25-29 | 25.4 | 9.0 | 23.5 | 7.6 | 1,594 |
| 30-34 | 23.5 | 8.8 | 21.3 | 6.6 | 1,361 |
| 35-39 | 21.3 | 6.8 | 20.1 | 4.5 | 1,119 |
| 40-44 | 17.9 | 6.3 | 16.8 | 3.7 | 923 |
| 45-49 | 15.6 | 4.4 | 14.5 | 2.4 | 824 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 52.3 | 27.9 | 47.6 | 17.2 | 712 |
| Rural | 20.7 | 6.6 | 19.1 | 5.2 | 7,717 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 14.9 | 2.8 | 14.0 | 2.3 | 569 |
| Hill | 27.0 | 9.3 | 24.5 | 6.2 | 3,600 |
| Terai | 21.4 | 8.3 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 4,259 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 22.4 | 11.3 | 19.4 | 8.6 | 1,941 |
| Central | 21.5 | 7.0 | 20.3 | 5.0 | 2,827 |
| Western | 24.6 | 8.9 | 22.8 | 5.2 | 1,647 |
| Mid-western | 25.9 | 9.5 | 23.6 | 7.0 | 1,196 |
| Far-western | 25.7 | 3.8 | 24.9 | 5.2 | 819 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 11.7 | 7.1 | 8.8 | 5.8 | 127 |
| Central Mountain | 19.5 | 1.4 | 19.5 | 0.6 | 195 |
| Western Mountain | 12.9 | 1.7 | 12.4 | 1.7 | 247 |
| Eastern Hill | 15.7 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 6.9 | 578 |
| Central Hill | 38.6 | 12.4 | 36.6 | 8.4 | 1,065 |
| Western Hill | 27.8 | 7.9 | 25.7 | 4.9 | 1,022 |
| Mid-western Hill | 20.5 | 7.7 | 17.7 | 4.1 | 595 |
| Far-western Hill | 18.8 | 3.3 | 17.6 | 6.0 | 340 |
| Eastern Terai | 26.6 | 11.7 | 24.2 | 9.8 | 1,236 |
| Central Terai | 10.2 | 3.9 | 9.4 | 3.3 | 1,566 |
| Western Terai | 19.4 | 10.4 | 18.1 | 5.7 | 625 |
| Mid-western Terai | 37.1 | 13.7 | 34.9 | 11.7 | 492 |
| Far-western Terai | 35.4 | 4.7 | 35.0 | 5.6 | 341 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.4 | 2.7 | 14.5 | 1.8 | 6,742 |
| Primary | 41.3 | 15.7 | 37.5 | 11.8 | 925 |
| Some secondary | 64.9 | 39.9 | 57.3 | 29.7 | 531 |
| SLC and above | 88.7 | 71.2 | 80.1 | 57.4 | 231 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 57.2 | 31.0 | 51.4 | 24.3 | 1,764 |
| Illiterate | 14.4 | 2.4 | 13.6 | 1.4 | 6,665 |
| Total | 23.3 | 8.4 | 21.5 | 6.2 | 8,429 |

Educated and literate women are more likely to read family planning messages. For example, 89 percent of women with a SLC reported having received a family planning message through the print media compared with only 15 percent of women with no education. Similarly, 57 percent of literate women were exposed to family planning messages in the print media, compared with only 14 percent of illiterate women.

### 4.11 Family Planning Outreach Services

An important aspect of family planning outreach services is visits by family planning field staff to homes of nonusers to inform them about available methods and services and to provide information about family planning services at health facilities. Table 4.21 shows the percent distribution of currently married nonusers by whether they were visited by a family planning worker or spoke with a health facility staff member about family planning methods during the 12 months prior to the survey, according to selected background characteristics.

Six percent of nonusers were visited by a family planning worker, 3 percent also attended a health facility and 1 percent who attended a health facility spoke to a staff member about family planning. Thirty-three percent of nonusers were not visited by a family planning field worker but did attend a health facility; however, only 4 percent talked about family planning with a staff member. Three of five nonusers were neither visited by a family planning worker nor attended a health facility. Nine of ten nonusers were not visited by a family planning worker and either did not attend a health facility in the preceding 12 months or attended a facility but did not speak with a staff member about family planning methods.

The data indicate that there exists a large pool of nonusers who might be interested in using contraception but who are not being exposed to outreach services and, hence, access to information and services about family planning. Since the majority of nonusers had no contact with outreach family planning services there is little variation by background characteristics. The data suggest a need for greater effort on the part of family planning programmes in Nepal to reach nonusers.

### 4.12 Discussion of Family Planning with Husband

In the NFHS all currently married women who knew a contraceptive method were asked how often they discussed family planning with their husband in the past year. It is argued that frequency of discussion regarding family planning between husband and wife is a good indicator of acceptance of family planning methods. Table 4.22 shows that, according to the wife, 45 percent of couples in Nepal have discussed family planning at least once in the past year, whereas 55 percent never discussed family planning during this period. This is an increase since 1991, when only 30 percent of couples were found to have discussed family planning (Ministry of Health, 1993). Differentials in the proportion of couples who discussed family planning by current age indicate that very young women (age 15-19) and older women (age 40-49) are less likely to discuss family planning with their husband than women in the 20-39 year age-group.

### 4.13 Attitudes Toward Family Planning Use

In the NFHS, currently married, non-sterilized women who knew of a contraceptive method, were asked whether they approved or disapproved of family planning use and their perception about whether their husband

Table 4.21 Contact of non-users with family planning providers
Percent distribution of currently married nonusers by whether they were visited by a family planning worker or spoke with a health facility staff member about family planning methods during the 12 months prior to interview, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Visited by family planning worker |  |  | Not visited by family planning worker |  |  | Missing | No FP services or information provided | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Visited health facility |  | Did not visit health facility | Visited health facility |  | Did not visit health facility |  |  |  |  |
|  | Discussed FP | Did not discuss FP |  | Discussed FP | Did not discuss FP |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 27.2 | 67.4 | 0.0 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 902 |
| 20-24 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 35.8 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 89.9 | 100.0 | 1,350 |
| 25-29 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 30.5 | 55.6 | 0.1 | 86.1 | 100.0 | 1,081 |
| 30-34 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 29.6 | 58.5 | 0.2 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 788 |
| 35-39 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 5.1 | 25.7 | 63.3 | 0.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 | 596 |
| 40-44 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 21.6 | 69.8 | 0.0 | 91.4 | 100.0 | 491 |
| 45-49 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 19.8 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 501 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 7.8 | 40.7 | 48.7 | 0.0 | 89.4 | 100.0 | 333 |
| Rural | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 28.2 | 62.0 | 0.1 | 90.2 | 100.0 | 5,375 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 25.5 | 64.6 | 0.1 | 90.2 | 100.0 | 442 |
| Hill | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 30.3 | 61.1 | 0.0 | 91.4 | 100.0 | 2,369 |
| Terai | 1.5 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 28.2 | 60.9 | 0.1 | 89.1 | 100.0 | 2,897 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 2.1 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 28.4 | 59.9 | 0.2 | 88.3 | 100.0 | 1,266 |
| Central | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 27.8 | 64.4 | 0.0 | 92.2 | 100.0 | 1,848 |
| Westem | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 31.8 | 58.8 | 0.1 | 90.5 | 100.0 | 1,148 |
| Mid-western | 1.6 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 27.9 | 60.3 | 0.0 | 88.3 | 100.0 | 838 |
| Far-western | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 29.0 | 60.5 | 0.0 | 89.5 | 100.0 | 609 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 0.5 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 22.9 | 68.2 | 0.5 | 91.1 | 100.0 | 88 |
| Central Mountain | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 33.5 | 58.8 | 0.0 | 92.2 | 100.0 | 144 |
| Westem Mountain | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 21.2 | 67.2 | 0.0 | 88.4 | 100.0 | 210 |
| Eastern Hill | 2.2 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 22.2 | 66.8 | 0.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 | 403 |
| Central Hill | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 35.7 | 57.6 | 0.0 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 564 |
| Westem Hill | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 5.8 | 33.5 | 55.8 | 0.2 | 89.3 | 100.0 | 685 |
| Mid-westem Hill | 0.2 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 33.3 | 58.7 | 0.0 | 92.0 | 100.0 | 458 |
| Far-westem Hill | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 17.6 | 77.4 | 0.0 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 259 |
| Eastern Terai | 2.2 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 32.2 | 55.3 | 0.2 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 774 |
| Central Terai | 0.9 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 23.2 | 68.5 | 0.0 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 1,140 |
| Westem Terai | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 29.2 | 63.1 | 0.0 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 463 |
| Mid-western Terai | 3.6 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 23.7 | 56.0 | 0.0 | 79.7 | 100.0 | 284 |
| Far-western Terai | 1.4 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 42.8 | 44.2 | 0.0 | 87.0 | 100.0 | 237 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.2 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 26.0 | 64.6 | 0.1 | 90.6 | 100.0 | 4,671 |
| Primary | 1.7 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 37.0 | 51.1 | 0.1 | 88.0 | 100.0 | 620 |
| Some secondary | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 6.3 | 43.9 | 44.3 | 0.0 | 88.3 | 100.0 | 309 |
| SLC and above | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 9.7 | 62.2 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 86.2 | 100.0 | 109 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 5.6 | 42.3 | 45.9 | 0.1 | 88.2 | 100.0 | 1,039 |
| Illiterate | 1.1 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 25.9 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 90.6 | 100.0 | 4,669 |
| Total | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 28.9 | 61.3 | 0.1 | 90.1 | 100.0 | 5,708 |
| SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4.22 Discussion of family planning with husband |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married non-sterilized women who know a contraceptive method by the number of times family planning was discussed with husband in the year preceding the survey, according to current age, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of times family planning discussed with husband |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Never | Once or twice | More than twice | Missing | Total | of women |
| 15-19 | 64.5 | 29.4 | 5.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 930 |
| 20-24 | 48.6 | 40.0 | 11.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,512 |
| 25-29 | 44.1 | 42.1 | 13.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,278 |
| 30-34 | 46.5 | 41.2 | 12.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 970 |
| 35-39 | 54.7 | 35.2 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 712 |
| 40-44 | 67.8 | 26.1 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 554 |
| 45-49 | 84.1 | 14.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 506 |
| Total | 54.8 | 35.3 | 9.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6,462 |

approved or disapproved of family planning. Looking separately at the information for women and their husbands, nine of ten women say they approve of a couple using family planning and only 7 percent say they disapprove (Table 4.23). Most women feel that their husband also approves of family planning: 70 percent of women report that their husband approves of family planning, while 15 percent say their husband disapproves. Combining the information on women's attitudes and their perceptions of their husband's attitudes, Table 4.23 shows that there is general agreement among Nepalese couples about the use of family planning. According to women, both husband and wife approve of using contraception in seven of ten couples and both disapprove in less than 5 percent of couples.

In general, the proportion of couples approving family planning decreases with age after age 20. Urban couples are slightly more likely to approve of family planning than rural couples, as are couples residing in the Hills. Couples from the Mid-western Terai sub-region are most likely to approve of family planning compared with couples living in the Central Terai sub-region.

As expected, approval by couples is higher among the more educated women. Similarly, literate women are more likely to say that both they and their husband approve of family planning than illiterate women.

## Table 4.23 Wives' and husbands' attitudes toward family planning

Percent distribution of currently married non-sterilized women who know of a contraceptive method by wife's attitude toward family planning and wife's perception of her husband's attitude toward family planning, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Both approve | Wife approves |  | Wife disapproves |  | Both disapprove | Other ${ }^{\prime}$ | Total | Wife approves | Husband approves ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Husband disapproves | Husband's attitude unknown | Husband approves | Husband's attitude unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 63.2 | 6.5 | 21.7 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 91.5 | 63.8 | 930 |
| 20-24 | 76.3 | 7.1 | 9.9 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 93.2 | 77.0 | 1,512 |
| 25-29 | 76.3 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 92.9 | 77.7 | 1,278 |
| 30-34 | 69.5 | 12.8 | 6.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 88.6 | 70.6 | 970 |
| 35-39 | 65.2 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 89.8 | 66.6 | 712 |
| 40-44 | 60.4 | 13.6 | 9.6 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 83.7 | 61.9 | 554 |
| 45-49 | 50.4 | 15.2 | 15.8 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 81.4 | 52.4 | 506 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 77.3 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 94.9 | 78.0 | 512 |
| Rural | 68.0 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 89.7 | 69.2 | 5,949 |
| Ecological Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 64.5 | 8.3 | 15.6 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 88.4 | 66.1 | 473 |
| Hill | 72.7 | 8.4 | 12.6 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 93.7 | 73.5 | 2,769 |
| Terai | 66.0 | 12.0 | 9.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 7.5 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 87.2 | 67.4 | 3,219 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 68.0 | 8.0 | 9.9 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 85.9 | 69.7 | 1,486 |
| Central | 64.4 | 12.5 | 11.2 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 88.2 | 66.1 | 2,119 |
| Western | 71.2 | 11.9 | 9.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 92.8 | 71.7 | 1,250 |
| Mid-western | 74.2 | 6.6 | 13.4 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 94.2 | 74.7 | 958 |
| Far-western | 72.0 | 9.5 | 13.2 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 94.8 | 72.6 | 649 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ntinued |


| Table $4.23=$ Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wife approves |  | Wife disapproves |  | Both disapprove | Other ${ }^{\prime}$ | Total | Wife approves | Husband approves ${ }^{2}$ | Number of <br> women |
| Background characteristic | Both approve | Husband disapproves | Husband's atlitude unknown | Husband approves | Husband's attitude unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 65.6 | 7.6 | 15.6 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 88.8 | 67.6 | 103 |
| Central Mountain | 60.4 | 11.6 | 14.2 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 10.1 | 100.0 | 86.2 | 62.7 | 150 |
| Western Mountain | 66.7 | 6.4 | 16.7 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 89.7 | 67.8 | 220 |
| Eastem Hill | 64.6 | 7.5 | 14.5 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 86.5 | 66.9 | 474 |
| Central Hild | 76.0 | 8.3 | 9.4 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 93.6 | 77.0 | 778 |
| Westem Hill | 74.4 | 9.3 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 95.7 | 74.6 | 749 |
| Mid-western Hill | 72.1 | 6.6 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 96.5 | 72.1 | 501 |
| Far-westem Hill | 73.9 | 11.0 | 11.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 74.8 | 268 |
| Eastem Terai | 70.0 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 8.0 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 85.3 | 71.4 | 909 |
| Central Terai | 57.4 | 15.4 | 12.0 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 9.3 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 84.9 | 59.4 | 1,191 |
| Western Terai | 66.4 | 15.8 | 6.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 8.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 88.4 | 67.3 | 501 |
| Mid-westem Terai | 80.7 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 94.2 | 81.2 | 360 |
| Far-westem Terai | 70.4 | 10.3 | 12.1 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 92.8 | 71.0 | 259 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 64.9 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 88.4 | 66.2 | 5,110 |
| Primary | 81.1 | 5.9 | 9.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 96.7 | 81.7 | 727 |
| Some secondary | 84.2 | 4.0 | 7.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 95.5 | 85.6 | 422 |
| SLC and above | 89.6 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 90.1 | 203 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 84.9 | 4.5 | 7.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 85.6 | 1,369 |
| Illiterate | 64.4 | 11.7 | 12.1 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 88.2 | 65.7 | 5,092 |
| Total | 68.8 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 90.1 | 69.9 | 6,462 |

SLC = School Leaving Certificate
${ }_{2}^{1}$ Includes 6 women for whom information was missing
${ }^{2}$ Includes cases in which the wife is unsure about her own attitude, but knows her husband's.

## CHAPTER 5

## OTHER PROXIMATE DETERMINANTS OF FERTILITY

This chapter focuses on the principal factors, other than contraception, that affect a woman's risk of becoming pregnant and thus help to determine fertility in Nepal. These include: nuptiality and sexual intercourse, postpartum amenorrhoea and abstinence from sexual relations, and termination of exposure to pregnancy. In many societies, marriage signals the onset of a woman's exposure to the risk of childbearing; postpartum amenorrhoea and sexual abstinence affect the intervals between births; and the onset of menopause marks the end of a woman's reproductive life. These factors determine the length and pace of reproductive activity and are, therefore, important for understanding fertility.

The Individual Questionnaire in the Nepal Family Health Survey (NFHS), which was administered to all ever-married women, included questions on the proximate determinants of fertility. In this chapter, a number of tables which examine proximate determinants are based on all women, that is, they include both ever-married and never-married women. In constructing these tables, the denominators have been expanded to represent all women by multiplying the number of ever-married women by an inflation factor equal to the ratio of all women to ever-married women reported in the Household Questionnaire. The inflation factors are calculated by single year of age, either for the population as a whole or, in cases where the results are presented by background characteristics, separately for each category of the characteristic in question.

### 5.1 Current Marital Status

The distribution of all women age $15-49$ according to their marital status ${ }^{1}$ is shown in Table 5.1. The data indicate that 17 percent of women of reproductive age in Nepal have never married, 79 percent are currently married, 3 percent are widowed, 2 percent are no longer living with their husband, and less than 1 percent are divorced. Marriage is almost universal in Nepal. The proportion never married declines sharply with increasing age from 56 percent of women in the age group 15-19 to less than 5 percent of women age 25-29. Further evidence of the universality of marriage is seen among women age 30 and over, 98 percent or more of whom have been married.

Widowhood is the leading cause of marital disruption, followed by marital separation. The proportion widowed increases steadily with age, from less than 1 percent among women under age 30 to 12 percent among women age 45-49. Marital separation also rises with age from less than 1 percent among those less than 25 years old to more than 3 percent among women age 45-49 (Table 5.1).

Data from the national censuses and the NFHS show that the proportion of never-married women below age 25 has increased gradually over time (Table 5.2 and Figure 5.1). Note that the census data is in reference to formal marriage, whereas the 1996 NFHS refers to effective marriage (living with husband). Except for the year

[^6]Table 5.1 Current marital status
Percent distribution of women by current marital status, according to age, Nepal 1996

| Age | Never married | Marital status |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Married | Widowed | Divorced | Separated |  |  |
| 15-19 | 56.0 | 43.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 2,229 |
| 20-24 | 14.8 | 84.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,909 |
| 25-29 | 4.6 | 93.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,671 |
| 30.34 | 1.9 | 93.1 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1,387 |
| 35-39 | 1.5 | 91.7 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 1,136 |
| 40-44 | 1.1 | 88.7 | 7.5 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 933 |
| 45-49 | 1.4 | 83.1 | 12.0 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 836 |
| Total | 16.6 | 79.0 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 10,101 |

1981, a steady increase is observed across all age groups in the proportion of women who never married over the period 1961-1996. ${ }^{2}$

### 5.2 Polygyny

Marital unions are predominantly of two types, those that are monogamous and those that are polygynous. The distinction has social significance and possible fertility implications, although the relationship between union type and fertility is complex and not well understood. In this survey, the extent of polygyny in Nepal was measured by asking all currently married respondents the question: "Besides yourself, how many other wives does your husband have?" The proportion of currently married women in a polygynous union is shown in Table 5.3 , according to age group and selected background characteristics. Overall, 6 percent of currently married women in Nepal are in a polygynous union. Older women are more likely to be in a polygynous union than younger women. Also, polygyny is higher among rural women than urban women. There are only small regional differences in polygyny.

There is a weak inverse relationship between female education and polygyny-the proportion of married women in a polygynous union is 6 percent among uneducated women compared with 4 percent among women who have at least a secondary education.

Table.5.2 Never-married women
Percentage of women who have never married, by age group, Nepal 1961-1996

| Age group | 1961 | 1971 | 1981 | 1991 | 1996 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15-19$ | 25.7 | 39.3 | 49.2 | 52.7 | 56.0 |
| $20-24$ | 5.3 | 7.9 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 14.8 |
| $25-29$ | 1.9 | 2.6 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 4.6 |
| $30-35$ | 1.0 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| $35-39$ | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| $40-44$ | 0.7 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| $45-49$ | 0.6 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 1.4 |

Source: Data for 1961-1991 is from the Central Bureau of Statistics, 1995:173.

[^7]

### 5.3 Age at First Marriage

First marriage marks the point in a woman's life at which childbearing becomes socially acceptable. Women who marry early will have, on average, longer exposure to the chance of becoming pregnant and, therefore, early age at first marriage often implies early age at childbearing and higher fertility for a society. Information on age at first marriage was obtained by asking all respondents the month and year, or age, when they started living together with their first husband.

The median age at first marriage in Nepal has risen slowly over the last 25 years or so (Table 5.4), from 15.5 years among the cohort of women currently age 45-49 to 17.1 years among the cohort of women age 20-24 (representing more recent marital patterns). This trend towards later marriage is supported by data showing that the proportion of women married by age 15 has declined from 41 percent among women age $45-49$ to 14 percent among those currently age 15-19 years. Overall, 82 percent of Nepalese women age 20-49 are married by age 20 and 93 percent by age 25 .

Table 5.5 examines the median age at marriage for women age $20-49$ by selected background characteristics. The median age at first marriage observed for women age 20-49 is 16.4 years. The urban-rural differential in age at marriage is just over onc ycar; however, this difference is much more pronounced among younger women than older women. Rural women age $20-24$ married on average at age 17 , whilst their urban counterparts married 2.4 years later. Women in the Terai ecological region marry earlier than women in the Hill and Mountain regions. Among the development regions, the Eastern region has the latest median age at marriage ( 17.3 years) and the Far-western region has the earliest ( 15.2 years).

There is a strong relationship between female education and age at first marriage. The median age at first marriage for women with no formal education is 16.0 years compared with 16.9 years for those with primary education and 19.8 years for women with secondary education.

Table 5.3 Polygyny
Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 in a polygynous union, by age and selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996


## Table 5,4 Age at first marriage

Percentage of women age $15-49$ who were first married by exact age $15,18,20,22$, and 25 , and median age at first marriage, according to current age, Nepal 1996

| Current age | Percentage of women who were first maried by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percent never married | Number of women | Median age at first marriage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 56.0 | 2,229 | a |
| 20-24 | 19.1 | 60.3 | 75.7 | NA | NA | 14.8 | 1,909 | 17.1 |
| 25-29 | 25.2 | 67.7 | 81.6 | 89.7 | 94.2 | 4.6 | 1,671 | 16.5 |
| 30-34 | 29.3 | 69.0 | 83.8 | 90.7 | 95.6 | 1.9 | 1,387 | 16.4 |
| 35-39 | 30.3 | 72.1 | 85.2 | 91.4 | 95.4 | 1.5 | 1,136 | 16.2 |
| 40-44 | 36.5 | 75.0 | 87.1 | 93.0 | 96.9 | 1.1 | 933 | 15.8 |
| 45-49 | 40.7 | 78.8 | 88.1 | 93.4 | 96.4 | 1.4 | 836 | 15.5 |
| 20-49 | 28.2 | 68.8 | 82.4 | 89.4 | 93.0 | 5.4 | 7,872 | 16.4 |
| 25-49 | 31.1 | 71.5 | 84.6 | 91.3 | 95.5 | 2.4 | 5,963 | 16.2 |

NA = Not applicable
Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group were first married by age 15.

Table 5.5 Median age at first marriage
Median age at first marriage among women age 20-49 years, by current age and selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { age } \\ 20-49 \end{gathered}$ | Women age 25-49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.4 | 18.0 | 17.1 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 16.0 | 17.7 | 17.2 |
| Rural | 17.0 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 15.5 | 16.3 | 16.1 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 17.3 | 17.1 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.8 | 16.6 |
| Hill | 18.0 | 17.3 | 17.1 | 16.9 | 16.4 | 15.9 | 17.1 | 16.8 |
| Terai | 16.4 | 15.9 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 15.3 | 15.1 | 15.8 | 15.7 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 18.7 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 17.3 | 16.9 |
| Central | 16.6 | 16.2 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 15.5 | 15.2 | 16.0 | 15.9 |
| Western | 17.7 | 17.1 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 15.9 | 16.8 | 16.5 |
| Mid-western | 16.8 | 16.0 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 15.6 | 16.2 | 16.0 |
| Far-western | 15.9 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 14.4 | 15.2 | 15.0 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 16.4 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 15.8 | 15.5 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| Primary | 17.3 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 16.8 | 15.4 | 16.2 | 16.9 | 16.6 |
| Secondary ${ }^{+}$ | a | 19.8 | 19.1 | 18.7 | 18.5 | 17.0 | 19.8 | 19.4 |
| Total | 17.1 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 15.5 | 16.4 | 16.2 |

Note: The median for women 15-19 could not be determined because some women may still get martied before reaching age 20.

Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group were first married by age 20.

### 5.4 Recent Sexual Activity

In the absence of effective contraception, the probability of becoming pregnant is related to the frequency of intercourse. Information on sexual activity, therefore, can be used to refine measures of exposure to pregnancy. In the 1996 NFHS, women were asked how long ago their last sexual activity occurred. ${ }^{3}$ Even though most women were embarrased to answer this question at first, field observations showed that with some probing most women did answer the question and for the most part they were honest with their answers. Table 5.6 shows the distribution of women by reported sexual activity, according to their background characteristics.

Overall, 68 percent of married women were sexually active in the last four weeks. The remaining non-active women were largely comprised of those either practising short-term (less than 2 years) postpartum abstinence (7 percent) or those not postpartum but still abstaining for the short-term ( 21 percent).

The relationship between sexual activity and age follows a bell-shaped pattem with a peak at ages 30-34 and lower percentages at the youngest and oldest ages. A similar pattern is observed with regard to marital duration, with a peak at 15-19 years of marriage. Three of 4 urban women were sexually active in the last 4 weeks compared with 2 of 3 rural women.

[^8]
## Table 5.6 Recent sexual activity

Percent distribution of currently married women by sexual activity in the four weeks preceding the survey, and among those not sexually active, the length of time they have been abstaining and whether postpartum or not postpartum, according to selected background characteristics and contraceptive method currently used, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic/ contraceptive method | Sexually active in last four weeks | Not sexually active in last four weeks |  |  |  | Missing | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Abstaining (postpartum) |  | Abstaining(not postpartum) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0-1 years | 2+ years | $0-1$ years | 2+ years |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 63.4 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 22.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 965 |
| 20-24 | 66.5 | 11.7 | 0.4 | 19.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,602 |
| 25-29 | 72.7 | 8.8 | 0.1 | 16.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,560 |
| 30-34 | 73.2 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 18.2 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,292 |
| 35-39 | 70.3 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 22.8 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,042 |
| 40-44 | 66.0 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 26.6 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 828 |
| 45-49 | 58.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 29.8 | 9.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 695 |
| Duration of union (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 65.3 | 10.7 | 0.3 | 20.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,586 |
| 5.9 | 67.1 | 10.1 | 0.3 | 20.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,569 |
| 10-14 | 72.8 | 8.7 | 0.1 | 16.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,434 |
| 15-19 | 73.8 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 19.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,146 |
| 20-24 | 71.6 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 21.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 932 |
| 25-29 | 63.5 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 27.6 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 724 |
| $30+$ | 56.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 32.2 | 9.0 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 592 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 67.4 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 21.4 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 7,314 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 67.4 | 8.1 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hill Terai | 69.3 | 7.0 | 0.3 0.2 | 20.2 21.9 | 2.2 | 0.6 1.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 3,363 4,082 |
| Terai | 67.3 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 21.9 | 2.2 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 69.6 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 21.0 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,829 |
| Central | 69.3 | 6.9 | 0.2 | 19.7 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 2,677 |
| Western | 64.7 | 8.0 | 0.4 | 23.5 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,561 |
| Mid-western | 70.5 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 20.4 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,146 |
| Far-western | 64.0 | 8.2 | 0.5 | 22.1 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 769 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 77.2 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 14.5 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 120 |
| Central Mountain | 64.7 | 8.9 | 0.6 | 20.6 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 183 |
| Western Mountain | 64.5 | 9.3 | 0.3 | 23.3 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 236 |
| Eastern Hill | 74.9 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 18.5 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 538 |
| Central Hill | 75.5 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 15.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 993 |
| Westem Hill | 63.0 | 9.6 | 0.5 | 24.4 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 952 |
| Mid-westem Hill | 70.3 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 21.5 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 567 |
| Far-western Hill | 56.9 | 9.0 | 0.7 | 25.2 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Eastern Terai | 66.3 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 22.9 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,171 1 |
| Central Terai | 65.7 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 22.7 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1,502 |
| Western Terai | 67.3 | 5.6 | 0.2 | 22.3 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 609 |
| Mid-western Terai | 72.1 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 18.3 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 476 |
| Far-western Terai | 70.7 | 6.6 | 0.4 | 18.9 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 324 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 67.8 | 6.8 | 0.3 | 21.6 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 6,347 |
| Primary | 67.9 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 896 |
| Some secondary | 69.3 | 8.4 | 0.2 | 20.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 513 |
| SLC and above | 75.6 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 227 |


| Table 5.6-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note: Total includes 21 users of IUD and 32 currently married women who reported never having had sex.
SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate

There is little variation in sexual activity by ecological region, but when looking at development regions some differentials emerge. Women residing in the Far-western region were least likely to be sexually active in the four weeks before the survey and women residing in the Mid-western region most likely. Sexual activity in the past four weeks ranges from a low of 57 percent among women living in the Far-western Hill sub-region to a high of 77 percent among women living in the Eastern Mountain sub-region.

Sexual activity varies positively with education and ranges from a high of 76 percent among women with a SLC to a low of 68 percent among women with primary education or no education.

The NFHS data show that current contraceptive use is related to sexual activity, with users more likely than nonusers to have had recent sex (Table 5.6).

The practice of postpartum abstinence is closely related to age, marital duration, and urban-rural residence. Younger women and those married for a shorter period of time are more likely than older, long-married women to be sexually non-active because of postpartum abstinence. Rural women are about twice as likely to be postpartum abstaining as their urban counterparts. These correlations are due in large part to the greater likelihood that these women have recently had a child.

### 5.5 Postpartum Amenorrhoea, Abstinence and Insusceptibility

Postpartum amenorrhoea refers to the interval between childbirth and the return of menstruation. During this period, the risk of pregnancy is much reduced. The length of protection from conception following childbirth depends on the duration and intensity of breastfeeding (which plays a role in the delayed resumption of menstruation following birth) and the length of time before sexual intercourse is resumed. Women who gave birth during the three years prior to the survey were asked about the duration of postpartum amenorrhoea and sexual abstinence. Women are considered insusceptible if they are not exposed to the risk of pregnancy, either because they are amenorrhoeic or are still abstaining from sex following a birth.

| Table 5.7 Postpartum amenorrhoea, abstinence, and insusceptibility |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of births in the three years preceding the survey for which mothers are postpartum amenorthocic, abstaining, and insusceptible, by number of months since birt, and median and mean durations, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |
| Months since birth | Amenorrhoeic | Abstaining | Insusceptible | Number of births |
| $<2$ | 98.5 | 90.9 | 99.4 | 180 |
| 2-3 | 86.1 | 54.0 | 92.3 | 278 |
| 4-5 | 80.0 | 31.8 | 84.1 | 258 |
| 6.7 | 70.8 | 20.4 | 75.0 | 250 |
| 8-9 | 61.1 | 14.1 | 64.1 | 216 |
| 10-11 | 49.4 | 12.9 | 55.8 | 249 |
| 12-13 | 39.3 | 8.0 | 43.2 | 277 |
| 14-15 | 32.9 | 7.4 | 36.9 | 260 |
| 16-17 | 27.8 | 5.2 | 30.2 | 247 |
| 18-19 | 17.9 | 2.7 | 18.6 | 241 |
| 20-21 | 13.8 | 5.5 | 17.0 | 230 |
| 22-23 | 11.9 | 4.1 | 14.5 | 229 |
| 24-25 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 257 |
| 26-27 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 8.1 | 250 |
| 28-29 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 5.7 | 248 |
| 30-31 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 201 |
| 32-33 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 228 |
| 34-35 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 245 |
| Total | 34.0 | 14.1 | 36.8 | 4,343 |
| Median | 10.3 | 3.0 | 11.3 | - |
| Mean | 12.5 | 5.6 | 13.5 | - |
| Prevalence/Incidence mean ${ }^{1}$ | 12.1 | 5.0 | 3.1 | - |
| ${ }^{\text {Th }}$ The prevalence-incidence mean is borrowed from epidemiology and is defined as the number of children whose mothers are amenorthoeic (prevalence) divided by the average number of births per month (incidence). |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.7 presents the percentage of births in the last three years for which mothers are postpartum amenorrhoeic, abstaining, and insusceptible by the number of months since the birth. The period of postpartum amenorrhoea is considerably longer than the period of postpartum abstinence and is, therefore, a principal determinant of the length of postpartum insusceptibility to pregnancy in Nepal. The median duration of amenorrhoea is 10 months, the duration of abstinence is 3 months, and the period of insusceptibility is 11 months. Virtually all women are insusceptible to pregnancy within the first two months following a birth and both amenorrhoea and abstinence are important factors in their insusceptibility. However, starting from the second month after birth, the contribution of abstinence to the insusceptible period is greatly reduced as more women resume sexual relations. At 10-11 months after birth, about one-half of women are still amenorrhoeic while only 13 percent are still abstaining. By $18-19$ months postpartum, less than 1 in 5 women are insusceptible ( 19 percent), largely because of amenorrhoea ( 18 percent). Only 3 percent of postpartum women are still abstaining at durations of 18-19 months.

Table 5.8 shows the median duration of postpartum amenorrhoea, abstinence, and insusceptibility by selected background characteristics. Postpartum insusceptibility varies by age of the mother, with older mothers ( 30 or more years) insusceptible and amenorrhoeic for almost 3 months longer than younger women (less than 30).

Rural women and less educated women have longer periods of amenorrhoea, sexual abstinence, and insusceptibility than more educated women and urban women. Also, there are notable regional differentials in the period of postpartum insusceptibility, with women residing in the Mountains most likely to be insusceptible. Postpartum insusceptibility ranges from a low of 10 months in the Eastern development region to about 14 months in the Mid-western and Far-western regions.


Note: Medians are based on current status. Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 cases.

### 5.6 Termination of Exposure to Pregnancy

The chance of becoming pregnant declines with age after age 30, as increasing proportions of women become infecund or subfecund. Although the onset of infecundity is difficult to determine for an individual woman, there are ways of estimating it for a population. Table 5.9 presents data on two indicators of decreasing exposure to the risk of pregnancy for women age 30 years and over: menopause and long-term abstinence.

For analytical purposes, a woman is considered menopausal if she is neither pregnant nor postpartum amenorrhoeic and has not had a menstrual period in the six months preceding the survey or if she reports herself as being menopausal. The table shows that the proportion of women who have reached menopause increases steadily with age, from 4 percent of women age $30-34$, to 21 percent of women age $42-43$, and to 61 percent of women age 48-49.

The percentage practising long-term abstinence refers to the proportion of currently married women who did not have sexual intercourse in the three years preceding the survey. The proportion of currently married women who have not had sexual intercourse for the last three years is 2 percent or less until age 44, after which long-term abstinence rises to a peak of 9 percent among women age 48-49.

## Table 5.9 Termination of exposure to the risk of pregnancy

Indicators of menopause and long-term abstinence among currently married women age $30-49$, by age, Nepal 1996

|  | Menopause $^{1}$ |  |  | Long-term <br> abstinence ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | Percent | Number |  | Percent | Number |
| $30-34$ | 3.7 | 941 |  | 1.0 | 1,292 |
| $35-39$ | 13.7 | 855 |  | 1.5 | 1,042 |
| $40-41$ | 20.7 | 338 |  | 2.2 | 387 |
| $42-43$ | 29.0 | 307 |  | 2.1 | 309 |
| $44-45$ | 43.9 | 256 |  | 5.0 | 324 |
| $46-47$ | 61.2 | 238 |  | 8.7 | 243 |
| $48-49$ | 16.6 | 3,231 |  | 2.5 | 3,856 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Percentage of non-pregnant, non-amenorrhoeic currently married women whose last menstrual period occurred six or more months preceding the survey or who report that they are menopausal. ${ }^{2}$ Percentage of currently married women who did not have intercourse in the three years preceding the survey.

## CHAPTER 6

## FERTILITY PREFERENCES

Fertility preferences have become an important topic of research especially in developing countries. Information on fertility preferences is useful as an indicator of general attitudes towards childbearing and the possible future course of fertility. Moreover, data on fertility preferences are also useful for assessing the unmet need for family planning and the number of unwanted or mistimed births in the population. Several questions were asked in the NFHS concerning women's fertility preferences. Although the interpretation of fertility preferences has been the subject of controversy, the results obtained from these questions are important for assessing the extent to which unwanted or mistimed pregnancies occur and the effect on fertility of prevention of such pregnancies.

### 6.1 Desire for More Children

In the NFHS, currently married non-pregnant women were asked whether they wanted to have another child and, if so, how soon. Currently married pregnant women were asked if they wanted another child after the one they were expecting and, if so, how long they would like to wait from the birth of the child they were expecting until the birth of the next child.

Table 6.1 shows the percent distribution of currently married women by desire for another child, according to the number of living children. Forty-one percent of currently married Nepalese women age 15-49 say they want no more children, and an additional 23 percent either have been sterilized or say that they cannot have any more children (see Figure 6.1). Thirty-five percent of married women want to have a child at some time

Table 6.1 Fertility preferences by number of living children
Percent distribution of currently married women by desire for more children, according to number of living children, Nepal 1996

| Desire for more children | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| Want another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 58.7 | 19.9 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 12.5 |
| Want another later ${ }^{3}$ | 25.3 | 59.1 | 24.6 | 12.6 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 20.6 |
| Want another, undecided when | 5.7 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.7 |
| Undecided | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Want no more | 1.1 | 11.1 | 44.9 | 48.2 | 56.1 | 62.0 | 68.1 | 41.3 |
| Sterilized | 0.7 | 1.9 | 14.5 | 27.4 | 30.5 | 26.5 | 17.6 | 17.5 |
| Declared infecund | 7.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 11.7 | 5.0 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 811 | 1,286 | 1,557 | 1,576 | 1,208 | 740 | 805 | 7,982 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{3}^{2}$ Want next birth within two years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Want to delay next birth for tw | or more | years |  |  |  |  |  |  |

in the future; 21 percent say they would like to have another child after two years, while 13 percent say they would like to have another soon (within two years). Only 1 percent are undecided about whether they want another child. Thus, the vast majority of women want to either space their next birth or limit childbearing altogether. These women can be considered to be potentially in need of family planning services.

Desire for additional children is expected to drop progressively as the number of living children increases. This pattern is observed in Table 6.1. Fifty-nine percent of married women with no children want to have a child soon, compared with less than 2 percent of women with five children and less than 1 percent of women with six or more children. Conversely, the percentage of women who want no more children or who are sterilized rises from 2 percent for women with no children to 86 percent for those with six or more children.


Table 6.2 shows the percent distribution of currently married women by desire for more children according to the current age. The data show that the proportion of women who want no more children increases with age, reaching a maximum for women in their late thirties before declining. Seven percent of married women age 15-19 want no more children or have been sterilized, compared with 86 percent of women age $35-39$ and 63 percent of women age 45-49. In contrast, the proportion of women who want to have another child later declines with age, as does the proportion of women who want the next birth soon. A substantial proportion of women under 30 years of age want to delay their next birth, indicating considerable potential demand for temporary methods of family planning for spacing.

The proportion of women who want no more children is the most important measure of fertility preference. Table 6.3 shows the percentage of currently married women who want no more children by number of living children and selected background characteristics. It is well documented that urban women begin to want to limit family size at lower parities than rural women. Table 6.3 shows that 83 percent of urban women with two children say that they do not want another child, compared with only 56 percent of rural women (Figure 6.2).

| Table 6.2 Fertility oreferences by age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women by desire for more children, according to age, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ef won |  |  |  |  |
| Desire for children | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | Total |
| Want another soon ${ }^{1}$ | 30.7 | 18.4 | 12.0 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 12.5 |
| Want another later ${ }^{2}$ | 56.1 | 40.3 | 20.8 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 20.6 |
| Want another, undecided when | 3.8 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.7 |
| Undecided | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| Want no more | 6.9 | 32.5 | 46.3 | 55.0 | 55.9 | 51.4 | 38.4 | 41.3 |
| Sterilized | 0.5 | 4.3 | 17.0 | 23.7 | 30.2 | 31.6 | 24.8 | 17.5 |
| Declared infecund | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 12.2 | 33.8 | 5.0 |
| Missing | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 965 | 1,602 | 1,560 | 1,292 | 1,042 | 828 | 695 | 7,982 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Want next birth within two years <br> ${ }^{2}$ Want to delay next birth for two or more years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

However, identical proportions want to stop childbearing among both urban and rural women with six or more children.

Sixty-two percent of women residing in the Hill region want to have no more children compared with 54 percent and 57 percent, respectively, for women living in the Mountain and Terai regions, which suggests that women from the Mountain and Terai regions are more pronatalist than women from the Hill region. Among development regions, the percentage of women who want no more children ranges from 50 percent in the Farwestem region to 64 percent in the Westem region.

A positive association between the educational level and the proportion wanting no more children is clearly evident for married women with up to four children. For example, among women with two children, 53 percent of those with no education want to stop childbearing, compared with 84 percent of those with at least some secondary education. Among women who have five or more children, the relationship between educational level and desire to stop childbearing is less clear. Within each category of number of living children, literacy is associated with a higher percentage of women who want to have no more children. For example, among women with two children, 52 percent of illiterate women want to stop childbearing, compared with 78 percent of literate women. However, overall, illiterate women are slightly more likely than literate women to want no more children ( 59 versus 57 percent) because the majority of women are illiterate and concentrated in the older age groups and are more likely to have reached their desired number.

### 6.2 Need for Family Planning Services

Fecund women who are currently married and who say either they do not want any more children or that they want to wait two or more years before having another child, but are not using contraception, are considered

| Table 6.3 Desire to limit child bearing by backeround characteristics <br> Percentage of currently married women who want no more children, by number of living children and selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ | Total |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.0 | 24.1 | 82.6 | 90.3 | 94.0 | 93.5 | 86.3 | 70.7 |
| Rural | 1.5 | 11.8 | 56.3 | 74.3 | 86.0 | 88.1 | 85.7 | 57.7 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 0.9 | 10.2 | 52.2 | 71.0 | 83.5 | 85.4 | 84.9 | 53.6 |
| Hill | 2.0 | 16.5 | 65.0 | 79.1 | 85.7 | 88.3 | 85.4 | 61.5 |
| Terai | 1.6 | 10.7 | 55.6 | 73.3 | 87.9 | 89.0 | 86.1 | 57.2 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 1.9 | 11.9 | 60.9 | 78.7 | 88.6 | 92.5 | 89.1 | 60.7 |
| Central | 2.2 | 13.3 | 59.7 | 73.4 | 90.3 | 90.0 | 87.0 | 58.4 |
| Western | 2.8 | 19.7 | 69.3 | 82.9 | 87.5 | 87.1 | 79.4 | 63.6 |
| Mid-western | 0.0 | 9.6 | 53.0 | 71.1 | 79.6 | 85.0 | 89.3 | 55.4 |
| Far-western | 0.5 | 6.9 | 38.3 | 67.6 | 75.9 | 83.8 | 81.5 | 50.4 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | (0.0) | 2.0 | (60.4) | 80.0 | (86.4) | * | (81.4) | 57.6 |
| Central Mountain | (2.9) | 17.5 | 69.8 | (71.7) | 87.9 | (90.9) | (94.1) | 61.7 |
| Western Mountain | (0.0) | 8.9 | 31.7 | 64.2 | 78.5 | (78.9) | (80.5) | 45.3 |
| Eastern Hill | (0.0) | 15.5 | 52.1 | 74.4 | 82.4 | 94.3 | 94.1 | 61.0 |
| Central Hill | 5.4 | 19.5 | 75.6 | 84.3 | 90.1 | 89.4 | 82.2 | 66.0 |
| Westem Hill | 2.7 | 20.8 | 74.1 | 88.4 | 89.5 | 90.5 | 81.1 | 67.0 |
| Mid-western Hill | 0.0 | 12.1 | 51.9 | 65.9 | 79.8 | 81.8 | 86.9 | 54.0 |
| Far-western Hill | 0.0 | 3.0 | 28.8 | 64.8 | (71.4) | (83.3) | (84.2) | 44.8 |
| Eastern Terai | 2.9 | 11.5 | 64.9 | 80.2 | 91.6 | 91.6 | 86.2 | 60.9 |
| Central Terai | 0.8 | 8.3 | 46.7 | 66.3 | 90.8 | 90.2 | 89.4 | 53.0 |
| Western Terai | 3.0 | 17.9 | 61.0 | 72.6 | 84.7 | 82.7 | 76.5 | 58.2 |
| Mid-western Terai | (.0.0) | 7.4 | 61.4 | 78.2 | 80.3 | 90.5 | 91.9 | 60.8 |
| Far-western Terai | (1.4) | 9.5 | 42.7 | 70.1 | 77.1 | 86.2 | 82.4 | 55.9 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.7 | 12.0 | 52.7 | 72.9 | 85.5 | 87.8 | 85.4 | 59.7 |
| Primary | 1.6 | 12.3 | 70.3 | 88.1 | 94.0 | 97.0 | 93.2 | 55.9 |
| Secondary+ | 2.1 | 17.5 | 84.2 | 88.3 | 96.2 | 95.2 | 72.3 | 53.6 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 1.9 | 15.2 | 78.0 | 89.8 | 95.0 | 94.7 | 88.6 | 57.2 |
| Illiterate | 1.7 | 11.9 | 52.1 | 72.2 | 85.3 | 87.7 | 85.5 | 59.2 |
| Total | 1.7 | 13.0 | 59.4 | 75.6 | 86.7 | 88.5 | 85.7 | 58.7 |
| Note: Women who have been sterilized are considered to want no more children. Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 women; an asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 women and has been suppressed. <br> ${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 6.2
Percentage of Currently Married Women With Two Children Who Want No More Children by Background Characteristics


Nepal 1996
to have an unmet need for family planning. ${ }^{1}$ Information on fertility desires and contraceptive behaviour have been used widely to provide estimates of unmet need (Westoff, 1988). Current users of family planning methods are said to have a met need for family planning. The total demand for family planning is the sum of the met and unmet need for family planning. Women who desire to cease childbearing but practise no contraception are most likely to be potential clients for family planning programmes (De Silva, 1992).

Table 6.4 presents data on unmet need, met need and total demand for family planning services, according to whether there is a need for spacing or limiting births. Thirty-one percent of currently married women in Nepal have an unmet need for family planning services-14 percent for spacing purposes and 17 percent for limiting births. Together with the 29 percent who are currently using a contraceptive method, the total demand for family planning among currently married women is 60 percent. Therefore, if all women who say they want to space or limit their children were to use methods, the contraceptive prevalence rate would double from 29 to 60 percent of married women. Table 6.4 also indicates that 48 percent of the family planning need in Nepal is currently being met.

A comparison with the 1991 NFHS data shows that the unmet need for family planning has increased only slightly from 28 percent in 1991 to 31 percent in 1996 (Ministry of Health, 1993). However, there has been a 14 percent increase in the percentage of women using any method of family planning and a corresponding increase of 18 percent in the demand for family planning between 1991 and 1996. The percentage of women with no demand for family planning decreased from 50 percent in 1991 to 40 percent in 1996.

