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## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The 1995 Uganda Demographic and Health Survey (UDHS) is a nationally-representative survey of 7,070 women age $15-49$ and 1,996 men age 15-54. The UDHS was designed to provide information on levels and trends of fertility, family planning knowledge and use, infant and child mortality, and maternal and child health. Fieldwork for the UDHS took place from late-March to mid-August 1995. The survey was similar in scope and design to the 1988-89 UDHS. Survey data show that fertility levels may be declining, contraceptive use is increasing, and childhood mortality is declining; however, data also point to several remaining areas of challenge.

## FERTILITY

Fertility Trends. UDHS data indicate that fertility in Uganda may be starting to decline. The total fertility rate has declined from the level of 7.1 births per woman that prevailed over the last 2 decades to 6.9 births for the period 1992-94. The crude birth rate for the period 1992-94 was 48 live births per 1000 population, slightly lower than the level of 52 observed from the 1991 Population and Housing Census. For the roughly 80 percent of the country that was covered in the 1988-89 UDHS, fertility has declined from 7.3 to 6.8 births per woman, a drop of 7 percent over a six and a half year period.

Fertility Differentials. Some women are apparently leading the fertility decline. For example, fertility levels are substantially lower among urban women ( 5.0 children per woman on average) than among rural women ( 7.2 children). Moreover, women who have received some secondary education have the lowest level of fertility, with a total fertility rate of 5.2 , compared to a rate of over 7 children per woman for those with either no education or with only primary education, a difference of nearly two children.

Age at First Birth. Childbearing begins early in Uganda, with just under half of women becoming mothers by the time they reach age 18 and two-thirds having had a child by the time they reach age 20. The result is that the median age at first birth falls between 18 and 19 years and shows no clear trend over the past three decades. A similar observation was made from data from the 1988-89 UDHS strengthening the conclusion that there has been no real trend in age at first birth in Uganda.

Moreover, 43 percent of teenage women (age 15-19) have begun childbearing, with 34 percent having had a child already and 9 percent carrying their first child. There is some evidence that, instead of declining over time, fertility rates among teenagers may in fact be increasing. This remains a challenge to policymakers since UDHS data show that children born to young mothers suffer higher rates of morbidity and mortality.

Birth Intervals. The majority of Ugandan children ( 72 percent) are born after a "safe" birth interval ( 24 or more months apart), with 30 percent born at least 36 months after a prior birth. Nevertheless, 28 percent of non-first births occur less than 24 months after the preceding birth, with 10 percent occurring less than 18 months since the previous birth. The overall median birth interval is 29 months.

Fertility Preferences. Survey data indicate that there is a strong desire for children and a preference for large families in Ugandan society. Among those with six or more children, 18 percent of married women want to have more children compared to 48 percent of married men. Both men and women desire large families. Half of all women report five or more children as ideal and another 30
percent want to have four children. Only 6 percent of women report a two-child family as ideal. Men are even more pronatalist than women. Overall, women report a mean ideal number of children of 5.3 , compared to 5.8 for men.

Despite high fertility preferences, the data indicate that there has been a significant decline in ideal family size among women in Uganda, from an average of 6.5 children in 1988-89 to 5.3 in 1995. Women's desire for additional children has also declined noticeably over the past six years. In 1988-89, 39 percent of married women wanted another child within the next two years compared with only 23 percent of women in 1995. The proportion of women who want no more children increased from 19 percent in 1988-89 to 31 percent in 1995.

Unplanned Fertility. Despite the increasing level of contraceptive use, UDHS data indicate that unplanned pregnancies are still common. Overall, almost one-third of births in the three years prior to the survey were reported to be unplanned- 22 percent were mistimed (wanted later) and 8 percent were unwanted. If unwanted births could be eliminated altogether, the total fertility rate in Uganda would be 5.6 births per woman instead of the actual level of 6.9.

## FAMILY PLANNING

Knowledge of Contraceptive Methods. Knowledge of contraceptive methods is nearly universal with 92 percent of all women age $15-49$ and 96 percent of all men age 15-54 knowing at least one method of family planning. Knowledge of contraceptive methods has increased considerably since the 1988-89 UDHS (which covered about 80 percent of the country). In 1988-89, only 82 percent of all women had knowledge of at least one family planning method compared with 92 percent in 1995. There has also been a large increase over the last six years in the proportion of women who know specific family planning methods. For example, the proportion of women who have heard of condoms has increased from 33 percent in 1988-89 to 78 percent in 1995, and the proportion who have heard of injectables increased from 40 percent to 70 percent during the same period.

Increasing Use of Contraception. The contraceptive prevalence rate in Uganda has tripled over a six-year period, rising from about 5 percent in approximately 80 percent of the country surveyed in 1988-89 to 15 percent in 1995. Use of modern methods has increased particularly fast, from 3 percent of married women in 1988-89 to 8 percent in 1995. Use of traditional methods increased from 2 to 4 percent. Methods which have increased the fastest are the pill, injectables, and periodic abstinence.

Differentials in Family Planning Use. Differentials in current use of family planning by the four regions of the country are large. One-quarter of married women in the Central Region are current contraceptive users compared to less than 14 percent of women in other regions. Modern method use is highest in the Central Region ( 16 percent) and lowest in the Northem Region ( 3 percent). Urban women are much more likely to be using contraceptive methods ( 35 percent) than rural women ( 12 percent). The difference between urban and rural women is most pronounced for modern method use ( 28 percent and 5 percent, respectively), while they are almost equally likely to use traditional and folk methods ( 6 and 7 percent). There are large differentials in current use by level of education. Eight percent of currently married women with no formal education are currently using a method compared with 38 percent of those with some secondary education.

Source of Contraception. Half of current users ( 47 percent) obtain their methods from public sources, while 42 percent use non-governmental medical sources, and other private sources account for the remaining 11 percent. Government hospitals ( 30 percent) and private hospitals and clinics ( 30 percent) are the most common sources of contraceptive methods. Forty percent of women say they use their
current source because it is close to home, while one-third of women say that they know of no other source for their method.

Family Planning Messages. One reason for the increase in level of contraceptive awareness is that family planning messages are prevalent. One-third of the women and over half of the men interviewed reported that they had heard a family planning message in the six months prior to the survey, mostly on the radio. Women in the Northern Region are at a disadvantage, however, with only 14 percent having heard a family planning message compared to 59 percent of women in the Central Region.

Unmet Need for Family Planning. UDHS data show that there is a considerable unmet need for family planning services in Uganda. Overall, 29 percent of currently married women are in need of services- 18 percent for spacing their next birth and 11 percent for limiting births. If all women who say they want to space or limit their children were to use methods, the contraceptive prevalence rate could be increased from 15 to 44 percent of married women. Currently, 34 percent of this "total demand" for family planning is being met.

Availability of Family Planning Services. Family planning services are quite widely available in Uganda. Almost half of married women live within 5 kilometres of a source of family planning and 16 percent live in places with community-based distribution programmes. Fifty-six percent of all family planning users live within 5 km of fixed facilities offering family planning services compared to 45 percent of non-users. However, these programmes do not necessarily provide all contraceptive methods, and women generally have to travel farther to obtain clinical methods such as the IUD and sterilisation than they do to get supply methods such as pills and condoms. Only 5 percent of non-users were visited by a community-based distribution agent during the 12 months before the survey.

## MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH

High Childhood Mortality. Although childhood mortality in Uganda is still quite high in absolute terms, there is evidence of a significant decline in recent years. Currently, the direct estimate of the infant mortality rate is 81 deaths per 1,000 births and under five mortality is 147 per 1,000 births, a considerable decline from the rates of 101 and 180, respectively, that were derived for the roughly 80 percent of the country that was covered by the 1988-89 UDHS. Although encouraging, the 1995 UDHS rates show that almost one in seven children born in Uganda dies before reaching the fifth birthday, an indication that there is still much improvement to be made.

Childhood Vaccination Coverage. One possible reason for the declining mortality is improvement in childhood vaccination coverage. The UDHS results show that 47 percent of children age 12-23 months are fully vaccinated, and only 14 percent have not received any vaccinations. This is an improvement from the 31 percent of children who were estimated to have been fully vaccinated in 198889. Nonetheless, a large proportion of children obtain one or two vaccinations but fail to complete the full course. If dropout rates could be reduced, the level of full coverage could be improved still further.

Childhood Health. UDHS data indicate high levels of childhood illness. Approximately one in four children under age four had a respiratory illness during the two weeks before the survey. Of these, 61 percent were taken to a health facility for treatment. Almost half of the children under four were reported to have had a fever and one-fourth were reported to have had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey. Two-thirds of the children with diarrhoea received some sort of oral rehydration treatment (fluid made from an oral rehydration salts (ORS) packet, a homemade solution, or increased fluids). Almost three out of four mothers know about the use of sugar-salt-water solutions; yet when asked about specific eating and drinking regimes for sick children, only two-thirds say that a child who
is sick with diarrhoea should get more to drink and more than half say a child with diarroea should be given less to eat than usual.

Breastfeeding Practises. The UDHS results indicate that breastfeeding is almost universally practised in Uganda, with a median duration of almost 20 months. Since breastfeeding has beneficial effects on both the child and the mother, it is encouraging to note that supplementation of breast milk starts relatively late in Uganda. In the first two months, only 17 percent of children have received supplements other than water and breast milk. Within $4-5$ months, 57 percent of children are given some form of food supplementation. Also encouraging is the fact that there is negligible use of infant formula and that bottlefeeding is not commonly practised.

Childhood Nutritional Status. Overall, 38 percent of Ugandan children under age four are classified as stunted (low height-for-age) and 15 percent as severely stunted. About 5 percent of children under four in Uganda are wasted (low weight-for-height); 1 percent are severely wasted. Comparison with other data sources shows little change in these measures over time.

Maternal Health Care. UDHS data point to several encouraging areas regarding maternal health care as well as to some areas in which improvements could be made. Results show that most Ugandan mothers receive antenatal care, 10 percent from a doctor and 82 percent from a nurse or trained midwife. Similarly, tetanus toxoid coverage is relatively widespread in Uganda; for 80 percent of births in the four years before the survey, the mothers received at least one tetanus toxoid injection during pregnancy. Somewhat less encouraging is the fact that two out of three births in Uganda are delivered at home and over one-third are assisted by relatives or friends. Less than 40 percent of births are assisted by medically trained personnel. Proper medical attention during pregnancy and hygienic conditions during delivery can reduce the risk of complications and infections that can cause death or serious illness for either the mother or the newborn.

AIDS. Virtually all women and men in Uganda are aware of AIDS. About 60 percent of respondents say that limiting the number of sexual partners or having only one partner can prevent the spread of disease. However, knowledge of ways to avoid AIDS is related to respondents' education. Safe patterns of sexual behaviour are less commonly reported by respondents who have little or no education than those with more education. Results show that 65 percent of women and 84 percent of men believe that they have little or no chance of being infected.

A vailability of Health Services. Roughly half of women in Uganda live within 5 km of a facility providing antenatal care, delivery care, and immunisation services. However, the data show that children whose mothers receive both antenatal and delivery care are more likely to live within 5 km of a facility providing maternal and child health (MCH) services ( 70 percent) than either those whose mothers received only one of these services ( 46 percent) or those whose mothers received neither antenatal nor delivery care (39 percent).


## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Geography, History, and the Economy

## Geography

The Republic of Uganda is in East Africa within the equatorial zone with the equator cutting across part of the country. It is a landlocked country bordering Kenya in the East, Tanzania and Rwanda in the South, Zaire in the West and Sudan in the North. The country has an area of 241,039 square kilometres, 18 percent of which is open water and swamps and 12 percent forest and game parks.

Uganda has a favourable climate because of its relatively high altitude. The Central and Western Regions of the country have two rainy seasons in a year, with heavy rains from March to May and light rains between September and December. The level of rainfall diminishes towards the North turning into just one rainy season a year. The soil composition varies accordingly, being generally fertile in the Central and Western Regions and becoming less fertile as one moves to the East and the North. Due to these combinations of climatic conditions, Uganda varies between tropical rain forest vegetation in the South and savannah woodlands and semi-desert vegetation in the North. These climatic conditions determine the agricultural potential and thus the land's population carrying capacity, with high population densities in the Central and Western Regions and declining densities towards the North.

## History

Uganda became independent of British colonial rule in October 1962. Uganda had close economic linkages with the other two East African countries of Kenya and Tanzania. This was partly because all three countries were British colonies and used English as an administrative language and partly because the three countries formed the East African Community in the late 1960s. Although the Community broke up in 1977, it was revived in early 1996.

The country is composed of many tribal groupings of Bantu, Nilotics, Nilo-Hamites, and those of Sudanese origin. Some of these tribal groupings cut across the boundaries with neighbouring countries causing another natural linkage. Some tribal groups historically constituted monarchies or kingdoms which were abolished in the 1960s, but were recently re-introduced. Luganda is the most widely spoken language, followed by Swahili and English. English is the official language of the country.

At present, Uganda is divided into four statistical (not administrative) regions-Central, Eastern, Northern and Western (see map). The country is further divided into 39 administrative districts ${ }^{1}$, which do not necessarily represent tribal groups. Districts are further divided into counties, sub-counties and parishes. In most cases, parishes are divided into sub-parishes. The above system is administered by appointed chiefs.

There is also a system of elected administrators which runs parallel to the above hierarchy called the Local Councils (LCs). Their equivalency is as follows:

[^1]| District | - Local Council 5 (LC5) |
| :--- | :--- |
| County | - Local Council 4 (LC4) |
| Sub-county | - Local Council 3 (LC3) |
| Parish | - Local Council 2 (LC2) |
| Village or group of villages | - Local Council 1 (LC1). |

## Economy

## District

- Local Council 5 (LC5)
 subsistence farming and light agro-based industries. Coffee, tea, and cotton are the major earners of Uganda's foreign exchange. The country is self-sufficient in food. During the period of independence from 1962 to 1970, Uganda had a flourishing economy with a gross domestic product (GDP) growth rate of 5 percent per annum, compared to a population growth rate of 2.6 percent per annum.

Between 1979 and 1985, Uganda faced a period of civil and military unrest resulting in the destruction of the economic and social infrastructure. This seriously affected the growth of the economy and the provision of social services such as education and health care.

Since 1986, however, the National Resistance Movement Government has introduced and implemented a recovery programme which is steadily moving the country towards economic prosperity. For example, during 1994-95, the economy was projected to have grown by 10 percent per annum, much higher than the previous year when the economy grew by 5.5 percent. In the same period, agricultural production increased by 6.1 percent per annum, of which food crop production increased by 7.7 percent per annum. The manufacturing sector grew by 17.7 percent in 1994-95 compared to 15.2 percent in 1993-94 (Statistics Department, 1995d).

### 1.2 Demographic Statistics

In the past, most demographic statistics in Uganda were derived from population censuses which started in 1948. National surveys have not been major sources of demographic statistics due to the small number that have been conducted. Two relevant surveys are the Demographic and Health Surveys of 198889 and of 1995. Other institutions, particularly Makerere University, have conducted small-scale surveys mainly for research purposes.

Civil registration has not frequently been used as a source of demographic statistics because its coverage is incomplete, although it was made compulsory in 1973. Efforts to streamline the system were made between 1974 and 1978, but the achievements that were realised were later frustrated by the economic and civil instability between 1979 and 1985. Concrete plans to revive the civil registration system are now underway.

Table 1.1 gives the demographic indices as compiled from the censuses since 1948. The table shows that Uganda's population is growing at a high rate because fertility is still high. Mortality is seen to be declining.

Table 1.1 Demographic characteristics
Selected demographic indicators, Uganda 1948-1991

|  | Census year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Index | 1948 | 1959 | 1969 | 1980 | 1991 |
| Population (thousands) | $4,958.5$ | $6,536.6$ | $9,535.1$ | $12,636.2$ | $16,671.7$ |
| Intercensal growth rate | - | 2.5 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Sex ratio | 100.2 | 100.9 | 101.9 | 98.2 | 96.5 |
| Crude birth rate | 42 | 44 | 50 | 50 | 52 |
| Total fertility rate | 5.9 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.1 |
| Crude death rate | 25 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 17 |
| Infant mortality rate | 200 | 160 | 120 | 115 | 122 |
| Percent urban | - | 4.8 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 11.3 |
| Density (pop/km ${ }^{2}$ ) | 25.2 | 33.2 | 48.4 | 64.4 | 85.0 |

Source: Statistics Department, 1995b: 27, 56, 139

### 1.3 Family Planning Programmes and Population Policy

Family planning activities in Uganda started in 1957 with the establishment of the Family Planning Association of Uganda (FPAU), an affiliate of the International Planned Parenthood Federation. Since the inception of the FPAU, family planning services have been largely limited to urban centres, despite the fact that over 80 percent of the population resides in rural areas. With the acceptance and introduction of its primary health care strategy, the government since 1984 has integrated family planning into the overall maternal and child health programme as a means of reducing maternal morbidity and mortality in Uganda.

Currently, family planning services are provided through clinics administered by FPAU, government, and non-government health institutions. Available data indicate that most users use oral contraceptives, female sterilisation, injectables and condoms, while few couples use IUDs. Natural family planning has gained some support in Uganda. A natural family planning programme organised by the Uganda Catholic Medical Secretariat covers most dioceses in Uganda and provides services through health units and home visits.

In 1994, an integrated reproductive health project called the Delivery of Improved Services for Health (DISH) was initiated by the Ministry of Health with United States Agency for Intemational Development (USAID) funding. The project goals are to reduce total fertility rates and decrease the incidence of HIV infection in 10 of Uganda's 39 Districts. ${ }^{2}$ The objective of the project is to increase the availability and utilisation of basic reproductive health services including family planning, diagnosis and treatment of sexually transmitted diseases, HIV testing and counselling, and maternal health services.

In I995, Uganda adopted the National Population Policy which has as its overall goal to influence future demographic trends and patterns in desirable directions in order to improve the quality of life and standard of living of the people. The policy aims at increasing the contraceptive prevalence rate from 7.8 percent to 15 percent by 2000 (Population Secretariat, 1995:28). The policy has separate targets for demographic trends, for health services, and for other social services.

[^2]
### 1.4 Health Priorities and Programmes

According to the National Population Policy, the goal of achieving adequate health services for the entire population is likely to be made more difficult by the prevailing high levels of fertility and mortality and the recent surge in the acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) epidemic. The difficulty will be exacerbated by the expected rapid growth in the population of high risk groups, such as children under age five and women of childbearing age.

The geographical distribution of health personnel and health facilites in Uganda does not reflect actual needs. The government runs 60 percent of the 1,398 health institutions, but some of the govemmentrun institutions are ill-equipped and in a poor state of repair. The policy also notes that other indices of general health care, such as the number of persons per doctor, sources of antenatal care, the number of babies delivered by trained personnel, and the annual average per capita expenditure on health, are still unsatisfactory.

### 1.5 Objectives of the 1995 Uganda Demographic and Health Survey

The 1995 UDHS was a follow-up to a similar survey conducted in 1988-89. In addition to including most of the same questions included in the 1988-89 UDHS, the 1995 UDHS added more detailed questions on AIDS and maternal mortality, as well as incorporating a survey of men. The general objectives of the 1995 UDHS are to:

- provide national level data which will allow the calculation of demographic rates, particularly fertility and childhood mortality rates;
- analyse the direct and indirect factors which determine the level and trends of fertility;
- measure the level of contraceptive knowledge and practice (of both women and men) by method, by urban-rural residence, and by region;
- collect reliable data on maternal and child health indicators; immunisation, prevalence, and treatment of diarhoea and other diseases among children under age four; antenatal visits; assistance at delivery; and breastfeeding;
- assess the nutritional status of children under age four and their mothers by means of anthropomctric measurements (weight and height), and also child feeding practices; and
- assess among women and men the prevailing level of specific knowledge and attitudes regarding AIDS and to evaluate patterns of recent behaviour regarding condom use.


### 1.6 Survey Organisation

The 1995 UDHS was conducted between March and August 1995 by the Statistics Department of the Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning in collaboration with the Population Secretariat and the Ministry of Health. Technical assistance was provided by the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) Programme of Macro Intemational Inc. in Calverton, Maryland. Financial assistance was provided by USAID. The Ugandan Govermment provided office accommodation, transport, computers, and professional personnel.

## Sample Design

A sample of 303 primary sampling units (PSU) consisting of enumeration areas (EAs) was selected from a sampling frame of the 1991 Population and Housing Census. For the purpose of the 1995 UDHS, the following domains were utilised:

- Uganda as a whole;
- urban and rural areas separately;
- each of the four regions: Central, Eastern, Northern, and Western;
- areas in the USAID-funded DISH project to permit calculation of contraceptive prevalence rates.

Districts in the DISH project area were grouped by proximity into the following five reporting domains:
I. Kasese and Mbarara Districts
II. Masaka and Rakai Districts
III. Luwero and Masindi Districts
IV. Jinja and Kamuli Districts
V. Kampala District

The sample for the 1995 UDHS was selected in two stages. In the first stage, 303 EAs were selected with probability proportional to size. Then, within each selected EA, a complete household listing and mapping exercise was conducted in December 1994 forming the basis for the second-stage sampling. For the listing exercise, 11 listers from the Statistics Department were trained. Institutional populations (army barracks, hospitals, police camps, etc.) were not listed.

From these household lists, households to be included in the UDHS were selected with probability inversely proportional to size based on the household listing results. All women age 15-49 years in these households were eligible to be interviewed in the UDHS. In one-third of these selected households, all men age 15-54 years were eligible for individual interview as well. The overall target sample was 6,000 wornen and 2,000 men. Because of insecurity, eight EAs could not be surveyed (six in Kitgum District, one in Apac District, and one in Moyo District). An additional two EAs (one in Arua and one in Moroto) could not be surveyed, but substitute EAs were selected in their place.

Since one objective of the survey was to produce estimates of specific demographic and health indicators for the areas included in the DISH project, the sample design allowed for oversampling of households in these districts relative to their actual proportion in the population. Thus, the 1995 UDHS sample is not self-weighting at the national level; weights are required to estimate national-level indicators. Due to the weighting factor and rounding of estimates, figures may not add to totals. In addition, the percent total may not add to 100.0 due to rounding. Appendix B contains examples of sampling errors for some of the survey variables.

## Questionnaires

Four questionnaires were used in the 1995 UDHS. A Household Schedule was used to list the names and certain individual characteristics of all usual members of the household and visitors who had spent the previous night in the household. Some basic information was collected on characteristics of each person listed, including his/her age, sex, education, and relationship to the head of the household. The main purpose of the Household Questionnaire was to identify women and men who were eligible for the individual
interview. In addition, the Household Questionnaire collected information on characteristics of the household's dwelling unit, such as the source of water, type of toilet facilities, materials used for the floor of the house, and owncrship of various consumer and durable goods.

The Women's Questionnaire was used to collect information from women age 15-49. These women were asked questions on the following topics:

- Background characteristics (education, residential history, etc.)
- Reproductive history
- Knowledge and use of family planning methods
- Fertility preferences
- Antenatal and delivery care
- Breastfeeding and weaning practices
- Vaccinations and health status of children under age four
- Marriage and sexual activity
- Husband's occupation and education
- Woman's employment, occupation, and earnings
- Awareness and behaviour regarding AIDS and other sexually transmitted diseases
- Adult mortality including maternal mortality
- Height and weight of children under age four and their mothers.

The Men's Questionnaire was used to collect information from a subsample of men age 15-54 (those living in every third household). The Men's Questionnaire collected much of the same information found in the Women's Questionnaire, but was shorter because it did not contain questions on reproductive history and maternal and child health.

The Service A vailability Questionnaire was used to collect community level information on the health and family planning services near each selected LC1 (see section 1.1 for explanation). An enumeration area sometimes consists of more than one LC1. In such cases, one questionnaire was completed for each of the LCls within the selected enumeration area.

The questionnaires were developed in English by a Steering Committee which was chaired by the Population Secretariat. All except the Service Availability Questionnaire were translated into and printed in six major languages (Ateso, Luganda, Lugbara, Luo, Runyankole/ Rukiga, and Runyoro/Rutoro).

## Training and Fieldwork

The 1995 UDHS questionnaires were pretested in November 1994. Fourteen interviewers (seven teams of one female and one male interviewer) were trained for two weeks to implement the pretest. The pretest field work in the six local languages was carried out in seven districts for three days. Approximately 150 pretest interviews were conducted, debriefing sessions were subsequently held with the pretest field staff, and modifications to the questionnaire were made based on lessons drawn from the exercise.

Training of field staff for the main survey was conducted over a three-week period in March 1995. Permanent staff from the Statistics Department, guest lecturers, and staff and consultants from Macro International Inc. trained 94 interviewers and data entry operators. Computer operators participated in interviewing during the first rounds of field work to acquaint themselves with the questionnaires. The training course consisted of instruction in general interviewing techniques, field procedures, a detailed review of items on the questionnaires, instruction and practice in weighing and measuring children, mock interviews between participants in the classroom, and practice interviews with real respondents in areas outside the 1995 UDHS
sample points. Supervisors and editors were trained exclusively for three days to discuss their duties and responsibilities. Emphasis was given to the importance of ensuring data quality.

Fieldwork for the 1995 UDHS started in the fourth week of March and ended in mid- August 1995. Ten interviewing teams were deployed, each consisting of one supervisor/team leader, one female fieldeditor, three female interviewers, one male interviewer, one reserve interviewer of either sex, and a driver. In addition, a senior officer from the Statistics Department was assigned to each of the major languages.

## Data Processing

All the questionnaires for the UDHS were returned to the Statistics Department for data processing, which consisted of office editing, coding of open-ended questions, data entry, and editing of computeridentified errors. All data were processed on microcomputers. Data entry and editing were accomplished using the computer program ISSA (Integrated System for Survey Analysis) that was specially designed for the DHS programme. Data processing was performed during April-October 1995.

## Response Rates

A summary of response rates from the household and individual interviews is shown in Table 1.2. Out of 8,093 households selected, 7,671 were occupied, the shortfall being a result mostly of vacant houses. Of the existing households, 7,550 were interviewed, for a response rate of 98 percent. The main reason for non-response was the interviewer's failure to find a respondent at home after at least three visits.

In the interviewed households, 7,377 eligible women were identified and of these, 7,070 were interviewed, yielding a response rate of 96 percent. In the subsample of households selected for the man's interview, 2,224 eligible men were identified, of which 1,996 were successfully interviewed ( 90 percent response). The principal reason for non-response among both eligible men and women was the failure to find them at home despite repeated visits to the household. The lower response rate among men than women was due to the more frequent and longer absences of men.

Table 1.2 Results of the household and individual interviews
Number of households, number of interviews, and response rates, Uganda 1995

| Result | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Household interviews |  |  |  |
| Households sampled | 2,682 | 5,411 | 8,093 |
| Households occupied | 2,483 | 5,188 | 7,671 |
| Houscholds interviewed | 2,410 | 5,140 | 7,550 |
| Household response rate | 97.1 | 99.1 | 98.4 |
| Individual interviews |  |  |  |
| Number of eligible women | 2,573 | 4,804 | 7,377 |
| Number of eligible women interviewed | 2,439 | 4,631 | 7,070 |
| Eligible woman response rate | 94.8 | 96.4 | 95.8 |
| Number of eligible men | 766 | 1,458 | 2,224 |
| Number of eligible men interviewed | 657 | 1,339 | 1,996 |
| Eligible man response rate | 85.8 | 91.8 | 89.7 |

The response rates are lower in urban areas due to long absence of respondents. One-member households are more common in urban areas and are more difficult to interview as they keep their houses locked np most of the time. In urban settings, neighbours often do not know the whereabouts of such people.

## CHAPTER 2

## CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND RESPONDENTS

This chapter presents information on some of the socio-economic characteristics of the household population and the individual survey respondents, such as age, sex, marital status, religion, urban-rural residence, and regional distribution. The chapter also considers the conditions surrounding the households in which the survey population live, including source of drinking water, availability of electricity, sanitation facilities, building materials, and persons per sleeping room.

### 2.1 Population by Age and Sex

The 1995 UDHS included a questionnaire to be completed for each household. A household was defined as a person or group of persons that usually live and eat together. Individual socioeconomic characteristics were recorded for all usual residents and visitors who had spent the previous night in the selected households.

Table 2.1 shows the distribution of the household population by five-year age groups, according to sex and urban-rural residence. Uganda's population is typically young, showing larger proportions of the population in the younger age groups as clearly seen in the population pyramid (Figure 2.1). This implies that as the increasingly larger numbers of women in the younger age groups move into the peak childbearing

| Percent distribution of the de facto household population by five-year age groups, according to urban-rural residence and sex, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 0-4 | 19.4 | 17.3 | 18.3 | 20.1 | 19.9 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 19.6 | 19.8 |
| 5-9 | 14.3 | 15.8 | 15.1 | 18.1 | 17.5 | 17.8 | 17.7 | 17.3 | 17.5 |
| 10-14 | 11.7 | 14.0 | 12.9 | 15.1 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 14.7 | 13.9 | 14.3 |
| 15-19 | 10.4 | 12.7 | 11.6 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| 20-24 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 11.6 | 6.8 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 8.1 |
| 25-29 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 10.0 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 7.0 |
| 30-34 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.3 |
| 35-39 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| 40-44 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| 45-49 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| 50-54 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| 55-59 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 60-64 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 65-69 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 70-74 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 75-79 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| $80+$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Missing know | 't 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 2,002 | 2,261 | 4,265 | 15,238 | 16,062 | 31,336 | 17,240 | 18,323 | 35,601 |

Note: Totals include a small number of persons whose sex was not stated.

Figure 2.1
Distribution of the Household Population by Age

years and start their families, the result will be a high population growth rate for some time to come. The data also show that there are more women than men in Uganda, with women forming 51 percent of the population and men 48 percent. The irregular bulge of women at age $50-54$ is indicative of women from ages $45-49$ being pushed to the $50-54$ age group, perhaps to reduce the workload of the interviewer. There is also an unusually large number of girls age 14 relative to the number age 15 (see Appendix Table C.1), which is presumably due to the same phenomenon. This pattern has been observed in other DHS surveys (Rutstein and Bicego, 1990), but at the levels observed in the UDHS, it probably has little effect on the results.

### 2.2 Population by Age from Selected Sources

The population distribution by broad age groups in Table 2.2 shows that more than half of the population is below 15 years, with a median age of just over 14. Previous censuses or surveys show fairly similar distributions by age. This young population will not only pose constraints on the incomes of their parents, but also on social services like health and education. The dependency ratio ${ }^{1}$ calculated from the 1995 UDHS is 122 , which means that there are 1.2 persons under 15 years or over 64 years in Uganda for every person age $15-64$ years.

[^3]Table 2.2 Population by age from selected sources
Percent distribution of the population by age group, according to selected sources, Uganda, 1969-1995

| Age group | 1969 <br> Census | $1988-89$ <br> UDHS | $1989-90$ <br> HBS | 1991 <br> Census | 1992-93 <br> IHS | 1995 <br> (de facto) | 1995 <br> (de jure) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<15$ | 46.2 | 49.0 | 50.5 | 47.3 | 49.7 | 51.5 | 51.4 |
| $15-64$ | 50.0 | 48.6 | 46.3 | 49.4 | 47.0 | 45.0 | 45.2 |
| $65+$ | 3.8 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Not stated | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Median age | 17.2 | NA | 14.8 | 16.3 | 15.7 | 14.4 | 14.4 |
| Dependency ratio | 100 | 106 | 116 | 102 | 113 | 122 | 121 |

NA = Not applicable
UDHS = Uganda Demographic and Health Survey
HBS = National Household Budget Survey
IHS $=$ National Integrated Household Survey
Sources: Statistics Department, 1995b:72; Statistics Department, 1994: Section 1.51.07; Statistics Department, 1991: Table 2.10.

### 2.3 Household Composition

Information about the composition of households is given in Table 2.3. In both urban and rural areas, about three-quarters of the households are headed by males and about one-quarter are female-headed. Households with one or two members constitute onequarter of all households. This category of households is more common in urban areas ( 32 pcrecnt) than in rural areas ( 22 percent). There are consistently higher percentages of larger households in rural than in urban areas. Hence, the mean household size is higher in rural areas (4.8) than in urban areas (4.2). Overall, the average household size is 4.8 , identical to the figure determined from the 1991 population and housing census (Statistics Department, 1995c:9).

One-quarter of households have foster children, that is, children under age 15 living in a household with neither their biological mother nor father present. The high proportion of households with foster children certainly intensifies the economic burden on the heads of these households. With the current high prevalence of AIDS, the percentage of households with foster children in Uganda is likely to rise even higher.

## Table 2.3 Household composition

Percent distribution of households by sex of head of household, household size, and whetber household includes foster children, according to urban-rural residence, Uganda 1995

| Characteristic | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Household headship |  |  |  |
| Male | 72.3 | 76.1 | 75.6 |
| Female | 27.7 | 23.8 | 24.4 |
| Number of usual members |  |  |  |
| 1 | 16.3 | 9.9 | 10.7 |
| 2 | 16.1 | 11.6 | 12.2 |
| 3 | 15.8 | 14.4 | 14.6 |
| 4 | 13.0 | 14.7 | 14.5 |
| 5 | 12.3 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
| 6 | 8.3 | 11.9 | 11.4 |
| 7 | 6.5 | 8.8 | 8.5 |
| 8 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 5.7 |
| $9+$ | 7.3 | 9.8 | 9.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean size | 4.2 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Percent with foster children | 26.1 | 25.0 | 25.1 |

Note: Table is based on de jure members, i.e., usual residents.

### 2.4 Fosterhood and Orphanhood

Information regarding fosterhood and orphanhood of children under 15 years of age is presented in Table 2.4. Fifty-seven percent of children under 15 years of age are living with both their parents, 18 percent are living with their mothers (but not with their fathers), 6 percent are living with their fathers (but not with their mothers) and 17 percent are living with neither their natural father nor natural mother. Of children under 15 years of age, 10 percent have lost their fathers and 5 percent have lost their mothers. Two percent of children have lost both their natural parents.

## Table 2.4 Fosterhood and orphanhood

Percent distribution of de facto children under age 15 by survival status of parents and child's living arrangements, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Living } \\ & \text { with } \\ & \text { both } \\ & \text { parents } \end{aligned}$ | Living with mother but not father |  | Living with father but not mother |  | Not living with either parent |  |  |  | Missing/ Don't know if father/ mother alive | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Father alive | Father dead | Mother alive | Mother clead | Both alive | Father only alive | Mother only alive | Both dead |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-2 | 72.1 | 19.6 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 4,278 |
| 3-5 | 62.2 | 13.3 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 10.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 4,169 |
| 6-8 | 54.5 | 10.7 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 2.3 | 13.5 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 3,773 |
| 9.11 | 48.1 | 10.1 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 15.1 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 3,061 |
| 12+ | 42.6 | 9.2 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 14.5 | 2.3 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 3,067 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 57.5 | 12.9 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 9.8 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 9,026 |
| Female | 57.2 | 13.1 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 11.8 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 9,291 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 50.0 | 14.6 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 1.6 | 12.2 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 1,977 |
| Rural | 58.2 | 12.8 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 16,371 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 46.7 | 13.9 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 15.4 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 4,794 |
| Eastern | 60.9 | 13.3 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 10.9 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 4.421 |
| Northern | 61.7 | 13.3 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 9.1 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 12 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 3,461 |
| Western | 60.7 | 11.9 | 5.9 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 8.0 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 5,672 |
| Total | 57.3 | 13.0 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 10.8 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 18,348 |

Note: By convention, foster children are those who are not living with either parent. This includes orphans, i.e., children whose parents are both dead. Total includes a few persons whose sex was not stated.

### 2.5 Educational Level of Household Population

Education has many positive effects on an individual's way of life. It affects many aspects of life, including demographic and health behaviour. As will be seen in the rest of this report, educational level is strongly associated with reproductive behaviour, contraceptive use, fertility, and infant and child mortality. Table 2.5 shows the distribution of female and male household members age six and above by the highest level of education ever attended (although not necessarily completed), and the median number of years of education completed according to selected background characteristics.

## Table 2.5 Educational level of the female and male household population

Percent distribution of the de facto female and male household population age six and over by highest level of education attended, and median number of years of schooling, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | No education | Primary | Secondary | Don't know/ missing | Total | Number | Median years of schooling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FEMALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 36.6 | 62.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2,4.51 | 0.7 |
| 10-14 | 15.0 | 82.7 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,539 | 2.8 |
| 15-19 | 18.6 | 64.8 | 16.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,685 | 5.0 |
| 20-24 | 22.7 | 60.3 | 16.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,626 | 4.9 |
| 25-29 | 33.7 | 50.0 | 15.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,328 | 4.3 |
| 30-34 | 43.2 | 44.9 | 11.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,011 | 2.7 |
| 35-39 | 40.6 | 49.2 | 8.5 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 803 | 3.0 |
| 40-44 | 49.9 | 41.8 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 471 | 1.0 |
| 45-49 | 55.5 | 38.3 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 318 | 0.0 |
| 50-54 | 73.6 | 23.2 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 559 | 0.0 |
| 55-59 | 79.6 | 18.8 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 336 | 0.0 |
| 60-64 | 76.4 | 19.6 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 320 | 0.0 |
| 65+ | 85.2 | 12.7 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 555 | 0.0 |
| Missing/Don't know | 55.8 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 100.0 | 20 | 0.0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 15.2 | 57.7 | 25.5 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,795 | 5.3 |
| Rural | 38.6 | 55.8 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 12,227 | 1.4 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 20.5 | 64.6 | 13.5 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 3,809 | 3.8 |
| Eastern | 34.9 | 57.5 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 3,339 | 1.9 |
| Northern | 52.0 | 43.8 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2,721 | 0.0 |
| Western | 39.2 | 55.1 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 4,154 | 1.2 |
| Total | 35.6 | 56.1 | 7.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 14,022 | 1.9 |
| MALE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.9 | 34.0 | 65.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2,368 | 0.7 |
| 10-14 | 9.6 | 88.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,527 | 2.9 |
| 15-19 | 7.4 | 73.6 | 18.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,582 | 5.4 |
| 20-24 | 8.6 | 63.4 | 26.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,258 | 6.5 |
| 25-29 | 10.7 | 60.3 | 27.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,160 | 6.6 |
| 30-34 | 14.1 | 55.9 | 28.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 873 | 6.5 |
| 35-39 | 16.6 | 60.4 | 21.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 777 | 6.1 |
| 40-44 | 17.8 | 59.6 | 18.9 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 554 | 6.1 |
| 45-49 | 18.4 | 61.6 | 18.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 390 | 6.0 |
| 50-54 | 22.3 | 67.5 | 7.8 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 370 | 4.7 |
| 55-59 | 32.2 | 59.3 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 319 | 4.2 |
| 60-64 | 40.1 | 55.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 279 | 2.7 |
| $65+$ | 54.8 | 40.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 607 | 0.0 |
| Missing/Don't know | 15.8 | 37.1 | 15.6 | 31.4 | 100.0 | 45 | 5.8 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.6 | 54.3 | 35.2 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 1,540 | 6.8 |
| Rural | 20.2 | 69.1 | 9.7 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 11,569 | 3.4 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 13.5 | 63.8 | 19.7 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 3,501 | 4.4 |
| Eastern | 17.1 | 70.2 | 11.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 3,152 | 3.7 |
| Northern | 20.9 | 68.1 | 10.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,590 | 3.7 |
| Western | 23.4 | 67.7 | 8.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3,867 | 3.1 |
| Total | 18.7 | 67.4 | 12.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 13,110 | 3.7 |

There is a strong differential in educational attainment between the sexes, especially as age increases. More than one-third of women ( 36 percent) in Uganda have never been to school, compared to only 19 percent of men. The median number of years of schooling is 1.9 for women and 3.7 for men. Moreover, in almost every age group, there are smaller proportions of men than women with no education and larger proportions of men than women with secondary education. However, over time, the sex differential has been narrowing; differences in educational attainment between school-age boys and girls have become almost insignificant.

Education has become more widespread over time in Uganda. This is apparent from the differences in levels of educational attainment by age groups. A steadily decreasing percentage of both males and females have never attended school in the younger age groups. For men, the proportion who have never attended school decreases from 55 percent in the oldest age group ( 65 years or more) to 10 percent among those age 10-14; for women the decline is more striking, from 85 percent to 15 percent. The relatively high proportion of girls and boys age $6-9$ who have never been to school is presumably due to hindrances like long distances to the nearest school and parents who consider these children to be too young to start schooling. Uganda has not yet attained compulsory universal primary education.

Educational attainment is higher in urban areas than in rural areas. The percentage with no education is lower and the percentage with secondary education is higher for urban than for rural women and men. Also the median number of years of schooling is higher in urban than rural areas ( 5.3 vs . 1.4 percent, respectively for women, and 6.8 vs. 3.4 percent for men).

The Central Rcgion has the most educated population, with the lowest proportion of both women and men with no education and the highest proportion with secondary education. Interestingly, the Northern Region appears to be the least advantageous for women, with over half of the women in this region having no education. However, men living in the Northern region are not significantly disadvantaged educationally, while those in the Western region have the lowest educational attainment.

### 2.6 School Enrolment

Table 2.6 presents the school enrolment ratios by age group, sex, and residence of the population age 6-24 years. A school enrolment ratio is the number of enrolled persons at a specific age group per hundred persons in that particular age group. Sixty-eight percent of the population age 6-15 are in school; urban

## Table 2.6 School enrolment

Percentage of the de facto household population age 6-24 years enrolled in school, by age group, sex, and urbanrural residence, Uganda 1995

| Age group | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 6-10 | 79.5 | 63.6 | 65.1 | 75.9 | 60.8 | 62.5 | 77.5 | 62.2 | 63.7 |
| 11-15 | 77.4 | 77.4 | 77.4 | 67.9 | 67.9 | 67.9 | 71.9 | 72.9 | 72.8 |
| 6-15 | 78.6 | 69.6 | 70.4 | 72.2 | 63.7 | 64.8 | 75.0 | 66.7 | 67.6 |
| 16-20 | 40.5 | 38.1 | 38.5 | 22.7 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 30.1 | 24.5 | 25.4 |
| 21-24 | 15.0 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 9.4 | 6.7 | 7.2 |

enrolment ( 75 percent) is higher than rural enrolment ( 67 percent). Urban enrolment is also higher for those in age group 16-24. Figure 2.2 shows that the ratio of school enrolment is nearly the same for boys as well as girls in age group 6-10, but that girls tend to drop out at an earlier stage than boys. By age 21-24, 3 percent of women and 12 percent of men are still in school.

Figure 2.2
Percentage of the Population Age 6-24 Enrolled in School by Age and Sex


### 2.7 Housing Characteristics

Respondents were asked questions about certain characteristics of their households, including electricity, source of drinking water, time to water source, type of toilet facility, floor materials, number of rooms used for sleeping, use of iodised salt, and basic assessment of consumption. Information on these characteristics is useful from a public health point of view, as well as indirectly in reflecting the household's socio-economic status. This information on housing characteristics is given in Table 2.7.

Only 7 percent of Ugandan households have electricity. Access to electricity is concentrated in urban areas, where 40 percent of the households have electricity, compared to a mere 2 percent of rural households.

The source of drinking water is important since waterbome diseases, including diarrhoea and dysentery, are numerous in Uganda. Sources of water expected to be relatively free of these diseases are piped water, boreholes, springs, rainwater, and bottled water. Other sources like wells, rivers and streams, ponds and lakes, and gravity water are more likely to carry one or more of the above diseases. Table 2.7 shows that only 7 percent of all households in Uganda have access to piped water; 45 percent of urban

## Table 2.7 Housing characteristics

Percent distribution of houscholds by housing characteristics, according to urban-rural residence, Uganda 1995

| Characteristic | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Electricity |  |  |  |
| Yes | 40.2 | 1.5 | 6.8 |
| No | 59.7 | 98.4 | 93.2 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Source of drinking water |  |  |  |
| Piped into residence | 12.7 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| Public tap | 31.9 | 1.0 | 5.2 |
| Well in residence | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Public well | 17.9 | 23.2 | 22.5 |
| Borehole | 13.5 | 17.0 | 16.6 |
| Spring | 17.8 | 25.8 | 24.7 |
| River/stream | 1.3 | 15.3 | 13.4 |
| Pond/lake | 1.0 | 15.2 | 13.3 |
| Gravity flow scheme | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Rainwater | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Bottled water | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Time to water source (minutes) |  |  |  |
| <15 minutes | 49.6 | 16.3 | 20.8 |
| Median time to source | 15.0 | 30.6 | 30.5 |
| Sanitation facility |  |  |  |
| Own flush toilet | 6.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
| Shared flush toilet | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Traditional pit toilet | 80.1 | 76.2 | 76.8 |
| Ventilated improved pit latrine | 6.2 | 0.9 | 1.6 |
| No facility/bush | 2.8 | 21.9 | 19.4 |
| Other | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Floor material |  |  |  |
| Earth/sand | 27.7 | 69.3 | 63.7 |
| Dung | 5.2 | 23.4 | 20.9 |
| Parquedpolished wood | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Vinyl/asphalt strip | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Ceramic tiles | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Coment | 65.5 | 6.8 | 14.7 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## Table 2.7-Continued

Percent distribution of households by housing characteristics, according to urban-rural residence, Uganda 1995

| Characteristic | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Persons per sleeping room |  |  |  |
| 1-2 | 47.0 | 51.7 | 51.1 |
| 3-4 | 34.1 | 33.4 | 33.5 |
| 5-6 | 13.5 | 10.2 | 10.6 |
| $7+$ | 4.6 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| Missing/Don't know | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean persons per room | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| lodine reading (parts per million) |  |  |  |
| 0 | 8.5 | 34.4 | 30.9 |
| 25 | 3.3 | 8.0 | 7.3 |
| 50 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 9.0 |
| 75 | 44.9 | 25.3 | 27.9 |
| 100 | 32.5 | 19.2 | 21.0 |
| Missing/Don't know | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Level of household food consumption |  |  |  |
| Surplus | 4.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Not surplus or deficit | 38.0 | 21.5 | 23.7 |
| Occasionally deficit | 37.0 | 39.1 | 38.8 |
| Always deficit | 20.6 | 37.0 | 34.8 |
| Don't know | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Missing | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Numher of households | 1,020 | 6,530 | 7,550 |

households and only one percent of rural household have access to piped water. Over 40 percent of rural households get their drinking water from boreholes and springs, while 31 percent use less safe sources such as rivers, ponds, and lakes. In urban areas, half of the households can draw water within 15 minutes, compared to only 16 percent of rural households.

Households with no toilet facilities are more exposed to the risk of diseases like dysentery, diarrhoea, and typhoid fever. Overall, about one in five Ugandan households have no toilet facilities. This problem is more common in rural areas, where 22 percent of the households had no toilet facilities, as compared to 3 percent of households in urban areas. Most households (77 percent) in Uganda use traditional pit toilets; this is true in both urban and rural areas.

The type of material used for the floor may be viewed as an indicator of the quality of housing as well as an indicator of health risk. Some flooring materials like earth, sand, and cow dung may pose a health problem since they may be breeding grounds for pests like ticks and jiggers and may be a source of dust. They are also difficult to keep clean since they are not washable. Almost all rural households ( 93 percent) have floors made of earth, sand, or cow dung, compared to only 33 percent of urban households. On the other hand, 66 percent of the households in urban areas have cement floors, compared to only 7 percent of the households in rural areas. In general, rural households have poorer quality floors than urban households. The more luxurious floors such as those made from tiles, vinyl, or wood account for a negligible percentage of the households.

The 1995 UDHS collected data on the number of rooms used for sleeping. The number of persons per sleeping room is a measure of overcrowding. There is an average 2.9 persons per sleeping room.

Lack of a sufficient amount of iodine in the diet can lead to major nutritional deficiencies such as goiter, nutritional stunting, mental retardation, and cretinism. Many foods, particularly in the mountainous and flood-prone districts, lack natural iodine such that the population has started showing the effects of iodine deficiency and an increased prevalence of goiter. The government therefore initiated a campaign in December 1994 to introduce iodine in salt in order to overcome this deficiency. In order to evaluate this program, UDHS interviewers tested salt from each household for its iodine content. ${ }^{2}$ The tests indicated that 31 percent of Ugandan households use un-iodised salt, while 69 percent of households use salt with iodine content. Among the urban households surveyed, the proportion that use iodised salt is 88 percent compared with 62 percent in rural households. The Ugandan government has undertaken a campaign to implement iodine coverage in 90 percent of the households by the year 2000 .

In the 1995 UDHS, respondents were asked whether they thought their household was a surplus or deficit household in terms of food consumption. Over one-third of Ugandan households indicated that they always have a shortage of food, while another 39 percent have occasional deficits. Only one in four households in Uganda were reported as having either enough or a surplus of food. Food deficits are more common among rural than urban households. Thirty-seven percent of rural households reported that their level of food supply was always in deficit, compared to 21 percent of urban households.

### 2.8 Household Durable Goods

Respondents were asked about the household ownership of particular durable goods. Ownership of radio and television is a measure of access to mass media; telephone ownership measures access to an

[^4]efficient means of communication; refrigerator ownership indicates the capacity for hygienic food storage; and ownership of a bicycle, motorcycle, or private car shows the means of transport available to the household. Information on ownership of these items is presented in Table 2.8.

Radio is a more widespread medium in Uganda than television, with almost 40 percent of households having a radio, compared to only 3 percent with a television. Both radio and television ownership is higher in urban than rural households; 67 percent of urban households and 33 percent of rural households own a radio and 17 percent of urban households and less than 1 percent of rural households own a television. Telephone service and ownership of refrigerators are extremely low and only available in urban households.

Table 2.8 shows that slightly over one-third of households own bicycles. Bicycles are more common in rural areas, while cars and motorcycles are almost exclusively owned by urban households. About half of the rural households and 27 percent of the urban households do not own any of the above durable goods.

### 2.9 Background Characteristics of Respondents

Background characteristics of the 7,070 women and 1,996 men interviewed individually in the UDHS are presented in Table 2.9. ${ }^{3}$ The age distribution of the respondents shows a similar pattern for wornen and men, with the proportion of respondents in each group declining with increasing age. Forty-five percent of women and 38 percent of men are in the range 15-24, and 32 percent of women and 31 percent of men are in

Table 2.8 Household durable goods
Percentage of households possessing various durable consumer goods, by urban-rural residence, Uganda 1995

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Durable good |  | Urban | Rural | Total |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Radio | 67.2 | 32.8 | 37.5 |
| Television | 2.4 | 0.6 | 2.9 |
| Telephone | 4.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Refrigerator | 24.5 | 35.7 | 34.7 |
| Bicycle | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Motorcycle | 5.4 | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| Private car | 26.8 | 51.0 | 47.7 |
| None of the above |  |  |  |
| Number of households | 1,020 | 6,530 | 7,550 | the 25-34 year age group.

About 85 percent of both women and men live in rural areas, while 15 percent live in urban areas. Respondents are roughly equally divided among the four regions, with slightly more living in Central region. Three in 10 respondents live in areas covered by the DISH project (see Chapter 1 for description of the DISH project).

The proportion of women who have never attended school is more than twice that of men ( 31 vs .12 percent). Seventy-three percent of women, compared with 63 percent of men are either currently married or living with partners. Male respondents were much more likely than female respondents to have never married ( 30 vs. 16 percent).

Forty-four percent of women respondents are Catholic, while 40 percent are Protestant and 12 percent are Muslim; male respondents had a similar distribution by religion.

[^5]Table 2.9 Background characteristics of respondents
Percent distribution of women and men by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted percent | Number of women |  | Weighted percent | Number of men |  |
|  |  | Weighted | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { weighted } \end{gathered}$ |  | Weighted | $\underset{\substack{\text { Un- } \\ \text { weighted }}}{\text { ( }}$ |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 22.7 | 1,606 | 1,624 | 19.4 | 387 | 375 |
| 20-24 | 22.0 | 1,555 | 1,567 | 18.4 | 367 | 379 |
| 25-29 | 18.0 | 1,270 | 1,323 | 18.0 | 359 | 381 |
| 30-34 | 13.8 | 976 | 987 | 13.0 | 259 | 256 |
| 35-39 | 11.1 | 783 | 743 | 12.5 | 250 | 249 |
| 40-44 | 7.1 | 499 | 475 | 8.1 | 162 | 158 |
| 45-49 | 5.4 | 380 | 351 | 5.9 | 118 | 109 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | 4.7 | 95 | 89 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 14.9 | 1,055 | 2,439 | 14.1 | 281 | 657 |
| Rural | 85.1 | 6,015 | 4,631 | 85.9 | 1,715 | 1,339 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 27.8 | 1,967 | 2,218 | 28.5 | 568 | 641 |
| Eastern | 24.6 | 1,738 | 1,911 | 24.9 | 497 | 546 |
| Northern | 19.7 | 1,398 | 1,136 | 21.0 | 419 | 331 |
| Western | 27.8 | 1,968 | 1,805 | 25.6 | 511 | 478 |
| DISH project region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese, Mbarara (I) | 8.0 | 564 | 632 | 7.9 | 159 | 175 |
| Masaka, Rakai (II) | 6.7 | 476 | 520 | 6.9 | 138 | 152 |
| Luwero, Masindi (III) | 3.1 | 222 | 197 | 3.6 | 672 | 63 |
| Kamuli, Jinja (IV) | 4.8 | 341 | 601 | 4.2 | 85 | 164 |
| Kampala (V) | 7.1 | 502 | 541 | 7.1 | 141 | 155 |
| Non-DISH district | 70.2 | 4,494 | 4,579 | 70.2 | 1,401 | 1,287 |
| DISH district | 29.8 | 2,106 | 2,491 | 29.8 | 595 | 709 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 30.6 | 2,161 | 1,808 | 11.6 | 232 | 199 |
| Primary | 56.0 | 3,956 | 3,901 | 63.1 | 1,259 | 1,174 |
| Secondary+ | 13.5 | 952 | 1,361 | 25.3 | 504 | 623 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 15.7 | 1,107 | 1,272 | 29.7 | 592 | 610 |
| Currently in union | 72.6 | 5,134 | 4,898 | 62.7 | 1,252 | 1,241 |
| Past union | 11.7 | 825 | 899 | 7.6 | 152 | 145 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 5 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catholic | 43.8 | 3,096 | 2,994 | 46.7 | 931 | 900 |
| Protestant | 40.4 | 2,853 | 2,860 | 40.6 | 811 | 833 |
| Muslim | 11.8 | 836 | 899 | 9.7 | 193 | 199 |
| Seventh Day Adventist | 1.3 | 93 | 108 | 0.9 | 18 | 22 |
| Other | 2.7 | 189 | 206 | 1.9 | 38 | 37 |
| Total | 100.0 | 7,070 | 7,070 | 100.0 | 1,996 | 1,996 |

NA = Not applicable

### 2.10 Characteristics of Couples

Because the men who were interviewed individually in the UDHS were selected from the same households in which women were interviewed, it is possible to match married men with their wives to form a sample of couples. The result does not exactly represent all married (or cohabiting) couples in Uganda, since not all couples live together. Nevertheless, the sample of 1,109 couples can be viewed as a reasonable reflection of men and women who are living together. Table 2.10 presents data on the age and education attainment between spouses. ${ }^{4}$

In one-third of Ugandan couples, the husband is $0-4$ years older than his wife, while in 37 percent of couples, the husband is 5-9 years older than his wife. In only 8 percent of the couples, the wife's age was older than her husband's. On average, men are a little more than six years older than their wives.

In the majority of couples ( 60 percent), both spouses have at least some education. In 29 percent of couples, the husband has some education and the wife has none, while in only 4 percent of the couples, the wife has some education and the husband none. Cases in which neither spouse has been to school make up 7

Table 2.10 Differential characteristics between spouses
Percent distribution of couples by differences between spouses in age and level of education, Uganda 1995

|  | Percent/ Years | Number of couples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age difference (percent) (husband minus wife) |  |  |
| Wife older | 8.0 | 88 |
| 0-4 years | 33.5 | 371 |
| 5-9 years | 37.1 | 411 |
| 10-14 years | 15.4 | 171 |
| 15 years + | 6.1 | 67 |
| Mean age difference (years) |  |  |
| 1 st wife | 6.2 | 1,073 |
| 2nd wife+ | (10.1) | 36 |
| Education (percent) |  |  |
| Both husband and wife not educated | 7.4 | 82 |
| Wife educated, husband not | 4.2 | 46 |
| Husband educated, wife not | 28.5 | 315 |
| Both husband and wife educated | 60.0 | 665 |
| Total | 100.0 | 1,109 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. percent of all the couples.

### 2.11 Educational Level of Survey Respondents

Table 2.11 shows the percent distributions of female and male respondents by highest level of education attended, according to age, urban-rural residence, and region. The percentage of women with no education rises with age, from 17 percent in the 15-19 age group to 53 percent in the age group 45-49. This means that the younger women have had better chances of education than the older women. This is again reflected by the higher percentage of younger women with some secondary education ( 16 percent), compared with the $45-49$ age group ( 4 percent).

Rural women are educationally disadvantaged compared to urban women. Over one-third of rural women age 15-49 have no education, compared to only 11 percent of urban women. Conversely, 40 percent of urban women have been to secondary school, compared to only 9 percent of rural women. Women living in the Central region are better educated than those living in other regions, while those living in the Northern region are the least well-educated.

As mentioned before, men are generally better educated than women. While 31 percent of women age 15-49 have had no formal education, only 12 percent of men age 15-54 have had no schooling. Unlike

[^6]
## Table 2.11 Level of education by background characteristics

Percent distribution of respondents by highest level of education attended, according to age, residence, and region, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Level of education: women |  |  |  |  | Level of education: men |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No celucation | Primary | Secondary+ | Total | Number of women | No education | Primary | Secondary+ | Total | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 16.5 | 67.2 | 16.2 | 100.0 | 1,606 | 4.4 | 67.7 | 27.9 | 100.0 | 387 |
| 20-24 | 22.2 | 61.8 | 16.0 | 100.0 | 1,555 | 10.0 | 60.1 | 29.9 | 100.0 | 367 |
| 25-29 | 31.6 | 52.8 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 1,270 | 11.7 | 64.6 | 23.8 | 100.0 | 359 |
| 30-34 | 38.8 | 49.2 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 976 | 9.6 | 56.5 | 33.9 | 100.0 | 259 |
| 35-39 | 41.9 | 48.5 | 9.6 | 100.0 | 783 | 16.2 | 61.1 | 22.7 | 100.0 | 250 |
| 40-44 | 48.2 | 44.7 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 499 | 17.7 | 63.5 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 162 |
| 45-49 | 53.3 | 42.4 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 380 | 21.6 | 65.3 | 13.1 | 100.0 | 118 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 17.7 | 70.6 | 11.7 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 10.6 | 49.8 | 39.7 | 100.0 | 1,055 | 5.4 | 37.5 | 57.2 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Rural | 34.1 | 57.0 | 8.9 | 100.0 | 6,015 | 12.6 | 67.3 | 20.1 | 100.0 | 1,715 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 14.4 | 62.0 | 23.6 | 100.0 | 1,967 | 9.7 | 52.3 | 38.0 | 100.0 | 568 |
| Eastern | 29.6 | 58.4 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 1,738 | 12.2 | 65.1 | 22.7 | 100.0 | 497 |
| Northern | 47.6 | 46.0 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 1,398 | 9.8 | 69.3 | 20.9 | 100.0 | 419 |
| Western | 35.6 | 54.8 | 9.5 | 100.0 | 1,968 | 14.7 | 68.1 | 17.2 | 100.0 | 511 |
| Total | 30.6 | 56.0 | 13.5 | 100.0 | 7,070 | 11.6 | 63.1 | 25.3 | 100.0 | 1,996 |

NA = Not applicable
women, the proportion of men with no education does not seem to follow any clear pattern by age group, although the proportion with some secondary education does show a clear increase among younger men. The urban-rural distribution of educational attainment for males is similar to that of females. Similar to women, men in the Central Region are most likely to be educated; however, men in the Western Region appear to be the least educated.

### 2.12 School Attendance and Reasons for Leaving School

Women age 15 to 24 years who had ever been to school, but who were not currently attending school were asked in the UDHS why they had stopped attending school. One of the most important determinants of a woman's social and economic status is her level of education. Knowledge of the reasons why women leave school can provide guidance for policies designed to enhance women's status. Table 2.12 shows the percent distribution of women age 15-24 who had ever been to school by whether they were currently attending school and if not, the reason for stopping, according to the highest level of school attended.

Of those who left school, the vast majority reported that they left because they could not afford the fee. This is especially true for those women who left without completing primary school and those who completed primary but did not continue. Once women start attending secondary school, school costs are still the primary reason for leaving, but 15 percent of women who left school after starting secondary did so because they were pregnant.

Table 2.12 School attendance and reasons for leaving school
Percent distribution of women age 15-24 who have ever attended school by whether currently attending school and, if not, the reason for leaving school, according to highest level of education attended, Uganda 1995

|  | Educational attainment |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Reason for <br> leaving school | Incomplete <br> primary | Complete <br> primary | Incomplete <br> secondary | Total |
| Currently attending | 8.6 | 6.6 | 42.9 | 14.9 |
| Got pregnant | 4.0 | 6.4 | 14.5 | 6.3 |
| Got married | 4.4 | 7.0 | 2.5 | 4.3 |
| Take care of younger children | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| Family need help | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Could not pay school fees | 68.0 | 7.7 | 31.6 | 61.4 |
| Need to earn money | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Graduated/enough school | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.4 |
| Did not pass exams | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Did not like school | 3.1 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 2.7 |
| School not accessible | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Other | 4.8 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 4.0 |
| Don't know/missing | 2.1 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 1,757 | 285 | 483 | 2.525 |

Note: Excluded are 22 women who completed secondary school.

### 2.13 Exposure to Mass Media

In the 1995 UDHS, respondents were asked if they usually read a newpaper at least once a week and how often they watch television and listen to the radio. It is important to know which groups of people are more or less likely to be reached by the media for purposes of planning health and family planning programmes. Table 2.13 shows the percentage of female and male respondents exposed to different types of mass media by age, urban-rural residence, region, and level of education.

Results show that 19 percent of women and 34 percent of men read newspapers or magazines at least once a week, while only 6 percent of women and 4 percent of men watch television at least once a week and 33 percent of women and 61 percent of men listen to the radio every day. Three percent of respondents (both male and female) access all three media. However, 60 percent of women and 32 percent of men do not use any of these mass media. Access to media is somewhat higher among younger women and men and among those living in urban as opposed to rural areas. As expected, educated persons are more likely to read the newspaper, watch television, and listen to the radio than less educated persons.

Table 2.13 Access to mass media

Percentage of women and men who usually read a newspaper once a week, watch television once a week, or listen to radio daily, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mass media |  |  |  |  | Number of women | No mass media | Mass media |  |  |  | Number of men |
|  | No mass media | Read newspaper weekly | Watch television weekly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Listen } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { radio } \\ & \text { daily } \end{aligned}$ | All three media |  |  | Read newspaper weekly | Watch television weekly | Listen <br> to radio daily | All three media |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 56.8 | 22.7 | 8.2 | 33.4 | 4.1 | 1,606 | 31.4 | 28.5 | 4.8 | 43.6 | 2.4 | 387 |
| 20-24 | 58.1 | 19.2 | 5.7 | 35.3 | 3.5 | 1,555 | 31.3 | 32.7 | 5.5 | 46.0 | 5.0 | 367 |
| 25-29 | 57.3 | 19.7 | 5.9 | 36.1 | 3.5 | 1,270 | 34.8 | 31.6 | 2.7 | 35.7 | 2.5 | 359 |
| 30-34 | 61.5 | 17.9 | 4.3 | 33.3 | 3.0 | 976 | 25.7 | 46.3 | 4.4 | 44.9 | 3.0 | 259 |
| 35-39 | 62.3 | 19.5 | 3.5 | 30.2 | 2.3 | 783 | 32.9 | 36.7 | 4.0 | 42.9 | 3.2 | 250 |
| 40-44 | 64.9 | 14.5 | 3.1 | 29.4 | 1.6 | 499 | 36.2 | 31.6 | 2.0 | 37.9 | 1.2 | 162 |
| 45-49 | 65.4 | 14.3 | 1.9 | 28.3 | 1.4 | 380 | 28.6 | 32.8 | 1.5 | 48.0 | 1.5 | 118 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 43.9 | 31.0 | 1.4 | 38.0 | 1.4 | 185 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 26.7 | 45.1 | 26.2 | 59.4 | 14.9 | 1,055 | 7.8 | 68.8 | 23.7 | 73.5 | 18.8 | 281 |
| Rural | 65.2 | 14.8 | 1.8 | 28.8 | 1.1 | 6,015 | 36.3 | 28.1 | 0.6 | 37.1 | 0.3 | 1,715 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 28.4 | 39.4 | 15.3 | 60.8 | 9.6 | 1,967 | 17.3 | 45.0 | 10.0 | 59.6 | 7.5 | 568 |
| Eastern | 67.4 | 12.0 | 3.5 | 26.8 | 1.5 | 1,738 | 42.1 | 23.6 | 2.8 | 40.6 | 2.3 | 497 |
| Northern | 80.5 | 8.4 | 0.1 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 1,398 | 35.4 | 42.6 | 0.0 | 27.1 | 0.0 | 419 |
| Western | 68.6 | 13.6 | 1.1 | 24.4 | 0.6 | 1,968 | 36.7 | 24.0 | 1.1 | 36.9 | 0.6 | 511 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 84.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 2,161 | 61.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 232 |
| Primary | 55.4 | 19.5 | 4.3 | 36.6 | 2.1 | 3,956 | 36.1 | 25.1 | 1.4 | 35.8 | 0.8 | 1,259 |
| Secondary+ | 19.9 | 60.7 | 21.4 | 61.7 | 14.9 | 952 | 9.3 | 70.8 | 11.5 | 67.2 | 9.4 | 504 |
| Total | 59.5 | 19.3 | 5.5 | 33.4 | 3.2 | 7,070 | 32.3 | 33.8 | 3.8 | 42.2 | 2.9 | 1,996 |
| NA $=$ Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.14 Employment

The 1995 UDHS collected information from women regarding their current employment situation. Table 2.14 shows that 39 percent of women are not working, while 61 percent are employed, with 42 percent employed all year, 16 percent employed seasonally, and 3 percent employed occasionally. There are proportionally more women who work seasonally in rural areas ( 17 percent) than in urban areas ( 9 percent). However, urban and rural women are almost equally likely to be working full-time ( 40 vs. 38 percent). Regional differences show that women in the Northem Region are by far the least likely to be working, with over three-quarters not currently employed. Regular full-time work tends to increase and seasonal work decreases with increasing level of education.

Table 2.14 Employment
Percent distribution of women by employment status and continuity of employment, according to background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Not currently employed |  | Currently employed |  |  |  | Missing | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Did not work in last 12 months | Worked in last 12 months | All year |  | Seasonally | Occasionally |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $5+$ days per week | $<5$ days per week |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 47.5 | 4.8 | 29.5 | 2.7 | 12.8 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,606 |
| 20-24 | 37.3 | 2.8 | 36.9 | 4.2 | 16.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,555 |
| 25.29 | 34.5 | 2.1 | 40.3 | 3.8 | 15.7 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,270 |
| 30-34 | 29.2 | 2.3 | 42.4 | 3.9 | 19.5 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 976 |
| 35-39 | 28.7 | 1.3 | 43.2 | 5.6 | 18.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 783 |
| 40-44 | 33.9 | 0.6 | 42.1 | 5.1 | 16.1 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 499 |
| 45-49 | 29.9 | 0.4 | 46.6 | 4.3 | 15.7 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 380 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 40.9 | 3.4 | 39.9 | 3.6 | 8.6 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,055 |
| Rural | 35.6 | 2.5 | 37.9 | 4.0 | 17.3 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6.015 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 32.8 | 3.9 | 45.4 | 3.3 | 11.9 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,967 |
| Eastern | 18.9 | 1.3 | 43.2 | 2.9 | 31.3 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,738 |
| Northern | 74.9 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 10.2 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,398 |
| Western | 28.1 | 3.1 | 50.2 | 6.4 | 10.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,968 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 39.4 | 1.3 | 35.5 | 3.4 | 17.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,161 |
| Primary | 34.9 | 2.7 | 38.4 | 4.4 | 16.5 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,956 |
| Secondary+ | 35.8 | 5.1 | 43.0 | 3.4 | 9.9 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 952 |
| Total | 36.4 | 2.6 | 38.2 | 4.0 | 16.0 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 7,070 |

### 2.15 Employer and Form of Earnings

According to Table 2.15, two-thirds ( 67 percent) of employed women age 15-49 are self-employed, about half of whom earn cash and half of whom do not. Nine percent of women are employed by nonrelatives and almost all earn cash. Twenty-two percent of employed women work for relatives; half of them earn cash for their work. Urban women who work are less likely to be self-employed and more likely to work for non-relatives than are rural women. Working women in the Western region are much more likely to be self-employed ( 85 percent) than women in the other regions (47-71 percent) and women in the Central region are most likely to earn cash for their work. About 70 percent of employed women with no education or with primary education are self-employed, compared to less than half of those with secondary or higher education.

Table 2.15 Employer and form of earnings
Percent distribution of currently employed women by employer and form of earnings, according to background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Self-employed |  | Employed by a non-relative |  | Employed by a relative |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Earns cash | Does not earn cash | Earns cash | Does not earn cash | Earns cash | Does not earn cash | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 25.3 | 27.9 | 7.5 | 0.7 | 13.2 | 17.2 | 8.2 | 100.0 |  |
| 20-24 | 31.3 | 35.8 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 931 |
| 25-29 | 31.6 | 37.4 | 9.9 | 0.8 | 11.6 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 805 |
| 30-34 | 34.1 | 38.6 | 9.0 | 1.2 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 805 |
| 35-39 | 36.3 | 36.9 | 8.8 | 1.0 | 9.9 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 549 |
| 40-44 | 31.5 | 40.5 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 327 |
| 45-49 | 39.0 | 35.9 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 9.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 265 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 38.2 | 12.5 | 33.2 | 0.7 | 8.4 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 586 |
| Rural | 30.8 | 39.3 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 11.9 | 11.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 3,724 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 42.2 | 5.2 | 17.3 | 0.3 | 24.6 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 1,245 |
| Eastern | 32.0 | 39.2 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 17.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1,386 |
| Northern | 34.7 | 22.8 | 10.9 | 0.5 | 18.7 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1 326 |
| Western | 21.4 | 63.1 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,353 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 27.2 | 45.2 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 10.0 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,281 |
| Primary | 34.5 | 34.9 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 12.5 | 10.8 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 2,466 |
| Secondary+ | 30.8 | 17.0 | 29.6 | 0.4 | 9.8 | 4.9 | 7.5 | 100.0 | 2,463 |
| Total | 31.8 | 35.6 | B. 1 | 0.8 | 11.4 | 10.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 4,310 |

### 2.16 Occupation

Table 2.16 gives the percent distribution of women age 15-49 who are employed by current occupation and the type of agricultural land worked, according to background characteristics. Most employed women ( 72 percent) are occupied in agriculture, almost all of whom work on family land or their own land. Only 27 percent of working women have non-agricultural jobs and half of these women are engaged in sales or services. As expected, employment in non-agricultural occupations is relatively more common among women who live in urban areas and among those who have more formal education.

Around 85 percent of the women in the Eastern and Western Regions are engaged in agriculture, compared to 53 percent in the Central Region. In the Northern Region, only 37 percent of employed women are engaged in agriculture, though it should be noted that less than one in four women in the Northern Region are employed.

## Table 2.16 Occupation

Percent distribution of currently employed women by occupation and type of agricultural land worked or type of nonagricultural employment, according to background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Agricultural |  |  |  | Non-agricultural |  |  |  |  | Missing | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Own land | Family land | Rented land | Other's land | Prof. tech./ manag | Sales/ services | Skilled manual | Household <br> and domestic | Other |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 10.7 | 49.5 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 12.4 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 10.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 765 |
| 20-24 | 17.7 | 48.6 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 13.3 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 931 |
| 25-29 | 14.2 | 41.6 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 17.8 | 8.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 805 |
| 30-34 | 18.4 | 40.7 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 4.1 | 14.3 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 669 |
| 35-39 | 16.0 | 48.5 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 12.2 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 549 |
| 40-44 | 19.5 | 48.9 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 12.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 327 |
| 45-49 | 24.4 | 51.0 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 265 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.8 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 15.0 | 45.7 | 11.1 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 586 |
| Rural | 18.4 | 52.2 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 8.5 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 3,724 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 9.7 | 36.7 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 22.6 | 9.4 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 1,245 |
| Eastern | 22.1 | 47.3 | 12.1 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 7.7 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,386 |
| Northem | 7.2 | 23.6 | 1.0 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 30.3 | 24.9 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 326 |
| Western | 18.4 | 59.8 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 7.2 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,353 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 20.8 | 54.1 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,281 |
| Primary | 16.0 | 48.6 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 14.1 | 7.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2,466 |
| Secondary+ | 6.8 | 18.8 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 24.0 | 26.1 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 9.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 563 |
| Total | 16.2 | 46.4 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 13.6 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 4,310 |

Note: The "professional, technical, managerial" category includes professional, technical, clerical and managerial occupations.

### 2.17 Person who Decides on Use of Earnings

Information on who decides how to use the cash earned by employed women can be used as a measure of the status of women, particularly independence in decision making and control over resources. Table 2.17 shows that the majority of women who receive cash for work ( 65 percent) decide for themselves on how to spend the money, while 17 percent decide jointly with their partners as to how to spend their salaries. In only 14 percent of the cases, women say that the decision as to how to spend their money is made by their partners. As expected, urban and more educated women are more likely to decide for themselves how to spend their eamings.

Table 2.17 Decisions on use of earnings
Percent distribution of women receiving cash earnings by person who decides on use of earnings, according to background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Person who decides how earnings are used |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Self only | Husband/ partner | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jointly } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { husband/ } \\ \text { partner } \end{gathered}$ | Someone else | Jointly with someone | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 56.4 | 17.2 | 12.0 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 351 |
| 20-24 | 59.5 | 17.2 | 21.0 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 474 |
| 25-29 | 65.7 | 14.5 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 427 |
| 30-34 | 69.0 | 12.6 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 351 |
| 35-39 | 67.0 | 15.1 | 16.7 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 302 |
| 40-44 | 76.1 | 5.6 | 17.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 162 |
| 45-49 | 74.8 | 8.9 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 143 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 83.3 | 6.1 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 468 |
| Rural | 60.0 | 16.5 | 19.8 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,743 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 71.7 | 10.1 | 14.2 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,046 |
| Eastern | 55.3 | 20.0 | 21.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 559 |
| Northem | 65.5 | 19.0 | 12.0 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 210 |
| Western | 60.4 | 14.8 | 22.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 396 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 56.9 | 20.2 | 20.0 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 527 |
| Primary | 65.2 | 13.1 | 17.4 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,289 |
| Secondary+ | 74.7 | 10.3 | 13.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 395 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married | 54.7 | 20.0 | 24.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,564 |
| Not married | 89.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 647 |
| Total | 64.9 | 14.3 | 17.3 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,211 |

### 2.18 Child Care While Working

Table 2.18 presents the distribution of employed women by whether they have a child under six years of age, and if so, the type of caretaker used by the mother while at work. Sixty-three percent of employed women have a child under six years of age. About half of these women look after their own children while at work, while 23 percent leave their children in the care of another child, either female or male. Fourteen percent of working mothers with pre-school age children have relatives who look after their children. In urban areas, 17 percent of employed mothers have servants or hired child caretakers, compared with only one percent of those in rural areas. Educated women are more likely to have employed a child caretaker than less educated women. Over one-third of working women in the Eastern and Northern Regions leave their preschool age children with other children.

## Table 2.18 Child care while working

Percent distribution of employed women (i.e., worked in the last 12 months) by whether they have a child under six years of age, and the percent distribution of employed mothers who have a child under six by person who cares for child while mother is at work, according to background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Employed women |  | Child's caretaker, among employed mothers who have children <6 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | Missing | Total | Number of employed mothers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No child $<6$ | One or more children $<6$ | Respond ent | Hus- <br> band/ <br> part- <br> ner | Other relative | Neighbor | Friend | Hired help | Child is in school/ institutional care | Other female child | Other male child |  |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 51.4 | 48.6 | 39.1 | 0.8 | 17.7 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 16.9 | 2.0 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 586 |
| Rural | 34.5 | 65.5 | 51.0 | 1.1 | 14.0 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 17.8 | 6.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 3,724 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 43.0 | 57.0 | 59.5 | 0.3 | 13.5 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 7.7 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 1,245 |
| Eastern | 33.2 | 66.8 | 41.3 | 0.9 | 15.1 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 22.4 | 8.4 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 1,386 |
| Northern | 38.7 | 61.3 | 29.2 | 1.3 | 22.3 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 28.1 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 326 |
| Westerm | 34.4 | 65.6 | 55.4 | 1.7 | 12.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 15.5 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 1,353 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 35.1 | 64.9 | 49.2 | 1.3 | 11.9 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 1,281 |
| Primary | 36.2 | 63.8 | 51.6 | 1.0 | 14.9 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 15.8 | 6.2 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 2,466 |
| Secondary+ | 43.4 | 56.6 | 42.1 | 0.5 | 18.9 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 14.3 | 1.2 | 8.5 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 563 |
| Work status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For family member | 37.8 | 62.2 | 51.9 | 0.8 | 16.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 15.9 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 9.50 |
| For someone else | 50.8 | 49.2 | 24.6 | 0.8 | 26.4 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 15.1 | 1.7 | 8.5 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 383 |
| Self-employed | 33.2 | 66.8 | 51.6 | 1.2 | 12.6 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 17.8 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 2,910 |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural | 31.9 | 68.1 | 51.7 | 0.9 | 13.4 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 18.8 | 7.4 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 3,120 |
| Non-agricultural | 50.1 | 49.9 | 42.8 | 1.6 | 18.3 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 11.4 | 1.3 | 9.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 1,155 |
| Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All year, full-time | 38.0 | 62.0 | 51.3 | 1.2 | 14.5 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 18.7 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 1000 | 2,69 280 |
| All year, part-time | 37.5 | 62.5 | 40.2 | 1.5 | 18.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 100.0 100.0 | 280 1.134 |
| Seasonal | 32.8 | 67.2 | 48.0 | 0.5 | 12.9 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 21.0 | 7.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 1,134 |
| Occasional | 42.6 | 57.4 | 53.5 | 2.4 | 17.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 10.8 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 6.9 | 100.0 | 195 |
| Total | 36.8 | 63.2 | 49.8 | 1.1 | 14.4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 16.7 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 4,310 |

Note: Totals include 67 women with work status missing, 35 women with occupation missing. and 2 women with employment status missing. Figures may not add to 100.0 due to rounding.

## CHAPTER 3

## FERTILITY

The information in this chapter is based on the respective birth histories of women age 15-49 years interviewed in the 1995 UDHS. Each woman was asked the number of sons and daughters she had ever given birth to, distinguishing between those living with her, those living elsewhere, and those dead. She was then asked for each birth, the month and year of birth, name, sex, and survival status of the child and for those who died, the age at death. If the child was still living, information was collected on the child's current age and whether the child was still living with the mother or not. The information from the birth history was crosschecked against the reported number of children ever born for consistency. This information was used to obtain measures of fertility levels and trends, differentials in fertility by residence and education, information on the length of intervals between births, and the extent of childbearing among adolescents. It should be noted that the birth history method collects responses from surviving women and assumes that women's fertility does not differ significantly with survival status.

### 3.1 Current Fertility Levels

The level of current fertility is important because of its direct relevance to population policies and programmes. In particular, Uganda's National Population Policy aims to reduce the level of current fertility from a total fertility rate (TFR) of 7.1 in 1991 to 6.5 live births per woman by the year 2000 (Population Secretariat, 1995:27).

The indices used to study current fertility include age-specific fertility rates, the total fertility rate, the general fertility rate, and the crude birth rate. The age-specific fertility rates (ASFR) are useful in understanding the age pattern of current fertility. The ASFR is the number of live births which occurred during the specified period to women in a particular age group at the time of the birth, divided by the number of woman-years lived in that age group during the specified period. The TFR is obtained by summing up the ASFRs for all ages of the reproductive age bracket (15-49 years). The TFR can be interpreted as the number of children a woman would have at the end of her reproductive life if she experienced the current age-specific fertility rates. The TFR is regarded as a useful means of summarising the overall level of fertility. Other summary measures of fertility include the general fertility rate (the number of live births per 1,000 women of reproductive age), and the crude birth rate (the annual number of live births per 1,000 population).

Measures of current fertility are estimated for the three-year period preceding the survey, which corresponds roughly from mid-1992 to mid-1995. The choice of the estimation period is a compromise between providing the most recent information, avoiding problems of omission or displacement of births due to recall lapse, and obtaining enough cases to reduce the sampling errors.

Estimates of current fertility for Uganda are given in Table 3.1. The results show a general fertility rate for the three-year period of 247 births per 1,000 women and a crude birth rate of 48 live births per 1,000 population. The latter figure is marginally lower than the crude birth rate of 52 per 1,000 population for 1990 estimated from the 1991 Population and Housing Census (Statistics Department, 1995b:87). It should be noted that the census estimates were obtained using indirect methods, hence part of the difference may be due to a difference in methodology.

| Age-specific and cumulative fertility rates and the crude birth rate for the three years preceding the survey, by urban-rural residence, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Age group | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 15-19 | 153 | 215 | 204 |
| 20-24 | 253 | 332 | 319 |
| 25-29 | 244 | 322 | 309 |
| 30-34 | 161 | 257 | 244 |
| 35-39 | 92 | 188 | 177 |
| 40-44 | 76 | 90 | 89 |
| 45-49 | (16) | 30 | 29 |
| TFR 15-49 | 4.97 | 7.17 | 6.86 |
| TFR 15-44 | 4.89 | 7.02 | 6.71 |
| GFR | 190 | 257 | 247 |
| CBR | 47.7 | 47.8 | 47.8 |
| Note: Rates are for the period 1-36 months preceding the survey. Rates for age group 45-49 may be slightly biased due to truncation. Rates in parentheses are based on 125 to 249 woman-years of exposure. |  |  |  |
| GFR: General fertility rate (births divided by number of women 15-44), expressed per 1,000 women |  |  |  |
| CBR: Crude birth rate, expressed per 1,000 population |  |  |  |

The TFR indicates that a Ugandan woman would bear 6.9 children by the end of her reproductive lifetime, if she experiences the current age pattem of fertility. Fertility levels are substantially higher in the rural areas (TFR of 7.2 children) than in the urban areas (5.0). However, the lower fertility level in urban areas has a small impact on the overall level of fertility because of the small share of the urban population ( 15 percent). Similar residential differentials are observed for both the general fertility rate and the crude birth rate (Table 3.1).

The age pattern of fertility indicates that childbearing in Uganda starts early in the reproductive ages. The ASFRs in Table 3.1 show that a woman will have given birth to 2.6 children (more than one-third of her lifetime births) by age 25 , and to 4.2 children (two-fifths of her lifetime births) by age 30 . At the current levels, at least one in five teenagers will give birth annually.

The childbearing peak occurs in the ages 20-29 when almost one in three women gives birth annually. However, fertility declines sharply thereafter, with the ASFR being only 29 births per 1000 women at age group 45-49 (see Figure 3.1). This age pattem is similar to that observed in data from the 1991 Population and Housing Census and the 1988-89 UDHS. The major implication of this early childbearing pattern is that the younger women contribute a large proportion of all births, resulting in faster population growth.

The age pattem of fertility is roughly similar by place of residence except at older ages. The ASFRs are lower in urban areas than rural areas irrespective of age, although the difference is much less among women age 40 and above (Figure 3.1). The results in Table 3.1 also show that fertility levels among teenagers are high. This has particular significance because this age group has high relative risks of morbidity and infant mortality.

Figure 3.1
Age-Specific Fertility Rates by Urban-Rural Residence


### 3.2 Differentials in Current Fertility

Table 3.2 presents the TFR and the mean number of children ever born to women age 40-49 years (completed fertility) for major subgroups of the population. The measure of completed fertility is vulnerable to understatement of parity by older women, most of whose births took place longer ago and who consequently may omit children who died young. Fertility rates are also subject to relatively large sampling errors (see Appendix B), so interpretation of differentials should be made cautiously.

Various differentials in current fertility are notable from Table 3.2 and Figure 3.2. The TFR ranges from a low of 6.3 in the Central Region to a high of 7.4 in the Eastern Region, a difference of 1.1 births per woman. Women who received some secondary education have the lowest level of current fertility ( 5.2 births per woman), while those with primary education have the highest level (7.1), a difference of nearly two. It is noteworthy that the phenomenon of women with primary education having the highest TFR was also observed in the 1991 Census data. Fertility is higher in the non-DISH areas than in those areas covered by the DISH project ( 7.1 vs. 6.4, respectively-see Chapter 1 for a description of the project). Fertility differs greatly according to the DISH area, ranging from 7.2 in areas III and IV to a low of 4.8 in area V (Kampala).

## Table 3.2 Fertility by background characteristics

Total fertility rate for the three years preceding the survey, percentage currently pregnant, and mean number of children ever born to women age 40-49, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Total fertility rate ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage currently pregnant ${ }^{1}$ | Mean number of children ever born to women age 40.49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.97 | 9.4 | 6.37 |
| Rural | 7.17 | 15.1 | 7.38 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Central | 6.28 | 13.0 | 7.30 |
| Eastern | 7.38 | 17.3 | 7.24 |
| Northern | 6.82 | 13.6 | 6.55 |
| Western | 6.98 | 13.3 | 7.73 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.04 | 14.5 | 7.27 |
| Primary | 7.12 | 15.1 | 7.42 |
| Secondary+ | 5.15 | 10.5 | 6.55 |
| DISH area |  |  |  |
| Group I and II | 6.72 | 15.1 | 7.37 |
| Group III and IV | (7.17) | 16.6 | 6.82 |
| Group V | (4.76) | 8.7 | (6.91) |
| Total DISH | 6.37 | 14.0 | 7.14 |
| Total non-DISH | 7.05 | 14.4 | 7.34 |
| Total | 6.86 | 14.3 | 7.28 |

Note: Total fertility rates in parentheses are based on 500-999 women age 15-49; other figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 women. ${ }^{1}$ Women age $15-49$ years

The comparison of completed fertility (mean number of children ever born) with the TFR provides an indication of the direction and magnitude of fertility change in the country during the past 20-25 years. The results suggest that there has been a small decline in fertility levels in the country during this period as shown by a TFR of 6.9 births, compared to a mean number of children ever born to women age 40-49 of 7.3 children. This decline is confirmed by looking at trends in TFRs obtained from previous surveys (see section 3.4).

The differentials in current fertility by place of residence described above are also evident in measures of completed fertility. The decline in fertility as shown by the above method has been relatively faster in urban areas than in rural areas. The Kampala District (Group V), which is entirely urban, exhibited the lowest TFR (4.8) and the lowest proportion currently pregnant.

Among regions, comparison of completed fertility with current fertility suggests that there has been little change and perhaps even an increase in fertility in the Eastern and Northern Regions, compared with declines in the Central and Western Regions. Likewise, fertility has declined at all levels of educational attainment. The decline has been steeper among women with secondary education.

Figure 3.2
Total Fertility Rates by Residence, Region, and Education


UDHS 1995

### 3.3 Fertility Trends

Table 3.3 examines the trend in current fertility in Uganda by comparing the results of the 1995 UDHS with those of previous surveys and censuses, in as much as they are comparable. The 1988-89 UDHS is difficult to make comparisons with since it is not representative of the entire country, having excluded nine

Table 3.3 Age-specific fertility rates from various sources
Age-specific and total fertility rates from various sources and the approximate time period to which the rates refer, Uganda 1969-1995

|  | All Uganda |  |  | Areas covered by 1988-89 UDHS ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1969 \\ \text { Census } \end{gathered}$ | 1991 <br> Census | $\begin{aligned} & 1995 \\ & \text { UDHS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1988-89 } \\ & \text { UDHS } \end{aligned}$ | 1991 <br> Census | $\begin{aligned} & 1995 \\ & \text { UDHS } \end{aligned}$ |
| Age group | 1968 | 1990 | 1992-95 | 1984-88 | 1990 | 1992-95 |
| 15-19 | 198 | 152 | 204 | 187 | 153 | 208 |
| 20-24 | 341 | 329 | 319 | 325 | 331 | 319 |
| 25-29 | 322 | 324 | 309 | 319 | 327 | 308 |
| 30-34 | 253 | 275 | 244 | 273 | 278 | 235 |
| 35-39 | 189 | 207 | 177 | 224 | 209 | 179 |
| 40-44 | 87 | 95 | 89 | 96 | 97 | 84 |
| 45-49 | 35 | 32 | 29 | 36 | 29 | 29 |
| TFR | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 6.8 |

[^7]districts in the North. However, results from both the 1991 census and the 1995 UDHS have been tabulated for the same areas covered in the 1988-89 UDHS, so as to more accurately reflect trends.

Considering the whole country, it is found that the TFR remained at just over 7 births per woman between 1968 and 1990 and then declined to 6.9 in 1992-95. The difference seems too small to indicate any significant decline in fertility. This is not surprising given that serious fertility reduction programmes in Uganda were started less than a decade before the 1995 survey and take much time to have a sizable impact. The information, however, gives a clue to the trend of current fertility levels.

For the areas covered by the 1988-89 UDHS, levels of current fertility have declined modestly over recent years, from 7.3 in 1984-88 to 6.8 in 1992-95, a drop of one-half child on average. The decline was greatest among women in their 30 s, while it appears that fertility may have risen among women age 15-19.

The fact that national levels of fertility have shown only a very slight decline, while those in the areas covered by the 1988-89 UDHS show a more sizable decline is puzzling. It is important to remember that fertility rates are subject to relatively high sampling errors, so it is best not to make strong conclusions based on them.

Table 3.4 compares TFRs by region from the 1991 Census and the 1995 UDHS. Both the adjusted and reported TFRs are given for the Census. According to the adjusted 1991 Census findings, the highest TFR was in the Western Region, while the UDHS data rank the Eastem Region as having the highest TFR. This switch in ranking may bc partially attributed to sampling variability in the survey (see Appendix B) or to the use of indirect techniques in adjusting the census data. The unadjusted (reported) TFRs from the 1991 Census are more consistent with those from the UDHS; they imply that there has been little change, if any, in all regions except Central, where fertility appears to have declined.

Table 3.4 Trends in fertility by region
Total fertility rates by region, selected sources, Uganda 1991-1995

|  | 1991 Census ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | Reported | Adjusted | 1995 <br> UDHS |
| Central | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.3 |
| Eastern | 7.2 | 6.8 | 7.4 |
| Northem | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| Western | 7.3 | 7.9 | 7.0 |
| Total | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.9 |

Note: Rates from the census refer to approximately one year before the census, i.e., 1990, while those from the 1995 UDHS refer to the three years preceding the survey.
${ }^{1}$ Source: Statistics Department, 1995b: Table A.4.28

Table 3.5 Trends in age-specific fertility rates
Age-specific fertility rates for five-year periods preceding the survey, by women's age at the time, Uganda 1995

|  | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women's <br> age | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | 198 | 188 | 201 | 214 |
| $20-24$ | 315 | 330 | 327 | 318 |
| $25-29$ | 307 | 331 | 319 | 317 |
| $30-34$ | 252 | 284 | 290 | $[269]$ |
| $35-39$ | 180 | 212 | $[259]$ | - |
| $40-44$ | 93 | $[150]$ | - | - |
| $45-49$ | $[34]$ | - | - | - |
| TFR 15-34 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.6 |

Note: Age-specific fertility rates are per 1,000 women. Estimates in brackets are truncated.

Yet another way to exarnine trends in fertility is to examine age-specific fertility rates from the 1995 UDHS for successive five-year periods preceding the survey, as given in Table 3.5. Because women age 50 and above were not interviewed in the survey, the rates are successively truncated as the number of years before the survey increases. The results show that, except for age 15-19, fertility declines marginally between the period 5-9 and 0-4 years prior to the survey. Teenage fertility gradually declines in the 5-9 years prior
to the survey, and then rises in the most recent fiveyear period. The cumulative fertility for women age $15-34$ years is fairly constant (about 5.7 births per woman) for the periods $5-19$ years prior to the survey and then reduces slightly to 5.4 births per woman in the five years preceding the survey.

Table 3.6 gives fertility rates for ever-married women by duration since first marriage. Like the rates by age, these are also truncated as the duration and period before the survey increase. The data show that fertility rates among women married less than five years have not changed significantly over time, perhaps because newly married couples tend to start their families. There is somewhat more evidence of a decline in fertility rates among women at higher marital durations, although at some durations, the pattern is inconsistent.

Table 3.6 Trends in fertility by marital duration
Fertility rates for ever-married women by duration (years) since first marriage for five-year periods preceding the survey, Uganda 1995

|  | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marriage <br> duration | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ |
| $0-4$ | 359 | 368 | 363 | 367 |
| $5-9$ | 328 | 339 | 347 | 349 |
| $10-14$ | 270 | 318 | 330 | 299 |
| $15-19$ | 235 | 272 | 263 | $[252]$ |
| $20-24$ | 155 | 190 | $[226]$ | - |
| $25-29$ | 78 | $[128]$ | - | - |

Note: Duration-specific fertility rates are per 1,000 women. Estimates in brackets are truncated.

### 3.4 Retrospective Fertility

Measures of lifetime fertility reflect the accumulation of births over the past 30 years or so, and therefore have limited relevance to current fertility levels, especially if the country has experienced a decline in fertility. In Uganda's case, as discussed earlier, there is no evidence of a significant decline. Information on lifetime fertility is useful for examining average family size across age groups as well as estimating levels of primary infertility. Lifetime fertility is also useful in understanding changes that have taken place in the age pattem of current fertility.

The percent distribution of women by age and number of children ever born (CEB) is given in Table 3.7 for all women as well as for women currently in a marital union (who constitute 73 percent of all women). The results for currently married women differ somewhat from those of all women due to the high number of women in the younger ages who have neither married nor had a birth; differences at the older ages are minimal.

The mean number of children ever born is lowest among women age 15-19 ( 0.5 live births per woman). It increases by at least one birth at each five-year age group among women under age 40, so that women have given birth to more than three children by their late 20 s and to more than six children by their late 30 s . Thereafter, the mean number of children ever born continues increasing at a slower rate, reaching the highest level of 7.7 births for women at the end of the reproductive period ( $45-49$ years). Women currently married show a similar pattern, although the figures are slightly higher.

It is notable that one-third of women age 15-19 have already given birth. The high levels of fertility prevailing in Uganda are further reflected in the fact that almost one-third of women age 45-49 have borne 10 or more children.

The percent childless among women at the end of the reproductive period is an indirect measure of primary infertility the proportion of women who are unable to bear children at all. Since voluntary childlessness is rare in Uganda, it is likely that most married women with no births are unable to bear children. Table 3.7 shows that primary sterility is low, less than 2 percent. The incidence of primary sterility seems to have declined from about 4-5 percent in the 1988-89 UDHS to 2 percent in the 1995 UDHS.

Table 3.7 Children ever born and living
Percent distribution of all women and of currently married women age $15-49$ by number of children ever born (CEB) and mean number ever born and living, according to five-year age groups, Uganda 1995

| Age group | Number of children ever born (CEB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean no. } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { CEB } \end{aligned}$ | Mean no. of living children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ |  |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 65.9 | 25.3 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,606 | 0.45 | 0.39 |
| 20-24 | 15.3 | 25.8 | 31.0 | 19.3 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.555 | 1.83 | 1.58 |
| 25-29 | 7.0 | 9.7 | 15.7 | 20.0 | 20.4 | 164 | 7.2 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,270 | 3.34 | 2.85 |
| 30-34 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 1.3 .3 | 18.5 | 16.9 | 14.8 | 8.8 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 976 | 5.06 | 4.22 |
| 35-39 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 10.4 | 13.2 | 16.6 | 14.3 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 78.3 | 6.29 | 5.19 |
| 40-44 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 10.4 | 13.4 | 14.6 | 9.8 | 22.3 | 100.0 | 499 | 6.97 | 5.55 |
| 45-49 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 13.3 | 14.0 | 31.5 | 100.0 | 380 | 7.69 | 6.15 |
| Total | 20.9 | 14.6 | 13.0 | 10.5 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 7,070 | 3.40 | 2.83 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 39.3 | 44.1 | 12.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 756 | 0.81 | 0.70 |
| 20-24 | 8.9 | 24.8 | 34.2 | 21.7 | 7.0 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | (1.) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.212 | 2.04 | 1.77 |
| 25-29 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 14.7 | 21.1 | 21.9 | 17.9 | 8.0 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,067 | 3.53 | 3.01 |
| 30. 34 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 12.4 | 19.0 | 18.8 | 16.0 | 9.5 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 810 | 5.28 | 4.42 |
| 35-39 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 6.4 | 10.2 | 13.6 | 17.5 | 15.8 | 11.4 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 656 | 6.58 | 5.43 |
| 40-44 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 10.6 | 13.5 | 15.9 | 11.5 | 24.4 | 1000 | 367 | 7.33 | 5.85 |
| 45-49 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 13.6 | 15.9 | 37.1 | 100.0 | 266 | 8.07 | 6.50 |
| Total | 10.1 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 11.8 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 5,134 | 3.95 | 3.29 |

### 3.5 Birth Intervals

Previous research has shown that short birth intervals are closely associated with poor health of children, especially during infancy. This is particularly true for babies born at intervals of less than 24 months. Thus the study of birth intervals is important in understanding the health status of young children. Table 3.8 gives the distribution of births of second and higher order that occurred in the five years preceding the survey by the number of months since the previous birth. according to background characteristics; also presented is the median number of months since last birth.

The table shows that the majority of Ugandan children ( 72 percent) are born after a "safe" birth interval ( 24 or more months apart), with 30 percent born at least 36 months after a prior birth. Nevertheless, 28 percent of non-first births occur less than 24 months after the preceding birth, with 10 percent occurring less than 18 months since the previous birth. The overall median birth interval is 29 months.

Younger women tend to have shorter birth intervals than older women. The proportion of births with intervals less than 24 months declines steeply from 47 percent among women age $15-19$ to a low of 20 percent among those age 40 and above. The median birth interval length increases with age from 25 to 35 months from the youngest to the oldest age groups, respectively. On the other hand, there is no significant differential in median birth interval by either birth order or sex of the previous child. In both cases, the differences are less than one month.