[^9]
## Table 6.4 Need for family planning services among currently married women

Percentage of currently married women with unmet need for family planning, met need for family planning, and the total demand for family planning services, by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Unmet need for family planning ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Met need for family planning (currently using) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Total demand for family planning |  |  | Percentag of demand satisfied | Number <br> of <br> women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | For spacing | For limiting | Total | For spacing | For limiting | Total | For spacing | For limiting | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 28.8 | 9.0 | 37.8 | 5.5 | 10.2 | 15.8 | 34.3 | 19.2 | 53.6 | 29.4 | 1,602 |
| 25-29 | 12.9 | 21.6 | 34.6 | 2.8 | 27.9 | 30.7 | 15.7 | 49.5 | 65.2 | 47.0 | 1,560 |
| 30-34 | 5.3 | 27.0 | 32.3 | 1.7 | 37.4 | 39.0 | 6.9 | 64.4 | 71.3 | 54.7 | 1,292 |
| 35-39 | 2.3 | 26.8 | 29.1 | 0.2 | 42.5 | 42.7 | 2.5 | 69.3 | 71.8 | 59.5 | 1,042 |
| 40-44 | 0.8 | 21.4 | 22.2 | 0.2 | 40.5 | 40.7 | 1.0 | 61.9 | 62.9 | 64.6 | 828 |
| 45.49 | 0.1 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 0.1 | 37.0 | 37.1 | 75.3 | 695 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.4 | 14.3 | 21.7 | 6.5 | 43.5 | 50.1 | 14.0 | 57.8 | 71.8 | 69.8 | 668 |
| Rural | 14.9 | 17.4 | 32.3 | 2.2 | 24.3 | 26.5 | 17.1 | 41.6 | 58.8 | 45.1 | 7,314 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 13.9 | 20.3 | 34.2 | 2.2 | 15.6 | 17.8 | 16.1 | 36.0 | 52.0 | 34.2 | 538 |
| Hill | 14.3 | 18.7 | 33.1 | 3.0 | 26.6 | 29.6 | 17.3 | 45.3 | 62.6 | 47.2 | 3,363 |
| Terai | 14.2 | 15.4 | 29.6 | 2.3 | 26.7 | 29.0 | 16.6 | 42.0 | 58.6 | 49.5 | 4,082 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 12.2 | 17.1 | 29.3 | 3.2 | 27.7 | 30.8 | 15.3 | 44.8 | 60.1 | 51.3 | 1,829 |
| Central | 14.9 | 15.4 | 30.4 | 2.7 | 28.3 | 31.0 | 17.6 | 43.7 | 61.3 | 50.5 | 2,677 |
| Western | 13.8 | 20.6 | 34.4 | 1.7 | 24.8 | 26.5 | 15.5 | 45.4 | 60.9 | 43.5 | 1,561 |
| Mid-westem | 13.5 | 17.8 | 31.4 | 3.3 | 23.6 | 26.9 | 16.8 | 41.4 | 58.2 | 46.1 | 1,146 |
| Far-western | 18.8 | 14.9 | 33.7 | 1.8 | 19.0 | 20.8 | 20.6 | 33.9 | 54.5 | 38.1 | 769 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastem Mountain | 13.1 | 22.1 | 35.2 | 4.8 | 21.4 | 26.2 | 17.9 | 43.4 | 61.4 | 42.7 | 120 |
| Central Mountain | 16.0 | 22.4 | 38.3 | 1.5 | 19.6 | 21.2 | 17.5 | 42.0 | 59.5 | 35.6 | 183 |
| Western Mountain | 12.7 | 17.9 | 30.6 | 1.3 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 14.0 | 27.5 | 41.5 | 26.3 | 236 |
| Eastem Hill | 15.8 | 18.7 | 34.5 | 2.9 | 22.2 | 25.1 | 18.7 | 40.9 | 59.5 | 42.1 | 538 |
| Central Hill | 10.4 | 14.9 | 25.3 | 5.4 | 37.8 | 43.2 | 15.7 | 52.7 | 68.4 | 63.1 | 993 |
| Western Hill | 14.2 | 23.0 | 37.2 | 1.6 | 26.5 | 28.0 | 15.8 | 49.4 | 65.2 | 43.0 | 952 |
| Mid-westem Hill | 15.2 | 21.0 | 36.2 | 2.1 | 17.2 | 19.2 | 17.3 | 38.1 | 55.4 | 34.7 | 567 |
| Far-westem Hill | 23.0 | 14.0 | 37.0 | 1.7 | 15.7 | 17.4 | 24.7 | 29.8 | 54.5 | 32.0 | 313 |
| Eastern Terai | 10.4 | 15.8 | 26.3 | 3.1 | 30.8 | 33.9 | 13.5 | 46.7 | 60.2 | 56.4 | 1,171 |
| Central Terai | 17.8 | 14.9 | 32.7 | 1.1 | 23.1 | 24.1 | 18.9 | 38.0 | 56.9 | 42.4 44.4 | 1,502 609 |
| Western Terai | 13.1 | 17.0 | 30.1 | 1.9 | 22.1 | 24.0 | 15.0 | 39.1 | 54.1 | 44.4 | 609 |
| Mid-westem Terai | 11.9 | 13.6 | 25.5 | 5.3 | 35.1 | 40.3 | 17.2 | 48.7 | 65.8 | 61.2 | 476 |
| Far-western Terai | 17.0 | 15.2 | 32.2 | 2.1 | 24.7 | 26.9 | 19.1 | 40.0 | 59.0 | 45.5 | 324 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 12.7 | 18.4 | 31.1 | 1.7 | 24.7 | 26.4 | 14.4 | 43.1 | 57.5 | 45.9 | 6,347 |
| Primary | 21.1 | 15.0 | 36.2 | 3.7 | 27.2 | 30.8 | 24.8 | 42.2 | 67.0 | 46.0 | 896 |
| Some secondary | 21.2 | 9.6 | 30.9 | 7.4 | 32.3 | 39.6 | 28.6 | 41.9 | 70.5 | 56.2 | 513 |
| SLC and above | 14.3 | 6.9 | 21.2 | 12.4 | 39.6 | 52.0 | 26.8 | 46.5 | 73.2 | ${ }^{71.1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 227 \\ \text { ninued } \end{array}$ |

## Table 6.4-Continued

| Background characteristic | Unmet need for family planning ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Met need for family planning, (currently using) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Total demand for family planning |  |  | Percentage of demand satisfied | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\text { For }}$ spacing | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\text { For }} \\ \text { spacing } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { For }} \\ & \text { spacing } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 13.1 | 18.2 | 31.3 | 1.7 | 24.1 | 25.7 | 14.8 | 42.3 | 57.1 | 45.1 | 6,288 |
| Literate | 18.4 | 13.0 | 31.5 | 6.1 | 32.6 | 38.7 | 24.6 | 45.6 | 70.1 | 55.1 | 1,694 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 22.9 | 0.2 | 23.1 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 25.7 | 0.8 | 26.5 | 12.8 | 1,030 |
| 1 | 32.0 | 3.4 | 35.4 | 7.5 | 4.2 | 11.7 | 39.5 | 7.6 | 47.1 | 24.9 | 1,253 |
| 2 | 18.2 | 13.8 | 32.1 | 3.4 | 27.2 | 30.6 | 21.7 | 41.0 | 62.7 | 48.8 | 1,516 |
| 3 | 9.2 | 20.1 | 29.3 | 1.5 | 38.1 | 39.6 | 10.7 | 58.2 | 68.9 | 57.4 | 1,525 |
| 4+ | 3.2 | 30.3 | 33.5 | 0.4 | 38.2 | 38.5 | 3.6 | 68.4 | 72.0 | 53.5 | 2,659 |
| Total | 14.3 | 17.1 | 31.4 | 2.6 | 25.9 | 28.5 | 16.9 | 43.0 | 59.9 | 47.6 | 7,982 |

SLC = School Leaving Certificate
Unmet need for spacing includes pregnant women whose pregnancy was mistimed, amenorrhoeic women whose last birth was mistimed, and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrhoeic and who are not using any method of family planning but say they want to wait two or more years for their next birth. Also included in unmet need for spacing are women who are unsure whether they want another child or who want another child but are unsure when to have the birh. Unmet need for limiting refers to pregnant women whose pregnancy was unwanted, amenorrhoeic women whose last child was unwanted, and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrhoeic and who are not using any method of family planning but want no more children. Excluded from the unmet need fategory are menopausal or infecund women.
${ }^{2}$ Using for spacing is defined as women who are using some method of family planning and say they want to have another child or are undecided whether to have another. Using for limiting is defined as women who are using and who want no more children. Note that the specific methods used are not taken into account here.

As expected, unmet need for spacing is higher among younger women, while the unmet need for limiting childbearing is higher among older women (Table 6.4). The total unmet need for family planning decreases consistently with age, while the percentage of total demand satisfied increases with age from 14 percent among women age 15-19 to 75 percent among women age 45-49. This pattern suggests considerable unmet demand for family planning for spacing among younger women in Nepal.

The level of unmet need among rural women is higher than that among urban women. Among the three ecological regions 34 percent of women residing in the Mountain region are in need of family planning compared with 33 percent of women in the Hill region and 30 percent of women from the Terai. The percentage of women with unmet need ranges from 29 percent in the Eastern rcgion to 34 percent in the Western and Far-western regions. Among sub-regions the unmet need ranges from 25 percent among women who live in the Central Hill sub-region to 38 percent among women who live in the Central Mountain sub-region.

Unmet need is lower among women with at least a SLC than among less educated women. However, virtually identical proportions are in need of family planning among literate and illiterate women, although the percentage of total demand satisfied is lower among illiterate women.

Twenty-three percent of women with no children are in need of family planning services, compared with 35 percent of women with one child. As expected, unmet need for spacing is higher among low parity women, while unmet need for limiting childbearing is higher among high parity women.

### 6.3 Ideal Family Size

Two questions are used to measure ideal family size in the NFHS. Women who had no children were asked, "If you could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" For women who had children, the question was rephrased as follows: "If you could go back to the time you did not have any children and could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" These questions are based on hypothetical situations; therefore the responses to them are expected to in part reflect societal norms. Moreover, among women who have not started childbearing, the data provide an idea of the total number of children these women will have in the future, while among older, higher parity women, these data provide a measure of the level of unwanted fertility.

Table 6.5 shows that the vast majority of ever-married women were able to give a numeric answer to these questions; less than 3 percent of women gave a non-numeric answer. Therefore, the majority of women in Nepal have thought about how many children they would like to have. Van de Walle (1992) argues that a numerical desired family size is a necessary condition for adopting fertility limitation. It has also been argued that in countries where contraception has become increasingly available and acceptable, respondents give serious consideration to their proposed family size (United Nations, 1987).

The majority of women consider three or less to be an ideal number of children, indicating that a small family size norm has become widespread in Nepal. Thirty-five percent of women prefer a two-child family and another 35 percent consider a three-child family ideal, while only 2 percent say they would choose to have six or more children. Among women with two children, fifty-two percent say they think two children are ideal.

| Table 6.5 Ideal and actual number of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of ever-married women by ideal number of children, and mean ideal number of children for ever-married women and for currently married women, according to number of living children, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ideal number of children | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Total |
| 0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 3.7 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 2.6 |
| 2 | 44.8 | 50.4 | 52.4 | 28.3 | 24.3 | 18.5 | 12.9 | 35.3 |
| 3 | 30.3 | 27.9 | 28.3 | 46.8 | 34.2 | 38.8 | 34.8 | 34.6 |
| 4 | 14.3 | 8.9 | 13.1 | 17.6 | 34.1 | 26.9 | 33.5 | 19.9 |
| 5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 3.2 |
| 6+ | 1.6 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 6.8 | 1.9 |
| Non-numeric response | 2.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 2.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 866 | 1,378 | 1,636 | 1,664 | 1,271 | 773 | 841 | 8,429 |
| Mean ideal number of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever-married women | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| Number of women | 841 | 1,348 | 1,614 | 1,629 | 1,234 | 748 | 800 | 8,215 |
| Currently married women | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| Number of women | 789 | 1,259 | 1,535 | 1,543 | 1,175 | 719 | 771 | 7,790 |

Note: The means exclude women who gave non-numeric responses.
${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy

Similarly, among women with one child, 50 percent say they think two children are ideal, suggesting that they will limit their family size after one more child. Table 6.5 also shows that among ever-married women giving numeric responses, the mean ideal family size is 2.9 children. This represents a decline from the level of 3.1 among women in 1991 (Ministry of Health, 1993).

The mean ideal number of children increases with the number of living children from 2.7 among childless women to 3.6 among women with six or more children (Table 6.5). There are several possible explanations for the relationship between ideal and actual number of children. Women with larger families, being older, on average, than women with smaller families, may have larger ideal family sizes, because of attitudes they acquired $20-30$ years ago. Moreover, some women who already have larger families may tend to rationalize their family size by reporting their actual number of children as their ideal number. Despite the likelihood that some rationalization of large families occurs, it is common for women to report ideal family sizes lower than their actual number of children. For example, 59 percent of women with four children report fewer than four children as their ideal number and 85 percent of those with five children state an ideal number of children less than five. These proportions are considerably higher than similar figures reported in the 1991 NFHS. For example, in 1991, only 46 percent of women with four children stated ideal family sizes that were less than four and 74 percent of those with five children stated an ideal family size of less than five (Ministry of Health, 1993) indicating an increasing preference for smaller families even among high parity women in Nepal.

Table 6.6 shows the mean ideal number of children for ever-married women by age group and selected background characteristics. The mean ideal number of children increases with age from 2.7 among women age 15-19 to 3.5 among women age 45-49. Rural women have higher family size norms than urban women; this differential is reflected in every age group. Women from the Mountains have higher family size norms than women from the Hills and Terai. Again, this differential is reflected in every age group. In contrast, women residing in the Hills have the lowest mean ideal family size at all ages. The mean ideal number of children reported among women in the five development regions ranges from 2.7 in the Western region to 3.2 in the Farwestem region. However, among sub-regions, women from the Western Hill sub-region reported on average 2.5 children as the ideal number while, at the other extreme, women from the Western Mountain sub-region reported on average 3.5 children as the ideal number.

The relationship between mean ideal number of children and level of educational attainment is negative. Women with no education have the largest mean ideal family size ( 3.1 children) while women with some secondary education have the smallest ( 2.1 children). This negative relationship is true for every age group. Similarly, the mean ideal number of children reported by illiterate women is higher than that reported by literate women.

### 6.4 Fertility Planning

The NFHS data provide an opportunity to estimate levels of unwanted fertility. One way of estimating unwanted fertility is based on responses to a question as to whether each birth in the three years before the survey was planned (wanted then), is timed (wanted, but at a later time), or unwanted (wanted no more children). These data are likely to result in underestimates of unplanned childbearing, since women may rationalize unplanned births and declare them as planned once they are born. Another way of measuring unwanted fertility utilizes the data on ideal family size to calculate what the total fertility rate would be if all unwanted births were avoided. This measure may also suffer from underestimation to the extent that women are unwilling to report an ideal family size lower than their actual family size. Data using these two approaches are presented below.

Table 6.7 shows the percent distribution of births in the three years before the survey by whether the birth was wanted then, wanted later, or not wanted. Overall, 62 percent of the births in the three-year period can be considered as planned, 19 percent as mistimed and 18 percent as unwanted. The proportion of unplanned births increases directly with the birth order of the child; 40 percent of all fourth and higher order births were unwanted. Thus, a much larger proportion of births to older women are found to be unwanted-more than half of births among women over age 35 .

## Table 6.6 Mean ideal number of children by background characteristics

Mean ideal number of children for ever-married women age 15-49 by age and selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | Total |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
| Rural | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.2 |
| Hill | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| Terai | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastem | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 2.9 |
| Central | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.0 |
| Western | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| Mid-western | 2.7 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| Far-westem | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | * | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | (3.2) | 3.8 | (4.1) | 3.1 |
| Central Mountain | (2.5) | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 | (3.6) | (3.5) | 2.9 |
| Westem Mountain | (3.2) | 2.9 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.9 | (3.9) | 3.5 |
| Eastern Hill | (2.4) | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.1 |
| Central Hill | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.7 |
| Westem Hill | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| Mid-westem Terai | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| Far-western Terai | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.5 | (3.4) | 3.2 |
| Eastem Terai | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| Central Terai | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.2 |
| Western Terai | 2.8 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| Mid-western Terai | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.4 | (3.2) | 3.4 | 2.9 |
| Far-westem Terai | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| Primary | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
| Secondary+ | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.1 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.3 |
| Illiterate | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.1 |
| Total | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 2.9 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 women; an asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 women and has been suppressed.

## Table 6.7 Fertility planning status

Percent distribution of births in the three years preceding the survey and current pregnancies by fertility planning status, according to birth order and mother's age at birth, Nepal 1996

| Birth order and mother's age at birth | Planning status of birth |  |  | Missing | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wanted } \\ \text { then } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wanted } \\ \text { later } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { wanted } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 78.3 | 20.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,200 |
| 2 | 69.3 | 28.9 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,103 |
| 3 | 60.7 | 24.7 | 13.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 875 |
| 4+ | 48.3 | 10.9 | 40.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,966 |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<20$ | 70.1 | 28.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 956 |
| 20-24 | 67.9 | 25.6 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,734 |
| 25-29 | 61.7 | 15.3 | 22.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,230 |
| 30-34 | 53.6 | 8.1 | 37.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 721 |
| 35-39 | 40.6 | 6.2 | 52.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 356 |
| 40-44 | 32.8 | 5.3 | 61.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 122 |
| 45-49 | (29.7) | (0.0) | (70.3) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 26 |
| Total | 61.9 | 19.2 | 18.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 5,144 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 births and current pregnancies. Birth order includes current pregnancy

Table 6.8 presents "wanted" fertility rates calculated using the second approach to measuring unwanted fertility. The wanted fertility rate is calculated in the same manner as the total fertility rate, but unwanted births are excluded from the numerator. For this purpose, unwanted births are defined as those which exceed the number considered ideal by the respondent. This rate represents the level of fertility that would have prevailed in the three years preceding the survey if all unwanted births had been prevented. A comparison of the total wanted fertility rate and the actual fertility rate suggests the potential demographic impact of the elimination of unwanted births.

The wanted fertility rate in Nepal as a whole is 2.9 births per woman, 1.7 children less than the actual total fertility rate. This implies that the total fertility rate is 59 percent higher than it would be if unwanted births were avoided. The difference between wanted and actual total fertility rates is larger among rural than urban women and among women from the Mountains than from the Hills and Terai. Among the five development regions the difference between wanted and actual total fertility rates is largest in the Mid-western region. The difference is also larger among women with no education than among those with some secondary education.

## Table 6.8 Wanted fertility rates

Total wanted fertility rates and total ferility rates for the three years preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Total wanted fertility rate | Total fertility rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 1.9 | 2.9 |
| Rural | 3.1 | 4.8 |
| Ecological region |  |  |
| Mountain | 3.6 | 5.6 |
| Hill | 2.8 | 4.5 |
| Terai | 3.0 | 4.6 |
| Development region |  |  |
| Eastern | 2.6 | 4.1 |
| Central | 3.0 | 4.6 |
| Western | 2.8 | 4.7 |
| Mid-western | 3.5 | 5.5 |
| Far-western | 3.5 | 5.2 |
| Education |  |  |
| No education | 3.3 | 5.1 |
| Primary | 2.3 | 3.8 |
| Secondary ${ }^{+}$ | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| Total | 2.9 | 4.6 |

Note: Rates are based on births to women 15-49 in the period 1-36 months preceding the survey. The total fertility rates are the same as those presented in Table 3.2.

## CHAPTER 7

## INFANT AND CHILD MORTALITY

This chapter presents information on levels, trends and differentials in neonatal, postneonatal, infant and child mortality and on the prevalence of high-risk fertility behaviour. This information is central to an assessment of the demographic situation in Nepal. It is also crucial to the design of policies and programmes targeted at the reduction of infant and child mortality and the avoidance of high-risk behaviour.

Mortality estimates are computed from information collected in the pregnancy history section of the Individual Questionnaire in the Nepal Family Health Survey (NFHS). In the NFHS, reproductive histories were obtained from all respondents. Each woman was first asked about the number of sons and daughters living with her, the number living elsewhere and the number who had died, and the number of pregnancies that did not end in a live birth. She was then asked for a history of all her pregnancies, including the type of pregnancy outcome and the month and year of pregnancy termination. For each pregnancy ending in a live birth, the mother was asked the child's name, sex, age (if alive) or age at death (if dead) and whether the child was living with her.

The information on live births is used to directly estimate mortality rates. In this report, infant and child mortality are measured using the following five rates:

Neonatal mortality: the probability of dying within the first month of life; Postneonatal mortality: the difference between infant and neonatal mortality; Infant mortality: the probability of dying before the first birthday; Child mortality: the probability of dying between the first and fifth birthday; Under-five mortality: the probability of dying before the fifth birthday.

All rates are expressed per 1,000 live births, except in the case of child mortality, which is expressed per 1,000 children surviving to 12 months of age.

Information on pregnancies that did not end in a live birth and on children who died within 7 days is used to estimate perinatal mortality, which is the number of stillbirths and early neonatal deaths per 1,000 stillbirths and live births.

The reliability of mortality estimates depends on the extent to which date of birth and age at death are accurately reported and recorded and the completeness with which child deaths are reported. Omission of births and deaths directly affects mortality estimates; displacement of dates has an impact on mortality trends; and misreporting of age at death may distort the age pattern of mortality. An examination of the NFHS data on infant and child mortality indicates that the data are of good quality and that there are no serious biases in reporting. Data quality is discussed in greater detail in Appendix C.

### 7.1 Levels and Trends in Infant and Child Mortality

Table 7.1 presents neonatal, postneonatal, infant, child, and under-five mortality rates for five-year periods in the 15 years preceding the survey. Under-five mortality in Nepal is 118 deaths per 1,000 births in the most recent five-year period ( $0-4$ years preceding the survey). This means that about one in every 8 children born in the country dies before reaching age five. Approximately two of three under-five deaths occur in the first year
of life-infant mortality is 79 deaths per 1,000 births and child mortality is 43 deaths per 1,000 births. During infancy, the risk of neonatal death ( 50 per 1,000 ) is nearly twice as high as the risk of postneonatal death ( 29 per 1,000 ).
Table 7.1 Infant and child mortality
Infant and child mortality rates by five-year periods preceding the survey, Nepal
1996

According to data collected in the NFHS, mortality levels have declined rapidly in Nepal since the early eighties (Table 7.1). Current under-five mortality is 40 percent lower than it was $10-14$ years before the survey; the pace of decline was somewhat faster for child mortality ( 45 percent) than infant mortality ( 38 percent). The corresponding decline in neonatal and postneonatal mortality is 31 percent and 48 percent, respectively.

Table 7.2 Trends in infant mortality. 1969-1994
Trends in the infant monality rate in Nepal, 1969-1994

| Approximate <br> midpoint | NFS <br> 1976 | NFFS <br> 1986 | NFHS <br> 1991 | NFHS <br> 1996 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1969 | 156 |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 140 | 90 | 123 |  |
| 1979 |  | 103 | 115 | 127 |
| 1984 |  |  | 80 | 108 |
| 1989 |  |  |  | 79 |
| 1994 |  |  |  |  |

Mortality trends can also be examined by comparing data from the NFHS with data from other earlier sources. However, these comparisons should be interpreted with caution since the quality of data, method of analysis, time references, and sample coverage vary. Table 7.2 and Figure 7.1 show direct estimates of infant mortality from various sources. There is some indication that the infant mortality rate was underestimated in the 1986 and 1991 data, but this does not alter the broad conclusion that infant mortality declined from a high of 156 deaths per 1,000 births in 1969 to 79 deaths per 1,000 births in 1994.

### 7.2 Socio-economic Differentials in Mortality

Table 7.3 presents socio-economic differentials in childhood mortality in Nepal. In order to have a sufficient number of cases for statistical reliability, mortality rates are calculated for a 10 -year period. This table focuses on basic socio-economic characteristics, including urban-rural residence, ecological region and development region, mother's educational level, and the type of maternity care received by the mother prior to the birth of the child.

Mortality is consistently lower in urban than in rural areas (Figure 7.2). In the 10 years preceding the survey, infant mortality is 36 percent lower and under-five mortality is 43 percent lower in urban than in rural areas. There is also considerable variation in mortality by ecological region with children living in the Mountains faring much worse than children living in the Hills or Terai. For example, one of five children living in the Mountains dies before the fifth birthday compared with one of seven children living in the Terai and one of eight children living in the Hills. This may be due to the fact that health services in the Hill and Terai regions of Nepal

Figure 7.1
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Source: Ministry of Health, 1987, 1893

Figure 7.2
Under-five Mortality by Place of Residence

are relatively better than in the Mountain region. Mortality is also much higher in the Mid-western and Farwestern regions of Nepal than in the Eastern, Western and Central regions.

Maternal education is strongly related to mortality. Children born to mothers with no education experience much higher levels of mortality than children born to mothers with some education, with children born to the most highly educated mothers least likely to die young. For example, under-five mortality for children of uneducated mothers is 149 per 1,000 births, about 50 percent higher than for children of mothers who have some primary education, and 145 percent higher than for children of mothers who have some secondary education. The educational differentials become larger with increasing age of the child.

Table 7.3 Infant and child mortality by socio-economic characteristics
Infant and child mortality rates for the ten-year period preceding the survey, by socioeconomic characteristics and medical matemity care, Nepal 1996

| Socio-economic characteristic | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Infant } \\ \text { mortality } \\ \left(1 q_{0}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Child mortality $\left({ }_{4} \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ | Under-five mortality ${ }_{5} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 43.1 | 17.9 | 61.1 | 22.5 | 82.2 |
| Rural | 59.3 | 36.0 | 95.3 | 53.2 | 143.4 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 70.8 | 65.8 | 136.5 | 82.2 | 207.5 |
| Hill | 50.6 | 36.8 | 87.4 | 43.3 | 126.9 |
| Terai | 62.7 | 28.2 | 90.9 | 53.0 | 139.1 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 57.8 | 21.6 | 79.4 | 36.3 | 112.8 |
| Central | 56.0 | 30.3 | 86.3 | 56.1 | 137.5 |
| Western | 53.1 | 31.3 | 84.3 | 37.6 | 118.8 |
| Mid-western | 63.4 | 51.4 | 114.8 | 71.2 | 177.8 |
| Far-western | 67.3 | 57.0 | 124.3 | 62.3 | 178.9 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 60.3 | 37.3 | 97.5 | 56.8 | 148.8 |
| Primary | 52.2 | 27.8 | 80.0 | 21.0 | 99.3 |
| Secondary+ | 41.1 | 12.3 | 53.4 | 7.7 | 60.7 |
| Medical maternity care ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No antenatal or delivery care | 47.1 | 32.8 | 79.9 | NA | NA |
| Either antenatal or delivery care | 37.7 | 12.2 | 49.9 | NA | NA |
| Both antenatal and delivery care | (26.1) | * | * | NA | NA |
| Total | 58.2 | 34.8 | 93.0 | 50.9 | 139.2 |
| Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 250-499 births; an asterisk indicates that the figure is based on fewer than 250 exposed persons and has been suppressed. <br> NA = Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Refers to births in the three years before the survey. Includes care received from doctor, nurse/midwife, maternal and child health (MCH) worker, Village Health Worker (VHW), health assistant, and health post staff. |  |  |  |  |  |

Use of basic maternity services is generally associated with lower mortality. Since information on the utilization of maternity services is available for children born in the three years before the survey, child and under-five mortality rates are not applicable. Moreover, since the number of births for whom mothers received both antenatal and delivery care is small, mortality rates are either not displayed or shown in parentheses due to their statistical unreliability.

### 7.3 Demographic Differentials in Mortality

Besides socio-economic characteristics, demographic characteristics of the child and the mother have been found to affect mortality risks. These include the sex of the child, mother's age at birth, birth order, length of previous birth interval, and the mother's perception of the size of the child at birth. The relationship between these demographic characteristics and mortality is shown in Table 7.4.

Neonatal mortality is higher among males than females, as expected. Postneonatal mortality varies only slightly with the sex of the child, but child mortality is 24 percent higher among females than males ( 57 per 1,000 births and 46 per 1,000 births,

Table 7,4 Infant and child mortality by demographic characteristics.
Infant and child mortality rates for the ten-year period preceding the survey, by selected demographic characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Demographic characteristic | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | $\underset{\left(1 q_{0}\right)}{\text { Infant }} \underset{\text { mortality }}{ }$ | Child mortality $\left({ }_{4} \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ | Under-five mortality ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex of child |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 65.6 | 36.2 | 101.9 | 45.5 | 142.8 |
| Female | 50.4 | 33.3 | 83.7 | 56.5 | 135.5 |
| Age of mother at birth ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 83.4 | 36.7 | 120.1 | 44.1 | 158.9 |
| 20-29 | 48.0 | 31.5 | 79.5 | 52.4 | 127.7 |
| 30-39 | 62.3 | 41.6 | 103.9 | 54.5 | 152.8 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 71.9 | 28.8 | 100.7 | 36.1 | 133.1 |
| 2-3 | 49.9 | 33.4 | 83.2 | 48.1 | 127.3 |
| 4-6 | 55.5 | 35.6 | 91.2 | 63.9 | 149.2 |
| 7+ | 66.1 | 50.7 | 116.7 | 57.3 | 167.4 |
| Previous birth interval |  |  |  |  |  |
| <2 years | 83.7 | 57.6 | 141.4 | 74.7 | 205.5 |
| 2.3 years | 46.1 | 32.7 | 78.8 | 52.4 | 127.1 |
| $4+$ | 30.4 | 14.4 | 44.7 | 32.1 | 75.4 |
| Size at birth ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small or very small | 38.2 | 22.3 | (60.5) | NA | NA |
| Average | 40.7 | 28.8 | 69.5 | NA | NA |
| Large or very large | 46.9 | 20.1 | 67.0 | NA | NA |

[^10] respectively). Since female mortality is typically lower than male mortality during childhood, this pattern suggests some genderrelated differences in child-rearing practices, presumably in feeding patterns and utilization of health care services, that favour boys over girls.

The relationship between maternal age (at birth) and childhood mortality is U-shaped, being substantially higher among children born to mothers age less than 20 or more than 30 years (Figure 7.3). Mortality rates are not shown for mothers age 40 and above due to the small number of births for these older mothers.

As expected first births and higher order births experience higher mortality. For example, infant mortality for first births and births of order 7 and higher is 101 per 1,000 births and 117 per 1,000 births, respectively, compared with 83 per 1,000 births for second and third order births. Mortality levels are also higher among children

born less than 2 years after a previous child, with under-five mortality for this group being one and a half times higher than for children born 2-3 years after a previous birth and nearly three times higher than for children born 4 years or more after a previous birth (Figure 7.3).

A child's size at birth has often been found to be an important determinant of the chances of survival in infancy. In the NFHS, mothers were asked whether their child was very small, small, average, large or very large at birth. Even though this is a subjective assessment, it has been shown to closely correlate with actual birth weight in most countries. In Nepal, size at birth varies positively with mortality levels, not negatively as is usually the case. Nevertheless, very small births do have the highest mortality levels but, because there are so few such births (fewer than 25 ), to be statistically meaningful they are grouped together with small births. Very large births are grouped with large births for the same reason.

### 7.4 Perinatal Mortality

Perinatal mortality reflects an adverse outcome for pregnancies of at least seven months gestation. The perinatal mortality rate is obtained by summing all stillbirths and deaths to children within the first week of life (early neonatal deaths) and dividing by the sum of all stillbirths and live births. The perinatal mortality rate captures stillbirths and early neonatal deaths, two seemingly different outcomes which result from similar conditions.

In the NFHS, women were asked to report on all the pregnancies that they have had in their lifetime. The pregnancy history provides information on all the respondent's children born alive or dead, whether or not still living, and all the pregnancies that did not end in a live birth.

Information on perinatal mortality is obtained from reports of pregnancy losses and pregnancy duration (which defines stillbirths), and deaths to children within the first week of life. These events are highly susceptible
to omission and/or misreporting. Nevertheless, retrospective surveys provide more representative and complete enumeration of perinatal deaths than do most vital registration systems and hospital-based studies in developing countries.

Table 7.5 Perinatal mortality
Perinatal mortality rates for the ten-year period preceding the survey, by background characteristics of mother, Nepal 1996

| Background <br> characteristic | Perinatal mortality <br> rate 0.9 years <br> preceding survey |
| :--- | :---: |


| Age group |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| <20 | 60.9 |
| 20-29 | 56.2 |
| 30-39 | 51.5 |
| 40-49 | 76.5 |
| No. of pregnancies at event |  |
| 1 | 70.7 |
| 2-3 | 47.4 |
| 4-5 | 49.7 |
| $6+$ | 68.1 |
| Residence |  |
| Urban | 47.1 |
| Rural | 57.6 |
| Ecological region |  |
| Mountain | 60.8 |
| Hill | 45.8 |
| Terai | 65.8 |
| Development region |  |
| Eastern | 62.2 |
| Central | 52.9 |
| Western | 52.9 |
| Mid-westem | 62.0 |
| Far-western | 57.6 |
| Education |  |
| No education | 57.8 |
| Primary | 52.6 |
| Secondary + | 58.7 |
| Previous pregnancy interval |  |
| <2 years | 77.2 |
| 2-3 years | 44.0 |
| 4+ years | 31.3 |
| Total | 56.9 |
| Note: Perinatal mortality is the number of stillbirths and early neonatal deaths (live births dying before day 7) per 1,000 stillbirths and live births. |  |

Perinatal mortality in Nepal has declined by 17 percent over the last 15 years from 63 perinatal deaths per 1,000 stillbirths and live births in the period 10-14 years before the survey to 52 in the period 0-4 years before the survey (data not shown).

The perinatal mortality rate for the ten years preceding the survey is 57 perinatal deaths per 1,000 stillbirths and live births (Table 7.5). First pregnancies and sixth and higher-order pregnancies are at an increased risk of perinatal loss, as are pregnancies among women residing in rural areas, in the Terai, and in the Eastern and Mid-western regions of Nepal. Generally, as mothers educational level rises, mortality falls. Surprisingly, in Nepal there is little difference by education of the mother. Pregnancies that occur at less than two-year intervals are also at an increased risk of loss (Figure 7.4).

### 7.5 High-Risk Fertility Behaviour

Research has shown that there is a strong relationship between certain characteristics associated with fertility behaviour and children's survival chances. Typically, the probability of dying in infancy is much greater for children born to mothers who are too young or too old, if they are born after a short birth interval, or if they are born to mothers with high parity. For analysis purposes, a mother is classified as "too young" if she is less than 18 years old and "too old" if she is over 34 years at the time of delivery. A "short birth interval" is defined by a birth occurring less than 24 months after the previous birth, and a mother is of "high parity" if she has given birth to three or more living children, that is, if the child is of birth order 4 or higher.

Table 7.6 shows the percent distribution of children born in the five years before the survey and of currently married women by these risk factors. The table also displays the risk ratio of mortality for children by comparing the proportion of deceased children in each high-risk category with the proportion of deceased children not in any high-risk category.

More than one of two Nepalese children born in the five years before the survey fall into a high-risk category ( 56 percent), with 39 percent in a single high-risk category and 17 percent in a multiple high-risk category. High birth order and short birth interval were the most common high-risk factors.


The relationship between risk factors and mortality is given by the risk ratios displayed in column 2 of Table 7.6. In general, risk ratios are higher for children in a multiple high-risk category than in a single high-risk category. The most vulnerable births are those to women who are age less than 18 years at the birth of their child and have a prior birth interval of less than 24 months. These children are nearly four times more likely to die than children not in any high-risk category. Fortunately, less than 1 percent of births are to women in this category. It is also worthwhile to note that 7 percent of births occur among mothers who already have 3 children and had a short prior birth interval. These children are nearly two and a half times more likely to die than children that are not in any high-risk category.

The final column of Table 7.6 addresses the question of what percentage of currently married women have the potential for having a high-risk birth. This was obtained by simulating the distribution of currently married women by the risk category in which a birth would fall, if a woman were to conceive at the time of the survey.

Overall, 65 percent of currently married women have the potential to give birth to a child with an elevated risk of mortality. Twenty-two percent of these women are or would be too old and have or would have too many children. A slightly higher proportion of women exhibit the potential for having a birth in a multiple high-risk category than in a single high-risk category.

| Table 7.6 High-risk fertility behaviour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of children born in the five years preceding the survey by category of elevated risk of dying, and the percent distribution of currently married women at risk of conceiving a child with an elevated risk of dying, by category of increased risk, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |
|  | Births in 5 years preceding the survey |  | Percentage of currently married women ${ }^{2}$ |
| Risk category | Percentage of births | Risk ratio |  |
| Not in any high-risk category | 27.3 | 1.00 | $27.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Unavoidable risk category |  |  |  |
| First births to women 18-34 | 16.6 | 1.37 | 7.7 |
| Single high-risk category |  |  |  |
| Mother's age <18 | 6.6 | 1.85 | 3.3 |
| Mother's age > 34 | 0.3 | 2.32 | 3.1 |
| Birth interval <24 months | 9.5 | 1.67 | 9.6 |
| Birth order $>3$ | 22.5 | 1.28 | 14.1 |
| Subtotal | 38.9 | 1.48 | 30.1 |
| Multiple high-risk category |  |  |  |
| Age $<18$ \& birth interval $<24$ months ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.6 | 3.94 | 0.5 |
| Age $>34$ \& birth interval $<24$ months | 0.1 | 2.19 | 0.0 |
| Age $>34$ \& birth order $>3$ | 8.1 | 1.35 | 22.2 |
| Age $>34 \&$ birth interval $<24$ months \& birth order $>3$ | 1.5 | 2.36 | 2.6 |
| Birth interval <24 months \& birth order >3 | 6.9 | 2.42 | 9.2 |
| Subtotal | 17.2 | 1.96 | 34.5 |
| In any high-risk category | 56.1 | 1.63 | 64.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | NA | 100.0 |
| Number of births | 7,272 | NA | 7,982 |
| Note: Risk ratio is the ratio of the proportion dead of births in a specific high-risk category to the proportion dead of births not in any high-risk category. <br> NA = Not applicable <br> ${ }^{a}$ Women were assigned to risk categories according to the status they would have at the birth of a child, if the child were conceived at the time of the survey: age less than 17 years and 3 months, age older than 34 years and 2 months, latest birth less than 15 months ago, gnd latest birth of order 3 or higher. <br> ${ }^{5}$ Includes sterilized women <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Includes the combined categories Age $<18$ and birth order $>3$. |  |  |  |

## CHAPTER 8

## MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH

This chapter presents the survey findings in four areas of importance to maternal and child health (MCH): antenatal and delivery services, characteristics of the newbom, vaccination coverage, and common childhood illnesses and their treatment. Combined with information on maternal and childhood mortality, this information can be used to identify subgroups of women and children who are "at risk" because of nonuse of MCH services, and to provide information to assist in the planning of appropriate improvements in services. Data were obtained for all live births which occurred in the three years preceding the survey.

### 8.1 Antenatal Care

## Antenatal Care Coverage

Table 8.1 shows the percent distribution of births in the three years preceding the survey by source of antenatal care received during pregnancy, according to background characteristics. Interviewers were instructed to record all persons a woman had seen for care, but in the table, only the provider with the highest qualifications is listed. For 24 percent of births, mothers received antenatal care from a doctor ( 13 percent) or trained nurse or midwife ( 11 percent). For 10 percent of births, mothers received antenatal care from a village health worker (VHW), maternal and child health (MCH) worker ( 4 percent), or other health professional ( 2 percent). Women received antenatal care from a traditional birth attendant (TBA) for only 1 percent of births. For the majority of births in Nepal ( 56 percent), mothers did not receive any antenatal care.

Comparison with the 1991 Nepal Fertility, Family Planning and Health Survey (NFHS 1991) results show that some improvements in the utilization of antenatal services has occurred during the last five years. The percentage of births to women receiving antenatal services from a doctor, nurse or midwife has increased from 15 percent in 1988-91 to 24 percent in 1994-96, and the percent receiving no antenatal care dropped from around 80 percent to 56 percent over the same period.

Younger women are more likely to use antenatal services than older women. This is especially true with regard to care from doctors and nurses or midwives. Similarly, lower birth order is associated with greater use of services provided by medically trained health workers. Perhaps this pattem occurs because young women tend to be more educated than older women and are thus more likely to have greater familiarity with the benefits of antenatal care.

There are substantial differences in the use of antenatal services between urban and rural areas. Overall, utilization is 79 percent higher in urban areas than in rural areas, and urban women are using doctors, nurses and midwives much more frequently than rural women. Rural women are more likely to use VHWs and MCH workers for antenatal care. Utilization of antenatal services is higher in the Terai than in the Hill and Mountain regions. The Western Mountain sub-region is especially under-served: for 83 percent of births, no antenatal services were received. In the Eastern, Central, and Western Terai sub-regions, the situation is somewhat better-some antenatal care was received for more than half of births.



Table 8.1 shows that as a woman's education increases, the likelihood that she will not receive any antenatal care decreases sharply from 62 percent of births to women with no education to only 7 percent of births to women who have completed their SLC. Use of a doctor for antenatal care increases from 8 percent among births to uneducated women to over two-thirds of births among women who have completed their SLC.

| Table 8.2 Number of antenatal care |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| yisits and stage of pregnancy |  |
| Percent distribution of live births in the three years preceding the survey by number of antenatal care (ANC) visits, and by the stage of pregnancy at the time of the first visit, Nepal 1996 |  |
| Number of visits and stage of pregnancy | Percent |
| Number of visits |  |
| None | 55.7 |
| 1 | 10.7 |
| 2 | 10.5 |
| 3 | 11.6 |
| 4+ | 8.8 |
| Don't know/missing | 2.8 |
| Total | 100.0 |
| Median | 3.0 |
| Number of months pregnant at time of first visit |  |
| No antenatal care | 55.7 |
| < 6 months | 24.9 |
| 6.7 months | 12.5 |
| $8+$ months | 4.0 |
| Don't know/missing | 3.0 |
| Total | 100.0 |
| Median | 5.4 |
| Total | 4,375 |

Antenatal care can be more effective in avoiding adverse pregnancy outcomes when it is sought early in the pregnancy and continues through to delivery. Obstetricians generally recommend that antenatal visits be made on a monthly basis to the 28th week (seventh month), fortnightly to the 36th week (eighth month), and then weekly until the 40th (until birth). If the first antenatal visit is made at the third month of pregnancy, this optimum schedule translates to a total of at least $12-13$ visits during the pregnancy. The number of antenatal visits recommended by the safe motherhood programme in Nepal is 3.

Information about the number and timing of antenatal visits made by pregnant women is presented in Table 8.2. The median number of visits among women who receive antenatal care is 3 , and one of two women who receive antenatal care have fewer than 3 visits. Around onequarter of women ( 56 percent of women receiving some antenatal care) reported that their first visit occurred at less than 6 months of pregnancy. Among women who received care, the median duration of pregnancy at first visit was 5 months.

## Tetanus Toxoid Coverage

An important component of antenatal care in Nepal is ensuring that pregnant women and children are adequately protected against tetanus. Tetanus toxoid injections are given during pregnaney for prevention of neonatal tetanus, an important cause of death among infants in Nepal. For full protection, a pregnant woman should receive two doses of the toxoid. However, if a woman has been vaccinated during a previous pregnancy, she may only require one dose during the current pregnancy.

Table 8.3 provides information on tetanus toxoid coverage during pregnancy for all births in the three years preceding the survey. For about one-third ( 33 percent) of births, mothers received two or more doses of tetanus toxoid during pregnancy, while 13 percent received one dose. For well over half of births ( 54 percent), mothers did not receive a single dose of tetanus toxoid. Tetanus toxoid coverage has improved since 1991, when 27 percent of births were protected by two doses and 15 percent by one dose of tetanus toxoid (Ministry of Health, 1993).

The differentials in tetanus toxoid closely resemble those discussed above with reference to antenatal care. Young maternal age, low birth order, higher education, urban residence, and residence in the Terai region are all associated with better tetanus toxoid coverage. Unfortunately, for more than 80 percent of births in the Western Mountain and Far-western Hill sub-regions, mothers received no tetanus toxoid.

Table 8.3 Tetanus toxoid yaccinations
Percent distribution of live births in the three years preceding the survey by number of tetanus toxoid injections received during pregnancy, according to background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Number of tetanus toxoid injections |  |  |  |  | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | One dose | Two doses or more | Don't know/ Missing | Total |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 44.0 | 15.0 | 40.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 817 |
| 20-34 | 53.5 | 13.6 | 32.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3,136 |
| 35+ | 73.6 | 9.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 422 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 43.4 | 13.2 | 42.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,004 |
| 2-3 | 50.0 | 14.4 | 35.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,684 |
| 4.5 | 57.2 | 13.8 | 28.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 978 |
| $6+$ | 71.9 | 11.0 | 16.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 709 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 33.0 | 17.6 | 48.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 278 |
| Rural | 55.0 | 13.2 | 31.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4,097 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 75.3 | 10.7 | 13.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 337 |
| Hill | 60.2 | 12.7 | 26.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,862 |
| Terai | 44.7 | 14.5 | 40.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,176 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 50.3 | 15.6 | 34.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 924 |
| Central | 45.0 | 14.6 | 40.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,434 |
| Western | 52.0 | 14.9 | 32.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 881 |
| Mid-western | 63.8 | 9.6 | 26.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 695 |
| Far-western | 76.0 | 8.1 | 15.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 441 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 67.7 | 15.0 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Central Mountain | 70.4 | 10.6 | 18.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 121 |
| Western Mountain | 83.0 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 147 |
| Eastern Hill | 62.9 | 13.4 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Central Hill | 51.0 | 14.8 | 33.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 492 |
| Western Hill | 54.1 | 14.9 | 30.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 525 |
| Mid-western Hill | 68.0 | 8.4 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 357 |
| Far-western Hill | 83.1 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 175 |
| Eastern Terai | 40.8 | 16.9 | 42.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 542 |
| Central Terai | 37.6 | 15.0 | 47.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 821 |
| Western Terai | 48.9 | 15.0 | 36.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 356 |
| Mid-western Terai | 54.3 | 11.4 | 33.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 273 |
| Far-western Terai | 65.4 | 8.4 | 25.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 184 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 60.0 | 12.6 | 27.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3,470 |
| Primary | 39.6 | 14.4 | 45.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 510 |
| Some secondary | 19.4 | 22.0 | 58.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 279 |
| SLC and above | 8.1 | 13.6 | 77.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 28.6 | 18.2 | 52.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 907 |
| Illiterate | 60.2 | 12.2 | 27.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3,468 |
| Total | 53.6 | 13.4 | 32.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4,375 |

## Night Blindness

In the NFHS, women were also asked if they suffered from night blindness during pregnancy, for births in the three years preceding the survey. Mothers of 18 percent of births suffered from night blindness during their pregnancy (data not shown). Night blindness was more common among women age 25 and above, rural women, women from the Mountains, women from the Mid-western and Far-western regions and the Central Mountain and Far-western Hill sub-regions, women with primary or no education, and illiterate women.

### 8.2 Delivery Care

## Place of Delivery

Traditionally, Nepalese children are delivered at home with the assistance of TBAs or elders of the community. An important component of efforts to reduce the health risks of mothers and children is to increase the proportion of babies delivered under medical supervision. Proper medical attention and hygienic conditions during delivery can reduce the risk of complications and infections that can cause the death or serious illness of the mother and/or the baby.

Respondents in the NFHS were asked to report the place of birth of all children born in the three years before the survey (Table 8.4). At the national level, only 8 percent of births are delivered in health facilities. This is a slight improvement since 1991 when the comparable figure was 6 percent (Ministry of Health, 1993). This suggests that, despite an increase in the number of facilities offering delivery services, use of health facilities is still impractical or inappropriate for the average Nepalese woman.

Young women and low parity women are more likely than older, high parity women to deliver at a health facility. A child born in an urban area is eight times more likely to have been delivered at a health facility than a rural child. Children living in the Mountains are much less likely to be delivered in a health facility than children living in the Hills and Terai. Three percent of children in the Mid-Western development region are delivered in a health facility compared with 11 percent of children in the Central region. Delivery in a health facility ranges from a high of 20 percent of births in the Central Hill sub-region to less than 2 percent each in the Central and Western Mountain sub-regions and in the Mid-western and Far-western Hill sub-regions. Use of delivery facilities rises sharply with maternal education from 4 percent of births among women with no education to 58 percent among children of women in the highest education category.

Women who receive antenatal services are more likely to subsequently deliver in a health facility. This may be due to several reasons: women having practical access to antenatal services, also have access to delivery facilities; women who have had complications identified during pregnancy are advised to deliver with medical supervision; and women with knowledge of the benefits of modern medical care will choose to use both antenatal and delivery services (if accessible).

## Assistance at Delivery

The level of assistance a woman receives during the birth of her child also has important health consequences for both mother and child. Births delivered at home are more likely to be delivered without professional assistance, whereas births delivered at a health facility are more likely to be delivered by trained medical personnel. Table 8.5 shows that 9 percent of births were delivered under the supervision of a doctor ( 6 percent) or trained nurse or midwife ( 3 percent). This has changed only slightly from 8 percent reported in the 1991 NFHS. MCH workers and other health professionals (VHW, health assistants or health post staff) assisted

## Table 8.4 Place of delivery

Percent distribution of live births in the three years preceding the survey by place of delivery, according to background characteristics and number of antenatal care visits, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Place of delivery |  |  |  | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health facility | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't know/ } \\ & \text { Missing } \end{aligned}$ | Total |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<20$ | 8.8 | 90.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 817 |
| 20-34 | 7.6 | 91.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 3,136 |
| 35+ | 4.9 | 93.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 422 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 14.6 | 85.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,004 |
| 2-3 | 7.7 | 91.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,684 |
| 4-5 | 3.9 | 95.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 978 |
| $6+$ | 2.2 | 96.6 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 709 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 43.8 | 56.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 278 |
| Rural | 5.1 | 94.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 4,097 |
| Ecological region 2006307 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hill | 9.0 | 90.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,862 |
| Terai | 7.2 | 92.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2,176 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 7.2 | 91.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 924 |
| Central | 11.3 | 88.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,434 |
| Western | 7.4 | 92.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 881 |
| Mid-western | 2.7 | 96.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 695 |
| Far-western | 3.9 | 94.8 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 441 |
| Sub-region 30 94, 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Mountain | 1.9 | 95.4 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 121 |
| Westem Mountain | 1.7 | 97.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 147 |
| Eastern Hill | 4.2 | 95.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Central Hill | 19.9 | 79.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 492 |
| Western Hill | 9.1 | 90.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 525 |
| Mid-westem Hill | 1.8 | 97.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 357 |
| Far-western Hill | 1.3 | 96.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 175 |
| Eastern Terai | 9.5 | 89.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 542 |
| Central Terai | 7.6 | 92.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 821 |
| Western Terai | 5.0 | 95.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 356 |
| Mid-western Terai | 4.3 | 95.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 273 |
| Far-western Teraj | 7.0 | 91.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 184 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.8 | 95.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 3,470 |
| Primary | 10.7 | 87.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 510 |
| Some secondary | 27.5 | 71.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 279 |
| SLC and above | 58.3 | 40.8 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 22.6 | 76.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 907 |
| Illiterate | 3.6 | 95.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 3,468 |
| Antenatal care visits |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 2.1 | 97.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2,435 |
| 1-3 visits | 8.0 | 91.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,434 |
| 4 or more visits | 41.4 | 57.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 386 |
| Don't know/missing | 4.3 | 93.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 121 |
| Total | 7.6 | 91.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 4,375 |

SLC = School Leaving Certificate

Table 8.5 Assistance during delivery
Percent distribution of live births in the threc years preceding the survey by type of assistance during delivery, according to background characteristics and number of antenatal care visits, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Attendant assisting during delivery ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Nurse/ ANM | MCH worker | Other health professional ${ }^{2}$ | Traditional birth attendant | Friend/ relative | Other | No one | Missing | Total | Number of births |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<20$ | 6.9 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 29.2 | 52.3 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 817 |
| 20-34 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 21.4 | 57.1 | 0.5 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3,136 |
| 35+ | 4.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 17.8 | 54.8 | 0.7 | 19.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 422 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11.3 | 6.6 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 24.0 | 52.1 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,004 |
| 2-3 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 23.5 | 57.4 | 0.6 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,684 |
| 4-5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 22.1 | 56.9 | 0.5 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 978 |
| $6+$ | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 18.9 | 57.0 | 0.3 | 19.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 709 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 30.3 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 36.7 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 278 |
| Rural | 4.1 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 23.2 | 57.3 | 0.4 | 11.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,097 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 74.4 | 0.2 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 337.0 |
| Hill | 6.3 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 8.9 | 62.4 | 0.5 | 17.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,862.0 |
| Terai | 6.0 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 35.6 | 47.7 | 0.4 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,176.0 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 6.3 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 24.8 | 55.1 | 0.6 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 924.0 |
| Central | 8.9 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 27.0 | 52.0 | 0.5 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,434.0 |
| Western | 5.0 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 13.6 | 64.5 | 0.2 | 11.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 881.0 |
| Mid-western | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 28.5 | 47.6 | 0.4 | 18.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 695.0 |
| Far-western | 2.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 11.4 | 66.9 | 0.5 | 15.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 441.0 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 4.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 80.2 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 69.0 |
| Central Mountain | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 70.8 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 121.0 |
| Westem Mountain | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 74.7 | 0.4 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 147.0 |
| Eastern Hill | 3.2 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 75.6 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 313.0 |
| Central Hill | 14.5 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 9.7 | 59.2 | 1.1 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 492.0 |
| Western Hill | 5.4 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 12.2 | 59.9 | 0.2 | 15.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 525.0 |
| Mid-western Hill | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 8.3 | 58.9 | 0.5 | 29.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 357.0 |
| Far-western Hill | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.8 | 61.9 | 0.9 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 175.0 |
| Eastern Terai | 8.4 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 39.0 | 40.1 | 1.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 542.0 |
| Central Terai | 6.6 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 38.6 | 45.0 | 0.2 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 821.0 |
| Western Terai | 4.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 15.7 | 71.2 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 356.0 |
| Mid-western Terai | 2.3 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 55.7 | 29.3 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 273.0 |
| Far-western Terai | 4.7 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 63.8 | 0.4 | 6.6 | 0.4 | ${ }^{100.0} \mathrm{Cc}$ | 184.0 ontinued |


| Table 8.5-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendant assisting during delivery ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Doctor | Nurse/ ANM | MCH worker | Other health professional $^{2}$ | Traditional birth attendant | Friend/ relative | Other | No one | Missing | Total | Number of births |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 24.0 | 58.1 | 0.5 | 11.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,470 |
| Primary | 8.8 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 19.9 | 55.6 | 0.3 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 510 |
| Some secondary | 19.3 | 14.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 14.5 | 44.0 | 0.4 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 279 |
| SLC and above | 44.3 | 16.1 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 10.3 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 17.2 | 9.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 16.8 | 45.6 | 0.5 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 907 |
| Illiterate | 2.8 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 24.0 | 58.7 | 0.4 | 11.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,468 |
| Antenatal care visits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 19.0 | 62.7 | 0.5 | 14.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,435 |
| $1-3$ visits | 5.4 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 30.7 | 50.2 | 0.5 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,434 |
| 4 or more visits | 33.6 | 13.5 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 14.3 | 33.8 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 386 |
| Don't know/missing | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.5 | 59.7 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 121 |
| Total | 5.8 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 22.5 | 56.0 | 0.4 | 10.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,375 |
| ANM = Auxiliary Nurse Midwife |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ If the respondent mentioned more than one attendant, only the most qualified attendant was considered. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Other health professionals include Village Health Worker, Health Assistant and Health Post Staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

in just over 1 percent of births. Traditional birth attendants assisted in 23 percent of births, while relatives and friends provided primary assistance in 56 percent of births. Eleven percent of births were delivered without any assistance, which is about the same as was reported in the 1991 NFHS ( 10 percent).