The survival status of the previous birth has an impact on the length of the birth interval. Median birth intervals for births that follow a child who died are three months shorter than those for births following a surviving child. The percentage of births occurring after a very short interval (less than 18 months) is more

## Table 3.8 Birth intervals

Percent distribution of non-first births in the five years preceding the survey by number of months since previous birth, according to demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Characteristic | Number of months since previous birth |  |  |  |  | Total | Median number of months since previous birth | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7.17 | 18-23 | 24-35 | 36-47 | 48+ |  |  |  |
| Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 23.5 | 23.9 | 39.7 | 7.9 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 24.6 | 172 |
| 20-29 | 10.7 | 19.5 | 44.3 | 15.3 | 10.1 | 100.0 | 28.3 | 3,105 |
| 30-39 | 9.3 | 15.2 | 40.4 | 19.3 | 15.8 | 100.0 | 30.7 | 2,189 |
| $40+$ | 7.3 | 12.9 | 32.2 | 20.3 | 27.3 | 100.0 | 35.0 | 471 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-3 | 10.7 | 18.3 | 42.0 | 17.0 | 12.1 | 100.0 | 29.0 | 2,387 |
| 4-6 | 9.5 | 17.2 | 42.7 | 16.2 | 14.5 | 100.0 | 29.3 | 2,151 |
| $7+$ | 10.8 | 17.0 | 40.0 | 18.0 | 14.2 | 100.0 | 29.7 | 1,398 |
| Sex of prior birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malc | 9.4 | 17.2 | 41.9 | 17.8 | 13.7 | 100.0 | 29.4 | 2,881 |
| Female | 11.1 | 17.9 | 41.7 | 16.1 | 13.3 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 3,056 |
| Survival of prior birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living | 8.4 | 17.5 | 43.5 | 17.3 | 13.4 | 100.0 | 29.7 | 5,034 |
| Dead | 20.9 | 18.1 | 32.4 | 14.9 | 13.7 | 100.0 | 26.5 | 903 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 13.8 | 21.1 | 34.5 | 14.8 | 15.8 | 100.0 | 27.9 | 651 |
| Rural | 9.8 | 17.1 | 42.7 | 17.2 | 13.2 | 100.0 | 29.4 | 5,286 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 11.3 | 19.5 | 39.6 | 16.7 | 12.9 | 100.0 | 28.7 | 1,519 |
| Eastern | 11.2 | 18.1 | 44.8 | 15.6 | 10.4 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 1,617 |
| Northern | 9.0 | 14.5 | 38.8 | 19.3 | 18.5 | 100.0 | 31.8 | 1,143 |
| Western | 9.3 | 17.5 | 42.9 | 16.9 | 13.5 | 100.0 | 29.3 | 1,657 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 9.4 | 15.3 | 39.0 | 19.4 | 16.8 | 100.0 | 31.1 | 2,054 |
| Primary | 10.4 | 18.2 | 43.8 | 16.1 | 11.5 | 100.0 | 28.8 | 3.297 |
| Secondary+ | 12.1 | 21.8 | 40.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 27.8 | 585 |
| Total | 10.3 | 17.6 | 41.8 | 16.9 | 13.5 | 100.0 | 29.3 | 5,937 |

Note: First births are excluded. The interval for multiple births is the number of months since the preceding pregnancy that ended in a live birth.
than twice as high among births whose previous sibling died than among those whose prior sibling survived. The shorter intervals for the former group is partially due to the shorter breastfeeding period for the previous birth, leading to an earlier return of ovulation and hence increased chance of pregnancy.

The median birth interval in urban areas is only slightly shorter than that in the rural areas, with a difference of one and a half rnonths. Thirty-five percent of the births in urban areas occur at intervals which are "too short" (less than 24 months), compared to 27 percent for the rural areas. Surprisingly, the percentage of births with an interval of four years or more is slightly higher for urban than rural births.

Births in the Northern Region exhibit a relatively higher median birth interval ( 32 months) than the other regions, all of which cluster around 29 months. The median length of the birth interval decreases gradually as the level of education of the mother increases, from 31 months among those with no education to 28 months among those with secondary education.

### 3.6 Age at First Birth

The age at which childbearing starts has important consequences for the overall level of fertility as well as the health and welfare of the mother and the child. Today, teenage pregnancy and motherhood is a major health and social concem. In some societies, postponement of first births due to an increase in age at marriage has contributed to overall fertility decline. However, in many societies, it is common for women to have children before getting married. Table 3.9 gives the distribution of all women by age at first birth according to age at the time of the survey.

The data show that just under half ( 39 to 46 percent) of women become mothers by the time they reach age 18 and two-thirds have had a child before they reach age 20. Although the proportion of women who had their first birth before age 15 has declined steadily from 14 percent among women age $45-49$ to 4 percent among women age 15-19, the proportion who have their first birth at ages $15-17$ has remained roughly constant at about $32-35$, excluding the youngest age group. The result is that the median age at first birth falls between 18 and 19 years for all age groups and shows no clear trend over the past three decades. Although the data from the 1988-89 UDHS are not strictly comparable since the survey covered only about 80 percent of the national population, the range of median ages at first birth was similar to the data presented in Table 3.9, strengthening the conclusion that there has been no real trend in age at first birth in Uganda.

Table 3.9 Age at first birth
Percent distribution of women 15-49 by age at first birth, according to current age, Uganda 1995

| Curtent age | Women with no births | Age at first birth |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of <br> women | Median age at first birth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<15$ | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 22-24 | 25+ |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 65.9 | 4.2 | 23.1 | 6.9 | NA | NA | NA | 100.0 | 1,606 | a |
| 20-24 | 15.3 | 7.2 | 31.9 | 27.3 | 14.2 | 4.1 | NA | 100.0 | 1,555 | 18.7 |
| 25-29 | 7.0 | 8.6 | 31.6 | 23.1 | 17.5 | 9.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 1,270 | 18.9 |
| 30-34 | 4.6 | 10.5 | 35.0 | 20.4 | 15.1 | 8.8 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 976 | 18.4 |
| 35-39 | 3.4 | 13.6 | 31.8 | 23.0 | 11.9 | 10.4 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 783 | 18.4 |
| 40.44 | 2.2 | 10.6 | 33.8 | 21.0 | 14.3 | 9.8 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 499 | 18.5 |
| 45-49 | 2.2 | 13.9 | 32.0 | 19.1 | 15.4 | 10.4 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 380 | 18.4 |

$N A=$ Not applicable
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ have had a birth by age $x$

In order to study differentials in age at first birth, Table 3.10 gives the median age at first birth for different subgroups of the population. The age group 15-19 is eliminated because less than half of these women have had a birth before age 15 .

The median age at first birth is slightly higher in urban areas than in rural areas, with a difference of 0.8 years among women $20-49$ years old. Among the regions, the median ages are quite close, with the Western and Northem Regions having medians a few months higher than the Central and Eastern Regions. The median age at first birth shows an inverse relationship with educational attainment of the mother, being as low as 18 years for women with no education and increasing to 20 years for women with secondary education.

Table 3.10 Median age at first birth
Median age at first birth among women age 20-49 years, by current age and selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ 20-49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ 25-49 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.7 | 19.4 | 19.1 | 18.7 | 18.9 | 18.2 | 19.3 | 19.1 |
| Rural | 18.6 | 18.8 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 18.5 | 18.4 | 18.5 | 18.5 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 18.7 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 18.0 | 18.5 | 18.4 |
| Eastern | 18.2 | 18.7 | 18.4 | 17.8 | 18.0 | 19.1 | 18.3 | 18.4 |
| Northem | 18.6 | 19.1 | 18.5 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 18.1 | 18.8 | 18.9 |
| Western | 19.3 | 19.3 | 18.1 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 18.6 | 19.0 | 18.8 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 18.2 | 18.1 | 17.8 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 17.7 | 18.1 | 18.0 |
| Primary | 18.5 | 18.8 | 18.5 | 18.2 | 18.4 | 18.9 | 18.5 | 18.6 |
| Secondary+ | a | 20.3 | 19.8 | 19.6 | 19.7 | * | a | 20.0 |
| Total | 18.7 | 18.9 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.5 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 18.6 |

Note: An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ have had a birth by age $x$

Urban areas have shown a steady increase in the median age at first birth from 18 to 20 years over the 30 years prior to the survey, while the median age at first birth in the Central Region seems to have increased slightly from 18 to about 19 years. All the other population subgroups show no clear pattern over time. These time series should be interpreted with care, due to the small numbers of women in some subgroups.

### 3.7 Teenage Pregnancy and Motherhood

As already mentioned, teenage pregnancy is important because of its association with higher morbidity and mortality for both the mother and child. In addition, teenage pregnancy has been associated with termination of education of the mothers, which in itself has a spiral effect on the socioeconomic status of the individual, and hence, the child. Table 3.11 and Figure 3.3 give the proportion of women age 15-19 years who have begun childbearing, separating those who are already mothers from those who are pregnant with their first child.

Overall, 43 percent of teenagers have begun childbearing, with 34 percent having had a child already and 9 percent carrying their first child. As expected, the percentage who have started the reproductive process increases with age due to longer exposure, from 8 percent among the 15 -year-old teenagers to 71 percent-more than nine times higher-by the age of 19 .

Table 3.11 further shows that overall teenage parenthood is higher among rural women ( 45 percent) than their urban counterparts ( 31 percent). This is true for both the proportion who are already mothers as well as the proportion who are pregnant with their first child. Higher school attendance among urban adolescents, which tends to discourage early childbearing, may account for the lower levels of motherhood and pregnancy among urban teenagers; however, it is also possible that higher school attendance is due to the avoidance of early parenthood.

Table 3.11 Adolescent pregnancy and motherhood
Percentage of women 15-19 who are mothers or pregnant with their first child, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Percentage who are: |  | Percentage who have begun childbearing | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mothers | Pregnant with firs! child |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 7.7 | 290 |
| 16 | 12.9 | 9.2 | 22.1 | 340 |
| 17 | 33.1 | 10.2 | 43.3 | 281 |
| 18 | 51.6 | 13.1 | 64.7 | 392 |
| 19 | 64.3 | 6.5 | 70.8 | 304 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.5 | 5.0 | 30.6 | 277 |
| Rural | 35.9 | 9.5 | 45.4 | 1,329 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 35.0 | 7.7 | 42.7 | 502 |
| Eastern | 39.7 | 11.4 | 51.1 | 350 |
| Northern | 34.0 | 7.5 | 41.4 | 344 |
| Western | 28.4 | 8.8 | 37.2 | 411 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 38.9 | 9.5 | 48.5 | 266 |
| Primary | 37.5 | 9.8 | 47.3 | 1,080 |
| Secondary+ | 15.1 | 3.6 | 18.7 | 261 |
| Total | 34.1 | 8.7 | 42.9 | 1,606 |

Figure 3.3
Adolescent Childbearing


On a regional basis, the Western Region has the lowest prevalence of teenage childbearing ( 37 percent), while the Eastern Region has the highest level ( 51 percent). The level of teenage childbearing is strongly associated with the level of education. Only 19 percent of teenagers who have secondary education have begun childbearing, compared to 49 percent for those with no education and 47 percent for those with primary education. This relationship is partially due to regulations that dictate the automatic discontinuation of schooling for pregnant women.

Table 3.12 shows the distribution of teenagers by age and number of children ever born. The table shows that about two-thirds of the teenagers have never given birth. Most of the teenagers who have given birth have had one child; only 9 percent of teenagers have had more than one birth. The likelihood that a teenager will have had more than one birth increases with age, reaching a level of 24 percent among 19-yearolds. The mean number of children ever bom to teenagers also increases with age from less than 0.1 children for women age 15 years to almost one child by age 19 .

## Table 3.12 Children born to adolescent women

Percent distribution of women 15-19 by number of children ever born (CEB), according to single years of age, Uganda 1995

|  | Number of <br> children ever born |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> number <br> of |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 0 | 1 | $2+$ | Total | Number <br> of <br> women |  |
| 15 | 95.4 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.05 | 290 |
| 16 | 87.1 | 11.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 0.14 | 340 |
| 17 | 66.9 | 29.4 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 0.37 | 281 |
| 18 | 48.4 | 37.7 | 13.9 | 100.0 | 0.70 | 392 |
| 19 | 35.7 | 40.6 | 23.8 | 100.0 | 0.93 | 304 |
| Total | 65.9 | 25.3 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 0.45 | 1,606 |

## CHAPTER 4

## FERTILITY REGULATION

Knowledge of family planning methods and sources to obtain them are crucial elements in the decision of whether to adopt a contraceptive method and the choice of which method to use. A positive attitude towards family planning is an additional prerequisite for use. Data collected in the 1995 UDHS on contraceptive knowledge, attitudes, behaviour, and sources are presented in this chapter. While the focus is placed on women, some results from the men's survey will also be presented, since men play an important role in the realisation of reproductive goals.

### 4.1 Knowledge of Family Planning Methods

The level of knowledge of family planning methods was measured in two ways in the UDHS. Respondents were first asked to name ways or methods by which a couple could delay or avoid pregnancy. When a respondent failed to mention a particular method spontaneously, the interviewer described the method and asked if the respondent knew it. For each method recognised, respondents were asked if they had ever used it. Information was collected for eight modern methods: the pill, IUD, injectables, Norplant, vaginal methods (foam, jelly, cream, sponge, or diaphragm), condom, and female and male sterilisation; and three traditional methods: the rhythm method, natural family planning method, and withdrawal. In addition, provision was made in the questionnaire to record any other methods named spontaneously by respondents. Both prompted and unprompted knowledge are combined in the report.

Table 4.1 shows the percentage of all women and men, currently married women and men, and sexually active unmarried women and men who have heard about specific contraceptive methods. Knowledge of contraceptive methods is nearly universal, with 92 percent of all women age 15-49 and 96 percent of all men age 15-54 knowing at least one method of family planning. Over 90 percent of women and men have heard about a modern method. Women know about slightly more methods on average than men do ( 4.9 vs . 4.6); however, men are more likely to know about male methods such as condoms and male sterilisation, though oddly men are less likely than women to know about withdrawal.

For currently married women, the pill ( 83 percent) and condom ( 78 percent) are the most widely known modern methods, followed by female sterilisation ( 72 percent), injectables ( 71 percent), and the IUD (31 percent). The least recognised modern methods are male sterilisation ( 19 percent) and vaginal methods (14 percent). The proportion of women who recognise Norplant is very low ( 6 percent), presumably because Norplant was only introduced in 1993 on a trial basis at Mulago hospital and only three sites (Mulago, Jinja and Mbarara hospitals) were providing the service at the time of the survey.

Among currently married men, the most widely recognised method is the condom ( 90 percent), followed by the pill ( 85 percent), female sterilisation ( 71 percent), injectables ( 65 percent), male sterilisation ( 28 percent), and the IUD ( 23 percent). Vaginal methods and Norplant are not widely recognised.

Traditional methods are less widely recognised than modern methods by both women and men, although men's knowledge of traditional methods was relatively higher. Three-fifths of married women and four-fifths of married men say they know of at least one traditional method. The most widely known traditional method is periodic abstinence, which is recognised by 54 percent of married women and 79 percent of married men. Although withdrawal is considered to be a "male method," it is known by a larger proportion of married women than men ( 34 vs .12 percent).

Table 4.1 Knowledge of contraceptive methods
Percentage of all women 15-49, of currently married women, of sexually active unmarried women, and of women with no sexual experience, and the percentage of all men 15-54, of currently married men, and of sexually active unmarried men who know specific contraceptive methods, by specific methods, Uganda 1995

| Contraceptive method | Women who know method |  |  |  | Men who know method |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All women | Currently married women | Sexually active unmarried women | No sexual experience | All men | Currently married men | ```Sexually active unmarried men``` |
| Any method | 92.0 | 93.4 | 98.2 | 76.8 | 96.2 | 98.2 | 97.9 |
| Any modern method | 90.4 | 91.6 | 98.2 | 74.9 | 93.9 | 95.2 | 97.0 |
| Pill | 82.1 | 83.0 | 95.5 | 62.4 | 83.1 | 84.5 | 90.3 |
| IUD | 30.4 | 30.9 | 42.7 | 15.3 | 19.5 | 22.5 | 23.0 |
| Injectables | 69.2 | 70.8 | 82.8 | 44.0 | 60.5 | 65.3 | 69.6 |
| Diaphragm/foam/jelly | 14.3 | 14.3 | 20.7 | 9.7 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 21.5 |
| Condom | 78.0 | 77.9 | 93.8 | 66.2 | 88.4 | 89.5 | 94.1 |
| Female sterilisation | 69.5 | 71.7 | 79.8 | 43.0 | 67.7 | 71.4 | 80.9 |
| Male sterilisation | 17.7 | 18.5 | 16.4 | 10.1 | 25.7 | 27.9 | 31.0 |
| Norplant | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 11.7 |
| Any traditional method | 60.3 | 61.6 | 69.0 | 39.0 | 72.5 | 81.4 | 71.6 |
| Periodic abstinence | 53.8 | 54.1 | 61.3 | 37.3 | 69.5 | 78.5 | 66.2 |
| Withdrawal | 31.8 | 33.6 | 41.7 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 11.5 | 12.9 |
| Natural family planning | 11.4 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 5.3 | 13.4 | 14.8 | 13.6 |
| Folk method | 24.2 | 26.2 | 27.9 | 9.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| Any traditionalfolk method | 67.5 | 69.7 | 74.3 | 42.3 | 72.5 | 81.5 | 71.6 |
| Number of women/men | 7,070 | 5,134 | 200 | 685 | 1,996 | 1,252 | 140 |
| Mean number of methods | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.2 |

Table 4.2 shows the correspondence between the contraceptive knowledge of husbands and wives ( 1,109 couples) in the UDHS sample. Knowledge of at least one method by both spouses is high (92 percent). For couples where only one partner knows of a method, husbands are more likely to know the method than their wives; exceptions are the IUD, injectables, withdrawal and folk methods, which wives are more likely than their husbands to know.

Table 4.2 Knowledge of contraceptive methods among couples
Percent distribution of couples by contraceptive knowledge, according to specific methods, Uganda 1995

|  | Both <br> know <br> method | Only <br> Contraceptive <br> method | Only <br> knows <br> method | wife <br> knows <br> method | Neither <br> knows <br> method |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table 4.3 presents the percentage of currently married respondents who know of at least one modern method of contraception according to background characteristics. The data reveal that in general there are nolarge differences in knowledge of methods by background characteristics of currently married respondents. Differentials by region show that knowledge of methods among currently married respondents is highest in the Central Region, while those in the Northem Region are least likely to know of a method, especially a modern method. Respondents from the DISH districts are slightly more likely to know of contraceptive methods than respondents from non-DISH districts. The level of education is positively associated with knowledge of contraceptives. Knowledge of at least one method is universal among respondents with secondary or higher education.

Table 4.3 Knowledge of contraceptive methods $b_{j}$ background characteristics
Percentage of currently married respondents who know at least one contraceptive method and at least one modern contraceptive method by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Contraceptive method | Wornen |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Know } \\ \text { any } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | Know modern method | Number of women | $\begin{gathered} \text { Know } \\ \text { any } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | Know modern method | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 93.0 | 91.4 | 756 | (100.0) | (89.7) | 38 |
| 20-24 | 94.6 | 93.3 | 1,212 | 96.0 | 92.4 | 180 |
| 25-29 | 93.7 | 92.4 | 1,067 | 99.5 | 98.5 | 262 |
| 30-34 | 94.6 | 92.6 | 810 | 98.5 | 95.0 | 230 |
| 35-39 | 91.6 | 89.5 | 656 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 219 |
| 40-44 | 93.8 | 91.4 | 367 | 97.2 | 92.8 | 144 |
| 45-49 | 88.0 | 82.8 | 266 | 99.0 | 92.1 | 96 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | 95.3 | 90.9 | 83 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 97.9 | 96.7 | 612 | 99.4 | 99.2 | 156 |
| Rural | 92.8 | 90.9 | 4,522 | 98.1 | 94.6 | 1,095 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 99.3 | 98.4 | 1,242 | 99.4 | 99.0 | 317 |
| Eastern | 93.9 | 92.1 | 1,399 | 99.2 | 98.0 | 318 |
| Northern | 84.5 | 79.4 | 1,115 | 97.5 | 86.5 | 274 |
| Western | 94.7 | 94.6 | 1,378 | 96.8 | 96.0 | 343 |
| DISH area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese/Mbarara (I) | 92.3 | 92.0 | 402 | 97.9 | 97.0 | 113 |
| Masaka/Rakai (II) | 99.6 | 99.6 | 298 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 71 |
| Luwero/Masindi (III) | 97.8 | 97.1 | 167 | (100.0) | (94.4) | 44 |
| Kamuli/Jinja (IV) | 98.2 | 97.6 | 274 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 60 |
| Kampala (V) | 98.4 | 96.7 | 282 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 71 |
| Total DISH | 96.8 | 96.2 | 1,423 | 98.8 | 97.8 | 359 |
| Total non-DISH | 92.1 | 89.8 | 3,711 | 98.0 | 94.2 | 893 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 86.2 | 82.8 | 1,792 | 96.4 | 85.6 | 150 |
| Primary | 96.7 | 95.6 | 2,823 | 98.0 | 95.4 | 825 |
| Secondary+ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 520 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 277 |
| Total | 93.4 | 91.6 | 5,134 | 98.2 | 95.2 | 1,252 |

[^8]
### 4.2 Trends in Contraceptive Knowledge

Knowledge of contraceptive methods has increased considerably since the 1988-89 UDHS. In 198889 , only 82 percent of all women had heard of at least one family planning method, compared with 93 percent ${ }^{1}$ in 1995. There has also been a large increase over the last six years in the proportion of women who know specific family planning methods. For example, the proportion of women who have heard of condoms has increased from 33 percent in 1988-89 to 79 percent in 1995 and the proportion who have heard of injectables increased from 40 percent to 72 percent during the same period (Table 4.4).

| Table 4.4 Trends in knowledge of family |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of all women wh contraceptive methods, Uga 1995 | o know nda, 1988 |  |
| Contraceptive method | 1988-89 <br> UDHS | $\begin{gathered} 1995 \\ \text { UDHS }^{\text {a }} \end{gathered}$ |
| Any method | 81.9 | 92.6 |
| Any modern method | 76.5 | 90.9 |
| Pill | 66.4 | 84.5 |
| IUD | 20.2 | 33.3 |
| Injectables | 39.7 | 72.4 |
| Condom | 32.5 | 78.8 |
| Diaphragm/foam/jelly | 11.3 | 14.8 |
| Female sterilisation | 59.1 | 68.9 |
| Male sterilisation | 8.2 | 19.6 |
| Norplant | NA | 5.8 |
| Any traditional method | 58.6 | 59.1 |
| Periodic abstinence | 42.6 | 52.0 |
| Withdrawal | 20.5 | 32.6 |
| Other methods ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 31.1 | 25.3 |
| Any traditional/folk method | NA | 67.1 |
| Number of women | 4,730 | 5,946 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Analysis is restricted to 1988-89 UDHS areas.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes herbs and other folk methods. NA = Not applicable

### 4.3 Ever Use of Family Planning Methods

All women and men interviewed in the 1995 UDHS who said that they had heard of a method of family planning were asked if they had ever used it. Ever use of family planning methods thus refers to use of a method at any time with no distinction between past and current use. Table 4.5 shows the percentage of women who have ever used family planning, according to method and age. One-third of currently married women reported having used a method of family planning at some time; 16 percent have used a modern method, 19 percent have used a traditional method, and 8 percent have used a folk method.

Among currently married women, the pill is the modern method that has been most frequently ever used ( 10 percent), followed by injectables ( 5 percent) and condoms ( 4 percent). Sexually active unmarried respondents are more likely to report ever use of modern methods ( 37 percent) than married respondents ( 16 percent); this difference is explained by much greater use of condoms and to a lesser extent the pill, among unmarried women. Ever use of traditional methods, mainly periodic abstinence, is high among all groups of women, and among currently married women, exceeds the level of ever use of modern methods.

[^9]| Percentage of all women, currently married women, and sexually active unmarried women who have ever used any contraceptive method, by specific method and age, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | odem metho |  |  |  |  | Tradition | method |  |  |  |  |
| Age | $\begin{gathered} \text { Any } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | Any moden method | Pill | IUD | Injectables | Diaphragm/ Foam/ Jelly | Condom | Female sterilisation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Any } \\ \text { traditional } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | Periodic abstinence | Withdrawal | Natural family planning | Folk method | Any traditional/folk method | Number of women |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 16.5 | 7.2 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 8.9 | 1.4 | 0.8 |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 33.4 | 16.3 | 9.1 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 17.4 | 6.0 | 0.8 1.5 | 1.6 5.1 | 11.3 24.0 | $\begin{array}{r}1,606 \\ 1.555 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 25-29 | 37.2 | 20.8 | 15.1 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 0.5 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 20.4 | 17.5 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 24.0 24.3 | 1,555 1.270 |
| 30-34 | 40.6 | 21.0 | 13.7 | 1.3 | 6.9 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 20.6 | 16.5 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 6.1 8.8 | 24.3 26.2 | 1,270 |
| 35-39 | 36.8 | 18.6 | 10.8 | 1.7 | 8.8 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 17.0 | 13.4 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 8.8 10.3 | 24.2 | 976 783 |
| 40-44 | 36.6 | 17.0 | 9.7 | 1.3 | 7.2 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 19.7 | 16.3 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 10.3 | 24.0 26.9 | 783 499 |
| 45-49 | 33.7 | 11.4 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 15.7 | 13.4 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 13.7 | 26.9 | 489 380 |
| Total | 31.9 | 15.7 | 9.3 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 17.3 | 14.6 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 21.8 | 7,070 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 24.2 | 8.6 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 12.9 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 17.4 | 756 |
| 20-24 | 32.1 | 14.4 | 9.3 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 16.6 | 6.2 | 1.6 | 5.7 | 23.8 | 1,212 |
| 25-29 $30-34$ | 34.5 40.0 | 18.1 | 13.7 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 19.2 | 16.0 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 23.3 | 1,067 |
| 35-39 | 37.1 | 19.7 | 13.3 98 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 20.7 | 16.4 | 5.9 | 1.8 | 9.2 | 26.4 | 810 |
| 40-44 | 37.9 | 17.3 | 9.2 | 1.4 | 8.3 7.1 | 0.6 0.8 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 17.8 | 14.4 | 6.0 | 1.3 | 11.2 | 25.5 | 656 |
| 45-49 | 34.7 | 9.6 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 5.3 4.9 | 19.2 15.9 | 15.3 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 12.6 | 28.2 | 367 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 33.9 | 15.5 | 9.8 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 18.6 | 15.4 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 7.8 | 23.9 | 5,134 |
| SEXUALLY ACTIVE UNMARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 51.5 | 37.0 | 17.3 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 26.3 | 0.8 | 24.9 | 21.2 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 27.4 | 200 |

### 4.4 Current Use of Family Planning

The level of current use of family planning is one of the indicators most frequently used to assess the success of family planning programme activities. It is also widely used as a measure in the analysis of the determinants of fertility. This section focuses on the levels and differentials in family planning use among population sub-groups with particular emphasis on the method mix among users. Trends in family planning use in Uganda are also described. Information on the service providers from which users obtained methods is also presented.

The contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR)--the percentage of currently married women who are using a method of family planning-is 15 percent (Table 4.6.1). Just over half of the current users are using a modern method. The CPR for modern methods is 8 percent, while 4 percent and 3 percent of currently married women were using traditional and folk methods, respectively (Figure 4.1).

The most popular methods are periodic abstinence, the pill and injectables, which are each currently used by about 3 percent of married women. One percent of currently married women have been sterilised. Modern method use rises with age from 4 percent among married women age 15-19 to a peak of 12 percent among those age 30-34, after which it falls to 6 percent among women 45-49 years.

Table 4.6.1 also shows that current use of modern methods is more than three times higher among sexually active unmarried women ( 27 percent) than among currently married women ( 8 percent). The difference is largely attributable to the much greater use of condoms among unmarried women ( 15 percent) than currently married women ( 1 percent). This suggests that the intention for extramarital contraceptive use involves more than pregnancy prevention and probably indicates motivation to avoid sexually transmitted diseases, espccially human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).

Data on current use of contraception by male respondents are presented in Table 4.6.2. The use of family planning method by men is higher than that by women. The CPR for any method for currently married men age $15-54$ is 25 percent, and for modern methods the CPR is 10 percent. About one-third of sexually active unmarried men are using a modern method. Like sexually active unmarried women, sexually active unmarried men reported much higher levels of condomuse ( 32 percent) than their married counterparts (3 percent). In fact, they seem to use condoms almost exclusively, reporting less reliance on the pill, periodic abstinence, and folk methods than married men. Such heavy reliance on the condom may represent differing reproductive and health (disease prevention) strategies among the unmarried and married.

The fact that sexually active unmarried women are reporting higher levels of pill use (7 percent) than their male counterparts (less than 1 percent) could mean that these men are not aware of their partners' pill use. Another discrepancy is apparent in the reported level of use of periodic abstinence, which is considerably higher among married men than married women. Higher levels of use of traditional methods among men than women is common, especially in East Africa (Ezeh, et al., 1996:19, 20) and may be due to misreporting by men of other method types for sexual abstinence, such as periodic abstinence. Of course, there is no reason to expect complete correspondence in contraceptive use between samples of married men and married women, since respondents are not confined to reporting about contraceptive use only with their spouses.

## Table 4.6.1 Current use of contraception: women

Percent distribution of all women, currently married women, and sexually active unmarried women who are currently using a contraceptive method by specific method, according to age, Uganda 1995

| Age | Any method | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Folk method | Not currently using | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | Injectables | Condom | Female sterilisation | Any traditional method | Periodic abstinence | Withdrawal | Natural family planning |  |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 92.8 | 100.0 | 1,606 |
| 20-24 | 13.0 | 6.3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 87.0 | 100.0 | 1,555 |
| 25-29 | 14.3 | 9.0 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 1,270 |
| 30-34 | 19.6 | 11.5 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 80.4 | 100.0 | 976 |
| 35-39 | 17.2 | 10.4 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 82.8 | 100.0 | 783 |
| 40-44 | 14.0 | 8.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 86.0 | 100.0 | 499 |
| 45-49 | 13.3 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 86.7 | 100.0 | 380 |
| Total | 13.4 | 7.4 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 86.6 | 100.0 | 7,070 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 9.9 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 90.1 | 100.0 | 756 |
| 20-24 | 12.2 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 87.8 | 100.0 | 1,212 |
| 25-29 | 13.4 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 86.6 | 100.0 | 1,067 |
| 30-34 | 20.7 | 11.8 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 79.3 | 100.0 | 810 |
| 35-39 | 18.6 | 10.9 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 81.4 | 100.0 | 656 |
| 40-44 | 17.6 | 10.6 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 82.4 | 100.0 | 367 |
| 45-49 | 16.0 | 5.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 84.0 | 100.0 | 266 |
| Total | 14.8 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 5,134 |
| SEXUALLY ACTIVE UNMARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 35.6 | 26.5 | 7.2 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 15.4 | 0.8 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 64.4 | 100.0 | 200 |

## Table 4.6.2 Current use of contraception: men

Percent distribution of all men, currently married men, and sexually active unmarried men who are currently using a contraceptive method by specific method, according to age, Uganda 1995

|  |  | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Folk method | Not currently using | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Any method | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | Injectables | Condom | Female sterilisation | Any traditional method | Periodic abstinence | Withdrawal | Natural family planning |  |  |  |  |


| ALL MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | 7.8 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 92.2 | 100.0 | 387 |
| 20-24 | 24.5 | 15.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 13.2 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 75.5 | 100.0 | 367 |
| 25-29 | 19.7 | 9.7 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 80.3 | 100.0 | 359 |
| 30-34 | 28.8 | 10.8 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 17.0 | 16.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 71.2 | 100.0 | 259 |
| 35-39 | 27.0 | 13.6 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 73.0 | 100.0 | 250 |
| 40-44 | 20.3 | 11.2 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 79.7 | 100.0 | 162 |
| 45-49 | 21.1 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 118 |
| 50-54 | 13.7 | 9.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 86.3 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Total | 20.2 | 10.4 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 0.9 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 79.8 | 100.0 | 1,996 |


|  | CURRENTLY MARRIED MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | (28.8) | (10.5) | (7.6) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (2.9) | (0.0) | (18.3) | (18.3) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (71.2) | 100.0 | 38 |
| 20-24 | 25.0 | 7.8 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 00 | 14.4 | 13.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 180 |
| 25-29 | 22.5 | 8.8 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 10.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 77.5 | 100.0 | 262 |
| 30-34 | 29.8 | 10.1 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 19.0 | 18.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 70.2 | 100.0 | 230 |
| 35-39 | 29.8 | 14.5 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 10.9 | 10.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 70.2 | 100.0 | 219 |
| 40-44 | 21.0 | 10.8 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 79.0 | 100.0 | 144 |
| 45-49 | 24.4 | 8.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 6.2 | 75.6 | 100.0 | 96 |
| 50-54 | 15.3 | 10.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 84.7 | 100.0 | 83 |
| Total | 25.2 | 10.3 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 12.3 | 11.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 74.8 | 100.0 | 1,252 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | EXUAL | ACTIV | UNAR | D MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 34.5 | 32.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 65.5 | 100.0 | 140 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Figure 4.1

## Current Use of Specific Contraceptive Methods

 among Currently Married Women

Note: Supply Methods include the Pill (2.6\%) and the Condom (0.8\%); Clinical Methods include Injectables (2.5\%) and the IUD (0.4\%).

Some women are much more likely to be using contraception than others (see Table 4.7.1 and Figure 4.2). Urban women are much more likely to be using contraceptive methods ( 35 percent) than rural women ( 12 percent). The difference is most pronounced for modern method use ( 28 vs .5 percent, respectively), while urban and rural women are almost equally likely to use traditional and folk methods ( $6-7$ percent).

There are large differences in levels of contraceptive usc by region. One-quarter of currently married women in the Central Region are current users, compared to less than 14 percent of currently married in other regions. Modern method use is highest in the Central Region ( 16 percent) and lowest in the Northern Region ( 3 percent), where use of traditional methods is the highest ( 8 percent). Over 40 percent of married women living in Kampala District (Group V of DISH areas) are using contraceptive methods, compared with only 7 percent in Group I (Kasese and Mbarara Districts). Overall, twice the proportion of women living in DISH districts are using modem methods as women living in non-DISH districts.

There are large differentials in current use by level of education. Eight percent of currently married women with no formal education are currently using a method, compared with 15 percent with some primary education, and 38 percent of those with some secondary education. The differentials are similar for modern method use.

Contraceptive use rises with the number of living children. It is expected that once individuals or couples achieve their reproductive goals, they would be sufficiently motivated to use a family planning method. The percentage of currently married women using any method increases rapidly from 5 percent among women with no children to 20 percent among those with four or more children. The results confirm that few women in Uganda begin to adopt contraception seriously until after they have had several children, perhaps so as to be sure of their survival.

## Table 4.7.1 Current use of contraception by background characteristics: women

Percent distribution of currently married women by contraceptive method currently used, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Any method | Modem method |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Folk method | Not currently using | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | Injectables | Condom | Female sterilisation | Auy traditional method | Periodic abstinence | Withdrawal | Natural family planning |  |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uiban | 34.5 | 28.1 | 10.5 | 2.2 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 65.5 | 100.0 | 612 |
| Rural | 12.2 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 87.8 | 100.0 | 4,522 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 25.0 | 16.2 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 4.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 1,242 |
| Eastern | 11.4 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 88.6 | 100.0 | 1,399 |
| Northem | 13.6 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 86.4 | 100.0 | 1,115 |
| Western | 10.3 | 6.9 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 89.7 | 100.0 | 1.378 |
| DISH area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese/Mbarara (1) | 7.4 | 5.7 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 92.6 | 100.0 | 402 |
| Masaka/Rakai (11) | 14.5 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 85.5 | 100.0 | 298 |
| Luwero/Masindi (III) | 15.9 | 9.3 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 84.1 | 100.0 | 167 |
| Kamuli/Jinja (IV) | 16.7 | 9.0 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 274 |
| Kampala (V) | 40.5 | 34.9 | 13.5 | 2.9 | 8.2 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 59.5 | 100.0 | 282 |
| Total DISH | 18.2 | 12.5 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 81.8 | 100.0 | 1,423 |
| Total non-DISH | 13.5 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 86.5 | 100.0 | 3,711 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 8.3 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 1,792 |
| Primary | 14.8 | 7.8 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 2,823 |
| Secondary+ | 37.6 | 25.5 | 10.1 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 62.4 | 100.0 | 520 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 627 |
| 1 | 9.7 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 90.3 | 100.0 | 901 |
| 2 | 15.6 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 84.4 | 100.0 | 834 |
| 3 | 13.7 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 86.3 | 100.0 | 690 |
| 4+ | 20.1 | 11.5 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 79.9 | 100.0 | 2,081 |
| Total | 14.8 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 85.2 | 100.0 | 5,134 |

## Table 4.7.2 Current use of contraception by background characteristics: men

Percent distribution of currently married men by contraceptive method currently used, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Any method | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Folk method | Not currently using | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | Injectables | Condom | Female sterilisation | Any traditional method | Periodic abstinence | Withdmwal | Natural family planning |  |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 42.0 | 31.7 | 12.1 | 1.3 | 6.3 | 8.3 | 2.9 | 8.7 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 58.0 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Rural | 22.7 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 12.8 | 11.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 77.3 | 100.0 | 1,095 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 26.7 | 19.4 | 5.9 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 73.3 | 100.0 | 317 |
| Eastern | 25.0 | 10.2 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 318 |
| Northem | 34.1 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 26.5 | 25.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 65.9 | 100.0 | 274 |
| Western | 16.7 | 7.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 343 |
| DISH area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese/Mbarara (I) | 12.7 | 6.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 87.3 | 100.0 | 113 |
| Masaka/Rakai (II) | 20.7 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 8.8 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 79.3 | 100.0 | 71 |
| Luwero/Masindi (III) | (13.9) | (11.4) | (3.8) | (0.0) | (5.1) | (2.5) | (0.0) | (2.5) | (2.5) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (86.1) | 100.0 | 44 |
| Kamuli/Jinja (IV) | 19.2 | 8.4 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.8 | 100.0 | 60 |
| Kampala (V) | 41.0 | 37.2 | 14.1 | 1.3 | 102 | 9.0 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.0 | 100.0 | 71 |
| Total DISH | 21.1 | 13.9 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 359 |
| Total non-DISH | 26.8 | 8.8 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 14.7 | 13.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 73.2 | 100.0 | 893 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 10.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 89.4 | 100.0 | 150 |
| Primary | 22.3 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 77.7 | 100.0 | 825 |
| Secondary+ | 41.6 | 25.9 | 8.4 | 0.9 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 2.3 | 13.7 | 12.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 58.4 | 100.0 | 277 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 7.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.4 | 100.0 | 121 |
| 1 | 28.4 | 9.8 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 17.1 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 71.6 | 100.0 | 185 |
| 2 | 25.0 | 9.5 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 10.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 176 |
| 3 | 23.2 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 76.8 | 100.0 | 147 |
| 4+ | 28.1 | 13.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 12.3 | 10.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 71.9 | 100.0 | 622 |
| Total | 25.2 | 10.3 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 12.3 | 11.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 74.8 | 100.0 | 1,252 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

Figure 4.2
Contraceptive Use of Currently Married Women 15-49 by Background Characteristics


Table 4.7.2 shows the percent distribution of married men age 15-54 by the contraceptive method currently used, according to background characteristics. The differentials in contraceptive use by men resemble those among women. Men in urban areas are more likely to use contraceptive methods, especially modern methods, than their counterparts in rural areas. Conversely, use of traditional methods is more common in rural areas than in urban areas. There are quite large differences in the prevalence of current contraceptive use among men in the various regions. For example, 19 percent of married men in the Central Region are using modern family planning methods, compared with only 4 percent in the Northern Region; 7 percent of married men in the Western Region and 10 percent of those in the Eastern Region are using modern contraception. Men living in the DISH districts are more likely to use any method but less likely to use modern methods than those living in non-DISH districts. Modern contraceptive use increases with increasing educational attainment, from 2 percent of married men with no formal education, to 7 percent of those with some primary education, and to 26 percent of those with at least some secondary education.

The contraceptive prevalence rate in Uganda has tripled over a six-year period, rising from 5 percent in the areas of the country covered by the 1988-89 UDHS to 16 percent in 1995 for these same areas (see Table 4.8 and Figure 4.3). ${ }^{2}$ The results not only show the overall increase, but also document the changes that have occurred with the method mix. Use of modern methods has increased faster than overall use, from 3 percent in 1988-89 to 9 percent in 1995. Use of traditional methods increased from 2 percent to 4 percent. Methods which have increased the fastest are the pill, injectables, and periodic abstinence.

[^10]| Table 4.8 Trends in current use of contraception |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of currently married women who were using specific contraceptive methods at the time of the survey, Uganda, 1988-89 and 1995 |  |  |
| Contraceptive method | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1988-89 } \\ \text { UDHS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1995 \\ \text { UDHS }^{1} \end{gathered}$ |
| Any method | 4.9 | 15.7 |
| Any modern method | 2.5 | 8.9 |
| Pill | 1.1 | 3.1 |
| IUD | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Injectables | 0.4 | 2.8 |
| Condom | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Female sterilisation | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Any traditional method | 2.4 | 3.8 |
| Periodic abstinence | 1.6 | 3.0 |
| Withdrawal | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| Natural family planning | NA | 0.2 |
| Other methods | 0.4 | 3.0 |
| Number of women | 3,180 | 4,238 |
| ${ }^{1}$ The 1995 UDHS figures are calculated for 1988-89 UDHS areas. <br> NA = Not applicable <br> Source: Kaijuka et al., 1989:33 |  |  |

Figure 4.3
Trends in Current Contraceptive Use Among Currently Married Women 15-49


Note: 1995 UDHS data were adjusted for 1988-89 UDHS areas.

### 4.5 Number of Children at First Use of Family Planning

Family planning methods may be used for either spacing births or limiting family size. The 1995 UDHS included questions on the number of children the woman had when she first used contraception. These data enable an examination of the cohort changes in the timing of adopting contraceptive use. Table 4.9 shows the distribution of ever-married women by age group and the number of children the women had when they first used contraception.

The results indicate that Ugandan women are increasingly adopting family planning at an earlier stage of the family building process. Younger cohorts of women reported first use at lower parities than older cohorts of women. For example, the oldest cohorts (age 45-49) of ever-married women reported first using contraception after having a median of 4.6 births, compared with $1-2$ births among the youngest cohorts (under age 30). From another perspective, 15 percent of ever-married women age 15-19 started contracepting before the birth of their first child, compared with only 3 percent of the 45-49 cohort. This pattern may also be a reflection of a recent increase in availability of family planning services.

Table 4.9 Number of children at first use of contraception
Percent distribution of ever-married women by number of living children at the time of first use of contraception, and median number of children at tirst use, according to current age, Uganda 1995

| Current age | Never used contraception | Number of living children at time of first use of contraception |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | Median number of children at first use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ | Missing |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 76.0 | 15.0 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 801 | 0.8 |
| 20-24 | 67.7 | 9.2 | 12.8 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,365 | 1.5 |
| 25-29 | 64.0 | 8.2 | 10.4 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,196 | 1.9 |
| 30-34 | 59.8 | 3.5 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 15.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 957 | 3.3 |
| 35-39 | 63.2 | 2.6 | 7.6 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 772 | 3.9 |
| 40.44 | 63.3 | 2.7 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 498 | 4.0 |
| 45-49 | 66.4 | 3.4 | 8.1 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 370 | 4.6 |
| Total | 65.8 | 7.1 | 9.3 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 8.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 5,959 | 2.1 |

### 4.6 Effect of Breastfeeding on Conception

Information on knowledge of the contraceptive effect of breastfeeding as perceived by women is shown in Table 4.10. Over half of currently married Ugandan women believe that breastfeeding does not affect the chance of a woman becoming pregnant. Twenty percent correctly report that breastfeeding can reduce the risk of pregnancy, while 15 percent say that it depends. Differentials in knowledge of the contraceptive effect of breastfeeding by age group, place of residence and region are not large.

Only 18 percent of currently married women have used breastfeeding in the past to avoid pregnancy and 8 percent are currently relying on breastfeeding as contraception. Seven percent of women meet the criteria for use of the lactational amenorrhoeic method (LAM) ${ }^{3}$ of family planning.

[^11]Table 4.10 Perceived contraceptive effect of breastfeeding
Percent distribution of currently married women by perceived risk of pregnancy associated with breastfeeding, percentage who rely on breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy, and percentage who meet lactational amenorrhoeic method (LAM) criteria, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Perceived risk of pregnancy associated with breastfeeding |  |  |  |  | Total | Reliance on breastfeeding to avoid pregnancy |  | Meet LAM criteria | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unchanged | Increased | Decreased | Depends | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know/ } \\ & \text { Missing } \end{aligned}$ |  | Previously | Currently |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 55.5 | 2.2 | 18.5 | 11.6 | 12.4 | 100.0 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 10.0 | 756 |
| 20-24 | 55.5 | 2.0 | 20.1 | 15.1 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 16.0 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 1,212 |
| 25-29 | 55.8 | 2.3 | 21.1 | 16.5 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 19.5 | 9.9 | 8.1 | 1,067 |
| 30-34 | 51.7 | 2.7 | 22.6 | 16.6 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 24.0 | 11.0 | 6.3 | 810 |
| 35-39 | 61.0 | 1.7 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 17.2 | 7.6 | 4.2 | 656 |
| 40-44 | 53.9 | 1.6 | 24.3 | 16.7 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 22.6 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 367 |
| 45.49 | 59.8 | 1.8 | 18.0 | 15.7 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 20.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 266 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 49.3 | 2.4 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 17.9 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 612 |
| Rural | 56.6 | 2.1 | 20.4 | 14.6 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 17.6 | 8.2 | 7.3 | 4,522 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 54.0 | 1.6 | 17.8 | 22.7 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 19.7 | 7.5 | 6.3 | 1,246 |
| Eastern | 42.3 | 4.1 | 22.1 | 25.3 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 20.9 | 8.7 | 6.1 | 1,400 |
| Northem | 66.8 | 1.4 | 20.3 | 4.4 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 13.4 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 1,112 |
| Western | 62.2 | 1.2 | 20.9 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 15.8 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 1,376 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 55.5 | 2.4 | 21.4 | 12.8 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 17.7 | 7.9 | 7.4 | 1,792 |
| Primary | 57.8 | 2.0 | 19.1 | 15.4 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 16.8 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 2,823 |
| Secondary+ | 45.8 | 1.7 | 23.8 | 24.4 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 21.7 | 7.8 | 4.5 | 520 |
| Total | 55.8 | 2.1 | 20.4 | 15.4 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 17.6 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 5,134 |

### 4.7 Source of Family Planning Methods

Information on the source of modern contraceptives currently used is useful for family planning managers and implementers. In the 1995 UDHS, women who reported using a modern method of contraception at the time of survey were asked where they obtained the method the last time. Table 4.11 and Figure 4.4 show that about half of current users ( 47 percent) obtain their methods from public sources. Private medical sources are reported by 42 percent of current users, and other private sources account for the remaining 11 percent. Government hospitals ( 30 percent) and private hospitals and clinics ( 30 percent) are the most common sources of contraceptive methods.

The source of family planning methods varies according to the type of method used. For example, more than half the pill users ( 55 percent) obtain their method from private medical sources, 38 percent from private hospitals and clinics, 10 percent from pharmacies, and 7 percent from other private medical sources. Over 60 percent of users of injectables and female sterilisation use public sources, compared to only 24 percent of condom users. Most condom users obtain their method from private sources, either shops (19 percent), private hospitals or clinics ( 14 percent), or pharmacies ( 14 percent).

| Table 4.11 Source of supply for modern contraceptive methods |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of women currently using modern contraceptive methods by most recent source of supply, according to specific methods, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Contrace | ve meth |  |  |
| Source of supply | Pill | Injectables | Condom | Female sterilisation | All modern methods |
| Public | 39.4 | 61.1 | 23.9 | 63.3 | 47.4 |
| Government hospital | 22.8 | 30.6 | 12.0 | 60.7 | 30.0 |
| Government health centre | 8.3 | 12.3 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 8.1 |
| Govermment dispensary/ health unit | 6.9 | 17.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 7.6 |
| Government mobile clinic | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Government field worker | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Other public | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Medical private | 54.6 | 37.0 | 34.2 | 34.9 | 41.5 |
| Private hospital/clinic | 37.8 | 32.0 | 14.3 | 31.8 | 30.1 |
| Pharmacy | 9.6 | 0.7 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
| Private doctor | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Private mobile clinic | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Private field worker | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Other private | 3.8 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.8 |
| Other private | 5.7 | 1.8 | 40.2 | 1.8 | 10.8 |
| Shop | 2.2 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| Church | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Friend/relative | 2.7 | 0.7 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| Other | 0.8 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| Missing | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of users | 162 | 143 | 106 | 88 | 524 |
| Note: The total includes 19 IUD users, four users of diaphragm/foam/jelly, and one Noplant user. |  |  |  |  |  |

Women who are currently using a modern method of contraception were asked why they went to the place where they got their method instead of some other source. The reasons women give for choosing particular sources can provide the family planning programme with important insights into the process of adoption of contraception. Table 4.12 summarises the reasons users gave for choosing their current method source. Forty percent of women say they use their current source because it is closest to home, while one-third of women say that they know of no other source for their method. The competence and friendliness of staff was the main reason why 10 percent of users chose their source. Users of medical private sources are more likely to use these sources because they are closer to home, while public source users are equally likely to say they use their source because they do not know of any other source.