Older women and women who have already had many births are much more likely to have received no assistance at delivery, whereas first births and births to younger women tend to receive better care during delivery, including more frequent supervision by a physician.

Urban women are much more likely than rural women to receive the benefit of medical supervision during delivery; births in urban areas are 7 times more likely to be delivered with the assistance of a doctor than births in rural areas. Also, as seen with place of delivery, more educated women and women living in the Terai and the Central Hill sub-region are much more likely to have the advantage of medically-supervised delivery.

| Table 8.6 Use of clean delivery kits |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of births occurring at home in which a safe delivery kit was used, by urban-rural residence and ecological region, Nepal 1996 |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Clean delivery kit used | Number of births |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 4.1 | 156 |
| Rural | 1.7 | 3,854 |
| Ecological region |  |  |
| Mountain | 1.0 | 325 |
| Hill | 1.3 | 1,675 |
| Terai | 2.4 | 2,010 |
| Total | 1.8 | 4,010 |

or no education It should be borne in mind 15 Ministry of Health or Mission hospitals where obstetric and gynaecological services are available, and that emergency medical services are not standard in Nepal. Thus, delivery by caesarean section is low.

Respondents were also asked for their own subjective assessment of whether their child was very large, larger than average, average, smaller than average, or very small in size at birth. While information of this type is subject to considerable error for individual births, at the population level, the proportion of births that are reported as very small or small is correlated with the prevalence of low birth weight. The NFHS data indicate that about one-quarter of births were reported as being very small or smaller than average at birth, and that such births are associated with low educational level of the mother and residence in the Mid-western and Far-western regions (Table 8.7).

[^11]
## Table 8.7 Delivery characteristics: caesarean section and birth size

Among births in the three years preceding the survey, the percentage of deliveries by caesarean section, and the percent distribution by the mother's estimate of baby's size at birth, according to background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage of deliveries by caesarean section | Size of child at birth |  |  |  |  | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very small | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smalier } \\ & \text { than } \\ & \text { average } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { larger } \end{gathered}$ | Don't know | Total |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<20$ | 1.2 | 6.0 | 20.8 | 73.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 817 |
| 20-34 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 20.0 | 75.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,136 |
| 35+ | 1.0 | 7.8 | 21.8 | 70.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 422 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 21.1 | 72.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,004 |
| 2-3 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 19.4 | 76.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,684 |
| 4-5 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 19.1 | 76.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 978 |
| $6+$ | 0.2 | 6.2 | 23.0 | 70.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 709 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.4 | 6.0 | 16.9 | 77.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 278 |
| Rural | 0.7 | 5.0 | 20.6 | 74.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,097 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 0.3 | 4.7 | 21.9 | 73.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 337 |
| Hill | 1.2 | 4.7 | 20.6 | 74.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,862 |
| Terai | 0.9 | 5.5 | 19.9 | 74.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,176 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 1.4 | 5.1 | 19.1 | 75.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 924 |
| Central | 1.1 | 3.1 | 17.8 | 79.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,434 |
| Western | 1.1 | 4.0 | 18.3 | 77.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 881 |
| Mid-western | 0.6 | 8.9 | 23.8 | 67.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 695 |
| Far-western | 0.2 | 8.0 | 29.6 | 62.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 441 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 0.6 | 1.8 | 17.4 | 80.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Central Mountain | 0.0 | 1.9 | 20.8 | 77.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 121 |
| Western Mountain | 0.4 | 8.3 | 24.9 | 66.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 147 |
| Eastern Hill | 1.1 | 4.2 | 20.5 | 75.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 313 |
| Central Hill | 2.0 | 2.5 | 20.9 | 76.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 492 |
| Westem Hill | 1.6 | 2.6 | 16.8 | 80.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 525 |
| Mid-western Hill | 0.3 | 11.0 | 22.3 | 66.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 357 |
| Far-westem Hill | 0.0 | 4.8 | 27.3 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 175 |
| Eastem Terai | 1.7 | 6.0 | 18.4 | 75.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 542 |
| Central Terai | 0.7 | 3.6 | 15.5 | 80.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 821 |
| Western Terai | 0.3 | 6.1 | 20.5 | 73.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 356 |
| Mid-western Terai | 1.1 | 7.6 | 27.9 | 64.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 273 |
| Far-western Terai | 0.5 | 8.9 | 30.5 | 60.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 184 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.7 | 5.2 | 21.6 | 73.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,470 |
| Primary | 1.0 | 5.6 | 18.1 | 76.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 510 |
| Some secondary | 2.3 | 3.0 | 12.1 | 84.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 279 |
| SLC and above | 6.6 | 4.9 | 11.5 | 83.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 2.2 | 4.6 | 15.3 | 80.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 907 |
| Illiterate | 0.7 | 5.2 | 21.7 | 73.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,468 |
| Total | 1.0 | 5.1 | 20.3 | 74.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 4,375 |

### 8.3 Postpartum Care

Women in the NFHS were also asked if they had received a check-up from anyone within 24 hours following the delivery of any child born in the three years preceding the survey. The majority of women did not receive postpartum care from a trained medical professional. Seven percent were seen by doctors, 2 percent by a nurse or midwife, and 4 percent by an MCH worker and 24 percent by a traditional birth attendant (data not shown). Forty-two percent were visited by relatives or friends and one of five women did not receive postpartum care from anyone.

### 8.4 Vaccination of Children

The NFHS collected information on vaccination coverage for all children born in the three years preceding the survey, although the data presented here are for children age 12-23 months-the youngest cohort of children who have reached the age by which they should be fully vaccinated-and are restricted to children who were alive at the time of the survey. The Expanded Program on Immunization as implemented in Nepal follows the guidelines set by the World Health Organization (WHO) for vaccinating children. In order to be considered fully vaccinated, a child should receive the following vaccinations: one dose of BCG, three doses each of DPT and polio, and one dose of measles vaccine. BCG, which should be given at birth or first clinical contact, protects against tuberculosis. DPT protects against diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus. DPT and polio require three vaccinations at approximately six, ten and 14 weeks of age (since this regime is not always followed, emphasis is given on getting all three doses by the time the child reaches the age of 12 months). Measles should be given at or soon after reaching nine months. It is recommended that children receive the complete schedule of vaccinations before twelve months of age.

Information on vaccination coverage was collected in two ways: from children's health cards seen by the interviewer and from mothers' verbal reports. If a mother was able to present a health card to the interviewer, this was used as the source of information, with the interviewer recording vaccination dates directly from the card. In addition to collecting vaccination information from cards, there were two ways of collecting the information from the mother herself. If a vaccination card had been presented, but a vaccine had not been recorded on the card as being given, the mother was asked to recall whether or not that particular vaccine had been given. If the mother was not able to provide a card for the child at all, she was asked to recall whether or not the child had received BCG, polio and DPT (including the number of doses for each), and measles vaccinations. Cards were presented for 22 percent of children 12-23 months.

Information on vaccination coverage is presented in Table 8.8, according to the source of information used to determine coverage, i.e., the child health card or mother's report. Twenty-one percent of children age 12-23 months had a BCG vaccination recorded on their health card. However, not all children who are vaccinated have cards available since health cards are often retained at the health centres; an additional 55 percent of children did not have a card but were reported by their mothers to have received the BCG vaccine. Thus, overall, 76 percent of children age 12-23 months are reported to have been vaccinated against tuberculosis. Vaccinations are most effective when given at the proper age; according to the health card, 73 percent of children received the BCG vaccine by 12 months of age.

Coverage for the first doses of DPT and polio is similar to BCG coverage, 76 percent and 77 percent, respectively (Figure 8.1). Coverage declines after the first dose, as drop-out rates are high in Nepal. For polio, coverage falls to 65 percent for the second and 51 percent for the third dose; therefore one-third of children who start the polio series do not complete it. The drop-out rate is similar for the DPT series as is expected since polio and DPT are commonly administered together. Fifty-seven percent of children age 12-23 months were vaccinated against measles.


Overall, 43 percent of children age 12-23 months had all the recommended vaccinations, 36 percent before their first birthday. One of five children age 12-23 months is not vaccinated at all. While this remains far from the goal of 90 percent coverage by the year 1995 articulated in 1991 (UNICEF, 1991), it does represent an improvement since 1991 when complete coverage (all vaccinations by the survcy date) was estimated to be 37 percent.

Table 8.9 presents vaccination coverage (according to information from health cards and mothers' reports) among children age 12-23 months by selected background characteristics. In general, male children are more likely to be fully vaccinated than female children ( 47 percent versus 40 percent). There is an inverse relationship between full coverage and birth order. For example, 49 percent of first births are fully immunized compared with 34 percent of sixth and higher order births.

Children in urban areas are also much more likely to be fully immunized than rural children ( 71 percent versus 42 percent). One would expect higher coverage rates in the Terai region for two reasons: transportation and communication facilities are much better than in the Hill and Mountain regions; and a cold chain is easier to maintain than in the Hill and Mountain regions. Despite these factors, complete coverage among children living in the Terai ( 37 percent) is not any higher than among children living in the Mountains ( 37 percent) and significantly lower than among children in the Hills ( 51 percent). Much of the difference can be explained by higher DPT and polio drop-out rates in the Terai and Mountain regions. Children living in the Western development region and in the Central Hill sub-region are more likely to be fully vaccinated than children living in other areas.

Table 8.9 Vaccinations by background characteristics
Percentage of children 12-23 months who had received specific vaccines by the time of the survey (according to the vaccination card or the mother's report), and the percentage with a vaccination card, according to background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent with a card | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DPT |  |  | Polio |  |  | Measles | All ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | None |  |  |
|  | BCG | 1 | 2 | $3+$ | 1 | 2 | $3+$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 74.3 | 75.9 | 67.4 | 54.7 | 75.7 | 65.1 | 52.0 | 59.0 | 46.7 | 21.6 | 22.2 | 705 |
| Female | 77.7 | 77.1 | 65.1 | 52.2 | 77.4 | 63.9 | 49.8 | 54.0 | 39.9 | 18.8 | 21.2 | 675 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 79.3 | 79.2 | 71.8 | 58.9 | 79.4 | 69.9 | 55.0 | 64.4 | 49.0 | 17.8 | 24.4 | 313 |
| 2-3 | 82.1 | 82.3 | 70.6 | 56.4 | 82.2 | 68.4 | 54.0 | 60.4 | 46.0 | 13.8 | 23.0 | 532 |
| 4-5 | 70.3 | 70.6 | 62.6 | 50.0 | 71.7 | 61.9 | 47.8 | 51.6 | 39.8 | 25.3 | 19.1 | 300 |
| $6+$ | 64.8 | 66.8 | 53.4 | 44.2 | 66.0 | 51.7 | 42.4 | 43.7 | 34.2 | 31.5 | 18.5 | 234 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 87.6 | 87.0 | 85.3 | 77.4 | 87.0 | 85.3 | 77.4 | 77.2 | 71.1 | 11.6 | 39.2 | 84 |
| Rural | 75.2 | 75.8 | 65.0 | 51.9 | 75.9 | 63.2 | 49.2 | 55.2 | 41.5 | 20.8 | 20.6 | 1,295 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 64.9 | 65.3 | 52.7 | 44.0 | 62.5 | 51.5 | 41.9 | 49.9 | 36.9 | 28.6 | 20.4 | 111 |
| Hill | 77.1 | 76.6 | 69.5 | 58.9 | 76.1 | 67.0 | 56.5 | 62.6 | 51.3 | 20.0 | 23.2 | 619 |
| Terai | 76.8 | 78.2 | 65.5 | 49.9 | 79.3 | 64.3 | 47.1 | 52.0 | 36.9 | 19.0 | 20.5 | 649 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastem | 80.8 | 81.8 | 71.8 | 57.7 | 82.5 | 71.3 | 54.5 | 63.3 | 45.2 | 15.6 | 17.8 | 294 |
| Central | 77.8 | 80.3 | 67.6 | 52.1 | 80.2 | 65.7 | 50.7 | 54.8 | 43.2 | 17.4 | 22.2 | 452 |
| Western | 81.2 | 80.7 | 72.2 | 62.4 | 80.8 | 71.0 | 61.5 | 56.8 | 51.0 | 16.9 | 31.2 | 267 |
| Mid-western | 70.5 | 68.8 | 61.4 | 50.9 | 68.6 | 56.0 | 44.2 | 55.8 | 39.0 | 26.4 | 18.3 | 218 |
| Far-western | 59.1 | 57.4 | 47.3 | 37.2 | 57.3 | 47.8 | 34.9 | 49.1 | 32.5 | 34.7 | 15.7 | 147 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Easterm Mountain | 64.4 | 66.1 | 54.2 | 44.1 | 61.0 | 49.2 | 39.0 | 50.8 | 35.6 | 30.5 | 16.9 | 24 |
| Central Mountain | 77.9 | 77.9 | 64.7 | 58.8 | 77.9 | 66.2 | 57.4 | 58.8 | 51.5 | 19.1 | 44.1 | 38 |
| Western Mountain | 55.0 | 55.0 | 42.5 | 32.5 | 51.3 | 41.3 | 31.3 | 42.5 | 26.3 | 35.0 | 3.8 | 49 |
| Eastern Hill | 72.3 | 73.3 | 68.3 | 51.5 | 73.3 | 65.3 | 48.5 | 60.4 | 42.6 | 24.8 | 8.9 | 112 |
| Central Hill | 83.9 | 85.3 | 80.5 | 71.1 | 83.3 | 77.8 | 71.1 | 73.2 | 67.9 | 13.5 | 35.8 | 162 |
| Western Hill | 89.4 | 87.9 | 80.9 | 74.5 | 88.7 | 79.4 | 73.0 | 68.8 | 63.8 | 9.9 | 31.0 | 161 |
| Mid-western Hill | 66.1 | 63.7 | 56.5 | 46.8 | 63.7 | 51.6 | 39.5 | 50.8 | 34.7 | 30.6 | 13.7 | 121 |
| Far-western Hill | 58.3 | 56.0 | 39.3 | 25.0 | 54.8 | 40.5 | 23.8 | 46.4 | 23.8 | 33.3 | 14.3 | 64 |
| Eastern Terai | 89.3 | 90.3 | 77.0 | 64.1 | 92.2 | 79.0 | 61.2 | 67.3 | 48.5 | 6.8 | 24.3 | 158 |
| Central Terai | 73.9 | 77.4 | 59.7 | 38.9 | 78.6 | 58.0 | 36.5 | 42.4 | 26.1 | 19.6 | 10.2 | 253 |
| Western Terai | 68.9 | 69.8 | 59.2 | 44.1 | 68.9 | 58.3 | 44.1 | 38.8 | 31.7 | 27.5 | 31.7 | 106 |
| Mid-western Terai | 76.9 | 75.8 | 72.3 | 62.3 | 76.9 | 65.4 | 55.4 | 66.9 | 49.6 | 21.9 | 30.4 | 75 |
| Far-western Terai | 69.1 | 67.2 | 61.9 | 54.0 | 69.4 | 61.9 | 49.4 | 56.6 | 45.3 | 29.8 | 22.3 | 57 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 71.9 | 72.5 | 61.5 | 48.4 | 72.5 | 59.3 | 45.3 | 52.0 | 38.1 | 23.8 | 21.2 | 1,111 |
| Primary | 88.3 | 88.2 | 80.5 | 68.3 | 88.3 | 81.6 | 68.0 | 65.0 | 56.0 | 9.0 | 22.8 | 141 |
| Some secondary | 97.0 | 98.6 | 90.3 | 77.7 | 98.6 | 88.0 | 76.4 | 84.9 | 72.1 | 1.4 | 25.2 | 92 |
| SLC and above | 100.0 | 95.6 | 95.6 | 91.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.2 | 92.9 | 82.3 | 0.0 | 25.7 | 35 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 92.5 | 93.2 | 86.0 | 76.1 | 93.8 | 86.6 | 75.0 | 76.8 | 66.2 | 5.2 | 25.9 | 267 |
| Illiterate | 72.0 | 72.4 | 61.5 | 48.0 | 72.4 | 59.2 | 45.1 | 51.7 | 37.8 | 23.8 | 20.7 | 1,112 |
| Total | 76.0 | 76.4 | 66.2 | 53.5 | 76.5 | 64.5 | 50.9 | 56.6 | 43.3 | 20.2 | 21.7 | 1,379 |
| SLC = School Leaving Certificate <br> ${ }^{1}$ Children who are fully vaccinated (i.e., those who have received BCG, measles and three doses of DPT and polic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Maternal education is strongly linked to immunization status: 82 percent of children whose mothers have completed their SLC are fully vaccinated, compared with only 38 percent of children whose mothers are without formal schooling.

## Trends in Vaccination Coverage

As mentioned earlier, it is recommended that children receive all their required vaccinations during the first year of life. Table 8.10 shows the percentage of children who have received vaccinations during the first year of life according to their current age. A general idea of the trend in vaccination coverage can be obtained by comparing the coverage among children age $12-23$ months with those age $24-35$ months, with the former group being vaccinated a year before the survey and the latter two years before the survey. There is very little difference in the coverage between the two cohorts, with a slight improvement in the coverage for the first dose of polio and measles in the last year.

### 8.5 Vitamin A and Iodine

Micronutrients play an important role in the development of children as well as in curtailing high morbidity and mortality rates. It has been suggested that Vitamin A not only prevents night blindness but also decreases morbidity due to measles and other communicable diseases. The National Vitamin A Programme

| Table 8.10 Vaccinations in first year oflife |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among children $12-35$ months old, the percentage with a vaccination card and the percentage who had received each vaccine before their first birthday, according to current age of the child, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |
|  | Current age of child in months |  | All children |
| Vaccine | 12-23 | 24-35 | months |
| Vaccination card seen by interviewer | 21.7 | 12.1 | 17.0 |
| Percentage vaccinated at 0.11 months ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| BCG | 73.1 | 74.2 | 73.7 |
| DPT |  |  |  |
| 1 | 73.9 | 73.3 | 73.6 |
| 2 | 63.2 | 63.5 | 63.3 |
| $3^{+}$ | 50.8 | 49.3 | 50.1 |
| Polio 70.8 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 74.1 | 71.7 | 72.9 |
| 2 | 61.5 | 61.0 | 61.3 |
| $3^{+}$ | 48.3 | 47.9 | 48.1 |
| Measles | 45.0 | 42.0 | 43.5 |
| All vaccinations ${ }^{2}$ | 35.9 | 36.6 | 36.2 |
| No vaccinations | 24.3 | 26.3 | 25.3 |
| Number of children | 1,379 | 1,332 | 2,711 |
| ${ }^{1}$ information was obtained either from a vaccination card or from the mother if there was no written record. For children whose information was based on the mother's report, the proportion of vaccinations given during the first year of life was assumed to be the same as that for children with a written vaccination record. ${ }^{2}$ Children who have received BCG, measles and three doses of DPT and polio vaccines. |  |  |  |

launched by the Department of Health was providing a semi-annual distribution of Vitamin A capsules to children $6-60$ months in 27 districts in the Terai and Far-western Hill sub-region at the time of the survey. Iodine deficiency among children leads to stunted growth as well as goiter and mental retardation. The Ministry of Health has halted the distribution of iodine capsules, except in 8 high-risk districts, but is promoting universal use of iodized salt.

Table 8.11 shows that 32 percent of children age 6-35 months received Vitamin A supplements during the six months preceding the survey. Older children are more likely to receive Vitamin A supplementation than younger children. There is no gender difference in Vitamin A supplementation, and Vitamin A supplementation differs little by birth order. Since the programme has a rural orientation (Vitamin A capsules are most often distributed by female community health volunteers who are based in rural communities), more rural children ( 33 percent) are covered by the programme than urban children ( 18 percent). Coverage of Vitamin A among Terai children (53 percent) is much higher than in the Hill and Mountain regions of the country, reflecting the initial programme effect. A similar picture can also be seen for the sub-regions in the Terai. More women with less education provide their children with Vitamin A than women with higher education. This could be due to the emphasis of the distributioprogramme in rural areas where the proportion educated women is small.

Respondents in the NFHS were asked if any of their children under three years suffered from night blindness. If respondents stated "No" or "Don't know," interviewers were instructed to probe, by asking if the child has more than usual difficulty in seeing at dusk, at night, or in a room with poor light. In Nepal, 1 percent of children below three years of age suffer from night blindness (Table 8.11). There are only slight differences by background characteristics.

Mothers were also asked if their children under three years of age received iodine capsules during the six months preceding the survey. Less than 3 percent of children received iodine capsules. Older children (12-35 months), female children, children of birth order three and below, urban children, children who live in the Terai, children from the Eastern development region (especially children who live in the Eastern Terai sub-region), and children born to literate mothers, are more likely than other children to receive iodine capsules.

## Table 8.11 Treatment with Vitamin A and iodine

Percentage of children age 6-35 months who received Vitamin A and suffered from night blindness and percentage of children under three years who received iodine capsules, by background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Children 6-35 months |  |  | Children 0-35 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Received Vitamin A | Night blindness | Total | Received iodine capsules | Number of children |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 6 months | NA | NA | NA | 0.1 | 686 |
| 6-11 months | 18.1 | 0.5 | 675 | 1.9 | 675 |
| 12-23 months | 34.9 | 1.1 | 1,379 | 3.3 | 1,379 |
| 24-35 months | 36.6 | 1.1 | 1,332 | 3.9 | 1,332 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 32.0 | 1.0 | 1,733 | 2.4 | 2,078 |
| Female | 32.5 | 1.0 | 1,653 | 3.1 | 1,994 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 28.7 | 1.0 | 763 | 3.0 | 922 |
| 2-3 | 32.9 | 0.9 | 1,322 | 2.8 | 1,595 |
| 4-5 | 33.4 | 0.9 | 755 | 2.5 | 905 |
| $6+$ | 33.9 | 1.2 | 546 | 2.4 | 650 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.4 | 0.2 | 227 | 3.0 | 264 |
| Rural | 33.2 | 1.0 | 3,159 | 2.7 | 3,808 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 8.1 | 1.8 | 249 | 0.7 | 303 |
| Hill | 12.4 | 0.6 | 1,468 | 1.7 | 1,737 |
| Terai | 53.3 | 1.2 | 1,669 | 3.9 | 2,032 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 29.9 | 1.3 | 725 | 4.6 | 874 |
| Central | 30.5 | 0.8 | 1,132 | 1.9 | 1,354 |
| Western | 31.6 | 0.6 | 671 | 1.4 | 811 |
| Mid-western | 29.3 | 0.6 | 524 | 3.3 | 631 |
| Far-western | 48.7 | 2.3 | 334 | 3.3 | 402 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 4.6 | 0.8 | 54 | 0.7 | 63 |
| Central Mountain | 0.6 | 2.5 | 88 | 0.5 | 110 |
| Western Mountain | 16.0 | 1.7 | 107 | 0.9 | 130 |
| Eastern Hill | 5.8 | 0.4 | 265 | 0.4 | 299 |
| Central Hill | 6.9 | 0.6 | 403 | 2.4 | 474 |
| Westem Hill | 3.0 | 0.6 | 392 | 1.2 | 484 |
| Mid-western Hill | 15.8 | 0.4 | 273 | 2.1 | 320 |
| Far-western Hill | 61.5 | 1.1 | 136 | 2.4 | 160 |
| Eastern Terai | 49.0 | 1.9 | 406 | 7.5 | 512 |
| Central Terai | 49.5 | 0.7 | 641 | 1.7 | 770 |
| Western Terai | 71.5 | 0.7 | 280 | 1.7 | 327 |
| Mid-western Terai | 52.2 | 0.8 | 203 | 5.2 | 253 |
| Far-western Terai | 47.8 | 3.6 | 139 | 5.2 | 170 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Continued |


| Table 8.11-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Children 6-35 months |  |  | Children 0-35 months |  |
| Background characteristic | Received Vitamin A | Night blindness | Total | Received iodine capsules | Number of children |
| Mother's education | 33.6 | 1.2 | 2,705 | 2.4 | 3,223 |
| No education | 28.1 | 0.4 | 375 | 4.4 | 475 |
| Primary | 27.0 | 0.0 | 217 | 3.2 | 266 |
| Some secondary | 20.4 | 0.0 | 88 | 3.7 | 109 |
| SLC and above |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 26.2 | 0.0 | 690 | 3.6 | 854 |
| Illiterate | 33.7 | 1.2 | 2,696 | 2.5 | 3,218 |
| Total | 32.2 | 1.0 | 3,386 | 2.7 | 4,072 |
| NA = Not applicable <br> SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate |  |  |  |  |  |

### 8.6 Acute Respiratory Infection

Pneumonia is a leading cause of childhood mortality in Nepal. The programme to control acute respiratory infections (ARI) aims at treating cases of ARI early before complications develop. Early diagnosis and treatment with antibiotics can prevent a large proportion of deaths due to pneumonia. There is, therefore, emphasis placed on the recognition of signs of impending severity, both by mothers and primary health care workers so that help can be sought.

The prevalence of ARI was estimated by asking mothers if their children under age three had been ill with a cough accompanied by short rapid breathing in the two weeks preceding the survey. These symptoms are compatible with pneumonia. It should be bome in mind that morbidity data collected in surveys are subjective-that is, based on the mother's perception of illness and not validated by medical personnel-and that prevalence of ARI is subject to seasonality. For example, service statistics from the Department of Health show that the largest number of ARI visits are made during the period mid-January to mid-June (Department of Health Services, 1995), which roughly corresponds to the dates of the survey fieldwork.

Table 8.12 shows that 34 percent of children under three years of age were ill with ARI at some time in the two weeks preceding the survey. The prevalence of ARI varies by age of the child, dropping from around $37-38$ percent from birth to two years of age to 26 percent after age two. The differences in ARI prevalence by sex of the child, birth order, urban-rural residence, ecological and development regions are small. ARI does vary, however, by sub-regions ranging from a high of 45 percent in the Far-western Terai sub-region to a low of 27 percent in the Eastern Mountain sub-region.

Use of a health facility for treatment of ARI is low in Nepal; less than 1 in 5 children reported to be suffering from ARI was taken to a health facility. Use of a health facility for ARI is lowest among children under 6 months of age and highest for children 12-23 months old. Sex of the child does not influence use of a health facility, but residence does. Children in urban areas and children in the Mid-western and Far-western regions are most likely to be taken to a health facility for treatment. Children of more educated mothers are also more likely to receive treatment in a facility than children of women with little or no education.

Table 8.12 Prevalence and treatment of acute respiratory infection and prevalence of fever
Percentage of children under three years who were ill with a cough accompanied by fast breathing during the two weeks preceding the survey and the percentage of ill children who were taken to a health facility and the percentage of children ill with fever, by background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage of children with cough accompanied by fast breathing (ARI) | Among children with ARI, percentage taken to a health facility or provider ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of children ill with fever | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |
| $<6$ months | 37.0 | 12.1 | 30.3 | 686 |
| 6-11 months | 38.1 | 19.0 | 45.8 | 675 |
| 12-23 months | 38.0 | 21.1 | 44.3 | 1,379 |
| 24-35 months | 26.4 | 17.8 | 35.7 | 1,332 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 34.6 | 18.2 | 39.8 | 2,078 |
| Female | 33.6 | 18.2 | 38.9 | 1,994 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 36.9 | 20.3 | 40.8 | 922 |
| 2-3 | 34.2 | 18.7 | 37.5 | 1,595 |
| 4-5 | 33.2 | 16.1 | 39.8 | 905 |
| $6+$ | 31.1 | 16.4 | 41.3 | 650 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 30.4 | 28.5 | 39.4 | 264 |
| Rural | 34.3 | 17.6 | 39.4 | 3,808 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 32.7 | 18.5 | 45.0 | 303 |
| Hill | 34.2 | 19.2 | 40.5 | 1,737 |
| Terai | 34.2 | 17.3 | 37.5 | 2,032 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 31.5 | 14.7 | 33.5 | 874 |
| Central | 35.2 | 17.0 | 41.3 | 1,354 |
| Western | 31.1 | 17.2 | 34.5 | 811 |
| Mid-western | 37.8 | 21.3 | 46.4 | 631 |
| Far-westem | 36.2 | 25.3 | 44.3 | 402 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 27.0 | 26.8 | 34.9 | 63 |
| Central Mountain | 40.1 | 21.5 | 56.3 | 110 |
| Western Mountain | 29.1 | 11.3 | 40.4 | 130 |
| Eastern Hill | 30.6 | 16.9 | 31.0 | 299 |
| Central Hill Westem Hill | 42.6 | 21.0 | 48.4 | 474 |
| Westem Hill | 28.8 | 16.9 | 32.1 | 484 |
| Mid-western Hill | 34.7 | 21.9 | 52.3 | 320 |
| Far-western Hill | 31.8 | 16.4 | 37.0 | 160 |
| Eastern Terai | 32.6 | 12.3 | 34.8 | 512 |
| Central Terai | 30.0 | 12.7 | 34.9 | 770 |
| Westem Terai | 34.5 | 17.6 | 38.1 | 327 |
| Mid-western Terai | 42.8 | 23.3 | 41.7 | 253 |
| Far-western Terai | 44.6 | 33.5 | 50.9 | 170 |
|  |  |  |  | Continued |


| Table 8.12-Continued |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Percentage of children with cough accompanied by fast breathing (ARI) | Among children with ARI, percentage taken to a health facility or provider ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of children ill with fever | Number of children |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 34.6 | 17.3 | 40.0 | 3,223 |
| Primary | 34.3 | 19.8 | 37.7 | 475 |
| Some secondary | 32.3 | 25.6 | 35.8 | 266 |
| SLC and above | 22.2 | 24.3 | 35.7 | 109 |
| Literacy 31.785 |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 31.7 | 24.9 | 36.2 | 854 |
| Illiterate | 34.7 | 16.6 | 40.2 | 3,218 |
| Total | 34.1 | 18.2 | 39.4 | 4,072 |
| SLC = School Leaving Certificate <br> ${ }^{1}$ Includes all health facilities except pharmacy, shop, and traditional practitioner. |  |  |  |  |

### 8.7 Fever

A major manifestation of acute infection in children is fever. In the NFHS, mothers were asked whether their children under age three had a fever in the two weeks preceding the survey. Table 8.12 shows that 39 percent of children under three years of age were reported to have had fever in the two weeks prior to the survey. Prevalence of fever peaks at 46 percent among children age 6-11 months. Differentials by sex, birth order, and urban-rural residence are negligible, but there is considerable variation in the prevalence of fever among the ecological and development regions and sub-regions. Fever is most prevalent in the Mountain region and in the Mid-western and Far-western regions, especially in the Central Mountain sub-region.

### 8.8 Diarrhoea

Dehydration caused by severe diarrhoea is a major cause of morbidity and mortality among young children in Nepal. A simple and effective response to a child's dehydration is a prompt increase in fluid intake, that is, oral rehydration therapy (ORT). Rehydration therapy may include the use of solution prepared from packets of oral rehydration salts (ORS) or recommended home fluids (RHF) such as sugar-salt-water solution.

In Nepal, the Ministry of Health utilizes both preventive as well as curative strategies to minimise the effect of diarrhoea on child health. The Department of Health emphasises health education programmes to reduce the incidence of diarrhoea among children, and promotes the use of oral rehydration therapy mostly through ORS. However, their policy on RHF is to promote breastfeeding and intake of additional fluids, and the use of sugar-salt-water solution is actively discouraged and contrary to policy. Initially this programme recommended the use of both ORS and RHF. In recent years, however, because of the widespread availability of ORS packets (through both health institutions and commercial outlets), the programme mostly emphasises the use of ORS.

In the NFHS, women who had a birth in the three years preceding the survey were asked about their knowledge of ORS and treatment of diarrhoea in general. For all children under three years experiencing a bout of diarrhoea in the last two weeks, mothers were asked whether there was blood in the diarrhoea, whether fluid intake was increased or decreased, whether the child was given ORS, and what else was given to treat the child's diarrhoea. It should be noted that the incidence of diarrhoea in Nepal is seasonal, thus care should be taken in the interpretation of the data. Service statistics from the Department of Health show that visits to health
institutions for the treatment of diarrhoea is high during the mid-April to mid-August period (Department of Health Services, 1995).

Table 8.13 presents data on the prevalence of diarrhoea in children under three years of age. Twentyeight percent of children had experienced diarrhoea at some time in the two weeks preceding the survey; 6 percent of children had experienced bloody diarrhoea probably indicating dysentery. Diarrhoeal prevalence increases with age to peak at age $6-23$ months ( $35-36$ percent) then falls again at older ages (Figure 8.2). A similar pattern is observed for bloody diarrhoea.

Diarrhoea and bloody diarrhoea varies little by sex and birth order. The relationship between maternal education and diarrhoeal prevalence in children is pronounced, especially with regard to bloody diarrhoea. The children of women with at least some secondary school are less likely to experience bloody diarrhoea ( 2 percent) than children of women with no education ( 7 percent).

Diarrhoeal prevalence, especially bloody diarrhoea, varies by residence. For example, childrcn in the Mountain region are much more likely to experience bloody diarrhoea than children in the Terai. Across subregions, prevalence of bloody diarrhoea is 16 percent in the Western Mountain sub-region compared with 3 percent in the Eastern Mountain, Hill, and Terai sub-regions.

General knowledge of ORS is widespread among mothers ( 95 percent); yet when asked about specific eating and drinking regimes for sick children, the findings are less encouraging (Table 8.14). Among women with a birth in the three years preceding the survey, 14 percent reported that a child should get less to drink and 18 percent said they should get less to eat when the child is sick with diarrhoea. Only 30 percent of women correctly said that the child should receive more to drink; and 69 percent said that the child should get more to eat.

Older mothers more commonly than younger mothers believe that a child with diarrhoea should receive less to drink and eat. Mothers in the Mountain and Far-western regions are also more likely to report that children with diarrhoea should receive less to eat and drink. Perhaps unexpectedly, maternal education does not lead to a consistent pattern of better knowledge regarding food and fluid intake during diarrhoeal episodes.

Table 8.15 shows data concerning types of treatment of recent episodes of diarrhoea among children under three years, as reported by the mother. The NFHS indicates that only 14 percent of children with diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey were taken to a health facility for treatment; older children, children of lower birth order, urban children, and children from the Mid-western and Far-western regions were more likely to be taken to a facility.

Twenty-six percent of children with diarrhoea were given solution prepared from ORS packets, while 4 percent were given recommended home fluids (RHF) such as breast milk. Only 35 percent of children with diarthoea were given more to drink than before the diarrhoea. Overall, 51 percent of children were given neither ORS nor RHF nor increased fluids, placing this group at higher risk of mortality. Very few children with diarrhoea were given antibiotic injections, but 37 percent were provided some sort of home-based traditional remedies. Over one-third ( 35 percent) of children with diarrhoea were not given any treatment

| Table 8.13 Preyalence of diarrhoea |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of children under three years of age with diarrhoea and diarrhoea with blood during the two weeks preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |
| Diarrhoea in the preceding 2 weeks |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | All diarthoea | Diarthoea with blood | Number of children ${ }^{1}$ |
| Child's age |  |  |  |
| $<6$ months | 19.0 | 2.0 | 686 |
| 6-11 months | 35.8 | 7.6 | 675 |
| 12-23 months | 35.1 | 8.5 | 1,379 |
| 24-35 months | 19.7 | 5.8 | 1,332 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 28.7 | 7.0 | 2,078 |
| Female | 26.2 | 5.8 | 1,994 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |
| 1 | 27.9 | 6.2 | 922 |
| 2-3 | 26.3 | 6.1 | 1,595 |
| 4-5 | 26.4 | 5.7 | 905 |
| 6+ | 31.2 | 8.1 | 650 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 23.8 | 4.5 | 264 |
| Rural | 27.7 | 6.5 | 3,808 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 37.1 | 12.7 | 303 |
| Hill | 27.7 | 7.0 | 1,737 |
| Terai | 25.8 | 4.9 | 2,032 |
| Development region |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 22.6 | 3.2 | 874 |
| Central | 26.4 | 6.0 | 1,354 |
| Westem | 25.6 | 4.8 | 811 |
| Far-western | 34.6 | 10.6 | 631 |
| Far-western | 34.2 | 10.9 | 402 |


| Table 8.13-Continued |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Diarthoea in the preceding 2 weeks |  | Number of children ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | All diarrhoea | Diarrhoea with blood |  |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 21.1 | 3.3 | 63 |
| Central Mountain | 46.7 | 14.7 | 110 |
| Westem Mountain | 36.6 | 15.5 | 130 |
| Eastern Hill | 17.3 | 3.0 | 299 |
| Central Hill | 31.6 | 6.3 | 474 |
| Westem Hill | 20.1 | 4.3 | 484 |
| Mid-westem Hill | 41.3 | 13.4 | 320 |
| Far-western Hill | 31.3 | 12.3 | 160 |
| Eastern Terai | 25.9 | 3.4 | 512 |
| Central Terai | 20.4 | 4.6 | 770 |
| Westem Terai | 33.7 | 5.5 | 327 |
| Mid-western Terai | 27.2 | 6.1 | 253 |
| Far-western Terai | 33.5 | 7.5 | 170 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| No education | 28.2 | 7.0 | 3,223 |
| Primary | 28.1 | 5.6 | 475 |
| Some secondary | 20.4 | 1.9 | 266 |
| SLC and above | 20.1 | 2.1 | 109 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |
| Literate | 23.9 | 3.9 | 854 |
| Illiterate | 28.4 | 7.0 | 3,218 |
| Total | 27.5 | 6.4 | 4,072 |
| $\overline{\text { SLC }=\text { School Leaving Certificate }}$ <br> ${ }^{1}$ Includes diarthoea in the past 24 hours. |  |  |  |

Generally, therapeutic intervention increases with increasing age of the child. For instance, increasing fluid intake changes from 19 percent of children under 6 months of age to 41 percent of children 24-35 months old. Similarly, non-treatment drops sharply with increasing age, from 57 percent of children under 6 months to 31 percent of children 24-35 months old. Male children are slightly more likely than female children to receive increased fluids. Urban children and children of more educated women are also more likely to be treated with some form of oral rehydration therapy. Children of illiterate women, for instance, are nearly twice as likely to have received no treatment for diarrhoea ( 38 percent) than children of literate women ( 21 percent).

Nearly two-thirds ( 63 percent) of children sick with diarrhoea were given less food during the illness and 31 percent were given less to drink (data not shown). These patterns reflect a distressing lack of practical knowledge among some women regarding nutritional requirements of children during episodes of diarrhoeal illness.

Table 8.16 shows the percentage of women with children under three years who know about ORS, the percentage who have ever used ORS, and among those who have ever used Jeevan Jal (a commercially produced packet of ORS widely available in Nepal) the percentage who prepared it correctly. Too concentrated a mixture of ORS can be dangerous. The liter is not a common measure in Nepal, and tea glasses, which are indicated as the measure to use on the Jeevan Jal packet, come in differing sizes. In the NFHS, a woman who mixed a full packet of Jeevan Jal with one litre, or two manas, or six tea glasses of water is considered as having prepared Jeevan Jal correctly.

It is heartening to note that knowledge of ORS in Nepal is nearly universal among mothers who had a birth in the three years before the survey ( 95 percent). Since knowledge of ORS is nearly universal, differentials are small. Older and less educated women are less likely to know about ORS than younger and more educated women. Women from the Far-western region are less likely to have heard of ORS than women from other development regions.

Figure 8.2
Prevalence of Diarrhoea and Bloody Diarrhoea by Age of the Child


## Table 8.14 Knowledge of diarchoea care

Percentage of women with births in the three years preceding the survey who know about the use of packets of oral rehydration salts (ORS) for treatment of diarrhoea, and the percent distribution by opinion on appropriate feeding practices during diarrhoea, according to background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Know about ORS packets | Quantities that should be given during diarthoea: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Liquids |  |  |  | Solid foods |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Less | Same | More | Don't know | Less | Same | More | Don't know |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 95.3 | 13.2 | 47.0 | 37.8 | 1.9 | 11.8 | 10.2 | 76.5 | 1.4 | 403 |
| 20-24 | 95.9 | 11.6 | 58.6 | 27.9 | 2.0 | 15.0 | 12.3 | 70.9 | 1.8 | 1,210 |
| 25-29 | 95.6 | 12.8 | 55.7 | 28.9 | 2.6 | 19.5 | 10.5 | 68.1 | 1.9 | 1,024 |
| 30-34 | 94.1 | 16.1 | 51.1 | 30.6 | 2.1 | 18.2 | 11.6 | 67.8 | 2.4 | 643 |
| 35+ | 91.0 | 21.5 | 41.8 | 32.7 | 4.0 | 23.7 | 10.6 | 63.0 | 2.7 | 532 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 96.9 | 12.8 | 63.7 | 22.7 | 0.9 | 19.6 | 10.0 | 69.2 | 1.2 | 248 |
| Rural | 94.6 | 14.3 | 52.3 | 30.9 | 2.5 | 17.5 | 11.3 | 69.1 | 2.1 | 3,565 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 93.3 | 18.3 | 54.9 | 23.7 | 3.1 | 23.1 | 16.6 | 57.4 | 2.9 | 291 |
| Hill | 93.0 | 14.5 | 60.9 | 22.2 | 2.4 | 20.5 | 14.5 | 62.9 | 2.1 | 1,638 |
| Terai | 96.6 | 13.4 | 45.9 | 38.4 | 2.3 | 14.3 | 7.6 | 76.4 | 1.8 | 1,883 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 95.5 | 11.4 | 43.6 | 40.7 | 4.3 | 14.2 | 19.3 | 62.8 | 3.8 | 802 |
| Central | 95.6 | 15.8 | 54.8 | 26.5 | 3.0 | 18.3 | 6.2 | 73.6 | 1.9 | 1,268 |
| Western | 96.9 | 11.6 | 67.1 | 19.9 | 1.4 | 15.4 | 6.7 | 75.9 | 2.0 | 764 |
| Mid-western | 93.0 | 11.8 | 54.3 | 32.7 | 1.2 | 20.3 | 18.4 | 60.3 | 0.9 | 595 |
| Far-western | 89.0 | 24.2 | 36.7 | 38.5 | 0.6 | 23.2 | 8.7 | 67.7 | 0.4 | 384 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 96.6 | 17.2 | 55.9 | 22.8 | 4.1 | 18.6 | 29.0 | 48.3 | 4.1 | 60 |
| Central Mountain | 91.7 | 24.4 | 58.3 | 15.0 | 2.2 | 27.2 | 7.8 | 62.8 | 2.2 | 101 |
| Western Mountain | 93.0 | 14.0 | 51.9 | 30.8 | 3.3 | 22.0 | 17.8 | 57.5 | 2.8 | 131 |
| Eastern Hill | 92.3 | 14.6 | 48.0 | 32.5 | 4.9 | 18.3 | 28.0 | 50.0 | 3.7 | 272 |
| Central Hill | 94.1 | 17.8 | 62.0 | 16.4 | 3.7 | 25.1 | 8.1 | 63.9 | 2.9 | 450 |
| Western Hill | 97.1 | 10.8 | 74.4 | 12.6 | 2.2 | 14.6 | 8.6 | 74.2 | 2.7 | 458 |
| Mid-western Hill | 91.3 | 11.6 | 59.6 | 28.9 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 23.3 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 303 |
| Far-western Hill | 82.0 | 21.0 | 42.4 | 36.6 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 9.3 | 70.2 | 0.0 | 156 |
| Eastern Terai | 97.3 | 8.7 | 39.6 | 47.8 | 3.9 | 11.2 | 13.0 | 72.0 | 3.8 | 470 |
| Central Terai | 97.1 | 13.3 | 49.7 | 34.4 | 2.6 | 12.7 | 4.8 | 81.2 | 1.2 | 718 |
| Western Terai | 96.6 | 12.9 | 56.0 | 30.8 | 0.3 | 16.5 | 4.0 | 78.6 | 0.9 | 306 |
| Mid-western Terai | - 95.5 | 14.0 | 46.1 | 38.0 | 1.9 | 15.2 | 10.5 | 73.1 | 1.1 | 232 |
| Far-western Terai | 93.8 | 28.1 | 27.0 | 44.2 | 0.7 | 24.7 | 6.6 | 68.1 | 0.7 | 157 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 93.6 | 15.8 | 47.1 | 34.3 | 2.9 | 18.2 | 9.6 | 69.8 | 2.3 | 3,022 |
| Primary | 98.5 | 10.8 | 67.7 | 20.5 | 1.0 | 17.2 | 17.1 | 64.5 | 1.2 | 434 |
| Some secondary | 99.7 | 5.0 | 85.2 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 10.1 | 17.7 | 71.8 | 0.4 | 251 |
| SLC and above | 100.0 | 6.3 | 85.1 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 17.8 | 62.2 | 0.0 | 105 |
| Literacy $\quad 03.6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 93.6 | 7.4 | 79.2 | 12.7 | 0.7 | 15.8 | 18.3 | 64.9 | 1.0 | 796 |
| Illiterate | 99.4 | 16.0 | 46.1 | 35.0 | 2.9 | 18.1 | 9.4 | 70.3 | 2.3 | 3,016 |
| Total | 94.8 | 14.2 | 53.0 | 30.4 | 2.4 | 17.6 | 11.2 | 69.1 | 2.0 | 3,813 |
| $\overline{\text { SLC }}=$ School Leaving Certificate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 8. 15 Treatment of diarrhoea

Among children under three years who had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey, the percentage taken for treatment to a health facility or provider, the percentage who received oral rehydration therapy (ORT), the percentage who did not receive any ORT, and the percentage given other treatments, according to background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage taken to a health facility or provider ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Oral rehydration therapy (ORT) |  |  |  | Did not receive any ORT | Other treatments |  | No treatment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ORS packet | $\begin{gathered} \text { RHF } \\ \text { at } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Either } \\ & \text { ORS or } \end{aligned}$ RHF | In- creased fluids <br> fluid |  | Injection | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Home } \\ & \text { remedy/ } \\ & \text { Other } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 6 months | 5.1 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 4.5 | 19.4 | 76.6 | 0.0 | 22.5 | 56.7 | 130 |
| 6-11 months | 12.1 | 20.7 | 3.3 | 22.4 | 26.7 | 60.9 | 1.5 | 35.8 | 40.8 | 242 |
| 12-23 months | 17.5 | 30.7 | 5.6 | 34.7 | 40.0 | 44.2 | 1.0 | 41.6 | 28.0 | 485 |
| 24-35 months | 12.9 | 32.6 | 3.9 | 35.1 | 41.1 | 41.8 | 2.9 | 35.3 | 30.6 | 262 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 14.7 | 27.7 | 4.2 | 30.0 | 37.1 | 48.8 | 1.3 | 37.5 | 32.8 | 597 |
| Female | 12.9 | 23.8 | 4.1 | 27.0 | 32.5 | 53.6 | 1.6 | 35.7 | 36.9 | 522 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 15.9 | 27.5 | 5.2 | 31.2 | 33.5 | 52.8 | 0.6 | 38.6 | 35.8 | 257 |
| 2-3 | 15.5 | 29.0 | 3.4 | 31.3 | 41.1 | 44.3 | 1.9 | 40.4 | 28.3 | 419 |
| 4-5 | 12.8 | 24.2 | 3.0 | 25.7 | 29.4 | 56.4 | 2.3 | 32.3 | 41.0 | 239 |
| $6+$ | 8.9 | 19.2 | 5.8 | 23.2 | 30.6 | 56.1 | 0.5 | 31.5 | 39.0 | 203 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.3 | 40.7 | 9.0 | 46.2 | 42.6 | 38.7 | 2.5 | 48.0 | 25.6 | 63 |
| Rural | 13.6 | 25.0 | 3.9 | 27.6 | 34.5 | 51.7 | 1.4 | 36.0 | 35.3 | 1,056 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 13.4 | 28.9 | 5.3 | 32.7 | 42.6 | 44.9 | 0.0 | 31.9 | 33.1 | 112 |
| Hill | 13.1 | 24.1 | 4.0 | 26.9 | 36.7 | 49.7 | 0.3 | 32.9 | 36.1 | 481 |
| Terai | 14.6 | 26.8 | 4.1 | 29.2 | 31.7 | 53.5 | 2.8 | 41.1 | 33.8 | 525 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 11.9 | 31.9 | 6.5 | 35.7 | 29.3 | 54.1 | 0.8 | 38.3 | 36.6 | 197 |
| Central | 11.0 | 25.4 | 4.8 | 29.1 | 37.0 | 50.0 | 2.3 | 35.2 | 34.6 | 358 |
| Western | 10.5 | 24.9 | 3.7 | 26.8 | 39.8 | 46.0 | 0.5 | 42.4 | 25.3 | 208 |
| Mid-western | 18.4 | 23.1 | 2.9 | 25.3 | 38.4 | 49.8 | 0.8 | 32.3 | 37.1 | 218 |
| Far-western | 21.6 | 24.1 | 1.9 | 25.0 | 24.8 | 58.7 | 2.6 | 36.4 | 42.5 | 138 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | (15.6) | (25.0) | (6.3) | (31.3) | (28.1) | (62.5) | (0.0) | (31.3) | (46.9) | 13 |
| Central Mountain | 9.8 | 29.3 | 7.6 | 34.8 | 48.9 | 39.1 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 30.4 | 52 |
| Western Mountain | 16.7 | 29.5 | 2.6 | 30.8 | 39.7 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 35.9 | 32.1 | 48 |
| Eastem Hill | 12.8 | 34.0 | 4.3 | 34.0 | 23.4 | 55.3 | 0.0 | 31.9 | 40.4 | 52 |
| Central Hill | 12.4 | 26.6 | 6.0 | 31.5 | 39.0 | 45.2 | 0.5 | 34.2 | 31.3 | 150 |
| Western Hill | 9.8 | 27.0 | 4.3 | 29.3 | 42.2 | 43.7 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 27.7 | 97 |
| Mid-western Hill | 16.2 | 17.6 | 2.9 | 20.6 | 38.2 | 52.2 | 0.0 | 27.9 | 41.9 | 132 |
| Far-western Hill | 13.6 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 28.8 | 62.1 | 1.5 | 27.3 | 47.0 | 50 |
| Eastern Terai | 11.2 | 31.8 | 7.4 | 36.8 | 31.8 | 52.7 | 1.2 | 41.5 | 34.1 | 132 |
| Central Terai | 10.1 | 23.1 | 2.8 | 25.0 | 31.3 | 58.2 | 4.7 | 38.3 | 39.2 | 157 |
| Westem Terai | 11.1 | 23.1 | 3.1 | 24.6 | 37.7 | 48.0 | 0.9 | 43.7 | 23.1 | 110 |
| Mid-westem Terai | 25.5 | 31.4 | 2.5 | 32.6 | 33.1 | 48.5 | 2.5 | 43.5 | 30.1 | 69 |
| Far-western Terai | 28.3 | 27.2 | 3.4 | 28.3 | 19.6 | 59.2 | 4.9 | 40.4 | 43.0 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ontinued |

Table 8.15-Coninued

| Background characteristic | Percentage taken to a health facility or provider ${ }^{1}$ | Oral rehydration therapy (ORT) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Did } \\ \text { not } \\ \text { receive } \\ \text { any ORT } \end{gathered}$ | Other treatments |  | No treatment | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ORS packet | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RHF } \\ & \text { at } \\ & \text { home } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Either } \\ & \text { ORS or } \end{aligned}$ RHF | $\underset{\substack{\text { In- } \\ \text { creased }}}{\text { fluids }}$ fluids |  | Injection | Home remedy/ Other |  |  |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 13.0 | 23.3 | 3.5 | 25.8 | 32.0 | 53.7 | 1.3 | 35.2 | 37.6 | 909 |
| Primary | 19.0 | 37.3 | 6.0 | 40.5 | 46.0 | 40.7 | 3.3 | 40.3 | 24.4 | 134 |
| Secondary+ | 14.3 | 36.0 | 9.0 | 41.7 | 51.3 | 37.4 | 0.0 | 47.9 | 18.6 | 76 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 17.1 | 33.5 | 5.8 | 37.0 | 49.8 | 38.9 | 0.7 | 45.4 | 20.6 | 204 |
| Illiterate | 13.1 | 24.2 | 3.8 | 26.7 | 31.6 | 53.7 | 1.6 | 34.7 | 37.8 | 914 |
| Total | 13.8 | 25.9 | 4.2 | 28.6 | 35.0 | 51.0 | 1.4 | 36.7 | 34.7 | 1,119 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 children who had diarrhoea. Oral rehydration therapy (ORT) includes solution prepared from commercially produced packets of oral rehydration salts (ORS), and recommended home fluids (RHF), such as breastmilk, and increased fluids.
${ }^{1}$ Includes all health facilities except pharmacy, shop and traditional practitioner.

About 63 percent of mothers who had a birth in the three years preceding the survey have used ORS at sometime. Women who live in urban areas, or who are educated are more likely to have used ORS than their rural or less educated counterparts. Likewise, women from the Terai are more likely to have used ORS than women from the Hills or Mountains.

In order to get an idea of whether or not mothers know how to mix ORS properly, women who had used a whole packet of Jeevan Jal were asked for the amount of water they used to prepare the solution. As can be seen from Table 8.16 only about one in three women know how to mix Jeevan Jal correctly. As expected, women who are better educated are more likely to prepare the solution correctly. Surprisingly, no urban-rural differences were observed.

The mothers were further asked where they could obtain ORS packets. The most common sources mentioned were pharmacies ( 59 percent), health or sub-health posts ( 51 percent), shops ( 38 percent), and public hospitals or district clinics ( 21 percent) (data not shown).

Women were also asked about the time taken to the nearest source of ORS. Two of five women are less than half an hour from a source of ORS and another one-third are within an hour of a source (data not shown). As expected, urban women and women from the Terai are closer to a source than rural women and those living in the Hills and Mountains.

## Table 8.16 Knowledge and use of ORS and Jeevan Jal

Percentage of women with a birth in the three years preceding the survey who know about ORS, the percentage who have ever used ORS, and among those who have used Jeevan Jal, the percentage who used it correctly, according to background characteristics, Nepal, 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage of women who: |  |  | Among women who ever used Jeevan Jal, the percentage who: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Know about ORS | Everused ORS | Number of women | Used it correctly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 95.3 | 49.7 | 403 | 29.1 | 195 |
| 20-24 | 95.9 | 62.7 | 1,210 | 36.6 | 740 |
| 25-29 | 95.6 | 67.2 | 1,024 | 33.4 | 673 |
| 30-34 | 94.1 | 62.7 | 643 | 35.9 | 399 |
| 35-39 | 92.2 | 67.8 | 347 | 34.3 | 234 |
| 40-44 | 88.5 | 58.4 | 142 | 28.7 | 83 |
| 45.49 | (89.8) | (65.5) | 43 | (34.9) | 27 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 96.9 | 79.2 | 248 | 34.8 | 184 |
| Rural | 94.6 | 61.7 | 3,565 | 34.4 | 2,166 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 93.3 | 57.0 | 291 | 34.4 | 166 |
| Hill | 93.0 | 58.0 | 1,638 | 37.7 | 935 |
| Terai | 96.6 | 68.0 | 1,883 | 32.0 | 1,249 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 95.5 | 68.7 | 802 | 38.0 | 541 |
| Central | 95.6 | 63.2 | 1,268 | 32.9 | 776 |
| Western | 96.9 | 61.2 | 764 | 41.7 | 460 |
| Mid-western | 93.0 | 62.1 | 595 | 29.6 | 368 |
| Far-western | 89.0 | 53.9 | 384 | 23.1 | 205 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 96.6 | 62.8 | 60 | 34.1 | 38 |
| Central Mountain | 91.7 | 57.8 | 101 | 38.5 | 58 |
| Western Mountain | 93.0 | 53.7 | 131 | 31.3 | 70 |
| Eastern Hill | 92.3 | 57.3 | 272 | 41.1 | 156 |
| Central Hill | 94.1 | 61.8 | 450 | 43.1 | 268 |
| Western Hill | 97.1 | 58.5 | 458 | 43.2 | 263 |
| Mid-western Hill | 91.3 | 60.9 | 303 | 27.9 | 184 |
| Far-western Hill | 82.0 | 41.0 | 156 | 11.9 | 64 |
| Eastern Terai | 97.3 | 76.1 | 470 | 37.1 | 347 |
| Central Terai | 97.1 | 64.8 | 718 | 26.2 | 449 |
| Western Terai | 96.6 | 65.4 | 306 | 39.6 | 197 |
| Mid-western Terai | 95.5 | 66.4 | 232 | 29.6 | 152 |
| Far-western Terai | 93.8 | 66.2 | 157 | 29.3 | 102 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 93.6 | 60.8 | 3,022 | 30.8 | 1,814 |
| Primary | 98.5 | 67.2 | 434 | 47.8 | 287 |
| Some secondary | 99.7 | 72.0 | 251 | 45.3 | 173 |
| SLC and above | 100.0 | 81.5 | 105 | 44.9 | 76 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 99.4 | 71.3 | 796 | 49.5 | 546 |
| Illiterate | 93.6 | 60.6 | 3,016 | 29.9 | 1,804 |
| Total | 94.8 | 62.9 | 3,813 | 34.4 | 2,350 |

Note: Jeevan Jal is a brand of oral rehydration salts (ORS) that is widely available in Nepal. Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 women.
SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate

## CHAPTER 9

## INFANT FEEDING, CHILDHOOD AND MATERNAL NUTRITION

The Nepal Family Health Survey (NFHS) asked mothers about the duration and intensity of breastfeeding, the types of complementary food given, and whether or not a bottle with a nipple was used while breastfeeding, for all births occurring during the three years before the survey. Furthermore, the height and weight of these children as well as their mother's height and weight were measured.

Infant feeding practices affect the health of both the mother and her child. They are important determinants of children's nutritional status and many studies have shown that breastfeeding has beneficial effects on the nutritional status, morbidity, and mortality of young children. Breastfeeding is also associated with longer periods of postpartum amenorrhoea, which in turn leads to longer birth intervals and lower fertility levels. A longer birth interval allows mothers to recover fully before the next pregnancy and averts maternal depletion, which may follow births that are too closely spaced.

Maternal nutritional status has important implications for the health of the mother as well as that of her children. A woman who is in poor nutritional health has a greater risk of having an adverse pregnancy outcome and is more likely to give birth to underweight babies.

### 9.1 Breastfeeding and Supplementation

## Prevalence of Breastfeeding

Table 9.1 shows the percentage of children who were ever breastfed and the timing of initial breastfeeding for all children born in the three years before the survey by selected background characteristics. Breastfeeding is nearly universal in Nepal: 98 percent of children were breastfed at sometime. The 1991 NFHS showed a similar proportion breastfed: 97 percent of children born during the five years before the survey (Ministry of Health, 1993).

The differentials in children ever breastfed by sex, residence, ecological region, and development region are small. However, children of mothers who are at least high school graduates (SLC) are less likely to be breastfed than children of mothers with lower levels of education.

Early initiation of breastfeeding is beneficial for both mothers and their children: early suckling benefits mothers because it stimulates the release of a hormone that helps the uterus to contract; the first breast milk is important for babies because it contains colostrum which is rich in antibodies that protect the newborn from diseases. In Nepal, although almost all babies are breastfed at some time, only 18 percent are breastfed within one hour of birth and three of five babies are breastfed within 24 hours of birth. The 1991 NFHS, which collected information on all children born in the five years before the survey, found that 22 percent of children were breastfed within one hour of birth (Ministry of Health, 1993). It is important to point out that since 1991 the proportion of children breastfed within one hour has markedly declined in the rural areas, the Mountain region and the Central development region of Nepal.

## Table 9.1 Initial breastfeeding

Percentage of children born in the three years preceding the survey who were ever breastfed, and the percentage who started breastfeeding within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, by selected background characteristics, Nepal, 1996

| Background characteristic | Percentage ever breastfed | Percentage who started breastfeeding: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { cildren } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within one hour of birth | Within one day of birth ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 97.1 | 18.1 | 59.9 | 2,251 |
| Female | 98.3 | 18.3 | 59.6 | 2,124 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 97.3 | 17.0 | 66.8 | 278 |
| Rural | 97.7 | 18.3 | 59.3 | 4,097 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 98.2 | 21.7 | 75.2 | 337 |
| Hill | 98.5 | 22.1 | 77.3 | 1,862 |
| Terai | 96.9 | 14.2 | 42.0 | 2,176 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 97.3 | 18.4 | 54.5 | 924 |
| Central | 97.4 | 11.7 | 49.7 | 1,434 |
| Western | 98.0 | 15.9 | 58.5 | 881 |
| Mid-western | 97.9 | 27.3 | 71.9 | 695 |
| Far-western | 98.5 | 28.9 | 86.3 | 441 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 97.0 | 23.5 | 77.8 | 69 |
| Central Mountain | 98.6 | 10.8 | 82.2 | 121 |
| Western Mountain | 98.3 | 30.0 | 68.4 | 147 |
| Eastern Hill | 99.3 | 28.8 | 84.0 | 313 |
| Central Hill | 99.1 | 15.5 | 82.7 | 492 |
| Western Hill | 98.6 | 20.4 | 68.3 | 525 |
| Mid-western Hill | 97.0 | 26.5 | 72.5 | 357 |
| Far-western Hill | 98.3 | 25.1 | 86.8 | 175 |
| Eastern Terai | 96.1 | 11.5 | 34.0 | 542 |
| Central Terai | 96.2 | 9.5 | 24.4 | 821 |
| Western Terai | 97.1 | 9.2 | 43.8 | 356 |
| Mid-western Terai | 98.7 | 29.5 | 76.8 | 273 |
| Far-western Terai | 99.1 | 29.6 | 86.5 | 184 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 97.9 | 18.3 | 58.9 | 3,470 |
| Primary | 96.6 | 16.6 | 61.3 | 510 |
| Some secondary | 98.2 | 19.1 | 64.5 | 279 |
| SLC and above | 93.8 | 21.0 | 68.1 | 115 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 96.7 | 19.1 | 66.9 | 907 |
| Illiterate | 97.9 | 18.0 | 57.9 | 3,468 |



There is little difference in the timing of initial breastfeeding by sex and urban-rural residence. However, there is some variation in the initiation of breastfeeding by other background characteristics. Children living in the Terai are least likely to be breastfed immediately after birth or even within one day of birth compared with children living in the Mountain and Hill regions of Nepal. With regard to development regions, children from the Far-western development region are most likely to be breastfed immediately after birth and within one day of birth in contrast to children from the Central development region. Women who have completed their SLC are slightly more likely to initiate breastfeeding within one hour and within one day of birth, compared with women who have lower levels of education, as are literate women. Surprisingly, there is little difference in the timing of initial breastfeeding between children delivered by medically trained personnel and children not delivered by a medically trained personnel or midwives. Children delivered by a traditional birth attendant are least likely to be breastfed within one hour and within one day of birth.

## Breastfeeding Status by Child's Age

In the NFHS, children who received only breast milk in the 24 hours before the survey are defined as being exclusively breastfed, and children who are fully breastfed receive only plain water in addition to breast milk. Exclusive breastfeeding is recommended for the first 4-6 months of a child's life because breast milk is uncontaminated and contains all the nutrients needed by children in the first few months of life. In addition, it provides some immunity to disease through the mother's antibodies. Early supplementation, especially under unhygienic conditions, can result in infection and lower immunity to disease.

The NFHS asked mothers about the current breastfeeding status of all children under three years of age and, if the child was being breastfed, whether other liquid or complementary solid foods were given to the child during the 24 hours prior to the survey. Table 9.2 and Figure 9.1 show the distribution of living children by breastfeeding status.

Nine of ten children less than two months of age are exclusively breastfed, 1 percent are fully breastfed and another 9 percent receive complementary foods and liquids. Only three of five children continue to be exclusively breastfed by the time they are $4-5$ months old. Full breastfeeding increases to 5 percent for children age $4-5$ months and 34 percent of these children receive complementary foods. The proportion of children exclusively breastfed declines sharply for children 6 months and older when solid and mushy food become an important part of their diet. By 6-7 months of age, 64 percent of children are given breast milk and complementary foods other than plain water. This rises to a high of 95 percent by $14-15$ months of age. Among many cultures in Nepal, the first time solid food is given is solemnized with a formal ceremony called Pasnee, or rice feeding ceremony. This ceremony is considered auspicious starting from the fifth or subsequent odd numbered month of age for female children, and the sixth or even numbered month of age for male children. Overall, four of five children are given complementary foods other than plain water by the ninth month of age.

## Duration and Frequency of Breastfeeding

Table 9.3 presents the duration of breastfeeding by selected background characteristics. The estimates of mean and median duration of breastfeeding are based on current status data, that is, the proportion of children under 3 years of age who were being breastfed at the time of the survey, as opposed to retrospective data on the length of breastfeeding of older children who are no longer breastfed. The prevalence-incidence mean, which is provided here for possible comparison with other data sources, is obtained by dividing the number of children whose mothers are amenorrhoeic by the average number of births per month.

| Table 9.2 Breastfeeding status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of living children by breastfeeding status, according to child's age in months, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Breastf | eding and: |  |  |
| Age in months | Not breastfeeding | Exclusively breastfed | Plain water only | Complementary foods | Total | of living children |
| $<2$ | 0.3 | 89.0 | 1.2 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 177 |
| 2-3 | 0.6 | 76.7 | 2.8 | 19.9 | 100.0 | 253 |
| 4-5 | 0.0 | 61.0 | 5.3 | 33.7 | 100.0 | 255 |
| 6-7 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 7.9 | 63.8 | 100.0 | 236 |
| 8-9 | 1.8 | 13.0 | 6.2 | 79.0 | 100.0 | 204 |
| 10-11 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 2.3 | 90.7 | 100.0 | 236 |
| 12-13 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 90.1 | 100.0 | 264 |
| 14-15 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 236 |
| 16-17 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 93.7 | 100.0 | 222 |
| 18-19 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 92.7 | 100.0 | 221 |
| 20-21 | 9.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 89.4 | 100.0 | 219 |
| 22-23 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.8 | 100.0 | 217 |
| 24-25 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.2 | 100.0 | 245 |
| 26-27 | 39.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.6 | 100.0 | 235 |
| 28-29 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 227 |
| 30-31 | 49.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 50.6 | 100.0 | 179 |
| 32-33 | 49.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 49.5 | 100.0 | 217 |
| 34-35 | 53.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 46.1 | 100.0 | 229 |
| 0-3 months | 0.5 | 81.8 | 2.2 | 15.6 | 100.0 | 431 |
| 4-6 months | 0.0 | 51.8 | 5.7 | 42.5 | 100.0 | 370 |
| 7-9 months | 1.1 | 17.6 | 7.3 | 74.0 | 100.0 | 325 |

Note: Breastfeeding status refers to 24 hours preceding the survey. Children classified as breastfeeding and plain water only receive no complementary foods.


The median duration of breastfeeding is 31 months and the mean is 28 months. The 1991 NFHS indicated a median of 30 months and a mean of 28 months (Ministry of Health, 1993).

A median could not be calculated for male children because the smoothed percentages never fell below 50 percent. As such the median duration of breastfeeding for male children is presumably higher than 36 months. Female children are breastfed for a shorter duration ( 31 months). Rural women and women from the Terai are likely to breastfeed their children longer than their counterparts. The duration of breastfeeding varies little by development region. Literate mothers breastfeed their children for shorter durations than illiterate mothers and duration of breastfeeding declines with an increase in mother's level of education. Children delivered by medically trained personnel are breastfed for shorter durations than births attended by traditional midwives or relatives, neighbours, others, and no one.

The duration of postpartum amenorrhoea is affected by the length of time spent breastfeeding and the frequency of breastfeeding. A large majority of children, that is, 95 percent of children below the age of six months were breastfed 6 or more times in the 24 hours preceding the survey.

## Types of Supplemental Foods

Table 9.4 presents the percentage of children under 36 months of age by the type of food received in the 24 hours before the interview. Overall, 84 percent of children age less than 3 years were breastfed, whereas 8 percent were not given any kind of milk at all, and 39 percent were given milk other than breast milk. Only 1 percent of children were given infant formula. For other solid food, 77 percent of children age below 3 years were given grain/flour/cereal, 52 percent were given potatoes/yams/tubers, and 16 percent were given meat/fish/ eggs. More than 97 percent of children age 24 months and over were given grain/flour/cereal, about three-fourths were given potatoes/yams/tubers, about a quarter were given meat/fish/egg, and around 45 percent were given other types of milk.

Table 9.3 Median duration and frequency of breastfeeding
Median duration of any breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding, and full breastfeeding among children under three years of age, and the percentage of children under six months of age who were breastfed six or more times in the 24 hours preceding the interview, according to background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Among children under 3 years, median duration in months: |  |  |  | Children under six months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any breastfeeding | Exclusive breastfeeding |  |  | times in preceding 24 hours | Number of children |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | a | 4.4 | 5.1 | 2,251 | 94.6 | 346 |
| Female | 30.7 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 2,124 | 95.8 | 340 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 24.5 | (3.9) | (4.5) | 278 | 100.0 | 37 |
| Rural | 31.3 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 4,097 | 94.9 | 649 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 30.3 | (3.3) | (3.4) | 337 | 91.0 | 54 |
| Hill | 30.8 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 1,862 | 93.3 | 269 |
| Terai | 31.1 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 2,176 | 97.2 | 363 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastem | 29.9 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 924 | 95.2 | 149 |
| Central | 31.4 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 1,434 | 95.2 | 221 |
| Western | 31.1 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 881 | 96.9 | 140 |
| Mid-western | 31.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 695 | 92.7 | 107 |
| Far-western | 29.2 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 441 | 95.6 | 69 |
| Mother's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 31.3 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 3,470 | 95.1 | 518 |
| Primary | 30.2 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 510 | 94.3 | 100 |
| Secondary+ | 26.8 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 394 | 97.2 | 69 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 31.5 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 3,468 | 94.9 | 521 |
| Literate | 27.7 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 907 | 96.1 | 165 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medically trained personnel ${ }^{2}$ | 25.9 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 441 | 96.5 | 72 |
| Traditional midwife | 32.3 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 986 | 96.8 | 179 |
| Other or none | 30.9 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 2,943 | 94.3 | 434 |
| Total | 31.0 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4,375 | 95.2 | 686 |
| Mean | 28.4 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 97.7 | NA | NA |
| Prevalence/Incidence mean | 27.8 | 5.1 | 5.7 | NA | NA | NA |
| Note: Medians and means are based on current status. Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 children. A breakdown by sub-regions is not shown because of the small number of cases in several of the sub-regions. Total includes 5 children for whom information on assistance at delivery is missing. NA = Not applicable <br> ${ }^{1}$ Either exclusively breastfed or received only plain water <br> ${ }^{2}$ Includes doctor, nurse/midwife, MCH worker and other health professionals such as VHW, health assistant and health post staff. <br> ${ }^{8}$ Median is 36 months or more. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Bottle Feeding

The extent to which Nepalese children are bottle fed is also shown in Table 9.4. Bottle feeding is discouraged among very young children because of its potential negative effects on a child's health. It is often associated with increased risk of illness, especially diarrhoeal disease, because of the difficulty in sterilizing the nipples properly. The use of a bottle with a nipple can also reduce the period when the mother is not at risk of conception since bottle feeding is associated with a lessening of the intensity of breastfeeding and a consequent shortening of the period of postpartum amenorrhoea. The use of bottles with nipples is relatively rare in Nepal (3 percent).

Table 9.4 Types of food received by children in the preceding 24 hours
Percentage of children under three years of age who received specific types of food in the 24 hours hefore the interview, and the percentage using a bottle with a nipple, by child's age in months, Nepal 1996

| Age (in months) | Any breast milk | No milk of any kind | Infant formula | Other milk | Other liquids | MeaV poultry/ fish/ eggs | Grain/ flour/ cereal | Potatoes/ yams/ tubers | Other | Use of bottle with a nipple | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <2 | 99.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 7.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 177 |
| 2-3 | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 13.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 253 |
| 4-5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 23.2 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 14.8 | 2.1 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 255 |
| 6-7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 37.3 | 28.0 | 5.9 | 49.5 | 11.2 | 23.9 | 6.8 | 236 |
| 8-9 | 98.2 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 43.5 | 53.0 | 9.0 | 71.4 | 31.3 | 33.5 | 4.7 | 204 |
| 10-11 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 36.7 | 60.4 | 13.5 | 85.0 | 49.1 | 42.7 | 2.8 | 236 |
| 12-13 | 95.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 44.8 | 73.2 | 17.9 | 92.9 | 55.1 | 48.7 | 3.0 | 264 |
| 14-15 | 98.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 43.1 | 79.6 | 17.4 | 94.5 | 62.7 | 49.9 | 0.8 | 236 |
| 16-17 | 94.7 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 50.2 | 74.1 | 19.1 | 96.0 | 68.5 | 57.4 | 1.4 | 222 |
| 18-23 | 89.6 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 44.6 | 84.3 | 23.1 | 97.0 | 69.3 | 55.8 | 2.1 | 657 |
| 24-29 | 68.3 | 15.2 | 0.5 | 43.7 | 87.3 | 22.7 | 97.9 | 75.6 | 56.9 | 2.5 | 707 |
| 30-35 | 49.0 | 26.3 | 0.7 | 45.1 | 87.5 | 23.2 | 97.2 | 74.3 | 59.3 | 1.1 | 625 |
| 0-3 months | 99.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 10.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 431 |
| 4-6 months | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 29.0 | 12.0 | 2.3 | 23.0 | 4.2 | 12.2 | 5.3 | 370 |
| 7.9 months | 98.9 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 39.6 | 44.9 | 7.9 | 66.2 | 24.6 | 29.2 | 6.0 | 325 |
| Total | 84.1 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 38.9 | 63.9 | 16.1 | 77.1 | 51.8 | 43.4 | 2.6 | 4,072 |

### 9.2 Nutritional Status of Children

One of the major contribution of the NFHS to the study of child health is the anthropometric data for children born during the three-year period preceding the survey. Anthropometry provides one of the most important indicators of children's nutritional status. A measuring board (Shorr Board) was used to measure the height of children; children under two years were measured lying down (supine) while those over two years were measured standing up. The weight of children was obtained using an electronic Seca scale. Combining the height, weight and age data, three indices of physical growth describing children's nutritional status were constructed: height-for-age, weight-for-age, and weight-for-height.

The three indices provide indications of children's susceptibility to diseases and their chances of survival and are expressed as standardised ( z -score) deviation units from the median of a reference population recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO). The use of a reference population is based on the
finding that well-nourished children in all population groups for which data exist follow similar growth patterns before puberty and, thus, exhibit similar distributions with respect to height and weight at given ages (Martorell and Habicht, 1986). One of the most commonly used reference populations is the international reference population defined by the United States National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and accepted by WHO and the United States Centers for Disease Control. The reference population serves as a point of comparison, facilitating the examination of differences in the anthropometric status of sub-groups in a population and changes in nutritional status over time. Children who fall below two standard deviations from the reference median are regarded as malnourished, whereas children who fall three standard deviations below the reference median are regarded as severely malnourished. Each of the three indices measures somewhat different aspects of nutritional status.

The height-for-age index provides an indicator of linear growth retardation. Children whose height-forage is below minus two standard deviations ( -2 SD ) from the median of the reference population are considered short for their age, or stunted. Children who are below minus three standard deviations ( -3 SD) from the reference population median are severely stunted. Stunting of a child's growth may be the result of a failure to receive adequate nutrition over a long period of time or of the effects of recurrent or chronic illness. Height-forage, therefore, represents a measure of the outcome of undernutrition in a population over a long period, and does not vary appreciably with the season of data collcetion.

The weight-for-height index measures body mass in relation to body length. Children whose weight-for height measures are below minus two standard deviations (-2 SD) from the median of the reference population are too thin for their height, or wasted, while those whose measures are below minus three standard deviations (-3 SD) from the reference population median are severely wasted. Wasting represents the failure to receive adequate nutrition during the period immediately before the survey. It may be the result of recent episodes of illness or acute food shortage.

Weight-for-age is a composite index of height-for-age and weight-for-height. Children whose weight-for-age measures are below minus two standard deviations ( -2 SD ) from the median of the reference population are underweight for their age while those whose measures are below minus three standard deviations (-3 SD) from the reference population are severely underweight. Being underweight for one's age, therefore, could mean that a child is stunted, or wasted, or both stunted and wasted.

## Anthropometric Data Collection

All children born in the three years before the survey to women interviewed in the NFHS, were weighed and measured. Height and weight measurements were obtained for 93 percent of the 4,072 children in this group (who were age $0-35$ months at the time of the survey). However, 2 percent of the children weighed and measured were considered to have implausibly high or low values for the height or weight measures. The following analysis focuses on the 3,705 children under age three for whom complete and plausible anthropometric data were collected.

## Levels of Child Malnutrition

Table 9.5 shows the proportions of children classified as malnourished according to each of the three measures of nutritional status by selected demographic characteristics of the child. Table 9.6 shows the same measures according to socio-economic characteristics of the mother.

Table 9.5. Nutritional status of children by demographic characteristics
Percentage of children under three years of age who are classified as malnourished according to three anthropometric indices of nutritional status: height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age, and mean z -scores, by selected demographic characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Demographic characteristic | Height-for-age |  |  | Weight-for-height |  |  | Weight-for-age |  |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage below -3 SD | ercentage below $-2 S D^{1}$ | $\underset{\text { Mean }}{\text { z-score }}$ | Percentage below -3 SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 S D^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Mescore }}{\text { Mean }}$ | Percentage below -3 SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 \mathrm{SD}^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { z-score } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 months | 1.5 | 14.9 | -1.0 | 0.7 | 3.8 | -0.1 | 0.8 | 8.8 | -0.8 | 594 |
| 6.11 months | 6.9 | 29.2 | -1.4 | 1.0 | 7.3 | -0.6 | 9.7 | 37.9 | -1.6 | 658 |
| 12-23 months | 24.2 | 59.4 | -2.2 | 3.4 | 20.5 | -1.3 | 22.8 | 59.3 | -2.2 | 1,290 |
| 24-35 months | 32.9 | 64.1 | -2.4 | 0.6 | 7.0 | -0.8 | 20.2 | 57.7 | -2.1 | 1,162 |
| Child's sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 18.6 | 46.6 | -1.9 | 2.1 | 12.3 | -0.8 | 15.7 | 45.8 | -1.8 | 1,887 |
| Female | 21.9 | 50.2 | -2.0 | 1.3 | 10.2 | -0.8 | 16.6 | 48.0 | -1.9 | 1,817 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 16.2 | 40.9 | -1.7 | 1.4 | 8.3 | -0.7 | 12.0 | 39.5 | -1.7 | 819 |
| 2-3 | 19.0 | 48.4 | -1.9 | 1.9 | 10.2 | -0.8 | 14.4 | 45.4 | -1.8 | 1,469 |
| $4 \cdot 5$ | 22.6 | 49.9 | -2.0 | 1.6 | 13.5 | -0.9 | 19.4 | 51.3 | -2.0 | 823 |
| $6+$ | 25.2 | 56.4 | -2.2 | 1.8 | 14.8 | -0.9 | 21.5 | 54.7 | -2.1 | 594 |
| Previous birth interval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First birth | 16.2 | 40.9 | -1.7 | 1.4 | 8.3 | -0.7 | 12.0 | 39.4 | -1.7 | 823 |
| < 24 months | 23.7 | 51.2 | -2.1 | 2.0 | 13.2 | -0.9 | 16.2 | 49.0 | -1.9 | 604 |
| 24-47 months | 21.0 | 50.8 | -2.0 | 1.5 | 11.2 | -0.9 | 17.9 | 49.2 | -1.9 | 1,737 |
| 48+ months | 19.7 | 48.9 | -2.0 | 2.3 | 13.5 | -0.8 | 16.6 | 48.8 | -1.9 | 541 |
| Total | 20.2 | 48.4 | -2.0 | 1.7 | 11.2 | -0.8 | 16.1 | 46.9 | -1.9 | 3,705 |

Note: Figures are for children bom in the period $0-35$ months preceding the survey. Each index is expressed in terms of the number of standard deviation (SD) units from the median of the NCHS/CDC/WHO international reference population. Children are classified as malnourished if their $z$-scores are below minus two or minus three standard deviations (-2 SD or -3 SD) from the median of the reference population.
Includes children who are below -3 SD

An examination of Table 9.5 on height-for-age suggests that there is considerable chronic malnutrition among Nepalese children. Overall, 48 percent of children under age three are stunted and 20 percent are severely stunted. A child's age is associated with the likelihood of stunting. Stunting increases sharply from 15 percent among children below 6 months of age to 64 percent among children age 24-35 months. Female children are slightly more likely to be stunted ( 50 percent) or severely stunted ( 22 percent) than male children ( 47 percent and 19 percent, respectively). Stunting is more prevalent among children of higher birth order and children with a short birth interval.

## Table 9.6 Nutritional status of children by background characteristics

Percentage of children under three years of age who are classified as malnourished according to three anthropometric indices of nutritional status: height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age, and mean z-scores, by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Height-for-age |  |  | Weight-for-height |  |  | Weight-for-age |  |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -3 \mathrm{SD} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 \text { SD }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Mean }}{\text { M-score }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -3 \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 S D^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { z-score } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -3 \mathrm{SD} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -2 \mathrm{SD}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { z-score } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uban | 10.5 | 35.4 | -1.5 | 0.6 | 5.8 | -0.6 | 6.4 | 29.8 | -1.4 | 237 |
| Rural | 20.9 | 49.3 | -2.0 | 1.7 | 11.6 | -0.9 | 16.8 | 48.1 | -1.9 | 3,468 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 27.5 | 56.6 | -2.2 | 2.5 | 13.6 | -0.9 | 22.7 | 53.2 | -2.1 | 270 |
| Hill | 19.9 | 48.7 | -2.0 | 1.5 | 9.3 | -0.7 | 13.5 | 44.4 | -1.8 | 1,611 |
| Terai | 19.4 | 46.9 | -1.9 | 1.7 | 12.6 | -0.9 | 17.4 | 48.2 | -1.9 | 1,824 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 14.5 | 38.3 | -1.7 | 1.3 | 10.2 | -0.8 | 11.4 | 38.0 | -1.7 | 765 |
| Central | 22.0 | 50.9 | -2.0 | 1.9 | 10.1 | -0.8 | 17.5 | 48.2 | -1.9 | 1,247 |
| Western | 20.4 | 50.0 | -2.1 | 1.5 | 11.2 | -0.7 | 15.2 | 47.7 | -1.9 | 762 |
| Mid-western | 22.2 | 51.0 | -2.0 | 1.3 | 11.9 | -0.9 | 16.3 | 48.8 | -1.9 | 555 |
| Far-western | 22.7 | 53.2 | -2.1 | 2.6 | 16.5 | -1.1 | 22.7 | 56.3 | -2.2 | 376 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 15.7 | 44.0 | -1.7 | 1.5 | 6.7 | -0.7 | 8.2 | 34.3 | -1.6 | 55 |
| Central Mountain | 24.6 | 53.1 | -2.2 | 1.7 | 12.8 | -0.8 | 20.1 | 47.5 | -2.0 | 100 |
| Western Mountain | 35.8 | 65.8 | -2.5 | 3.7 | 17.6 | -1.1 | 32.1 | 67.4 | -2.4 | 114 |
| Eastern Hill | 15.7 | 41.9 | -1.8 | 0.8 | 8.5 | -0.7 | 10.1 | 37.5 | -1.7 | 274 |
| Central Hill | 17.1 | 44.1 | -1.8 | 1.5 | 7.5 | -0.6 | 10.9 | 38.8 | -1.6 | 446 |
| Western Hill | 20.8 | 48.9 | -2.0 | 1.0 | 6.8 | -0.6 | 10.7 | 43.3 | -1.7 | 451 |
| Mid-western Hill | 23.5 | 56.4 | -2.1 | 2.0 | 11.2 | -0.9 | 17.9 | 51.2 | -2.0 | 295 |
| Far-western Hill | 26.6 | 58.9 | -2.2 | 3.1 | 19.8 | -1.2 | 27.6 | 64.6 | -2.3 | 146 |
| Eastern Terai | 13.5 | 35.3 | -1.6 | 1.6 | 11.6 | -0.9 | 12.7 | 38.8 | -1.7 | 436 |
| Central Terai | 24.7 | 54.9 | -2.1 | 2.1 | 11.3 | -1.0 | 21.4 | 54.2 | -2.1 | 702 |
| Westem Terai | 19.8 | 51.6 | -2.1 | 2.1 | 17.6 | -1.0 | 21.6 | 54.1 | -2.0 | 311 |
| Mid-western Terai | 14.7 | 39.4 | -1.6 | 0.4 | 11.3 | -0.8 | 9.6 | 40.8 | -1.6 | 214 |
| Far-western Terai | 17.4 | 44.0 | -1.8 | 1.1 | 13.3 | -0.9 | 15.6 | 45.4 | -1.9 | 162 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ntinued |


| Table 9.6 - Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | Height-for-age |  |  | Weight-for-height |  |  | Weight-for-age |  |  | Number of children |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -3 \mathrm{SD} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -2 \mathrm{SD}^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { z-score } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -3 \mathrm{SD} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -2 S D^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{z \text {-score }}{\text { Mean }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -3 \mathrm{SD} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 \mathrm{SD}^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Mean }}{\text { z-score }}$ |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 22.7 | 52.4 | -2.1 | 2.0 | 12.2 | -0.9 | 18.2 | 51.1 | -2.0 | 2,924 |
| Primary | 13.9 | 40.1 | -1.7 | 0.9 | 8.4 | -0.6 | 10.7 | 36.2 | -1.5 | 441 |
| Some secondary | 6.8 | 28.3 | -1.4 | 0.3 | 7.8 | -0.7 | 6.2 | 29.2 | -1.4 | 244 |
| SLC and above | 5.8 | 15.3 | -1.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | -0.4 | 2.4 | 14.4 | -0.9 | 95 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 10.6 | 32.8 | -1.5 | 0.4 | 7.9 | -0.6 | 9.0 | 31.8 | -1.4 | 786 |
| Illiterate | 22.8 | 52.6 | -2.1 | 2.0 | 12.1 | -0.9 | 18.0 | 51.0 | -2.0 | 2,919 |
| Total | 20.2 | 48.4 | -2.0 | 1.7 | 11.2 | -0.8 | 16.1 | 46.9 | -1.9 | 3,705 |

Note: Figures are for children born in the period 0-35 months preceding the survey. Each index is expressed in terms of the number of standard deviation (SD) units from the median of the NCHS/CDC/WHO international reference population. Children are classified as malnourished if their $z$-scores are below minus two or minus three standard deviations ( -2 SD or -3 SD ) from the median of the reference population.
SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate
${ }^{1}$ Includes children who are below -3 SD

Table 9.6 and Figure 9.2 reveal marked differentials in stunting. Children in rural areas are more likely to be stunted ( 49 percent) than children in urban areas ( 35 percent), and children in the Mountain region are more likely to be stunted ( 57 percent) than children in the Hill ( 49 percent) and Terai ( 47 percent) regions. This is probably because healthy food is more readily available in the Hills and Terai than in the Mountains. The Eastern development region has the lowest level of stunting ( 38 percent). The percentage stunted varies from 35 percent in the Eastern Terai sub-region to 66 percent in the Western Mountain sub-region. However, because the number of children by sub-region is small, these results have to be interpreted with caution. As expected, stunting decreases directly with mother's level of education and children of illiterate mothers are more likely to be stunted than children of literate mothers.


The weight-for-height index in Tables 9.5 and 9.6 provides a measure of wasting, or acute malnutrition. Overall, 11 percent of children below the age of 3 are wasted and 2 percent are severely wasted. The level of wasting increases from 4 percent among children under 6 months of age, peaks at 21 percent among children 1223 months of age, and then declines to 7 percent among children age $24-35$ months. The proportion of children wasted increases with increasing birth order. There is little difference by birth interval.

As Table 9.6 shows, urban children, those living in the Hills, in the Eastern and Central development regions especially in the Eastern Mountain and Western Hill sub-regions are less likely to be wasted than other children. The differentials in wasting by mother's education and literacy are similar to those observed for stunting.

Weight-for-age takes into account both chronic and acute undernutrition and is often used to monitor nutritional status on a longitudinal basis. Forty-seven percent of Nepalese children are underweight and 16 percent are severely underweight. Low weight-for-age is more common among children more than six months old and higher order births ( $6+$ ) but is not strongly associated with child's sex or birth interval. Differentials in the percentage of children underweight by socio-economic characteristics are similar to those observed for wasting.

## Trends in Child Nutrition

Cycle 1 of the Nepal Multiple Indicator Surveillance (NMIS) study conducted in 1995 also collected information on the nutritional status of children in Nepal (National Planning Commission Secretariat and UNICEF-Nepal, 1996). This study provides information on chronic malnutrition among Nepalese children age 6-36 months. The 1995 NMIS revealed an overall level of chronic malnutrition (stunting) among children 6-36 months of 63 percent (below -2 SD from the reference height for age standard). The proportion suffering from chronic malnutrition (stunting) is higher in the 1995 NMIS compared with the NFHS ( 48 percent). Part of this difference can be attributed to the fact that the 1995 NMIS did not collect information on children below 6 months of age. As can be seen in Table 9.5, children under 6 months of age are less likely to suffer from chronic malnutrition, in part because of the almost universal practice of breastfeeding in Nepal. The proportion suffering from malnutrition among children older than 6 months of age according to the NFHS data is 55 percent. Therefore, excluding children under 6 months from the analysis does in fact inflate the percentage malnourished.

### 9.3 Nutritional Status of Mothers

Mothers of children born during the three-year period before the survey were weighed and measured in the NFHS. These data can be used to assess the nutritional status of Nepalese women. However, it is important to note that the analysis is based only on women who had a live birth during the three-year period before the survey and is not representative of the entire sample of ever-married women age 15-49 interviewed in the NFHS. As such, both younger women (who may not yet have given birth) and older women (who would have stopped childbearing more than three years ago) are underrepresented in the group for which information on maternal nutritional status is available.

The basic measures used to assess maternal nutritional status in this report are height and weight of women and body mass index (BMI), which is an indicator that combines height and weight data. Table 9.7 shows the distribution of mothers who had a live birth in the three years preceding the survey, by height and body mass index (BMI) according to selected background characteristics. Anthropometric data were not obtained for a small group of women ( 2 percent) most of whom were not present at the time the DHS measurer visited. In addition, pregnant women and women who had given birth within three months of the survey interview were excluded from the calculation of weight and body mass measures.

Maternal height is an outcome of nutrition during childhood and adolescence. It is useful in predicting the risks associated with difficult deliveries, since small stature is often associated with small pelvis size. Short

## Table 9.7 Maternal nutritional status by background characteristics

Among women who had a birth in the three years preceding the survey, mean height and percentage of women shorter than 145 centimetres, mean body mass index (BMI), and percentage of women whose BMI is less than $18.5\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Height |  |  | BMI |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Percentage $<145 \mathrm{~cm}$ | Number of women | Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ <18.5 \\ \left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 150.1 | 13.3 | 393 | 19.7 | 30.6 | 336 |
| 20-24 | 150.9 | 13.3 | 1,192 | 19.8 | 29.8 | 981 |
| 25-29 | 150.3 | 13.9 | 1,008 | 19.9 | 25.2 | 880 |
| 30-34 | 150.3 | 16.1 | 631 | 19.8 | 27.5 | 545 |
| 35-49 | 150.0 | 19.5 | 522 | 19.8 | 30.5 | 474 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 150.6 | 15.1 | 242 | 20.5 | 22.8 | 211 |
| Rural | 150.4 | 14.7 | 3,504 | 19.8 | 28.7 | 3,006 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 150.2 | 14.7 | 287 | 20.4 | 13.6 | 241 |
| Hill | 150.4 | 15.0 | 1,609 | 20.5 | 16.5 | 1,377 |
| Terai | 150.5 | 14.6 | 1,851 | 19.1 | 40.7 | 1,599 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 150.4 | 12.9 | 780 | 19.7 | 32.7 | 689 |
| Central | 150.1 | 16.7 | 1,255 | 19.6 | 32.0 | 1,072 |
| Western | 150.1 | 16.3 | 748 | 20.2 | 23.2 | 638 |
| Mid-western | 150.9 | 13.8 | 582 | 20.0 | 20.4 | 493 |
| Far-western | 151.2 | 10.9 | 382 | 19.5 | 29.2 | 325 |
| Sub-region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Mountain | 150.4 | 15.3 | 57 | 21.2 | 12.2 | 51 |
| Central Mountain | 149.9 | 14.5 | 100 | 20.4 | 13.1 | 81 |
| Western Mountain | 150.4 | 14.6 | 130 | 19.9 | 14.6 | 109 |
| Eastern Hill | 149.9 | 15.4 | 265 | 20.7 | 12.6 | 228 |
| Central Hill | 150.0 | 16.6 | 447 | 20.8 | 12.9 | 396 |
| Western Hill | 150.1 | 15.8 | 446 | 20.9 | 15.6 | 377 |
| Mid-western Hill | 151.1 | 13.0 | 297 | 20.0 | 21.3 | 247 |
| Far-western Hill | 151.3 | 10.8 | 154 | 19.4 | 28.1 | 130 |
| Eastern Terai | 150.7 | 11.1 | 458 | 19.0 | 46.4 | 410 |
| Central Terai | 150.2 | 17.0 | 708 | 18.8 | 47.3 | 595 |
| Western Terai | 150.1 | 17.0 | 302 | 19.3 | 34.2 | 262 |
| Mid-western Terai | 151.1 | 12.5 | 226 | 20.0 | 20.7 | 196 |
| Far-western Terai | 151.2 | 12.5 | 157 | 19.4 | 36.7 | 136 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 150.1 | 16.3 | 2,968 | 19.7 | 28.7 | 2,536 |
| Primary | 151.2 | 9.8 | 427 | 20.1 | 27.3 | 366 |
| Some secondary | 151.6 | 8.9 | 248 | 20.1 | 29.7 | 224 |
| SLC and above | 152.7 | 6.8 | 104 | 21.1 | 19.7 | 91 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 151.4 | 9.7 | 783 | 20.3 | 24.9 | 686 |
| Illiterate | 150.2 | 16.1 | 2,963 | 19.7 | 29.3 | 2,531 |
| Total | 150.4 | 14.8 | 3,746 | 19.8 | 28.3 | 3,217 |

Note: The BMI index excludes pregnant women and those who are less than three months postpartum.
SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificgte SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate
women also face increased risk of having low birth weight babies. The height below which a woman is considered to be at nutritional risk is in the range of 140-150 centimetres. The mean height of mothers measured in the NFHS is 150 centimetres. Fifteen percent of Nepalese women are less than 145 centimetres.

Low pre-pregnancy weight is often associated with unfavourable pregnancy outcomes, although maternal height must also be taken into account. The mean weight of mothers, excluding those who were pregnant or who had a birth within three months of the interview, is 45 kilograms (data not shown).

The BMI, which utilises both height and weight and provides a better measure of thinness than weight alone, is defined as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in metres. For the BMI, a cut-off of 18.5 has been recommended for indicating chronic energy deficiency among nonpregnant women. The mean BMI for women in Nepal is 19.8 (Table 9.7). One of four women in Nepal falls below the cut-off, indicating that the level of chronic energy deficiency in Nepal is relatively high.

In general there is very little variation by background characteristics in maternal height and body mass measures among Nepalese women (Table 9.7). The percentage of women with height below 145 centimetres is highest among women age 35-49 ( 20 percent), women living in the Central region, and the Central and Western Terai and Central Hill sub-regions ( 17 percent each), women with no education, and women who are illiterate ( 16 percent each). Rural women, women living in the Terai, Eastern and Central regions and especially in the Eastern and Central Terai sub-regions, women who have not completed their SLC, and illiterate women are more likely to fall below the 18.5 BMI measure than other women.
.

## CHAPTER 10

## MATERNAL MORTALITY

One of the most important indicators of maternal health is maternal mortality. As worldwide attention on reproductive health has increased, so has the need to provide reliable countrywide estimates of maternal deaths. In the past, national estimates were based on small-scale and hospital-based studies and vital registration data, all of which have serious limitations. In response to the increasing need for reliable data on maternal mortality, the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) has developed a series of questions designed to gather information and obtain a direct estimate of maternal mortality. These questions were included in the Nepal Family Health Survey (NFHS). Safe motherhood has been identified as a national priority in the new National Health Policy, and the Government has targeted the goal of reducing maternal mortality to 4 per 1,000 live births by the year 2000 (Ministry of Health, 1994).

Direct estimates of maternal mortality from the NFHS use data on the age of surviving sisters, the age at death of sisters who have died, and the number of years since the death of sisters. In the NFHS, interviewers were asked to list all the brothers and sisters of a respondent born to her natural mother, starting with the first, and then obtain information on: the survivorship of each of the siblings; the ages of surviving siblings; the year of death or years since death of deceased siblings; and the age at death of deceased siblings. For each sister who died at age 12 or over, the respondent is asked additional questions to determine if the death was maternity related: that is whether or not the sister was pregnant when she died; and if so, whether the sister died during childbirth; and if not, whether or not the sister died within two months after a pregnancy termination or childbirth. Listing all siblings in chronological order of their birth is believed to result in better reporting of events than would be the case if only information on sisters were sought. Moreover, the information collected according to DHS procedures also allows the direct estimation of adult male and female mortality.

### 10.1 Data Quality Issues

A brief discussion of data quality is warranted here. A more detailed discussion appears in Appendix C. One measure of quality is the completeness of information on siblings. Overall, the NFHS data on siblings is nearly complete with less than half of one percent of siblings with missing information on age at death and years since death (Appendix Table C.7). The distribution of year of birth of respondents in relation to their siblings is another, albeit crude, measure of the quality of the data on maternal mortality. The median year of birth of respondents (the beginning of Nepalese year 2025, which corresponds to April 15, 1967 through April 14,1968 in the Western calendar) coincides with the median year of birth of siblings, implying that there is no substantial underreporting of siblings (Appendix Table C.8). The mean sibship size is yet another crude measure of data quality (Appendix Table C.9). The absence of a monotonic decline in sibship size in line with a decline in fertility suggests there may be some omission in the reporting of older siblings (those born before the beginning of the Nepalese year 2007, which corresponds to April 15, 1949 through April 14, 1950 in the Western calendar). In fact, the slightly high sex ratio among these older siblings suggests the omission of sisters. However, since adult mortality rates are reported here for the seven years preceding the survey this omission is unlikely to affect the calculation of mortality rates. Moreover, if the omission occurred mostly among sisters who did not survive to adulthood (which is most likely the case) it may not even bias the estimation of maternal mortality.