Figure 4.4

# Distribution of Current Users of Modern Contraceptive Methods by Source of Supply 



## Table 4.12 Reason for selecting current sources of supply for contraceptive methods

Percent distribution of current users of modem contraceptive methods by reason for selecting most recent source of supply, according to source of method, Uganda 1995

| Source of supply | Know no other source | Closer to home | Closer to market/ work | Transpon available | Staff competent, friendly | Cleaner facility | Offers more privacy | Shorter waiting time | Longer hours of operation | Use other services there | Low cost, cheaper | Wanted anonymity | Other | Don't know/ Missing | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { users } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public | 34.8 | 36.6 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 9.2 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 205 |
| Government hospital | 40.8 | 30.0 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 8.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Govermment health centre | (34.0) | (44.5) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (9.9) | (0.0) | (0.7) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (3.6) | (0.0) | (2.8) | (4.6) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 41 |
| Govemment dispensary/ health unit | (23.3) | (47.3) | (4.5) | (0.0 | (9.5) | (0.0) | (0.6) | (0.4) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (11.2) | (2.8) $(0.0)$ | (3.1) | (0.0) (0.0) | 100.0 100.0 | 40 |
| Medical private | 26.6 | 45.6 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 11.9 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 192 |
| Private hospita/clinic | 22.4 | 45.8 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 13.9 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 134 |
| Pharnacy | (23.5) | (59.8) | (9.0) | (0.6) | (7.1) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | +31 |
| Other private | 47.5 | 35.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 55 |
| Total | 33.0 | 40.2 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 9.6 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 453 |

[^12]
### 4.8 Intention to Use Family Planning Among Non-users

An important indicator of the changing demand for family planning is the extent to which non-users of contraception plan to use family planning in the future. Currently married respondents who were not using contraception at the time of survey were asked if they intended to use family planning methods in future. The results are presented in Table 4.13 for women and men.

More than half ( 55 percent) of currently married female non-users say they intend to use family planning at some time in the future, with 39 percent saying they intend to use in the next 12 months and 16 percent saying they intend to use later. Another 38 percent do not intend to use, while 7 percent are unsure about either the timing of use or their intention to use. For currently married male respondents, 61 percent say they intend to use family planning in the future, 30 percent of them within 12 months, while 29 percent do not intend to use and 10 percent are not sure about either the timing or possible use.

The proportion intending to use, and especially the timing of use, varies with the number of living children. For example, the proportion of women who intend to use within the next 12 months is much lower among childless women ( 17 percent) than among those with two children ( 41 percent), and the proportion who do not intend to use at all is lower among women with four or more children ( 36 percent) than among childless women ( 55 percent).

## Table 4.13 Future use of contraception

Percent distribution of currently married women and men who are not currently using a contraceptive method, by past experience with contraception and intention to use in the future, according to number of living children. Uganda 1995

| Past experience <br> with contraception <br> and future intentions |  | Number of living children |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^13]Most of the women and men who intend to use in the future have never used contraception. For example, of the 39 percent of women who intend to use in the next 12 months, 27 percent have never used and 13 percent have used previously. Similar patterns are also observed among the men.

### 4.9 Reasons for Non-use

Table 4.14 presents the main reasons for not using family planning given by currently married nonusers who do not intend to use contraception in the future. Among women, the desire for more children was the most common reason for non-use ( 37 percent), followed by infecundity or menopause ( 20 percent), and opposition to family planning by either the woman or her husband ( 12 percent). Eight percent of female nonusers who do not intend to use say they do not know of any method, while 6 percent say they do not know a source for obtaining methods. Younger women are more likely than older women to cite desire for more children and lack of knowledge of methods as the main reasons for not intending to use.

While desire for more children was also the most important reason for not using family planning among older women, other important reasons cited by non-users 30 years and over are related to infecundity and menopause. The majority of men cited wanting more children as the most important reason.

Table 4.14 Reasons for not using contraception
Percent distribution of currently married women and men who are not using a contraceptive method and who do not intend to use in the future, by main reason for not using, according to age, Uganda 1995

| Reason for not using contraception | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age |  | Total | Age |  | Total |
|  | $<30$ | 30-49 |  | $<30$ | 30-54 |  |
| Want children | 50.7 | 23.1 | 37.0 | 61.4 | 39.8 | 46.7 |
| Side effects | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Health concerns | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| Interferes with body | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Knows no method | 9.6 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| Knows no source | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Hard to get | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Cost | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Religion | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 4.2 |
| Respondent opposed | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 13.7 | 12.2 |
| Partner opposed | 8.6 | 4.6 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| Others opposed | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Infrequent sex | 0.9 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Menopausal/hysterectomy | y 0.0 | 14.5 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 5.9 |
| Subfecund/infecund | 4.3 | 20.6 | 12.4 | 1.1 | 9.9 | 7.1 |
| Inconvenient | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Other | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 7.2 |
| Don't know/Missing | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women/men | 842 | 831 | 1,674 | 87 | 183 | 270 |

### 4.10 Preferred Method of Contraception for Future Use

Non-users who indicated their intention to use family planning methods in the future were asked which method they would prefer to use. About one-third of women say they prefer to use the pill, and just under one-third say they prefer injectables (Table 4.15). One in five women do not know which method they prefer to use. Women who intend to use in the next 12 months have similar method preferences as women who intend to use after 12 months.

| Table 4.15 Preferred method of contraception for future use |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women who are not using a contraceptive method but who intend to use in the future by preferred method, according to timing of intended use, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |
|  | Intend to use |  |  |
| Preferred method of contraception | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In next } \\ & 12 \\ & \text { months } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { After } \\ 12 \\ \text { months } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Pill | 32.1 | 33.3 | 32.4 |
| IUD | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Injectables | 32.2 | 26.2 | 30.5 |
| Diaphragm/Foam/Jelly | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Condom | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.6 |
| Fernale sterilisation | 6.3 | 4.1 | 5.8 |
| Periodic abstinence | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.9 |
| Withdrawal | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Folk method | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| Norplant | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| Natural family planning | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Don't know/Missing | 18.0 | 23.6 | 19.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1,721 | 677 | 2,398 |

### 4.11 Exposure to Family Planning Messages

Radio and television are the major potential sources of information about family planning in the media. To assess the effectiveness of such media for the dissemination of family planning information, all female and male respondents in the survey were asked if they had heard or seen messages about family planning on the radio or on television during the six months preceding the interview.

Table 4.16 shows that more men than women are exposed to family planning messages on the major electronic media. More than half of the men and one-third of the women report that they have heard or seen a family planning message on radio or television in the previous six months. Radio is by far the more prominent of the two media; only 3 percent of women and 6 percent of men had seen a family planning message on television.

A sharp contrast in access to family planning messages is observed between urban and rural residents; 70 percent of rural women and 53 percent of rural men have not been reached through the electronic media in the past six months, compared to 41 percent of urban women and 23 percent of urban men. The proportion of respondents who have been exposed to family planning messages on radio or television varies across regions. Forty-one percent of women and 39 percent of men in the Central Region had not seen or heard family planning messages on either the television or radio, compared to 86 percent of women and 59 percent

Table 4.16 Exposure to family planning messages through the media
Percent distribution of women and men by whether they have heard a radio or television message about family planning in the six months prior to the interview, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Heard about family planning on radio or television |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Heard } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { neither } \end{gathered}$ | Radio only | Television only | Heard on both | Total | Number of women | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Heard } \\ & \text { on } \\ & \text { neither } \end{aligned}$ | Radio only | Television only | $\begin{gathered} \text { Heard } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { both } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Number of men |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 40.6 | 44.0 | 1.2 | 14.2 | 100.0 | 1,055 | 22.9 | 50.1 | 1.8 | 25.1 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Rural | 70.0 | 28.7 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 6,015 | 52.8 | 44.1 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 1,715 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 41.0 | 50.0 | 0.6 | 8.4 | 100.0 | 1,967 | 38.7 | 49.2 | 1.0 | 11.0 | 100.0 | 568 |
| Eastern | 67.7 | 29.9 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 1,738 | 50.3 | 41.1 | 0.4 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 497 |
| Northem | 86.4 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,398 | 59.1 | 39.8 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 419 |
| Western | 73.7 | 25.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,968 | 49.2 | 48.3 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 511 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 83.9 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2,161 | 74.5 | 22.6 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 232 |
| Primary | 63.4 | 34.3 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 3,956 | 53.6 | 43.9 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 1,259 |
| Secondary+ | 33.2 | 51.1 | 1.1 | 14.6 | 100.0 | 952 | 24.1 | 57.9 | 1.2 | 16.8 | 100.0 | 504 |
| Total | 65.6 | 31.0 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 7,070 | 48.6 | 45.0 | 0.5 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 1,996 |

Note: Figures may not add to 100.0 due to rounding.
of men in the Northern Region. Education of respondents is closely correlated with media exposure. Eightyfour percent of women and 75 percent of men with no formal education have not heard or seen a family planning message on the radio or television. This proportion is 33 percent for women and 24 percent for men with some secondary or higher education.

### 4.12 Acceptability of Electronic Media to Disseminate Family Planning Messages

To determine the level of acceptability of the dissemination of family planning information through the media, women and men interviewed in the 1995 UDHS were asked whether they thought it was acceptable for family planning information to be provided on radio or television. Overall 84 percent of the women and 91 percent of men report that it is acceptable to them to use radio or television for family planning information (Table 4.17).

Urban respondents are more likely than rural respondents to view family planning in the media as acceptable. Women in the Central Region and men in the Western Region are most likely to accept media messages, while both men and women from the Northern Region are least likely to accept the use of radio and television for family planning messages. Women and men who have attained higher levels of education are much more likely to accept family planning messages on radio or television than those with no education.

Table 4.17 Acceptability of media messages on family planning
Percentage of women and men who believe that it is acceptable to have messages about family planning on the radio or television, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Acceptability of family planning messages on radio or television |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Not } \\ \text { accept- } \\ \text { able } \end{gathered}$ | Acceptable | Unsure | Total | Number of women | Not acceptable | Acceptable | Unsure | Total | Number of men |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 7.3 | 82.0 | 10.8 | 100.0 | 1,606 | 3.8 | 91.4 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 387 |
| 20-24 | 7.7 | 87.0 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 1,555 | 3.1 | 95.3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 367 |
| 25-29 | 7.5 | 85.3 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 1,270 | 5.4 | 89.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 359 |
| 30-34 | 7.0 | 86.0 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 976 | 8.0 | 90.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 259 |
| 35-39 | 8.2 | 83.1 | 8.7 | 100.0 | 783 | 7.1 | 90.7 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 250 |
| 40-44 | 11.1 | 79.7 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 499 | 5.1 | 91.8 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 162 |
| 45-49 | 12.2 | 76.2 | 11.6 | 100.0 | 380 | 8.2 | 89.7 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 118 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 20.0 | 70.2 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Residence 30.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.6 | 93.3 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 1,055 | 4.1 | 95.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Rural | 8.8 | 82.2 | 9.0 | 100.0 | 6,015 | 6.4 | 89.6 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 1.715 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 3.3 | 94.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1,966 | 8.1 | 90.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 569 |
| Eastern | 6.1 | 86.9 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 1,737 | 5.2 | 91.6 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 497 |
| Northern | 19.9 | 60.6 | 19.5 | 100.0 | 1,397 | 9.3 | 85.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 419 |
| Western | 5.9 | 87.4 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 1.967 | 1.8 | 93.6 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 511 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 13.6 | 71.2 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 2,161 | 11.5 | 84.3 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 232 |
| Primary | 6.4 | 87.6 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 3,956 | 6.1 | 89.4 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 1,259 |
| Secondary+ | 1.8 | 97.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 952 | 3.5 | 96.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 504 |
| Total | 8.0 | 83.9 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 7,070 | 6.0 | 90.5 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 1,996 |
| NA $=$ Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.13 Exposure to Family Planning Messages Through the Print Media

Female respondents were asked if they had been exposed to a family planning message through a newspaper or magazine article, a poster or a leaflet during the six months prior to the interview. The results are presented in Table 4.18. Only 14 percent of the women reported that they had been exposed to family planning information through print media. The most commonly reported source of a family planning message in the print media was posters ( 12 percent), followed by newspapers/magazines ( 5 percent) and leaflets ( 3 percent).

Women in rural areas were less likely to have been exposed to family planning messages through the print media than their urban counterparts ( 11 percent vs. 31 percent). Women living in the Central Region are more likely to have seen a family planning message in the print media than women in other regions. The proportion of women exposed to messages in any print media increases directly with educational level, from 5 percent among women with no formal education to 38 percent among women with at least some secondary education.

| Table 4.18 Family planning messages in print |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of women who received a message about family planning through the print media in the six months prior to the interview, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Type of print media containing family planning message |  |  |  | Number of women |
|  | Any source | Newspaper/ magazine | Poster | Leaflet brochure |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 31.4 | 17.2 | 25.4 | 11.3 | 1,055 |
| Rural | 10.8 | 3.2 | 9.5 | 1.3 | 6,015 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 27.8 | 9.9 | 24.2 | 5.9 | 1,967 |
| Eastern | 8.8 | 4.6 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 1,738 |
| Northem | 6.0 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 1,398 |
| Western | 10.0 | 3.5 | 8.2 | 1.1 | 1,968 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 4.5 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 2,161 |
| Primary | 13.0 | 3.4 | 11.7 | 1.8 | 3,956 |
| Secondary+ | 38.3 | 25.0 | 29.4 | 13.0 | 952 |
| Total | 13.9 | 5.3 | 11.8 | 2.8 | 7,070 |

### 4.14 Contact of Non-users with Family Planning Providers

Community-based distribution agents (CBDAs), who are largely based in rural areas are expected to visit women and men of reproductive age who are non-users of modern family planning methods to discuss the options and when indicated, motivate them to adopt a method of family planning. Health facility and extension workers are also expected to visit or discuss and motivate families for family planning while providing other health services. To get an indication of the frequency of such visits or discussions, women were asked whether they had been visited by a CBDA within the previous 12 months and whether a health worker had discussed family planning with her. Table 4.19 shows that only 5 percent (i.e., sum of first three columns) of non-users were visited by a CBDA during the 12 months preceding the survey. Non-users in rural areas were less likely to be visited by CBDAs than their urban counterparts.

To get an insight into the level of "missed opportunities"-i.e., contacts between non-users and health workers which were not utilised to motivate non-users to adopt family planning--non-users were also asked whether they had visited a health facility in the past 12 months and whether anyone at the health facility had discussed family planning with them during their visit. Of the 48 percent of women who visited a health facility in the previous 12 months, one-fourth ( 13 percent of all women) said that someone at the facility spoke to them about family planning.

Overall, 84 percent of non-users were neither visited by a family planning worker nor discussed family planning with a health facility staff in the 12 months preceding the survey. This represents a large pool of potential users of family planning that could be targeted for family planning counselling. To reach these women, a vigorous outreach program is needed and all health workers should be sensitised to discuss the issues of fertility preferences and the option of family planning whenever the opportunity arises.

Table 4.19 Contact of non-users with family planning providers
Percent distribution of non-users of family planning by whether they were visited by a family planning fieldworker (CBDA) or spoke with a health facility staff member about family planning (FP) methods during the 12 months prior to interview, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Non-users of family planning |  |  |  |  |  | No FP services or information provided | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { non-users } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Visited by CBDA |  |  | Not visited by CBDA |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Visitedhealth facility |  | Did not visit health facility | Visitedhealth facility |  | Did not visit health facility |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis- } \\ \text { cussed } \\ \text { FP } \end{gathered}$ | Did not discuss FP |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dis- } \\ \text { cussed } \\ \text { FP } \end{gathered}$ | Did not discuss FP |  |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 11.2 | 37.5 | 45.5 | 82.9 | 100.0 | 771 |
| Rural | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 10.7 | 33.5 | 51.0 | 84.5 | 100.0 | 5,352 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 8.9 | 37.1 | 48.3 | 85.4 | 100.0 | 1,552 |
| Eastern | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 12.6 | 36.1 | 45.2 | 81.3 | 100.0 | 1,544 |
| Northern | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 11.5 | 35.8 | 50.5 | 86.2 | 100.0 | 1,233 |
| Western | 2.6 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 10.3 | 28.4 | 56.3 | 84.7 | 100.0 | 1,795 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 7.9 | 33.6 | 55.1 | 88.8 | 100.0 | 2,004 |
| Primary | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 12.2 | 33.7 | 48.8 | 82.4 | 100.0 | 3,443 |
| Secondary+ | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 11.9 | 36.8 | 43.9 | 80.7 | 100.0 | 677 |
| Total | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 10.7 | 34.0 | 50.3 | 84.3 | 100.0 | 6,124 |

### 4.15 Attitudes Towards Family Planning

Use of effective contraceptive methods is facilitated when couples have a positive attitude towards family planning. Attitudinal data were collected by asking currently married women whether they approve of couples using family planning and what they perceive as their husband's attitude towards family planning. This information is useful in the formation of family planning policies, since it indicates the extent to which further education and publicity are needed to gain or increase acceptance of family planning. Widespread disapproval of contraception acts as a barrier to adoption of methods.

Table 4.20 shows the level of approval of family planning among currently married persons who know at least one contraceptive method. Data indicate that almost 80 percent of married women approve of family planning. However, only 46 percent of married women say that their husbands approve of family planning. Only 43 percent of women reported that both they and their husbands approve of family planning, while 9 percent say that both they and their husbands disapprove and 15 percent did not know their husband's opinion. Among the couples in which the wife reports a difference of opinion, the husbands and not the wives were more likely to disapprove. In 23 percent of the cases, the husbands disapprove (while the wives approve), compared with 1.4 percent when the husbands approve and the wives are not agreeable.

The likelihood that a woman will report that both she and her husband approve of family planning is highest ( 46 percent) among women age $30-34$ years and declines with age to 34 percent among women age 45-49 years. The level of approval varies between urban and rural areas; couples in urban areas are more likely to approve of family planning than those in rural areas ( 62 and 40 percent respectively). Approval by both husband and wife was highest ( 54 percent) in the Central Region and lowest ( 27 percent) in the Northem Region. Less educated women are more likely to disapprove of family planning themselves and are also likely to say that their spouses disapprove or that they do not know their spouse's views.

Table 4.20 Wives' perceptions of their husbands' attitudes toward family planning
Percent distribution of currently married women who know of a contraceptive method by wife's attitude toward family planning and wife's perception of her husband's attitude toward family planning, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Both approve | Wife approves husband disapproves | Wife approves, husband's attitude unknown | Wife disapproves, husband approves | Both disapprove | Wife disapproves, husband's attitude unknown | Wife unsure | Missing | Total | Wife approves | Husband approves ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 39.3 | 21.8 | 17.5 | 1.0 | 8.3 | 2.1 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 79.1 | 41.4 | 703 |
| 20-24 | 42.5 | 23.9 | 15.4 | 1.3 | 8.8 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81.8 | 44.7 | 1,146 |
| 25-29 | 45.1 | 22.4 | 11.9 | 1.1 | 9.1 | 1.8 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 79.4 | 47.9 | 1,000 |
| 30-34 | 45.6 | 24.0 | 12.0 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81.7 | 48.3 | 765 |
| 35-39 | 45.0 | 22.9 | 9.2 | 3.6 | 8.4 | 1.8 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 49.7 | 600 |
| 40-44 | 42.8 | 19.8 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 1.3 | 9.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 75.3 | 44.0 | 344 |
| 45-49 | 34.4 | 22.1 | 16.5 | 1.5 | 10.2 | 3.3 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 72.9 | 36.6 | 234 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 61.6 | 20.0 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 90.4 | 63.2 | 599 |
| Rural | 40.3 | 23.2 | 14.2 | 1.5 | 9.6 | 1.9 | 9.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 43.1 | 4,195 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 54.3 | 24.2 | 11.3 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 89.9 | 56.5 | 1,233 |
| Eastern | 40.6 | 22.0 | 17.8 | 1.6 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 9.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 80.5 | 43.6 | 1,314 |
| Northern | 27.3 | 23.0 | 9.3 | 1.5 | 19.8 | 2.5 | 16.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 59.9 | 31.7 | 942 |
| Western | 46.1 | 22.1 | 14.3 | 1.0 | 7.9 | 2.1 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 82.5 | 47.5 | 1,305 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 29.4 | 23.2 | 14.9 | 1.5 | 12.8 | 2.7 | 15.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 67.6 | 32.4 | 1,545 |
| Primary | 45.2 | 23.2 | 14.4 | 1.5 | 7.9 | 1.6 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 82.9 | 47.9 | 2,729 |
| Secondary+ | 71.7 | 19.5 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 73.0 | 519 |
| Total | 43.0 | 22.8 | 13.5 | 1.4 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 8.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 79.4 | 45.6 | 4,794 |

I Includes cases in which the wife is unsure about her own attitude, but knows her husband's.

The fact that both men and women in the same household were interviewed provided an opportunity to link responses obtained from currently married women with those obtained from their husbands. A total of 1,109 couples were linked in this way. Table 4.21 shows the percent distribution of these couples by attitude towards family planning, according to age and educational differences between spouses.

For 65 percent of couples, both spouses report that they approve of family planning, while for only 4 percent of the couples, both disapprove. When only one spouse disapproves, it is just as likely to be the wife as the husband ( 8 vs. 7 percent). Generally, there is no real change in attitudes as difference between the husband and the wife increases. Couples are more likely to approve of family planning when both spouses are educated.

Because both men and women interviewed in the 1995 UDHS were asked whether they approved of family planning and, if married, whether they thought their spouses approved of family planning, it is possible to examine the extent to which husbands and wives report accurately on their spouses' attitudes. Table 4.22 shows the percent distribution of couples by husband's and wife's actual attitude toward family planning, according to their spouse's perception of their attitude.

## Table 4.21 Attitudes of couples toward family planning

Percent distribution of couples by approval of family planning, according to age difference between spouses and level of education, Uganda 1995

| Age difference/ education | Both approve | Both disapprove | Wife approves, husband disapproves | Husband approves, wife disapproves | Don't know/ Missing | Percent in agreement | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wife older | 60.3 | 1.4 | 6.7 | 7.9 | 23.6 | 61.8 | 100.0 | 89 |
| Husband older by: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 years | 61.9 | 2.9 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 19.8 | 64.8 | 100.0 | 371 |
| 5-9 years | 66.8 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 9.2 | 13.8 | 71.0 | 100.0 | 411 |
| 10-14 years | 68.4 | 4.0 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 13.4 | 72.4 | 100.0 | 171 |
| $15+$ years | 64.8 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 19.5 | 68.9 | 100.0 | 67 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One or both spouses uneducated | 36.1 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 44.6 | 41.3 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Wife educated, husband not | (46.5) | (5.2) | (13.8) | (6.8) | (27.7) | 51.7 | 100.0 | 46 |
| Husband educated, wife not | 50.8 | 4.5 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 24.9 | 55.3 | 100.0 | 315 |
| Both educated | 76.2 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 666 |
| Total | 64.7 | 3.5 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 16.9 | 68.3 | 100.0 | 1,109 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

## Table 4.22 Spouse's perception of spouse's approval of family planning

Percent distribution of couples by husband's and wife's actual attitude towards family planning according to their spouse's perception of their attitude, Uganda 1995

| Perception | Spouse's actual attitude |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approves | Disapapproves | Unsure |  |  |
| Wife's perception of husband's attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approves | 86.1 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 495 |
| Disapproves | 72.5 | 19.8 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 362 |
| Don't know | 74.1 | 9.2 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 252 |
| Total | 78.9 | 11.9 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 1,109 |
| Husband's perception of wife's attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approves | 83.5 | 9.4 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 643 |
| Disapproves | 65.5 | 23.5 | 11.0 | 100.0 | 260 |
| Don't know | 69.0 | 11.1 | 19.9 | 100.0 | 207 |
| Total | 76.6 | 13.0 | 10.4 | 100.0 | 1,109 |

The data indicate that when husbands and wives report that their spouses approve of family planning, they are generally accurate. For example, in 86 percent of the cases in which wives reported that their husbands approved of family planning, the husbands also said they approved. Similarly, for 84 percent of the couples in which the husband said his wife approved of family planning, she also said she approved. However, when husbands and wives report that their spouses disapprove of family planning, in 66-73 percent of cases, the opposite is true, that is, the spouse actually approves of family planning. A conclusion from these data that there is a considerable lack of communication between spouses about attitudes towards family planning should be taken with caution. It is also likely that at least some respondents report more favourable attitudes towards family planning than they in fact hold, perhaps in an attempt to please the interviewer or to appear more sophisticated.

Another indicator of the level of acceptance of family planning is the extent to which couples discuss the topic. In the 1995 UDHS, all currently married women who had heard of a contraceptive method were asked if they had discussed the practice of family planning with friends or relatives in the few months before the survey. Almost half ( 48 percent) of the women said they had discussed family planning with their husbands (data not shown). The proportion varies only slightly by age of the woman, from 44 percent of women age $15-19$, to a high of 52 percent of women age 20-24, and then dropping gradually to a low of 35 percent among women age 45-49.

### 4.16 Problems with Contraceptive Methods

Actual and perceived problems with contraceptive methods can hamper adoption of these methods, as well as reduce effectiveness of use among those who do adopt the method. In order to elicit information on possible rumors about or actual problems with specific methods, women who have heard of either the pill, IUD, or injectables were asked what they thought were the problems or disadvantages of each of these methods. The results are shown in Table 4.23 for currently married women.

Table 4.23 Disadvantages of the pill/IUD/injectables
Percentage of currently married women who have heard of the pill, IUD or injectables, and who report specific problems or disadvantages with using the method, by contraceptive use status, Uganda 1995

| Disadvantage of method | Pill |  |  |  | IUD |  |  | Injectables |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Using } \\ \text { pill } \end{gathered}$ | Using another method | Not using any method | Total | Using another method | Not using any method | Total | Using injectables | Using another method | Not using any method | Total |
| Blood pressure/nausea | 47.9 | 23.7 | 12.1 | 14.8 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 21.5 | 10.4 | 6.2 | 7.3 |
| Gain/lose weight | 20.4 | 16.9 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 19.5 | 20.8 | 14.1 | 15.3 |
| Breast milk decrease | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Menstrual problems/ bleeding | 35.9 | 31.6 | 17.4 | 19.8 | 12.2 | 9.2 | 10.3 | 54.3 | 29.5 | 15.2 | 18.7 |
| Unreliable | 5.2 | 13.8 | 10.3 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 8.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| Decreased fertility | 3.4 | 10.9 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 17.2 | 12.4 | 12.8 |
| Destroys uterus/cancer | 9.9 | 15.1 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 24.1 | 16.4 | 18.6 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 5.7 |
| Problems during sex | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 12.1 | 9.0 | 9.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Abnormal delivery/ malformed | 10.4 | 17.3 | 17.1 | 16.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Other | 19.8 | 12.5 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 12.6 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 18.5 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.4 |
| No problems | 15.9 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 23.1 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 8.6 |
| Don't know | 2.6 | 13.1 | 31.8 | 28.4 | 39.2 | 50.9 | 47.2 | 3.8 | 27.9 | 47.0 | 42.7 |
| Number of women | 136 | 560 | 3,566 | 4,261 | 440 | 1,128 | 1,586 | 128 | 536 | 2,971 | 3,635 |

Note: There were too few cases to show IUD users separately.

More than one-quarter of married women say they do not know of any problem with the pill. The most common problem or disadvantage of the pill is that it is perceived to cause menstrual problems and/or bleeding; one-fifth of women cited this as a problem. Other commonly cited problems are that the pill causes either abnormal deliveries, blood pressure or nausea, destruction of the uterus, weight gain or loss, decreased fertility, or that it is unreliable. Those who are using the pill are much more likely to cite blood pressure, nausea, and menstrual problems as disadvantages than are those who are either using another method or not using any method. It is discouraging to note that more than 10 percent of women who are not using the pill think that the pill causes major problems resulting in abnormal deliveries or malformed children, that it destoys the uterus or causes cancer, or that it is unreliable. Such misunderstandings may result in lower levels of pill use.

Almost half of women who know the IUD report that they do not know of any problems or disadvantages with it. The most commonly stated disadvantage is that the IUD destroys the uterus or causes cancer, followed by menstrual problems or bleeding, and problems during sexual intercourse.

As for injectables, 43 percent of women who know about injectables say that they do not know of any problem with the method, while 19 percent believe that injectables cause menstrual problems or bleeding, 15 percent say that injectables result in weight changes, and 13 percent believe that injectables result in decreased fertility. As with the pill, women who are currently using injectables are much more likely to cite menstrual problems or bleeding, and blood pressure or nausea as problems with injectables when compared to non-users. They are also more likely to say there are no problems with injectables.

### 4.17 Knowledge of Family Planning Logo

As a further measure of exposure to family planning information, women and men respondents were asked whether they had seen or heard of the yellow family planning flower, the logo that was recently adopted as the nationwide symbol identifying sources of family planning services. Results are presented in Table 4.24 for currently married respondents. Twenty percent of women and 28 percent of men say they are aware of the yellow flower. This knowledge varies significantly by place of residence and education. Urban women and men are more than twice as likely as rural residents to have seen or heard of the yellow flower. Similarly, residents of the Central Region and those from Kampala are more likely to have been exposed to the family planning logo as are those who live in areas covered by the DISH project. Education is also related to knowledge of the logo; over half of women and men with secondary or more education have seen or heard of the yellow flower, compared with less than 10 percent of those with no education.

Respondents who know about the yellow flower were asked to describe it and to explain what it means. Among women, 32 percent accurately describe it as a yellow flower in a circle, while 18 percent say that it is a small family inside a flower, and 23 percent say it shows a man, woman and children (data not shown). Among men, 19 percent say it is a yellow flower in a circle, while 17 percent say that it is a small family inside a flower and 16 percent say it shows a man, woman and children. Since all of these responses are correct, it means that almost three-quarters of women and only one-third of men who say they have seen the logo can accurately describe it.

About half of married women and men who know of the yellow flower report that it means that family planning services are available at that location (data not shown). Around 40 percent of respondents say that they do not know what the logo means, implying that their knowledge is very superficial.

Table 4.24 Knowledge of family planning logo
Percentage of currently married women and men who have seen or heard about the yellow family planning flower logo, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Knows yellow flower | All women | Knows yellow flower | All men |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 44.2 | 612 | 55.6 | 157 |
| Rural | 17.0 | 4,522 | 24.3 | 1,095 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 34.3 | 1,246 | 42.2 | 318 |
| Eastern | 12.3 | 1,400 | 27.5 | 318 |
| Northem | 25.2 | 1,112 | 22.3 | 273 |
| Western | 11.6 | 1,376 | 20.5 | 343 |
| DISH area |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese/Mbarara (I) | 10.0 | 402 | 29.5 | 113 |
| Masaka/Rakai (II) | 25.3 | 298 | 33.1 | 71 |
| Luwero/Masindi (III) | 14.4 | 167 | 18.9 | 44 |
| Kamuli/Jinja (IV) | 22.1 | 274 | 59.9 | 60 |
| Kampala (V) | 50.0 | 282 | 60.3 | 71 |
| Total DISH | 24.0 | 1,423 | 40.1 | 359 |
| Total non-DISH | 18.8 | 3,711 | 23.4 | 893 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 9.6 | 1,792 | 9.6 | 150 |
| Primary | 21.4 | 2,823 | 22.3 | 825 |
| Secondary+ | 51.0 | 520 | 55.7 | 277 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |
| None | 18.1 | 627 | 27.7 | 121 |
| 1 | 19.4 | 901 | 22.7 | 185 |
| 2 | 22.5 | 834 | 30.2 | 176 |
| 3 | 17.6 | 690 | 17.2 | 147 |
| 4+ | 21.3 | 2,081 | 31.9 | 622 |
| Total | 20.3 | 5,134 | 28.2 | 1,252 |

### 4.18 Knowledge of Protector Condoms

Women and men respondents in the UDHS were asked if they had ever heard of a condom called Protector, the brand that is sold through the social marketing programme. Results in Table 4.25 show that men are more likely than women to have heard of "Protector" condoms- 27 percent of married men and 11 percent of married women have heard of this brand. As expected, knowledge of Protector condoms is higher among urban women and men, those who live in the Central Region or in Kampala, and those with secondary or more education. Knowledge of Protector condoms is also higher among women and men who live in areas covered by the DISH project than those in areas not covered by the project. There is no consistent pattern between knowledge of Protector condoms and number of living children.

## Table 4.25 Knowledge of "Protector" condom

Percentage of currently married women and men who have heard of "Protector" condom, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | Number of women | Percentage | Number of men |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 39.6 | 612 | 67.1 | 157 |
| Rural | 6.8 | 4,522 | 21.3 | 1,095 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 23.7 | 1,246 | 42.6 | 318 |
| Eastern | 5.7 | 1,400 | 27.0 | 318 |
| Northern | 7.2 | 1,112 | 21.7 | 273 |
| Western | 6.8 | 1,376 | 17.0 | 343 |
| DISH area |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese/Mbarara (I) | 6.5 | 402 | 21.3 | 113 |
| Masaka/Rakai (II) | 9.8 | 298 | 23.1 | 71 |
| Luwero/Masindi (III) | 8.1 | 167 | 23.3 | 44 |
| Kamuli/Jinja (IV) | 12.9 | 274 | 53.6 | 60 |
| Kampala (V) | 51.3 | 282 | 75.7 | 71 |
| Total DISH | 17.5 | 1,423 | 38.1 | 359 |
| Total non-DISH | 8.1 | 3,711 | 22.6 | 893 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.1 | 1,792 | 3.9 | 150 |
| Primary | 9.8 | 2,823 | 18.6 | 825 |
| Secondary+ | 45.1 | 520 | 65.0 | 277 |
| Number of living |  |  |  |  |
| None | 14.2 | 901 | 31.4 | 185 |
| 1 | 13.5 | 834 | 26.9 | 176 |
| 2 | 10.6 | 690 | 27.2 | 147 |
| 3 | 7.2 | 2,081 | 26.2 | 622 |
| 4+ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10.7 | 5,134 | 27.1 | 1,252 |

## CHAPTER 5

## PROXIMATE DETERMINANTS OF FERTILITY

This chapter addresses the principal factors other than contraception which affect a woman's chances of becoming pregnant. These factors are marriage (including consensual unions), postpartum amenorrhoea, abstinence from sexual relations, and secondary infertility. The chapter also looks at other more direct measures of the timing and level of exposure to the risk of pregnancy, that is, the age at first sexual intercourse and the frequency of intercourse. Other measures are postpartum amenorrhoea and postpartum abstinence that result in insusceptibility to the risk of pregnancy during the months immediately following a birth.

Marriage is a primary indicator of exposure of women to the risk of pregnancy and is therefore important for the understanding of fertility. Marriage is seen as the beginning of regular exposure to the risk of pregnancy. Populations in which the age at first marriage is low also tend to experience early childbearing and high fertility.

### 5.1 Current Marital Status

Data on the marital status of respondents at the time of survey are shown in Table 5.1. In this report, the term "marriage" includes both formal and informal unions. Informal unions are those in which a man and woman stay together intending to have a lasting relationship, even if a formal civil or religious ceremony has not yet occurred. Although shown separately in Table 5.1, the categories of "married" and "living together" are combined in subsequent tables and referred to as "currently married."

Table 5.1 Current marital status
Percent distribution of women and men by current marital status, according to age, Uganda 1995

| Age | Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never married | Married | Living together | Widowed | Divorced | Not living together | Missing |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 50.2 | 38.9 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,606 |
| 20-24 | 12.3 | 68.6 | 9.4 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,555 |
| 25-29 | 5.8 | 73.8 | 10.3 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,270 |
| 30-34 | 1.9 | 73.4 | 9.5 | 5.4 | 1.9 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 976 |
| 35-39 | 1.4 | 74.8 | 9.0 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 783 |
| 40-44 | 0.4 | 65.0 | 8.5 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 499 |
| 45-49 | 1.4 | 62.3 | 7.7 | 16.0 | 3.6 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 380 |
| Total | 15.7 | 63.5 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 7,070 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 88.6 | 7.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 387 |
| 20-24 | 44.9 | 46.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 367 |
| 25-29 | 17.4 | 68.7 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 359 |
| 30-34 | 3.3 | 86.0 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 259 |
| 35-39 | 2.9 | 83.1 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 250 |
| 40-44 | 1.2 | 84.9 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 162 |
| 45-49 | 3.1 | 81.2 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 118 |
| 50-54 | 1.0 | 86.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Total | 29.7 | 59.6 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,996 |

Note: Figures may not add to 100.0 due to rounding.

The upper panel of Table 5.1 shows that 73 percent of women in childbearing ages are either currently married or living in some union with a man, 16 percent have never married, and 12 percent are widowed, divorced, or no longer living together. The proportion of women who have never married falls sharply with age, from 50 percent among teenagers to less than two percent of women age 30 and over. This universality of marriage among women was also observed in the 1991 Population Census. The proportion of women who are currently married increases with age until age group 35-39 and thereafter declines because of the increasing levels of widowhood with age. The proportions widowed and divorced increase with age, while the proportions who are no longer living with a man show no clear age pattern.

Most marital disruption among women appears to be due to the death of the husband. For example, among teenagers, the proportion divorced is equal to the proportion widowed ( 0.3 percent). However, among women age 45-49, the proportion widowed is 16 percent, compared to only 4 percent divorced.

The lower panel of Table 5.1 shows that about 63 percent of the men age 15-54 years are currently married, while 30 percent have never married. Men tend to marry at older ages than females. The proportion never married among teenage males is 89 percent, but decreases to 1 percent at ages $50-54$ years.

### 5.2 Polygyny

The extent of polygyny was measured in the 1995 Uganda DHS by asking married women how many other wives (if any) their husbands had. The proportion of currently married respondents who were in polygynous unions are given in Table 5.2 according to age and background characteristics and are shown in Figure 5.1.

## Table 5.2 Polygyny

Percentage of currently married women and percentage of currently married men in a polygynous union, by age and selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Current age (women) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All women | All men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50+ |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.5 | 17.9 | 29.8 | 37.3 | 42.5 | 31.1 | 29.7 | NA | 27.7 | 15.5 |
| Rural | 16.9 | 24.0 | 32.3 | 35.5 | 41.3 | 33.7 | 38.2 | NA | 30.2 | 15.0 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 15.6 | 17.5 | 29.0 | 37.0 | 38.2 | 30.7 | 38.7 | NA | 26.9 | 11.1 |
| Eastern | 24.3 | 35.4 | 40.4 | 38.9 | 45.6 | 42.4 | 33.9 | NA | 37.0 | 22.3 |
| Northem | 18.1 | 24.1 | 39.0 | 40.8 | 49.1 | 25.6 | (34.3) | NA | 32.3 | 12.3 |
| Western | 9.0 | 14.0 | 22.3 | 27.6 | 33.7 | 33.1 | 41.9 | NA | 23.4 | 14.2 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.8 | 23.1 | 33.1 | 36.0 | 38.9 | 31.9 | 36.2 | NA | 31.5 | 12.8 |
| Primary | 16.7 | 24.2 | 33.9 | 36.7 | 42.7 | 34.2 | 37.3 | NA | 29.4 | 15.2 |
| Secondary+ | 27.1 | 18.1 | 21.6 | 30.5 | 46.8 | (39.3) | * | NA | 27.1 | 15.7 |
| All women | 17.2 | 23.2 | 31.9 | 35.7 | 41.4 | 33.4 | 37.7 | NA | 29.9 | NA |
| All men | * | 3.1 | 12.5 | 17.6 | 11.9 | 22.8 | 25.6 | 30.1 | NA | 15.1 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases; an asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
NA $=$ Not applicable


Overall, 30 percent of married women and 15 percent of men are in polygynous unions. The practise of polygyny increases with age among women from 17 percent among teenagers to 41 percent among those age 35-39 years. A similar rise with age occurs among men. At any given age group, the proportion of married women in a polygynous marriage is considerably higher than that of men.

The proportion of rural women and men in polygynous unions is not significantly different from that for urban women and men. There are regional variations in polygyny levels, with the Eastern region having the highest proportion of women and men in polygynous unions ( 37 and 22 percent, respectively). For the other three regions, the polygynous proportions among women vary between 23 percent in the Western region and 32 percent in the Northern region, while for men, the proportions are quite close ( 11 to 14 percent).

There is a slight inverse relationship between female education and polygyny. The proportion of currently married women in a polygynous union decreases from 32 percent among women with no formal education to 27 percent among those with secondary or more education. On the contrary, among men, the level of polygyny increases with education from 13 percent among men with no education to 16 percent among those with secondary or more education. The cause of this pattern among men is not clear, although it may be due to small sample sizes.

Of the 30 percent of women who are in polygynous unions, the majority have only one co-wife ( 17 percent of all currently married women), while 12 percent report having two or more co-wives (data not shown). The proportion of men in polygynous unions is much lower than that for women, with 13 percent having two wives and 2 percent having three or more wives.

The practise of polygyny may have declined slightly over time, since the proportion of women in polygynous unions fell from 33 percent in 1988-89 (Kaijuka, et al., 1989:13) to 30 percent in 1995, with the
decline being faster among young women. However, some of the difference may be due to the fact that the earlier survey did not cover the entire country.

### 5.3 Age at First Marriage

Marriage is highly associated with the level of fertility especially in the case of low levels of contraceptive use. Women who marry early will, on average, have longer exposure to reproductive risk. Therefore, early marriage tends to lead to early childbearing and subsequently high fertility levels. The percentage of women ever-marricd by specific ages and the median age at first marriage according to current age is shown in Table 5.3.

Table 5.3 Age at first marriage
Percentage of women and men who were first married by specific exact age and median age at first marriage, according to current age, Uganda 1995

| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who were first married by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who have never married | Number of women | Median age at first marriage |
| Current age | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 50.2 | 1,606 | a |
| 20-24 | 15.1 | 54.1 | 74.7 | NA | NA | 12.3 | 1,555 | 17.7 |
| 25-29 | 18.0 | 52.8 | 72.1 | 83.4 | 91.0 | 5.8 | 1,270 | 17.8 |
| 30-34 | 19.7 | 57.1 | 74.9 | 85.9 | 93.1 | 1.9 | 976 | 17.3 |
| 35-39 | 24.7 | 58.8 | 75.9 | 85.6 | 93.7 | 1.4 | 783 | 17.1 |
| 40-44 | 23.4 | 60.7 | 77.8 | 87.1 | 91.3 | 0.4 | 499 | 17.0 |
| 45-49 | 27.1 | 55.6 | 73.5 | 82.4 | 90.5 | 1.4 | 380 | 17.3 |
| Women 20-49 | 19.6 | 55.7 | 74.5 | 85.0 | 90.8 | 5.5 | 5,464 | 17.5 |
| Women 25-49 | 21.3 | 56.4 | 74.5 | 84.9 | 92.1 | 2.8 | 3,908 | 17.4 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage who were first married by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who have | Number | Median age at |
| Current age | 20 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 30 | married | men | marriage |
| 20-24 | 27.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 44.9 | 367 | a |
| 25-29 | 20.9 | 45.1 | 68.7 | NA | NA | 17.4 | 359 | 22.6 |
| 30-34 | 20.8 | 44.1 | 70.1 | 86.4 | 93.7 | 3.3 | 259 | 22.6 |
| 35-39 | 25.7 | 42.9 | 60.5 | 76.2 | 84.2 | 2.9 | 250 | 23.2 |
| 40-44 | 20.0 | 32.5 | 52.4 | 73.1 | 83.1 | 1.2 | 162 | 24.7 |
| 45-49 | 25.8 | 40.7 | 67.4 | 79.1 | 83.6 | 3.1 | 118 | 22.8 |
| 50-54 | 18.8 | 30.5 | 49.1 | 72.1 | 77.4 | 1.0 | 95 | 25.1 |
| Men 25-54 | 22.0 | 41.3 | 63.6 | 79.4 | 85.0 | 6.8 | 1,242 | 23.1 |

NA = Not applicable
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women or men in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ were first married by age $x$.

The table shows that most ( 56 percent) of Ugandan women age 20-49 marry before they reach age 18. The median age at first marriage is 17.5 years. There has been a sharp decline in the proportion of women marrying in their early teens; the percentage who marry before reaching age 15 has fallen from 27 percent among women $45-49$ to 14 percent among women 15-19 years. However, the median age at first marriage shows no clear trend over the age groups of women, implying that while very early marriage may have declined, the majority still marry before 18 .

Comparison with data from the men's survey shows that men enter into first union at a much later age than women; the median age at first marriage for men age $25-54$ is 23 years, compared with 17.5 years for women. Only 22 percent of men are married by age 20, compared with 75 percent of women.

Table 5.4 shows median age at first marriage for women age $20-49$ by current age and selected background characteristics; summary data are also given for men age $25-54$. It can be seen that in each age group, urban women marry later than their rural counterparts, with an overall difference of one and a half years in the median age at marriage. The median age at first marriage among women age 20-49 years in the various regions is very close, ranging between 17.1 years (Eastern Region) and 18.0 years (Central Region). Large differences are observed in the age at first marriage by educational level. The median age at first marriage for women $25-49$ years old with no formal education is 16.6 years, compared with 20.5 years for those with secondary or higher education. Median age at first marriage among men generally follows the same pattern as that for women, except that there is no clear relationship with educational attainment.

Table 5.4 Median age at first marriage
Median age at first marriage among women age 20-49 years, by selected age groups, and selected background characteristics, and among men age 25-54 by background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  |  | Women age 20.49 | Women age 25-49 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \text { age } \\ 25-54 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.3 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 17.6 | 18.9 | 18.7 | 24.6 |
| Rural | 17.5 | 17.5 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 16.9 | 17.2 | 17.3 | 17.2 | 22.8 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 18.4 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 17.5 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 18.0 | 17.8 | 23.3 |
| Eastern | 17.4 | 17.6 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 16.3 | 17.7 | 17.1 | 17.0 | 22.9 |
| Northern | 16.8 | 17.2 | 17.3 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.4 | 17.2 | 17.4 | 22.3 |
| Western | 18.2 | 18.0 | 17.4 | 17.0 | 17.1 | 16.9 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 23.7 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 16.8 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 17.0 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 24.3 |
| Primary | 17.5 | 17.7 | 17.4 | 16.8 | 17.0 | 17.9 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 22.3 |
| Secondary+ | a | 21.0 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 19.7 | * | a | 20.5 | 24.9 |
| All women/men | 17.7 | 17.8 | 17.3 | 17.1 | 17.0 | 17.3 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 23.1 |

[^14]
### 5.4 Age at First Sexual Intercourse

Though age at first marriage is widely used as a proxy for onset of women's exposure to sexual intercourse, it is less useful in Uganda, where some women are sexually active before marriage. The 1995 UDHS collected data on the age at which men and women had their first sexual encounters. As the upper panel of Table 5.5 shows, the median age at first intercourse of Ugandan women age 20-49 is 16 , about one and a half years lower than the median age at first marriage ( 17.5 years, Table 5.3 ). By age 15,30 percent of women have had sexual intercourse and by age 18, 72 percent of women have had sexual intercourse, whereas only 56 percent have married by this age. As with age at first marriage, the median age at first intercourse has not changed over the various age groups of women.

About two-fifths of teenage women have never had sexual intercourse. However, this proportion falls dramatically to only three percent among women age $20-24$ and by age group $40-44$, all women have been sexually active.

## Table 5.5 Age at first sexual intercourse

Percentage of women and men who had first sexual intercourse by exact age 15, 18, 20,22, and 25, and median age at first intercourse, according to current age, Uganda 1995

| Current age | Percentage who had first intercourse by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percentage who never had intercourse | Number of women/ men | Median age at first intercourse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 23.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 38.4 | 1,606 | a |
| 20-24 | 26.0 | 70.3 | 87.1 | NA | NA | 3.2 | 1,555 | 16.5 |
| 25-29 | 29.3 | 73.6 | 88.5 | 92.2 | 93.6 | 1.1 | 1,270 | 16.0 |
| 30-34 | 30.5 | 71.1 | 82.5 | 87.3 | 88.9 | 0.3 | 976 | 16.1 |
| 35-39 | 35.7 | 73.0 | 84.1 | 89.1 | 91.8 | 0.2 | 783 | 15.8 |
| 40-44 | 34.7 | 71.2 | 85.7 | 90.4 | 92.2 | 0.0 | 499 | 15.9 |
| 45-49 | 35.8 | 70.1 | 81.8 | 87.1 | 90.2 | 0.0 | 380 | 15.9 |
| Women 20-49 | 30.4 | 71.7 | 85.7 | 90.0 | 91.6 | 1.3 | 5,464 | 16.1 |
| Women 25-49 | 32.2 | 72.2 | 85.1 | 89.6 | 91.6 | 0.5 | 3,908 | 16.0 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 19.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 52.4 | 387 | , |
| 20-24 | 19.4 | 58.1 | 80.5 | NA | NA | 11.2 | 367 | 17.3 |
| 25-29 | 17.6 | 54.5 | 74.6 | 87.9 | 93.8 | 2.5 | 359 | 17.5 |
| 30-34 | 20.2 | 60.8 | 82.0 | 90.4 | 97.0 | 0.0 | 259 | 17.1 |
| 35-39 | 15.6 | 55.8 | 81.1 | 93.8 | 94.9 | 0.6 | 250 | 17.5 |
| 40-44 | 14.1 | 48.9 | 73.5 | 84.0 | 87.7 | 0.3 | 162 | 18.1 |
| 45-49 | 20.3 | 50.8 | 78.7 | 89.1 | 94.1 | 2.1 | 118 | 17.9 |
| 50-54 | 18.8 | 44.9 | 71.0 | 88.1 | 91.4 | 0.0 | 95 | 18.3 |
| Men 25-54 | 17.6 | 54.3 | 77.4 | 89.2 | 93.7 | 1.1 | 1,242 | 17.6 |

NA $=$ Not applicable
${ }^{2}$ Omitted because less than 50 percent in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ had had intercourse by age $x$

In general, women become sexually active earlier than men. The median age at first sex for men age $25-54$ is 17.6 years, compared with 16 years for women. Although men enter into marriage six years later than women on average, they start sexual relations only one and half years later than women. Unlike women,
the median age at first intercourse among men seems to be declining slightly over time, from 18.3 years among those age $50-54$ to 17.3 years among those age 20-24.