### 10.2 Adult Mortality

Direct estimates of male and female adult mortality can be obtained from information collected in the sibling history. Age-specific death rates are computed by dividing the number of deaths in each age group by the total person-months of exposure in that age group during a specified reference period. The Individual Questionnaire was only used for women who were ever married. As such, an expansion factor is applied to account for the proportion of women who were never married in the calculation of mortality rates. Age-specific death rates are then adjusted by the current age distribution of the de facto female population age 15-49 from the household schedule, by taking the sum of each age-specific mortality rate multiplied by the percentage of women in that age group, to obtain an overall age-

Table 10.1 Adult mortality rates
Direct estimates of female and male adult mortality for the period 0-6 years prior to the survey, and model life table rates, Nepal 1996

| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Deaths | Exposure | NFHS mortality rates | Coale-Demenymodel life tables rates ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Model WEST | Model EAST |
| 15-19 | 56 | 19,627 | 2.84 | 3.44 | 2.27 |
| 20-24 | 47 | 20,576 | 2.29 | 4.50 | 3.04 |
| 25-29 | 67 | 18,107 | 3.70 | 5.15 | 3.57 |
| 30-34 | 45 | 14,556 | 3.12 | 5.86 | 4.08 |
| 35-39 | 41 | 10,818 | 3.77 | 6.67 | 4.79 |
| 40-44 | 33 | 6,513 | 5.13 | 7.67 | 5.63 |
| 45-49 | 31 | 3,964 | 7.70 | 9.24 | 7.14 |
| 15-49 | 320 | 94,161 | $3.64{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.29 | 3.69 |


| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15-19$ | 43 | 21,134 | 2.05 | 3.28 | 2.52 |
| $20-24$ | 52 | 22,151 | 2.37 | 4.66 | 3.66 |
| $25-29$ | 37 | 19,529 | 1.89 | 5.05 | 3.71 |
| $30-34$ | 38 | 15,728 | 2.40 | 5.77 | 4.11 |
| $35-39$ | 28 | 11,397 | 2.45 | 6.97 | 5.08 |
| $40-44$ | 34 | 7,465 | 4.52 | 8.93 | 6.79 |
| $45-49$ | 38 | 4,457 | 8.60 | 11.69 | 9.30 |
| $15-49$ | 270 | 101,861 | $2.95^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 5.49 | 4.14 |

${ }^{1}$ Model life tables were selected at a level of mortality approximately corresponding to a sex-specific probability of dying between birth and age 5 for the period $0-9$ years before the survey (i.e., 143 per 1,000 for males and 136 per 1,000 for females). Mortality rates are expressed per 1,000 population.
Age-adjusted rate standardized female adult mortality rate. It is assumed that the age distribution of respondents is the same as that of siblings. The same procedure is applied to obtain the male adult mortality rate using the age distribution of the male population obtained from the household schedule.

In total, respondents enumerated 52,406 siblings, of whom 25,349 were sisters and 27,057 were brothers. There were 11 siblings for whom the sex was not known. Direct estimates of age-specific adult mortality rates for males and females are shown in Table 10.1. To minimize the impact of possible heaping on years since death ending in zero and five, direct estimates are presented for the period 0-6 years before the survey. The number of sibling deaths during the period 1990-1996 is fairly small. Age-specific rates are based on relatively few occurrences and therefore subject to sampling variability. As such, it is preferable to aggregate the data over the age range $15-49$. There are more female deaths than male deaths during the six years before the survey ( 320 compared with 270 ). The female adult mortality rate is 23 percent higher than the male adult mortality rate.

There is no reliable information on adult mortality in Nepal. Completeness in the reporting of death in the 1991 Census was less than 40 percent (Central Bureau of Statistics, 1995). The lack of reliable estimates of adult mortality by age distribution has meant depending on the use of the existing fragmented information to estimate mortality. In the absence of reliable data on adult mortality, the estimates in the NFHS can be compared with the Coale-Demeny Regional Model Life Tables to gauge their plausibility and reliability (Coale and

Demeny, 1966). The West model life table was chosen because it most closely resembles the estimated mortality pattern from the NFHS data using the ratio of under-five mortality and mortality between 5 and 9 years calculated from the pregnancy history section of the Individual Questionnaire.

Within the regional models, two mortality levels were selected which most closely correspond to the under-five mortality rates of 143 per 1,000 for males and 136 per 1,000 for females estimated from the NFHS data for the period $0-9$ years before the survey (see Table 7.4). The under-five mortality rate for females lies between Level 15 and Level 16 of the West model life table. The implied rates were then estimated through linear interpolation. A similar process was applied in estimating the male mortality rates.

Based on this comparison, it appears that female mortality estimates from the sibling history are somewhat erratic, but are generally lower than those reflected by the West model life table (Table 10.1). Also, the NFHS male mortality estimates are substantially lower than model life table estimates across all age groups. This could mean that either adult mortality is underreported relative to child mortality or child mortality is overreported relative to adult mortality (which is unlikely), or that the model life tables do not capture the observed age patterns of mortality in Nepal.

Adult mortality estimates obtained from the East model life table are also included in Table 10.1 since they are closer to the rates obtained from the NFHS.

### 10.3 Maternal Mortality

Table 10.2 provides information on maternal mortality for the period $0-6$ years before the survey. Once again this time period is chosen to reduce any possible impact of heaping of reported years since death on 5 -year intervals. Age-specific mortality rates are calculated by dividing the number of maternal deaths by years of exposure. To remove the effect of truncation bias (the upper boundary for eligibility in the NFHS is 49 years), the overall rate for women age 15-49 is standardized by the age distribution of the survey respondents. Maternal deaths are defined as any death that occurred during pregnancy, childbirth, or within two months after the birth or termination of a pregnancy.

Maternal mortality in Nepal is high relative to developed countries. However, for each age group, maternal deaths in the NFHS are found to be a relatively rare occurrence. As such, the age-specific pattern should be interpreted with caution. There were 87 maternal deaths in the seven years preceding the survey. The maternal mortality rate, which is the annual number of maternal deaths per 1,000 women age $15-49$ for the period 1990-

| Table 10.2 Direct estimates of maternal mortality |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct estimates of maternal mortality for the period 0-6 years prior to the survey, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Deaths | Exposure | Mortality rates ${ }^{1}$ | Proportion of maternal deaths to female deaths |
| 15-19 | 17.0 | 19,627 | 0.864 | 0.304 |
| 20-24 | 19.6 | 20,576 | 0.952 | 0.415 |
| 25-29 | 23.2 | 18,107 | 1.283 | 0.346 |
| 30-34 | 11.0 | 14,556 | 0.753 | 0.242 |
| 35-39 | 13.1 | 10,818 | 1.215 | 0.322 |
| 40-44 | 0.9 | 6,513 | 0.130 | 0.025 |
| 45-49 | 1.9 | 3,964 | 0.484 | 0.063 |
| 15-49 | 86.7 | 94,161 | $0.875^{\text {a }}$ | 0.271 |
| General Fertility Rate (GFR) 0.162 <br> Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Expressed per 1,000 woman-years of exposure <br> ${ }^{2}$ Expressed per 100,000 live-births; calculated as the maternal mortality rate divided by the general fertility rate <br> ${ }^{a}$ Age-adjusted rate |  |  |  |  |

1996 is 0.875 . Maternal deaths accounted for 27 percent of all deaths to women age $15-49$ during the 7 years prior to the survey. There were 38 female deaths for which information on the time of occurrence in relation to pregnancy was missing. Based on the proportion of female deaths that were maternal, this could translate to an additional 10 matemal deaths.

The matemal mortality ratio, which is obtained by dividing the age-standardized matemal mortality rate by the age-standardized general fertility rate, is often considered a more useful measure of maternal mortality, since it measures the obstetric risk associated with each live birth. The matemal mortality ratio for Nepal for the 1990-1996 period is 539 deaths per 100,000 live births (or alternatively 5 deaths per 1,000 live births). The ratio for 1991, estimated by the indirect method (with some adjustments), was 515 deaths per 100,000 live births (Ministry of Health, 1993). However, it is not possible to draw any conclusions regarding the trend in maternal mortality in Nepal from these two estimates because of the small number of events, the large sampling variability, and real differences in reporting errors. Nevertheless, maternal mortality continues to be high in Nepal and therefore, the need for greater emphasis and programmes in this area.

## CHAPTER 11

## KNOWLEDGE OF AIDS

Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) was first recognized internationally in 1981. As of 1996 an estimated 20 million individuals have been identified with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), which causes AIDS, and 4 million adults are estimated to have AIDS (Chin, 1997). Once infected with the HIV, a large proportion die within 5-10 years (World Health Organisation, 1992). The HIV/AIDS pandemic is one of the most serious health concerns in the world today because of its high case fatality rate and the lack of a curative treatment or vaccine. Epidemiological studies have identified sexual intercourse, intravenous injections, blood transfusions and fetal transmission from infected mothers as the main routes of transmission of AIDS. Studies have also indicated that the HIV cannot be transmitted through food, water, vectors or casual contact.

AIDS was first identified in Nepal in 1988. During the early 1990s, HIV seroprevalence surveys have shown a gradual increase in the prevalence of HIV infections among persons with sexually transmitted diseases (STD) and female commercial sex workers in Nepal. The potential for the spread of HIV in Nepal is considered large because of the presence of extensive use of commercial sex workers, high rates of STDs, low condom use and pockets of intravenous drug users. As of the end of 1996, a total of 82 AIDS cases and close to 500 HIV infections were reported to the Ministry of Health's National Centre for AIDS and STD Control (Chin, 1997). While no specific studies are available to estimate how incomplete or inaccurate the Ministry of Health's reports may be, given the existing medical and public health infrastructure and the limited HIV/AIDS surveillance system in place in Nepal, the actual number of AIDS cases that may have occurred could be at least 5 to 10 times the reported number and the actual number of HIV infections prevalent at least 20 to 30 times greater (Chin, 1997). By the year 2000, the cumulative prevalence of HIV infections is projected to be 25,000 cases and the cumulative total number of AIDS cases close to 5,000 .

In light of the seriousness of the situation, His Majesty's Government of Nepal is committed to the prevention and control of AIDS and other STDs in Nepal through a multi-sectorial approach. In 1987, the Nepalese govemment initiated the National AIDS Prevention and Control Project (NAPCP), with financial and technical support from the World Health Organization (WHO). The project aimed at preventing HIV transmission through sex and blood, preventing prenatal transmission, and reducing the impact of HIV/AIDS on individual and families (Chin et al., 1994). Recognizing the importance of a multi-sectorial response to preventing the AIDS epidemic, the National AIDS Coordination Committee (NACC) was established in 1992. It was made up of representatives from key ministries and non-governmental organizations. The NAPCP became a focal point for the NACC and was responsible for coordinating HIV/AIDS prevention and control programmes with the various ministries. The activities of the NAPCP is being coordinated through the National Centre for AIDS and STD Control (NCASC) established in 1993. The activities of the NCASC include: screening of blood samples, conducting surveillance, generation of information, education and communication (IEC) materials for the general public and high risk groups, distribution and promotion of condoms, treatment of STDs, counselling persons at risk and those who tested positive for the HIV, and training of health workers in the clinical management of HIV/AIDS patients.

The NFHS 1996 included a series of questions on the knowledge of AIDS. All ever-married women age 15-49 years were first asked if they had ever heard of AIDS. Those who had were asked about the source of their knowledge on AIDS, how it is transmitted, whether it could be prevented, and their perception of the precautions person can take to avoid AIDS. These results are discussed below. ${ }^{1}$

### 11.1 Knowledge of AIDS

Data on knowledge of AIDS and the source of that knowledge is presented in Table I1.1 by background characteristics of respondents. Overall, slightly more than one-fourth ( 27 percent) of ever-married women have heard about AIDS. Women age 20-39 years are more likely to have heard about AIDS than other women.

There is a substantial difference in the knowledge of AIDS by women's place of residence. More urban women have heard of AIDS ( 67 percent) than rural women ( 23 percent). Knowledge of AIDS is more prevalent among women in the Hills ( 35 percent) than among women in the Terai ( 21 percent) and Mountains ( 18 percent). Women from the Western development region are more knowledgeable about AIDS ( 36 percent) than women from the other regions. The knowledge of AIDS is least prevalent among women from the Far-western development region ( 10 percent).

Knowledge of AIDS varies with level of education. While more than nine of ten women who have passed their SLC are aware of AIDS, only 17 percent of women with no education are aware of AIDS.

### 11.2 Source of Knowledge About AIDS

Table 11.1 also shows the source of knowledge on AIDS among those who have heard about the disease. The major source of information on AIDS is the radio ( 79 percent), followed by friends and relatives ( 45 percent) and television ( 30 percent). While for the most part there is little difference between currently and formerly married women in the proportion who have heard of AIDS, currently married women are more than twice as likely to have read about AIDS in a newspaper or pamphlet as formerly married women.

Among urban women, radio and television are almost equally important sources of information on AIDS, but rural women are four times more likely to have heard about AIDS on the radio than on the television. In contrast to women living in the Hills and Terai, women in the Mountains get little information about AIDS from the television and more from pamphlets and health workers. The television is an important source of knowledge on AIDS among women in the Central development region but not for women in the Far-western region.

As expected, educated women are much more likely to read about AIDS than women with no education. For example, newspapers and pamphlets are sources for 66 and 33 percent, respectively, among women who have completed their SLC, compared with 3 and 7 percent, respectively, among women who have no education. The pattern is similar for literate and illiterate women.

### 11.3 Knowledge of Prevention of AIDS

Respondents who had heard about AIDS were also asked how the disease could be prevented. Information presented in Table I1.2 reveals that about half of respondents believe that limiting sex to just one partner would help in avoiding AIDS. Thirty-six percent and 31 percent of respondents, respectively, believe

[^12]that avoiding prostitutes and using condoms during intercourse are ways to avoid AIDS. Thirteen percent of respondents each say that abstaining from sex altogether and avoiding blood transfusions would help avoid getting AIDS. More than one-fifth ( 22 percent) of respondents who have heard of AIDS are unaware of how to avoid the disease and one in ten women has misconceptions about how the disease could be prevented.


## Table.11.2 Knowledge of ways to ayoid HIV/AIDS

Percentage of ever-married women who have heard of AIDS and who know of specific ways to avoid HIV/AIDS and percentage with misinformation, by selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | No way to avoid AIDS | Abstain from sex | Ways to avoid HIV/AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Use condoms | Have only one sexual partner | Avoid sex with prostitutes | Avoid transfusions | Avoid injections | Other ways | Don't know any way | Percentage with any misinformation ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.7 | 11.1 | 34.7 | 58.1 | 37.3 | 16.3 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 17.7 | 0.6 | 238 |
| 20.24 | 9.3 | 13.1 | 33.5 | 52.9 | 33.1 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 9.4 | 22.0 | 1.3 | 535 |
| 25.29 | 8.2 | 15.7 | 33.0 | 53.9 | 36.9 | 16.7 | 12.2 | 10.7 | 19.3 | 1.7 | 463 |
| 30-39 | 7.0 | 14.6 | 30.9 | 53.0 | 36.6 | 11.1 | 13.1 | 11.7 | 20.6 | 1.6 | 674 |
| 40-49 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 21.5 | 46.2 | 34.1 | 11.6 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 29.7 | 1.8 | 353 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married | 8.2 | 13.5 | 31.4 | 52.9 | 35.5 | 13.4 | 11.4 | 10.3 | 21.5 | 1.4 | 2,149 |
| Formerly married | 8.9 | 11.7 | 21.1 | 47.2 | 36.4 | 12.2 | 12.4 | 10.8 | 26.6 | 2.2 | 114 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uiban | 5.2 | 18.7 | 33.0 | 52.8 | 32.2 | 19.1 | 16.9 | 10.6 | 15.3 | 1.6 | 473 |
| Rural | 9.0 | 12.0 | 30.3 | 52.6 | 36.4 | 11.8 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 23.5 | 1.4 | 1,790 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 6.4 | 11.1 | 34.3 | 47.5 | 49.8 | 16.7 | 12.5 | 6.5 | 23.7 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Hill | 5.1 | 13.4 | 33.7 | 57.6 | 32.6 | 14.5 | 12.5 | 8.1 | 19.4 | 1.6 | 1,263 |
| Terai | 12.8 | 13.6 | 26.6 | 46.2 | 38.1 | 11.4 | 9.9 | 13.8 | 24.9 | 1.2 | 900 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 15.9 | 14.0 | 26.0 | 40.7 | 36.0 | 13.1 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 32.0 | 1.0 | 620 |
| Central | 6.2 | 16.2 | 31.5 | 53.3 | 32.1 | 17.4 | 14.8 | 9.1 | 18.7 | 1.9 | 753 |
| Westerm | 1.4 | 11.9 | 38.4 | 70.3 | 36.3 | 11.7 | 11.1 | 9.3 | 11.6 | 1.9 | 588 |
| Mid-western | 12.5 | 9.6 | 26.3 | 44.8 | 35.6 | 7.4 | 4.6 | 14.1 | 30.9 | 0.0 | 218 |
| Far-western | 7.0 | 3.6 | 19.9 | 32.3 | 57.3 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 15.3 | 21.2 | 2.3 | 84 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 9.5 | 9.5 | 22.1 | 48.2 | 34.8 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 8.9 | 29.6 | 2.0 | 1,164 |
| Primary | 10.5 | 11.8 | 32.3 | 54.4 | 35.9 | 13.6 | 9.3 | 11.0 | 21.0 | 1.0 | 464 |
| Some secondary | 5.4 | 18.3 | 40.6 | 59.3 | 37.6 | 18.4 | 15.9 | 10.8 | 10.2 | 1.0 | 423 |
| SLC and above | 1.9 | 28.6 | 56.6 | 59.9 | 34.4 | 30.6 | 32.2 | 15.6 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 212 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 6.2 | 17.1 | 40.3 | 58.7 | 36.3 | 17.8 | 15.5 | 11.5 | 13.2 | 1.1 | 1,232 |
| Illiterate | 10.7 | 9.0 | 19.7 | 45.4 | 34.6 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 32.1 | 1.9 | 1,031 |
| Total | 8.2 | 13.4 | 30.9 | 52.6 | 35.5 | 13.4 | 11.5 | 10.3 | 21.8 | 1.5 | 2,263 |

SLC $=$ School Leaving Certificate
' Includes avoiding kissing, mosquito bites, and seeking protection from traditional healer.

Knowledge about AIDS prevention is generally higher among women who are currently married and educated women. A higher percentage of currently married women and women who are more educated think that condom use during sexual intercourse would help prevent AIDS. Educated women are also more aware that AIDS can be prevented by avoiding transfusions of HIV-contaminated blood and injections using HIVcontaminated needles/syringes.

Knowledge about the prevention of AIDS is somewhat higher among urban women, women living in the Hills and in the Western development region. A higher percentage of urban than rural women think that AIDS can be prevented by abstaining from sex and avoiding blood transfusions and injections. On the other hand, more rural women think that AIDS can be prevented by avoiding sex with prostitutes. Use of condoms to avoid AIDS was reported by 34 percent each of women living in the Mountain and Hill regions compared with 27 percent of women living in the Terai. The percentage of women who think there is nothing that a person can do to avoid AIDS is twice as high in the Terai as in the Mountains and Hills, and twice as high in the Eastern and Midwestern development regions as in the Central and Far-western regions. Very few women (1 percent), living in the Western development region believe that AIDS cannot be avoided.

### 11.4 Perceptions about AIDS

Women who had heard about AIDS were asked whether a healthy-looking person can have the disease, whether AIDS is a fatal disease, and whether their chances of getting AIDS are great, moderate, small or nil. This information is presented in Table 11.3, according to women's background characteristics. More than threefourths of women ( 77 percent) believe that a healthy-looking person can have AIDS, 8 percent do not think so, and 15 percent are unsure about it. Women in the youngest age group (15-19) are most likely to think that a healthy-looking person can have AIDS, and the percentage of women who are unsure is highest among older women (40-49). More educated women than uneducated women think that a healthy-looking person can have the disease. There was little difference by place of residence and region in women's perception of the risk of getting AIDS.

Three-fourths of women believe that AIDS is almost always fatal, while 16 percent think it is only sometimes fatal. Less than 1 percent of women think that the disease is not fatal and 8 percent do not know whether AIDS is a fatal disease. Educated women are more aware that the disease is fatal than uneducated women. The difference by urban-rural residence is negligible, but there is some difference by development region. Women from the Mid-western development region are least likely to think that the disease is almost always fatal.

Women were also asked about their chances of getting AIDS. About two-thirds of women think that they have no risk of getting AIDS, 16 percent think that they have a small chance, 6 percent think they have a moderate chance, and two percent believe that they have a great chance of getting AIDS. There is little difference in women's perception of their chances of getting AIDS by age, marital status and urban-rural residence. Women living in the Terai and in the Eastern and Mid-western regions are less likely than other women to think that they have no risk of getting AIDS.

Women were also asked if their knowledge of AIDS has influenced or changed their decisions about having sex or their sexual behaviour. The majority of women ( 94 percent) stated that it had not (data not shown).

## Table 11.3 Awareness of AIDS-related heath issues

Percent distribution of women who have heard of AIDS by awareness of certain AIDS-related health issues, according to selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996


### 11.5 Knowledge and Use of Condoms

The use of a condom during sexual intercourse is an important way of preventing the spread of AIDS. In the NFHS, information was gathered from currently married women about whether they had used a condom the last time they had sex and whether they know a source for condoms and, if so, what the source is. This information is presented in Table 11.4 only for women who had heard of AIDS (which is roughly one-quarter of all respondents).

Ninety-eight percent of women who have heard of AIDS have also heard about the condom, 5 percent used it the last time they had sex and another 4 percent use it as a method of family planning but did not use it the last time they had sex. There is little difference in knowledge of condoms by women's perception of the fatality of the disease and background characteristics.

A slightly higher percentage of women are aware of the availability of condoms from the public sector ( 41 percent) than from the private sector ( 39 percent). About one-fifth of the women are aware of other sources for condoms. Women who believe that AIDS is almost always fatal and who state that they have no risk or have a small risk of getting AIDS are twice as likely to mention the private sector as a source for condoms as women who say they have a moderate or great risk of getting AIDS.

Rural women who have heard of AIDS are more likely to cite a public sector source for condoms (48 percent), in contrast to urban women who are more likely to cite a private sector source ( 60 percent). In the Mountain region, a higher proportion of women cite the public sector as a source for condoms, whereas for women from the Hill region, the private sector is more important. The percentage of women who mention the private sector as a source for condoms is higher in the Central and Western development regions than in other regions. In the Eastern and Far-western development regions public sources play a more prominent role than private sources.

Educated women are more likely to cite private sector sources for condoms, while uneducated women are more aware of public sector sources. For example, 59 percent of women who have passed their SLC cited a private source for condoms, compared with only 33 percent of women with no education.

## Table 11.4 Knowledge and use of condoms

Among currently married women who know of AIDS, percentage who know about condoms, percentage who know a source for condoms, and percentage who used condom during last sex, according to perception of AIDS risk and selected background characteristics, Nepal 1996

| Background characteristic | Knows about condoms ${ }^{1}$ | Knows source for condoms ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Condom used during last sex |  | Condom used for family planning but not used during last sex | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public sector | Private medical sector/ pharmacy | Other source | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Last } \\ & \text { month } \end{aligned}$ | Earlier |  |  |
| Perception of AIDS risk Among those who believe AIDS always fatal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small riskAIDS | 98.4 | 39.4 | 40.9 | 19.6 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 1,416 |
| Moderate/great risk/ has AIDS | 97.0 | 51.4 | 22.0 | 26.6 | 6.9 | 1.9 | 6.0 | 116 |
| Don't know | 98.7 | 70.5 | 20.4 | 9.1 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 88 |
| Among those who do not believe AIDS always fatal, or don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small risk | 96.5 | 36.6 | 38.8 | 24.4 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 374 |
| Moderate/great/has |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIDS | 98.0 | 44.9 | 34.3 | 20.8 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 48 |
| Don't know | 88.8 | 33.2 | 45.4 | 21.4 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 99 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 99.8 | 47.3 | 32.2 | 20.6 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 225 |
| 20-24 | 98.1 | 39.9 | 40.0 | 20.1 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 529 |
| 25-29 | 99.0 | 39.4 | 36.7 | 23.8 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 453 |
| 30-39 | 96.4 | 39.7 | 40.4 | 19.6 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 625 |
| 40-49 | 94.9 | 40.9 | 40.6 | 18.5 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 310 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 96.8 | 13.9 | 59.6 | 26.2 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 8.4 | 442 |
| Rural | 97.7 | 47.6 | 33.3 | 19.1 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1,701 |
| Ecological region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | 94.2 | 55.9 | 17.9 | 26.2 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 97 |
| Hill | 98.1 | 40.2 | 43.5 | 16.1 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 1,186 |
| Terai | 97.1 | 39.6 | 34.4 | 26.0 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 859 |
| Development region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 96.9 | 56.5 | 28.1 | 15.4 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 579 |
| Central | 96.5 | 26.4 | 47.6 | 25.9 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 4.8 | 707 |
| Western | 98.6 | 40.6 | 43.7 | 15.6 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 564 |
| Mid-western | 99.6 | 36.5 | 32.0 | 31.5 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 212 |
| Far-western | 97.6 | 64.1 | 19.7 | 16.3 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 80 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 96.2 | 46.2 | 33.0 | 20.6 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1,084 |
| Primary | 98.3 | 42.5 | 33.8 | 23.7 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 447 |
| Some secondary | 98.9 | 33.4 | 49.0 | 17.6 | 7.7 | 2.3 | 6.8 | 404 |
| SLC and above | 100.0 | 22.2 | 58.8 | 19.0 | 12.0 | 2.5 | 14.9 | 208 |
| Literacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literate | 98.9 | 36.6 | 43.0 | 20.3 | 6.1 | 1.9 | 5.7 | 1,176 |
| Inliterate | 95.8 | 45.6 | 33.4 | 20.8 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 967 |
| Total | 97.5 | 40.7 | 38.7 | 20.5 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 2,142 |

$\overline{\text { SLC }}=$ School Leaving Certificate
${ }^{1}$ Includes knowledge of condoms for either family planning or disease prevention
${ }^{2}$ For current family planning users of condoms, source is the place where last obtained; for others, source is the first mentioned source that is known.
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## APPENDIX A

## SAMPLE DESIGN

The sample for the Nepal Family Health Survey (NFHS) was designed to provide estimates, with acceptable precision, of population and health indicators including fertility and mortality rates for the country as a whole, and for urban and rural areas separately. In addition the sample was designed to provide estimates of most key variables, with the exception of fertility and mortality estimates, for the three ecological regions (Mountains, Hills and Terai or plains), the five developmental regions (Eastern, Central, Western, Mid-western, and Far-western) and the 13 domains obtained by cross classifying the three ecological regions with the five developmental regions.'

An initial sample size of 7,500 completed individual interviews was chosen, taking into consideration budgetary constraints and the needs of data users. This sample size was based on the need to provide estimates of several health indicators including contraceptive rates for the 13 domains, which required a minimum target sample of 350 completed interviews with eligible women in each of the domains. This allocation by domain was considered the most efficient, based on the experience of previous DHS surveys in similar countries. The sample also had to take into consideration a 10 percent overall non-response rate. A separate estimate of mortality for the entire urban area required a minimum sample size of 1,000 completed interviews with eligible women. Furthermore, because Nepal is predominantly rural ( 90 percent), it was also necessary to oversample each selected urban censal enumeration area by 50 percent to obtain a minimum urban sample for calculating mortality rates. Based on these considerations, a final targeted sample size of 8,252 households was deemed to yield adequate numbers of completed interviews with eligible women. The number of households actually visited was 8,500 (because in some cases there was more than one household present in the location of a selected household at the time of interview) and interviews were successfully completed for 8,429 eligible respondents.

The 1991 Population Census served as the sampling frame for the NFHS. Administratively, Nepal is divided into 75 districts. Each district is subdivided into Village Development Committees (VDCs), and each VDC into wards. The primary sampling unit (PSU) for the NFHS is a ward or group of wards in rural areas, and subwards in the urban areas. Each rural PSU is expected to yield about 100 households, according to the 1991 Population Census. The average size of 100 households per PSU (also called a standard segment) was established as a convenient measure for a complete updating of all structures and their corresponding households. In practice, a rural PSU can have between 100 and 500 households. In the rural areas, the ward is small enough in size for a complete household listing but in urban areas the ward size is large. It was therefore necessary to subdivide each urban ward into subwards. Information for the subdivision of urban wards was obtained from the Living Standards Measurement Survey, a World Bank-funded project. In total, 253 PSUs were selected-34 in the urban areas and 219 in the rural areas. Table A. 1 shows the sample distribution of PSUs.

The sample for the NFHS is a two-stage stratified sample consisting of the 253 wards (or subwards) selected. The sample was allocated to each district by urban and rural areas and the number of PSUs was calculated based on an average sample take (which is the number of ultimate sample units or households in a cluster) of 25 completed interviews per PSU. In each urban or rural area of a district, the first stage of sampling was done by selecting wards (or subwards) systematically with probability proportional to size (in terms of the

[^13]| Table A. L Sample allocation by primary sampling units (PSUs) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allocated sample size and number of PSUs in each district by place of residence |  |  |  |  |
| Ecological region/ Development region/ District | Sample | Urban PSUs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rural } \\ & \text { PSUs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { PSUs } \end{aligned}$ |
| MOUNTAIN |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 350 |  |  |  |
| Taplejung | 117 | - | 4 | 4 |
| Sankhuwasabha | 138 | - | 5 | 5 |
| Solukhumbu | 95 | - | 3 | 3 |
| Central | 350 |  |  |  |
| Dolakha | 129 | - | 4 | 4 |
| Sindhupalchok | 194 | - | 6 | 6 |
| Rasuwa | 27 | - |  | 1 |
| Western | 11 |  |  |  |
| Manang | 3 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Mustang | 8 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Mid-western | 149 |  |  |  |
| Dolpa | 14 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Jumla | 43 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Mugu | 21 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Kalikot | 51 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Humla | 20 | $\bullet$ | 1 | 1 |
| Far-western | 190 |  |  |  |
| Bajhang | 79 | - | 3 | 3 |
| Bajura | 53 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Darchula | 58 | - | 2 | 2 |
| HILL |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 500 |  |  |  |
| Bhojpur | 70 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Dhankuta | 51 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Ilam | 80 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Khotang | 76 | - | 3 | 3 |
| Okhaldhunga | 49 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Panchthar | 61 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Terhathum | 36 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Udayapur | 77 | - | 3 | 3 |
| Central | 950 |  |  |  |
| Bhaktapur | 61 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Dhading | 99 | ; | 3 | 3 |
| Kathmandu | 239 | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| Kabhrepalanchok | 115 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Lalitpur | 91 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Makawanpur | 112 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Nuwakot | 87 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Ramechhap | 67 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Sindhuli | 79 | - | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Continued |  |


| TableA.1-Continued |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ecological region/ Development region/ District |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sample | Urban PSUs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rural } \\ & \text { PSUs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { PSUs } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\overline{\text { Western }}$ | 850 |  |  |  |
| Arghakhanchi | 64 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Baglung | 82 | - | 3 | 3 |
| Gorkha | 89 | - | 3 | 3 |
| Gulmi | 94 | - | 3 | 3 |
| Kaski | 103 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Lamjung | 54 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Myagdi | 35 | $\square$ | 1 | 1 |
| Palpa | 83 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Parbat | 50 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Syanja | 103 | - | 3 | 3 |
| Tanahu | 94 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Mid-western | 500 |  |  |  |
| Dailekh | 77 | - | 3 | 3 |
| Jajarkot | 47 | - |  | 2 |
| Pyuthan | 72 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Rolpa | 74 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Rukum | 64 | - | , | 2 |
| Salyan | 75 | - | 3 | 3 |
| Surkhet | 93 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Far-western | 400 |  |  |  |
| Achham | 118 | - | 4 | 4 |
| Baitadi | 120 | - | 4 | 4 |
| Dadeldhura | 62 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Doti | 100 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| TERAI |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 950 |  |  |  |
| Jhapa | 212 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Morang | 241 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Sunsari | 166 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Saptari | 166 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Siraha | 165 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Central | 1,000 |  |  |  |
| Dhanusha | 179 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Mahottari | 145 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Sarlahi | 162 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Rautahat | 136 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Bara | 137 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Parsa | 123 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Chitwan | 117 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Western | 500 |  |  |  |
| Nawalparasi | 164 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Rupandehi | 196 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| Kapilvastu | 140 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Mid-western | 400 |  |  |  |
| Banke | 123 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Bardiya | 125 | - | 4 | 4 |
| Dangdeokhuri | 152 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Far-western | 400 |  |  |  |
| Kailali | 247 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| Kanchunpur | 153 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Total | 7,500 | 34 | 219 | 253 |

number of households in each ward according to the 1991 Population Census). The probability of selecting a ward (or subward) is calculated as:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{li}}=\left(\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) /\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

where:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
a= & \text { the number of designated wards to be selected in the urban or rural area of a } \\
& \text { district; }
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{i}}= & \text { the number of households of the } i^{\text {th }} \text { ward according to the } 1991 \text { Population } \\
& \text { Census; } \\
\Sigma \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}= & \text { the total number of households in the urban/rural district area according to the } \\
& \text { 1991 Population Census. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second stage of sampling, within each selected ward (or subward), a complete household listing operation was carried out and households were selected in such a way as to maintain a self-weighting sample within the urban and rural areas of each of the 13 domains. However, since urban areas were oversampled, the total sample in each domain is weighted and a final weighting procedure is applied to provide estimates for the different domains and for the urban and rural areas of the country as a whole. The overall probability of household selection or the sampling fraction (f) is given by the formula:

$$
\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{li}} *\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

where:
$c_{i}=$ the number of households selected (sample take); and
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ the total number of households, listed in the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ selected ward.
Accordingly, the sample take is calculated as:

$$
c_{i}=\left(f^{*} L_{i}\right) / P_{i i}
$$

and the probability of selecting a household within the selected ward (or subward) is:

$$
c_{i} / L_{i}
$$

Unlike most other DHS surveys, households in Nepal were selected contiguously, beginning with a randomly selected start number, from the household listing for each ward (or subward). This selection process was used to minimise the difficulty encountered in moving from one selected household to another, given the mountainous terrain in most parts of Nepal and the scattered nature of households. Even though this was not the case in the urban areas of Nepal, a similar selection process was adopted in order to maintain procedural consistency. The intraclass correlation is smaller in the rural areas than in the urban areas and selecting a compact group of households will yield the same effect as selecting them systematically. Even though intraclass correlation in the urban areas may be higher, the selection of subwards (which are smaller in area and hence tend to be more homogenous) reduces the possibility of any serious bias.

The overall sampling fractions were estimated and their values are shown in Table A.2. Although an independent selection was done in the urban and rural areas of each district, the overall sampling fraction in each of the 21 combinations of areas of residence remains constant. However, adjustment factors (weights) need to be used for the national estimates. Table A. 2 also provides the values of the woman weights used in calculating most of the estimates in the NFHS.

| Table A. 2 Sampling fractions and woman weights Overall sampling fractions and woman weights by place of residence |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Ecological Region | Development Region | Residence | Overall sampling fraction | Woman weights |
| Mountain | Eastern Central | Total Total | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 174.8503 \\ & 1 / 243.8117 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.412612 \\ & 0.559818 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Western Mid-western Far-western | Total | 1/263.4171 | 0.610724 |
| Hill | Eastern | Total | 1/470.1056 | 1.104982 |
|  | Central | Rural Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 479.6342 \\ & 1 / 319.7561 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.113277 \\ & 0.742185 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Western | Rural Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 490.1330 \\ & 1 / 326.7554 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.141504 \\ & 0.761003 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Mid-western | Rural Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 421.8893 \\ & 1 / 281.2595 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.972208 \\ & 0.648139 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Far-western | Total | 1/327.3959 | 0.758864 |
| Terai | Eastern | Rural Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 641.5474 \\ & 1 / 427.6983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.538711 \\ & 1.025807 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Central | Rural Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 636.3190 \\ & 1 / 424.2127 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.488361 \\ & 0.992241 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Western | Rural Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 401.7500 \\ & 1 / 267.8334 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.944723 \\ & 0.629815 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Mid-western | Rural Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 368.7282 \\ & 1 / 245.8188 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.863009 \\ & 0.575339 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Far-western | Rural Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 274.2670 \\ & 1 / 182.8447 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.645772 \\ & 0.430514 \end{aligned}$ |

## APPENDIX B

## ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS

The estimates from a sample survey are affected by two types of errors: (1) nonsampling errors, and (2) sampling errors. Nonsampling errors are the results of mistakes made in implementing data collection and data processing, such as failure to locate and interview the correct household, misunderstanding of the questions on the part of either the interviewer or the respondent, and data entry errors. Although numerous efforts were made during the implementation of the NFHS to minimise this type of error, nonsampling errors are impossible to avoid and difficult to evaluate statistically.

Sampling errors, on the other hand, can be evaluated statistically. The sample of respondents selected in the NFHS is only one of many samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and expected size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between all possible samples. Although the degree of variability is not known exactly, it can be estimated from the survey results.

A sampling error is usually measured in terms of the standard error for a particular statistic (mean, percentage, etc.), which is the square root of the variance. The standard error can be used to calculate confidence intervals within which the true value for the population can reasonably be assumed to fall. For example, for any given statistic calculated from a sample survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error of that statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

If the sample of respondents had been selected as a simple random sample, it would have been possible to use straightforward formulae for calculating sampling errors. However, the NFHS sample is the result of a two-stage stratified design and, consequently, it was necessary to use more complex formulae. The computer software used to calculate sampling errors for the NFHS is the ISSA Sampling Error Module (ISSAS). This module used the Taylor linearization method of variance estimation for survey estimates that are means or proportions. The Jackknife repeated replication method is used for variance estimation of more complex statisties such as fertility and mortality rates.

The Taylor linearization method treats any percentage or average as a ratio estimate, $r=y / x$, where $y$ represents the total sample value for variable $y$, and $x$ represents the total number of cases in the group or subgroup under consideration. The variance of $r$ is computed using the formula given below, with the standard error being the square root of the variance:

$$
\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1-f}{x^{2}} \sum_{h=1}^{H}\left[\frac{m_{h}}{m_{h}-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} z_{h i}^{2}-\frac{z_{h}^{2}}{m_{h}}\right)\right]
$$

in which

$$
z_{h i}=y_{h i}-r \cdot x_{h i}, \text { and } z_{h}=y_{h}-r \cdot x_{h}
$$

where $h$ represents the stratum which varies from 1 to $H$,
$m_{h} \quad$ is the total number of enumeration areas (EA) selected in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$y_{N} \quad$ is the sum of the values of variable $y$ in EA $i$ in the $h^{\text {dh }}$ stratum,
$x_{h d} \quad$ is the sum of the number of cases in EA is the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum, and
$f$ is the overall sampling fraction, which is so small that it is ignored.
The Jackknife repeated replication method derives estimates of complex rates from each of several replications of the parent sample, and calculates standard errors for these estimates using simple formulae. Each replication considers all but one cluster in the calculation of the estimates. Pseudo-independent replications are thus created. In the NFHS, there were 253 non-empty clusters. Hence, 253 replications were created. The variance of a rate $r$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(r_{i}-r\right)^{2}
$$

in which

$$
r_{i}=k r-(k-1) r_{(i)}
$$

where $r$ is the estimate computed from the full sample of 253 clusters, $r_{i)} \quad$ is the estimate computed from the reduced sample of 252 clusters ( ${ }^{(i+}$ cluster excluded), and $k \quad$ is the total number of clusters.

In addition to the standard error, ISSAS computes the design effect (DEFT) for each estimate, which is defined as the ratio between the standard error using the given sample design and the standard error that would result if a simple random sample had been used. A DEFT value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a value greater than 1.0 indicates the increase in the sampling error due to the use of a more complex and less statistically efficient design. ISSAS also computes the relative standard error and 95 percent confidence limits for the estimates.

Sampling errors for the NFHS are calculated for selected variables considered to be of primary interest. The results are presented in this appendix for the country as a whole, for urban and rural areas, for each of the five development regions: Eastern, Central, Western, Mid-western, and Far-western; and for each of three ecological regions: Mountain, Hill and Terai. For each variable, the type of statistic (mean, proportion, or rate) and the base population are given in Table B.1. Tables B. 2 to B. 12 present the value of the statistic (R), its standard error (SE), the number of unweighted (N) and weighted (WN) cases, the design effect (DEFT), the relative standard error (SE/R), and the 95 percent confidence limits ( $\mathrm{R} \pm 2 \mathrm{SE}$ ), for each variable. The DEFT is considered undefined when the standard error considering simple random sample is zero (when the estimate is close to 0 or 1 ).

In general, the relative standard error for most estimates for the country as a whole is small, except for estimates of very small proportions. There are some differentials in the relative standard error for the estimates of sub-populations. For example, for the variable of Using contraception among currently married women age 15-49, the relative standard errors as a percent of the estimated mean for the whole country, for urban areas, and for rural areas are 4.1 percent, 5.5 percent, and 4.7 percent, respectively.

The confidence interval for the contraceptive prevalence rate (e.g., Using contraception among currently married women age 15-49) can be interpreted as follows: the overall national sample proportion is 0.285 and its standard error is 0.012 . Therefore, to obtain the 95 percent confidence limits, one adds and subtracts twice the standard error to the sample estimate, ie. $0.285 \pm 2 \times 0.012$. There is a high probability ( 95 percent) that the true value of the contraceptive prevalence rate among currently married women age 15 to 49 is between 0.261 and 0.308 .

Table B, L List of selected yariables for sampling errors. Nepal 1996

| Variable name | Type | Base Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban resident | Proportion | Ever-married women 15-49 |
| No education | Proportion | Ever-married women 15-49 |
| Secondary or more | Proportion | Ever-married women 15-49 |
| Currently in union | Proportion | Ever-married women 15-49 |
| Ever in union before 20 | Proportion | Ever-married women 15-49 |
| Sex before 18 | Proportion | Ever-married women 15-49 |
| Children ever born | Mean | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | Mean | Currently married women 40-49 |
| Children surviving | Mean | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Knowing any method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Knowing any modern method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Ever use any method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using any method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using any modern method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using pill | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using IUD | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using injectables | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using Norplant | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using condom | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using female sterilization | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using male sterilization | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Currently using abstinence | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using withdrawal | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Using public sector source | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Want no more children | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| Ideal number of children | Mean | Ever-married women 15-49 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | Proportion | Births in last 3 years |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | Proportion | Births in last 3 years |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | Proportion | Children under 3 |
| Treated with ORS packets | Proportion | Children under 3 with diarrhoea in last 2 weeks |
| Sought medical treatment | Proportion | Children under 3 with diarrhoea in last 2 weeks |
| Having health card | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Received BCG vaccination | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Received measles vaccination | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Fully immunized | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| Weight-for-height | Proportion | Children 0-35 months |
| Height-for-age | Proportion | Children 0-35 months |
| Weight-for-age | Proportion | Children 0-35 months |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | Rate | Women-years of exposure to childbearing |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | Rate | Number of births |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | Rate | Number of births |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | Rate | Number of births |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | Rate | Number of births |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years) | Rate | Number of births |

## Table B2. Sampling errors - Total sample. Nepal 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard errror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban resident | 0.084 | 0.008 | 8429 | 8429 | 2.602 | 0.093 | 0.069 | 0.100 |
| No education | 0.800 | 0.011 | 8429 | 8429 | 2.452 | 0.013 | 0.779 | 0.821 |
| Secondary or more | 0.090 | 0.007 | 8429 | 8429 | 2.321 | 0.080 | 0.076 | 0.105 |
| Currently in union | 0.947 | 0.003 | 8429 | 8429 | 1.180 | 0.003 | 0.941 | 0.953 |
| Ever in union before 20 | 0.871 | 0.006 | 7474 | 7447 | 1.617 | 0.007 | 0.859 | 0.884 |
| Sex before 18 | 0.672 | 0.009 | 7474 | 7447 | 1.666 | 0.013 | 0.654 | 0.691 |
| Children ever born | 3.414 | 0.034 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.223 | 0.010 | 3.346 | 3.482 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 5.928 | 0.088 | 1540 | 1522 | 1.354 | 0.015 | 5.751 | 6.104 |
| Children surviving | 2.795 | 0.026 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.171 | 0.009 | 2.743 | 2.847 |
| Knowing any method | 0.984 | 0.003 | 7978 | 7982 | 2.374 | 0.003 | 0.978 | 0.991 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.983 | 0.003 | 7978 | 7982 | 2.395 | 0.003 | 0.977 | 0.990 |
| Ever use any method | 0.384 | 0.013 | 7978 | 7982 | 2.316 | 0.033 | 0.359 | 0.409 |
| Using any method | 0.285 | 0.012 | 7978 | 7982 | 2.314 | 0.041 | 0.261 | 0.308 |
| Using any modern method | 0.260 | 0.011 | 7978 | 7982 | 2.310 | 0.044 | 0.237 | 0.283 |
| Using pill | 0.014 | 0.002 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.822 | 0.173 | 0.009 | 0.019 |
| Using IUD | 0.003 | 0.001 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.172 | 0.258 | 0.001 | 0.004 |
| Using injectables | 0.045 | 0.004 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.646 | 0.085 | 0.037 | 0.053 |
| Using Norplant | 0.004 | 0.001 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.429 | 0.248 | 0.002 | 0.006 |
| Using condom | 0.019 | 0.002 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.617 | 0.129 | 0.014 | 0.024 |
| Using female sterilization | 0.121 | 0.007 | 7978 | 7982 | 2.006 | 0.061 | 0.106 | 0.135 |
| Using male sterilization | 0.054 | 0.004 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.416 | 0.066 | 0.047 | 0.061 |
| Currently using abstinence | 0.009 | 0.002 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.478 | 0.175 | 0.006 | 0.012 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.014 | 0.002 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.227 | 0.115 | 0.011 | 0.017 |
| Using public sector source | 0.790 | 0.018 | 2081 | 2077 | 1.970 | 0.022 | 0.755 | 0.825 |
| Want no more children | 0.413 | 0.007 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.355 | 0.018 | 0.398 | 0.428 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.206 | 0.005 | 7978 | 7982 | 1.092 | 0.024 | 0.196 | 0.215 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.929 | 0.032 | 8243 | 8215 | 2.740 | 0.011 | 2.865 | 2.994 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.460 | 0.017 | 4417 | 4375 | 2.048 | 0.036 | 0.427 | 0.494 |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | 0.101 | 0.008 | 4417 | 4375 | 1.648 | 0.079 | 0.085 | 0.117 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.275 | 0.010 | 4097 | 4072 | 1.414 | 0.036 | 0.255 | 0.295 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.259 | 0.019 | 1176 | 1119 | 1.446 | 0.073 | 0.221 | 0.297 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.138 | 0.011 | 1176 | 1119 | 1.117 | 0.083 | 0.115 | 0.161 |
| Having health card | 0.217 | 0.016 | 1402 | 1379 | 1.421 | 0.073 | 0.185 | 0.249 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.760 | 0.018 | 1402 | 1379 | 1.577 | 0.024 | 0.723 | 0.796 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.535 | 0.022 | 1402 | 1379 | 1.637 | 0.041 | 0.491 | 0.579 |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.509 | 0.022 | 1402 | 1379 | 1.663 | 0.044 | 0.464 | 0.554 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.566 | 0.021 | 1402 | 1379 | 1.560 | 0.037 | 0.524 | 0.607 |
| Fully immunized | 0.433 | 0.021 | 1402 | 1379 | 1.540 | 0.047 | 0.392 | 0.475 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.112 | 0.006 | 3732 | 3705 | 1.157 | 0.054 | 0.100 | 0.125 |
| Height-for-age | 0.484 | 0.012 | 3732 | 3705 | 1.487 | 0.025 | 0.459 | 0.508 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.469 | 0.012 | 3732 | 3705 | 1.429 | 0.025 | 0.445 | 0.493 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.641 | 0.095 | NA | 28178 | 1.392 | 0.020 | 4.451 | 4.831 |
| Neonatal morrality rate (0-9 years) | 58.185 | 2.468 | 14628 | 14441 | 1.119 | 0.042 | 53.248 | 63.122 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 34.790 | 2.007 | 14651 | 14464 | 1.225 | 0.058 | 30.776 | 38.803 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 92.975 | 3.306 | 14654 | 14466 | 1.219 | 0.036 | 86.362 | 99.587 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 50.949 | 2.873 | 14779 | 14593 | 1.308 | 0.056 | 45.202 | 56.695 148.367 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years) | 139.186 | 4.591 | 14808 | 14620 | 1.379 | 0.033 | 130.005 | 148.367 |

NA $=$ Not applicable

Table B3. Sampling errors - Urban sample, Nepal 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard errror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | Confiden | n+2SE |
| Urban resident | 1.000 | 0.000 | 954 | 712 | NA | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| No education | 0.481 | 0.037 | 954 | 712 | 2.304 | 0.077 | 0.407 | 0.556 |
| Secondary or more | 0.365 | 0.039 | 954 | 712 | 2.490 | 0.106 | 0.288 | 0.443 |
| Currently in union | 0.938 | 0.006 | 954 | 712 | 0.780 | 0.006 | 0.926 | 0.951 |
| Ever in union before 20 | 0.783 | 0.026 | 885 | 660 | 1.864 | 0.033 | 0.731 | 0.834 |
| Sex before 18 | 0.553 | 0.025 | 885 | 660 | 1.519 | 0.046 | 0.502 | 0.604 |
| Children ever bom | 2.883 | 0.085 | 900 | 668 | 1.276 | 0.029 | 2.713 | 3.053 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 4.835 | 0.261 | 156 | 115 | 1.495 | 0.054 | 4.313 | 5.358 |
| Children surviving | 2.555 | 0.069 | 900 | 668 | 1.251 | 0.027 | 2.416 | 2.694 |
| Knowing any method | 0.991 | 0.005 | 900 | 668 | 1.633 | 0.005 | 0.981 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.991 | 0.005 | 900 | 668 | 1.633 | 0.005 | 0.981 | 1.000 |
| Ever use any method | 0.664 | 0.028 | 900 | 668 | 1.761 | 0.042 | 0.609 | 0.719 |
| Using any method | 0.501 | 0.028 | 900 | 668 | 1.652 | 0.055 | 0.446 | 0.556 |
| Using any modern method | 0.451 | 0.025 | 900 | 668 | 1.502 | 0.055 | 0.401 | 0.501 |
| Using pill | 0.036 | 0.007 | 900 | 668 | 1.050 | 0.182 | 0.023 | 0.049 |
| Using IUD | 0.022 | 0.006 | 900 | 668 | 1.297 | 0.288 | 0.009 | 0.035 |
| Using injectables | 0.088 | 0.012 | 900 | 668 | 1.299 | 0.140 | 0.063 | 0.112 |
| Using Norplant | 0.019 | 0.005 | 900 | 668 | 1.153 | 0.278 | 0.008 | 0.029 |
| Using condom | 0.062 | 0.018 | 900 | 668 | 2.185 | 0.284 | 0.027 | 0.097 |
| Using female sterilization | 0.165 | 0.017 | 900 | 668 | 1.348 | 0.101 | 0.132 | 0.198 |
| Using male sterilization | 0.060 | 0.009 | 900 | 668 | 1.148 | 0.152 | 0.041 | 0.078 |
| Currently using abstinence | 0.014 | 0.003 | 900 | 668 | 0.880 | 0.250 | 0.007 | 0.020 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.035 | 0.008 | 900 | 668 | 1.378 | 0.240 | 0.018 | 0.052 |
| Using public sector source | 0.592 | 0.046 | 405 | 301 | 1.884 | 0.078 | 0.499 | 0.684 |
| Want no more children | 0.482 | 0.017 | 900 | 668 | 0.997 | 0.034 | 0.449 | 0.515 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.143 | 0.011 | 900 | 668 | 0.912 | 0.075 | 0.122 | 0.164 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.446 | 0.057 | 949 | 707 | 2.010 | 0.023 | 2.332 | 2.560 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.659 | 0.044 | 385 | 278 | 1.641 | 0.067 | 0.571 | 0.747 |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | 0.465 | 0.044 | 385 | 276 | 1.585 | 0.095 | 0.376 | 0.553 |
| Had diarthoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.238 | 0.022 | 365 | 264 | 0.946 | 0.093 | 0.194 | 0.282 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.407 | 0.045 | 88 | 63 | 0.831 | 0.111 | 0.317 | 0.498 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.183 | 0.038 | 88 | 63 | 0.912 | 0.210 | 0.106 | 0.260 |
| Having health card. | 0.392 | 0.049 | 118 | 84 | 1.063 | 0.125 | 0.294 | 0.490 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.876 | 0.035 | 118 | 84 | 1.137 | 0.040 | 0.805 | 0.946 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.774 | 0.048 | 118 | 84 | 1.221 | 0.062 | 0.677 | 0.870 |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.774 | 0.048 | 118 | 84 | 1.221 | 0.062 | 0.677 | 0.870 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.772 | 0.053 | 118 | 84 | 1.331 | 0.068 | 0.666 | 0.877 |
| Fully immunized | 0.711 | 0.056 | 118 | 84 | 1.301 | 0.078 | 0.600 | 0.822 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.058 | 0.013 | 333 | 237 | 0.918 | 0.222 | 0.032 | 0.084 |
| Height-for-age | 0.354 | 0.034 | 333 | 237 | 1.265 | 0.097 | 0.285 | 0.422 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.298 | 0.029 | 333 | 237 | 1.108 | 0.096 | 0.241 | 0.355 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 2.853 | 0.199 | NA | 2698 | 1.403 | 0.070 | 2.456 | 3.251 |
| Neonatal mortality rate ( 0.9 years) | 43.142 | 5.491 | 1335 | 972 | 0.885 | 0.127 | 32.161 | 54.124 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 17.943 | 3.454 | 1334 | 972 | 0.973 | 0.193 | 11.035 | 24.851 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 61.085 | 7.004 | 1335 | 972 | 1.008 | 0.115 | 47.076 | 75.093 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 22.493 | 5.207 | 1343 | 978 | 1.215 | 0.231 | 12.080 | 32.907 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years | 82.204 | 8.081 | 1344 | 978 | 1.017 | 0.098 | 66.042 | 98.367 |
| NA $=$ Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B4, Sampling errors - Rural sample, Nepal 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard errror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | Confidence | ce limits |
| Urban resident | 0.000 | 0.000 | 7475 | 7717 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| No education | 0.829 | 0.011 | 7475 | 7717 | 2.432 | 0.013 | 0.808 | 0.850 |
| Secondary or more | 0.065 | 0.006 | 7475 | 7717 | 2.254 | 0.099 | 0.052 | 0.078 |
| Currently in union | 0.948 | 0.003 | 7475 | 7717 | 1.200 | 0.003 | 0.942 | 0.954 |
| Ever in union before 20 | 0.880 | 0.006 | 6589 | 6787 | 1.585 | 0.007 | 0.867 | 0.893 |
| Sex before 18 | 0.684 | 0.010 | 6589 | 6787 | 1.671 | 0.014 | 0.665 | 0.703 |
| Children ever born | 3.462 | 0.036 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.197 | 0.010 | 3.390 | 3.535 |
| Children ever bom to women over 40 | 6.017 | 0.092 | 1384 | 1407 | 1.339 | 0.015 | 5.833 | 6.201 |
| Children surviving | 2.817 | 0.027 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.152 | 0.010 | 2.762 | 2.872 |
| Knowing any method | 0.984 | 0.004 | 7078 | 7314 | 2.374 | 0.004 | 0.976 | 0.991 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.983 | 0.004 | 7078 | 7314 | 2.393 | 0.004 | 0.975 | 0.990 |
| Ever use any method | 0.358 | 0.013 | 7078 | 7314 | 2.367 | 0.038 | 0.331 | 0.385 |
| Using any method | 0.265 | 0.013 | 7078 | 7314 | 2.395 | 0.047 | 0.240 | 0.290 |
| Using any modem method | 0.243 | 0.012 | 7078 | 7314 | 2.402 | 0.050 | 0.218 | 0.267 |
| Using pill | 0.012 | 0.003 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.984 | 0.216 | 0.007 | 0.017 |
| Using IUD | 0.001 | 0.000 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.384 | 0.580 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| Using injectables | 0.041 | 0.004 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.723 | 0.099 | 0.033 | 0.049 |
| Using Norplant | 0.003 | 0.001 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.564 | 0.351 | 0.001 | 0.005 |
| Using condom | 0.015 | 0.002 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.452 | 0.138 | 0.011 | 0.020 |
| Using female sterilization | 0.117 | 0.008 | 7078 | 7314 | 2.059 | 0.067 | 0.101 | 0.132 |
| Using male sterilization | 0.054 | 0.004 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.429 | 0.071 | 0.046 | 0.061 |
| Currently using abstinence | 0.008 | 0.002 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.530 | 0.198 | 0.005 | 0.012 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.012 | 0.002 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.215 | 0.130 | 0.009 | 0.015 |
| Using public sector source | 0.824 | 0.019 | 1676 | 1776 | 2.090 | 0.024 | 0.785 | 0.863 |
| Want no more children | 0.406 | 0.008 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.364 | 0.020 | 0.390 | 0.422 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.211 | 0.005 | 7078 | 7314 | 1.081 | 0.025 | 0.201 | 0.222 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.975 | 0.034 | 7294 | 7507 | 2.746 | 0.012 | 2.906 | 3.044 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.447 | 0.017 | 4032 | 4097 | 2.037 | 0.039 | 0.412 | 0.482 |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | 0.076 | 0.008 | 4032 | 4097 | 1.680 | 0.099 | 0.061 | 0.091 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.277 | 0.011 | 3732 | 3808 | 1.421 | 0.038 | 0.256 | 0.298 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.250 | 0.020 | 1088 | 1056 | 1.461 | 0.079 | 0.210 | 0.289 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.136 | 0.012 | 1088 | 1056 | 1.118 | 0.088 | 0.112 | 0.159 |
| Having health card | 0.206 | 0.017 | 1284 | 1295 | 1.436 | 0.080 | 0.173 | 0.239 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.752 | 0.019 | 1284 | 1295 | 1.569 | 0.025 | 0.714 | 0.791 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.519 | 0.023 | 1284 | 1295 | 1.631 | 0.044 | 0.473 | 0.566 |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.492 | 0.023 | 1284 | 1295 | 1.657 | 0.048 | 0.445 | 0.539 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.552 | 0.022 | 1284 | 1295 | 1.553 | 0.040 | 0.509 | 0.596 |
| Fully immunized | 0.415 | 0.021 | 1284 | 1295 | 1.536 | 0.052 | 0.373 | 0.458 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.116 | 0.006 | 3399 | 3468 | 1.148 | 0.055 | 0.103 | 0.129 |
| Height-for-age | 0.493 | 0.013 | 3399 | 3468 | 1.478 | 0.026 | 0.467 | 0.518 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.481 | 0.012 | 3399 | 3468 | 1.414 | 0.026 | 0.456 | 0.505 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 4.826 | 0.098 | NA | 25606 | 1.345 | 0.020 | 4.630 | 5.022 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 59.268 | 2.613 | 13293 | 13468 | 1.114 | 0.044 | 54.043 | 64.494 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 36.019 | 2.133 | 13317 | 13492 | 1.213 | 0.059 | 31.753 | 40.286 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 95.288 | 3.496 | 13319 | 13494 | 1.208 | 0.037 | 88.296 | 102.279 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 53.210 | 3.033 | 13436 | 13616 | 1.283 | 0.057 | 47.144 | 59.277 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years | 143.428 | 4.830 | 13464 | 13642 | 1.360 | 0.034 | 133.767 | 153.088 |

NA = Not applicable

| Table B5. Sampling errors-Mountain sample. Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Standard Number of cases |  |  |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) |  |  |
| Variable | Value (R) | Standard errror (SE) | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | Confiden | $\frac{\text { ce limits }}{}$ |
| Urban resident | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1061 | 569 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| No education | 0.895 | 0.017 | 1061 | 569 | 1.781 | 0.019 | 0.861 | 0.928 |
| Secondary or more | 0.036 | 0.010 | 1061 | 569 | 1.715 | 0.272 | 0.017 | 0.056 |
| Currently in union | 0.945 | 0.007 | 1061 | 569 | 1.018 | 0.008 | 0.931 | 0.959 |
| Ever in union before 20 | 0.829 | 0.018 | 950 | 507 | 1.511 | 0.022 | 0.792 | 0.866 |
| Sex before 18 | 0.595 | 0.024 | 950 | 507 | 1.512 | 0.040 | 0.547 | 0.644 |
| Children ever born | 3.736 | 0.080 | 1002 | 538 | 0.922 | 0.021 | 3.577 | 3.896 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 6.513 | 0.214 | 218 | 115 | 1.295 | 0.033 | 6.085 | 6.941 |
| Children surviving | 2.801 | 0.059 | 1002 | 538 | 0.903 | 0.021 | 2.682 | 2.919 |
| Knowing any method | 0.969 | 0.018 | 1002 | 538 | 3.227 | 0.018 | 0.933 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.969 | 0.018 | 1002 | 538 | 3.227 | 0.018 | 0.933 | 1.000 |
| Ever use any method | 0.266 | 0.027 | 1002 | 538 | 1.914 | 0.100 | 0.213 | 0.319 |
| Using any method | 0.178 | 0.019 | 1002 | 538 | 1.559 | 0.106 | 0.140 | 0.215 |
| Using any modern method | 0.160 | 0.018 | 1002 | 538 | 1.569 | 0.114 | 0.124 | 0.197 |
| Using pill | 0.006 | 0.002 | 1002 | 538 | 0.855 | 0.357 | 0.002 | 0.010 |
| Using IUD | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1002 | 538 | 0.877 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| Using injectables | 0.054 | 0.009 | 1002 | 538 | 1.195 | 0.159 | 0.037 | 0.071 |
| Using Norplant | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1002 | 538 | 0.877 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| Using condom | 0.010 | 0.003 | 1002 | 538 | 1.051 | 0.325 | 0.004 | 0.017 |
| Using female sterilization | 0.021 | 0.006 | 1002 | 538 | 1.258 | 0.269 | 0.010 | 0.033 |
| Using male sterilization | 0.068 | 0.011 | 1002 | 538 | 1.409 | 0.165 | 0.045 | 0.090 |
| Currently using abstinence | 0.005 | 0.002 | 1002 | 538 | 0.975 | 0.446 | 0.001 | 0.009 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.011 | 0.003 | 1002 | 538 | 0.822 | 0.247 | 0.006 | 0.016 |
| Using public sector source | 0.891 | 0.031 | 168 | 86 | 1.299 | 0.035 | 0.828 | 0.953 |
| Want no more children | 0.447 | 0.015 | 1002 | 538 | 0.943 | 0.033 | 0.417 | 0.477 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.243 | 0.017 | 1002 | 538 | 1.245 | 0.069 | 0.209 | 0.277 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.203 | 0.064 | 1048 | 561 | 1.852 | 0.020 | 3.075 | 3.331 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.245 | 0.024 | 624 | 337 | 1.328 | 0.098 | 0.197 | 0.293 |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | y 0.029 | 0.009 | 624 | 337 | 1.226 | 0.297 | 0.012 | 0.046 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.371 | 0.024 | 562 | 303 | 1.147 | 0.064 | 0.323 | 0.418 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.289 | 0.034 | 202 | 112 | 1.073 | 0.117 | 0.221 | 0.357 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.134 | 0.029 | 202 | 112 | 1.227 | 0.215 | 0.076 | 0.192 |
| Having health card | 0.204 | 0.037 | 207 | 111 | 1.311 | 0.180 | 0.131 | 0.278 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.649 | 0.059 | 207 | 111 | 1.767 | 0.090 | 0.532 | 0.766 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.440 | 0.058 | 207 | 111 | 1.683 | 0.132 | 0.324 | 0.556 |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.419 | 0.058 | 207 | 111 | 1.687 | 0.138 | 0.303 | 0.534 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.499 | 0.062 | 207 | 111 | 1.792 | 0.125 | 0.375 | 0.624 |
| Fully immunized | 0.369 | 0.059 | 207 | 111 | 1.753 | 0.159 | 0.252 | 0.487 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.136 | 0.016 | 500 | 270 | 1.021 | 0.115 | 0.105 | 0.168 |
| Height-for-age | 0.566 | 0.026 | 500 | 270 | 1.212 | 0.046 | 0.514 | 0.618 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.532 | 0.028 | 500 | 270 | 1.231 | 0.052 | 0.477 | 0.587 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 5.601 | 0.210 | NA | 1897 | 1.055 | 0.038 | 5.181 | 6.021 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 70.775 | 5.887 | 2070 | 1117 | 0.907 | 0.083 | 59.002 | 82.549 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 65.752 | 9.387 | 2072 | 1118 | 1.648 | 0.143 | 46.978 | 84.527 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 136.528 | 11.662 | 2073 | 1119 | 1.350 | 0.085 | 113.204 | 159.851 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 82.184 | 9.358 | 2097 | 1131 | 1.329 | 0.114 | 63.468 | 100.901 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years | 207.491 | 15.575 | 2101 | 1134 | 1.505 | 0.075 | 176.340 | 238.642 |
| NA $=$ Nox applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B6. Sampling errors - Hill sample. Nepal 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard errror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| Urban resident | 0.100 | 0.013 | 3597 | 3600 | 2.560 | 0.128 | 0.074 | 0.126 |
| No education | 0.775 | 0.017 | 3597 | 3600 | 2.378 | 0.021 | 0.741 | 0.808 |
| Secondary or more | 0.103 | 0.013 | 3597 | 3600 | 2.465 | 0.121 | 0.078 | 0.128 |
| Currently in union | 0.934 | 0.005 | 3597 | 3600 | 1.207 | 0.005 | 0.924 | 0.944 |
| Ever in union before 20 | 0.827 | 0.011 | 3234 | 3245 | 1.663 | 0.013 | 0.805 | 0.850 |
| Sex before 18 | 0.594 | 0.015 | 3234 | 3245 | 1.764 | 0.026 | 0.563 | 0.624 |
| Children ever bom | 3.422 | 0.050 | 3358 | 3363 | 1.163 | 0.015 | 3.322 | 3.523 |
| Children ever bom to women over 40 | 5.905 | 0.130 | 669 | 674 | 1.279 | 0.022 | 5.646 | 6.164 |
| Children surviving | 2.854 | 0.038 | 3358 | 3363 | 1.085 | 0.013 | 2.778 | 2.929 |
| Knowing any method | 0.982 | 0.006 | 3358 | 3363 | 2.616 | 0.006 | 0.969 | 0.994 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.981 | 0.006 | 3358 | 3363 | 2.665 | 0.006 | 0.968 | 0.993 |
| Ever use any method | 0.405 | 0.021 | 3358 | 3363 | 2.463 | 0.052 | 0.363 | 0.446 |
| Using any method | 0.296 | 0.021 | 3358 | 3363 | 2.620 | 0.070 | 0.254 | 0.337 |
| Using any modern method | 0.269 | 0.020 | 3358 | 3363 | 2.628 | 0.075 | 0.229 | 0.309 |
| Using pill | 0.021 | 0.005 | 3358 | 3363 | 2.014 | 0.236 | 0.011 | 0.031 |
| Using IUD | 0.003 | 0.001 | 3358 | 3363 | 1.248 | 0.393 | 0.001 | 0.005 |
| Using injectables | 0.061 | 0.007 | 3358 | 3363 | 1.727 | 0.117 | 0.046 | 0.075 |
| Using Norplant | 0.004 | 0.001 | 3358 | 3363 | 1.199 | 0.314 | 0.002 | 0.007 |
| Using condom | 0.021 | 0.004 | 3358 | 3363 | 1.565 | 0.185 | 0.013 | 0.029 |
| Using female sterilization | 0.075 | 0.010 | 3358 | 3363 | 2.263 | 0.137 | 0.054 | 0.095 |
| Using male sterilization | 0.083 | 0.006 | 3358 | 3363 | 1.175 | 0.067 | 0.072 | 0.094 |
| Currently using abstinence | 0.007 | 0.002 | 3358 | 3363 | 1.037 | 0.211 | 0.004 | 0.010 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.016 | 0.002 | 3358 | 3363 | 1.127 | 0.153 | 0.011 | 0.021 |
| Using public sector source | 0.726 | 0.032 | 918 | 905 | 2.144 | 0.044 | 0.663 | 0.789 |
| Want no more children | 0.457 | 0.010 | 3358 | 3363 | 1.207 | 0.023 | 0.436 | 0.478 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.203 | 0.007 | 3358 | 3363 | 1.060 | 0.036 | 0.188 | 0.217 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.817 | 0.043 | 3559 | 3559 | 2.482 | 0.015 | 2.731 | 2.903 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.394 | 0.027 | 1843 | 1862 | 2.224 | 0.068 | 0.340 | 0.448 |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | y 0.110 | 0.014 | 1843 | 1862 | 1.809 | 0.125 | 0.082 | 0.137 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.277 | 0.016 | 1717 | 1737 | 1.490 | 0.058 | 0.245 | 0.309 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.241 | 0.023 | 482 | 481 | 1.171 | 0.095 | 0.195 | 0.287 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.131 | 0.020 | 482 | 481 | 1.325 | 0.156 | 0.090 | 0.171 |
| Having health card | 0.232 | 0.022 | 614 | 619 | 1.270 | 0.094 | 0.188 | 0.275 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.771 | 0.026 | 614 | 619 | 1.533 | 0.034 | 0.720 | 0.823 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.589 | 0.031 | 614 | 619 | 1.552 | 0.052 | 0.528 | 0.651 |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.565 | 0.031 | 614 | 619 | 1.561 | 0.055 | 0.503 | 0.627 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.626 | 0.030 | 614 | 619 | 1.520 | 0.047 | 0.567 | 0.685 |
| Fully immunized | 0.513 | 0.030 | 614 | 619 | 1.500 | 0.059 | 0.452 | 0.573 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.093 | 0.007 | 1592 | 1611 | 0.912 | 0.071 | 0.079 | 0.106 |
| Height-for-age | 0.487 | 0.019 | 1592 | 1611 | 1.559 | 0.040 | 0.448 | 0.525 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.444 | 0.018 | 1592 | 1611 | 1.407 | 0.040 | 0.409 | 0.479 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 4.498 | 0.144 | NA | 12650 | 1.396 | 0.032 | 4.211 | 4.786 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 50.646 | 3.537 | 6106 | 6169 | 1.092 | 0.070 | 43.572 | 57.721 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 36.779 | 3.305 | 6119 | 6184 | 1.238 | 0.090 | 30.168 | 43.389 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 87.425 | 5.059 | 6120 | 6184 | 1.235 | 0.058 | 77.306 | 97.544 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 43.274 | 3.826 | 6163 | 6224 | 1.309 | 0.088 | 35.622 | 50.926 |
| Under-five morality rate (0-9 years | 126.916 | 6.517 | 6178 | 6240 | 1.353 | 0.051 | 113.882 | 139.950 |

[^14]Table B7. Sampling errors - Terai sample. Nepal_1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard errror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | Confiden | nce limits |
| Urban resident | 0.083 | 0.011 | 3771 | 4260 | 2.473 | 0.134 | 0.060 | 0.105 |
| No education | 0.809 | 0.015 | 3771 | 4260 | 2.395 | 0.019 | 0.778 | 0.839 |
| Secondary or more | 0.087 | 0.009 | 3771 | 4260 | 2.056 | 0.109 | 0.068 | 0.105 |
| Currently in union | 0.958 | 0.004 | 3771 | 4260 | 1.126 | 0.004 | 0.951 | 0.966 |
| Ever in union before 20 | 0.916 | 0.007 | 3290 | 3695 | 1.522 | 0.008 | 0.901 | 0.931 |
| Sex before 18 | 0.752 | 0.011 | 3290 | 3695 | 1.488 | 0.015 | 0.730 | 0.774 |
| Children ever born | 3.365 | 0.052 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.273 | 0.015 | 3.261 | 3.469 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 5.857 | 0.135 | 653 | 734 | 1.378 | 0.023 | 5.587 | 6.127 |
| Children surviving | 2.746 | 0.040 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.239 | 0.014 | 2.667 | 2.826 |
| Knowing any method | 0.989 | 0.003 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.915 | 0.003 | 0.982 | 0.995 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.988 | 0.003 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.902 | 0.004 | 0.981 | 0.995 |
| Ever use any method | 0.382 | 0.017 | 3618 | 4082 | 2.120 | 0.045 | 0.348 | 0.416 |
| Using any method | 0.290 | 0.015 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.991 | 0.052 | 0.260 | 0.320 |
| Using any modern method | 0.266 | 0.015 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.976 | 0.055 | 0.237 | 0.295 |
| Using pill | 0.009 | 0.002 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.290 | 0.229 | 0.005 | 0.013 |
| Using IUD | 0.002 | 0.001 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.046 | 0.348 | 0.001 | 0.004 |
| Using injectables | 0.031 | 0.004 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.472 | 0.137 | 0.022 | 0.039 |
| Using Norplant | 0.004 | 0.002 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.507 | 0.375 | 0.001 | 0.008 |
| Using condom | 0.019 | 0.004 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.603 | 0.191 | 0.012 | 0.026 |
| Using female sterilization | 0.171 | 0.012 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.874 | 0.069 | 0.148 | 0.195 |
| Using male sterilization | 0.029 | 0.005 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.661 | 0.161 | 0.019 | 0.038 |
| Currently using abstinence | 0.011 | 0.003 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.601 | 0.255 | 0.005 | 0.016 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.013 | 0.002 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.281 | 0.185 | 0.008 | 0.018 |
| Using public sector source | 0.836 | 0.018 | 995 | 1086 | 1.537 | 0.022 | 0.800 | 0.872 |
| Want no more children | 0.372 | 0.012 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.452 | 0.031 | 0.349 | 0.395 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.203 | 0.007 | 3618 | 4082 | 1.077 | 0.035 | 0.189 | 0.217 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.990 | 0.051 | 3636 | 4094 | 2.847 | 0.017 | 2.889 | 3.091 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.551 | 0.025 | 1950 | 2176 | 2.004 | 0.045 | 0.501 | 0.600 |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | y 0.104 | 0.011 | 1950 | 2176 | 1.454 | 0.105 | 0.082 | 0.126 |
| Had diarroea in the last 2 weeks | 0.258 | 0.014 | 1818 | 2032 | 1.371 | 0.055 | 0.230 | 0.287 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.268 | 0.034 | 492 | 525 | 1.637 | 0.125 | 0.201 | 0.335 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.146 | 0.015 | 492 | 525 | 0.906 | 0.101 | 0.117 | 0.176 |
| Having health card | 0.205 | 0.026 | 581 | 649 | 1.522 | 0.126 | 0.154 | 0.257 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.768 | 0.028 | 581 | 649 | 1.582 | 0.036 | 0.712 | 0.823 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.499 | 0.035 | 581 | 649 | 1.653 | 0.069 | 0.430 | 0.568 |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.471 | 0.035 | 581 | 649 | 1.683 | 0.075 | 0.401 | 0.541 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.520 | 0.032 | 581 | 649 | 1.524 | 0.061 | 0.456 | 0.583 |
| Fully immunized | 0.369 | 0.030 | 581 | 649 | 1.492 | 0.082 | 0.309 | 0.429 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.126 | 0.010 | 1640 | 1824 | 1.243 | 0.082 | 0.106 | 0.147 |
| Height-for-age | 0.469 | 0.017 | 1640 | 1824 | 1.406 | 0.037 | 0.434 | 0.504 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.482 | 0.018 | 1640 | 1824 | 1.416 | 0.037 | 0.446 | 0.517 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 4.643 | 0.139 | NA | 13613 | 1.354 | 0.030 | 4.364 | 4.922 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 62.722 | 3.752 | 6452 | 7155 | 1.109 | 0.060 | 55.219 | 70.226 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 28.179 | 2.259 | 6460 | 7163 | 1.061 | 0.080 | 23.661 | 32.698 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 90.902 | 4.503 | 6461 | 7163 | 1.134 | 0.050 | 81.895 | 99.908 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 53.008 | 4.433 | 6519 | 7238 | 1.268 | 0.084 | 44.143 | 61.873 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years 13 | 139.091 | 6.604 | 6529 | 7247 | 1.307 | 0.047 | 125.884 | 152.299 |

$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not applicable

Table B8. Sampling errors - Eastern sample. Nepal 1996

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard errror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  |  |  |
| Urban resident | 0.078 | 0.019 | 1683 | 1941 | 2.881 | 0.242 | 0.040 | 0.115 |
| No education | 0.763 | 0.023 | 1683 | 1941 | 2.207 | 0.030 | 0.717 | 0.809 |
| Secondary or more | 0.115 | 0.016 | 1683 | 1941 | 2.062 | 0.139 | 0.083 | 0.148 |
| Currently in union | 0.943 | 0.006 | 1683 | 1941 | 0.973 | 0.006 | 0.932 | 0.954 |
| Ever in union before 20 | 0.793 | 0.014 | 1540 | 1763 | 1.320 | 0.017 | 0.766 | 0.820 |
| Sex before 18 | 0.571 | 0.015 | 1540 | 1763 | 1.178 | 0.026 | 0.542 | 0.601 |
| Children ever bom | 3.298 | 0.063 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.034 | 0.019 | 3.173 | 3.424 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 5.704 | 0.184 | 308 | 335 | 1.210 | 0.032 | 5.335 | 6.073 |
| Children surviving | 2.796 | 0.056 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.143 | 0.020 | 2.684 | 2.908 |
| Knowing any method | 0.984 | 0.005 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.516 | 0.005 | 0.974 | 0.993 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.982 | 0.005 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.541 | 0.005 | 0.972 | 0.993 |
| Ever use any method | 0.411 | 0.019 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.505 | 0.045 | 0.373 | 7 |
| Using any method | 0.308 | 0.020 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.692 | 0.064 | 0.269 | . 347 |
| Using any modern method | 0.268 | 0.018 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.577 | 0.066 | 0.233 | 0.303 |
| Using pill | 0.017 | 0.004 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.174 | 0.227 | 0.009 | 0.024 |
| Using IUD | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1583 | 1829 | 0.873 | 0.782 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| Using injectables | 0.050 | 0.008 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.504 | 0.166 | 0.033 | 0.06 |
| Using Norplant | 0.006 | 0.003 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.420 | 0.445 | 0.001 | 0.01 |
| Using condom | 0.022 | 0.007 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.921 | 0.319 | 0.008 | 0.037 |
| Using female sterilization | 0.132 | 0.016 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.839 | 0.119 | 0.101 | 0.163 |
| Using male sterilization | 0.040 | 0.007 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.392 | 0.172 | 0.026 | 0.053 |
| Currently using abstinence | 0.019 | 0.005 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.589 | 0.289 | 0.008 | 0.030 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.021 | 0.004 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.226 | 0.209 | 0.012 | 0.030 |
| Using public sector source | 0.818 | 0.030 | 412 | 490 | 1.570 | 0.036 | 0.759 | 0.878 |
| Want no more children | 0.436 | 0.020 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.578 | 0.045 | 0.397 | 0.475 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.169 | 0.010 | 1583 | 1829 | 1.054 | 0.059 | 0.149 | 0.189 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.863 | 0.042 | 1662 | 1912 | 1.733 | 0.015 | 2.779 | 2.948 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.496 | 0.038 | 818 | 924 | 1.985 | 0.077 | 0.419 | 0.572 |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | 0.114 | 0.016 | 818 | 924 | 1.366 | 0.142 | 0.081 | 0.146 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.226 | 0.022 | 771 | 874 | 1.477 | 0.098 | 0.181 | 0.270 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.319 | 0.057 | 169 | 198 | 1.586 | 0.177 | 0.206 | 0.432 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.119 | 0.026 | 169 | 198 | 1.051 | 0.218 | 0.067 | 0.171 |
| Having health card | 0.178 | 0.034 | 268 | 294 | 1.403 | 0.193 | 0.110 | 0.247 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.808 | 0.035 | 268 | 294 | 1.403 | 0.043 | 0.739 | 0.877 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.577 | 0.039 | 268 | 294 | 1.239 | 0.067 | 0.499 | 0.654 |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.545 | 0.043 | 268 | 294 | 1.375 | 0.079 | 0.459 | 0.632 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.633 | 0.037 | 268 | 294 | 1.236 | 0.059 | 0.559 | 0.708 |
| Fully immunized | 0.452 | 0.044 | 268 | 294 | 1.402 | 0.097 | 0.364 | 0.540 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.102 | 0.012 | 677 | 765 | 1.047 | 0.123 | 0.077 | 0.127 |
| Height-for-age | 0.383 | 0.022 | 677 | 765 | 1.200 | 0.058 | 0.339 | 0.427 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.380 | 0.023 | 677 | 765 | 1.201 | 0.060 | 0.335 | 0.426 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 4.111 | 0.211 | NA | 7003 | 1.431 | 0.051 | 3.690 | 4.532 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 57.777 | 6.024 | 2828 | 3192 | 1.166 | 0.104 | 45.729 | 69.825 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 21.600 | 2.933 | 2833 | 3197 | 1.052 | 0.136 | 15.734 | 27.466 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 79.377 | 6.569 | 2833 | 3197 | 1.142 | 0.083 | 66.238 | 92.516 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 36.301 | 5.038 | 2854 | 3219 | 1.106 | 0.139 | 26.225 | 46.377 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years | 112.797 | 8.109 | 2859 | 3225 | 1.175 | 0.072 | 96.579 | 129.015 |

NA $=$ Not applicable

|  |  |  | Number o | f cases |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Value (R) | Standard errror (SE) | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confiden | nce limits |
| Urban resident | 0.131 | 0.016 | 2515 | 2827 | 2.392 | 0.123 | 0.099 | 0.163 |
| No education | 0.794 | 0.021 | 2515 | 2827 | 2.597 | 0.026 | 0.753 | 0.836 |
| Secondary or more | 0.097 | 0.015 | 2515 | 2827 | 2.511 | 0.153 | 0.067 | 0.126 |
| Currently in union Ever in union before 20 | 0.947 | 0.005 | 2515 | 2827 | 1.178 | 0.006 | 0.937 | 0.958 |
| Ever in union before 20 Sex before 18 | 0.884 | 0.012 | 2205 | 2449 | 1.774 | 0.014 | 0.859 | 0.908 |
| Sex before 18 Children ever born | 0.691 3.276 | 0.018 0.060 | 2205 | 2449 | 1.861 | 0.027 | 0.654 | 0.728 |
| Children ever bom to women over 40 | 5.722 | 0.172 | 2373 455 | 2677 512 | 1.210 | 0.018 0.030 | 3.157 5.378 | 3.395 6.067 |
| Children surviving | 2.693 | 0.042 | 2373 | 2677 | 1.075 | 0.016 | 2.609 | 2.777 |
| Knowing any method | 0.982 | 0.007 | 2373 | 2677 | 2.676 | 0.007 | 0.967 | 0.997 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.981 | 0.008 | 2373 | 2677 | 2.714 | 0.008 | 0.966 | 0.996 |
| Ever use any method | 0.396 | 0.027 | 2373 | 2677 | 2.670 | 0.068 | 0.342 | 0.449 |
| Using any method | 0.310 | 0.024 | 2373 | 2677 | 2.504 | 0.077 | 0.262 | 0.357 |
| Using any modern method | 0.286 | 0.024 | 2373 | 2677 | 2.560 | 0.083 | 0.238 | 0.333 |
| Using pill | 0.015 | 0.006 | 2373 | 2677 | 2.379 | 0.400 | 0.003 | 0.026 |
| Using IUD | 0.005 | 0.002 | 2373 | 2677 | 1.075 | 0.311 | 0.002 | 0.008 |
| Using injectables | 0.051 | 0.007 | 2373 | 2677 | 1.577 | 0.139 | 0.037 | 0.065 |
| Using Norplant | 0.006 | 0.002 | 2373 | 2677 | 1.107 | 0.295 | 0.002 | 0.009 |
| Using condom | 0.018 | 0.004 | 2373 | 2677 | 1.594 | 0.240 | 0.010 | 0.027 |
| Using female sterilization | 0.136 | 0.014 | 2373 | 2677 | 1.926 | 0.100 | 0.109 | 0.163 |
| Using male sterilization | 0.054 | 0.007 | 2373 | 2677 | 1.409 | 0.121 | 0.041 | 0.067 |
| Currently using abstinence | 0.007 | 0.002 | 2373 | 2677 | 1.238 | 0.292 | 0.003 | 0.012 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.013 | 0.003 | 2373 | 2677 | 1.136 | 0.204 | 0.008 | 0.018 |
| Using public sector source | 0.750 | 0.036 | 723 | 765 | 2.240 | 0.048 | 0.678 | 0.822 |
| Want no more children | 0.394 | 0.013 | 2373 | 2677 | 1.263 | 0.032 | 0.368 | 0.419 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.212 | 0.009 | 2373 | 2677 | 1.078 | 0.043 | 0.194 | 0.230 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.954 | 0.070 | 2423 | 2709 | 3.031 | 0.024 | 2.815 | 3.094 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.546 | 0.035 | 1268 | 1434 | 2.324 | 0.064 | 0.476 | 0.615 |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | y 0.134 | 0.019 | 1268 | 1434 | 1.851 | 0.140 | 0.096 | 0.171 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.264 | 0.017 | 1195 | 1354 | 1.340 | 0.066 | 0.230 | 0.299 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.254 | 0.031 | 345 | 358 | 1.252 | 0.121 | 0.193 | 0.316 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.110 | 0.020 | 345 | 358 | 1.167 | 0.186 | 0.069 | 0.151 |
| Having health card | 0.222 | 0.023 | 401 | 452 | 1.094 | 0.102 | 0.177 | 0.267 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.778 | 0.027 | 401 | 452 | 1.318 | 0.035 | 0.724 | 0.833 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.521 | 0.038 | 401 | 452 | 1.511 | 0.072 | 0.446 | 0.596 |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.507 | 0.037 | 401 | 452 | 1.497 | 0.074 | 0.432 | 0.581 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.548 | 0.035 | 401 | 452 | 1.409 | 0.064 | 0.478 | 0.618 |
| Fully immunized | 0.432 | 0.033 | 401 | 452 | 1.322 | 0.076 | 0.367 | 0.497 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.101 | 0.009 | 1102 | 1247 | 0.980 | 0.087 | 0.083 | 0.118 |
| Height-for-age | 0.509 | 0.024 | 1102 | 1247 | 1.647 | 0.048 | 0.460 | 0.558 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.482 | 0.022 | 1102 | 1247 | 1.503 | 0.047 | 0.437 | 0.527 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.562 | 0.174 | NA | 9160 | 1.442 | 0.038 | 4.214 | 4.910 |
| Neonatal mortality rate ( $0-9$ years) | 55.958 | 4.332 | 4102 | 4632 | 1.080 | 0.077 | 47.294 | 64.622 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 30.337 | 2.989 | 4107 | 4638 | 1.090 | 0.099 | 24.360 | 36.315 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 86.295 | 5.803 | 4108 | 4638 | 1.209 | 0.067 | 74.688 | 97.902 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 56.054 | 5.648 | 4158 | 4697 | 1.294 | 0.101 | 44.758 | 67.350 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years 1 | 137.512 | 8.518 | 4165 | 4705 | 1.350 | 0.062 | 120.476 | 154.548 |
| NA $=$ Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table B10. Sampling errors - Western sample. Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number of | f cases |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard errror (SE) | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence | ce limits |
| Urban resident | 0.049 | 0.020 | 1594 | 1647 | 3.790 | 0.418 | 0.008 | 0.090 |
| No education | 0.761 | 0.028 | 1594 | 1647 | 2.591 | 0.036 | 0.706 | 0.817 |
| Secondary or more | 0.100 | 0.017 | 1594 | 1647 | 2.265 | 0.170 | 0.066 | 0.134 |
| Currently in union | 0.947 | 0.008 | 1594 | 1647 | 1.369 | 0.008 | 0.932 | 0.963 |
| Ever in union before 20 | 0.875 | 0.016 | 1439 | 1489 | 1.877 | 0.019 | 0.842 | 0.907 |
| Sex before 18 | 0.661 | 0.025 | 1439 | 1489 | 1.984 | 0.037 | 0.611 | 0.710 |
| Children ever born | 3.428 | 0.078 | 1513 | 1560 | 1.234 | 0.023 | 3.272 | 3.585 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 5.744 | 0.202 | 302 | 313 | 1.335 | 0.035 | 5.341 | 6.148 |
| Children surviving | 2.869 | 0.057 | 1513 | 1561 | 1.105 | 0.020 | 2.755 | 2.982 |
| Knowing any method | 0.988 | 0.009 | 1513 | 1561 | 3.027 | 0.009 | 0.970 | 1.000 |
| Knowing any modem method | 0.988 | 0.009 | 1513 | 1561 | 3.027 | 0.009 | 0.970 | 1.000 |
| Ever use any method | 0.384 | 0.033 | 1513 | 1561 | 2.673 | 0.087 | 0.317 | 0.451 |
| Using any method | 0.265 | 0.030 | 1513 | 1561 | 2.643 | 0.113 | 0.205 | 0.324 |
| Using any modern method | 0.252 | 0.029 | 1513 | 1561 | 2.589 | 0.115 | 0.194 | 0.310 |
| Using pill | 0.011 | 0.004 | 1513 | 1561 | 1.413 | 0.350 | 0.003 | 0.018 |
| Using IUD | 0.003 | 0.002 | 1513 | 1561 | 1.485 | 0.756 | 0.000 | 0.006 |
| Using injectables | 0.034 | 0.007 | 1513 | 1561 | 1.565 | 0.213 | 0.020 | 0.049 |
| Using Norplant | 0.003 | 0.003 | 1513 | 1561 | 1.810 | 0.828 | 0.000 | 0.008 |
| Using condom | 0.013 | 0.004 | 1513 | 1561 | 1.348 | 0.299 | 0.005 | 0.021 |
| Using female sterilization | 0.106 | 0.016 | 1513 | 1561 | 2.049 | 0.153 | 0.073 | 0.138 |
| Using male sterilization | 0.081 | 0.011 | 1513 | 1561 | 1.510 | 0.131 | 0.060 | 0.103 |
| Currently using abstinence | 0.004 | 0.002 | 1513 | 1561 | 1.148 | 0.454 | 0.000 | 0.008 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.008 | 0.002 | 1513 | 1561 | 0.981 | 0.284 | 0.003 | 0.012 |
| Using public sector source | 0.842 | 0.027 | 381 | 393 | 1.419 | 0.032 | 0.789 | 0.895 |
| Want no more children | 0.449 | 0.014 | 1513 | 1561 | 1.100 | 0.031 | 0.421 | 0.477 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.178 | 0.013 | 1513 | 1561 | 1.285 | 0.071 | 0.153 | 0.204 |
| Ideal number of children | 2.727 | 0.086 | 1535 | 1591 | 3.478 | 0.032 | 2.555 | 2.900 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.476 | 0.036 | 853 | 881 | 1.942 | 0.075 | 0.404 | 0.547 |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | 0.101 | 0.017 | 853 | 881 | 1.539 | 0.169 | 0.067 | 0.135 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.256 | 0.027 | 785 | 811 | 1.727 | 0.106 | 0.202 | 0.310 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.249 | 0.053 | 205 | 208 | 1.738 | 0.211 | 0.144 | 0.355 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.105 | 0.018 | 205 | 208 | 0.838 | 0.172 | 0.069 | 0.141 |
| Having health card | 0.312 | 0.056 | 258 | 267 | 1.916 | 0.178 | 0.201 | 0.424 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.812 | 0.061 | 258 | 267 | 2.526 | 0.076 | 0.690 | 0.935 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.624 | 0.077 | 258 | 267 | 2.547 | 0.124 | 0.469 | 0.778 |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.615 | 0.077 | 258 | 267 | 2.536 | 0.126 | 0.461 | 0.770 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.568 | 0.065 | 258 | 267 | 2.112 | 0.115 | 0.438 | 0.699 |
| Fully immunized | 0.510 | 0.067 | 258 | 267 | 2.137 | 0.131 | 0.377 | 0.644 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.112 | 0.020 | 738 | 762 | 1.662 | 0.174 | 0.073 | 0.151 |
| Height-for-age | 0.500 | 0.027 | 738 | 762 | 1.461 | 0.054 | 0.446 | 0.554 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.477 | 0.034 | 738 | 762 | 1.786 | 0.071 | 0.410 | 0.544 |
| Total fertility rate (3 years) | 4.656 | 0.200 | NA | 5697 | 1.386 | 0.043 | 4.257 | 5.055 |
| Neonatal morality rate ( $0-9$ years) | 53.059 | 5.754 | 2683 | 2771 | 1.201 | 0.108 | 41.552 | 64.566 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 31.272 | 4.283 | 2688 | 2776 | 1.127 | 0.137 | 22.707 69 | 39.837 |
| Infant mortality rate ( $0-9$ years) | 84.330 | 7.466 | 2688 | 2776 | 1.214 | 0.089 | 69.399 | 99.262 49.382 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 37.604 | 5.889 | 2695 | 2783 | 1.463 | 0.157 | 25.825 | 49.382 138.079 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years | 118.763 | 9.658 | 2700 | 2788 | 1.340 | 0.081 | 99.446 | 138.079 |

NA = Not applicable

Table B11. Sampling errors - Mid-western sample. Nepal 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard errror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | Confiden | R+2SE |
| Urban resident | 0.044 | 0.008 | 1390 | 1196 | 1.486 | 0.186 | 0.028 | 0.060 |
| No education | 0.856 | 0.016 | 1390 | 1196 | 1.701 | 0.019 | 0.824 | 0.888 |
| Secondary or more | 0.058 | 0.010 | 1390 | 1196 | 1.631 | 0.176 | 0.038 | 0.079 |
| Currently in union | 0.959 | 0.007 | 1390 | 1196 | 1.280 | 0.007 | 0.945 | 0.973 |
| Ever in union before 20 | 0.919 | 0.009 | 1215 | 1043 | 1.108 | 0.009 | 0.902 | 0.936 |
| Sex before 18 | 0.735 | 0.018 | 1215 | 1043 | 1.457 | 0.025 | 0.698 | 0.772 |
| Children ever born | 3.698 | 0.108 | 1334 | 1146 | 1.460 | 0.029 | 3.482 | 3.915 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 6.726 | 0.144 | 247 | 213 | 0.922 | 0.021 | 6.439 | 7.013 |
| Children surviving | 2.881 | 0.080 | 1334 | 1146 | 1.412 | 0.028 | 2.722 | 3.041 |
| Knowing any method | 0.988 | 0.005 | 1334 | 1146 | 1.847 | 0.005 | 0.978 | 0.999 |
| Knowing any modern method | 0.988 | 0.006 | 1334 | 1146 | 1.891 | 0.006 | 0.976 | 0.999 |
| Ever use any method | 0.380 | 0.024 | 1334 | 1146 | 1.802 | 0.063 | 0.332 | 0.427 |
| Using any method | 0.269 | 0.025 | 1334 | 1146 | 2.031 | 0.092 | 0.219 | 0.318 |
| Using any modern method | 0.242 | 0.024 | 1334 | 1146 | 2.063 | 0.100 | 0.194 | 0.291 |
| Using pill | 0.016 | 0.004 | 1334 | 1146 | 1.292 | 0.276 | 0.007 | 0.025 |
| Using IUD | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1334 | 1146 | 0.816 | 0.997 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| Using injectables | 0.039 | 0.010 | 1334 | 1146 | 1.850 | 0.250 | 0.020 | 0.059 |
| Using Norplant | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1334 | 1146 | 0.823 | 1.006 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| Using condom | 0.030 | 0.005 | 1334 | 1146 | 1.096 | 0.170 | 0.020 | 0.041 |
| Using female sterilization | 0.105 | 0.019 | 1334 | 1146 | 2.232 | 0.178 | 0.068 | 0.143 |
| Using male sterilization | 0.048 | 0.007 | 1334 | 1146 | 1.220 | 0.149 | 0.034 | 0.062 |
| Currently using abstinence | 0.007 | 0.002 | 1334 | 1146 | 0.926 | 0.311 | 0.002 | 0.011 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.017 | 0.004 | 1334 | 1146 | 1.197 | 0.246 | 0.009 | 0.026 |
| Using public sector source | 0.722 | 0.040 | 327 | 278 | 1.597 | 0.055 | 0.643 | 0.802 |
| Want no more children | 0.401 | 0.016 | 1334 | 1146 | 1.181 | 0.040 | 0.369 | 0.433 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.233 | 0.012 | 1334 | 1146 | 0.995 | 0.049 | 0.210 | 0.256 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.069 | 0.072 | 1378 | 1186 | 2.459 | 0.023 | 2.925 | 3.213 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.359 | 0.033 | 800 | 695 | 1.782 | 0.091 | 0.294 | 0.424 |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | y 0.047 | 0.010 | 800 | 695 | 1.251 | 0.222 | 0.026 | 0.068 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.346 | 0.026 | 728 | 631 | 1.454 | 0.074 | 0.294 | 0.397 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.231 | 0.034 | 245 | 218 | 1.261 | 0.145 | 0.164 | 0.298 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.184 | 0.032 | 245 | 218 | 1.332 | 0.176 | 0.119 | 0.249 |
| Having healh card | 0.183 | 0.026 | 249 | 218 | 1.053 | 0.140 | 0.132 | 0.234 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.705 | 0.039 | 249 | 218 | 1.374 | 0.056 | 0.627 | 0.784 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.509 | 0.043 | 249 | 218 | 1.362 | 0.084 | 0.423 | 0.595 |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.442 | 0.044 | 249 | 218 | 1.415 | 0.100 | 0.354 | 0.531 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.558 | 0.049 | 249 | 218 | 1.574 | 0.088 | 0.460 | 0.657 |
| Fully immunized | 0.390 | 0.045 | 249 | 218 | 1.455 | 0.114 | 0.301 | 0.479 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.119 | 0.011 | 636 | 555 | 0.880 | 0.095 | 0.096 | 0.141 |
| Height-for-age | 0.510 | 0.029 | 636 | 555 | 1.469 | 0.058 | 0.451 | 0.569 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.488 | 0.019 | 636 | 555 | 0.957 | 0.040 | 0.450 | 0.527 |
| Total ferility rate ( 3 years) | 5.468 | 0.198 | NA | 3819 | 0.918 | 0.036 | 5.071 | 5.864 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 63.400 | 5.725 | 2712 | 2350 | 1.069 | 0.090 | 51.951 | 74.849 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate ( 0.9 years) | 51.431 | 6.059 | 2717 | 2354 | 1.301 | 0.118 | 39.312 | 63.549 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 114.830 | 7.440 | 2717 | 2354 | 1.071 | 0.065 | 99.950 | 129.711 |
| Child mortality rate (0-9 years) | 71.159 | 7.914 | 2748 | 2382 | 1.400 | 0.111 | 55.330 | 86.987 |
| Under-five morality rate (0-9 years | 177.818 | 11.906 | 2753 | 2386 | 1.450 | 0.067 | 154.006 | 201.630 |

NA = Not applicable

## Table B12. Sampling errors - Far-western sample. Nepal 1996

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard errror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | Confidenc | $\frac{\text { ce limits }}{}$ |
| Urban resident | 0.071 | 0.009 | 1247 | 819 | 1.205 | 0.124 | 0.053 | 0.088 |
| No education | 0.902 | 0.017 | 1247 | 819 | 1.958 | 0.018 | 0.869 | 0.935 |
| Secondary or more | 0.037 | 0.007 | 1247 | 819 | 1.363 | 0.198 | 0.022 | 0.051 |
| Currently in union | 0.939 | 0.006 | 1247 | 819 | 0.854 | 0.006 | 0.928 | 0.951 |
| Ever in union before 20 | 0.948 | 0.009 | 1075 | 703 | 1.352 | 0.010 | 0.929 | 0.966 |
| Sex before 18 | 0.794 | 0.016 | 1075 | 703 | 1.318 | 0.020 | 0.762 | 0.827 |
| Children ever born | 3.717 | 0.069 | 1175 | 769 | 0.881 | 0.019 | 3.578 | 3.856 |
| Children ever born to women over 40 | 6.377 | 0.192 | 228 | 151 | 1.151 | 0.030 | 5.993 | 6.761 |
| Children surviving | 2.869 | 0.056 | 1175 | 769 | 0.930 | 0.019 | 2.758 | 2.980 |
| Knowing any method | 0.980 | 0.005 | 1175 | 769 | 1.174 | 0.005 | 0.971 | 0.990 |
| Knowing any modem method | 0.980 | 0.005 | 1175 | 769 | 1.174 | 0.005 | 0.971 | 0.990 |
| Ever use any method | 0.282 | 0.023 | 1175 | 769 | 1.779 | 0.083 | 0.236 | 0.329 |
| Using any method | 0.208 | 0.022 | 1175 | 769 | 1.854 | 0.106 | 0.164 | 0.252 |
| Using any modern method | 0.196 | 0.022 | 1175 | 769 | 1.864 | 0.110 | 0.153 | 0.239 |
| Using pill | 0.007 | 0.002 | 1175 | 769 | 0.964 | 0.346 | 0.002 | 0.011 |
| Using IUD | 0.002 | 0.001 | 1175 | 769 | 0.462 | 0.329 | 0.001 | 0.003 |
| Using injectables | 0.041 | 0.011 | 1175 | 769 | 1.952 | 0.274 | 0.019 | 0.064 |
| Using Norplant | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1175 | 769 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Using condom | 0.011 | 0.004 | 1175 | 769 | 1.203 | 0.337 | 0.003 | 0.018 |
| Using female sterilization | 0.093 | 0.018 | 1175 | 769 | 2.167 | 0.197 | 0.056 | 0.130 |
| Using male sterilization | 0.043 | 0.008 | 1175 | 769 | 1.394 | 0.192 | 0.026 | 0.059 |
| Currently using abstinence | 0.002 | 0.002 | 1175 | 769 | 1.249 | 0.732 | 0.000 | 0.006 |
| Using withdrawal | 0.009 | 0.005 | 1175 | 769 | 1.640 | 0.494 | 0.000 | 0.018 |
| Using public sector source | 0.893 | 0.020 | 238 | 151 | 0.996 | 0.022 | 0.852 | 0.933 |
| Want no more children | 0.368 | 0.019 | 1175 | 769 | 1.361 | 0.052 | 0.330 | 0.407 |
| Want to delay child at least 2 years | 0.284 | 0.012 | 1175 | 769 | 0.948 | 0.044 | 0.259 | 0.309 |
| Ideal number of children | 3.193 | 0.060 | 1245 | 818 | 2.071 | 0.019 | 3.074 | 3.313 |
| Mothers received tetanus injection | 0.239 | 0.026 | 678 | 441 | 1.454 | 0.110 | 0.186 | 0.291 |
| Mothers received medical care at delivery | y 0.052 | 0.011 | 678 | 441 | 1.276 | 0.216 | 0.030 | 0.075 |
| Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | 0.342 | 0.021 | 618 | 402 | 1.059 | 0.061 | 0.301 | 0.384 |
| Treated with ORS packets | 0.241 | 0.025 | 212 | 138 | 0.864 | 0.106 | 0.190 | 0.292 |
| Sought medical treatment | 0.216 | 0.025 | 212 | 138 | 0.901 | 0.117 | 0.165 | 0.266 |
| Having health card | 0.157 | 0.027 | 226 | 147 | 1.092 | 0.169 | 0.104 | 0.210 |
| Received BCG vaccination | 0.591 | 0.042 | 226 | 147 | 1.277 | 0.071 | 0.507 | 0.675 |
| Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | 0.372 | 0.031 | 226 | 147 | 0.965 | 0.084 | 0.309 | 0.434 |
| Received Polio vaccination (3 doses) | 0.349 | 0.030 | 226 | 147 | 0.944 | 0.086 | 0.289 | 0.409 |
| Received measles vaccination | 0.491 | 0.042 | 226 | 147 | 1.244 | 0.085 | 0.408 | 0.575 |
| Fully immunized | 0.325 | 0.034 | 226 | 147 | 1.090 | 0.105 | 0.256 | 0.393 |
| Weight-for-height | 0.165 | 0.013 | 579 | 376 | 0.821 | 0.078 | 0.139 | 0.191 |
| Height-for-age | 0.532 | 0.022 | 579 | 376 | 1.046 | 0.041 | 0.489 | 0.576 |
| Weight-for-age | 0.563 | 0.015 | 579 | 376 | 0.722 | 0.027 | 0.533 | 0.593 |
| Total fertility rate ( 3 years) | 5.186 | 0.195 | NA | 2585 | 1.154 | 0.038 | 4.795 | 5.576 |
| Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 67.264 | 5.416 | 2303 | 1500 | 0.919 | 0.081 | 56.432 | 78.096 |
| Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) | 57.012 | 7.386 | 2306 | 1500 | 1.414 | 0.130 | 42.240 | 71.784 |
| Infant mortality rate (0-9 years) | 124.276 | 10.238 | 2308 | 1501 | 1.326 | 0.082 | 103.799 | 144.753 |
| Child mortality rate ( $0-9$ years) | 62.331 | 6.850 | 2324 | 1512 | 1.136 | 0.110 | 48.630 | 76.032 |
| Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years | 178.861 | 11.676 | 2331 | 1516 | 1.274 | 0.065 | 155.509 | 202.213 |

NA = Not applicable

## APPENDIX C

## DATA QUALITY

This appendix is an assessment of the quality of the data collected in the Nepal Family Health Survey (NFHS). Unlike Appendix B which discusses the effect of sampling errors on the survey results, the discussion in this appendix focuses on the magnitude of nonsampling errors and its potential effects on interpreting the findings of the survey. Nonsampling errors can take several forms: digit preference; rounding or heaping on certain ages or dates; omission of certain events in the past; deliberate distortion of information by some interviewers who want to lighten their workload; lack of cooperation by respondents in giving information about themselves or their children; respondents not agreeing to be weighed and measured; respondents not allowing their children to be weighed or measured.