Table 5.6 shows differentials in the median age at first sexual intercourse by background characteristics for women age 20-49 years and men age 25-54. The median age at first sexual intercourse is slightly lower in rural than urban areas among females, but not among males. There is also little variation by regions for women, however, the median age at first intercourse for men in the Eastern region is two years earlier than for men in the Western region. Women with secondary or more education initiate sexual relations two years later, on average, than those with no formal education.

## Table 5.6 Median age at first intercourse

Median age at first intercourse among women age $20-49$ years, by selected age groups and selected background characteristics, and among men age 25-54 by background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Current age |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ \text { age } \\ 20-49 \end{gathered}$ | Women age 25.49 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ \text { age } \\ 25-54 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 16.6 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 17.2 |
| Rural | 16.4 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 15.8 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 17.6 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 16.3 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 15.7 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 15.9 | 17.3 |
| Eastern | 16.0 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 15.4 | 16.5 |
| Northern | 16.4 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 17.8 |
| Western | 17.3 | 16.5 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 18.3 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.9 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 15.7 | 15.8 | 15.7 | 18.1 |
| Primary | 16.3 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 15.9 | 17.4 |
| Secondary+ | 18.0 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 18.5 | 18.2 | * | 17.8 | 17.7 | 17.5 |
| All women/men | 16.5 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 17.5 |

Note: An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

### 5.5 Recent Sexual Activity

In societies in which little deliberate contraception is being practised, the chance of becoming pregnant is closely related to the frequency of sexual intercourse. Thus, information on recent sexual activity further refines the measure of exposure to pregnancy. Tables 5.7.1 and 5.7.2 give data on levels of sexual activity in the four weeks prior to the survey by background characteristics for women and men respectively.

Table 5.7.1 shows that three out of five women were sexually active in the four weeks prior to the survey, while 10 percent had never had sexual intercourse, 9 percent were practising postpartum abstinence and 15 percent were abstaining for reasons other than recent delivery. Seven percent of women were missing information about recent sexual activity.

The likelihood of a woman being sexually active in the four weeks prior to the survey is highest in the age group 25-29 years, while it declines with duration of marriage as well as with increasing level of education. The proportion of women who are sexually active falls from 65 percent among women with no education to 48 percent of those with secondary or more education; most probably, this pattern is due to the

## Table 5.7.1 Recent sexual activity: women

Percent distribution of women by sexual activity in the four weeks preceding the survey, and among those not sexually active, the length of time they have been abstaining and whether postpartum or not postpartum, according to selected background characteristics and contraceptive method currently used, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic/ contraceptive method | Sexually active in last 4 weeks | Not sexually active in last 4 weeks |  |  |  | Never had sex | Missing ${ }^{1}$ | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Abstaining (postpartum) |  | Abstaining(not postpartum) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $0-1$ years | $2+$ years | 0-1 years | 2+ years |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 40.9 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 10.8 | 1.5 | 38.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 1,606 |
| 20-24 | 65.5 | 10.7 | 1.1 | 12.3 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 1,555 |
| 25-29 | 70.2 | 8.8 | 0.4 | 13.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 1,270 |
| 30-34 | 69.2 | 8.8 | 1.0 | 11.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 976 |
| 35-39 | 66.9 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 14.3 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 783 |
| 40-44 | 55.4 | 6.2 | 1.4 | 13.1 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 100.0 | 499 |
| 45-49 | 54.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 15.6 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 100.0 | 380 |
| Duration of union (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 9.2 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 13.8 | 6.1 | 61.9 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 1,107 |
| 0-4 | 74.4 | 10.1 | 0.3 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 1,571 |
| 5.9 | 72.3 | 9.5 | 0.8 | 11.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 1,327 |
| 10-14 | 71.6 | 9.2 | 0.2 | 11.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 1,001 |
| 15-19 | 68.6 | 6.9 | 0.8 | 13.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 826 |
| 20-24 | 63.2 | 4.6 | 0.8 | 16.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 626 |
| 25-29 | 57.2 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 12.7 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 393 |
| $30+$ | 51.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 15.8 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 100.0 | 219 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 52.9 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 13.8 | 4.5 | 12.3 | 9.7 | 100.0 | 1,055 |
| Rural | 61.3 | 8.1 | 0.7 | 12.3 | 1.6 | 9.2 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 6,015 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 55.6 | 6.2 | 0.6 | 14.0 | 3.7 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 1,967 |
| Eastern | 63.6 | 8.7 | 0.3 | 14.4 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 1,738 |
| Northern | 59.0 | 12.6 | 1.0 | 11.5 | 1.0 | 9.7 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 1,398 |
| Western | 62.2 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 10.0 | 1.7 | 12.1 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 1,968 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 64.5 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 12.1 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 7.8 | 100.0 | 2,161 |
| Primary | 60.5 | 7.9 | 0.6 | 12.0 | 1.7 | 10.2 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 3,956 |
| Secondary+ | 48.3 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 15.4 | 4.3 | 19.6 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 952 |
| Contraceptive method ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No method | 57.9 | 8.3 | 0.7 | 12.0 | 2.1 | 11.2 | 7.8 | 100.0 | 6,124 |
| Pill | 86.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 162 |
| Sterilisation | 65.5 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 100.0 | 88 |
| Condom | 65.4 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 18.7 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 230 |
| Other | 74.5 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 16.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 447 |
| Total | 60.1 | 7.8 | 0.7 | 12.5 | 2.1 | 9.7 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 7,070 |

${ }^{1}$ In the UDHS questionnaire, formerly married women whose last sexual intercourse was with their husbands were not asked when they last had sex.
${ }^{2}$ Excludes 19 IUD users.

| Table 5.7.2 Recent sexual activity: men |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percent distribution of men by sexual activity in the four weeks preceding the survey, |
| according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995 |

fact that uneducated women tend to be older, while those with secondary schooling are likely to be younger and still unmarried. Nine percent of unmarried women have had sexual intercourse in the four weeks before the survey. The proportion sexually active is higher in rural areas than urban areas, while among the regions it varies between 56 percent (Central Region) and 64 percent (Eastern Region). Not surprisingly, women who are using a contraceptive method are more likely to be sexually active than those who are not.

Fifty-eight percent of the men interviewed were sexually active in the four weeks preceding the survey, while 13 percent had never had sex and the remaining 29 percent had had sex, but not recently (Table 5.7.2). The likelihood of sexual activity increases with age to 80 percent among men age $30-34$ and declines only slightly thereafter. As expected, sexual activity is higher among men in polygynous unions ( 96 percent) than among men in monogamous unions ( 82 percent). Only 21 percent of formerly married men and 13 percent of unmarried men were sexually active in the four weeks preceding the survey. There is no significant difference in current sexual activity between urban and rural men.

### 5.6 Postpartum Amenorrhoea, Abstinence, and Insusceptibility

For women who are not using contraceptives, exposure to the risk of pregnancy in the period following a birth is influenced by two factors: breastfeeding and sexual abstinence. Postpartum protection from conception can be prolonged by breastfeeding through its effect on the length of amenorrhoea (the period after birth prior to the retum of menstruation). Protection can also be prolonged by delaying the
resumption of sexual relations. Women are considered as insusceptible if they are not exposed to the risk of pregnancy, either because they are amenorrhoeic or still abstaining from sex following a birth. The percentages of women who gave birth in the three years before the survey and who are still amenorrhoeic, abstaining, and insusceptible are presented in Table 5.8. The data are grouped in intervals of two months to minimise fluctuations in the estimates. The estimates of median and mean duration are also shown.

Within the first two months after a birth, nearly all women are insusceptible to the risk of pregnancy. The period of postpartum amenorrhoea is considerably longer than the period of postpartum abstinence and is the major determinant of postpartum insusceptibility to pregnancy. By 6-7 months following birth, three-quarters of the women are still insusceptible, however, only 17 percent are still abstaining from sexual relations. The table shows that Ugandan women are insusceptible to the risk of pregnancy--either due to amenorrhoea or to abstinence--for a median period of 13 months. The proportion of women experiencing postpartum insusceptibility falls from nearly 100 percent in the period less than 2 months prior to the survey to as low as 61 percent prior to the sarvey to 18 percent 1 peng women under one year and to 18 percent among women who had a birth 22-23 months prior to the survey.

The median durations of postpartum amenorrhoea, abstinence, and insusceptibility are presented in Table 5.9 by various background characteristics. Women age 30 or older have a longer median duration of postpartum amenorrhoea of 16 months compared to 11 months for women under 30 years of age. Similarly, rural mothers wait considerably longer than urban mothers for their menstrual periods to return after birth ( 13 vs. 7 months). Women in the Northern and Western Regions have the longest duration of amenorrhoea ( 15 months), while women in the Central Region have the shortest ( 9 months) duration. Postpartum amenorrhoea is inversely related to mother's education, varying from 15 months for women with no education to 12 and 6 months for those with primary and secondary or more education, respectively. Women with no education have a median period of insusceptibility of 16 months, compared with 13 and 9 months for those with primary and secondary or more education, respectively.

Table 5.9 Median duration of postpartum insusceptibility by background characteristics

Median number of months of postpartum amenorrhoea, postpartum abstinence, and postpartum insusceptibility, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background <br> characteristic | Postpartum <br> amenorrhoea | Postpartum <br> abstinence | Postpartum <br> insuscep- <br> tibility | Number <br> of <br> women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 10.9 |  |  |  |
| $<30$ | 15.7 | 2.2 | 12.3 | 3,127 |
| $30+$ | 6.5 | 16.0 | 1,460 |  |
| Residence | 2.2 | 8.7 | 524 |  |
| Urban | 13.2 | 2.2 | 13.7 | 4,063 |
| Rural | 9.1 | 2.0 | 10.3 | 1,186 |
| Region | 11.4 | 2.5 | 12.4 | 1,219 |
| Central | 14.6 | 4.1 | 15.6 | 921 |
| Eastern | 14.5 | 1.3 | 15.0 | 1,262 |
| Northem | 15.2 | 2.6 | 15.6 | 1,406 |
| Western | 11.9 | 2.1 | 13.1 | 2,700 |
| Education | 5.9 | 2.1 | 9.2 | 481 |
| $\quad$ No education | 12.6 | 2.2 | 13.4 | 4,587 |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary+ | Total |  |  |  |

Note: Medians are based on current status.

### 5.7 Termination of Exposure to Pregnancy

The onset of infertility with increasing age reduces the proportion of women who are exposed to the risk of pregnancy. Although the onset of infecundity is difficult to determine, there are ways of estimating its effects for a population. Table 5.10 presents data on two indicators of decreasing exposure to the risk of pregnancy for women age 30 years and over: menopause and abstinence.

A woman is considered to be menopausal if she is not pregnant, not amenorrhoeic, and either declared herself as being menopausal or did not have a menstrual period for six or more months before the survey. As expected, the proportion of menopausal

Table 5.10 Termination of exposure to the risk of pregnancy
Indicators of menopause and long-term abstinence among currently married women age 30-49, Uganda 1995

| Age | Menopause ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |  | Long-term abstinence ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Number | Percent | Number |
| 30-34 | 1.4 | 419 | 0.3 | 810 |
| 35-39 | 3.6 | 402 | 0.3 | 656 |
| 40-41 | 8.0 | 136 | 1.5 | 191 |
| 42-43 | 13.5 | 102 | 5.4 | 126 |
| 44-45 | 22.9 | 131 | 4.6 | 150 |
| 46-47 | 30.2 | 62 | 1.8 | 73 |
| 48.49 | 39.1 | 94 | 6.0 | 94 |
| Total | 9.7 | 1,347 | 1.3 | 2,099 |

${ }^{1}$ Percentage of non-pregnant, non-amenorrhoeic currently married women whose last menstrual period occurred six or more months preceding the survey or who report that they are menopausal.
${ }^{2}$ Percentage of currently married women who did not have intercourse in the three years preceding the survey. women rises rapidly with age, particularly among women age 40 years or more. It rises from 8 percent among those age 40-41 years to almost 40 percent in the age group $48-49$ years.

A woman falls into the long-term abstinence category if she was currently married and did not have sexual intercourse for three years prior to the survey. Long-term abstinence assumes much less importance than menopause but exhibits a similar direct relationship with age, increasing from less than 1 percent among women age $30-34$ to 6 percent for the oldest women of $48-49$ years. Overall, 10 percent of the women in the reproductive age are menopausal, while only 1 percent are long-term abstainers.

## CHAPTER 6

## FERTILITY PREFERENCES

The 1995 UDHS included a number of questions to ascertain fertility preferences. Women who were either not pregnant or unsure about their status were asked the question, "Would you like to have (a/another) child or would you prefer not to have any (more) children?" On the other hand pregnant women were asked the question, "After the child you are expecting, would you like to have another child or would you prefer not to have any more children?" Those who said that they did want another child were asked how long they would like to wait before the birth of the next child. Finally, women were asked how many children they would want in total if they could start afresh. The male questionnaire also included questions on fertility preferences, since men's preferences presumably affect fertility as well. Women may not always be able to act on their preferences due to other pressures, particularly the preferences of their husbands.

The data on fertility preferences produce an indication of the direction that future fertility will take, as well as an assessment of the need for family planning. It is assumed that individuals and couples will act in such a way as to achieve their preferred family sizes if the necessary family planning services are available, accessible, and affordable.

### 6.1 Desire for More Children

Table 6.1 shows future reproductive preferences among currently married women and men according to the number of living children. Although well over half of the women and men interviewed say that they

| Table 6.1 Fertility preferences by number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women and men by desire for more children, according to number of living children, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Desire for children |  |  | Num | of livin | $1 d^{1}{ }^{1}$ |  |  | Total |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6+ |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 77.6 | 33.2 | 23.3 | 18.8 | 17.1 | 12.4 | 5.5 | 23.3 |
| Have another late ${ }^{3}$ | 10.2 | 54.9 | 57.2 | 48.7 | 34.6 | 26.0 | 12.0 | 36.3 |
| Have another, undecided when | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.2 |
| Undecided | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 3.6 |
| Want no more | 1.6 | 6.0 | 12.5 | 24.2 | 35.3 | 50.8 | 70.2 | 30.9 |
| Sterilised | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 1.4 |
| Declared infecund | 7.5 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 419 | 940 | 850 | 741 | 554 | 528 | 1,103 | 5,134 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 90.7 | 56.6 | 44.0 | 48.0 | 34.4 | 33.4 | 27.6 | 44.1 |
| Have another later ${ }^{3}$ | 5.0 | 39.5 | 44.6 | 40.9 | 40.7 | 24.7 | 18.2 | 29.8 |
| Have another, undecided when | 2.4 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Undecided | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.9 |
| Want no more | 0.0 | 2.6 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 22.8 | 32.8 | 47.1 | 21.4 |
| Sterilised | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| Declared infecund | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of men | 121 | 185 | 176 | 147 | 146 | 137 | 339 | 1,252 |
| ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy <br> ${ }^{2}$ Want next birth within two years <br> ${ }^{3}$ Want to delay next birth for two or more years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

want to have more children, 36 percent of women and 30 percent of men say they want to wait for two or more years before having their next child and can thus be considered potential users of contraception for the purpose of spacing births (Figure 6.1). Twenty-three percent of women and 44 percent of men say they want to have another child soon, while 5 percent of the women and 2 percent of the men are either unsure about whether they want another child or want another but are undecided on the timing of the next birth. A small proportion ( 3 percent of married women and less than 1 percent of married men) believe they cannot have any more children. Almost one-third of married women (31 percent) and over one-fifth of married men ( 21 percent) say they want no more children and can be considered potential users of contraception for the purpose of limiting their family size.

Women's desire for additional children has declined noticeably over the past six years. In 1988-89, 39 percent of married women wanted another child within the next two years, compared with only 23 percent of women in 1995. The proportion of women who want no more children increased from 19 percent in 198889 to 31 percent in 1995.

Figure 6.1
Fertility Preferences of Currently Married Women 15-49


Note: "Want no more" includes sterilised women.
Figures may not add to $100 \%$ due to rounding

As expected, the proportion of currently married women who want to stop childbearing rises with the number of living children, from less than 2 percent of childless women to about two-thirds of women with six or more children (Figure 6.2). Among married men, the proportion who want to stop childbearing similarly rises with the number of living children, from 0 percent for men without children to more than onethird of men with six or more children.

For those who want to space (i.e. those who want another child later), the pattern is different. Ten percent of childless women want to postpone having a child, compared to 57 percent of those with two children. Thereafter, the proportion of women who want to space their next child declines steadily to a low of 12 percent among women with six or more children. A similar pattern is displayed by married men. Five

percent of childless men want to postpone having a child, compared to 45 percent of those with two children. Thereafter, the proportion of men who want to space declines steadily to a low of 18 percent. This pattern confirms that most individuals want to space their children, and at higher parities, prefer to stop childbearing altogether.

As expected, the desire to have a child soon, that is, within two years of the time of the interview, also declines as the number of children increases. While 78 percent of childless women want to have a child soon, the proportion decreases to 6 percent for women with six or more children. A similar pattern is observed for the male population. Over 90 percent of childless men want to have a child within the next two years. This proportion decreases steadily to 28 percent for those with six or more children.

The findings presented in Table 6.1 indicate that there is a strong desire for children and a preference for large families in Ugandan society. They also indicate that men are considerably more pronatalist than women. Among those with six or more children, 18 percent of married women want to have more children, compared to 48 percent of married men. A comparison with data from the 1988-89 UDHS indicates that there has been a downward trend in the desire for more children. For example, among women with six or more children, the proportion who want to have another child declined from 34 percent in 1988-89 to 18 percent in 1995.

Table 6.2 presents the percent distribution of currently married women by reproductive preferences according to age. As expected, the desire for more children declines with age. While 33 percent of women age 15-19 want to have another child soon, the proportion drops to 9 percent for ages $45-49$ years. Correspondingly, the proportion of women who express a desire to cease childbearing increases as the age of the woman increases. The proportion of women who declare themselves infecund is less than one percent at the youngest two age groups; however, it increases to 25 percent among women age 45-49 years.

Table 6.2 Fertility preferences by age
Percent distribution of currently married women by desire for more children, according to age, Uganda 1995

| Desire for children | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{1}$ | 33.0 | 28.1 | 24.4 | 20.0 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 8.8 | 23.3 |
| Have another later ${ }^{2}$ | 57.2 | 57.0 | 39.6 | 25.0 | 13.8 | 5.7 | 1.8 | 36.3 |
| Have another, undecided when | 1.9 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Undecided | 1.7 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 8.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 3.6 |
| Want no more | 6.0 | 12.0 | 28.8 | 44.8 | 53.8 | 59.6 | 57.5 | 30.9 |
| Sterilised | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 1.4 |
| Declared infecund | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 10.9 | 24.6 | 3.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 756 | 1,212 | 1,067 | 810 | 656 | 367 | 266 | 5,134 |

${ }^{1}$ Want next birth within two years
${ }^{2}$ Want to delay next birth for two or more years

Table 6.3 shows the extent to which couples agree on the desire for more children. Generally speaking, there is substantial agreement between couples. In 56 percent of couples, both spouses want more children, and in 15 percent of couples, neither wants more children; only 21 percent of couples disagree in their fertility desires. Agreement among couples is highest when they have between one and three children, with only 15 percent expressing different desires; disagreement is highest among couples with 4-6 children, 40 percent of whom are discordant. It is noteworthy that regardless of the number of children the couple already has, the proportion of couples in which the husband wants more children and his wife does not strongly outnumbers the proportion in which the wife wants more and her husband does not. For example, among couples with seven or more children, 21 percent of the husbands want more children while their wives do not, compared to only 6 percent in which the wives want more children and their husbands do not. Not surprisingly, as the number of living children increases, the proportion of couples who want more children declines and the proportion who want no more children increases.

Table 6.3 Desire for more children among monogamous couples
Percent distribution of monogamously married couples by desire for more children, according to number of living children, Uganda 1995

| Number of <br> living <br> children | Both <br> want <br> more | Husband <br> more/ <br> wife <br> no more | Wife <br> more/ <br> husband <br> no more | Both <br> want <br> no <br> more | Husband/ <br> wife <br> infecund | Other' | Total | Number <br> of <br> couples |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Same number | 94.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 78 |  |
| 0 | 80.7 | 12.5 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 266 |  |
| $1-3$ | 28.2 | 27.6 | 12.2 | 20.5 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 151 |  |
| 4.6 | $(10.4)$ | $(21.4)$ | $(6.1)$ | $(59.1)$ | $(0.0)$ | $(2.9)$ | 100.0 | 43 |  |
| $7+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Different number | 45.4 | 12.5 | 8.7 | 18.8 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 218 |  |
| Husband > wife |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wife > husband | 44.6 | 21.9 | 2.2 | 27.4 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 98 |  |
| Total | 55.9 | 15.7 | 5.6 | 14.9 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 853 |  |

[^15]Table 6.4 shows the percentage of currently married women who want no more children according to the number of children they already have and according to selected background characteristics. ${ }^{1}$ A difference can be observed in the reproductive intentions of urban and rural women; 38 percent of urban women do not want to have another child, compared to 32 percent among their rural counterparts. The desire for smaller families among urban women can be seen by the fact that among those with four children, 54 percent want no more children, compared to 35 percent of rural women (Figure 6.3). These results corroborate the findings of higher fertility in rural than in urban areas (Chapter 3).

Table 6.4 Desire to limit childbearing
Percentage of currently married women who want no more children, by number of living children and selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.4 | 7.9 | 22.1 | 42.6 | 54.3 | 72.8 | 93.6 | 38.0 |
| Rural | 1.6 | 6.0 | 11.2 | 22.2 | 34.9 | 50.1 | 72.6 | 31.5 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 1.0 | 6.4 | 18.1 | 34.0 | 54.4 | 76.0 | 89.6 | 42.2 |
| Eastern | 0.9 | 5.9 | 14.8 | 23.1 | 33.9 | 46.8 | 74.9 | 31.6 |
| Northern | 2.5 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 19.2 | 25.8 | 34.9 | 51.1 | 20.5 |
| Western | 2.2 | 3.6 | 8.3 | 24.2 | 32.6 | 50.1 | 72.8 | 33.6 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.0 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 22.4 | 26.7 | 45.5 | 64.3 | 31.6 |
| Primary | 1.6 | 5.1 | 12.0 | 24.2 | 40.9 | 53.3 | 80.7 | 31.4 |
| Secondary+ | 0.0 | 8.2 | 24.9 | 36.2 | 53.9 | 74.7 | 89.8 | 39.7 |
| Total | 1.6 | 6.2 | 12.8 | 24.9 | 37.2 | 52.1 | 74.2 | 32.3 |

Note: Women who have been sterilised are considered to want no more children.
${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy

An examination of regional differences reveals that the percentage of women who want no more children is highest in the Central Region ( 42 percent) and lowest in the Northern Region ( 21 percent). This pattern generally holds true regardless of the number of children a woman has. For example, among women with four children, more than half of those in the Central Region want to stop childbearing, compared to only one-quarter of those in the Northern Region (Figure 6.3).

Overall, there is no significant difference in the proportion wanting no more children between women with no education and those who attended primary level only (about 31 percent each). This agrees with the finding that there is little difference in fertility levels between women with no education and those with primary schooling (Chapter 3) as well as results from the 1991 Population and Housing Census which concluded that the effect of education on fertility becomes marked only after the primary level of education (Statistics Department, 1995b:99). However, among women with a given number of children, the data in Table 6.4 do show a generally positive relationship between education and the proportion who want to stop childbearing. For example, among women with four children, 27 percent of those with no education want no more children, compared to 41 percent of those with primary education and 54 percent of those with secondary or more education.

[^16]Figure 6.3
Percent of Married Women with Four Children Who Want No More Children by Background Characteristics


### 6.2 Need for Family Planning Services

The data in this section address the extent of need for family planning services. Unmet need for family planning refers to the category of fecund women who either wish to postpone the next birth (spacers) or wish to stop childbearing altogether (limiters) but are not using a contraceptive method. Pregnant women are considered to have an unmet need for spacing or limiting if their pregnancy was mistimed or unwanted, respectively. Similarly, amenorrhoeic women are classified as having unmet need if their last birth was mistimed or unwanted. Women who are currently using a family planning method are said to have a met need for family planning. The total demand for family planning comprises those who fall in the met need and unmet need categories.

Table 6.5 presents estimates for unmet need, met need and total demand for family planning services for unmarried women, married women, and all women, and presents data by selected background characteristics for married women only. Overall, 29 percent of currently married women have an unmet need for family planning services- 18 percent for spacing births and 11 percent for limiting births. On the other hand, 15 percent of married women are using a method (met need for family planning), 7 percent for spacing and 8 percent for limiting births. If all unmet needs were satisfied, 44 percent of married women would be using a contraceptive method. Among unmarried women, both unmet and met need are lower and a higher proportion of the demand for family planning is satisfied, perhaps because unmarried women have higher motivation to use family planning.

Concentrating on currently married women, the highest level of unmet need for family planning is among women age 35-39. Generally, unmet need for spacing births is higher among younger women, while unmet need for limiting childbirth is higher among older women.

## Table 6.5 Need for family planning services

Percentage of women with unmet need for family planning, met need for family planning, and the total demand for family planning services, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Unmet need for family planning ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Met need for family planning (currently using) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Total demand for family planning |  |  | Percentage of demand satisfied | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { spacing } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { spacing } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total | For spacing | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 24.3 | 0.6 | 24.9 | 9.1 | 0.8 | 9.9 | 33.4 | 1.4 | 34.8 | 28.4 | 756 |
| 20-24 | 25.7 | 3.3 | 29.0 | 10.2 | 2.0 | 12.2 | 35.9 | 5.3 | 41.2 | 29.6 | 1,212 |
| 25-29 | 22.4 | 8.3 | 30.7 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 13.4 | 30.0 | 14.1 | 44.0 | 30.4 | 1,067 |
| 30-34 | 15.1 | 16.4 | 31.5 | 7.3 | 13.4 | 20.7 | 22.4 | 29.8 | 52.2 | 39.7 | 810 |
| 35-39 | 9.2 | 23.6 | 32.8 | 2.7 | 15.9 | 18.6 | 12.0 | 39.5 | 51.4 | 36.2 | 656 |
| 40-44 | 5.5 | 22.9 | 28.4 | 0.4 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 5.8 | 40.1 | 45.9 | 38.2 | 367 |
| 45-49 | 1.1 | 17.5 | 18.6 | 1.1 | 14.8 | 16.0 | 2.2 | 32.4 | 34.6 | 46.1 | 266 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.0 | 8.2 | 27.2 | 15.4 | 19.0 | 34.5 | 34.4 | 27.2 | 61.7 | 55.9 | 612 |
| Rural | 18.2 | 11.1 | 29.3 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 12.2 | 24.0 | 17.5 | 41.5 | 29.4 | 4,522 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 20.9 | 13.5 | 34.4 | 9.8 | 15.1 | 25.0 | 30.7 | 28.6 | 59.3 | 42.1 | 1,242 |
| Eastern | 19.5 | 11.3 | 30.8 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 11.4 | 25.1 | 17.0 | 42.1 | 27.0 | 1,399 |
| Northern | 16.2 | 6.8 | 23.1 | 9.3 | 4.2 | 13.6 | 25.6 | 11.1 | 36.6 | 37.1 | 1,115 |
| Western | 16.4 | 10.9 | 27.3 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 10.3 | 20.0 | 17.6 | 37.6 | 27.3 | 1,378 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 14.4 | 11.8 | 26.2 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 8.3 | 18.3 | 16.2 | 34.5 | 24.0 | 1,792 |
| Primary | 20.6 | 10.1 | 30.7 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 14.8 | 27.4 | 18.1 | 45.5 | 32.6 | 2,823 |
| Secondary+ | 19.5 | 10.4 | 29.9 | 18.0 | 19.6 | 37.6 | 37.4 | 30.0 | 67.4 | 55.7 | 520 |
| Currently married women |  | 10.7 | 29.0 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 14.8 | 25.2 | 18.7 | 43.9 | 33.8 | 5,134 |
| Unmarried women | 2.2 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 9.5 | 8.2 | 4.3 | 12.6 | 75.9 | 1,936 |
| All women | 13.9 | 8.0 | 21.9 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 13.4 | 20.6 | 14.7 | 35.3 | 37.9 | 7,070 |

${ }^{1}$ Unmet need for spacing includes pregnant women whose pregnancy was mistimed, amenorrhoeic women whose last birth was mistimed, and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrhoeic and who are not using any method of family planning but say they want to wait two or more years for their next birth. Also included in unmet need for spacing are women who are unsure whether they want another child or who want another child but are unsure when to have the birth. Unmet need for limiting refers to pregnant women whose pregnancy was unwanted, amenorrhoeic women whose last child was unwanted, and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrhoeic and who are not using any method of family planning but want no more children. Excluded from the unmet need category are menopausal or infecund women and unmarried women who have not had sexual intercourse in the four weeks prior to the interview.
${ }^{2}$ Using for spacing is defined as women who are using some method of family planning and say they want to have another child or are undecided whether to have another. Using for limiting is defined as women who are using and who want no more children. Note that the specific methods used are not taken into account here.

An examination of unmet need by type of residence indicates that rural women present the highest level due to their greater need for limiting births; urban women have a greater unmet need for spacing births than rural women. Currently married women in the Central Region showed the greatest unmet need ( 34 percent), while those in the Northem Region have the lowest unmet need. There is little difference in unmet need by education level, although because contraceptive use is greater among more educated women, the percentage of demand satisfied increases with educational level.

It is important to note that the apparently large decline in the level of unmet need, from 54 percent of married women in 1988-89 to 29 percent in 1995, is to some extent due to changes in the calculation of unmet need and to some extent due to changes in the parts of the country that were surveyed.

### 6.3 Ideal Number of Children

In order to obtain insight into fertility preferences, the 1995 UDHS included a question asked of all women age 15-49 and men age 15-54: "(If you could go back to the time when you did not have any children) and could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" Respondents with children were asked the entire question, while those with no children were asked the question excluding the part in parentheses.

Table 6.6 presents the distribution of respondents by ideal number of children, according to the actual number of living children (including the current pregnancy). It should be noted that respondents were not

| Table 6.6 Ideal and actual number of children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of all women and men by ideal number of children, and mean ideal number of children for all women and men and for currently married women and men, according to number of living children, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ideal numb } \\ & \text { of children } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ | Total |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 10.9 | 10.1 | 5.8 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 5.6 |
| 3 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 6.7 |
| 4 | 38.4 | 34.9 | 39.7 | 28.5 | 23.9 | 17.9 | 19.6 | 30.2 |
| 5 | 11.1 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 15.8 | 13.1 | 14.8 | 8.6 | 12.5 |
| $6+$ | 20.2 | 23.3 | 29.6 | 40.3 | 53.5 | 54.5 | 57.3 | 37.4 |
| Non-numeric response | 5.9 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 6.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1,389 | 1,236 | 1.017 | 889 | 638 | 624 | 1,278 | 7,070 |
| Mean ideal number for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All women | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 5.3 |
| Number of women | 1,307 | 1,161 | 963 | 833 | 604 | 569 | 1,157 | 6,593 |
| Currently married women | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 5.6 |
| Number of women | 389 | 885 | 803 | 691 | 526 | 479 | 997 | 4,770 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 2 | 8.2 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 4.7 |
| 3 | 10.1 | 10.2 | 5.7 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 6.5 |
| 4 | 38.3 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 22.2 | 19.1 | 11.8 | 14.1 | 28.1 |
| 5 | 11.9 | 10.5 | 15.6 | 21.9 | 15.9 | 11.2 | 9.1 | 12.7 |
| $6+$ | 27.1 | 40.0 | 40.1 | 53.2 | 55.0 | 67.2 | 67.0 | 44.1 |
| Non-numeric response | 3.3 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 3.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of men | 748 | 228 | 208 | 162 | 159 | 143 | 347 | 1,996 |
| Mean ideal number for: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.9 | 5.8 |
| All men | 4.8 724 | 5.1 224 | 5.4 | 6.2 160 | 6.2 151 | 140 | 331 | 1,932 |
| Number of men Currently married men | 724 4.9 | 224 5.2 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.8 | $\begin{array}{r}7.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6.3 |
| Number of men | 116 | 181 | 171 | 145 | 140 | 133 | 323 | 1,210 |

[^17]forced to give an exact number and 7 percent of women and 3 percent of men gave a non-numeric response to the question on ideal family size. This failure to specify an ideal family size is perhaps due to the absence of a strong feeling for a particular family size.

Those who gave numeric responses generally want to have large families. Half of all women report five or more children as ideal and another 30 percent want to have four children. Only 6 percent of women report a two-child family as ideal. Men are even more pronatalist than women. Overall, women report a mean ideal number of children of 5.3 , compared to 5.8 for men.

Despite the high fertility preferences, the data indicate that there has been a significant decline in ideal family size among women in Uganda. The 1988-89 UDHS revealed 6.5 as the average ideal number of children for all women, compared to 5.3 in 1995 (Kaijuka et al., 1989:50). Among currently married women, the mean ideal family size declined from 6.8 to 5.6 . Although the figures are not strictly comparable given the fact that the 1988-89 UDHS did not cover the entire country, the differences are large enough to imply that preferences for large families are waning.

The ideal number of children tends to increase with the number of living children. This pattern may reflect the fact that people who want more children actually end up having them. Alternatively, women and men with larger families may find it difficult to admit that they would ideally like fewer children than they already have.

The mean ideal number of children by age and selected background characteristics is given in Table 6.7 for all women; only aggregated data are presented for men due to small sample sizes for many cells. Ideal family size increases substantially with age, from 4.4 for women age 15-19 to 7.0 for women 45-49; the pattern is similar for men (Figure 6.4). It should be noted that urban women want one child fewer on average than rural women ( 4.2 vs .5 .5 ); this holds true for each age group. Similarly, the mean ideal family size for urban men is one child less than that of rural men ( 4.9 vs. 6.0 ).

| Table 6.7 Mean ideal number of children by background characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean ideal number of children for all women by age and selected background characteristics and for all men by age, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  | All women | All men |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.6 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.8 | (6.4) | 4.2 | 4.9 |
| Rural | 4.6 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 6.0 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 5.6 |
| Eastern | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
| Northern | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 7.0 | (6.8) | 5.7 | 6.0 |
| Western | 4.5 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 5.5 | 5.8 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 6.1 |
| Primary | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 5.1 | 6.1 |
| Secondary+ | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 4.5 | (4.9) | * | 4.0 | 4.9 |
| Total women | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 5.3 | NA |
| Total men | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.6 | NA | 5.8 |

[^18]

Regional variations reveal that women in the Central Region have the lowest mean ideal family size (4.7), while those in the Northern Region have the highest (5.7). The low figure observed in the Central Region may be due to the influence of Kampala. There is little variation between the three regions of Eastern, Northern and Western. Highly educated women exhibit a lower mean ideal number of children (4.0), while those with no education suggest a higher mean of 6.3 children. Among men, little variation is observed between the four regions, while men with no education and those who have completed up to primary indicate the same mean ideal number of children (6.1).

### 6.4 Fertility Planning

Measuring the level of unwanted fertility using 1995 UDHS data is based on the questions asked about each child born in the five years preceding the survey and any current pregnancy to determine whether the pregnancy was planned (wanted then), wanted but at a later time, (mistimed) or unwanted (wanted no more children). The answers to these questions provide some insight into the degree to which couples can control fertility. The validity of the answers depend on the extent to which respondents were conscious of what took place and how honestly they report. The limitation to such measures is that mistimed or unwanted pregnancies may turn out to be wanted children after birth and lead to rationalisation. Therefore the proportion of births that are unwanted at the time of conception is likely to be underestimated.

Table 6.8 shows the percent distribution of births in the five years preceding the survey by fertility planning status, according to birth order and mother's age at birth. Seventy percent of the births in the last five years were wanted at the time of conception, while 22 percent were mistimed, and 8 percent were not wanted at the time they were conceived. First, second- and third-order births are more likely to have been planned than fourth or higher births. One in seven births of fourth or higher order are unwanted. The percentage of births that are planned and mistimed declines with mother's age, while the proportion unwanted increases with age.

| Table 6.8 Fertility planning status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of births in the three years preceding the survey and current pregnancies, by fertility planning status, according to birth order and mother's age, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth order and mother's age | Planning status of birth |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { bifths } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wanted } \\ & \text { then } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wanted } \\ \text { later } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { wanted } \end{gathered}$ | Missing |  |  |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 78.9 | 19.6 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,424 |
| 2 | 74.6 | 23.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,291 |
| 3 | 72.4 | 25.1 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 994 |
| 4+ | 63.4 | 21.3 | 15.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3,326 |
| Age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<20$ | 73.2 | 25.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,541 |
| 20-24 | 73.1 | 24.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2,113 |
| 25-29 | 69.8 | 22.2 | 7.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,604 |
| 30-34 | 66.0 | 18.8 | 14.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,015 |
| 35-39 | ${ }_{521.6}$ | 10.7 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 561 |
| 40-44 | 52.5 | 11.9 | 34.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 174 |
| 45-49 | (49.8) | (7.2) | (43.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 27 |
| Total | 69.8 | 21.9 | 7.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 7,035 |
| Note: Birth order includes current pregnancy. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6.9 shows the total wanted fertility rates and the actual total fertility rates for the three years preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics. The total wanted fertility rate is calculated in the same manner as the total fertility rate, but unwanted births are excluded from the numerator. For this purpose, unwanted births are defined as those which exceed the number considered ideal by the respondent. ${ }^{2}$ A comparision of the two rates suggests the potential impact of the elimination of unwanted births.

Overall, the wanted total fertility rate is 19 percent lower than the actual total fertility rate. If all unwanted births were to be eliminated, the total fertility rate in Uganda would be 5.6 children born to every woman. The difference between wanted and actual fertility rates is similar for urban and rural women. By region, women in the Northern Region presented the highest total wanted fertility rate (6.0), while those of the Eastem Region showed the highest total fertility rate (7.4). The gap between the wanted and actual total fertility rates is somewhat larger among women in the Central and Eastern Regions than among those in the Northem and Western Regions. The gap is also larger for women with some education than for those with no education.

[^19]
## CHAPTER 7

## INFANT AND CHILD MORTALITY

This chapter presents estimates of levels, trends and differentials of neonatal, postneonatal, infant and childhood mortality in Uganda. In addition, information is presented on high-risk fertility behaviour among Ugandan women. The data presented here are important not only in the understanding of the demographic profile, but also in the design of policies and programmes aimed at the reduction of infant and child mortality and the high risk to mothers arising out of childbirth. The reduction of both infant and childhood mortality, and the incidence of high risk pregnancies are major objectives of the National Population Policy in Uganda.

### 7.1 Assessment of Data Quality

The rates' of childhood mortality presented in this chapter are defined as follows:

- Neonatal mortality (NN): the probability of dying within the first month of life,
- Postneonatal mortality (PNN): the arithmetic difference between infant and neonatal mortality,
- Infant mortality $\left({ }_{1} q_{0}\right)$ : the probability of dying between birth and the first birthday,
- Child mortality $\left({ }_{4} q_{1}\right)$ : the probability of dying between exact age one and the fifth birthday,
- Under-five mortality $\left({ }_{s} q_{0}\right)$ : the probability of dying between birth and the fifth birthday.

All rates are expressed as deaths per 1,000 live births, except child mortality which is expressed as deaths per 1,000 children surviving to the first birthday.

The information presented here is based on direct estimates obtained from the birth histories of women. Women were asked questions about each live birth they had ever had. Questions covered date of birth, sex of child, current age, survival status, and, if dead, age at death. From this information, it is possible to construct a life tables estimates of mortality for the Ugandan population broken down into different age segments.

In theory, information from birth histories gives the most robust estimates of infant and child mortality, short of an actual birth and death registration. However, in practice, this information may suffer from a number of problems. Prominent among these are the omission of some births and deaths, especially infants that died shortly after birth, and the misstatement of date of birth and age at death. Omission of infant deaths is usually most severe for deaths which occur early in infancy. If early neonatal deaths are selectively underreported, the result would be an abnormally low ratio of deaths under seven days to all neonatal deaths and an abnormally low ratio of neonatal to infant mortality. Underreporting of early infant deaths is usually more common for births that occurred further back in time; hence, it is useful to examine the ratios over time. Misreporting of the age at death may distort the age pattern of mortality.

[^20]It does not appear that early infant deaths have been severely underreported in the 1995 UDHS. First, the proportion of neonatal deaths that occur in the first week of life is quite high at 73 percent $^{2}$ (Appendix Table C.5). Furthermore, the proportion is roughly constant over 20 years before the survey (between 64 and 73 percent) which further supports the evidence that early infant deaths have not been grossly underreported. Second, the proportions of infant deaths that occur during the first month of life are plausible (37-47 percent-see Appendix Table C.6).

The quality of reporting of age at death is also important. Misreporting of age at death will bias estimates of the age pattern of mortality if the net result of the misreporting is transference of deaths between age segments for which rates are calculated; for example, an overestimate of child mortality relative to infant mortality may result if children who died during the first year of life are reported as having died at age one year or older. In an effort to minimise error in the reporting of age at death, the 1995 UDHS interviewers were instructed to record the age at death in days for deaths under one month, and in months for deaths under two years. They were specifically asked to probe for deaths reported at one year of age to ensure that they had actually occurred at 12 months. Nevertheless, there is evidence of some "heaping" on age 12 months in the reporting of age at death; however, this heaping is more significant for deaths that occurred five or more years before the survey but not in recent years (see Appendix Table C.6). From this standpoint, it is not necessary to adjust for underreporting of deaths below age one. It is also gratifying to note that there was far less heaping on age at death 12 months than in the 1988-89 UDHS, indicating a substantial improvement in data quality (Kaijuka, et al., 1989:54).

### 7.2 Levels and Trends in Infant and Child Mortality

In Table 7.1, neonatal, postneonatal, infant, child and under-five mortality rates for the 15 years preceding the survey are presented in 5 -year periods. Looking at the most recent period ( $0-4$ years before the survey or mid-1990 to mid-1995), under-five mortality in Uganda stands at 147 per 1,000 live births. This is quite a high level of mortality such that one in every seven Ugandan children does not live to celebrate the fifth birthday.

Table 7.1 Infant and child mortality
Infant and child mortality rates by five-year periods preceding the survey, Uganda 1995

| Years <br> preceding <br> Survey | Neonatal <br> mortality <br> $(\mathrm{NN})$ | Postneonatal <br> mortality <br> $(\mathrm{PNN})$ | Infant <br> mortality <br> $\left(\mathbf{q}_{0}\right)$ | Child <br> mortality <br> $\left({ }_{4} \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ | Under-five <br> mortality <br> $\left({ }_{5} \mathrm{q}_{0}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-4$ | 27.0 | 54.3 | 81.3 | 71.9 | 147.3 |
| $5-9$ | 37.7 | 54.3 | 92.0 | 82.9 | 167.2 |
| $10-14$ | 43.4 | 53.7 | 97.1 | 87.7 | 176.3 |

The infant mortality rate stands at 81 per 1,000 births, meaning that one in every twelve babies born in Uganda does not survive to celebrate the first birthday. Of the children who survive their first year of life, one in 14 does not reach the fifth birthday. The neonatal mortality rate (mortality in the first month of life) is much lower, 27 per 1,000 live births, while postneonatal mortality stands at about 54 deaths per 1,000 births. This means that when Ugandan babies survive their first month of life their risk of dying in the next 11 months is actually doubled.

[^21]The 1995 UDHS suggests a marked decline in child mortality over the years. All the mortality rates in Table 7.1 with the exception of postneonatal mortality have declined steadily over the 15 years prior to the survey, with a $16-18$ percent decline in under-five, child, and infant mortality. However, the biggest improvement was made in neonatal mortality with a decline of almost 40 percent.

Another way to examine the mortality trends is to compare the data from the 1995 UDHS with those from other data sources, although analytic methods, time references, and sample coverage complicate comparisons. Since there has never been a complete or reliable vital registration system, all reports of infant and child mortality historically were collected using indirect techniques. The infant mortality estimates from the indirect method are generally higher than the mortality estimated from the birth history, since the indirect techniques are usually based on births to young mothers which have a disproportionately higher probability of dying. ${ }^{3}$ Moreover, they use models of mortality which may or may not apply to the country, giving an overestimate of infant mortality in relation to child mortality. Furthermore, the indirect estimates apply to a time further into the past than do the most recent direct estimates. However, both estimates (direct and indirect) are affected by omission of births.

The indirect estimate of infant mortality derived from the 1969 census was based on the record of children ever born and children surviving to women in the age groups 20-24, 25-29, and 30-34 years at the date of inquiry. This estimate, and subsequent indirect estimates, refer to a period approximately five years prior to the date of data collection. This estimation procedure generated an infant mortality rate of 120 deaths per 1,000 live births.

The 1988-89 UDHS was the first attempt at computation of direct estimates of infant mortality, using birth histories. The infant mortality rate calculated from the 1988-89 UDHS was 101 deaths per 1000 births. However, the infant mortality rate from the survey did not cover the Northern Region which has the highest infant mortality rate in Uganda, and showed evidence of considerable heaping of deaths at age 12 months (Kaijuka et al., 1989:54; Kyakulaga et al., 1993:6). Adjusting for the sample coverage and reporting error would have the effect of increasing the rate by several points. For comparison purposes, indirect techniques were also applied to the 1988-89 UDHS, yielding an infant mortality rate of 119 .

An infant mortality rate of 122 was estimated based on the 1991 Census using indirect techniques. (This estimate refers to the period around 1986.) Taking account of the probable underestimation of infant mortality at the national level based on the 1988-89 UDHS, it appears that there was little decline in the infant mortality rate in Uganda until the early to mid-1980s. This is most likely due to the fact that in the 1970s and early 1980s the country suffered a prolonged civil strife which led to a decline in the standard of living and also affected the health infrastructure.

The observed fall in the infant mortality rate as estimated from the 1995 UDHS is consistent with efforts put in place since the mid-1980s to revive the level of living and restore the health infrastructure. The indirect estimate of infant mortality derived from the 1995 UDHS is 97 . (In order to be consistent with previous official estimates, this estimate will be used for official purposes). It is worth noting that after adjusting for coverage the magnitude of decline in infant mortality is 20-25 absolute points, regardless of whether the direct or indirect estimates are used (Figure 7.1). Further studies of mortality trends in Uganda should be undertaken.

[^22]

### 7.3 Socio-economic Differentials in Childhood Mortality

Socio-economic differentials in childhood mortality in Uganda are presented in Table 7.2. The mortality estimates are calculated for a 10 -year period before the survey so that the rates are based on sufficient number of cases in each category to ensure statistically reliable estimates. It can be observed from this table that mortality in urban areas is consistently lower than in rural areas. Neonatal mortality in urban areas is about 22 percent lower than in rural areas, while urban postneonatal mortality is 11 percent lower. The overall mortality picture shows that of all children born in rural Uganda, one in six dies before reaching the fifth birthday, compared to one in seven of those born in urban areas.

Regional differences are also prominent. The Northern Region has the highest mortality rates followed by the Eastern Region. Of all infants born in the Northern and Eastern Regions, one in 10 dies before the first birthday compared to one in 13 in the Western and Central Regions. Of those who survive until their first birthday, one in 10 children in the Northern Region dies before the fifth birthday. The corresponding ratios are one in 12 in the Eastern Region, one in 14 in the Central Region, and one in 17 in the Western Region.

Equally interesting is the fact that the Western Region has overtaken the Central as the region of lowest mortality. It is possible that the insurgency of the past decade in the Central Region which destroyed some of the health infrastructure may have given rise to higher mortality. On the other hand, the Western Region is the only region in Uganda which has not been affected to any significant degree by political unrest. Consequently, the health infrastructure has not only remained intact, but improving on it has generally been a much easier task.

Table 7.2 Infant and child mortality by background characteristics
Infant and child mortality rates for the 10 -year period preceding the survey, by selected socioeconomic characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | $\underset{\substack{1 \\ \text { mortality } \\\left(1 q_{0}\right)}}{\text { Infant }}$ | Child mortality $\left(4 q_{1}\right)$ | Under-five mortality ${ }_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{O}}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.4 | 48.9 | 74.4 | 63.8 | 133.5 |
| Rural | 32.7 | 55.0 | 87.6 | 78.4 | 159.1 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 29.6 | 47.0 | 76.6 | 70.1 | 141.3 |
| Eastern | 38.4 | 59.7 | 98.1 | 86.0 | 175.7 |
| Northern | 33.6 | 65.8 | 99.3 | 100.6 | 190.0 |
| Western | 26.8 | 48.3 | 75.1 | 60.1 | 130.7 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 34.2 | 59.8 | 94.0 | 90.5 | 176.0 |
| Primary | 32.5 | 55.4 | 87.9 | 72.3 | 153.8 |
| Secondary+ | 19.5 | 28.5 | 48.0 | 48.2 | 93.9 |
| Medical maternity care ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No antenatal or delivery care | 39.4 | 79.2 | 118.6 | NA | NA |
| Either antenatal or delivery care | 23.2 | 59.9 | 83.2 | NA | NA |
| Both antenatal and delivery care | 21.7 | 42.7 | 64.4 | NA | NA |
| Total | 31.8 | 54.3 | 86.1 | 76.7 | 156.2 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Refers to births in the four years before the survey NA $=$ Not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |

As expected, education of the mother displays a strong negative relationship with infant and child mortality. It is quite clear that children born to mothers with no education, by far, suffer the highest mortality. Educating mothers up to primary level reduces the overall under-five mortality by 13 percent and child mortality by as much as 20 percent over that for women with no education. At higher levels of education the effect is even more dramatic. It can be observed that educating women up to secondary level reduces most mortality rates by nearly half.

It is also quite obvious from Table 7.2 that the type of maternity care women receive is crucial in infant and child survival. Mothers who receive neither antenatal nor delivery care experience the highest neonatal and infant mortality. Receiving any medical care whether antenatal or delivery care reduces mortality substantially. The information suggests that if all Ugandan women today were to receive medical care either during pregnancy or at delivery, neonatal mortality would be reduced by as much as 41 percent, while postneonatal mortality would be reduced by 24 percent and infant mortality by 30 percent. On the other hand, if Ugandan mothers received medical care both during the antenatal period and during delivery, neonatal, postneonatal, and infant mortality would all be cut almost in half.

### 7.4 Demographic Differentials in Mortality

Besides the socioeconomic differentials, there are some demographic factors both of mother and child that have been found to influence infant and child mortality to a great extent. These are given in Table 7.3 and Figure 7.2. They include sex of child, age of mother, birth order, birth interval, and size at birth.

Table 7.3 Infant and child mortality by demographic characteristics
Infant and child mortality rates for the 10 -year period preceding the survey, by selected demographic characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Demographic characteristic | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Infant } \\ \text { mortality } \end{gathered}$ $\left({ }_{1} q_{0}\right)$ | Child mortality $\left({ }_{4} \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)$ | Under-five mortality ${ }_{5} \mathrm{q}_{0}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex of child |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 31.0 | 56.3 | 87.4 | 81.6 | 161.9 |
| Female | 32.6 | 52.3 | 84.9 | 72.0 | 150.8 |
| Age of mother at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 44.0 | 65.2 | 109.2 | 98.1 | 196.5 |
| 20-29 | 30.0 | 53.4 | 83.4 | 72.3 | 149.7 |
| 30-39 | 23.5 | 46.6 | 70.1 | 66.2 | 131.7 |
| 40-49 | (32.1) | 39.0 | 71.2 | 69.3 | 135.5 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 42.1 | 64.9 | 107.0 | 85.0 | 182.9 |
| 2-3 | 28.9 | 54.9 | 83.8 | 79.9 | 157.0 |
| 4-6 | 29.6 | 49.3 | 78.9 | 75.4 | 148.3 |
| 7+ | 28.9 | 49.6 | 78.5 | 63.8 | 137.3 |
| Previous birth interval |  |  |  |  |  |
| <2 yrs | 52.7 | 69.3 | 122.0 | 94.4 | 204.9 |
| $2-3 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 19.2 | 44.3 | 63.5 | 69.0 | 128.1 |
| 4 yrs + | 12.3 | 34.7 | 47.0 | 42.6 | 87.6 |
| Size at birth ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small/very small | 36.9 | 53.5 | 90.4 | NA | NA |
| Average or larger | 20.2 | 54.1 | 74.3 | NA | NA |

Figures in parentheses are based on 250-499 births.
${ }^{1}$ Refers to births in the four years before the survey
NA = Not applicable

Figure 7.2
Under-Five Mortality by Selected Demographic Characteristics


Note: Rates are for the 10 -year period preceding the survey.
1995 UDHS

In general, mortality among male children is slightly higher than that for female children. The only exception to this is in the case of neonatal mortality where the rates are very similar. These slight differences in mortality by sex could probably be attributed to biological differences, since no Ugandan culture is known to have such strong sex preference norms that would lead to neglect of male babies.

The mortality picture portrayed by mother's age at birth is consistent with previous evidence that having children too early or too late increases the risk of death. Children born to mothers below age 20 experience the highest mortality across the board. There is a sharp decline as one moves to the children born to mothers age 20-29. For example, one in nine babies bom to mothers below age 20 dies before the first birthday, compared to only one out of 12 of those born to mothers age 20-29. Babies born to mothers age 30-39 enjoy the best survival prohability.

The information on birth order shows an expected relationship that the lower the birth order, the higher the risk of mortality. The most striking feature is that first order mortality rates are all far higher than the rates for subsequent birth orders. Examining the first order under-five mortality rate, about one-fifth of all first births die before celebrating their fifth birthday.

The most consistent findings can be observed in the relationship between the length of the preceding birth interval and risk of early childhood mortality. The 1995 UDHS data show that short birth intervals significantly reduce a child's chance of survival. Ugandan children bom less than two years after a preceding sibling are about twice as likely to die in infancy as those born two to three years after a preceding sibling ( 122 vs. 64 per 1,000). During ages 1-4 years, children born after a short interval are rnore than twice as likely to die as their counterparts born after an interval of 4 or more years ( 94 vs .43 per 1,000 ). This relationship persists in all the age groups examined. It suggests the need to reduce mortality risks for Ugandan children by promoting family planning use and traditional practises such as breastfeeding, so as to space births more widely.

A child's size at birth is an important determinant of its survival during infancy. In the 1995 UDHS, mothers were asked whether their young children were very small, small, average size, large, or very large at birth. This type of subjective assessment has been shown to correlate closely with actual birth weight. Neonates perceived by their mothers to be small or very small are much more likely to die in the first month of life than those perceived as average or larger in size.

### 7.5 High-Risk Fertility Behaviour

This section examines the relative importance of under-five mortality risk factors. Generally, infants and children have a greater probability of dying if they are born to mothers who are too young or too old, if they are born after a short birth interval, or if they are of high parity. In the analysis of the effects of high-risk fertility behaviour on child survival, a mother is classified as "too young" if she is less than 18 years of age, and "too old" if she is over 34 years of age at the time of delivery. A "short birth interval" is defined as a birth occurring less than 24 months after the previous birth, and a child is of "high birth order" if the mother had previously given birth to three or more children (i.e., if the child is of birth order 4 or higher). Children can be further cross-classified by combinations of these characteristics. Column one of Table 7.4 shows the percentage of births occurring in the five years before the survey that fall into these various risk categories.

Table 7.4 shows that the overwhelming majority of Ugandan births are categorised as risky. Twothirds of the children born in the five years before the survey fall into at least one risk category; 22 percent of births are characterised by two or more risk factors. Risk ratios are presented in column two; the risk ratio is the ratio of the proportion in a category who have died to the proportion of those not in any high-risk category who have died. Table 7.4 shows that high birth order is not by itself associated with higher mortality

Table 7.4 High-risk fertility behaviour
Percent distribution of children born in the five years preceding the survey by category of elevated risk of mortality, and the percent distribution of currently married women at risk of conceiving a child with an elevated risk of mortality, by category of increased risk, Uganda 1995

| Risk category | Births in 5 years preceding the survey |  | Percentage of currently married women ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of births | Risk ratio |  |
| Not in any high-risk category | 34.1 | 1.00 | $24.2{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Single high-risk category |  |  |  |
| Mother's age < 18 | 9.0 | 1.85 | 2.7 |
| Mother's age > 34 | 0.1 | 0.68 | 2.4 |
| Birth interval < 24 months | 8.2 | 1.41 | 11.4 |
| Birth order > 3 | 26.2 | 0.84 | 18.6 |
| Subtotal | 43.5 | 1.16 | 35.1 |
| Multiple high-risk category |  |  |  |
| Age $<18$ \& birth interval $<24^{\text {c }}$ mo | 1.0 | 1.40 | 0.8 |
| Age > 34 \& birth interval < 24 mo | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.1 |
| Age $>34$ \& birth order $>3$ | 8.4 | 0.65 | 18.4 |
| Age $>34$ \& birth interval $<24$ \& birth order >3 | 2.0 | 1.82 | 4.6 |
| Birth interval < 24 \& birth order > 3 | 10.9 | 1.64 | 16.8 |
| Subtotal | 22.4 | 1.27 | 40.7 |
| In any high-risk category | 65.9 | 1.19 | 75.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | - | 100.0 |
| Number | 7,396 | - | 5,134 |

Note: Risk ratio is the ratio of the proportion dead of births in a specific high${ }^{1}$ risk category to the proportion dead of births not in any high-risk category. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Women were assigned to risk categories according to the status they would have at the birth of a child, if the child were conceived at the time of the survey: age less than 17 years and 3 months, age older than 34 years and 2 months, latest birth less than 15 months ago, and latest birth of order 3 or higher.
${ }^{5}$ Includes sterilised women

risk in Uganda unless coupled with a short birth interval. Since almost half of births in Uganda are of high birth order, this operates to diminish the associated risk ratio in the overall single-high risk category.

The most serious mortality risk is being born to mothers below 18 years of age. In all, 10 percent of births occur to mothers below age 18. These births suffer a mortality risk of 85 percent higher than children who fall in the "not in any risk" category; children who fall in a multiple-risk category that includes younger mothers also suffer greater risks.

Short birth intervals, those less than two years, constitute yet another mortality risk. More than 22 percent of births occur less than two years after a previous birth. It can be seen from Table 7.4 that producing children at intervals of less than two years increases their risk of mortality by more than 40 percent.

Another risky combination is having birth intervals of less than two years and a birth order greater than three. This combination affects about 11 percent of all births. Despite the fact that higher birth orders do not constitute any increased mortality risk for children (described earlier), it is important to note that when this phenomenon is combined with short birth intervals, the risk of mortality is raised by over 60 percent.

## CHAPTER 8

## MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH

This chapter presents the 1995 UDHS findings in three areas of importance to maternal and child health: matemal care and characteristics of the newborn, childhood vaccinations, and common childhood illnesses and their treatment. One of the priorities of the Ministry of Health in Uganda is the provision of medical care during pregnancy and at delivery which is essential for the survival of both the mother and infant. The 1995 UDHS results provide an evaluation of utilisation of these health services as well as information with which to assess the need for additional services. This information can be used to identify women whose babies are at risk because of non-use of maternal health services. The information will assist policymakers in the planning of appropriate strategies to improve maternal and child care.

### 8.1 Antenatal Care

Table 8.1 shows the percent distribution of live births in the four years preceding the survey by source of antenatal care received during pregnancy, according to maternal and background characteristics. Inter-

## Table 8.1 Antenatal care

Percent distribution of live births in the four years preceding the survey by source of antenatal care during pregnancy, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Antenatal care provider ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Nurse/ Trained midwife | $\begin{gathered} \text { Birth } \\ \text { attendant }{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | No one | Missing |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 9.7 | 83.0 | 0.7 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,343 |
| 20-34 | 9.8 | 81.4 | 1.0 | 7.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 4,044 |
| 35+ | 8.9 | 79.1 | 0.9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 640 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10.1 | 82.8 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,228 |
| 2-3 | 10.8 | 81.6 | 0.8 | 6.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,965 |
| 4-5 | 10.1 | 81.6 | 0.9 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,245 |
| $6+$ | 7.6 | 80.3 | 1.1 | 10.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,589 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 26.1 | 68.9 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 706 |
| Rural | 7.5 | 83.2 | 1.0 | 8.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 5,321 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 16.7 | 77.7 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,565 |
| Eastern | 5.8 | 86.8 | 0.9 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,638 |
| Northem | 3.5 | 86.4 | 0.9 | 9.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,164 |
| Western | 11.2 | 76.4 | 0.8 | 10.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,661 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.7 | 80.1 | 1.5 | 12.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,879 |
| Primary | 9.6 | 83.1 | 0.8 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3,501 |
| Secondary+ | 21.3 | 77.3 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 648 |
| Total | 9.7 | 81.5 | 0.9 | 7.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 6,027 |

[^23]viewers recorded all persons a woman may have seen for care, but in the table, only the provider with the highest qualification is considered (if more than one person was seen). The data indicate that a great number of pregnant women in Uganda receive antenatal care either from doctors ( 10 percent) or trained nurses or midwives ( 82 percent), while a small fraction (less than one percent) receive care from traditional birth attendants, and 8 percent do not receive any such care (Figure 8.1).

Figure 8.1 Percent Distribution of Births by
Antenatal Care and Delivery Characteristics


Note: Based on births in the four years preceding the survey.
UDHS 1995

The mother's age at birth and the child's birth order appear to have little effect on who the woman is likely to seek for antenatal care. There is a difference in antenatal care coverage for births in urban and rural areas. In urban areas, 26 percent of pregnant women see a doctor, compared with 8 percent in rural areas. However, in rural areas, a higher percentage ( 83 percent) see trained nurses or midwives compared to urban women ( 69 percent). Women in the Central Region are more likely to receive antenatal care from a doctor than women in other parts of the country. The proportion of women who do not receive any care during pregnancy is highest ( 11 percent) in the Western Region. The use of antenatal care is strongly associated with the mother's education. The proportion of women who obtain antenatal care from a doctor increases from 6 percent among uneducated women to 21 percent of women with higher education.

Pregnancy monitoring and detection of complications are main objectives of antenatal care. Obstetricians generally recommend that antenatal visits be made monthly for the first seven months, fortnightly in the eighth month, and then weekly until birth. If the first visit is made during the third month of pregnancy, this schedule translates to a total of about 12 to 13 visits.

Data on the number of antenatal care visits made and stage of pregnancy at the first visit are given in Table 8.2. For almost half ( 47 percent) of the births in the four years before the survey, mothers made four or more antenatal care visits, while 37 percent made between two and three visits. Eight percent of the women did not make any visits to health facilities for antenatal care during their pregnancy. The median
number of antenatal care visits was 4.1 , far fewer than the recommended number of 12. About half ( 49 percent) of births in Uganda benefit from antenatal care before the sixth month of gestation. However, 38 percent of pregnant women do not receive antenatal care until the sixth or seventh month of pregnancy. The median time at which mothers start antenatal visits is 5.9 months.

In order to investigate trends in antenatal care coverage, the 1995 UDHS data were retabulated to reflect only those areas covered in the 1988-89 UDHS areas. For these areas, the proportion of births for which the mother received antenatal care from a doctor remained constant at 11 percent, while the proportion whose inothers obtained care from a trained nurse or midwife increased slightly from 76 percent in 1988-89 (Kaijuka, et al., 1989:59) to 80 percent in 1995 (data not shown).

### 8.2 Tetanus Toxoid Vaccination

Tetanus toxoid injections are given during pregnancy for the prevention of neonatal tetanus, a common cause of death among infants in many settings around the world. For full protection, a pregnant woman needs two doses of the toxoid. However, if a woman has been vaccinated during a previous pregnancy, she may only require one dose for a current pregnancy. Five doses are considered adequate to provide lifetime protection. In order to estimate the extent of tetanus toxoid coverage during pregnancy, the 1995 UDHS collected data for each of the births that occurred in the four years before the survey as to whether the mother had received tetanus toxoid vaccinations during the pregnancy, and if so, how many. The results are presented in Table 8.3.

Table 8.2 Number of antenatal care visits and stage of pregnancy

Percent distribution of live births in the four years preceding the survey by number of antenatal care visits, and by the stage of pregnancy at the time of the first visit, Uganda 1995

| Characteristic | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of visits |  |
| 0 | 7.5 |
| 1 | 6.3 |
| $2-3$ | 37.2 |
| $4+$ | 1.8 |
| Don't know/missing | 100.0 |
|  | 4.1 |
| Total |  |
| Median | 7.5 |
| Number of months pregnant |  |
| at time of first visit | 48.6 |
| No antenatal care | 37.4 |
| <6 months | 6.0 |
| $6-7$ months | 0.4 |
| $8+$ months | 100.0 |
| Don't know/missing | 5.9 |
| Total | 6,027 |
| Median |  |
| Number of births |  |

Note: Figures are for births in the period 0-47 months preceding the survey.

Results show that for more than half of the births, mothers receive two or more doses of tetanus toxoid injections during pregnancy, while 26 percent receive one dose and 20 percent of births do not benefit from any tetanus toxoid vaccination during pregnancy. Younger women and women with low parity are more likely to have received two or more doses of tetanus toxoid. Compared with rural births, births occurring in urban areas are slightly more likely to have received two or more doses of tetanus toxoid and less likely to have received no tetanus toxoid. Regional differentials show that the proportion of births to mothers who received two or more tetanus toxoid doses during pregnancy is highest in the Eastern and Northern Regions ( 58 percent) and lowest in the Western Region ( 47 percent). There is a positive relationship between mother's education and tetanus toxoid coverage. The proportion of births whose mothers received two or more doses of tetanus toxoid during pregnancy increases from 51 percent among women with no education to 59 percent among those with secondary or higher education. Also, the proportion of births to women who did not receive any tetanus toxoid vaccine during pregnancy decreases as the level of education increases. This pattern may reflect greater access to modern medical care by educated women, their being better informed of the benefits of vaccination, and their taking advantage of available services.

Table 8.3 Tetanus toxoid vaccinations
Percent distribution of live births in the four years preceding the survey by number of tetanus toxoid injections during pregnancy, according to background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Number of tetanus toxoid injections |  |  |  | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | One dose | Two doses or more | Don't know/ Missing |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 17.0 | 26.3 | 56.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,343 |
| 20-34 | 19.2 | 26.2 | 54.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 4,044 |
| 35+ | 26.1 | 26.8 | 47.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 640 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 14.7 | 25.7 | 58.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,228 |
| 2-3 | 16.9 | 26.8 | 55.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,965 |
| 4-5 | 21.2 | 25.3 | 53.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,245 |
| $6+$ | 25.0 | 26.8 | 47.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,589 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 14.6 | 26.4 | 58.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 706 |
| Rural | 20.1 | 26.2 | 53.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 5,321 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 20.8 | 25.1 | 53.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,565 |
| Eastern | 15.8 | 26.1 | 58.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,638 |
| Northern | 15.1 | 26.8 | 57.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,164 |
| Western | 24.9 | 27.2 | 46.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,161 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 26.0 | 23.3 | 50.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,879 |
| Primary | 17.3 | 27.4 | 54.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 3,501 |
| Secondary+ | 12.4 | 28.4 | 58.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 648 |
| Total | 19.5 | 26.3 | 53.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 6,027 |

Note: Figures are for births in the period $0-47$ months preceding the survey.

### 8.3 Assistance and Medical Care at Delivery

An important element in reducing health risks for mothers and children is increasing the proportion of babies that are delivered in medical facilities. Proper medical attention and hygienic conditions during delivery can reduce the risk of complications and infections that can cause death or serious illness to either the mother or the baby. In the 1995 UDHS, women were asked the type of place where they delivered each of the children they had given birth to in the four years preceding the survey (Table 8.4).

Almost two out of three births ( 64 percent) in Uganda are delivered at home and 35 percent are delivered in health facilities. Births to older women and births of higher birth order are more likely to occur at home. A child born in a rural area is three times more likely to have been delivered at home than an urban child. A much greater proportion of births in the Central Region ( 57 percent) are delivered at health facilities than those in the Northern and Western Regions ( 21 to 22 percent). Mother's education is strongly related to place of delivery. The proportion of births delivered at health facilities increases from 19 percent among mothers with no education to 70 percent among mothers with secondary or higher education. Women who

| Table 8.4 Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of births in the four years preceding the survey by place of delivery, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Place of delivery |  |  | Total | Number of births |
|  | Health facility | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't know/ } \\ & \text { Missing } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 42.0 | 56.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,343 |
| 20-34 | 34.2 | 64.8 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 4,044 |
| $35+$ | 29.4 | 70.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 640 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 47.6 | 51.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,228 |
| 2-3 | 35.4 | 63.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1,965 |
| 4-5 | 31.2 | 67.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,245 |
| $6+$ | 29.2 | 69.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,589 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 76.2 | 23.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 706 |
| Rural | 30.0 | 68.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 5,321 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 57.3 | 41.4 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 1,565 |
| Eastern | 38.6 | 60.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,638 |
| Northern | 20.6 | 78.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,164 |
| Western | 22.0 | 76.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 1,661 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 18.6 | 80.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,879 |
| Primary | 38.1 | 60.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 3,501 |
| Secondary+ | 69.6 | 29.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 648 |
| Antenatal care visits |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 8.6 | 91.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 455 |
| $1-3$ visits | 25.0 | 74.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2,622 |
| 4 or more visits | 48.8 | 50.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2,842 |
| Don't know/Missing | 48.7 | 34.9 | 17.4 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Total | 35.4 | 63.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 6,027 |
| Note: Figures are for births in the period 0-47 months preceding the survey. |  |  |  |  |  |

visited health professionals during pregnancy are much more likely to deliver in a health facility than women who have had no such contact. About half of the women who make four or more antenatal visits deliver at health facilities, compared to 9 percent of those who do not obtain any antenatal care.

The type of assistance a woman receives during the birth of her child has important health consequences for both mother and child. Table 8.5 shows the percent distribution of live births in the four years before the survey by type of assistance during delivery, according to background characteristics. Data indicate that assistance at delivery varies by characteristics of the mother. Maternal age and child's birth order are associated with type of assistance at delivery; births to older women and those of higher order are more likely to occur with no assistance, whereas, first births and births to younger women tend to receive better care during delivery. This is encouraging, since first births pose greater risks than subsequent births.

As might be expected, births in urban areas are more likely to be assisted by medical personnel (doctor, or trained nurse or midwife) than rural births. Regional differences in types of assistance at delivery are also prominent. Medical persons assisted with the highest proportion of births ( 60 percent) in the Central

Table 8.5 Assistance during delivery
Percent distribution of births in the four years preceding the survey by type of assistance during delivery, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Assistance during delivery |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor | Nurse/ Trained midwife | $\begin{gathered} \text { Traditional } \\ \text { birth } \\ \text { attendant } \end{gathered}$ | Relative/ Other | No one | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't } \\ \text { know/ } \\ \text { Missing } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 20 | 4.4 | 39.7 | 16.2 | 33.4 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,343 |
| 20-34 | 4.1 | 32.7 | 15.3 | 35.2 | 12.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 4,044 |
| $35+$ | 2.6 | 28.1 | 12.6 | 36.2 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 640 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6.4 | 43.0 | 16.0 | 30.3 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,228 |
| 2-3 | 4.2 | 34.2 | 15.0 | 37.2 | 8.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,965 |
| $4-5$ | 3.0 | 30.5 | 16.0 | 36.4 | 13.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,245 |
| $6+$ | 2.6 | 28.7 | 14.3 | 34.3 | 19.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,589 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 15.1 | 63.8 | 5.9 | 10.8 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | ¢06 |
| Rural | 2.5 | 29.8 | 16.5 | 38.1 | 12.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 5,321 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 8.5 | 51.4 | 11.6 | 22.0 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,565 |
| Eastern | 2.7 | 38.7 | 9.1 | 36.8 | 12.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,638 |
| Northern | 1.6 | 21.0 | 35.5 | 28.9 | 12.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,164 |
| Western | 2.7 | 21.3 | 10.4 | 49.3 | 15.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1,661 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.0 | 18.7 | 17.4 | 43.2 | 18.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,879 |
| Primary | 4.0 | 36.4 | 15.4 | 34.3 | 9.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3,501 |
| Secondary+ | 10.0 | 63.3 | 7.8 | 13.6 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 648 |
| Antenatal care visits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 0.8 | 8.5 | 18.0 | 47.8 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 455 |
| 1-3 visits | 1.8 | 25.4 | 16.9 | 42.1 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.622 |
| 4 or more visits | 6.4 | 45.3 | 13.4 | 26.7 | 8.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,842 |
| Don't know/Missing | 7.6 | 40.1 | 10.2 | 19.9 | 7.2 | 13.5 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Total | 4.0 | 33.8 | 15.2 | 34.9 | 11.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 6,027 |

Note: Figures are for births in the period $0-47$ months preceding the survey. If the respondent mentioned more than one attendant, only the most qualified attendant was considered in this table.
${ }^{1}$ Traditional midwife

Region and lowest in the Northern ( 23 percent) and Westem Regions ( 24 percent). Matemal education is closely related to better supervision at delivery. The proportion of births assisted by doctors, nurses, and midwives increases from 21 percent of births to women with no education to 73 percent of births to women with secondary or higher education. Not surprisingly, women who receive antenatal care during pregnancy are more likely to deliver with medical assistance than women who receive no antenatal care. Only 9 percent of births whose mothers had no antenatal visits were assisted by doctors, nurses, or midwives, compared with over half of the births whose mothers had four or more antenatal visits.

### 8.4 Characteristics of Delivery

The 1995 UDHS collected information on several other aspects relating to the delivery of births. Questions on birth weight and size of the baby at birth were included to estimate the proportion of low birth weight infants. Low birth weight infants generally face higher risks of infant mortality and the prevalence of such births is a good indicator of the nutritional status of the mother.

Based on the reports of mothers, 3 percent of babies born in Uganda are delivered by Caesarean section (Table 8.6). Caesarean sections ( C -sections) are less common amongst older women, women with more children, rural women, and those with little or no education. Prevalence of Caesarean deliveries varies from 2 percent in the Northern and Westem Regions to 4 percent in the Central Region.

Birth weights are not available for three-quarters of the births. Among the 25 percent for which data are available, 3 percent weighed less than 2.5 kilograms and thus can be classified as low birth weight infants. According to the respondent's own assessment of her infant's size, 19 percent of births are smaller than average or very small in size and 79 percent are average.

Table 8.6 Delivery characteristics: caesarean section, birth weight and size
Among live births in the four years preceding the survey, the percentage of deliveries by caesarean section, and the percent distribution by birth weight and the mother's estimate of baby's size at birth, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995


Note: Figures are for births in the period $0-47$ months preceding the survey.

### 8.5 Childhood Immunisation

In order to assist the Uganda National Expanded Programme on Immunisation (UNEPI) of the Ministry of Health, the 1995 UDHS collected information on vaccination coverage for all children born in the four years preceding the survey; the data presented here are restricted to children who were alive at the time of the survey.

The UNEPI recommends the following schedule of childhood vaccinations: polio and BCG at birth; polio and DPT at 6,10 , and 14 weeks; and measles at 9 months of age. BCG confers protection against tuberculosis and DPT protects against diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus. A child is considered fully vaccinated if he or she has received: a BCG vaccination; three doses of DPT vaccine; at least three doses of polio vaccine; and one dose of measles vaccine.

Information on vaccination coverage was collected in two ways in the UDHS--from vaccination cards shown to the interviewer and from mothers' verbal reports. In Uganda, most health facilities, including hospitals, health centres, and outreach centres for immunisation, provide cards on which vaccinations are recorded. If a mother was able to present such a card to the interviewer, this was used as the source of information, with the interviewer recording the vaccination dates directly from the card. The mother was then asked if the child had received other vaccinations that were not recorded on the card, and if so, they too were noted on the questionnaire. If the mother was not able to provide a card for the child, she was asked to recall whether or not the child had received BCG, polio (including the number of doses for each), DPT, and measles vaccinations.

Information on vaccination coverage is presented in Table 8.7, according to the source of information used to determine coverage, i.e., the vaccination card or mother's report. Data are presented for children age 12-23 months, thereby including only those children who have reached the age by which they should be fully vaccinated.

Table 8.7 Vaccinations by source of information
Percentage of children 12-23 months who had received specific vaccines at any time before the survey, by whether the information was from a vaccination card or from the mother, and the percentage vaccinated by 12 months of age, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent-agewitha card |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BCG | DPTI | DPT2 | DPT3 | Polio $0^{\text {a }}$ | Poliol | Polio2 | Polio 3 | Measles | $\mathrm{All}^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |
| Vaccinated at any time before the survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccination card | 59.2 | 58.7 | 53.2 | 46.1 | 15.1 | 58.4 | 53.3 | 46.1 | 44.3 | 38.6 | 0.0 | 60.5 | 960 |
| Mother's report | 24.4 | 23.0 | 20.3 | 15.0 | 7.8 | 23.8 | 19.7 | 12.9 | 15.3 | 8.9 | 14.4 | 39.5 | 628 |
| Either source | 83.6 | 81.7 | 73.5 | 61.1 | 22.9 | 82.2 | 73.0 | 59.0 | 59.6 | 47.4 | 14.4 | 100.0 | 1,588 |
| Vaccinated by 12 months of age | 79.4 | 76.8 | 68.7 | 54.5 | 22.3 | 77.4 | 68.1 | 52.6 | 45.2 | 35.6 | 18.9 | U | 1,588 |

Note: For children whose information was based on the mother's report, the proportion of vaccinations given during the first year of life was assumed to be the same as for children with a written record of vaccination.
${ }^{1}$ Children who are fully vaccinated (i.e., those who have received BCG, measles, and three doses of DPT and polio) $\mathrm{U}=$ Unknown
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Polio 0 is given at birth.

According to information from both the vaccination cards and mothers' recall, 84 percent of children age 12-23 months have received a BCG vaccination. Coverage of the polio vaccine at birth is low, with only 23 percent of children given vaccinations at birth against polio. Eighty-two percent have received the first doses of DPT and polio. There is a steep drop-off between the first and third doses of DPT and polio, from 82 percent of children receiving the first doses of the DPT and polio vaccines (not polio at birth), to only
about 74 percent who receive the second doses, and roughly 60 percent who receive the third doses. This yields a dropout rate ${ }^{1}$ of 25 percent for DPT and 28 percent for polio. Sixty percent of children age 12-23 months have been vaccinated against measles; 45 percent having received it before their first birthday.

Based on both the health card and the mother's report, 47 percent of children age 12-23 months have received all of the recommended vaccinations; only 14 percent have not received any vaccinations. Information for most children ( 61 percent) was available from their vaccination cards, while for 40 percent of the children age 12-23 months, no card was available and the information was taken from the mother's recall.

### 8.6 Immunisation by Background Characteristics

Table 8.8 presents vaccination coverage (according to card information and mother's report) among children age 12-23 months by selected background characteristics. The differentials in coverage are similar for the various types of vaccine. The data indicate that children of high birth order (six or more) are less

| Table 8.8 Vaccinations by background characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of children 12-23 months who had received specific vaccines by the time of the survey (according to the vaccination card or the mother's report), and the percentage with a vaccination card, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None | Percentage with a card | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | BCG | DPTI | DPT2 | DPT3 | Polio ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Poliol | Polio2 | Polio3 | Measles | $\mathrm{All}^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Sex of child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 85.0 | 84.0 | 75.7 | 63.0 | 22.7 | 83.8 | 75.5 | 60.2 | 60.4 | 48.3 | 13.1 | 63.5 | 771 |
| Fernale | 82.4 | 79.5 | 71.5 | 59.4 | 23.0 | 80.7 | 70.8 | 57.9 | 58.9 | 46.6 | 15.6 | 57.6 | 817 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 86.2 | 83.6 | 75.3 | 63.5 | 28.8 | 84.3 | 75.0 | 59.7 | 64.0 | 46.3 | 12.6 | 59.2 | 307 |
| 2-3 | 86.3 | 86.1 | 76.9 | 66.0 | 27.2 | 85.0 | 76.2 | 63.1 | 62.2 | 50.9 | 12.1 | 60.8 | 533 |
| $4 \cdot 5$ | 83.3 | 80.3 | 74.3 | 58.6 | 20.2 | 84.3 | 74.3 | 57.5 | 57.0 | 46.7 | 14.1 | 59.9 | 329 |
| $6+$ | 78.6 | 75.9 | 67.4 | 55.2 | 15.1 | 75.4 | 66.5 | 54.6 | 55.2 | 44.3 | 18.7 | 61.3 | 419 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 93.7 | 91.7 | 87.2 | 75.3 | 49.7 | 92.8 | 86.9 | 67.4 | 74.2 | 56.1 | 5.3 | 55.1 | 173 |
| Rural | 82.4 | 80.5 | 71.9 | 59.4 | 19.6 | 80.9 | 71.3 | 58.0 | 57.8 | 46.3 | 15.5 | 61.1 | 1,414 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 85.8 | 86.3 | 82.1 | 70.8 | 24.5 | 86.1 | 81.8 | 67.2 | 65.8 | 53.4 | 12.4 | 63.4 | 397 |
| Eastern | 80.8 | 77.5 | 65.4 | 49.1 | 31.2 | 78.7 | 65.0 | 46.9 | 48.0 | 34.4 | 15.1 | 57.6 | 431 |
| Northern | 82.7 | 77.2 | 64.3 | 47.7 | 19.8 | 79.2 | 62.7 | 43.4 | 51.5 | 34.7 | 16.1 | 52.7 | 335 |
| Western | 85.3 | 85.2 | 81.1 | 74.9 | 15.3 | 84.6 | 81.2 | 76.0 | 72.0 | 65.1 | 14.0 | 66.7 | 425 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 75.9 | 72.5 | 62.0 | 47.4 | 15.6 | 74.3 | 62.0 | 48.7 | 49.1 | 38.3 | 21.4 | 56.8 | 486 |
| Primary | 85.6 | 83.7 | 76.0 | 64.4 | 22.8 | 83.7 | 75.3 | 61.2 | 60.8 | 48.4 | 12.9 | 61.5 | 935 |
| Secondary + | 95.3 | 97.5 | 93.3 | 82.7 | 44.5 | 96.6 | 92.4 | 77.0 | 83.3 | 68.1 | 2.2 | 65.4 | 167 |
| Total | 83.6 | 81.7 | 73.5 | 61.1 | 22.9 | 82.2 | 73.0 | 59.0 | 59.6 | 47.4 | 14.4 | 60.5 | 1,588 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Children who are fully vaccinated (i.e., those who have received BCG, measles, and three doses of DPT and polio) <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Polio 0 is given at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^24]Dropout rate for DPT $=[($ DPT3 - DPT1 $) /$ DPT1 $] * 100$.
Dropout rate for polio $=[(\text { polio1 }- \text { polio }) / \text { poliol }]^{*} 100$.
likely than children of lower birth orders (except first births) to receive the basic childhood immunisations. The vaccination program is more successful in urban areas, although almost half of children in rural areas have been fully immunised (Figure 8.2). Children in the Western Region are more likely to be immunised than children in other regions, whereas those in the Eastern and Northern Regions lag behind the national average. Coverage of children of women with no education is 38 percent, compared with 68 percent for children whose mothers have attended secondary school.

Figure 8.2
Percentage of Children Age 12-23 Months Who Have Received All Vaccinations by Background Characteristics


UDHS 1995

There are two ways to assess trends in vaccination coverage. One is to compare the data from the 1995 and 1988-89 surveys. This is made difficult by the fact that the questions were substantially altered between the two surveys. In the 1988-89 UDHS, if mothers could not produce a vaccination card for their children, they were merely asked if the child had ever been vaccinated, while in the 1995 survey, they were asked about specific vaccinations the child might have received. Rough estimates of what coverage rates would be if mothers interviewed in the 1988-89 UDHS had been asked to report on specific vaccinations have been produced indirectly. They indicate that approximately 31 percent of children age 12-23 months in 198889 were fully immunised (Boerma et al., 1990:10). However, there was also a problem in that the 1988-89 UDHS did not cover the northern part of the country ( 20 percent of the population). For comparison purposes, the 1995 UDHS analysis has been redone based on the areas that were covered in the 1988-89 UDHS. This calculation shows that in 1995, 49 percent of children age 12-23 months living in the areas covered by the 1988-89 UDHS have been fully immunised. This implies that coverage has increased substantially between 1988-89 and 1995 (from 31 to 49 percent) (Figure 8.3). Although this increase is gratifying, still less than half of the children in Uganda are fully immunised.

Figure 8.3 Trends in Vaccination Coverage Among Children Age 12-23 Months


Note: 1988-89 UDHS data reter to roughly 80 percent of Uganda's population.
'includes BCG, Measies, and three doses each of DPT and Polio

### 8.7 Immunisations by First Year of Life

In addition to data from multiple surveys, coverage trends can be assessed with the 1995 UDHS data. Data on vaccination status of children age 12-47 months allow for an evaluation of coverage in the first year of life among different age groups. Table 8.9 shows the percentage of children by age group who had been vaccinated by 12 months of age (in order to maintain comparability). Data are derived from either vaccination cards or the mothers' reports. For children whose information was based on the mother's recall, the distribution of vaccinations during the first year of life was assumed to be the same as that for children for whom a vaccination record was available.

The coverage estimates, based on the card and mother's recall for each age group refer to a specific period of time before the survey. For instance, coverage by 12 months among children $12-23$ months roughly refers to the programme performance the year before the survey (i.e., 1994, since the fieldwork for the 1995 LDHS was carried out during March-September 1995), data on children 24-35 months refer roughly to 1993, and data on children 36-47 months refer roughly to 1992 . Hence these results may be used to assess the immunisation coverage during the first year of life for the period 1992-1994.

Several points emerge from Table 8.9. Vaccination cards were less likely to be shown for older children, making those coverage estimates somewhat less accurate. Overall, vaccination cards were produced for 54 percent of the children. The percentage of children for whom a vaccination card was seen decreases with age, from 61 percent of children 12-23 months to 46 percent of those age $37-47$ months. This decline is most likely due to a tendency to misplace or lose the cards once children have been fully vaccinated.

Table 8.9 Vaccinations in first year of life
Percentage of children one to four years of age for whom a vaccination card was shown to the interviewer and the percentage vaccinated for BCG, DPT, polio, and measles during the first year of life, by current age of the child, Uganda 1995

|  | Current age of child in months |  |  | All children <br> $12-47$ <br> months |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaccine | $12-23$ | 24.35 | $36-47$ |  |
| Vaccination card <br> shown to interviewer | 60.5 | 52.1 | 45.7 | 53.5 |
| Percent vaccinated at |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Information was obtained either from a vaccination card or from the mother if there was no written record. For children whose information was based on the mother's report, the proportion of vaccinations given during the first year of life was assumed to be the same as that for children with a written yaccination record.
${ }^{6}$ Children who have received BCG, measles, and three doses each of DPT and polio vaccines

The proportion of children who were fully immunised by their first birthday rose from 30 percent of those who were age $36-47$ months at the time of the survey to 36 percent for those age 12-23 months. Over the same time, the proportion of children not receiving any vaccination decreased from 29 percent of children age 36-47 months to 19 percent of children age 12-23 months.

### 8.8 Childhood Illness and Treatment

Three illnesses that are of major importance for infant and child survival in Uganda are discussed in this section. They are acute respiratory infection, fever, and diarrhoea.

## Acute Respiratory Infection

Acute respiratory infection (ARI) is one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality among children in Uganda. Common symptoms associated with severe respiratory infection include fever, cough, and difficult or rapid breathing. Early diagnosis and treatment with antibiotics can prevent a large proportion of deaths from respiratory infection, especially pneumonia.

The prevalence of symptoms of ARI is estimated in the 1995 UDHS by asking mothers if their children under age four had been ill with coughing accompanied by short, rapid breathing during the two
weeks before the survey. Mothers whose children had experienced these symptoms were asked what they had done to treat the illness. Information on disease prevalence is highly dependent on correct reporting and interpretation of symptoms, while information on treatment practices depends on how much mothers know about the medicines their children receive. Mothers may not know whether the tablets or syrups their children receive contain antibiotics or not. Thus, the reporting may vary widely within the country due to differences in reporting. Information on the prevalence and treatment of ARI and of fever is presented in Table 8.10.

## Table 8.10 Prevalence and treatment of acute respiratory infection and prevalence of fever

Percentage of children under four years who were ill with a cough accompanied by short, rapid breathing (acute respiratory infection) during the two weeks preceding the survey, the percentage of ill children who were taken to a health facility, and the percentage of children with fever during the two weeks preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Percentage of children with cough and rapid breathing | Percentage of children with cough and rapid breathing who were taken to a health facility or provider ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of children with a fever | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |
| < 6 months | 28.8 | 56.4 | 36.4 | 684 |
| 6-11 months | 34.1 | 67.6 | 56.4 | 798 |
| 12-23 months | 30.8 | 65.2 | 55.5 | 1,588 |
| 24.35 months | 23.8 | 55.7 | 42.1 | 1,174 |
| 36-47 months | 20.0 | 57.1 | 37.2 | 1,203 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 28.1 | 60.1 | 48.0 | 2,659 |
| Female | 26.2 | 62.6 | 44.7 | 2,788 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 28.2 | 60.9 | 44.7 | 1,077 |
| 2-3 | 25.4 | 60.7 | 45.8 | 1,778 |
| 4-5 | 26.2 | 63.3 | 46.2 | 1,132 |
| $6+$ | 29.1 | 61.0 | 48.2 | 1,460 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.0 | 76.3 | 35.2 | 635 |
| Rural | 28.2 | 60.0 | 47.8 | 4,812 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 21.4 | 74.4 | 39.3 | 1,410 |
| Eastern | 23.0 | 65.7 | 58.8 | 1,454 |
| Northern | 30.8 | 53.0 | 58.2 | 1,057 |
| Western | 33.7 | 56.2 | 32.6 | 1,525 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 27.8 | 52.2 | 48.5 | 1,694 |
| Primary | 28.6 | 65.3 | 46.2 | 3,154 |
| Secondary+ | 17.7 | 69.0 | 40.6 | 599 |
| Total | 27.1 | 61.4 | 46.3 | 5,447 |

[^25]Results from the 1995 UDHS indicate that 27 percent of children under four years of age had a cough and fast breathing in the two weeks before the survey. Prevalence of respiratory illness varies by age of the child, rising to a peak at 6-11 months of age (one-third of whom had a cough with rapid breathing) then falling slowly to a low at $36-47$ months of age (Figure 8.4). There is no significant difference in ARI prevalence by sex or birth order, but rural children are more likely than urban children to have ARI symptoms. The prevalence of ARI was the highest ( 34 percent) among children in the Western Region and lowest in the Central Region. Education of the mother appears to have an impact on whether or not her children have respiratory illness. Seventeen percent of children whose mothers had secondary education had ARI during the two weeks preceding the survey, compared to 28 and 29 percent of those whose mothers had no education or primary education, respectively.

Overall, 61 percent of children who have symptoms of ARI are taken to a health facility. Children of educated mothers, from the Central Region, and from urban areas are more likely to be taken to a health facility, compared to those whose mothers are less educated, from the other regions and from rural areas.

Figure 8.4
Prevalence of Respiratory Illness and Diarrhoea in the Last Two Weeks by Age of the Child


## Fever

Malaria is endemic in much of Uganda and accounts for a significant proportion of morbidity and mortality in certain areas. Since the major manifestation of malaria is fever, mothers were asked whether their children under age four have had fever in the two weeks preceding the survey.

Table 8.10 shows that 46 percent of children under four years of age were reported to have had fever in the two weeks prior to the survey. Fever is more prevalent among children age 6-23 months, those who live in rural areas, and those in the Eastern and Northern Regions. No pronounced differences were observed in the prevalence of fever by either sex, birth order, or maternal education.

## Diarrhoea

Dehydration due to severe diarrhoea is a major cause of morbidity and mortality among Ugandan children. A simple and effective response to a child's dehydration is a prompt increase in fluid intake, i.e., oral rehydration therapy (ORT). ORT consists of providing either a solution made by mixing a commercially-produced packet of oral rehydration salts (ORS) with water or a recommended home-made solution consisting of sugar, salt, and water. ORS packets are distributed through hospitals, health centres, and pharmacies in Uganda.

In the 1995 UDHS, mothers were asked whether their children under age four had had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey. Table 8.11 presents data about the prevalence of diarrhoea in children under four years of age. Twenty-four percent of children experienced diarrhoea at some time in the two weeks preceding the survey; 5 percent of children experienced bloody diarrhoea, often a symptom of dysentery. As with fever and respiratory infection, diarrhoea is more common among children age 6 to 23 months than among older or younger children (Figure 8.4). Diarrhoea prevalence is slightly higher among rural than urban children. It is also higher among children in the Northern and Eastern Regions and lowest among children in the Central Region. The children of women with more education are less likely to have been sick with diarrhoea and bloody diarrhoea than children whose mothers have less education.

Women interviewed in the UDHS who

## Table 8.11 Prevalence of diarrhoea

Percentage of children under four years who had diarrhoea and diarrhoea with blood in the two weeks preceding the survey, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

|  | Diarnoea in the <br> preceding 2 weeks |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background <br> characteristic | All Diarrhoea Number <br> of <br>  diarrhoea with blood | children |  |


| Child's age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| < 6 months | 17.7 | 2.0 | 684 |
| 6-11 months | 33.3 | 5.5 | 798 |
| 12.23 months | 34.3 | 7.3 | 1,588 |
| 24.35 months | 17.1 | 4.4 | 1,174 |
| 36-47 months | 12.2 | 3.5 | 1,203 |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 25.1 | 5.3 | 2,659 |
| Female | 21.9 | 4.5 | 2,788 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |
| 1 | 23.6 | 4.7 | 1,078 |
| 2-3 | 23.8 | 4.9 | 1,778 |
| 4-5 | 23.7 | 5.0 | 1,132 |
| $6+$ | 22.7 | 5.1 | 1,460 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.4 | 2.5 | 635 |
| Rural | 24.0 | 5.2 | 4,812 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Central | 16.3 | 2.8 | 1,410 |
| Eastern | 26.2 | 6.4 | 1,454 |
| Northern | 34.3 | 7.6 | 1,057 |
| Western | 20.0 | 3.6 | 1,525 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| No education | 26.5 | 6.0 | 1,694 |
| Primary | 23.1 | 4.8 | 3,154 |
| Secondary+ | 16.5 | 2.5 | 599 |
| Total | 23.5 | 4.9 | 5,447 |

Note: Figures are for children born in the period 0-47 monds preceding the survey. had had a birth in the four years preceding the survey were asked questions regarding their knowledge of sugar-salt-water solution and treatment of diarrhoea in general. Almost three out of four mothers know about the use of sugar-salt-water-solutions; yet when asked about specific eating and drinking regimes for sick children, the findings are less encouraging (Table 8.12). Among women with children under four, only two-thirds say that a child who is sick with diarrhoea should get more to drink, and more than half say a child with diarrhoea should be given less to eat than usual. Urban women, those living in the Central Region, and those who are more educated tend to be more knowledgeable about the use of sugar-salt-water solutions and about appropriate feeding and drinking practices for children with diarrhoea.

## Table 8.12 Knowledge of diarrhoea care

Percentage of mothers with births in the last four years who know about the use of sugar-salt-water solution for treatment of diarrhoea (oral rehydration therapy) and the percent distribution by knowledge of appropriate feeding during diarrhoea, according to background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Know about sugar-salt-water solution for treatment of diarrhoea | Quantities that should be given during diarrhoea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of mothers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Liquids |  |  |  | Solid foods |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Less | Same | More | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know/ } \\ & \text { Missing } \end{aligned}$ | Less | Same | More | Don't know/ Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 68.3 | 25.6 | 8.4 | 64.5 | 1.5 | 57.6 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 525 |
| 20-24 | 73.4 | 22.1 | 8.1 | 67.1 | 2.6 | 54.7 | 19.8 | 22.7 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 1,179 |
| 25-29 | 76.3 | 20.0 | 8.8 | 69.1 | 2.0 | 51.3 | 21.5 | 24.7 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 916 |
| 30-34 | 71.6 | 22.7 | 9.5 | 67.1 | 0.7 | 51.8 | 26.1 | 20.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 661 |
| 35+ | 69.9 | 20.7 | 6.6 | 71.6 | 1.2 | 53.9 | 19.3 | 25.4 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 602 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 89.2 | 9.8 | 6.3 | 83.4 | 0.5 | 50.2 | 24.8 | 23.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 442 |
| Rural | 70.4 | 23.5 | 8.6 | 65.9 | 1.9 | 54.1 | 20.8 | 22.8 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 3.441 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 84.7 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 90.0 | 0.1 | 45.1 | 17.8 | 35.6 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 987 |
| Eastern | 69.5 | 27.0 | 9.3 | 62.0 | 1.6 | 60.9 | 20.3 | 17.1 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1,030 |
| Northern | 59.6 | 43.8 | 12.0 | 41.4 | 2.7 | 60.3 | 19.9 | 16.5 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 797 |
| Western | 73.8 | 15.6 | 8.7 | 73.0 | 2.8 | 49.7 | 26.4 | 21.4 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 1,068 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 61.5 | 31.5 | 11.3 | 54.7 | 2.5 | 56.0 | 23.4 | 18.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 1,210 |
| Primary | 75.4 | 19.2 | 7.3 | 71.9 | 1.6 | 53.7 | 19.4 | 24.8 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 2,265 |
| Secondary+ | 89.3 | 9.2 | 5.2 | 85.1 | 0.4 | 46.6 | 25.1 | 26.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 408 |
| Total | 72.5 | 22.0 | 8.3 | 67.9 | 1.8 | 53.7 | 21.3 | 22.9 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 3,883 |

Table 8.13 presents information regarding treatment of recent episodes of diarrhoea among children under age four. Data indicate that 55 percent of children under four whose mothers report that they had diarrhoea in the two weeks before the survey were taken to a health facility for consultation. Of all children with diarrhoea, 48 percent were given ORS fluid, 5 percent received recommended home fluids (RHF), while 49 percent received either ORS or RHF. Almost half ( 49 percent) of mothers reported that they increased the amount of fluids given to their children with diarrhoea, while 9 percent of mothers reported giving injections, and 66 percent provided home remedies. About one-third were given neither ORT nor increased fluids to treat their diarrhoea.