## C. 1 Age Reporting Errors

In most developing countries, ages are of little significance to the majority of the population and especially to those living in rural areas. Because it is well documented that in Nepal ages are poorly reported, considerable emphasis was placed during interviewer training, on obtaining accurate age information. There are also several built-in checks in the questionnaire that allowed interviewers to verify the accuracy of the information recorded on age. Table C. 1 shows the distribution of the de facto household population by single year of age. Information on age is obtained from the Household Questionnaire.

It is clear from Table C. 1 that there is some preference for ages ending in 0,2 and 5 , and, as expected, age heaping is more severe in the older ages. The typical pattern of heaping on age 12 is also evident. Nevertheless, age reporting in the NFHS is better than age data from previous sources. The age reporting among females in the reproductive age group appears to be particularly good, presumably because these women reported their own age (see Figure 2.2). The Myers' Index, which is a commonly used measure of overall digit preference in age reporting, for the male and female population age $10-60$ years are 9.6 and 6.4 , respectively. The corresponding indices in the 1991 Nepal Fertility, Family Planning and Health Survey is 28.0 and 22.3 for males and females, respectively (Ministry of Health Nepal, 1993). Myers' Indices range from 18.3 in the 1976 Nepal Fertility Survey to 25.3 in the 1986 Nepal Fertility and Family Planning Survey (NFFS) for currently married women age 20-49 (Ministry of Health Nepal, 1987). The Myers' Index in the NFHS for currently married women age $20-49$ is 8.2 . Another measure of the quality of the age data is the very small number of persons whose ages were recorded as not known or missing. In the NFHS age was reported as missing or not known for only two persons, both female (Table C.1).

Sometimes eligible women, that is, ever-married women age 15-49, may be shifted out of the eligible age range, or recorded as not married, by interviewers in order to reduce their workload. Table C. 2 is intended to detect both displacement of women out of the eligible age range and differential rates of response by age. The number of ever-married women interviewed is calculated using household weights, in order to compare with the number of ever-married women age 15-49 in the household. Hence this number is slightly different from the total number of women interviewed based on individual women weights. Table C. 2 shows that there is no bias in age reporting as indicated by the virtual absence of any difference between the age distribution of women recorded in the household schedule and those interviewed with the Individual Questionnaire. The table also shows the expected pattern of declining percentage as age increases, indicating that interviewers have not attempted to shift eligible women out of the eligible age range. Finally, Table C. 2 also shows that response rates vary only slightly across the age of respondents.

| Table_C.1_Household age distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-year age distribution of the de facto household population by sex (weighted), Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | les | Ferm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Age | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| <1 | 734 | 3.6 | 719 | 3.2 | 37 | 159 | 0.8 | 197 | 0.9 |
| 1 | 710 | 3.4 | 679 | 3.1 | 38 | 165 | 0.8 | 228 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 696 | 3.4 | 676 | 3.0 | 39 | 183 | 0.9 | 205 | 0.9 |
| 3 | 639 | 3.1 | 655 | 3.0 | 40 | 209 | 1.0 | 223 | 1.0 |
| 4 | 641 | 3.1 | 679 | 3.1 | 41 | 188 | 0.9 | 197 | 0.9 |
| 5 | 713 | 3.5 | 661 | 3.0 | 42 | 173 | 0.8 | 199 | 0.9 |
| 6 | 662 | 3.2 | 655 | 3.0 | 43 | 122 | 0.6 | 155 | 0.7 |
| 7 | 690 | 3.3 | 656 | 3.0 | 44 | 132 | 0.6 | 172 | 0.8 |
| 8 | 619 | 3.0 | 606 | 2.7 | 45 | 208 | 1.0 | 204 | 0.9 |
| 9 | 589 | 2.8 | 625 | 2.8 | 46 | 170 | 0.8 | 182 | 0.8 |
| 10 | 585 | 2.8 | 538 | 2.4 | 47 | 150 | 0.7 | 146 | 0.7 |
| 11 | 579 | 2.8 | 541 | 2.4 | 48 | 151 | 0.7 | 160 | 0.7 |
| 12 | 699 | 3.4 | 637 | 2.9 | 49 | 109 | 0.5 | 153 | 0.7 |
| 13 | 505 | 2.4 | 469 | 2.1 | 50 | 154 | 0.7 | 114 | 0.5 |
| 14 | 514 | 2.5 | 501 | 2.3 | 51 | 143 | 0.7 | 160 | 0.7 |
| 15 | 451 | 2.2 | 496 | 2.2 | 52 | 169 | 0.8 | 167 | 0.8 |
| 16 | 454 | 2.2 | 464 | 2.1 | 53 | 100 | 0.5 | 146 | 0.7 |
| 17 | 341 | 1.7 | 472 | 2.1 | 54 | 127 | 0.6 | 130 | 0.6 |
| 18 | 394 | 1.9 | 471 | 2.1 | 55 | 147 | 0.7 | 148 | 0.7 |
| 19 | 285 | 1.4 | 356 | 1.6 | 56 | 150 | 0.7 | 115 | 0.5 |
| 20 | 311 | 1.5 | 418 | 1.9 | 57 | 112 | 0.5 | 112 | 0.5 |
| 21 | 275 | 1.3 | 407 | 1.8 | 58 | 98 | 0.5 | 105 | 0.5 |
| 22 | 337 | 1.6 | 424 | 1.9 | 59 | 84 | 0.4 | 97 | 0.4 |
| 23 | 247 | 1.2 | 347 | 1.6 | 60 | 122 | 0.6 | 118 | 0.5 |
| 24 | 307 | 1.5 | 403 | 1.8 | 61 | 97 | 0.5 | 120 | 0.5 |
| 25 | 286 | 1.4 | 384 | 1.7 | 62 | 134 | 0.6 | 109 | 0.5 |
| 26 | 295 | 1.4 | 371 | 1.7 | 63 | 62 | 0.3 | 87 | 0.4 |
| 27 | 283 | 1.4 | 358 | 1.6 | 64 | 75 | 0.4 | 54 | 0.2 |
| 28 | 270 | 1.3 | 366 | 1.6 | 65 | 110 | 0.5 | 117 | 0.5 |
| 29 | 214 | 1.0 | 254 | 1.1 | 66 | 57 | 0.3 | 50 | 0.2 |
| 30 | 261 | 1.3 | 315 | 1.4 | 67 | 71 | 0.3 | 64 | 0.3 |
| 31 | 200 | 1.0 | 213 | 1.0 | 68 | 53 | 0.3 | 61 | 0.3 |
| 32 | 266 | 1.3 | 314 | 1.4 | 69 | 59 | 0.3 | 54 | 0.2 |
| 33 | 160 | 0.8 | 239 | 1.1 | 70+ | 475 | 2.3 | 448 | 2.0 |
| 34 | 250 | 1.2 | 284 | 1.3 | Don't know/ |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 246 | 1.2 | 266 | 1.2 | missing | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| 36 | 235 | 1.1 | 283 | 1.3 | Total 20 | 20,658 | 100.0 | 22,205 | 100.0 |

## C. 2 Omission and Displacement

Another common measure of data quality is the extent of missing information on key variables. If data collection is not carried out with sufficient care and patience, information is likely to be missing in a large number of cases and a high level of missing information may indicate that the non-missing information is of poor quality. Nevertheless, it is important to bear in mind that completeness of reporting does not necessarily imply accuracy of the results. In the NFHS, the extent of missing information is very low on all the measures shown with the exception of height and weight information on children (Table C.3). Data are 100 percent complete for month and year of birth and mother's educational level, and nearly complete for age at death, age at/date of first union, and prevalence of diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey. Data on height and weight are available for more than 90 percent of the children, which is very good considering that in some parts of Nepal
the weather was not conducive for weighing and measuring children. In some cases, children were not measured because they were not at home at the time of the interview nor during subsequent call-backs and, in other cases, either the child refused to be weighed and/or measured or the mother refused to have her child weighed and measured.

## Table C.2 Age distribution of eligible andinterviewed women

Percent distribution of the de facto household population of women age 10-54 and of interviewed women age 15-49, and the percentage of eligible women who were interviewed (weighted) by five-year groups, Nepal 1996

|  | Household population of women |  | Ever-married women |  | Interviewed Women age 15-49 |  | Percentage interviewed (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| 10-14 | 2,686 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15-19 | 2,259 | 21.9 | 967 | 11.2 | 945 | 11.2 | 97.7 |
| 20-24 | 1,999 | 19.4 | 1.726 | 20.0 | 1,687 | 20.0 | 97.7 |
| 25-29 | 1,734 | 16.8 | 1,664 | 19.3 | 1,633 | 19.3 | 98.1 |
| 30-34 | 1,365 | 13.2 | 1,343 | 15.6 | 1,318 | 15.6 | 98.1 |
| 35-39 | 1,179 | 11.4 | 1,164 | 13.5 | 1,145 | 13.5 | 98.3 |
| 40-44 | 946 | 9.2 | 936 | 10.8 | 921 | 10.9 | 98.4 |
| 45-49 | 846 | 8.2 | 833 | 9.6 | 807 | 9.5 | 96.9 |
| 50-54 | 718 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 15-49 | 10,328 | NA | 8,634 | NA | 8,457 | NA | 98.0 |

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who slept in the household the night before interview. The number of interviewed women is calculated using the household weights in order to be comparable to the number of ever-married women in the household. Thus, the numbers differ slightly from those shown in the rest of the report, which are based on individual woman weights.
NA= Not applicable

Data quality is also measured by the completeness and accuracy of information on births. Table C. 4 shows the distribution of births by calendar year to ascertain if any unusual patterns exist which may indicate that births have been omitted or that the ages of children have been displaced. Complete information is available for almost all children with little difference between living and dead children. Birth history information is, in fact, as high as 100 percent complete for the four most recent years. Thus, the completeness of data on birth dates is very high. However, an examination of the NFHS data since 2043 indicates some omission of deaths in the most recent five-year period. The proportion of deaths to births decreases from 16 percent during the period 20432047 to 9 percent since 2047. Some of this decrease may be due to a real reduction in mortality during the more recent period and some may be due to the fact that younger children have had less exposure to the risk of mortality. Nevertheless, the sharp fall in the proportion of deaths since 2049 suggests some underreporting in the most recent period.

Age displacement is common in many surveys that include both demographic information and health information for children below a specified age. The cutoff date for asking health questions in Nepal was the first month (Baisakh, which is roughly equivalent to the Western month of April) of the Nepalese year 2049 (1992). Table C. 4 shows that while there is no age displacement across this boundary for living children, there is evidence of possible misreporting of birth dates for dead children. The distribution of dead children in Nepal shows a deficit of births in calendar year 2049 and an excess of births in calendar year 2048 as denoted by the
calendar year ratios for dead children. This pattern is believed to be the result of transference of births by interviewers out of the period for which health data were collected. This has been noted in other DHS surveys. Transference is more obvious for dead children, presumably because information on dead children is harder to obtain from mothers, who are reluctant to talk about their deceased children. However, since birth displacement of dead children occurs within the five-year reference period for which mortality rates are calculated, these rates are unlikely to be affected by such displacement. Finally, the overall sex ratio is an expected 105 males to 100 females, indicating that underreporting of births by gender was not a problem in the NFHS.

Table C. 3 Completeness of reporting
Percentage of observations missing information for selected demographic and health questions (weighted), Nepal 1996

| Subject | Reference group | Percentage missing information | Number of cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birth Date | Birth in last 15 years |  |  |
| Month only |  | 0.14 | 20,317 |
| Month and year |  | 0.00 | 20,317 |
| Age at death | Dealths to births in last 15 years | 0.12 | 3,003 |
| Age a//date of first union' | Ever-married women | 0.11 | 8,429 |
| Respondent's education | Ever-married women | 0.00 | 8,429 |
| Anthropometry ${ }^{2}$ | Living children age 0-35 months |  |  |
| Height missing |  | 7.20 | 4,072 |
| Weight missing |  | 2.15 | 4,072 |
| Height and weight missing |  | 7.20 | 4,072 |
| Diarrhoea in last 2 weeks | Living children age 0.35 months | 0.39 | 4,072 |
| Both year and age missing ${ }^{2}$ Child not measured |  |  |  |

Underreporting of deaths is most severe for deaths which occur very early in infancy. Table C. 5 looks at the evidence of underreporting of infant deaths. A selective underreporting of early neonatal deaths would result in an abnormally low ratio of deaths under seven days to all neonatal deaths. Early infant deaths have not been severely under reported in the NFHS as suggested by the high ratio of deaths in the first six days to all neonatal deaths. ${ }^{1}$ This ratio also varies little over the 20 years before the survey (between 64 and 65 ) which further supports the evidence that early infant deaths have not been grossly underreported.

Heaping of the age at death on certain digits is another problem that is inherent in most retrospective surveys. Misreporting of age at death biases estimates of the age pattern of mortality if the net result of misreporting is the transference of deaths between age segments for which the rates are calculated; for example, an overestimate of child mortality relative to infant mortality may result if children who died during the first year of life are reported as having died at age one or older. In an effort to minimise the error in reporting the age at

[^15]
## Table C. 4 Births by Nepali calendar years

Distribution of births by Nepalese calendar years for living (L), dead (D), and all (T) children, according to reporting completeness, sex ratio at birth, and ratio of births by calendar year, Nepal 1996

| Year | Number of births |  |  | Percentage with complete birth date ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Sex ratio at birth ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Calendar ratio ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | D | T | L | D | T | 1 | D | T | L | D | T | 1 | D | T | L | D | T |
| 2052 | 1,282 | 83 | 1,365 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 100.0 | 100.2 | 132.3 | 101.9 | NA | NA | NA | 641 | 47 | 689 | 640 | 36 | 676 |
| 2051 | 1,361 | 117 | 1,478 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 105.7 | 110.9 | 106.1 | 104.3 | 131.0 | 106.0 | 699 | 62 | 761 | 662 | 56 | 717 |
| 2050 | 1,327 | 96 | 1,423 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 106.9 | 174.9 | 110.4 | 98.9 | 75.5 | 96.9 | 685 | 61 | 747 | 641 | 35 | 676 |
| 2049 | 1,322 | 138 | 1,460 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 101.2 | 99.6 | 102.2 | 86.7 | 100.5 | 659 | 69 | 728 | 663 | 69 | 731 |
| 2048 | 1,261 | 222 | 1,483 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 100.3 | 99.6 | 100.2 | 97.1 | 132.7 | 101.1 | 631 | 111 | 742 | 630 | 111 | 741 |
| 2047 | 1,276 | 197 | 1,473 | 99.9 | 99.1 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 112.0 | 101.3 | 104.3 | 86.9 | 101.5 | 637 | 104 | 741 | 639 | 93 | 732 |
| 2046 | 1,187 | 231 | 1,418 | 99.9 | 99.2 | 99.8 | 112.2 | 144.2 | 116.8 | 94.4 | 113.8 | 97.1 | 628 | 136 | 764 | 560 | 94 | 654 |
| 2045 | 1,240 | 209 | 1,449 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 106.3 | 95.4 | 104.6 | 107.6 | 91.6 | 104.9 | 639 | 102 | 741 | 601 | 107 | 708 |
| 2044 | 1,118 | 226 | 1,344 | 99.9 | 99.6 | 99.8 | 104.3 | 116.3 | 106.2 | 97.1 | 101.0 | 97.7 | 571 | 121 | 692 | 547 | 104 | 652 |
| 2043 | 1,063 | 238 | 1,301 | 99.9 | 99.1 | 99.8 | 91.2 | 122.1 | 96.2 | NA | NA | NA | 507 | 131 | 638 | 556 | 107 | 663 |
| 2048-2052 | 6,552 | 656 | 7,208 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 102.5 | 114.5 | 103.5 | NA | NA | NA | 3,316 | 350 | 3,667 | 3,236 | 306 | 3,542 |
| 2043-2047 | 5,885 | 1,100 | 6,985 | 99.9 | 99.4 | 99.8 | 102.7 | 117.5 | 104.9 | NA | NA | NA | 2,982 | 594 | 3,576 | 2,903 | 506 | 3,409 |
| 2038-2042 | 4,833 | 1,241 | 6,074 | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 105.0 | 92.9 | 102.4 | NA | NA | NA | 2,476 | 598 | 3,074 | 2,357 | 643 | 3,000 |
| 2033-2037 | 3,317 | 1,067 | 4,384 | 99.9 | 99.4 | 99.8 | 109.5 | 95.7 | 106.0 | NA | NA | NA | 1,734 | 522 | 2,256 | 1,583 | 545 | 2,128 |
| $<2033$ | 2,870 | 1,280 | 4,150 | 99.8 | 99.6 | 99.8 | 115.2 | 103.8 | 111.5 | NA | NA | NA | 1,536 | 652 | 2,188 | 1,334 | 628 | 1,962 |
| All | 23,458 | 5,343 | 28,801 | 99.9 | 99.6 | 99.8 | 105.5 | 103.3 | 105.1 | NA | NA | NA 1 | 12,045 | 2,716 | 4,760 | 11,413 | 2,628 | 4,041 |

[^16]| Table C. 5 Reporting of age at death in days |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution of reported deaths under one month of age by age at death in days and the percentage of neonatal deaths reported to occur at ages 0-6 days, for five year periods of birth preceding the survey, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |  |
| (in days) | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 0-19 |
| <1 | 88 | 130 | 116 | 108 | 442 |
| 1 | 39 | 50 | 53 | 35 | 178 |
| 2 | 24 | 29 | 15 | 16 | 84 |
| 3 | 39 | 32 | 35 | 17 | 123 |
| 4 | 12 | 19 | 13 | 13 | 57 |
| 5 | 12 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 77 |
| 6 | 10 | 22 | 26 | 25 | 83 |
| 7 | 18 | 22 | 24 | 19 | 83 |
| 8 | 9 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 63 |
| 9 | 6 | 12 | 16 | 13 | 47 |
| 10 | 10 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 39 |
| 11 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 23 |
| 12 | 6 | 14 | 9 | 16 | 44 |
| 13 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 26 |
| 14 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 20 |
| 15 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 47 |
| 16 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 25 |
| 17 | 3 | 7 | $1!$ | 3 | 24 |
| 18 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 18 |
| 19 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 12 |
| 20 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 20 |
| 21 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 14 |
| 22 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 30 |
| 23 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| 24 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| 25 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 9 |
| 26 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| 27 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 29 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Total 0-30 | 352 | 469 | 440 | 360 | 1,620 |
| Percent early neonatal ${ }^{1}$ | 63.8 | 65.1 | 64.0 | 64.8 | 64.4 |
| ${ }^{1}(0-6$ days/0.3 | * 100 |  |  |  |  |

death, interviewers in the NFHS were instructed to record deaths under one month in days, and those under 2 years of age in months. They were also specifically asked to probe for deaths reported at one year of age to ensure that they had actually occurred at 12 months.

Table C. 6 examines the distribution of deaths under two years of age during the 20 years prior to the survey by month of death and indicates some heaping at $3,6,12$ and 18 months of age, with corresponding deficits in adjacent months, although these are less pronounced during the period $0-4$ years preceding the survey. Digit preference does not appear to be sufficiently serious, to substantially alter the mortality rates calculated here.

| Distribution of reported deaths under two years of age by age at death in months and the percentage of infant deaths reported to occur at ages under one month, for five-year periods preceding the survey, Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Num | years | eding | rvey |  |
| (in months) | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 0-19 |
| $<1^{\text {a }}$ | 352 | 469 | 440 | 360 | 1,621 |
| 1 | 32 | 44 | 51 | 34 | 160 |
| 2 | 30 | 32 | 31 | 27 | 120 |
| 3 | 15 | 33 | 42 | 33 | 122 |
| 4 | 19 | 10 | 28 | 17 | 74 |
| 5 | 12 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 76 |
| 6 | 14 | 23 | 27 | 35 | 99 |
| 7 | 9 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 48 |
| 8 | 12 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 75 |
| 9 | 12 | 29 | 27 | 17 | 85 |
| 10 | 10 | 18 | 27 | 23 | 78 |
| 11 | 14 | 31 | 29 | 32 | 106 |
| 12 | 15 | 19 | 32 | 35 | 101 |
| 13 | 7 | 27 | 20 | 11 | 65 |
| 14 | 6 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 41 |
| 15 | 7 | 16 | 15 | 18 | 56 |
| 16 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 27 |
| 17 | 4 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 36 |
| 18 | 10 | 26 | 21 | 17 | 75 |
| 19 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 28 |
| 20 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 15 |
| 21 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 17 |
| 22 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 24 |
| 23 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 26 |
| 1 year | 2 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 13 |
| Total 0-11 | 529 | 745 | 761 | 629 | 2,665 |
| Percent neonatal ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 66.4 | 63.0 | 57.8 | 57.2 | 60.8 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes deaths under 1 month reported in days <br> ${ }^{6}$ (Under 1 month/under 1 year) * 100 |  |  |  |  |  |

## C. 3 Adult and Maternal Mortality

Section 8 of the Individual Questionnaire in the NFHS uses the direct method to collect data on maternal mortality. The procedure involved listing all the siblings of the respondent and then collecting information on: the survival status of each of the siblings; the ages of the surviving siblings; the ages and years since death of deceased siblings. For each deceased sister, additional questions were asked to determine if a death is due to maternal causes. Maternal death is defined as any death that occurs during pregnancy, childbirth, or within two months following the birth or termination of a pregnancy. This time-specific definition includes all deaths that occurred within the specified time period even if the death is due to a non-pregnancy related cause. However, this definition is unlikely to result in overreporting of maternal deaths because most deaths to women in the specified time period are likely to be due to maternal causes, and maternal deaths in general are more likely to be underreported than overreported.

There are two advantages to listing all siblings. First, a reporting of all siblings in chronological order of birth is thought to elicit more complete reporting of events, than if questions relate to sisters alone. Second, information collected on all siblings allows the direct estimation of adult mortality as well. The computing of adult mortality, including maternal mortality involves estimating the number of person-years of exposure to death and the number of deaths by time period. Estimates are usually shown by five-year or ten-year intervals before the survey for a period often not exceeding 15 years. To avoid possible heaping of ages since death ending in zero and five and to reduce the likelihood of underreporting (which is likely to be more severe the further back in time) the NFHS estimates of mortality are shown for the period 0-6 years before the survey. Nevertheless, the reliability of estimates depends on the completeness and accuracy of reporting and the size of the sample.

| Table C. 7 Data on siblings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of siblings reported by survey respondents and completeness of reported data on age, age at death (AD) and years since death (YSD), Nepal 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sibling status and completeness of reporting | Sisters |  | Brothers |  | Total |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| All siblings | 25,349 | 100.0 | 27,057 | 100.0 | 52,406 | 100.0 |
| Living | 18,844 | 74.3 | 20,136 | 74.4 | 38,981 | 74.4 |
| Dead | 6,487 | 25.6 | 6,862 | 25.4 | 13,349 | 25.5 |
| Status unknow | 18 | 0.1 | 58 | 0.2 | 76 | 0.1 |
| Living siblings | 18,844 | 100.0 | 20,136 | 100.0 | 38,981 | 100.0 |
| Age reported | 18,833 | 99.9 | 20,128 | 100.0 | 38,962 | 100.0 |
| Age missing | 11 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.0 | 19 | 0.0 |
| Dead siblings | 6,487 | 100.0 | 6,862 | 100.0 | 13,349 | 100.0 |
| AD and YSD reported | 6,448 | 99.4 | 6,819 | 99.4 | 13,267 | 99.4 |
| Missing only AD | 9 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.0 | 12 | 0.1 |
| Missing only YSD | 12 | 0.2 | 25 | 0.4 | 38 | 0.3 |
| Missing both AD and YSD | 18 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.2 | 32 | 0.2 |

The completeness of data on survivorship status, current age, age at death, and years since death of siblings is shown in Table C.7. Respondents are found to be highly knowledgeable about their siblings. Information was missing for less than 1 percent of siblings, with little difference between brothers and sisters. Rather than exclude siblings with missing information from the analysis, the information on the birth order of siblings in conjunction with other information is used to impute the missing data. ${ }^{2}$ There were 11 siblings whose sex was not known and who were not included in the analysis (data not shown).

[^17]
## Table C. 8 Indicators on data quality

Percent distribution of respondents and siblings by year of birth, Nepal 1996

| Year of birth | Respondents | Siblings |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Before 2002 | 0.0 | 4.6 |
| $2002-06$ | 6.0 | 4.7 |
| $2007-11$ | 9.2 | 7.2 |
| $2012-16$ | 11.3 | 10.3 |
| $2017-21$ | 12.8 | 12.6 |
| $2022-26$ | 15.9 | 14.9 |
| $2027-31$ | 26.0 | 15.0 |
| 2032 or later | 100.0 | 10.7 |
| Total | 2002 | 1974 |
| Lower range | 2038 | 2052 |
| Upper range | 2025 | 2025 |
| Median | 10,101 | 52.395 |
| Number of cases |  |  |

Note: The beginning of the year 2053 in the Nepalese calendar roughly corresponds to 15th April 1996 in the Western calendar.

> Table C. 9 Sibship size and sex ratio of siblings
> Mean sibship size and sex ratio of births, Nepal 1996

| Year of birth <br> of respondents <br> siblings | Mean <br> sibship <br> size | Sex ratio <br> at birth <br> of |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $<2007$ | 6.0 | 110.4 |
| $2007-11$ | 6.0 | 105.4 |
| $2012-16$ | 6.1 | 109.2 |
| $2017-21$ | 6.1 | 107.4 |
| $2022-26$ | 6.4 | 104.8 |
| $2027-31$ | 6.3 | 105.5 |
| $2032-38$ | 6.2 | 107.2 |
| Total | 6.2 | 106.7 |

Note: The beginning of the year 2053 in the Nepalese calendar roughly corresponds to 15 th April 1996 in the Western calendar.

Table C.8, which shows the distribution of respondents and their siblings by year of birth, is a crude measure of data quality. If there is no bias, the year of birth of siblings should be roughly equivalent to the year of birth of respondents overall. The distribution of respondents and their siblings by year of birth is very similar-in fact, the median year of birth is the same, 2025-indicating that there is no serious underreporting of siblings. The mean sibship size (number of siblings) is yet another crude measure of data quality (Table C.9). Since fertility in Nepal has declined over time, one would expect mean sibship sizes to decline as well. The absence of a monotonic decline in the sibship size suggests there may be some omission in the reporting of older siblings. In fact, the slightly higher sex ratio among siblings born before 2007 suggests the omission of sisters. However, since adult mortality rates are reported here for the seven years preceding the survey this omission is unlikely to affect the calculation of mortality rates. Moreover, if the omission occurred mostly among sisters who did not survive to adulthood (which is most likely the case) it may not even bias the estimation of maternal mortality.

Nevertheless it should be noted that any data that rely on respondent's recall of events will suffer from some degree of misreporting. The problem is compounded if this information pertains to deceased persons and if the event occurred a long time before the survey and, as in the case of Nepal, if respondents have a difficulty conceptualising the dates of events.
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## APPENDIX E

## QUESTIONNAIRES

| IDENTIFICATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DISTRICT NAME AND NUMBER |  |
| VILLAGE/MUNICIPALITY NAME AND NUMBER |  |
| WARD NUMBER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| CLUSTER NUMBER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| HOUSEHOLD NUMBER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| CITY/TOWN/COUNTRYSIDE <br> (city=1, town=2, countryside=3) |  |
|  |  |
| NAME OF RESPONDENT |  |



HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE

| LINE NO. | USUAL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS | RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD* | RESIDENCE |  | SEX | AGE | EDUCATION |  |  | MARITAL STATUS |  | ELIGIBILITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | IF AGE 6 YEARS OR OLDER |  | IF AGE 10 YEARS OR OLDER |  |  |
|  | Please give me the names of the persons who usually live in your household and guests of the housethold who stayed here last night, starting with the head of the househotd. | What is the relationship of (NAME) to the head of the household? | Does (NAME) usually live here? | Did(NAME)stayherelastnight? |  | Is (NAME) male or female? | How old is (NAME)? | Has (NAME) ever been to school? | IF ATTENDED SCHOOL |  | Has (NAME) ever been married? | IF EVER-MARRIED AND AGE LESS THAN 20 | CIRCLE LINE NUMBER OF ALL ELIGIBLE WOMEN: IF FEMALE AGE 15-49 AND YES TO COL. (11) AND COL. (12) IS YES OR NOT ASKED. |
|  |  |  |  |  | What is the highest grade** completed by (NAME)? |  |  |  | IF AGE LESS THAN 25 YEARS | Has (NAME) started living with his/her spouse? |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Is (NAME) still in school? |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |  |
|  |  |  | YESNO | YESNO | M F | IN YEARS | YES NO | GRADE | YES NO | YES NO | YES NO |  |  |
| 01 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | $\square$ | $12$ | 12 | 12 | 01 |  |
| 02 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\square$ | 12 | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 02 |  |
| 03 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 03 |  |
| 04 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\square$ | 12 | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 04 |  |
| 05 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\square$ | 12 | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 05 |  |
| 06 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\square$ | 12 | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 06 |  |
| 07 |  | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | $\square$ | 12 | $\square$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 07 |  |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household? |  | $\rightarrow 16$ <br> $\rightarrow 16$ $\rightarrow 16$ |
| 15 | How long does it take to get there, get water, and come back? | MINUTES <br> ON PREMISES <br> 996 |  |
| 16 | What kind of toiet facility does your household have? |  |  |
| 17 | Does your household have: <br> Electricity? <br> A radio? <br> A television? <br> A telephone? <br> A bicycle? |  |  |
| 18 | How many rooms in your household are used for sleeping? | ROOMS $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. |  |
| 19 | What is the religion of the head of the household? |  |  |
| 20 | What is the caste of the head of the household? <br> WRITE CASTE IN SPACE PROVIDED. CODE WILL BE ENTERED BY FIELD EDITOR. | (CASTE) |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | MAIN MATERIAL OF THE FLOOR. <br> RECORD OBSERVATION. |  <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |  |
| 22 | What type of salt is usually used for cooking in your household? (ASK TO SEE SALT PACKAGE). |  |  |
| 23 | TEST THE SALT AND WRITE THE RESULT. |  |  |


| IDENTIFICATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DISTRICT NAME AND NUMBER |  |
| VILLAGE/MUNICIPALITY NAME AND NUMBER |  |
| WARD NUMBER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| CLUSTER NUMBER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | \% |
| HOUSEHOLD NUMBER ........................................................................... |  |
| CITY/TOWN/COUNTRYSIDE (city=1, town=2, countryside=3) |  |
| NAME OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD ___ |  |
|  |  |



| SUPERVISOR | FIELD EDITOR | OFFICE EDITOR | KEYED BY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME $\qquad$ <br> DATE | NAME $\qquad$ <br> DATE $\qquad$ |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | RECORD THE TIME. | HOUR <br> MINUTES |  |
| 101 | COLLECT ANY RELEVANT DOCUMENTS THAT MAY HAVE INFORMATION ON THE RESPONDENTS AGE AND HER CHILDREN'S AGE AND IMMUNIZATION. |  |  |
| 102 | First I would like to ask some questions about you and your household. For most of the time until you were 12 years old, did you live in a city, in a town, or in the countryside? |  |  |
| 103 | How long have you been living continuously in (NAME OF CURRENT PLACE OF RESIDENCE)? | YEARS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> ALWAYS (SINCE BIRTH) VISITOR $\qquad$ | $\rightarrow_{\rightarrow 105}$ |
| 104 | Just before you moved here, did you live in a city, in a town, or in the countryside? |  |  |
| 105 | In what month and year were you born? | MONTH $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW MONTH 98 YEAR $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW YEAR |  |
| 106 | How old were you at your last birthday? <br> COMPARE AND CORRECT 105 AND/OR 106 IF INCONSISTENT. | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS . $\quad$. |  |
| 107 | Have you ever attended school? |  | -113 |
| 108 | What is the highest grade you completed? | GRADE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\quad \square$ |  |
| 109 | CHECK 106: $\begin{array}{rr} \text { AGE } 24 & \square \\ \text { OR BELOW AGE } 25 \\ \text { OR ABOVE } \end{array}$ | - - | 112 |
| 110 | Are you currently attending school? |  | $\rightarrow 112$ |
| 111 | What was the main reason you stopped attending school? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112 | GRADE 5AND BELOW $\square \square$GRADE 6 <br> AND ABOVE$\square$ | - | $\rightarrow 115$ |
| 113 | Can you read and understand a letter or newspaper? |  | $\rightarrow 116$ |
| 114 | Can you read this sentence. <br> (SHOW SENTENCE TO BE READ). | READS EASILY ...................... 12 READS WITHDIFFICULTY ......... 2 IS NOT ABLE TO READ ............ 3 . | $\rightarrow 116$ |
| 115 | Do you usually read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week? |  |  |
| 116 | Do you usually listen to a radio every day? |  |  |
| 117 | Do you usually watch television at least once a week? |  |  |
| 118 | What is your religion? |  |  |
| 119 | What is your caste? WRITE CASTE IN SPACE PROVIDED. CODE WILL BE ENTERED BY FIELD EDITOR. |  |  |
| 120 | What is your current marital status? |  | $\dagger \rightarrow 125$ |
| 121 | Is your husband living with you now or is he staying elsewhere? | LIVING WITH HER .................. 1 STAYING ELSEWHERE . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 122 | Does your husband have any other wives besides yourself? |  | $\rightarrow 125$ |
| 123 | How many other wives does he have? | NUMBER $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | $\rightarrow 125$ |
| 124 | Are you the first, second,.........wife? |  |  |
| 125 | Have you been married onty once, or more than once? |  |  |
| 126 | How old were you when you (first) got married? | AGE $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$  |  |
| 127 | CHECK 125: <br> MARRIED <br> ONLY ONCE <br> In what month and year did you first start living with your husband? <br> MARRIED MORE THAN ONCE <br> Now we will tak about your first husband. In what month and year did you first start living with him? <br> PROMPT: At gauna? | MONTH $\qquad$ <br> DON'T KNOW MONTH $\qquad$ YEAR $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW YEAR $\qquad$ HAS NOT STARTED LIVING WITH HUSBAND | $\underset{\rightarrow \text { END }}{\rightarrow 129}$ |
| 128 | How old were you when you first started living with him? <br> PROMPT: At gauna? | AGE $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \square$ |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 129 | CHECK COLUMN 6 OF THE INTERVIEWER'S ASSIGNMENT SHEET. <br> THE WOMAN INTERVIEWED <br> IS NOT A USUAL <br> RESIDENT <br> THE WOMAN INTERVIEWED <br> IS A USUAL <br> RESIDENT $\square$ |  | 201 |
| 130 | Now I would like to ask about the place in which you usually live. What is the name of the place in which you usually live? <br> (NAME OF PLACE) <br> Is that a city, town, or countryside? |  |  |
| 131 | In which district is that located? <br> (NAME OF DISTRICT) | DISTRICT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\quad$ |  |
| 132 | Now I would like to ask about the household in which you usually live. <br> What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household? |  | $\rightarrow 134$ <br> $\rightarrow 134$ <br> $\rightarrow 134$ |
| 133 | How long does it take to get there, get water, and come back? | MINUTES $\qquad$ <br> ON PREMISES <br> 996 |  |
| 134 | What kind of toilet facility does your household have? |  |  |
| 135 | Does your household have: <br> Electricity? <br> A radio? <br> A television? <br> A telephone? <br> A bicycle? |  |  |
| 136 | Could you describe the main material of the floor of your home? |  |  |


|  | Now I would like to talk to you about all the pregnancies that you have had in your lifetime. By this I mean all the children born to you, whether they were born alive or dead, whether still living or not, whether living with you or elsewhere, and all the pregnancies that you have had that did not result in a live birth. I understand that it is not easy to talk about children who have died, or pregnancies that have terminated before full term, but it is extremely important that you tell us about all of them, so that we can develop programs that would help the Government of Nepal improve children's heakh in the future. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| 201 | Now I would like to ask about all the biths you have had during your life. Have you ever given bith? |  | 206 |
| 202 | Do you have any sons or daughters to whom you have given birth who are living with you? |  | -204 |
| 203 | How many sons live with you? <br> And how many daughters live with you? <br> IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | SONS AT HOME $\qquad$ DAUGHTERS AT HOME $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| 204 | Do you have any sons or daughters to whom you have given birth who are alive but do not live with you? |  | $\rightarrow 206$ |
| 205 | How many sons are alive but do not live with you? <br> And how many daughters are alive but do not live with you? <br> IF NONE, RECORD ' 00 '. | SONS ELSEWHERE $\qquad$ <br> DAUGHTERS ELSEWHERE |  |
| 206 | Have you ever given birth to a boy or girl who was born alive but later died? <br> IF NO, <br> PROBE: Any baby who cried or showed signs of life but survived only a few hours or days? |  | -208 |
| 207 | How many boys have died? <br> And how many girls have died? <br> IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | BOYS DEAD <br> GIRLS DEAD |  |
| 208 | Women sometimes have pregnancies that do not result in a live born child. That is, a pregnancy can end very early, in a miscarriage, or the child can be born dead. Have you had any such pregnancy that did not result in a live birth? |  | $\rightarrow 210$ |
| 209 | In all, how many such pregnancies have there been? | PREGNANCY LOSSES . . . . . $\quad$ M |  |
| 210 | SUM ANSWERS TO 203, 205, 207 AND 209, AND ENTER TOTAL. IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | TOTAL $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |
| 211 | Just to make sure that I have this right: you have had $\qquad$ children who are still living (CHECK 203 and 205) $\qquad$ children who have died (CHECK 207), and $\qquad$ pregnancies which did not result in a live birth (CHECK 209). Is that correct? <br> PROBE AND <br> NO CORRECT 201-210 AS NECESSARY. |  |  |
| 212 | CHECK 210: <br> ONE OR MORE PREGNANCIES $\square$ PREGNANCIES $\square$ |  | $\rightarrow 234$ |

213 Now I would like to ask you about all of your pregnancies, whether born alive, born dead, or lost before full term, starting with the first one you had.
RECORD ALL THE PREGNANCIES. RECORD TWINS AND TRIPLETS ON SEPARATE LINES.

| 214 <br> Think back to the time of your (first/next) pregnancy | 215 <br> Was that a single or multiple pregnancy? | 216 <br> Was the baby born alive, born dead, or lost before full term? | 217 <br> Did that baby cry, move, or breathe when it was bom? | 218 <br> What was the narne given to that chid? | 219 <br> Is <br> (NAME) <br> a boy or <br> a girl? | 220 <br> In what month and year was (NAME) bom? <br> PROBE: <br> What is his/her birthday? <br> OR: In what season was he/she bom? | $\begin{array}{\|l} 221 \\ \text { is } \\ \text { (NAME) } \\ \text { still } \\ \text { allve? } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { SINGLE .. } & 1 \\ \text { MULTIPLE } & 2 \end{array}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & ! \\ & & 225 \end{array}\right.$ | (NAME) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY . } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH <br> YEAR $\square$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & .1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & \vdots \\ & 224 \end{array}$ |
| 02 | $\left\|\begin{array}{lll} \text { SINGLEE } & . . & 1 \\ \text { MULTIPLE } & 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | BORN ALIVE $\qquad$ <br> (SKIP TO 218) 1 $\qquad$ <br> BORN DEAD $\qquad$ <br> LOST BEFORE FULL TERM 3 (SKIP TO 225) $\qquad$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & . & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & \vdots \\ & & 225 \end{array}\right.$ | (NAME) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY . } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH <br> YEAR | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{YES} & 1 \\ \mathrm{NO} & \ldots & 2 \\ & & 224 \end{array}$ |
| 03 | $\left\|\begin{array}{lll} \text { SINGLE } & . . & 1 \\ \text { MULTIPLE } & 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | BORN ALIVE $\qquad$ <br> (SKIP TO 218) BORN DEAD $\qquad$ LOST BEFORE FULL TERM 3 (SKIP TO 225) $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{\|ccc} \text { YES } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & \vdots \\ & 225 \end{array}$ | (NAME) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { BOY . } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL. } 2 \end{aligned}\right.$ | MONTH <br> YEAR | $\left.\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & ! \\ & 224 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |
| 04 | $\left\|\begin{array}{lll} \hline \text { SINGLE } & . . & 1 \\ \text { MULTIPLE } & 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | BORN ALIVE $\ldots \ldots \ldots . . .1$ (SKIP TO 218) $\ldots \ldots . \ldots$ BORN DEAD ........... 2 LOST BEFORE FULL TERM 3 (SKIP TO 225) | $\left\|\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & \vdots \\ & & 225 \end{array}\right\|$ | (NAME) | BOY . 1 <br> GIRL . 2 | MONTH <br> YEAR | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & 1 \\ & 224 \end{array}$ |
| 05 | $\left\|\begin{array}{lll} \text { SINGLE } & . & 1 \\ \text { MULTIPLE } & 2 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{array}{llll} \text { YES } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & \vdots \\ & & 225 \end{array}$ | (NAME) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { BOY . } 1 \\ \text { GIRL . } 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH <br> YEAR | $\left.\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & 1 \\ & 224 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |
| 06 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} \text { SINGLE } & . . & 1 \\ \text { MULTIPLE } & 2 \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|ccc} \text { YES } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & \vdots \\ & & 225 \end{array}$ | (NAME) | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \text { BOY . } \\ \text { GIRL . } 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | MONTH <br> YEAR $\square$ | $\begin{array}{\|ccc} \text { YES } & 1 & 1 \\ \text { NO } & . & 2 \\ & & \vdots \\ & 224 \end{array}$ |
| 07 | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { SINGLE } & . . & 1 \\ \text { MULTIPLE } & 2 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ccc\|} \text { YES } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & ! \\ & & 225 \\ & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (NAME) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { BOY . } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL . } 2 \end{aligned}\right.$ | MONTH $\qquad$ <br> YEAR | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & . & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & 1 \\ & 224 \end{array}$ |
| 08 | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { SINGLE } & . & 1 \\ \text { MULTIPLE } & 2 \end{array}$ | BORN ALIVE ........... 1 (SKIP TO 218)..... 2 BORN DEAD ........... LOST BEFORE FULL TERM 3 (SKIP TO 225) | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES } & . & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & ! \\ & & 225 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | (NAME) | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \text { BOY . } \\ \text { GIRL . } \end{array}\right\|$ | MONTH <br> YEAR | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & ! \\ & 224 \end{array}$ |


| IF BORN ALIVE AND STILL LIVING: |  | IF BORN ALIVE BUT NOW DEAD: | IF BORN DEAD OR LOST BEFORE FULL TERM: |  | LOST BEFORE FULL TERM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 222 <br> How old was (NAME) at his/her last birthday? <br> RECORD AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS. | $\begin{aligned} & 223 \\ & \text { ls } \\ & \text { (NAME) } \\ & \text { living } \\ & \text { with } \\ & \text { you? } \end{aligned}$ | 224 <br> How old was (NAME) when he/she died? <br> IF '1 YR.', PROBE: How man, months old was (NAME)? RECORD DAYS IF LESS THAN 1 MONTH; MONTHS IF LESS THAN TWO YEARS; OR YEARS. | 225 <br> In what year and month did this pregnancy end? | 226 <br> How many months did the pregnancy last? <br> RECORD IN COMPLETED MONTHS. | 227 <br> Did you or a doctor or someone else do anything to end this pregnancy? | 228 <br> FROM YEAR OF THIS PREGNANCY SUBTRACT YEAR OF PREVIOUS PREGNANCY. IS THE DIFFERENCE 4 OR MORE YEARS? | 229 <br> Were there any other pregnancies between the previous pregnancy mentioned and this pregnancy? |
| 01 AGE IN YEARS $\square$ $\square$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & 2 \\ \text { (NEXT } \end{array}\right]$ | DAYS <br> MONTHS <br> YEARS (SKIP TO NEXT PREGNANCY | MONTH <br> YEAR $\qquad$ | MONTHS $\square$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & \ldots . .1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . .2 . \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |
|  | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & 2 \\ (\mathrm{GO} & \mathrm{TO} \\ 228) \end{array}\right]$ |  | MONTH YEAR $\square$ | MONTHS $\square$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & \ldots . .1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . .2 . \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cccc} \text { YES } & \ldots & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & \ldots & 2 \\ \text { (NEXT } & \\ \text { PREGNANCY) } \end{array}\right\|$ | YES $\ldots . .1$ |
| 03 AGE IN YEARS $\square$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & 2 \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} \text { GO TO } \end{array}\right] \\ 228) \end{array}\right]$ | DAYS $\qquad$ MONTHS YEARS (SKIP TO 228) $\square$ | MONTH <br> YEAR $\square$ | MONTHS $\square$ <br> $\square$ | $\begin{array}{\|lll} \text { YES } & \ldots . .1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . .2 . \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rccc} \text { YES } & \ldots & & 1 \\ \text { NO } \ldots \ldots & \ldots & 2 \\ \text { (NEXT } & 1 \\ \text { PREGNANCY) } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . & 2 \end{array}\right\|$ |
| 04 <br> AGE IN YEARS $\square$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & 2 \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} \text { GO TO } \end{array}\right] \\ 228) \end{array}\right]$ | DAYS MONTHS YEARS $\square$ (SKIP TO 228) | MONTH YEAR $\square$ | MONTHS | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { YES } & \ldots . .1 . \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . .2 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ccc} \text { YES } & \ldots . & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & \ldots \\ \hline & 2 \\ \text { (NEXT } & \\ \text { PREGNANCY } \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . & 2 \end{array}\right\|$ |
| 05 <br> AGE IN YEARS | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & 2 \\ (\text { GO TO } \\ 228) \end{array}\right]$ | DAYS $\qquad$ <br> MONTHS YEARS (SKIP TO 228) $\square$ | MONTH YEAR $\square$ | MONTHS <br> 4 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & \ldots . .1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . .2 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ccc} \text { YES } & \ldots & \ldots \\ \text { NO } & \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ \text { (NEXT } & \\ \text { PREGNANCY) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{llll} \text { YES } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & . & 2 \end{array}\right\|$ |
| 06 AGE IN YEARS $\square$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & 2 \\ (\mathrm{GO} & 2 \\ 228) \end{array}\right]$ | DAYS <br> MONTHS <br> YEARS | MONTH YEAR $\square$ | MONTHS $\square$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & \ldots . .1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . .2 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|cccc} \text { YES } & \ldots & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & \ldots & 2 \\ \text { (NEXT } & \\ \text { PREGNANCY) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{llll} \text { YES } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & . & 2 \end{array}\right\|$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \hline 07 \\ \text { AGE IN } \\ \text { YEARS } \end{array}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { (GO TO } \\ 228) \end{array} \end{array}\right]$ | DAYS <br> MONTHS | MONTH YEAR $\square$ | MONTHS | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & \ldots . .1 . \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . .2 . \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } \ldots \ldots & 2 \\ \text { (NEXT } \\ \text { PREGNANCY } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES } \ldots . & 1 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . . & 2 \end{array}$ |
| 08 AGE IN YEARS $\square$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & 2 \\ \text { (GO TO } \\ 228) \end{array}\right]$ | DAYS <br> MONTHS | MONTH YEAR $\square$ | MONTHS $\square$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & \ldots . .1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . .2 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \\ & \text { NO } \ldots \ldots \\ & \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ \text { (NEXT } \\ \text { PREGNANCY) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES } \ldots . & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . . & 2 \end{array}$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Think back to the time of your next pregnancy. | Was that a single or multiple pregnancy? | Was the baby born alive, born dead, or lost before full term? | Did that baby cry, move, or breathe when it was born? | What was the name given to that child? | Is <br> (NAME) <br> a boy or a girl? | In what month and year was (NAME) born? <br> PROBE: <br> What is his/her birthday? <br> OR: In what season was he/she born? | is (NAME) still alive? |
| 09 | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { SINGLE } & . & 1 \\ \text { MULTIPLE } & 2 \end{array}$ | BORN ALIVE $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 1$ (SKIP TO 218$) \cdots \cdots \ldots$ BORN DEAD $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. LOST BEFORE FULL TERM $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 3$ (SKIP TO 225) | $\left\{\begin{array}{llll} \text { YES } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 1 \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & 225 \end{array}\right.$ | (NAME) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY . } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH <br> YEAR $\square$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & \vdots \\ & 224 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 10 | $\left.\begin{array}{lll} \text { SINGLE } & . & 1 \\ \text { MULTIPLE } & 2 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  | (NAME) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOY . } 1 \\ & \text { GIRL . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MONTH <br> YEAR $\square$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & \vdots \\ & 224 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 11 | $\begin{array}{\|lll} \text { SINGLE } & . & 1 \\ \text { MULTIPLE } & 2 \end{array}$ |  | YES $\ldots$ 1 <br> NO $\ldots$. 2 <br>    <br>   225 | (NAME) | BOY . 1 <br> GIRL . 2 | MONTH <br> YEAR | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 2 \\ & & \vdots \\ & 224 \end{array}$ |