The proportion of children with diarrhoea who were taken to a health facility was more or less the same regardless of age, sex, and birth order. The data indicate that urban children with diarthoea are more likely to be taken to a health facility and are also more likely to receive ORS fluid and increased fluids of any kind. The proportion of children with diarrhoea who are taken to health facilities is highest in the Eastern Region and lowest in the Western Region. Children in the Northern Region are more likely to be given fluid made from ORS packets; injections are more commonly administered for diarrhoea in the Eastem Region,

## Table 8.13 Treatment of diarrhoea

Among children under four years who had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey, the percentage taken for treatment to a health facility or provider, the percentage who received oral rehydration therapy (either solution prepared from ORS packets or recommended home fluids) and increased fluids, the percentage who received neither oral rehydration therapy nor increased fluids, and the percentage receiving other treatments, by background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Percentage taken to a health facility or provider ${ }^{1}$ | Oral rehydration therapy (ORT) |  |  | Increased fluids | Neither ORT nor increased fluids | Other treatments |  | No treatment | Missing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORS } \\ & \text { packets } \end{aligned}$ | RHF | ORS or RHF |  |  | Injection | Home remedy/ Other |  |  |  |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<6$ months | 50.6 | 35.0 | 3.7 | 35.9 | 35.3 | 50.3 | 6.9 | 56.3 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 121 |
| 6.11 months | 53.4 | 50.8 | 4.8 | 51.3 | 50.1 | 34.6 | 8.8 | 65.3 | 10.2 | 0.1 | 266 |
| 12-23 months | 58.8 | 51.0 | 5.1 | 51.9 | 49.0 | 28.3 | 9.6 | 68.2 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 544 |
| 24-35 months | 50.2 | 43.5 | 5.9 | 46.2 | 52.9 | 32.3 | 11.2 | 69.0 | 12.4 | 0.2 | 201 |
| $36-47$ months | 55.1 | 50.2 | 3.6 | 50.2 | 49.3 | 27.6 | 8.7 | 61.6 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 146 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 54.6 | 45.5 | 4.9 | 46.6 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 8.0 | 65.3 | 13.3 | 0.1 | 669 |
| Female | 55.6 | 51.1 | 4.9 | 52.0 | 47.0 | 31.0 | 10.7 | 66.5 | 11.5 | 0.2 | 610 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 49.6 | 44.0 | 5.4 | 45.3 | 48.3 | 32.7 | 9.4 | 61.7 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 254 |
| 2-3 | 54.5 | 48.6 | 4.6 | 49.4 | 47.1 | 32.7 | 10.3 | 66.7 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 424 |
| 4-5 | 58.0 | 52.9 | 6.6 | 53.9 | 49.3 | 30.6 | 9.3 | 68.7 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 269 |
| $6+$ | 57.7 | 46.9 | 3.5 | 48.0 | 50.1 | 32.5 | 8.1 | 65.6 | 10.9 | 0.6 | 332 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 62.6 | 55.0 | 5.5 | 56.4 | 65.0 | 20.6 | 9.5 | 64.4 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 123 |
| Rural | 54.3 | 47.4 | 4.8 | 48.4 | 46.8 | 33.5 | 9.3 | 66.0 | 13.0 | 0.1 | 1,155 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 57.9 | 44.3 | 2.2 | 45.2 | 72.1 | 23.8 | 10.4 | 64.9 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 230 |
| Eastern | 60.1 | 46.2 | 7.1 | 46.6 | 42.7 | 38.3 | 13.7 | 71.4 | 15.8 | 0.1 | 381 |
| Northem | 56.6 | 57.2 | 3.3 | 58.0 | 32.2 | 33.1 | 9.8 | 67.3 | 9.6 | 0.4 | 362 |
| Western | 45.0 | 42.7 | 5.9 | 45.1 | 57.6 | 30.0 | 2.3 | 57.7 | 12.3 | 0.1 | 305 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 50.3 | 49.4 | 3.5 | 50.6 | 42.3 | 35.4 | 7.0 | 61.4 | 15.6 | 0.1 | 449 |
| Primary | 56.9 | 46.5 | 5.5 | 47.6 | 49.7 | 32.3 | 10.5 | 67.8 | 11.9 | 0.2 | 730 |
| Secondary+ | 64.2 | 54.5 | 6.7 | 54.5 | 69.3 | 17.6 | 10.9 | 71.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 99 |
| Total | 55.1 | 48.2 | 4.9 | 49.2 | 48.6 | 32.2 | 9.3 | 65.8 | 12.5 | 0.2 | 1,278 |
| ORS = Oral rehydration salts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RHF = Recommended home fluid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

while home remedies are more common in the Eastern Region and least common in the Western Region. As expected, children of mothers with secondary or more education are more likely to be taken to a health facility when they have diarrhoea than are children whose mothers are less educated. A notable difference is that educated women are more likely than those with less education to give increased fluids to their children with diarrhoea.

In the 1995 UDHS, all mothers who had a child with diarrhoea were also asked whether they had changed the amount that the child was given to drink during the diarrhoeal episode. Table 8.14 shows that about 60 percent of children sick with diarrhoea were given less food during the illness, while 30 percent received less to drink. These results suggest that, although the benefits of increasing fluid intake during a diarrhoeal episode is quite widely understood in Uganda, still a good proportion of mothers reduce fluid intake when their children have diarrhoea.

| Table 8.14 Feeding practices during diarrhoea |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of children under four who had diarrhoea in the past two weeks by amount o solid foods given and amount of fluids given, Uganda 1995 |  |
| Feeding practices | Total |
| Amount of solid foods |  |
| Same | 24.1 |
| Increase | 12.6 |
| Decrease | 60.5 |
| Don't know/missing | 2.9 |
| Amount of fluids |  |
| Same | 20.4 |
| Increase | 48.6 |
| Decrease | 29.7 |
| Don't know/missing | 1.4 |
| Total | 100.0 |
| Number of children | 1,278 |

Note: Figures are for children born in the period 0-47 months preceding the survey.

## CHAPTER 9

## MATERNAL AND CHILD NUTRITION

The findings presented in this chapter relate to infant feeding, including breastfeeding practices, introduction of complementary foods, and the use of feeding bottles; and the nutritional status of young children and their mothers. The 1995 UDHS collected data from mothers regarding the feeding patterns of all of their children under four years of age. As a part of the survey, the heights and weights of all children under four and their mothers were also measured.

### 9.1 Breastfeeding and Supplementation

Early childhood feeding practices and patterns are important determinants of the nutritional status of children which in turn influence their health status. The mother's nutritional well-being before and during conception influences the health of the baby at birth, her own ability to breastfeed successfully, as well as her general health. The health benefits of breastfeeding for both mother and baby are undisputed and they are influenced by both the duration and intensity of breastfeeding and by the age at which the child receives supplementary foods and other liquids.

## Prevalence of Breastfeeding

The data presented in Table 9.1 confirm that breastfeeding in Uganda is almost universal, with 98 percent of the children born in the four years preceding the survey having been breastfed at some time. The proportion of children ever breastfed was high across all regions and did not vary significantly by other background characteristics.

Early initiation of breastfeeding is beneficial for mother and child. From the mother's perspective, early suckling stimulates the release of a hormone that helps her uterus to maintain a contracted state. From the child's perspective, the first breast milk (colostrum) is important, since it is rich in antibodies. Data show that about half the children in Uganda are put to the breast within one hour of birth. Babies in the Western and Eastern Regions are more likely to start breastfeeding within one hour of birth than their counterparts in the Central and Northern Regions.

## Timing of Introduction of Supplementary Foods

The timing of introduction of supplementary foods in addition to breast milk has important implications for the child and the mother. Early supplementation, especially under unhygienic conditions, can result in infection with foreign organisms and lower immunity to disease. The timing of introduction of food supplements also has an impact on the length of the mother's postpartum amenorrhoea. Early initiation of supplementation results in earlier resumption of the mother's menstrual periods, since supplementation diminishes infants' dependence on breast milk and reduces the frequency of suckling.

Table 9.2 shows data concerning breastfeeding practices from birth until the child's third birthday. During the first three months of life, 70 percent of children are exclusively breastfed, that is, they are given nothing but breast milk. By the time infants are age 4-6 months, however, only 34 percent are still being exclusivcly brcastfed. By age 22-23 months, only 32 percent are still receiving any breast milk, and by the time they reach $34-35$ months of age, 97 percent of all children have been completely weaned.

Table 9.1 Initial breastfeeding
Percentage of children born in the four years preceding the survey who were ever breastfed, and the percentage who started breastfeeding within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Percentage ever breastfed | Percentage who started breastfeeding: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within 1 hour of birth | Within 1 day of birth |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 98.0 | 50.2 | 86.0 | 2,941 |
| Femalc | 98.3 | 51.4 | 87.6 | 3,086 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.0 | 50.3 | 86.6 | 706 |
| Rural | 98.1 | 50.9 | 86.9 | 5,321 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 97.7 | 48.2 | 84.7 | 1,565 |
| Eastern | 98.0 | 53.8 | 88.1 | 1,638 |
| Northern | 98.4 | 45.7 | 88.2 | 1,164 |
| Western | 98.4 | 53.9 | 86.6 | 1,661 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 98.6 | 53.3 | 87.0 | 1,879 |
| Primary | 97.9 | 49.5 | 86.5 | 3,501 |
| Secondary+ | 97.9 | 50.2 | 87.9 | 648 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Health professional | 97.5 | 53.2 | 89.1 | 2,277 |
| Traditional midwife | 97.8 | 55.3 | 89.0 | 918 |
| Other or none | 98.8 | 47.8 | 85.0 | 2,811 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 97.5 | 53.0 | 88.9 | 2,134 |
| At home | 98.5 | 50.0 | 86.1 | 3,832 |
| All children | 98.1 | 50.8 | 86.8 | 6,027 |

Note: Total includes 22 children for whom data on assistance at delivery are missing and 61 children for whom place of delivery is missing.

Supplementation of breast milk starts relatively late in Uganda. In the first two months, only 17 percent of children have received supplements other than water and breast milk. However, by $4-5$ months, 57 percent of children are given some form of food supplementation, and by $10-11$ months, 87 percent of children have received supplementations.

| Table 9.2 Breastfeeding status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of living children by current breastfeeding status, according to child's current age in months, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of living children who are: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Breastf | ing and: |  | Nu |
| Age in months | Not breastfeeding | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exclusively } \\ & \text { breast- } \\ & \text { fed } \end{aligned}$ | Plain water only | Supplements | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { of } \\ \text { living } \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ |
| <2 | 0.6 | 76.9 | 5.2 | 17.2 | 100.0 | 180 |
| 2-3 | 0.6 | 64.8 | 3.3 | 31.4 | 100.0 | 233 |
| 4-5 | 2.5 | 36.2 | 4.6 | 56.7 | 100.0 | 271 |
| 6-7 | 1.0 | 18.5 | 5.2 | 75.4 | 100.0 | 251 |
| 8-9 | 4.0 | 8.3 | 3.4 | 84.2 | 100.0 | 247 |
| 10-11 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 87.3 | 100.0 | 300 |
| 12-13 | 10.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 87.9 | 100.0 | 278 |
| 14-15 | 13.7 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 82.1 | 100.0 | 259 |
| 16-17 | 24.7 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 73.3 | 100.0 | 251 |
| 18-19 | 36.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 62.3 | 100.0 | 279 |
| 20-21 | 53.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 45.3 | 100.0 | 280 |
| 22.23 | 67.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 100.0 | 241 |
| 24-25 | 77.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 21.7 | 100.0 | 221 |
| 26-27 | 84.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 100.0 | 191 |
| 28-29 | 92.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 154 |
| 30-31 | 97.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 193 |
| 32-33 | 95.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 234 |
| 34.35 | 96.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 181 |
| 0-3 months | 0.6 | 70.1 | 4.1 | 25.2 | 100.0 | 412 |
| 4-6 months | 2.3 | 34.1 | 5.8 | 57.8 | 100.0 | 387 |
| 7-9 months | 2.7 | 8.7 | 3.0 | 85.6 | 100.0 | 382 |
| Note: Breastfeeding status refers to preceding 24 hours. Children classified as breastfeeding and plain water only receive no supplements. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.3 shows the differentials in duration and frequency of breastfeeding by background characteristics of the child and mother. At the national level, the median duration of any breastfeeding is just under 20 months. The median duration of exclusive breastfeeding and full breastfeeding (breastfeeding plus plain water only) are both about 3 months.

There is very little variation between the breastfeeding duration of male and female children. Rural children are breastfed longer ( 20 months) than urban children ( 17 months). Breastfeeding duration is the longest in the Northern Region ( 25 months) and the shortest in the Central Region ( 17 months). The most striking feature, however, is the decrease in breastfeeding duration with increasing level of education of mothers.

Frequent breastfeeding must be practised in order for mothers to reap all the benefits of breastfeeding. The data in Table 9.3 indicate that 86 percent of children under six months of age were breastfed six or more times in the 24 hours preceding the interview.

Table 9.3 Median duration and frequency of breastfeeding
Median duration of any breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding, and full breastfeeding among children under three years of age, and the percentage of children under six months of age who were breastfed six or more times in the 24 hours preceding the interview, according to background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Median duration in months ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Number of children under 3 years of age | Children under 6 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Breastfed |  |
|  | Any breast feeding | Exclusive breastfeeding |  |  | in preceding 24 hours | Number of children |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 19.4 | 3.1 | 3.8 |  | 2,279 | 87.9 | 329 |
| Female | 19.7 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2,380 | 83.9 | 354 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 16.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 532 | 82.8 | 82 |
| Rural | 19.9 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4,127 | 86.2 | 602 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 17.4 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1,201 | 76.2 | 172 |
| Eastern | 19.0 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1,245 | 88.1 | $\begin{array}{r}173 \\ 150 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Northern | 24.8 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 925 | 86.8 | 150 |
| Western | 20.1 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 1,289 | 91.7 | 189 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 22.6 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 1,432 | 87.9 | 214 |
| Primary | 18.6 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2,735 | 84.9 | 399 |
| Secondary+ | 17.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 491 | 84.6 | 71 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health professional | 17.8 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1,722 | 82.3 | 248 |
| Traditional midwife | 22.0 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 746 | 89.8 | 124 |
| Other or none | 20.5 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 2,183 | 87.0 | 312 |
| Total | 19.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4,659 | 85.8 | 684 |
| Mean | 19.5 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 98.3 | - | - |
| Prevalence/Incidence ${ }^{3}$ | 19.8 | 3.8 | 4.3 | - | - | - |

Note: Total includes seven children for whom data on assistance at delivery are missing.
Medians and means are based on current status
${ }^{2}$ Either exclusive breastfeeding or breastfeeding and plain water only
${ }^{3}$ Prevalence-incidence mean

## Types of Supplemental Foods

Table 9.4 presents information on the types of food received by children under age three in the 24 hours prior to the survey interview, according to whether or not the child is still being breastfed. The results indicate negligible use of infant formula. Mothers seem to prefer giving other milks and liquids to giving infant formula. Meat, poultry, fish, and eggs contain protein and other nutrients that are important for growth, recovery from illness, and mental development. The proportion of children receiving these foods rises from 5 percent at age 4-5 months to more than 30 percent at age 10-11 months. Foods made from grains, flour, or cereals (such as porridge), and tubers and plantains are common foods for children starting age 6-7 months. By age 7-9 months, more than one-third of children are getting these foods on a daily basis.

Table 9.4 Types of food received by children in preceding 24 hours
Percentage of children under 36 months of age who received specific types of food in the 24 hours before the interview, and the percentage using a bottle with a nipple, by breastfeeding status and child's age in months, Uganda 1995

| Age (in months) | Breast milk only | Infant formula | Other milk | Other liquid | Meat poultry/ fish/ eggs | Grain/ flour/ cerea! | Tubers/ plantains | Other | Using bottle with a nipple | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BREASTFEEDING CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 77.4 | 1.4 | 14.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 179 |
| 2-3 | 65.2 | 2.1 | 18.4 | 10.6 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 7.1 | 231 |
| 4-5 | 37.2 | 1.9 | 29.4 | 29.5 | 5.4 | 8.3 | 5.1 | 12.2 | 9.6 | 265 |
| 6-7 | 18.6 | 2.0 | 32.0 | 43.7 | 17.5 | 22.9 | 24.0 | 30.2 | 5.1 | 249 |
| 8.9 | 8.7 | 3.4 | 37.7 | 44.2 | 23.7 | 34.9 | 45.9 | 42.7 | 10.8 | 237 |
| 10-11 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 30.5 | 50.7 | 30.6 | 40.4 | 48.7 | 46.2 | 8.9 | 283 |
| 12-13 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 30.3 | 50.7 | 32.5 | 44.5 | 65.8 | 50.1 | 8.8 | 250 |
| 14-15 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 31.9 | 53.7 | 32.7 | 47.4 | 66.6 | 46.2 | 7.5 | 223 |
| 16-17 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 21.8 | 56.8 | 26.9 | 50.1 | 67.5 | 51.5 | 4.6 | 189 |
| 18-23 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 22.2 | 49.3 | 28.2 | 57.5 | 66.8 | 51.5 | 6.6 | 384 |
| 24-29 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 42.8 | 27.1 | 62.9 | 67.8 | 45.8 | 2.3 | 89 |
| 30-35 | * | * | * | * | * | * | , | . | . | 21 |
| 0-3 months | 70.5 | 1.8 | 16.5 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 5.5 | 410 |
| 4-6 months | 34.9 | 1.8 | 28.9 | 31.0 | 7.1 | 9.6 | 9.1 | 16.7 | 8.7 | 379 |
| 7-9 months | 8.9 | 3.0 | 36.9 | 46.9 | 23.5 | 33.7 | 39.7 | 39.1 | 8.3 | 372 |
| NON-BREASTFEEDING CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-13 | NA | (9.6) | (46.7) | (54.0) | (49.3) | (49.3) | (51.5) | (53.9) | (16.4) | 28 |
| 14-15 | NA | (6.7) | (56.2) | (61.1) | (31.2) | (58.0) | (61.9) | (28.3) | (10.3) | 35 |
| 16-17 | NA | 5.6 | 40.0 | 46.0 | 25.0 | 41.2 | 77.0 | 48.9 | 10.8 | 62 |
| 18.23 | NA | 2.0 | 42.2 | 56.4 | 42.0 | 53.3 | 68.9 | 43.6 | 2.0 | 416 |
| 24-29 | NA | 0.9 | 33.6 | 59.8 | 38.0 | 53.9 | 71.7 | 53.2 | 1.9 | 477 |
| 30-35 | NA | 1.0 | 26.2 | 55.7 | 29.7 | 48.8 | 69.4 | 48.7 | 3.4 | 587 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on $25-49$ unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
NA $=$ Not applicable

Bottlefeeding is not commonly practised in Uganda. Only 3 percent of breastfed children under two months were given a bottle with a nipple. Among children still breastfeeding, bottlefeeding peaks at age 8-9 months ( 11 percent).

## Frequency of Food Supplementation

A balanced diet is achieved by regularly eating a nutritious variety of foods in sufficient quantities. Young children are more likely to consume an adequate diet if given small but frequent meals each day (4-5 times). In the 1995 UDHS, interviewers read from a list of specific types of food, asking the mother to report the number of days over the last seven days that the child received each of these foods.

Table 9.5 presents the percentage of children who received specific types of food in the seven days preceding the survey. As expected, the very youngest children tend to be given little other than breast milk, water, and other milk. As children get older, more of them are given foods such as poultry, fish,

Table 9.5 Types of food received by children in preceding week
Percentage of children under 36 months of age who received specific types of food in the week before the interview among children fed these foods, by breastfeeding status and child's age in months, Uganda 1995

| Age (in months) | Plain water | Milk | Other liquids | Poultry/ Eggs/ Fish | Meat | Grain/ Flour | Tubers/ Plantains | Other | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BREASTFEEDING CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 10.5 | 18.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 179 |
| 2-3 | 18.1 | 23.0 | 17.5 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 231 |
| 4-5 | 31.1 | 36.0 | 34.4 | 9.3 | 4.6 | 13.8 | 8.1 | 16.5 | 265 |
| 6-7 | 60.2 | 39.0 | 55.9 | 32.8 | 13.7 | 39.9 | 36.4 | 41.7 | 249 |
| 8.9 | 67.5 | 48.0 | 62.3 | 44.3 | 26.4 | 49.7 | 63.4 | 54.2 | 237 |
| 10-11 | 74.2 | 43.3 | 66.8 | 50.2 | 29.2 | 60.7 | 73.9 | 56.0 | 283 |
| 12-13 | 83.5 | 41.6 | 68.3 | 55.8 | 34.8 | 65.2 | 80.9 | 60.5 | 250 |
| 14-15 | 88.1 | 45.4 | 61.6 | 62.4 | 42.1 | 70.0 | 82.8 | 58.1 | 223 |
| 16-17 | 87.6 | 36.8 | 65.1 | 51.5 | 33.6 | 72.2 | 86.5 | 64.9 | 189 |
| 18-23 | 91.8 | 33.5 | 62.8 | 56.3 | 36.3 | 71.2 | 84.1 | 64.9 | 384 |
| 24-29 | 88.6 | 28.3 | 55.2 | 63.6 | 39.2 | 85.2 | 85.3 | 59.9 | 89 |
| 30-35 | * | * | * | , | * | * | * | * | 21 |
| 0.3 months | 14.8 | 20.9 | 12.1 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 410 |
| 4.6 months | 38.5 | 34.5 | 37.7 | 11.7 | 5.7 | 18.1 | 12.8 | 20.4 | 379 |
| $7-9$ months | 66.3 | 47.1 | 63.2 | 44.9 | 23.4 | 49.8 | 57.5 | 53.4 | 372 |
| NON-BREASTFEEDING CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-13 | (90.7) | (64.6) | (57.0) | (70.8) | (38.9) | (61.7) | (77.6) | (63.5) | 28 |
| 14-15 | (72.8) | (71.9) | (76.5) | (60.8) | (53.7) | (74.4) | (83.3) | (49.0) | 35 |
| 16-17 | 81.2 | 46.2 | 53.7 | 53.3 | 29.3 | 60.9 | 84.0 | 49.1 | 62 |
| 18-23 | 83.7 | 54.5 | 68.1 | 63.8 | 44.3 | 70.9 | 88.5 | 54.6 | 416 |
| 24.29 | 87.0 | 45.6 | 67.2 | 61.4 | 50.1 | 74.1 | 88.4 | 63.3 | 477 |
| 30-35 | 84.6 | 38.6 | 63.7 | 53.7 | 43.8 | 68.5 | 84.4 | 57.9 | 587 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on $25-49$ unweighted cases. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.
eggs, grains, and especially tubers (root crops like potatoes and cassava). For example, the proportion of children receiving eggs, poultry, or fish increases with age from 2 percent of breastfed children age 0-3 months, to almost half of children at age $7-9$ months. Meat is less commonly given to young children; the proportion of children who were given meat in the seven days before the survey increases from about 2 percent of children age $0-3$ months to 23 percent at age $7-9$ months.

## Differentials in Food Supplementation

Table 9.6 shows information on the types of supplemental food given to children under age four in the seven days before the survey, according to selected background variables. The table also shows the mean number of days that children were fed each type of food. Urban children are more likely to be fed poultry, eggs, and fish ( 63 percent) and meat ( 51 percent) than rural children ( 47 and 32 percent, respectively). This could be a reflection of the lower socio-economic status of rural parents as well as the higher cost of these foods which may not be within the means of parents in rural areas.

Generally, tubers seem to be more popularly used as a supplemental food than cereals. This is true in all regions, except in the Eastern Region where grains and cereal-based foods are just as common. Since the mother's level of education is closely related to the economic status of the household, it is not

## Table 9.6 Types of food received by children by background characteristics

Percentage of children under 48 months of age who were fed selected types of food in the last week and mean number of days fed in the last week, according to selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Age (in months) | Water only |  | Milk only |  | Other liquids |  | Poultry/ Eggs/ lish |  | Meat |  | Grains/ flour |  | Tubers/ Plantains |  | Other |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Mean | Percent | Mean | Percent | Mean | Percent | Mean | Percent | Mean | Percent | Mean | Percent | Mean | Percent | Mean |  |
| Sex of child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 75.5 | 6.0 | 39.9 | 4.9 | 58.9 | 5.1 | 48.8 | 2.8 | 34.3 | 1.7 | 60.6 | 4.9 | 70.4 | 5.3 | 51.2 | 4.8 | 2,659 |
| Female | 74.5 | 6.0 | 39.8 | 5.0 | 58.4 | 5.1 | 49.1 | 2.7 | 35.0 | 1.7 | 59.3 | 4.8 | 71.0 | 5.3 | 51.7 | 4.9 | 2,788 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 70.4 | 5.9 | 65.3 | 5.5 | 65.7 | 5.3 | 62.6 | 3.3 | 51.3 | 2.3 | 58.0 | 4.7 | 65.4 | 4.7 | 55.5 | 4.9 | 635 |
| Rural | 75.6 | 6.0 | 36.5 | 4.8 | 57.7 | 5.0 | 47.2 | 2.7 | 32.4 | 1.6 | 60.2 | 4.9 | 71.4 | 5.4 | 50.9 | 4.9 | 4,812 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 64.8 | 5.8 | 53.8 | 5.4 | 66.0 | 5.4 | 57.2 | 3.1 | 39.8 | 2.0 | 46.3 | 4.6 | 69.0 | 5.5 | 50.1 | 5.1 | 1,410 |
| Eastem | 84.6 | 6.6 | 41.6 | 4.6 | 62.3 | 5.3 | 51.0 | 3.2 | 31.0 | 1.9 | 72.1 | 5.5 | 70.4 | 5.0 | 57.0 | 4.8 | 1,454 |
| Northern | 81.6 | 6.9 | 16.2 | 4.5 | 39.2 | 4.9 | 52.5 | 2.5 | 25.9 | 1.5 | 58.6 | 5.1 | 66.8 | 5.4 | 42.7 | 5.0 | 1,057 |
| Western | 70.7 | 4.8 | 41.9 | 4.9 | 61.9 | 4.6 | 36.9 | 2.1 | 39.4 | 1.4 | 61.8 | 4.1 | 75.3 | 5.3 | 53.4 | 4.7 | 1,525 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 76.4 | 6.1 | 27.1 | 4.5 | 52.9 | 5.0 | 42.4 | 2.5 | 30.6 | 1.5 | 63.0 | 5.0 | 70.8 | 5.4 | 50.9 | 4.9 | 1,694 |
| Primary | 74.3 | 5.9 | 41.6 | 4.9 | 59.1 | 5.0 | 49.7 | 2.8 | 33.5 | 1.7 | 57.7 | 4.8 | 70.4 | 5.3 | 50.2 | 4.8 | 3,154 |
| Secondary+ | 74.8 | 6.1 | 67.1 | 5.6 | 72.7 | 5.4 | 63.5 | 3.3 | 51.9 | 2.1 | 63.0 | 4.8 | 72.0 | 4.9 | 59.4 | 5.2 | - 599 |
| Total | 75.0 | 6.0 | 39.9 | 5.0 | 58.7 | 5.1 | 49.0 | 2.8 | 34.6 | 1.7 | 59.9 | 4.8 | 70.7 | 5.3 | 51.4 | 4.9 | 5,447 |

surprising that the children of educated mothers have greater access to a wide variety of foods. For example, 31 percent of children of women with no formal education were given some meat in the week prior to the survey, compared with 52 percent of children of women with secondary or more education.

### 9.2 Nutritional Status of Children

The nutritional status of children is an outcome of many interrelated factors. These include environmental, economic, political, biological, educational, cultural, and food security factors. Of these factors, however, feeding practices and infections have the most direct effect on nutritional status. The nutritional status of children can thus be used as an indicator of the socio-economic development of a community or nation.

## Measures of Nutritional Status

Evaluation of nutritional status is based on the rationale that in a well-nourished population, one observes a statistically predictable distribution of children of a given age with respect to height and weight. In the 1995 UDHS, the nutritional status of children is analysed and evaluated in comparison with the commonly used U.S. National Centre for Health Statistics (NCHS) standard, which is recommended by World Health Organisation (WHO). The use of this reference population is based on the finding that wellnourished young children of all population groups follow very similar growth patterns. Although variations in height and weight exist, these approximate a normal distribution when the population under study is large.

In the 1995 UDHS, all children whose mothers were interviewed and who had been born since January 1991 were weighed using a digital scale with an accuracy of 100 grams. Their standing height (for children age 24 months and older) or recumbent length (for children under age 24 months) was also measured using the Shorr height board. Height and weight data as well as information on the child's age in months was used to construct the three standard indices of physical growth that describe the nutritional status of children: height-for-age, weight-for-height and weight-for-age. Each of these indices provides somewhat different information about the nutritional status of a population of children.

Height-for-age is a measure of linear growth. Children who are more than two standard deviations below ( -2 SD ) the median of the NCHS reference population are considered short for their age or "stunted," and those who are below minus three standard deviations ( -3 SD ) from the median of the reference population are considered severely stunted. Stunting is a condition that reflects failure to receive adequate food intake over a long period of time and is also affected by repeated episodes of illness. Height-for-age thus represents a measure of the long-term effects of undernutrition in a population and does not vary appreciably according to the season of data collection.

The weight-for-height index describes current nutritional status. Children who are below - 2 SD from the median of the reference population are considered "wasted" or too thin for their height, and children whose weight-for-height is below -3 SD of the reference median are considered severely wasted. Wasting represents the failure to receive adequate nutrition in the period immediately preceding the survey and may be the result of recent episodes of illness. Severe wasting is closely linked to mortality risk and may reflect acute shortage of food.

Weight-for-age is an index which combines the information of both weight-for-height and height-for-age. Children whose weight-for-age is below -2 SD from the median of the reference population are classified as "underweight," and those below -3 SD are classified as severely underweight. However, a child ean be underweight for his age because he is stunted, wasted, or both.

In a population in which children are healthy and well fed, only 2.3 percent of children are expected to fall below -2 SD for each of the three indices, whereas less than 1 percent are expected to fall below -3 SD.

## Levels of Childhood Undernutrition

Table 9.7 shows the percentage of children under age four classified as undernourished according to height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age indices, and by background characteristics. Overall, 38 percent of Ugandan children are classified as stunted and 15 percent are severely stunted. The

## Table 9.7 Nutritional status of children by background characteristics

Percentage of children under 48 months of age who are classified as undernourished according to three anthropometric indices of nutritional status: height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age, by background characteristics. Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Height-for-age |  | Weight-for-height |  | Weight-for-age |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage below -3 SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ .2 \mathrm{SD}^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -3 \mathrm{SD} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 \mathrm{SD}^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { below } \\ & -3 \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { below } \\ -2 S D^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 months | 1.9 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 603 |
| 6-11 months | 6.0 | 26.5 | 1.4 | 7.3 | 9.4 | 28.6 | 752 |
| 12-23 months | 18.0 | 45.4 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 35.3 | 1,451 |
| 24-35 months | 20.1 | 44.9 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 7.2 | 26.6 | 1,010 |
| 36-47 months | 20.5 | 49.8 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 20.9 | 959 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 16.0 | 40.0 | 1.1 | 6.1 | 7.6 | 27.1 | 2,334 |
| Female | 14.1 | 36.7 | 0.7 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 24.1 | 2,442 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 14.7 | 37.7 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 24.9 | 903 |
| 2-3 | 14.0 | 38.6 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 24.1 | 1,548 |
| 4-5 | 15.3 | 37.6 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 7.0 | 25.7 | 1,028 |
| $6+$ | 16.3 | 39.1 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 27.6 | 1,297 |
| Birth interval ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 24 months | 16.7 | 40.7 | 0.7 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 26.7 | 966 |
| 24-47 months | 14.6 | 37.7 | 1.1 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 25.3 | 2,351 |
| 48+ months | 14.4 | 37.5 | 0.6 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 25.5 | 551 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.9 | 22.5 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 15.3 | 537 |
| Rural | 15.9 | 40.3 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 26.8 | 4,239 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 11.1 | 33.5 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 21.1 | 1,224 |
| Eastern | 14.3 | 35.6 | 0.5 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 27.3 | 1,268 |
| Northem | 17.8 | 41.9 | 1.4 | 7.6 | 9.1 | 31.6 | 930 |
| Western | 17.4 | 42.8 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 6.3 | 23.8 | 1,354 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 18.4 | 43.1 | 1.7 | 7.2 | 8.5 | 29.7 | 1,484 |
| Primary | 14.1 | 38.2 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 25.0 | 2,764 |
| Secondary+ | 10.1 | 25.7 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 16.6 | 527 |
| Total | 15.0 | 38.3 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 25.5 | 4,775 |

[^26]prevalence of stunting is low among children below six months, but increases with age. There is little relationship between stunting and either sex, birth order, or birth interval of the child.

Stunting is more prevalent among rural children than urban children. Sixteen percent of rural children are severely stunted, compared to 8 percent of urban children. The proportion of stunted children is highest in the Western ( 43 percent) and Northern ( 42 percent) Regions and lowest in the Central Region ( 34 percent). The level of mother's education is associated with her children's nutritional status. The proportion of stunted children ranges from 43 percent among children whose mothers have no education to 26 percent among those whose mothers have secondary or higher education.

About 5 percent of children under four in Uganda are wasted; 1 percent are severely wasted. Variations in the level of wasting by background characteristics show that it is high among children in the 6-23 month age group, indicating that food supplementation during the weaning period may be inadequate. Differences in the prevalence of acute undernutrition between rural and urban children are not as marked as they are for chronic undernutrition. The highest prevalence of wasting is reported in the Northern Region ( 8 percent) and the lowest in the Central Region ( 4 percent). Prevalence of wasting among children is inversely related to the educational level of their mothers.

More than a quarter of Ugandan children under four are underweight for their age, which may reflect stunting, wasting, or both. Low weight-for-age is most common during the second year of life (ages 12-23 months). The prevalence of low weight-for-age is higher among children living in rural than in urban areas ( 27 vs. 15 percent). Children from the Northern Region are much more likely to be underweight than children in other regions, and underweight children are more common among those whose mothers have less education.

Figure 9.1 shows the distribution of children by age and by the extent to which they deviate from the reference population in terms of the Z-scores for three anthropometric indices. This demonstrates the

## Figure 9.1

Nutritional Status of Children Under Four Years, Mean Z-scores by Age in Months


Note: Compared to the median of the
International Reference Population
remarkable deterioration in nutritional status that begins shortly after birth, continuing through the first year and a half, and then levelling off or improving slightly thereafter to the third birthday.

## Trends in Undernutrition in Uganda

The anthropometric data collected in the 1995 UDHS are very similar to those obtained during the 1988-89 UDHS, except that the age range of eligibility for collecting data changed from under five years in the earlier survey to under four years in the present survey. In addition, the 1988-89 UDHS was not representative of the entire country. To allow comparisons, results from both surveys were re-analysed using only children that fall into the shared age range $0-47$ months and that live in the same areas covered in the 1988-89 UDHS. The results are presented in Table 9.8. One factor that could not be controlled was the difference in the timing of the surveys--the 1988-89 UDHS fieldwork took place from September 1988 to February 1989, while the 1995 survey was conducted from late March to mid-August 1995. Nutritional status is known to be subject to seasonal variations, often deteriorating just prior to the peak harvest time and improving after harvest; it also varies with fluctuations in disease prevalence. However, it is difficult to assess what effect, if any, the different timing of the data collection in the two surveys might have on the results concerning nutritional status of children.

Results show that the proportion of children under age four who have chronic undernutrition or stunting (low height-for-age) decreased from 43 percent in 1988-89 to 39 percent in 1995, while acute undernutrition or wasting (low weight-for-height) rose from 2 to 5 percent. Since the change in wasting refers to conditions immediately preceding the two surveys, the overall trend in nutrition using this measure may be misleading. The percentage of children who are underweight (low weight-for-age) has increased slightly from 23 to 25 percent.

### 9.3 Maternal Nutritional Status

All mothers of children born since January 1991 were eligible to be

Table 9.8 Trends in nutritional status of children

Among children under four years of age, the percentage classified as undernourished according to height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age, 1988-89 UDHS and 1995 UDHS

| Index | All Uganda | Areas covered by 1988-89 UDHS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1995 | 1995 | 1988-89 |
|  | UDHS | UDHS | UDHS |
| Height-for-age |  |  |  |
| $<-2 \mathrm{SD}$ | 38.3 | 38.8 | 43.0 |
| $<-3$ SD | 15.0 | 14.9 | 17.0 |
| Weight-for-height |  |  |  |
| $<-2$ SD | 5.3 | 5.1 | 1.9 |
| $<-3$ SD | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Weight-for-age |  |  |  |
| $<-2$ SD | 25.5 | 25.0 | 23.0 |
| $<-3$ SD | 6.7 | 6.5 | 5.1 |
| Number of children | 4,775 | 3,991 | 3,185 | weighed and measured ${ }^{1}$ in the 1995 UDHS. The objective was to obtain a picture of the nutritional status of women of reproductive age, but in considering the cost and length of the survey, a decision was made to limit the anthropometric section to women with young children who would be measured anyway. ${ }^{2}$ In reviewing the results of the maternal anthropometric data collection, it is important to remember that the sample of women is not representative of all women 15-49 and will overrepresent high fertility age groups, for example, women $25-34$ years.

[^27]Several measures must be used to assess the nutritional status of women (Krasovec and Anderson, 1991). In this report, two indices are presented: height, and body mass index (BMI). Maternal height is associated with past socio-economic status and nutritional status in childhood and adolescence. It is related to the risk of difficult delivery, since small stature is often associated with small pelvic size. Short women also often stand the risk of bearing infants with low birth weight. The cut-off point below which a woman can be identified as at risk is in the range of 140-150 centimetres (cm).

Table 9.9 shows that the mean height of mothers measured in the 1995 UDHS is 158 cm . Those whose height is less than 145 cm accounts for less than 2 percent.

## Table 9.9 Nutritional status of mothers by background characteristics

Among mothers of children under four years, mean height and percentage of women shorter than 145 centimetres, mean body mass index (BMI) and the percentage of women whose BMI is less than $18.5\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, by selected background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Height |  |  | BMI |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mcan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & <145 \mathrm{~cm} \end{aligned}$ | Number | Mean | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ <18.5 \\ \left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 156.7 | 2.7 | 522 | 21.2 | 10.6 | 409 |
| 20.24 | 158.0 | 1.5 | 1,216 | 21.2 | 9.6 | 954 |
| 25-29 | 158.7 | 1.3 | 949 | 21.5 | 9.0 | 714 |
| 30-34 | 159.1 | 1.0 | 713 | 21.7 | 10.2 | 547 |
| 35-49 | 158.5 | 1.9 | 690 | 21.7 | 10.8 | 583 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 158.8 | 0.8 | 476 | 22.8 | 5.2 | 396 |
| Rural | 158.2 | 1.7 | 3,615 | 21.3 | 10.6 | 2,811 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 157.2 | 1.8 | 1,067 | 22.2 | 5.4 | 830 |
| Eastern | 159.0 | 0.6 | 1,078 | 20.7 | 14.1 | 792 |
| Northern | 160.9 | 0.2 | 830 | 20.6 | 14.0 | 674 |
| Western | 156.6 | 3.4 | 1,116 | 22.1 | 7.3 | 911 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 158.3 | 1.4 | 1,274 | 21.2 | 10.4 | 997 |
| Primary | 158.1 | 1.8 | 2,380 | 21.4 | 10.1 | 1,863 |
| Secondary+ | 159.1 | 0.9 | 438 | 22.3 | 7.4 | 347 |
| Total | 158.3 | 1.6 | 4,091 | 21.5 | 9.9 | 3,207 |

Note: Table includes only women who had a birth in the four years preceding the survey. The BMI index excludes pregnant women and those who are less than three months postpartum.

Another commonly used index is the body mass index (BMI), that is derived by dividing the weight in kilograms ( kg ) by the square height in metres $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. This indicator is used to assess thinness or obesity. A cut-off point of $18.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ has been recommended for defining chronic undemutrition, while a level below 16 classifies severe undernutrition (James et al., 1988) which is associated with increased mortality. The results of the 1995 UDHS show that the mean BMI among non-pregnant mothers was 21.5 ; 10 percent of mothers had a BMI below the 18.5 cut-off point, reflecting chronic nutritional deficit.

Examining the maternal nutritional status indicators by background characteristics in Table 9.9, the data indicate that a greater proportion of the mothers in the 15-19 age group, those in rural areas, and those from the Central and Western Regions fall below 145 cm in height. In addition, there are more mothers who are thin among those in the Eastern and Northern Regions and in rural areas. Mothers with lower levels of education have a mean BMI that is indicative of nutritional risk.

## CHAPTER 10

## MATERNAL MORTALITY

Although maternal mortality is an important issue in Uganda, no national survey has been carried out for the purpose of estimating maternal mortality. Matemal mortality in Uganda is currently estimated at 600 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births (Ministry of Health, 1993). However, this estimate is based on small-scale studies, most of which are hospital-based. Such studies are likely to underestimate maternal mortality to the extent that those who experience higher rates of mortality (e.g., the poor, those in remote areas) are less likely to deliver babies in hospitals. On the other hand, hospital-based studies are likely to overstate the true maternal mortality rate to the extent that women who develop complications during pregnancy or delivery are more likely to deliver in hospitals.

The estimates presented in this chapter are therefore of unique importance; they fill a vacuum for reliable, national estimates of maternal mortality. These estimates have no parallel against which they can be compared. Therefore, there is need for further national-level investigation of this problem.

The maternal mortality estimates presented in this chapter are based on information about the survivorship of respondents' sisters. Estimates are made using both direct and indirect estimation techniques. The direct technique utilises data on the ages of surviving siblings and, in the case of those who died, age at death, as well as number of years since their death. This allows data to be aggregated to determine the number of person-years of exposure to mortality risk and the number of sibling deaths occurring in defined calendar periods. Rates of maternal and adult mortality are obtained by dividing maternal (or all female or male adult) deaths by person-years of exposure (Rutenberg and Sullivan, 1991).

The indirect technique of estimation essentially consists of what has been termed the "sisterhood method" (Graham et al. 1989). In this case, the data obtained from respondents about their sisters are used to estimate the lifetime risk of maternal mortality. Such an estimate would naturally run into the problem of reference period, since it combines the mortality experience of the previous 50 years. However, as Graham et al. (1989) point out, combining data from respondents age 15-49 into a single estimate narrows the reference period to about 12 years prior to the survey. Nevertheless, the biggest drawback in using this method is the uncertainty as to how much it estimates current maternal mortality, unless one assumes that mortality has been relatively constant over the years.

### 10.1 Assessment of Data Completeness

In the 1995 UDHS, both female and male respondents were asked to list all their siblings, that is, all the children born to their mother, starting with the first-bom, and whether or not each of these siblings was still alive at the survey date. For those who were alive, current age was collected, while for the deceased siblings, information was sought on the year of death and age at death. In order to establish deaths that were maternity-related, respondents were further asked four questions for all sisters who died at age 12 years or older: "Was [NAME OF SISTER] pregnant when she died?"; and if not, "Did she die during childbirth?"; and if not, "Did she die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth?"; and if so, "Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth?" It can be seen that this information will not only give an estimate of maternal risk but a complete profile of person-years of exposure to the risk of mortality for the adult population.

Utilisation of the techniques presented here presupposes the existence of both accurate and complete data regarding the number of siblings, their survival status, and the circumstances surrounding their deaths. It is therefore important to see at the outset how well the present data meet this assumption.

Table 10.1 shows the number of siblings reported by UDHS female respondents ${ }^{1}$ and the level of completeness of the data on survivorship status, current age, age at death, and years since death of siblings. The sex ratio of reported siblings (the ratio of brothers to sisters) was a little low (1.01), possibly indicating slight underreporting of brothers. Respondents were highly knowledgeable about their siblings' survival status; in only 0.1 percent of the cases were respondents unable to report the survival status of their siblings, with only negligible differences in reporting for sisters and brothers. In 1 percent of the cases, the respondents could not tell the ages of their surviving siblings. Again, the difference between female and male siblings was negligible. As expected, information regarding deceased siblings is less complete than for living siblings. For 15 percent of deceased siblings, either the age at death or the year of death or both were not reported by the respondents. Rather than exclude the siblings with missing data from the analysis, information on the birth order of siblings in conjunction with other information was used to impute the missing data. ${ }^{2}$

| Table 10.1 Data on siblings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of siblings reported by female survey respondents and completeness of reported data on sibling age, age at death (AD) and years since death (YSD), Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sisters |  | Brothers |  | All siblings |  |
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| All siblings | 21,762 | 100.0 | 22,084 | 100.0 | 43,846 | 100.0 |
| Living | 17,262 | 79.3 | 17,016 | 77.1 | 34,278 | 78.2 |
| Dead | 4,481 | 20.6 | 5,030 | 22.8 | 9,511 | 21.7 |
| Missing survival information | 19 | 0.1 | 38 | 0.2 | 56 | 0.1 |
| Living siblings | 17,262 | 100.0 | 17,016 | 100.0 | 34,278 | 100.0 |
| Age reported | 17,070 | 98.9 | 16.839 | 99.0 | 33,909 | 98.9 |
| Age missing | 192 | 1.1 | 177 | 1.0 | 369 | 1.1 |
| Dead siblings | 4,481 | 100.0 | 5,030 | 100.0 | 9,511 | 100.0 |
| AD and YSD reported | 3,787 | 84.5 | 4,269 | 84.9 | 8,056 | 84.7 |
| AD OR YSD or both missing | 694 | 15.5 | 761 | 15.1 | 1,455 | 15.3 |

[^28]
### 10.2 Direct Estimates of Adult Mortality

It is useful to begin by estimating overall adult mortality on the theory that if the overall mortality estimates display a generally stable and plausible pattern, this lends greater credence to the matemal mortality estimates derived thereafter. Table 10.2 presents age-specific mortality estimates for males and females for the period $0-9$ years before the survey.

Table 10.2 Adult mortality rates
Estimates of female and male adult mortality rates for the period $0-9$ years before the survey, and model life table rates, Uganda 1995

WOMEN

| Age | Deaths | Exposure | UDHS mortality rates | Model Life Table Rates ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Coale- <br> Demeny <br> NORTH <br> (54 yrs) | Uganda Census 1991 |
| 15-19 | 109 | 29,619 | 3.68 | 4.03 | 4.31 |
| 20-24 | 193 | 29,640 | 6.52 | 4.83 | 5.13 |
| 25-29 | 196 | 24,468 | 7.99 | 5.59 | 5.32 |
| 30-34 | 179 | 16,686 | 10.75 | 6.43 | 6.12 |
| 35-39 | 105 | 9,929 | 10.59 | 7.38 | 7.03 |
| 40-44 | 53 | 5,499 | 9.70 | 8.48 | 8.12 |
| 45-49 | 42 | 2,703 | 15.46 | 9.46 | 9.11 |
| 15-49 | 877 | 118,545 | $7.88{ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - |

MEN

| Age | Deaths | Exposure | UDHS mortality rates | Model Life Table Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | CoaleDemeny NORTH ( 51 yrs ) | Uganda Census 1991 |
| 15-19 | 87 | 29,545 | 2.94 | 4.16 | 5.14 |
| 20-24 | 155 | 29,521 | 5.26 | 5.96 | 7.35 |
| 25-29 | 248 | 23,609 | 10.50 | 6.24 | 7.72 |
| 30-34 | 236 | 16,132 | 14.61 | 6.67 | 8.10 |
| 35-39 | 130 | 9,834 | 13.25 | 7.54 | 9.17 |
| 40-44 | 95 | 5,246 | 18.15 | 9.12 | 11.03 |
| 45-49 | 52 | 2,691 | 19.45 | 11.19 | 13.36 |
| 15-49 | 1,003 | 116,578 | $9.52^{\text {a }}$ | - | - |

[^29]The number of reported female and male deaths in the age range 15-49 were 877 and 1003, respectively. Generally, male mortality is higher than female mortality with the exception of the ages below 25. The age patterns also differ somewhat. For females, mortality rates increase with age until a plateau is reached at ages $30-44$. Thereafter, there is a sharp increase to reach the peak mortality level in the 45-49 age group. For the males, the age pattern of mortality displays a steady increase from the youngest ages, 15-19, to the oldest, 45-49. The only slight fluctuation is observed in the age group 35-39.

These rates can be taken to be reasonably stable. However, their plausibility, and hence reliability, can be established by comparing them to measures from other sources as well as to schedules of mortality rates from relevant model life tables. It can be seen in Table 10.2 and Figures 10.1 and 10.2 that the adult rnortality rates calculated from the 1995 UDHS data are generally similar at the younger age groups and then considerably higher than those observed from two other sources, namely, the Coale and Demeny "North" model life table ${ }^{3}$ and the 1991 census (Statistics Department, 1995b:317-318). The recent upsurge in adult deaths due to the AIDS epidemic may explain why the rates from the survey are higher than those from the other two sources, especially those from the model life table which are based on preAIDS mortality patterns. In any case, these findings indicate that underreporting of deceased siblings is unlikely to be a serious problem in the UDHS data.

Figure 10.1
Female Adult Mortality for the Period 0-9 Years Before the Survey, by Age, from Various Sources


[^30]

### 10.3 Direct Estimates of Maternal Mortality

The direct age specific estimates of maternal mortality are presented in Table 10.3. These are derived by filtering through the reported survivorship of sisters. In all, the number of maternal deaths for the period 1986-1995 is 149. The age pattern of the estimated rates is somewhat erratic. The general pattern, however, is of high maternal mortality over all the age groups. Nevertheless, there is a slight tendency toward higher rates at the younger ages peaking in the 30-34 age group. Taking the entire childbearing period (1549), the rate of mortality due to causes related to pregnancy and child bearing is 1.260 maternal deaths per 1,000 wom-an-years of exposure. Maternal deaths represent 17 percent of all deaths to women ages 15-49.

The maternal mortality rate is conventionally converted to a maternal mortality ratio and expressed per 100,000 live births by dividing the mortality rate by the general fertility rate of 0.249 for the same reference period. The advantage of this type of conversion is that it highlights the obstetric risk, which has a high programmatic significance. Thus for Uganda between 1986-1995, the maternal mortality ratio is estimated as 506. In other words, for every 100,000 live births in Uganda during this period, 506 women died of pregnancy-related causes.