| IF BORN ALIVE AND STILL LIVING: |  |  | IF BORN ALIVE BUT NOW DEAD: | IF BORN DEAD OR LOST BEFORE FULL TERM: |  | LOST BEFORE FULL TERM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 222 <br> How (NAM his/he birthd RECO IN COMP YEAR | was <br> at <br> last <br> ? <br> D AGE <br> ETED | $\begin{array}{\|l} 223 \\ \\ \text { Is } \\ \text { (NAME) } \\ \text { living } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { you? } \end{array}$ | 224 <br> How old was (NAME) when he/she died? <br> IF '1 YR.', PROBE: How many months old was (NAME)? RECORD DAYS IF LESS THAN 1 MONTH; MONTHS IF LESS THAN TWO YEARS; OR YEARS. | 225 <br> In what year an month did this pregnancy end? | 226 <br> How many months did the pregnancy last? <br> RECORD IN COMPLETED MONTHS. | 227 <br> Did you or a doctor or someone else do anything to end this pregnancy? | 228 <br> FROM YEAR OF THIS PREGNANCY SUBTRACT YEAR OF PREVIOUS PREGNANCY. <br> IS THE DIFFERENCE 4 OR MORE? | 229 <br> Were there any other pregnancies between the previous pregnancy mentioned and this pregnancy? |
| 09 |  | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} Y E S & 1 \\ \text { NO } & 2 \\ \text { (GO TO } \\ \text { O28) } \end{array}\right]$ |  | MONTH <br> YEAR | MONTHS $\square$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & \ldots . .1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . .2 . \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES } & \ldots & \ldots \\ \text { NO } & \ldots & 1 \\ \text { (NEXT } & \\ \text { (NREGNANCY) } \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | $\text { YES } \ldots \ldots l 1$ |
| $10$ |  | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ N O & 2 \\ \text { (GO TO } \\ \text { 228) } \end{array}\right]$ | DAYS $\qquad$ <br> MONTHS $\qquad$ .2 YEARS (SKIP TO 228) | MONTH <br> YEAR | MONTHS $\square$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & \ldots . .1 \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . .2 . \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . . . . & 2 \\ \text { (NEXT } & \vdots \\ \text { PREGNANCY) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots .1 \\ & \text { NO } \ldots . .1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} \text { YES } & 1 \\ \text { NO } & 2 \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} \text { (GO TO } \\ 228) \end{array}\right] \end{array}\right.$ | DAYS MONTHS YEARS (SKIP TO 228) | MONTH YEAR | MONTHS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots . .1 . \\ & \text { NO } \quad \ldots . .2 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots & 1 \\ \text { NO } \ldots \ldots . & 2 \\ \begin{array}{cc} \text { (NEXT } & \\ \text { PREGNANCY) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots .1 \\ & \text { NO } \ldots . .2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 230 | FROI IS TH | M YEAR OF <br> E DIFFERE | INTERVIEW SUBTRACT YEAR <br> ENCE 4 YEARS OR MORE? | OF LAST PREG |  | YES <br> NO | $.1$ $2-$ | -232 |
| 231 | Hav | you had any | pregnancies since the last pregn | ancy mentioned? |  | YES $\ldots$..... | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ .2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 232 | CON <br> MON | PARE 210 <br> NUMBERS ARE SAME <br> CHECK: | WITH NUMBER OF PREGNANC <br> NUMBERS ARE DIFFERENT FOR EACH PREGNANCY: Y FOR EACH LIVING CHILD: FOR EACH DEAD CHILD: AG FOR EACH PREGNANCY LO FOR AGE AT DEATH 12 MO | ES IN HISTORY <br> (PRO <br> EAR IS RECOR URRENT AGE at death Is SS: DURATION NTHS OR 1 YR. | E AND MARK: <br> ND RECONCILE) <br> 220 AND 225. <br> ORDED IN 222. <br> ORDED IN 224. <br> CORDED IN 226 <br> E TO DETERMI | EXACT NUMB | R OF |  |
| 233 |  | K 220 AN <br> NE, RECO | ENTER THE NUMBER OF BIR RD ' 0 '. | HS SINCE B |  |  |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 234 | CHECK 120: <br> WIDOWED <br> CURRENTLY MARRIED DIVORCED $\square$ SEPARATED |  | -301 |
| 235 | Are you pregnant? |  | $f_{\rightarrow 238}$ |
| 236 | How many months pregnant are you? <br> RECORD NUMBER OF COMPLETED MONTHS. | MONTHS . ............... $\square$ |  |
| 237 | At the time you became pregnant did you want to become pregnant then, did you want to wait until later, or did you not want to become pregnant at all? | THEN . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 LATER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 NOT AT AL. . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 238 | When did your last menstrual period start? <br> (DATE, IF GIVEN) |  |  |



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 305 | Have you ever used anything or tried in any way to delay or avoid getting pregnant? |  | -326 |
| 306 | What have you used or done? <br> CORRECT 303 AND 304 (AND 302 IF NECESSARY). |  |  |
| 307A | Now I would like to ask you about the first time that you did something or used a method to avoid getting pregnant. <br> What was the first method you ever used? |  |  |
| 3078 | What is the main reason you chose to use this method? |  |  |
| 307C | Who advised you to first use this method? |  |  |
| 308 | How many living sons did you have at the time you first used contraception (family planning), if any? <br> How many living daughters did you have at that time, if any? <br> IF NONE, RECORD '00'. | NUMBER OF SONS <br> NUMBER OF DAUGHTERS ... $\square$ |  |
| 309 | When you first used family planning, did you want to have another child but at a later time, or did you not want to have another child at all? | WANTED CHILD LATER . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 DID NOT WANT ANOTHER CHILD ... 2 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 |  |
| 310 |  |  | 01 |
| 311 | CHECK 303 WOMAN WOMAN NOT STERILIZED $\square \square$ |  | 14A |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 312 | CHECK 235 <br> NOT PREGNANT $\square$ PREGNANT OR UNSURE |  | *327 |
| 313 | Are you or your husband currently doing something or using any methoo to delay or avoid your getting pregnant? |  | . 326 |
| 314 | Which method are you using? <br> CIRCLE '07' FOR FEMALE STERILIZATION. |  | $\begin{aligned} & ]_{\rightarrow} \rightarrow 321 \\ & \square \rightarrow 316 \\ & \square \rightarrow 320 \end{aligned}$ |
| 315A | At the time you first started using the pill, did you consult a doctor or a nurse or a health worker or not? |  |  |
| 315B | At the lime you last got the pills, did you consult a doctor or a nurse or a health worker or not? |  | $1.321$ |
| 316 | Where did the sterilization take place? <br> IF SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE AND CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE. <br> (NAME OF PLACE) |  |  |
| 317 | Do you regret that (you/your husband) had the operation not to have any (more) children? |  | -319 |
| 318 | Why do you regret the operation? | RESPONDENT WANTS ANOTHER CHILD . ........................ 01 <br> SPOUSE WANTS ANOTHER CHILD . 02 <br> SIDE EFFECTS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 03 <br> CHILD DIED . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 04 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 319 | In what month and year was the sterilization performed? <br> IF DONT KNOW YEAR <br> PROBE: How many years ago? | MONTH <br> DONT KNOW MONTH <br> YEAR <br> DON'T KNOW YEAR | -322 |
| 319A | How old were you at the time of sterilization? | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS . $\quad \square$ | $\rightarrow 322$ |
| 320 | How do you determine which days of your monthly cycle not to have sexual relations? | BASED ON CALENDAR ............ 01 <br> BASED ON BODY TEMPERATURE . . 02 based on cervical mucus <br> (BILLINGS METHOD) . ......... . 03 <br> BASED ON BODY TEMPERATURE <br> AND CERVICAL MUCUS ....... 04 <br> NO SPECIFIC SYSTEM ............ 05 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 321 | For how many months have you been using (METHOD) continuously? IF LESS THAN 1 MONTH, RECORD '00'. | MONTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ 8 YEARS OR LONGER 96 |  |
| 322 | CHECK 314: <br> CIRCLE METHOD CODE: |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.324 \mathrm{~A} \\ & -327 \end{aligned}$ |
| 323 | Where did you obtain (METHOD) the last time? <br> IF SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE AND CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE. <br> (NAME OF PLACE) | PUBLIC SECTORHOSPITALDISTRICT CLINIC$\ldots$PRIMARY/ HEALTH CENTRE $\ldots$ |  |
| 323A | How long does it usually take to travel from your home to this place? | MINUTES $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW |  |
| 323B | Is it easy or difficult to get there? |  |  |
| 324 | Do you know another place where you could have obtained (METHOD) the last time? <br> At the time of the sterilization operation, did you know another place where you could have received the operation? |  | 329 |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 325 | People select the place where they get family planning services for various reasons. <br> What was the main reason you went to (NAME OF PLACE IN Q. 323 or Q.316) instead of the other place you know about? <br> RECORD RESPONSE AND CIRCLE CODE. | ACCESS-RELATED REASONS CLOSER TO HOME CLOSER TO MARKETMORK ... 12 AVAILABILITY OF TRANSPORT . 13 <br> SERVICE-RELATED REASONS STAFF MORE COMPETENT/ FRIENDLY . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 21 CLEANER FACILITY . . . . . . . . . . . 22 OFFERS MORE PRIVACY . . . . . . 23 SHORTER WAITING TIME ...... 24 LONGER HRS OF SERVICE .... 25 USE OTHER SERVICES AT THE FACILITY . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 26 <br> LOWER COST/CHEAPER .......... 31 <br> WANTED ANONYMITY . . . . . . . . . . . . 41 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 (SPECIFY) $\qquad$ 98 |  |
| 326 | What is the main reason you are not using a method of contraception to avoid pregnancy? | FERTILITY-RELATED REASONS <br> not having sex .............. 21 <br> INFREQUENT SEX ............... 22 <br> MENOPAUSALHYSTERECTOMY 23 <br> SUBFECUND/INFECUND ...... 24 <br> POSTPARTUM/BREASTFEEDING 25 <br> WANTS (MORE) CHILDREN .... 26 <br> OPPOSITION TO USE <br> RESPONDENT OPPOSED . . . . . . 31 <br> HUSBAND OPPOSED .......... 32 <br> OTHERS OPPOSED ............. 33 <br> RELIGIOUS PROHIBITION . . . . . . 34 <br> LACK OF KNOWLEDGE <br> KNOWS NO METHOD . . . . . . . . . 41 <br> KNOWS NO SOURCE . ......... 42 <br> METHOD-RELATED REASONS <br> HEALTH CONCERNS . . . . . . . . . 51 <br> FEAR OF SIDE EFFECTS ...... 52 <br> LACK OF ACCESSITOO FAR ... 53 <br> COST TOO MUCH <br> INCONVENIENT TO USE . . . . . . . 55 <br> INTERFERES WITH BODY'S <br> NATURAL PROCESS . . . . . . . 56 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> DON'T KNOW <br> (SPECIFY) $\qquad$ 98 | 329 |
| 327 | Do you know of a place where you can obtain a method of family planning? |  | 329 |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 328 | Where is that? <br> IF SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE AND CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE <br> (NAME OF PLACE) |  |  |
| 329 | Were you visited by a family planning programme worker or health worker in the last 12 months? |  |  |
| 330 | Have you visited a health facility for any reason in the last 12 months? |  | $\rightarrow 332$ |
| 331 | Did any staff member at the health facility speak to you about family planning methods? |  |  |
| 332 | Do you think that breastfeeding can affect a woman's chance of becoming pregnant? |  | $1 \rightarrow 401$ |
| 333 | Do you think a woman's chance of becoming pregnant is increased or decreased by breastfeeding? |  | $\rightarrow 401$ |
| 334 | CHECK 202, 204 AND 206: ONE OR MORE $\square$ NO BIRTHS BIRTHS |  | -401 |
| 335 | Have you ever relied on breastifeeding as a method of avoiding pregnancy? |  | -401 |
| 336 | CHECK 235 AND 314:   <br> NOT PREGNANT OR   <br> UNSURE  $\quad \square \quad$EITHER <br> PRE NOT STERILIZED$\quad \square \quad$ OR STERILIZED | - | -401 |
| 337 | Are you currently relying on breastfeeding to avoid getting pregnant? |  |  |


| 401 | CHECK 233: <br> ONE OR MORE BIRTHS SINCE $\square$ BIRTHS BAISAKH 2049 BAISAK | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO } \\ & \text { NCE } \\ & 049 \end{aligned}$ | $\longrightarrow$ SKIP TO 465 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 402 | ENTER THE NAME, LINE NUMBER, AND SURVIVAL STATUS OF EACH BIRTH SINCE BAISAKH 2049 IN THE TABLE. ASK THE QUESTIONS ABOUT ALL OF THESE BIRTHS. BEGIN WITH THE LAST BIRTH. <br> (IF THERE ARE MORE THAN 2 BIRTHS, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRES). <br> Now I would like to ask you some questions about the health of all your children born in the last three years. We will talk about one child at a time. |  |  |
| 403 | LINE NUMBER FROM Q214 | LAST BIRTH <br> LINE NUMBER $\qquad$ $\square$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> LINE NUMBER $\qquad$ $\square$ |
| 404 | NAME FROM Q218 <br> AND SURVIVAL STATUS FROM Q221 | NAME $\qquad$ <br> ALIVE $\square$ DEAD | NAME $\qquad$ <br> ALIVE $\square$ DEAD |
| 405 | At the time you became pregnant with (NAME), did you want to become pregnant then, did you want to wait until later, or did you want no (more) children at all? |  |  |
| 406 | At the time you became pregnant with (NAME) how much longer would you like to have waited? | MONTHS YEARS $\qquad$ DON'T KNOW 998 | MONTHS <br> YEARS $\square$ DON'T KNOW 998 |
| 407 | When you were pregnant with (NAME), did you see anyone for antenatal care for this pregnancy? <br> IF YES: Whom did you see? Anyone else? <br> PROBE FOR THE TYPE OF PERSON AND RECORD ALL PERSONS SEEN. |  | HEALTH PROFESSIONAL <br> DOCTOR . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A <br> NURSEIANM .............. . B <br> OTHER PERSON <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDANT <br> MATERNAL AND CHILD <br> HEALTH WORKER ..... D <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) $X$ <br> NO ONE <br> (SKIP TO 410), $\qquad$ |
| 407A | How long did it take to get from your home to the nearest place where you saw a person? | MINUTES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> SEEN AT HOME $\qquad$ | MINUTES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> SEEN AT HOME $\qquad$ |
| 408 | How many months pregnant were you when you first received antenatal care? | MONTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | MONTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |
| 409 | How many times did you receive antenatal care during this pregnancy? | NO. OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | NO. OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |
| 410 | When you were pregnant with (NAME) were you given an injection in the arm to prevent the baby from getting tetanus, that is, convulsions after birth? |  |  |
| 411 | During this pregnancy, how many times did you get this injection? | NO. OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | NO. OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |


|  | NAME FROM Q218 | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 412A | When you were pregnant with (NAME) did you receive any iron tablets? <br> SHOW IRON TABLETS. |  |  |
| 412B | When you were pregnant with (NAME) did you receive a combined iron and folic acid tablets? <br> SHOW COMBINED IRON AND FOLIC ACID TABLETS. |  |  |
| 412C | When you were pregnant with (NAME) did you suffer from [local term for night blindness]? <br> IF 'NO' OR 'DON'T KNOW PROBE: <br> Did you have any difficulty seeing at dusk, at night, or in a room with poor light? |  | YES ....................... 1 RESPONDENTBLIND ....... 2 NO ...................... 3 DONTT KNOW .............. |
| 413 | Where did you go to give birth to (NAME)? |  |  |
| 413A |  | GO TO Q414. | GO TO Q414. |
| 4138 | Was a special safe delivery kit used? <br> SHOW SAFE DELIVERY KIT THAT IS MARKETED BY CRS. |  |  |


|  | NAME FROM Q218 | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 414 | Who assisted with the delivery of (NAME)? <br> Anyone else? <br> PROBE FOR THE TYPE OF PERSONS AND RECORD ALL PERSONS ASSISTING. |  |  |
| 414A | Did you receive a check-up (postpartum care) from anyone within 24 hours following the delivery of (NAME)? <br> Anyone else? <br> PROBE FOR THE TYPE OF PERSONS AND RECORD ALL PERSONS ASSISTING. | HEALTH PROFESSIONAL DOCTOR . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A A NURSE/ANM OTHER PERSON TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDANT ...........C <br> MATERNAL AND CHILD <br> HEALTH WORKER ..... D <br> RELATIVE/FRIEND ........E <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> NO ONE $\qquad$ Y |  |
| 415 | Around the time of the birth of (NAME), did you have any of the following problems: <br> Long labour, that is, did your regular contractions last more than 12 hours? <br> Excessive bleeding that was so much that you feared it was life threatening? <br> A high fever with bad smelling vaginal discharge? <br> Convulsions? |  | YES NO   <br> LABOUR MORE THAN 12   <br> HOURS .............. 1 2  <br> EXCESSIVE BLEEDING ... 1 2  <br>    <br> FEVERIBAD SMELLING   <br> VAG. DISCHARGE .... 1 2  <br> CONVULSIONS ......... 1 2  |
| 416 | Was (NAME) delivered by caesarian section? |  |  |
| 417 | When (NAME) was born, was he/she: <br> very large, <br> large, <br> average, <br> small, <br> or very small? |  |  |
| 418 | Has your period returned since the birth of (NAME)? |  |  |
| 419 | Did your period return between the birth of (NAME) and your next pregnancy? |  |  |


|  | NAME FROM Q218 | NAME LAST BIRTH | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 420 | For how many months after the birth of (NAME) did you not have a period? | MONTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ 98 | MONTHS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW |
| 421 | CHECK 235: <br> RESPONDENT PREGNANT? | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { NOT } \\ \text { PREG- } \\ \text { NANT }\end{array} \square \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { PREGNANT } \\ \text { OR UNSURE } \\ \text { (SKIP TO 423) }\end{array}\right]$ |  |
| 422 | Have you resumed sexual relations since the birth of (NAME)? |  |  |
| 423 | For how many months afler the birth of (NAME) did you not have sexual relations? | MONTHS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW 98 | MONTHS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW <br> 98 |
| 424 | Did you ever breastfeed (NAME)? |  |  |
| 425 | How long afler birth did you first put (NAME) to the breast? <br> IF LESS THAN 1 HOUR, RECORD ' 00 " HOURS. IF LESS THAN 24 HOURS, RECORD HOURS. OTHERWISE, RECORD DAYS. | IMMEDIATELY <br> HOURS <br> DAYS $\qquad$ | IMMEDIATELY . . . . . . . . . . . . 000 <br> HOURS $\qquad$ 1 <br> DAYS |
| 425A | Did you squeeze out the milk from the breast before you first put (NAME) to the breast? |  |  |
| 426 | CHECK 404: <br> CHILD ALIVE? | ALIVE $\square$ DEAD $\square$ <br> (SKIP TO 428).ـ. | ALIVE $\square$ DEAD $\square$ <br> (SKIP TO 428) |
| 427 | Are you still breastfeeding (NAME)? |  |  |
| 428 | For how many months did you breastfeed (NAME)? | MONTHS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW <br> 98 | MONTHS $\square$ <br> DON'T KNOW <br> 98 |
| 429 | Why did you stop breastfeeding (NAME)? |  |  |
| 430 | CHECK 404: CHILD ALIVE? | $\square$ DEAD $\square$ <br> (SKIP TO 433) <br> (GO BACK TO 405 IN NEXT COL. OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 439) |  |
| 431 | How many times did you breastfeed (NAME) last night between sunset and sunrise? <br> IF ANSWER IS NOT NUMERIC PROBE FOR APPROXIMATE NUMBER. | NUMBER OF NIGHTTIME FEEDINGS | NUMBER OF NIGHTTIME FEEDINGS |
| 432 | How many times did you breastfeed (NAME) yesterday during the daylight hours? <br> IF ANSWER IS NOT NUMERIC PROBE FOR APPROXIMATE NUMBER. | NUMBER OF DAYLIGHT ............ FEEDINGS | NUMBER OF <br> DAYLIGHT ............. <br> FEEDINGS |


|  | NAME FROM Q218 | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 433 | Did (NAME) drink anything from a bottle with a nipple yesterday or last night? |  |  |
| 434 | At any time yesterday or tast night, was (NAME) given any of the following: <br> Plain water? <br> Sugar water? <br> Juice? <br> Tea? <br> Baby formula? <br> Tinned or powdered milk? <br> Fresh milk? <br> Any other liquid? <br> Any food made from wheat, maize, rice, or other grain, such as porridge, bread, or noodles? <br> Any food made from potatoes, yams, or local tuber? <br> Eggs, fish or poultry? <br> Meat? <br> Any other solid or semi-solid foods? |  |  |
| 435 | CHECK 434: <br> FOOD OR LIQUID GIVEN YESTERDAY? |  | "YES"TOONE/MORE $\quad$"NO/DK" <br> TO ALL$\square$ |
| 436 | (Aside from breastfeeding,) how many times did (NAME) eat yesterday, including both meals and snacks? <br> IF 7 OR MORE TIMES, RECORD 'T'. | NUMBER OF TIMES $\square$ DON'T KNOW ................ 8 | NUMBER OF TIMES $\square$ DON'T KNOW $\ldots . .$. ...... $^{8}$ |
| 437 | On how many days during the last seven days was (NAME) given any of the following: <br> Plain water? <br> Any kind of milk (other than breast milk)? <br> Liquids other than plain water or milk? <br> Food made from wheat, maize, rice, or other grain? <br> Food made from potatoes, yams, or tuber? <br> Eggs, fish, or poultry? <br> Meat? <br> Any other solid or semi-solid foods? <br> IF DON'T KNOW, RECORD ' 8 '. | RECORD THE NUMBER OF DAYS. | RECORD THE NUMBER OF DAYS. <br> PLAIN WATER <br> MILK <br> OTHER LIQUIDS <br> FOOD MADE FROM GRAIN <br> FOOD MADE FROM TUBER <br> EGGS/FISH/POULTRY <br> MEAT <br> OTHER SOLIDISEMISOLID FOODS |
| 438 |  | GO BACK TO 405 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 439. | GO BACK TO 405 IN NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 439. |


| 439 | ENTER THE NAME, LINE NUMBER, AND SURVIVAL STATUS OF EACH BIRTH SINCE BAISAKH 2049 IN THE TABLE. ASK THE QUESTIONS ABOUT ALL OF THESE BIRTHS. BEGIN WITH THE LAST BIRTH. (IF THERE ARE MORE THAN 2 BIRTHS, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRES). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 440 | LINE NUMBER FROM Q214 | LAST BIRTH <br> LINE NUMBER $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST <br> LINE NUMBER $\qquad$ |
| 441 | NAME FROM Q218 <br> AND SURVIVAL STATUS FROM Q221 | NAME $\qquad$ <br> ALIVE DEAD $\square$ <br> (GO TO $441 \mathbb{N}$ NEXT COLUMN; OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 465.) |  |
| 442 | Do you have a card where (NAME'S) vaccinations are written down? <br> IF YES: May I see it please? | YES, SEEN (SKIP TO 444) $\qquad$ YES, NOT SEEN (SKIP TO 446) $\qquad$ $\qquad$ NO CARD 3 |  |
| 443 | Did you ever have a vaccination card for (NAME)? |  |  |
| 444 | (1) COPY VACCINATION DATE FOR EACH VACCINE FROM THE CARD. <br> (2) WRITE '44' IN 'DAY' COLUMN IF CARD SHOWS THAT A VACCINATION WAS GIVEN, BUT NO DATE IS RECORDED. <br> BCG <br> DPT 1 <br> DPT 2 <br> DPT 3 <br> Polio 1 <br> Polio 2 <br> Polio 3 <br> Measles |  |  |
|  | NAME FROM Q218 | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST <br> NAME |
| 445 | Has (NAME) received any vaccinations that are not recorded on this card? <br> RECORD 'YES' ONLY IF RESPONDENT MENTIONS BCG, POLIO 1-3, DPT 1-3, AND/OR MEASLES VACCINE(S). | YES ….................... 1 <br> (PROBE FOR VACCINATIONS \&- <br> AND WRITE '66' IN THE CORRESPONDING DAY COLUMN IN 444) (SKIP TO 448A) $\qquad$ NO | (PROBE FOR VACCINATIONS.... <br> AND WRITE '66' IN THE CORRESPONDING DAY COLUMN IN 444) $\qquad$ NO (SKIP TO 448A) <br> (SKIP TO 448A) $\qquad$ 2 DONT KNOW |


|  | NAME FROM Q218 | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TOLAST <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 446 | Did (NAME) ever receive any vaccinations to prevent him/her from getting diseases? |  |  |
| 447 | Please tell me if (NAME) received any of the following: |  |  |
| 447A | A BCG vaccination against tuberculosis, that is, an injection in the arm that caused a scar? |  |  |
| 447B | Polio vaccine, that is, drops in the mouth? |  |  |
| 447C | How many times? | NUMBER OF TIMES | NUMBER OF TIMES . . . . |
| 447D | DPT vaccination, that is, an injection usually given at the same time as polio drops? |  |  |
| 447E | How many times | NUMBER OF TIMES | NUMBER OF TIMES |
| 447F | An injection to prevent measles? |  |  |
| 448A | Did (NAME) receive Vitamin A during the last 6 months? <br> SHOW VITAMIN A CAPSULE. |  |  |
| 448B | Did (NAME) receive iodine capsules during the last 6 months? <br> SHOW IODINE CAPSULES. <br> IF YES: <br> How many times? |  |  |
| 448C | Does (NAME) suffer from (local term for night blindness)? <br> IF NO OR DONT KNOW PROBE: Does (NAME) have any difficulty (more difficulty than usual) seeing at dusk, at night, or in a room with poor light? |  |  |
| 449 | Has (NAME) been ill with a fever at any time in the last 2 weeks? |  |  |
| 450 | Has (NAME) been ill with a cough at any time in the last 2 weeks? |  |  |
| 451 | When (NAME) was ill with a cough, did he/she breathe faster than usual with short, fast breaths? |  |  |
| 452 | Did you seek advice or treatment for the cough or difficult breathing? |  |  |


|  | NAME FROM Q218 | LAST BIRTH <br> NAME | NEXT-TO-LAST <br> NAME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 453 | Where did you seek advice or treatment? <br> Anywhere else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  | PUBLIC SECTOR <br> HOSP./DISTRICT CLINIC ... A <br> PRY./HEALTH CENTRE .... B <br> HEALTH/SUB-HEALTH POST <br> MOBILE CLINIC ........... C <br> FIELD WORKER ............ E <br> OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> PRIVATE SECTOR <br> HOSPITAL <br> CLINIC/NURSING HOME ...... H <br> PHARMACY <br> MOBILE CLINIC $\qquad$ <br> COMM. HEALTH WORKER <br> OTHER PRIVATE $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> OTHER SOURCE <br> SHOP . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . N <br> TRAD. PRACTITIONER . . . . . O <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) |
| 454 | Has (NAME) had diarrhoea, that is, loose or watery stool in the last 2 weeks? |  |  |
| 455 | Was there any blood in the stools? |  |  |
| 456 | On the worst day of the diarrhoea, how many bowel movements did (NAME) have? | NUMBER OF BOWEL MOVEMENTS $\qquad$ <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ | NUMBER OF BOWEL MOVEMENTS $\square$ <br> DONT KNOW 98 |
| 457 | Was he/she given the same amount to drink as before the diarrhoea, or more, or less? |  |  |
| 458 | Was he/she given the same amount of food to eat as before the diarrhoea. or more. or less? |  |  |
| 459 | Was (NAME) given a fluid made from a special packet such as Jeevan Jal to drink? |  |  |
| 460 | Was anything (else) given to treat the diarrhoea? |  |  |
| 461 | What was given to treat the diarrhoea? Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | RECOMMENDED HOME <br> FLUID ..................... A <br> PILL OR SYRUP . ............. B <br> INJECTION .................. C <br> (I.V.) INTRAVENOUS ......... D <br> HOME REMEDIES/ <br> HERBAL MEDICINES ..... E <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 462 | Did you soek advice or treatment for the diarrhoea? |  |  |





| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 511 | What is the main reason that you think you will never use a method? |  |  |
| 512 | CHECK 221:HAS LIVING CHILDRENNO LIVING <br> CHILDREN <br> If you could go back to <br> the time you did not have <br> any children and could <br> choose exactly the number <br> whole life, <br> of children to have in <br> your whole life, <br> how many would that be? <br> exactly the number of <br> children to have <br> PROBE FOR A NUMERIC RESPONSE. | NUMBER $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 (SPECIFY) | $\rightarrow 514$ |
| 513 | How many of these children would you like to be boys, how many would you like to be girls and for how many would it not matter? |  |  |
| 514 | Would you say that you approve or disapprove of couples using a method to avoid getting pregnant? |  |  |
| 515 | is it acceptable or not acceptable to you for information on family planning to be provided: <br> On the radio? <br> On the television? |  |  |
| 516 | In the last few months have you heard about family planning: <br> On the radio? <br> On the television? <br> In a newspaper or magazine? <br> From a poster? <br> From leaflets or brochures? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 516A | In the last few months have you heard the following programs on the radio: <br> Jana Swastha Karyakram? <br> Ghanti Heri Had Nilaun, the drama? <br> Ghanti Heri Had Nilaun, the song? <br> Shriman Shrimatile Pariwarbare Kurakani Gareko Chholo Radio Natak? |  YES NO <br> JANA SWASTHA ............. 1 2 <br> GHANTI RERI DRAMA $\ldots \ldots$. 1 2 <br> GHANTI HERI SONG $\ldots \ldots$. 1 2 <br> SHRIMAN SHRIMATILE $\ldots .$. 1 2 |  |
| 517 | In the last few months have you discussed the practice of family planning with your friends, neighbours, or relatives? |  | -519 |
| 518 | With whom? <br> Anyone else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 519 | CHECK 120:   <br> CURRENTLY <br> MARRIED $\square$ WIDOWED <br> DIVORCED <br> SEPARATED |  | $\square 601$ |
| 520 | Spouses do not always agree on everything. Now I want to ask you about your husband's views on family planning. <br> Do you think that your husband approves or disapproves of couples using a method to avoid pregnancy? |  |  |
| 521 | How often have you talked to your husband about family planning in the past year? | NEVER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 ONCE OR TWICE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 MORE OFTEN . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 522 | Do you think your husband wants the same number of children that you want, or does he want more or fewer than you want? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 601 | CHECK 120: |  | 603 603 |
| 602 | How old was your husband on his last birthday? | AGE $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$$\square$ <br> $\square$ |  |
| 603 | Did your (last) husband ever attend school? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \text { NO } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow 605$ |
| 604 | What was the highest grade he completed? | GRADE $\qquad$ $\square$ DON'T KNOW 98 |  |
| 605 | What (is/was) your (last) husband's occupation? That is, what kind of work (does/did) he mainly do? | $\qquad$ |  |
| 606 | CHECK 605: <br> WORKS (WORKED) <br> DOES (DID) IN AGRICULTURE NOT WORK $\square$ in AGRICULTURE | $\square$ | $\rightarrow 608$ |
| 607 | (Does/did) your husband work mainly on his own land or on family land, or (does/did) he rent land or does he work on someone else's land? |  |  |
| 608 | Aside from your own housework, are you currently working? |  | $\rightarrow 611$ |
| 609 | As you know, some women take up jobs for which they are paid in cash or kind. Others sell things, have a small business or work on the family farm or in the family business. <br> Are you currently doing any of these things or any other work? |  | $\rightarrow 611$ |
| 610 | Have you done any work in the last 12 months? |  | $\rightarrow 701$ |
| 611 | What is your occupation, that is what kind of work do you mainly do? | $\square$ $\qquad$ |  |
| 612 | CHECK 611: <br> WORKS IN $\square$ DOES NOT WORK AGRICULTURE IN AGRICULTURE $\square$ |  | 614 |
| 613 | Do you work mainly on your own land or on family land, or do you rent land or work on someone else's land? |  |  |
| 614 | Do you do this work for a member of your family, for someone else, or are you sell-employed? |  |  |
| 615 | Do you usually work throughout the year, or do you work seasonally/part of the year, or only once in a while? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow 617 \\ & \rightarrow 618 \end{aligned}$ |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 616 | During the last 12 months, how many months did you work? | NUMBER OF MONTHS . . . . . $\quad \square$ |  |
| 617 | During the last 12 months (in the months you worked,) how many days a week did you usually work? | NUMBER OF DAYS . . . . . . . . $\quad$ | $\checkmark 619$ |
| 618 | During the last 12 months, approximalely how many days did you work? | NUMBER OF DAYS . . . . . $\quad \square$ |  |
| 619 | Do you earn cash for your work? <br> PROBE: Do you make money for working? |  | $\checkmark 622$ |
| 620 | How much do you usually earn for this work? <br> PROBE: Is this by the day, by the week, or by the month? | PER HOUR <br> PER DAY <br> PER WEEK <br> PER MONTH <br> PER YEAR $\qquad$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 999996 (SPECIFY) |  |
| 621 | CHECK 120: |  |  |
| 622 | Do you usually work at home or away from home? |  |  |
| 623 | CHECK 222 AND 223: HAS A CHILD LIVING AT HOME WHO IS AGE 5 OR LESS? <br> YES $\square$ NO |  | $\rightarrow 701$ |
| 624 | Who usually takes care of (NAME OF YOUNGEST CHILD AT HOME) while you are working? |  <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 701 | Have you ever heard of an illness called AIDS? |  | $\rightarrow 711$ |
| 702 | From which sources of information have you learned most about AIDS? <br> Any other sources? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 703 | Is there anything a person can do to avoid getting AIDS or the virus that causes AIDS? |  | $\frac{1}{707}$ |
| 704 | What can a person do? <br> Any other ways? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |
| 705 | CHECK 704: <br> DID NOT SAFE SEX $\square$ MENTION SAFE SEX |  | -707 |
| 706 | What does "safe sex" mean to you? | ABSTAIN FROM SEX . ............... . B <br> USE CONDOMS .................... C <br> HAVE ONLY ONE SEX PARTNER . . . . D <br> AVOID SEX WITH PROSTITUTES . . . . E <br> AVOID SEX WITH HOMOSEXUALS .. F <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |  |
| 707 | Is it possible for a healthy-looking person to have the AIDS virus? |  |  |
| 708 | Do you think that persons with AIDS almost never die from the disease, sometimes die, or almost always die from the disease? |  |  |
| 709 | Do you think your chances of getting AIDS are small, moderate, great, or that you have no risk at all? |  |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 710 | Has your knowledge of AIDS influenced or changed your decisions about having sex or your sexual behavior? <br> IF YES, <br> PROBE: In what way? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | STOPPED ALL SEX ................. . B <br> STARTED USING CONDOMS ........ C RESTRICTED SEX TO ONE PARTNER <br> REDUCED NUMBER OF PARTNERS . E OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) <br> NO CHANGE IN SEXUAL BEHAVIOR , Y DONT KNOW |  |
| 711 | $\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { CHECK 120: } \\ \begin{array}{c}\text { CURRENTLY } \\ \text { MARRIED }\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array} \begin{array}{r}\text { WIDOWED } \\ \text { DIVORCED } \\ \text { SEPARATED }\end{array}\right) \square$ |  | $\rightarrow 801$ |
| 712 | Now I need to ask you some questions about sexual activity in order to gain a better understanding of some family planning issues. <br> When was the last time you had sexual intercourse? | NEVER <br> DAYS AGO $\square$ <br> WEEKS AGO $\qquad$ 2 $\square$ <br> MONTHS AGO $\qquad$ 3 $\square$ <br> YEARS AGO - <br> 4 $\square$ <br> BEFORE LAST BIRTH <br> 996 | $\rightarrow 801$ |
| 713 | CHECK 301 AND 302: <br> KNOWS CONDOM <br> The last time you had sex, condowas a condom used? <br> DOES NOT <br> KNOW CONDOM <br> Some men use a which means that they put a rubber sheath on their penis during sexual intercourse. The last time you had sex, was a condom used? |  |  |
| 714 | Do you know of a place where you can get condoms? |  | $\rightarrow 716$ |
| 715 | Where is that? <br> IF SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIFY THE TYPE OF SOURCE AND CIRCLE THE APPROPRIATE CODE. <br> (NAME OF PLACE) |  |  |
| 716 | How old were you when you first had sexual intercourse? |  |  |
| 717 | PRESENCE OF OTHERS AT THIS POINT. |  YES NO <br> CHILDREN UNDER $10 \ldots \ldots .1$ 2  <br> HUSBAND $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 1$ 2  <br> OTHER MALES .............. 1 2  <br> OTHER FEMALES. 1 2 |  |


| 804 | What was the name given to your next oldest brother | [7] | [8] | [9] | [10] | [11] | [12] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 805 | Is (NAME) male or female? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MALE . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { FEMALE . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MALE } \ldots \ldots . . .1 \\ & \text { FEMALE } \ldots . . . . .2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MALE . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { FEMALE . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | MALE ......... 1 <br> FEMALE ...... . 2 | MALE ......... 1 <br> FEMALE ...... . 2 | MALE ......... 1 <br> FEMALE . . . . . . 2 |
| 806 | is (NAME) still alive? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 807 | How old is (NAME)? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 808 | In what year did (NAME) die? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \square \text { GOTO } 810 * \\ & \text { DK } \ldots \ldots \ldots .58 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 809 | How many years ago did (NAME) die? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 810 | How old was (NAME) when he/she died? | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [8] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [9] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [10] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [11] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [12] | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [13] |
| 811 | Was (NAME) pregnant when she died? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots . . . . .1 \\ & \text { GOTOB14. } \\ & \text { NO } \ldots \ldots . . .2 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\ \text { GO TO } 814 \ldots \\ \text { NO } \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots . . .1 \\ \text { GO TO } 814 \&-1 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\ \text { GO TO } 814 \& \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\ \text { GO TO } 814 \times 2 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . . . . . . \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\ \text { GO TO } 8144 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . . . . . \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 812 | Did (NAME) die during childbirth? | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots .1 \\ \text { GO TO } 815 \ldots \ldots \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\ \text { GO TO } 815 \\ \text { NO } \ldots \ldots . \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \\ \text { GO TO } 815 \cdot \ldots \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots . . .1 \\ & \text { GO TO } 815 \cdot 1 \\ & \text { NO } \ldots \ldots \ldots . .2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\ \text { GO TO } 815 . \\ \text { NO } \ldots \ldots \ldots .2 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 813 | Did (NAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth? | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots . . \\ \text { NO } \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\ \text { GO TO } 815 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | YES $\ldots \ldots \ldots .1$ NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots .2$ GOTO 815... | YES $\ldots \ldots . .11$ NO $\ldots \ldots \ldots .2$ GOTO815.- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots .1 \\ & \text { NO } \ldots \ldots \ldots .2 \\ & \text { GO TO } 815.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots .1 \\ & \text { NO } \ldots \ldots \ldots .2 \\ & \text { GO TO 815............ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ \text { NO } \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ \text { GO TO } 815 \cdots \end{gathered}$ |
| 814 | Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{gathered} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots . . .1 \\ \text { NO } \ldots . . . . . . . \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{lll} \text { YES } & \ldots . . . . . \\ \text { NO } & \ldots . . . . . . \\ 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots . . . . \\ \text { NO } \ldots \ldots . . . . \\ 2 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | YES ........... 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { YES } \ldots \ldots . .1 \\ \text { NO } \ldots \ldots . . . .2 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 815 | How many children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? | GO TO [8] | GO TO [9] | GO TO [10] | GO TO [11] | GO TO [12] | GO TO [13] |
|  |  |  | IF NO MORE BROT | HERS OR SISTERS | , GO TO 816 |  |  |
| 816 |  | RECORD THE TIME |  |  | HOUR <br> MINUTES |  |  |


| ONE OR MORE |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BIRTHS SINCE <br> BAISAKH 2049 | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| BIRTHS SINCE |  |  |
| BAISAKH 2049 |  |  |$\quad \square$ END

IN 902 (COLUMNS 2 AND 3) RECORD THE LINE NUMBER FOR EACH CHILD BORN SINCE BAISAKH 2049 AND STILL ALIVE. IN 903 AND 904 RECORD THE NAME (ALL COLUMNS) AND BIRTH DATE (COLUMNS 2 AND 3) FOR THE RESPONDENT AND FOR ALL LIVING CHILDREN BORN SINCE BAISAKH 2049. IN 906 AND 908 RECORD HEIGHT AND WEIGHT OF THE RESPONDENT AND THE LIVING CHILDREN. (NOTE: AL RESPONDENTS WITH ONE OR MORE BIRTHS SINCE BAISAKH 2049 SHOULD BE WEIGHED AND MEASURED EVEN IF ALL OF THE CHILDREN HAVE DIED. IF THERE ARE MORE THAN 2 LIVING CHILDREN SINCE BAISAKH 2049, USE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONNAIRES).

|  |  | RESPONDENT <br> (1) | YOUNGEST LIVING CHILD <br> (2) | NEXT-TO-YOUNGEST LIVING CHILD (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 902 | LINE NO. FROM Q214 |  |  |  |
| 903 | NAME FROM Q218 FOR CHILDREN | (NAME) | (NAME) | (NAME) |
| 904 | DATE OF BIRTH FROM Q220, AND ASK FOR DAY OF BIRTH |  | DAY <br> MONTH <br> YEAR $\square$ | DAY <br> MONTH <br> YEAR $\square$ |
| 905 | BCG SCAR ON TOP OF SHOULDER |  | SCAR SEEN ........ 1 NO SCAR . . . . . . . . 2 | SCAR SEEN ......... 1 NO SCAR . . . ........ 2 |
| 906 | HEIGHT <br> (In centimetres) | \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |   $\square$ |  |
| 907 | WAS LENGTH/HEIGHT OF CHILD MEASURED LYING DOWN OR STANDING UP? |  | LYING . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> STANDING | LYING . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> STANDING |
| 908 | WEIGHT (In kilograms) |  |   $\square$ |  |
| 909 | DATE WEIGHED AND MEASURED | DAY <br> MONTH <br> YEAR | DAY <br> MONTH <br> YEAR | DAY <br> MONTH <br> YEAR |
| 910 | RESULT | MEASURED . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> NOT PRESENT ....... 3 <br> REFUSED ........... 4 <br> OTHER . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6 <br> (SPECIFY) | MEASURED ......... . 1 <br> CHILD SICK . . . . . . . . 2 <br> CHILD NOT <br> PRESENT ...... 3 <br> CHILD REFUSED ... 4 <br> MOTHER REFUSED . 5 <br> OTHER . . . . . . . . . . . . 6 <br> (SPECIFY) | MEASURED ......... 1 CHILD SICK ......... 2 CHILD NOT PRESENT ....... 3 CHILDREFUSED $\ldots .4$ MOTTHER REFUSED . . 5 OTHER .............. 6 (SPECIFY) |
| 911 | NAME OF MEASURER: |  | ASSISTANT: |  |

## Comments

about Respondent

Comments on Specific Questions

Any Other Comments

## SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS

## Narne of <br> Supervisor

Date:

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS

Name of
Editor
Date:


[^0]:    SLC = School Leaving Certificate
    ${ }^{1}$ Professional, technical, managerial and clerical occupations.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Numerators of the ASFRs are calculated as the total number of live births that occurred in the period $1-36$ months preceding the survey (determined by the date of interview and the date of birth of the child), and classified by the age (in fiveyear age groups) of the mother at the time of the birth (determined by the mother's date of birth). The denominators of the rates are the number of woman-years lived in each of the five-year age groups during the $1-36$ months preceding the survey. Since only ever-married women were interviewed in the NFHS the number of women in the denominators of the rates was inflated by factors calculated from information in the Household Questionnaire on proportions ever-married in order to produce a count of all women. An implicit assumption in this calculation is that never-married women have not given birth.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes IUD, Norplant and vaginal methods (diaphragm/foam/jelly).
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes periodic abstinence, withdrawal and folk methods.

[^3]:    Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 women.
    ${ }^{1}$ Figures for the Western Mountain sub-region are not shown because they are based on fewer than 25 unweighted women.

[^4]:    Note: Total includes 6 users of diaphragm/foam/jelly. Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 unweighted cases.
    ${ }^{1}$ Mobile clinic refers to outreach clinics organized by staff of health and sub-health posts to improve accessibility to family planning and other minor health services.
    ${ }^{2}$ Norplant was provided as a mobile service in some districts by the Department of Public Health Office (DPHO) personnel.
    ${ }^{3}$ A large majority of Female Community Health Volunteers are trained and supervised by the Ministry of Health and get contraceptives and other supplies from the Ministry.

[^5]:    Note: Total includes 8 women for whom information on media exposure was missing.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the NFHS, a woman not yet cohabiting with her marriage partner is not considered as currently married.

[^7]:    ${ }^{2}$ The proportion reported as never married in the 1991 NFHS is much higher than that reported in the 1996 NFHS and all national censuses.

[^8]:    ${ }^{3}$ There were 32 currently married women who said that they had started living with the husband (and were therefore interviewed) but who had not had sexual intercourse. These women have been included in all the tables in this report.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ For an exact description of the calculation, see footnote 1, Table 6.4.

[^10]:    Note: Figures in parentheses are rates based on 250-499 births.
    NA = Not applicable
    ${ }^{1}$ Rates for age group 40-49 are not shown because they are based on fewer than 250 exposed persons.
    exposed persons.
    ${ }^{2}$ Refers to births in the three years before the survey

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is a specially prepared kit, containing a razor blade, a cutting surface, a plastic sheet, a piece of soap, a string and pictorial instructions, assembled by Maternal Child Health Product Pvt. Ltd., and marketed commercially by the Contraceptive Retail Sales (CRS) company.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sub-regions are not discussed in this chapter because of the small number of women in most sub-regions.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Due to their small size, the mountain areas of the Western, Mid-western and Far-western regions were combined.

[^14]:    NA $=$ Not applicable

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are no model mortality pattems for the neonatal period. However, one review of data from several developing countries concluded that, at levels of neonatal mortality of 20 per 1,000 or higher, approximately 70 percent of neonatal deaths occur within the first six days of life (Boerma, 1988).

[^16]:    Note: The beginning of the year 2053 in the Nepali calendar roughly corresponds to 15th April 1996 in the Western calendar. There were only a few births reported in the year 2053 and are not shown.

    ## NA = Not applicable

    ${ }^{1}$ Both year and month of birth given
    ${ }^{2}\left(B_{B} / B_{f}\right)^{*} 100$, where $B_{s}$ and $B_{c}$ are the numbers of male and female births, respectively
    ${ }^{3}\left[2 B_{x}\left(B_{x-1}+B_{x+1}\right)\right]^{*} 100$, where $B_{x}$ is the number of births in calendar year $x$

[^17]:    ${ }^{2}$ The imputation procedure is based on the assumption that the reported birth ordering of the siblings in the birth history is correct. The first step is to calculate birth dates. For each living sibling with a reported age and for each dead sibling with complete information on both age at death and year of death, the birth date is calculated. For a sibling missing these data, a birth data is imputed within the range defined by the birth dates of the bracketing siblings. In the case of living siblings, an age is then calculated from the imputed birth date. In the case of dead siblings, if either age at death or year of death is reported, that information is combined with the birth date to produce the missing information. If both pieces of information are missing, the age at death is imputed. This imputation is based on the distribution of the ages at death for those whose year of death is unreported, but age at death is reported.