Table 10.3 Direct estimates of maternal mortality

Direct estimates of maternal mortality for the period 0-9 years before the survey, Uganda 1995

| Age | Deaths | Exposure | Mortality <br> rates $^{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $15-19$ | 24.0 | 29,619 | 0.811 |
| $20-24$ | 30.7 | 29,640 | 1.034 |
| $25-29$ | 34.6 | 24,468 | 1.415 |
| $30-34$ | 36.6 | 16,686 | 2.196 |
| $35-39$ | 17.7 | 9,929 | 1.779 |
| $40-44$ | 3.1 | 5,499 | 0.567 |
| $45-49$ | 2.7 | 2,703 | 1.001 |
| $15-49$ | 149.4 | 118,545 | 1.260 |
| General Fertility Rate (GFR) | 0.249 |  |  |
| Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) |  |  |  |

[^31]
### 10.4 Indirect Estimates of Maternal Mortality

Maternal mortality can also be generated by an indirect technique, i.e., the sisterhood method. In this method, the data are aggregated by five-year age groups of respondents. For each age group, information on the number of maternal deaths among all sisters of respondents and on the number of "sister units" of risk is used to estimate the lifetime risk of dying from maternal causes. The method also provides an overall estimate of maternal mortality for sisters of all respondents combined which refers to a period in time centred 12-13 years prior to the survey.

The indirect maternal mortality estimates from the sisterhood method are presented in Table 10.4. The estimates of the lifetime risk of maternal mortality vary by age group and are somewhat erratic. When the data are aggregated across all age groups, the overall lifetime risk of maternal mortality is 0.039 , implying a risk of dying from pregnancy-related causes of about 1 in 26 women. Converting the lifetime maternal mortality risks to a maternal mortality ratio produces an estimate of 498 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births. This figure is only slightly lower than the one arrived at through the direct approach and is applicable to the mid-1980s.

| Table 10.4 Indirect estimates of maternal mortality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimates of maternal mortality using the indirect method, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age group | Number of respondents (a) | Number of sisters 15+ (b) | Number of maternal deaths (c) | Adjustment factor <br> (d) | Sister units of exposure to risk (e) $=(\mathrm{b}) \times(\mathrm{d})$ | Lifetime risk of maternal death (f) $=(\mathrm{c}) /(\mathrm{e})$ |
| 15-19 | 1,606 | 3,894 | 15.4 | 0.107 | 417 | 0.037 |
| 20.24 | 1.555 | 3,770 | 34.6 | 0.206 | 777 | 0.045 |
| 25-29 | 1,270 | 3,078 | 38.5 | 0.343 | 1,056 | 0.036 |
| 30-34 | 976 | 2,443 | 50.1 | 0.503 | 1.229 | 0.041 |
| 35-39 | 783 | 2.016 | 66.5 | 0.664 | 1,339 | 0.050 |
| 40-44 | 499 | 1,160 | 27.9 | 0.802 | 930 | 0.030 |
| 45-49 | 380 | 855 | 19.9 | 0.900 | 769 | 0.026 |
| Total (15-49) | 7,070 | 17,214 | 252.2 |  | 6,515 | 0.039 |
| TFR 1981-85 7.9 children per woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMR 498 per 100,000 live births |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TFR = Total fertility rate <br> MMR $=$ Maternal Mortality Ratio $=\left(1-\left[(1-\text { Lifetime risk }]^{1 / / F R}\right) \times 100,000\right.$, where TFR represents the total fertility rate $10-14$ years preceding the survey. <br> Note: Figures in column (b) are adjusted for age distribution of respondents' sisters (see Graham et al., 1989) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 10.5 Conclusion

The maternal mortality ratios arrived at whether through direct or indirect techniques are very similar (506 deaths per 100,000 live births by direct method, applicable to approximately 1986-1995 and 498 deaths per 100,000 live births by the indirect method referring to the mid-1980s). Although the estimates given here are lower than the currently cited figure, it might be misleading to conclude that there has been a decline. This is because the latter estimate is based on small-scale studies whose generalisability may be questionable. This therefore calls for more national surveys in order to arrive at not only more reliable estimates of maternal mortality, but also the important components and differentials thereof in order to guide policy formulation in this area.

## CHAPTER 11

## AIDS AND OTHER SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES

### 11.1 Introduction

AIDS and HIV infection have been identified as serious health and socio-economic problems in Uganda. The AIDS virus was probably introduced in Uganda in the late 1970s or early 1980s. The first cases of AIDS were recognised among traders and prostitutes along the trans-Africa highway in Rakai in 1983. These were the high risk groups at the time. Due to its spread among the heterosexual population, the control of AIDS has become a top government priority. In 1986, the government set up the AIDS Control Programme (ACP) under the Ministry of Health. Together with non-governmental organisations, the ACP has been responsible for the dissemination of information on AIDS.

On realising that HIV/AIDS is no longer purely a health/medical issue, the government of Uganda adopted a multi-sectoral approach to control AIDS. This approach ensures the contribution of other players who are involved in dealing with the effects of HIV/AIDS in a co-ordinated and planned manner. The Uganda AIDS Commission was thus established to redefine roles of the different players, co-ordinate activities, plan, develop policies, monitor and evaluate activities, mobilise resources and advocate for AIDS control. With the multi-sectoral approach in place, other ministries like Education, Agriculture, Information, Labour, Justice, Defense, and Internal Affairs are working with the ACP in the Ministry of Health under the overall co-ordination of the Uganda AIDS Commission.

Other sexually-transmitted diseases (STDs) apart from AIDS have been identified as co-factors in HIV transmission. In 1993, the STD Control Unit was merged with the AIDS Control Programme to form the STD/ACP which is now charged with control of all STDs. It is estimated that about 1.5 million Ugandans are infected with HIV, while about 350,000 have already developed AIDS (WHO, 1995). Data on the actual number of AIDS cases is usually obtained from hospitals, while information on HIV prevalence is gathered twice a year from 20 sentinel surveillance sites throughout the country.

Although all districts and regions are affected, the Central Region has the highest number of AIDS cases and prevalence rates. Data obtained from sentinel surveillance sites show HIV infection rates ranging from 5 to 30 percent among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics (STD/ACP 1995).

Though there has been a stabilisation and in some cases a decline in infection rates among pregnant women in the urban sites, these sites report particularly high rates compared with the rural ones. For example, at one site in Kampala, the largest city of Uganda, the HIV prevalence rates recorded were $25,25,28,30,27,22$, and 20 percent for the years 1989 through 1995 , compared with a site in Bundibugyo District, where the rates were 5, 3, 4 and 7 percent for 1991, 1992, 1993, and 1994, respectively (STD/ACP 1995). These data show that the rural prevalence rates are still lower than the urban ones.

By December 1995, 48,312 AIDS cases had been reported to the STD/ACP. This is thought to be only about one-sixth of the actual number of cases, due to under-reporting and under-diagnosing. The overall mean age (of all AIDS cases reported to STD/ACP) for adults is 32.5 years, with a statistically significant difference in the mean age by sex ( 34.3 for males and 30.4 for females). The sex ratio of cases differs by age group. For example, the total number of AIDS cases in the age group 15-19 years was 2,949. Of those who had both sex and age recorded, 341 ( 12 percent) were male and 2,231 ( 88 percent) were female for a male to female ratio of 1:7 (STD/ACP I996).

Data on other STDs are collected at selected sentinel sites. The frequencies of STDs at a site in Mbale showed 45 percent (of clinic attendees) with genital ulcer disease, 20 percent with urethral discharge, and 21 percent with vaginal discharge. Data from other sites also show similar patterns of genital ulcer disease, with syphilis recorded most frequently.

The 1995 UDHS included questions on STDs to assess the level of knowledge of STDs, the proportion of respondents who have had a STD, whether they sought advice or treatment for the disease, and whether they took measures to protect their sexual partners. The UDHS also included a section of questions on AIDS in order to assess the knowledge and attitudes of respondents regarding transmission mechanisms and prevention of infection with the AIDS virus. Female and male respondents were asked if they had heard of AIDS and if so, the source from which they had received the most information. To assess the level of awareness, respondents were asked to name the modes of transmission of the AIDS virus. They were also asked if they thought it was possible to prevent AIDS and if so, how, and whether they had changed their sexual behaviour to prevent getting AIDS and if so, how.

### 11.2 Awareness of Sexually Transmitted Diseases

Tables 11.1.1 and 11.1.2 show the percentage of women and men who spontaneously mentioned knowing about specific STDs, by various background characteristics. Among both women and men, AIDS is by far the most widely known STD. Without probing, over 90 pcrcent of respondents cited AIDS. The next most commonly reported STD was gonorrhoea, with 75 percent of women and 88 percent of men spontaneously reporting knowledge of the disease. However, this gender-related pattern also occurs regarding knowledge of syphilis, with men more likely ( 58 percent) than women ( 53 percent) to mention this disease. Only 6 percent of women and 2 percent of men could not cite a single STD.

Both women and men are less likely to be informed about STDs if they lack formal education, if they live in rural areas, and if they are younger (15-19 years). Differences by region are not large, except that 16 percent of women from the Northern Region could not cite a single STD. Having had sexual intercourse and being married or formerly married significantly contributes to having knowledge of STDs. Among women who have never married, 10 percent of those who never had sexual intercourse do not know any STD, compared with 2 percent among those who have had sex.

Table 11.1.1 Knowledge of sexually transmitted diseases: women
Percentage of women who know of specific sexually transmitted diseases, by background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Syphilis | Gonorrhoea | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIV/ } \\ & \text { AIDS' } \end{aligned}$ | Genital warts | Other | Don't know any | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 40.8 | 60.4 | 89.4 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 9.5 | 1,606 |
| 20-24 | 54.5 | 77.9 | 92.6 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 5.5 | 1,555 |
| 25-29 | 54.7 | 78.1 | 93.1 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 1,270 |
| 30-39 | 57.0 | 80.5 | 93.5 | 6.7 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 1,759 |
| 40-49 | 59.9 | 83.8 | 93.3 | 7.0 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 880 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 45.3 | 63.7 | 92.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 6.7 | 1,107 |
| Never married, no sex ${ }^{2}$ | 37.8 | 54.0 | 89.5 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 9.5 | 685 |
| Never married, had sex ${ }^{2}$ | 57.4 | 79.4 | 96.3 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 421 |
| Currently in union | 53.4 | 76.8 | 92.1 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 6.1 | 5,134 |
| Formerly in union | 58.0 | 81.5 | 93.8 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 825 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 63.8 | 80.5 | 95.2 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1,055 |
| Rural | 50.8 | 74.4 | 91.8 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 6.8 | 6,015 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 52.3 | 74.7 | 93.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 1,967 |
| Eastern | 62.0 | 73.7 | 94.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 1,738 |
| Northerm | 46.3 | 66.4 | 82.7 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 16.2 | 1,398 |
| Western | 49.5 | 83.7 | 96.1 | 8.5 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 1,968 |
| DISH project region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese, Mbarara (I) | 40.6 | 82.0 | 95.2 | 12.3 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 564 |
| Masaka, Rakai (II) | 29.8 | 69.5 | 92.0 | 4.6 | 10.8 | 6.5 | 476 |
| Luwero, Masindi (III) | 58.2 | 72.3 | 93.9 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 222 |
| Kamuli, Jinja (IV) | 72.9 | 77.4 | 96.3 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 341 |
| Kampala (V) | 66.8 | 81.5 | 95.7 | 8.2 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 502 |
| DISH district | 51.5 | 77.3 | 94.6 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 2,106 |
| Non-DISH district | 53.2 | 74.5 | 91.3 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 7.2 | 4,964 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 44.7 | 69.9 | 86.9 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 11.7 | 2,161 |
| Primary | 51.5 | 75.3 | 94.1 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 3,956 |
| Secondary+ | 75.9 | 87.7 | 97.0 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 952 |
| Total | 52.7 | 75.3 | 92.3 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 6.0 | 7,070 |

Note: Figures are based on spontaneous knowledge of sexually transmitted diseases (i.e., without probing).
${ }_{2}{ }^{2}$ See Table 11.4.1 for level of knowledge of HIV/AIDS after probing.
${ }^{2}$ Sub-group of never married.

Table 11.1.2 Knowledge of sexually transmitted diseases: men
Percentage of men who know of specific sexually transmitted diseases, by background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Syphilis | Gonorrhoea | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HIV/ } \\ & \text { AIDS } \end{aligned}$ | Other | Don't know any | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 45.1 | 77.1 | 95.8 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 387 |
| 20-24 | 57.2 | 88.2 | 95.3 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 367 |
| 25-29 | 61.8 | 89.8 | 95.9 | 8.2 | 0.9 | 359 |
| 30-39 | 62.0 | 89.5 | 96.2 | 8.1 | 0.8 | 509 |
| 40-49 | 63.4 | 92.8 | 94.2 | 8.7 | 2.1 | 280 |
| 50-54 | 67.5 | 91.0 | 97.6 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 95 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 51.9 | 78.8 | 95.8 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 592 |
| Never married, no sex ${ }^{2}$ | 37.7 | 71.1 | 94.7 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 257 |
| Never married, had sex ${ }^{2}$ | 62.8 | 84.8 | 96.6 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 335 |
| Currently in union | 60.5 | 91.4 | 95.9 | 7.6 | 1.2 | 1,252 |
| Formerly in union | 64.4 | 88.5 | 93.2 | 10.0 | 2.9 | 152 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 76.4 | 91.2 | 95.8 | 11.5 | 1.0 | 281 |
| Rural | 55.3 | 86.8 | 95.6 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 1,715 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 65.6 | 87.3 | 94.0 | 21.3 | 1.7 | 568 |
| Eastern | 50.7 | 81.0 | 93.1 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 497 |
| Northern | 53.2 | 86.9 | 96.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 419 |
| Western | 61.7 | 94.4 | 98.9 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 511 |
| DISH project region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese, Mbarara (l) | 53.9 | 96.1 | 99.7 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 159 |
| Masaka, Rakai (II) | 42.0 | 83.3 | 93.5 | 33.2 | 1.7 | 138 |
| Luwero, Masindi (III) | 59.2 | 81.9 | 99.6 | 9.3 | 0.4 | 72 |
| Kamuli, Jinja (IV) | 72.8 | 84.3 | 99.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 85 |
| Kampala (V) | 80.0 | 91.6 | 96.1 | 13.5 | 1.3 | 141 |
| Non-DISH district | 57.3 | 86.9 | 95.0 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 1,401 |
| DISH district | 60.6 | 88.7 | 97.3 | 13.0 | 0.8 | 595 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 48.7 | 80.2 | 93.7 | 6.8 | 4.1 | 232 |
| Primary | 50.4 | 86.7 | 95.6 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 1,259 |
| Secondary+ | 82.3 | 92.8 | 96.8 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 504 |
| Total | 58.3 | 87.5 | 95.7 | 7.2 | 2.0 | 1,996 |

Note: Figures are based on spontaneous knowledge of sexually transmitted diseases (i.e., without probing).
${ }^{1}$ See Table 11.4.2 for level of knowledge of HIV/AIDS after probing.
${ }^{2}$ Sub-group of never married

### 11.3 Prevalence of Sexually Transmitted Diseases

Tables 11.2.1 and 11.2.2 show that about 4 percent of women and 6 percent of men report having had an STD in the year prior to the survey. These levels are likely to be underestimates of the true prevalence of STDs for two reasons. First, many STD cases will be unrecognised because: (a) no obvious, prolonged symptoms were experienced, (b) no health care was sought, or (c) the problem was misdiagnosed or misunderstood by the respondent when diagnosed. Perhaps more importantly, many women and men will fail to report a recent STD because of the social stigma. As mentioned earlier, the prevalence of STDs reported from some selected sentinel sites are considerably higher than the levels reported in the survey.

Table 11.2.1 Self-reporting of sexually transmitted diseases in the last year: women
Percentage of women who report having sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) during the 12 months preceding the survey, by specific STDs and background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Any } \\ & \text { STD } \end{aligned}$ | Syphilis | Gonorrhoea | HIV/ AIDS | Genital warts | Other | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1,606 |
| 20-24 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1,555 |
| 25-29 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1,270 |
| 30-39 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1,759 |
| 40-49 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 880 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1,107 |
| Currently in union | 3.6 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5,134 |
| Formerly in union | 6.5 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 825 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.9 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1,055 |
| Rural | 3.1 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 6,015 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 7.6 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1,967 |
| Eastern | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1,738 |
| Northern | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1,398 |
| Western | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1,968 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2,161 |
| Primary | 4.2 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3,956 |
| Secondary+ | 3.4 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 952 |
| Total | 3.5 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 7,070 |

Those who report having had an STD are more likely to be in the more sexually active age groups ( $20-39$ years) and are more likely to have been formerly married than currently married or never married. Urban respondents are more likely to have had a STD than their rural counterparts. Both women and men from the Central Region are much more likely to have had an STD than respondents from other regions; 8 percent of women in the Central Region vs. 2 percent each in all other regions report having had an STD, while for men the figures are 14 percent in Central vs. 2 percent in Westem and Eastern. Data obtained from STD/ACP also show a higher prevalence of STDs in the Central Region than in other regions (STD/ACP, 1995: 6).

Table 11.2.2 Self-reporting of sexually transmitted diseases in the last year: men
Percentage of men who report having sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) during the 12 months preceding the survey, by specific STDs and background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Any } \\ & \text { STD } \end{aligned}$ | Syphilis | Gonorrhoea | HIV/ <br> AIDS | Discharge from penis |  | Other | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 387 |
| $20-24$ | 6.7 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 367 |
| 25-29 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 359 |
| 30-39 | 7.6 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 509 |
| 40-49 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 280 |
| 50-54 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 95 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 4.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 592 |
| Currently married | 6.5 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 1,252 |
| Formerly married | 12.4 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 152 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.0 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 281 |
| Rural | 5.8 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 1,715 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 13.9 | 5.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 568 |
| Eastern | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 497 |
| Northern | 6.2 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 419 |
| Western | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 511 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 4.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 232 |
| Primary | 6.5 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 1,259 |
| Secondary+ | 6.8 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 504 |
| Total | 6.3 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 1,996 |

Table 11.3 presents information on the 251 women and 125 men who report having had an STD in the 12 months preceding the survey. A large majority of respondents ( 78 percent of women and 68 percent of men) sought treatment for their STDs, but a smaller proportion of men ( 58 percent) than women ( 74 percent) informed their partners of the infection. When asked what, if anything, was done to prevent infecting the respondent's partner, one-third of the respondents said that they did nothing, while about one in five respondents mentioned that their partners were already infected. Seventeen percent of women who had an STD reported that they avoided sex, while 23 percent said that they took medication and only 3 percent used condoms. Among men who reported having had an STD, 25 percent said that they either avoided sex or took medicine and only 5 percent used condoms.

Table 11.3 Action taken by respondents who reported a sexually transmitted disease in the last year
Among respondents who reported a sexually transmitted disease (STD) during the 12 months prior to the survey, the percentage who sought advice or treatment, the percentage who informed their partner(s) and the percentage who took measures to avoid infecting their partner(s), according to sex of the respondent, Uganda 1995

| Sex of respondent | Among respondents who had an STD: |  | Percentage who took action to avoid infecting parner |  |  |  | Partner infected/ no measure taken | Nomeasure taken | Number of women/ men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { who } \\ & \text { sought } \\ & \text { treatment } \end{aligned}$ | Percent who informed partners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Avoid sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Used } \\ \text { condoms } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Took } \\ \text { medicine } \end{gathered}$ | Other |  |  |  |
| Women (15-49) | 78.1 | 74.0 | 17.2 | 2.5 | 22.9 | 2.7 | 20.0 | 33.1 | 251 |
| Men (15-54) | 67.8 | 57.6 | 24.9 | 4.6 | 24.9 | 4.6 | 18.8 | 31.5 | 125 |

### 11.4 AIDS Knowledge and Awareness

Dissemination of AIDS information is a joint effort between government agencies like the ACP, the Uganda AIDS Commission, non-governmental organisations, and donor agencies. The messages channelled to the public include information about basic transmission modes and prevention strategies. Respondents in the 1995 UDHS were asked if they had heard of AIDS and if so, they were asked about sources of information from which they had leamed most about AIDS.

Tables 11.4.1 and 11.4.2 show that virtually all women ( 99 percent) and men ( 100 percent) in Uganda know of AIDS. ${ }^{1}$ The widest single source of information mentioned is friends and relatives, with

## Table 11.4.1 Knowledge of AIDS and sources of AIDS information: women

Percentage of women who have ever heard of AIDS, percentage who have received information about AIDS from specific sources, and mean number of sources of information about AIDS, by background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Ever heard of AIDS | Sources of AIDS information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number | Mean number of sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Radio | TV | Newspaper | Pamphlet | Health worker | Mosque/ church | School | Community meeting | Friend/ Relative | Work place | Other source |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 98.7 | 38.1 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 10.7 | 13.5 | 22.2 | 11.5 | 77.2 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 1,606 | 1.9 |
| 20-24 | 98.6 | 44.8 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 16.5 | 15.6 | 8.8 | 15.9 | 82.9 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 1,555 | 2.0 |
| 25-29 | 99.1 | 42.0 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 17.9 | 17.3 | 3.9 | 18.8 | 81.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 1,270 | 2.0 |
| 30-39 | 99.4 | 41.9 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 16.0 | 16.4 | 2.6 | 19.5 | 83.5 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 1,759 | 2.0 |
| 40-49 | 98.6 | 37.5 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 14.4 | 17.2 | 1.7 | 25.0 | 85.8 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 880 | 2.0 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 98.9 | 39.6 | 6.6 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 11.1 | 14.6 | 32.6 | 12.0 | 72.5 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 1,107 | 2.1 |
| Currently in union | 99.0 | 41.7 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 16.1 | 16.4 | 4.3 | 17.8 | 83.5 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 5,134 | 1.9 |
| Formerly in union | 98.5 | 40.0 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 2.5 | 21.7 | 83.8 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 825 | 1.9 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.8 | 58.7 | 11.1 | 12.1 | 3.7 | 15.8 | 11.0 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 73.8 | 5.8 | 3.7 | 1,055 | 2.3 |
| Rural | 98.7 | 38.1 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 14.9 | 16.7 | 7.3 | 17.8 | 83.2 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 6,015 | 1.9 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 99.9 | 51.9 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 8.0 | 5.9 | 11.2 | 14.9 | 74.9 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1,967 | 1.9 |
| Eastern | 99.5 | 47.4 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 11.9 | 13.4 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 87.5 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 1,738 | 1.9 |
| Northern | 95.5 | 21.5 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 28.2 | 21.1 | 8.4 | 14.9 | 83.9 | 2.6 | 6.6 | 1,398 | 2.0 |
| Western | 99.7 | 38.9 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 15.5 | 24.2 | 6.0 | 28.5 | 82.2 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 1,968 | 2.1 |
| DISH project region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese, Mbarara (l) | 99.6 | 39.7 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 26.7 | 5.0 | 22.0 | 82.9 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 564 | 2.0 |
| Masaka, Rakai (II) | 100.0 | 29.3 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 7.1 | 19.2 | 71.0 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 476 | 1.5 |
| Luwero, Masindi (III) | 99.5 | 48.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 21.4 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 26.0 | 75.9 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 222 | 1.9 |
| Kamuli, Jinja (IV) | 99.7 | 59.7 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 12.5 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 13.2 | 80.0 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 341 | 2.1 |
| Kampala (V) | 100.0 | 63.0 | 13.5 | 13.1 | 2.8 | 15.4 | 9.6 | 14.2 | 16.8 | 72.5 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 502 | 2.3 |
| Non-DISH district | 98.5 | 38.6 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 16.7 | 17.4 | 8.4 | 16.7 | 84.1 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 4,964 | 2.0 |
| DISH district | 99.8 | 47.0 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 1.8 | 11.2 | 12.1 | 8.7 | 19.1 | 76.5 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2,106 | 1.9 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 97.5 | 25.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 11.9 | 17.9 | 0.9 | 17.7 | 84.9 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2,161 | 1.7 |
| Primary | 99.4 | 43.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 7.3 | 17.5 | 83.0 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 3,956 | 1.9 |
| Secondary+ | 100.0 | 68.3 | 13.6 | 20.7 | 7.0 | 20.4 | 13.4 | 30.8 | 16.4 | 69.9 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 952 | 2.7 |
| Total | 98.9 | 41.1 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 15.0 | 15.8 | 8.5 | 17.4 | 81.8 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 7,070 | 2.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Mean number of sources is based on respondents who have heard of AIDS.

[^32]Table 11.4.2 Knowledge of AIDS and sources of AIDS information: men
Percentage of men who have ever heard of AIDS, percentage who have received information about AIDS from specific sources, and mean number of sources of information aboul AIDS, by background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Ever heard of AIDS | Sources of AIDS information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nurnber | Mcan number of sources ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Radio | TV | Newspaper | Patmphlet | Health worker | Mosque/ church | School | Community meeting | Friend/ Retative | Work <br> place | Other source |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 99.7 | 57.9 | 7.8 | 10.1 | 5.8 | 14.2 | 9.9 | 38.5 | 14.6 | 68.5 | 0.9 | 7.6 | 387 | 2.4 |
| 20-24 | 100.0 | 65.9 | 9.6 | 15.3 | 5.3 | 22.3 | 10.0 | 22.2 | 28.8 | 70.3 | 3.5 | 8.3 | 367 | 2.6 |
| 25-29 | 100.0 | 57.8 | 7.1 | 16.0 | 7.9 | 21.1 | 10.3 | 9.1 | 28.3 | 68.0 | 4.3 | 11.7 | 359 | 2.4 |
| 30-39 | 100.0 | 68.3 | 5.9 | 15.5 | 10.1 | 21.4 | 12.6 | 5.3 | 32.1 | 73.2 | 6.1 | 10.6 | 509 | 2.6 |
| 40-49 | 99.6 | 67.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 | 5.8 | 19.9 | 14.2 | 1.4 | 37.2 | 67.4 | 5.8 | 9.5 | 280 | 2.5 |
| 50-54 | 100.0 | 43.3 | 2.8 | 8.2 | 3.6 | 21.8 | 16.5 | 0.5 | 32.4 | 68.2 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 95 | 2.1 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 99.6 | 58.8 | 11.4 | 17.0 | 7.8 | 17.8 | 9.8 | 34.2 | 18.8 | 66.5 | 2.0 | 9.5 | 592 | 2.5 |
| Currently in union | 100.0 | 64.9 | 5.3 | 13.3 | 6.7 | 20.5 | 12.5 | 6.6 | 32.8 | 70.8 | 4.9 | 9.7 | 1,252 | 2.5 |
| Formerly in union | 100.0 | 58.1 | 1.9 | 11.4 | 7.4 | 23.9 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 26.2 | 73.8 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 152 | 2.4 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 73.2 | 27.7 | 38.0 | 16.7 | 24.5 | 12.0 | 17.9 | 26.6 | 67.3 | 10.3 | 13.1 | 281 | 3.3 |
| Rural | 99.9 | 60.8 | 3.5 | 10.4 | 5.5 | 19.2 | 11.5 | 14.2 | 28.4 | 70.1 | 3.2 | 8.9 | 1,715 | 2.4 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 99.8 | 59.8 | 12.5 | 18.5 | 8.2 | 30.0 | 7.1 | 14.1 | 20.4 | 64.2 | 4.8 | 26.2 | 568 | 2.7 |
| Eastern | 99.7 | 65.3 | 8.5 | 12.4 | 5.6 | 9.3 | 1.4 | 12.3 | 22.4 | 73.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 497 | 2.2 |
| Northern | 100.0 | 58.9 | 3.3 | 16.5 | 8.2 | 24.6 | 8.9 | 23.4 | 31.3 | 77.6 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 419 | 2.6 |
| Western | 100.0 | 66.1 | 1.9 | 9.4 | 6.3 | 15.3 | 28.7 | 10.8 | 39.8 | 66.3 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 511 | 2.5 |
| DISH project region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese, Mbarara (I) | 100.0 | 78.1 | 2.5 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 12.8 | 41.3 | 11.0 | 45.0 | 73.8 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 159 | 2.9 |
| Masaka, Rakai (II) | 100.0 | 46.3 | 2.8 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 32.6 | 2.9 | 17.7 | 18.5 | 68.0 | 1.1 | 25.1 | 138 | 2.3 |
| Luwero, Masindi (III) | 100.0 | 48.3 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 6.9 | 26.0 | 11.3 | 5.1 | 25.2 | 50.2 | 3.9 | 26.1 | 72 | 2.1 |
| Kamuli, Jinja (IV) | 100.0 | 56.0 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 7.2 | 17.8 | 3.0 | 13.8 | 47.5 | 82.9 | 27.5 | 4.3 | 85 | 2.8 |
| Kampala (V) | 100.0 | 76.2 | 38.1 | 45.8 | 19.4 | 23.9 | 14.9 | 20.0 | 28.4 | 67.8 | 12.3 | 9.0 | 141 | 3.6 |
| Non-DISH district | 99.8 | 62.2 | 4.7 | 13.0 | 5.6 | 18.9 | 9.3 | 14.9 | 26.1 | 69.9 | 2.6 | 8.4 | 1,401 | 2.4 |
| DISH district | 100.0 | 63.5 | 11.9 | 17.2 | 10.4 | 22.3 | 17.0 | 14.4 | 32.9 | 69.5 | 8.2 | 12.0 | 595 | 2.8 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 99.5 | 48.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 8.4 | 1.2 | 30.9 | 80.9 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 232 | 2.0 |
| Primary | 99.9 | 61.1 | 2.6 | 6.9 | 4.2 | 16.7 | 12.6 | 9.6 | 27.3 | 72.4 | 3.1 | 8.7 | 1,259 | 2.3 |
| Secondiry+ | 100.0 | 72.6 | 20.6 | 39.1 | 17.5 | 29.8 | 10.6 | 33.9 | 29.0 | 58.0 | 7.4 | 12.5 | 504 | 3.3 |
| Total | 99.9 | 62.6 | 6.9 | 14.2 | 7.1 | 19.9 | 11.6 | 14.8 | 28.2 | 69.7 | 4.2 | 9.5 | 1,996 | 2.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Mean number of sources is based on respondents who have heard of AIDS.

82 percent of women and 70 percent of men citing them as a source. More men ( 63 percent) than women (41 percent) obtain information on AIDS from the radio. One in seven men has read about AIDS in a newspaper, compared with one in 25 women.

Fifteen percent of women and 20 percent of men say they have heard about AIDS from a health worker. Men are more likely to receive information about AIDS from community meetings than women ( 28 vs. 17 percent). Religious institutions such as churches and mosques are also sources of information on AIDS, as are schools. Sixteen percent of women and 12 percent of men have received information from religious institutions, while 9 percent of women and 15 percent of men have heard about AIDS from schools.

Urban respondents tend to receive more information about AIDS from radio, television, newspapers, and pamphlets than rural dwellers. These media are also more widely cited as sources of AIDS information for the more educated women and men. Schools are more common sources of information among the younger respondents and among those who have never married.

Tables 11.5.1 and I1.5.2 show the percentage of women and men who know of specific ways to avoid getting HIV/AIDS. About 10 percent of women and 6 percent of men report that there is no way to avoid getting AIDS. Of the remainder, all but 14 percent of women and 9 percent of men could cite

Table 11.5.1 Knowledge of ways to avoid HIV/AIDS: women
Percentage of women who have heard of AIDS and who know of specific ways to avoid HIV/AIDS and percentage with misinformation, by background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Ways to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage with any misinformation ${ }^{1}$ | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No way to avoid AIDS | Abstain from sex | Use condoms | Have only one sexual partner | Avoid sex with prostitutes | Avoid transfusions | Avoid injections | Other ways | Don't know any way |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 9.2 | 38.7 | 24.8 | 51.9 | 3.8 | 10.8 | 29.5 | 6.2 | 14.4 | 0.6 | 1,585 |
| 20-24 | 9.6 | 31.6 | 24.3 | 64.9 | 3.9 | 10.4 | 32.3 | 5.6 | 13.4 | 0.4 | 1,533 |
| 25-29 | 10.5 | 32.2 | 22.2 | 66.3 | 4.0 | 10.8 | 32.9 | 5.7 | 13.8 | 0.8 | 1,258 |
| 30-39 | 9.7 | 31.8 | 19.6 | 66.4 | 4.4 | 8.9 | 30.9 | 5.8 | 13.1 | 0.3 | 1,749 |
| 40-49 | 8.9 | 37.9 | 12.8 | 62.1 | 3.6 | 7.4 | 27.7 | 6.2 | 13.5 | 1.2 | 867 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 6.3 | 49.3 | 30.8 | 46.5 | 3.6 | 15.4 | 37.8 | 7.7 | 10.6 | 0.9 | 1,095 |
| Currently in union | 10.5 | 28.8 | 18.6 | 67.4 | 4.3 | 8.8 | 29.4 | 5.4 | 14.5 | 0.5 | 5,081 |
| Formerly in union | 8.6 | 47.0 | 26.4 | 51.1 | 2.2 | 8.8 | 30.8 | 6.2 | 12.0 | 0.8 | 813 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.7 | 38.4 | 44.5 | 57.8 | 4.9 | 18.9 | 37.6 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 1,053 |
| Rural | 10.7 | 33.4 | 17.3 | 63.0 | 3.8 | 8.2 | 29.6 | 5.8 | 15.1 | 0.6 | 5,939 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 2.4 | 39.8 | 37.6 | 59.4 | 3.6 | 9.8 | 29.5 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 1,965 |
| Eastern | 8.0 | 34.7 | 18.3 | 69.2 | 4.5 | 7.2 | 26.3 | 4.3 | 11.7 | 0.2 | 1,730 |
| Northern | 29.6 | 6.6 | 11.0 | 50.6 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 28.5 | 7.0 | 37.1 | 1.4 | 1,336 |
| Western | 4.7 | 46.9 | 15.1 | 66.8 | 1.0 | 15.1 | 37.8 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 0.5 | 1,962 |
| DISH project region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese, Mbarara (1) | 6.1 | 49.1 | 11.5 | 64.5 | 0.6 | 12.1 | 30.6 | 6.0 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 562 |
| Masaka, Rakai (II) | 3.4 | 40.4 | 21.5 | 55.1 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 21.0 | 5.1 | 7.7 | 0.3 | 476 |
| Luwero, Masindi (III) | ) 8.0 | 40.0 | 22.9 | 66.6 | 0.1 | 8.6 | 26.9 | 3.9 | 10.9 | 1.9 | 221 |
| Kamuli, Jinja (IV) | 4.0 | 57.2 | 27.7 | 69.2 | 3.8 | 12.3 | 43.5 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 340 |
| Kampala (V) | 0.7 | 38.1 | 51.1 | 54.0 | 5.7 | 22.7 | 36.6 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 502 |
| Non-DISH district | 12.0 | 29.6 | 19.0 | 62.9 | 4.3 | 8.8 | 30.5 | 6.2 | 16.5 | 0.7 | 4,891 |
| DISH district | 4.1 | 44.8 | 27.0 | 60.8 | 3.2 | 12.2 | 31.6 | 5.0 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 2,102 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 14.7 | 28.6 | 8.4 | 58.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 20.2 | 3.9 | 22.3 | 0.5 | 2,108 |
| Primary | 8.8 | 34.9 | 21.8 | 63.8 | 3.8 | 9.3 | 31.6 | 6.2 | 11.8 | 0.6 | 3,932 |
| Secondary+ | 1.6 | 43.3 | 48.8 | 63.7 | 4.3 | 24.4 | 51.1 | 8.9 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 952 |
| Total | 9.6 | 34.2 | 21.4 | 62.2 | 4.0 | 9.8 | 30.8 | 5.9 | 13.6 | 0.6 | 6,993 |

[^33]Table 11.5.2 Knowledge of ways to avoid HIV/AIDS: men
Percentage of men who have heard of AIDS and who know of specific ways to avoid HIV/AIDS and percentage with misinformation, by background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic |  | Ways to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage with any misinformation ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No way to avoid AIDS | Abstain from sex | Use condoms | Have only one sexual partner | Avoid sex with prostitutes | Avoid transfusions | Avoid injections | Other ways | Don't know any way |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.7 | 49.5 | 35.9 | 45.6 | 9.0 | 10.2 | 35.3 | 4.8 | 10.4 | 0.4 | 385 |
| 20-24 | 7.1 | 37.3 | 44.9 | 53.0 | 11.2 | 8.4 | 34.3 | 3.0 | 10.7 | 1.3 | 367 |
| 25-29 | 4.5 | 34.2 | 33.8 | 62.1 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 37.2 | 4.1 | 6.0 | 2.8 | 359 |
| 30-39 | 4.6 | 30.7 | 28.6 | 66.0 | 18.1 | 11.1 | 32.2 | 1.6 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 509 |
| 40-49 | 5.5 | 32.7 | 18.8 | 64.4 | 12.2 | 8.8 | 32.6 | 3.3 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 279 |
| 50-54 | 12.4 | 32.3 | 16.4 | 59.6 | 9.5 | 6.1 | 16.6 | 3.2 | 15.4 | 0.3 | 95 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 6.5 | 49.0 | 39.7 | 44.1 | 7.4 | 10.3 | 35.2 | 4.4 | 10.5 | 1.7 | 590 |
| Currently in union | 5.5 | 30.9 | 28.1 | 66.3 | 14.2 | 9.2 | 33.5 | 2.4 | 7.6 | 0.9 | 1,252 |
| Formerly in union | 7.2 | 34.5 | 34.0 | 48.9 | 18.6 | 12.1 | 25.5 | 5.2 | 10.0 | 3.7 | 152 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.5 | 44.5 | 57.6 | 55.5 | 8.7 | 13.5 | 36.9 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 281 |
| Rural | 6.7 | 35.2 | 27.8 | 58.9 | 13.1 | 9.1 | 32.8 | 2.9 | 9.8 | 1.2 | 1,713 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 1.6 | 33.9 | 46.8 | 65.3 | 20.2 | 7.6 | 27.2 | 7.9 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 567 |
| Eastern | 6.4 | 38.8 | 33.0 | 47.4 | 6.2 | 2.9 | 22.0 | 1.6 | 11.5 | 0.2 | 496 |
| Northern | 14.3 | 29.2 | 25.6 | 66.6 | 14.8 | 6.9 | 37.4 | 1.0 | 17.8 | 2.4 | 419 |
| Western | 3.4 | 43.3 | 19.7 | 54.9 | 8.2 | 21.1 | 48.1 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 511 |
| DISH project region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kasese, Mbarara (1) | 2.6 | 48.8 | 18.8 | 52.7 | 6.3 | 27.0 | 57.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 159 |
| Masaka, Rakai (II) | 3.3 | 24.4 | 40.0 | 65.1 | 35.1 | 4.4 | 19.1 | 11.0 | 8.5 | 0.9 | 138 |
| Luwero, Masindi (III) | 5.3 | 52.1 | 20.4 | 68.7 | 12.8 | 6.9 | 24.1 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 72 |
| Kamuli, Jinja (IV) | 4.1 | 51.8 | 52.2 | 44.1 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 85 |
| Kampala (V) | 0.6 | 47.1 | 66.5 | 55.5 | 7.1 | 12.3 | 37.5 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 141 |
| Non-DISH district | 7.3 | 33.6 | 28.6 | 59.1 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 33.2 | 2.4 | 10.6 | 1.3 | 1,399 |
| DISH district | 2.8 | 43.5 | 40.0 | 57.0 | 13.5 | 12.6 | 33.8 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 595 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 12.5 | 30.8 | 14.5 | 49.5 | 12.3 | 3.1 | 17.8 | 1.8 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 231 |
| Primary | 6.7 | 37.2 | 26.7 | 58.4 | 12.3 | 8.3 | 31.1 | 2.5 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 1,258 |
| Secondary+ | 1.1 | 37.6 | 53.0 | 62.6 | 13.1 | 16.3 | 46.2 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 504 |
| Total | 5.9 | 36.5 | 32.0 | 58.4 | 12.5 | 9.8 | 33.4 | 3.2 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 1,994 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes avoiding mosquito bites, kissing, and seeking protection from a traditional healer.
at least one way to avoid HIV/AIDS. About 60 percent of respondents say that limiting the number of sexual partners or having only one partner can help prevent the spread of the disease and more than onethird of respondents report that abstaining from sex can prevent getting the disease. Surprisingly, only 21 percent of women and 32 percent of men cite use of condoms as a way to avoid AIDS and about one in three respondents mentioned avoiding unsterilised needles. Only one in 10 respondents mentioned that avoiding blood transfusions is a means of avoiding HIV/AIDS. Only 1 percent of women and men report
a way to avoid AIDS that reflected misinformation such as mosquito bites, kissing, or seeking protection from a traditional healer.

Knowledge of ways to avoid HIV/AIDS follows expected patterns by level of education. For both women and men, safe patterns of sexual behaviour (i.e., abstinence, use of condoms, limiting the number of sexual partners) are less commonly reported by respondents who have little or no education than by those with more education. Although urban respondents are more likely to report condom use as a way to avoid AIDS than their rural counterparts, rural women and men are slightly more likely than urban respondents to mention restricting the number of sexual partners as a way to avoid AIDS. It is also notable that women and men in the Northern Region are more likely to say that there is no way to avoid AIDS or that they do not know of any ways to avoid AIDS.

Tables 11.6.1 and 11.6 .2 show the percentage of women and men who are aware of certain AIDSrelated health issues, by background characteristics. Results show that over 80 percent of women and men

Table 11.6.1 Awareness of AIDS health issues: women
Percentage of women who are aware of certain AIDS-related health issues, by background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Can a healthylooking person have the AIDS virus? |  |  | Is AIDS fatal? |  |  | Can <br> AIDS be transmitted from mother to child? |  |  | Can <br> AIDS be transmitted through breastfeeding? |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | No |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.19 | 78.5 | 13.7 | 7.8 | 90.8 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 84.5 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 99.5 | 1,585 |
| 20-24 | 87.0 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 92.3 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 88.5 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 99.6 | 1,533 |
| 25-29 | 85.5 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 89.9 | 6.9 | 3.3 | 86.8 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 1.1 | 98.9 | 1,258 |
| 30-39 | 83.0 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 89.5 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 85.2 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 0.6 | 99.4 | 1,749 |
| 40-49 | 82.2 | 7.3 | 10.2 | 89.6 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 83.4 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 99.7 | 867 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 81.8 | 12.3 | 5.8 | 90.3 | 7.4 | 2.3 | 85.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 99.4 | 1,095 |
| Currently in union | 82.9 | 9.1 | 7.9 | 90.6 | 6.1 | 3.2 | 85.2 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 99.4 | 5,081 |
| Formerly in union | 87.3 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 90.2 | 7.1 | 2.4 | 90.0 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 99.7 | 813 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 91.4 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 89.7 | 8.4 | 1.7 | 89.4 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 99.4 | 1,053 |
| Rural | 81.8 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 90.6 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 85.2 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 0.6 | 99.4 | 5,939 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 90.3 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 92.9 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 90.7 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 99.3 | 1,965 |
| Eastern | 83.3 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 84.4 | 10.9 | 4.7 | 84.0 | 8.9 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 99.2 | 1.730 |
| Northern | 70.8 | 16.7 | 12.5 | 90.9 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 86.2 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 99.3 | 1,336 |
| Western | 84.4 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 93.2 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 82.3 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 0.2 | 99.8 | 1,962 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 72.6 | 13.4 | 13.9 | 88.8 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 78.9 | 9.2 | 11.8 | 0.3 | 99.7 | 2,108 |
| Primary | 86.0 | 8.5 | 5.5 | 91.5 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 87.8 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 99.3 | 3.932 |
| Secondary+ | 95.1 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 90.1 | 8.9 | 0.9 | 92.7 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 99.1 | 952 |
| Total | 83.2 | 9.3 | 7.4 | 90.5 | 6.4 | 3.0 | 85.8 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 99.4 | 6,993 |

[^34]Table 11.6.2 Awareness of AIDS health issues: men
Percentage of men who are aware of certain AIDS-related health issues, by background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Can a healthylooking person have the AIDS virus? |  |  | Is AIDS fatal? |  |  | Can AIDS be transmitted from mother to child? |  |  | Can <br> AIDS be transmitted through breastfeeding? |  | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No | Don't know | Yes | No |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 81.2 | 15.0 | 3.8 | 94.6 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 85.1 | 9.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 385 |
| 20-24 | 91.0 | 6.9 | 2.2 | 92.4 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 84.3 | 10.5 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 367 |
| 25-29 | 91.8 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 83.7 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 2.0 | 98.0 | 359 |
| 30-39 | 90.3 | 7.2 | 2.5 | 95.4 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 86.5 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 99.4 | 509 |
| 40-49 | 86.1 | 6.6 | 7.3 | 96.9 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 84.5 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 279 |
| 50-54 | 81.1 | 13.3 | 5.6 | 91.0 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 73.6 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 86.2 | 10.6 | 3.2 | 93.6 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 85.2 | 8.7 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 99.8 | 590 |
| Currently in union | 88.3 | 8.1 | 3.6 | 95.1 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 84.5 | 8.4 | 7.0 | 0.8 | 99.2 | 1,252 |
| Formerly in union | 91.6 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 93.1 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 81.3 | 11.2 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 152 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 93.4 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 94.2 | 5.2 | 0.5 | 91.5 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 99.5 | 281 |
| Rural | 87.0 | 9.1 | 3.8 | 94.5 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 83.3 | 9.4 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 99.5 | 1,713 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 92.9 | 4.6 | 2.5 | 96.3 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 88.8 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 99.8 | 567 |
| Eastern | 81.1 | 12.1 | 6.8 | 89.2 | 9.2 | 1.6 | 84.7 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 496 |
| Northem | 91.1 | 7.5 | 1.4 | 92.4 | 7.3 | 0.4 | 89.3 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 98.7 | 419 |
| Western | 86.4 | 10.1 | 3.5 | 99.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 75.4 | 16.0 | 8.6 | 0.7 | 99.3 | 511 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 80.7 | 10.7 | 8.6 | 93.7 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 75.2 | 11.1 | 13.7 | 0.1 | 99.9 | 231 |
| Primary | 85.7 | 10.5 | 3.8 | 95.1 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 84.1 | 9.1 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 99.4 | 1,258 |
| Secondary+ | 96.6 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 93.3 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 89.6 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 99.6 | 504 |
| Total | 87.9 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 94.5 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 84.4 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 0.5 | 99.5 | 1,994 |

Note: Total includes some missing values
realise that it is possible for a healthy-looking person to have the AIDS virus, while well over 90 percent know that AIDS is a fatal disease for which there is no cure at this time. About 85 percent of respondents know that the AIDS virus can be transmitted from mother to child during pregnancy or child birth, while almost all women and men say that the AIDS virus cannot be transmitted through breastfeeding. Women and men who live in rural areas and those who have no formal education are slightly more likely to be misinformed on these issues.

The 1995 UDHS included a question on whether respondents personally knew somebody who has AIDS or who has died of AIDS. Table 11.7 presents the distribution of respondents by their responses to this question, according to selected background characteristics. Overall, 86 percent of women and 92 percent of men report that they know someone who has AIDS or has died from AIDS. Respondents living in urban areas are more likely than rural respondents to know someone with AIDS. This slight residential

Table 11.7 Personal acquaintance with AIDS
Percent distribution of women and men by whether they know someone with AIDS or someone who died of AIDS, according to background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Knows someone with AIDS or who died from AIDS |  |  | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Number of women | Knows someone with AIDS or who died from AIDS |  |  | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Numbe of men |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know |  |  | Yes | No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 85.5 | 13.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,585 | 89.5 | 9.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 385 |
| 20-24 | 87.2 | 12.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,533 | 91.1 | 8.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 367 |
| 25-29 | 87.5 | 11.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,258 | 93.1 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 359 |
| 30-39 | 86.2 | 13.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,749 | 91.6 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 509 |
| 40.49 | 85.7 | 13.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 867 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 279 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 85.2 | 13.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 88.1 | 11.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1,095 | 90.0 | 9.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 590 |
| Currently in union | 85.7 | 13.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 5,081 | 91.8 | 7.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,252 |
| Formerly in union | 88.7 | 10.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 813 | 94.5 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 152 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 93.9 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,053 | 95.7 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Rural | 85.1 | 14.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 5,939 | 90.8 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,713 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 93.2 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,965 | 97.8 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 567 |
| Eastern | 85.2 | 14.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,730 | 86.8 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 496 |
| Northern | 76.0 | 23.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,336 | 86.2 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 419 |
| Western | 87.9 | 11.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,962 | 93.2 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 511 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 77.1 | 21.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 2,108 | 86.2 | 12.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 231 |
| Primary | 89.1 | 10.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3,932 | 91.2 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,258 |
| Secondary+ | 95.9 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 952 | 94.6 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 504 |
| Total | 86.4 | 12.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 6,993 | 91.5 | 7.9 | 0.5 | 1000 | 1,994 |

NA $=$ Not applicable
${ }^{1}$ Total includes some missing values
difference supports STD/ACP figures that AIDS is more prevalent in urban areas. It should be noted that personal acquaintance with AIDS in both urban and rural settings is very high. The data show that 93 percent of women and 98 percent of men in the Central Region know someone with AIDS, compared with 76 percent and 86 percent, respectively, in the Northern Region. These patterns reflect the fact that HIV prevalence is higher in the Central Region than in other regions (STD/ACP, 1995). The higher the educational level, the higher the chances that an Ugandan knows someone with AIDS, though for all educational levels the probability of knowing someone with AIDS is high.

### 11.5 Perception of the Risk of Getting HIV/AIDS

Female and male respondents who have heard of AIDS were asked whether they thought that their chances of getting the AIDS virus were great, moderate, small, or nil. Interviewers then asked respondents why they thought their chances were great/moderate or small/nil. Tables 11.8.1 and 11.8.2 show that 65 percent of women and 84 percent of men say that they have little or no chance of being infected. Women are more likely than men to report that their chances of getting AIDS are great ( 13 vs .6 percent).

Table 11.8.1 Perception of the risk of getting AIDS: women
Percent distribution of women who have heard of AIDS by their perception of the risk of getting AIDS, according to background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | Chances of getting AIDS |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No risk at all | Small | Moderate | Great | Don't know |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 31.6 | 47.2 | 12.8 | 8.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,585 |
| 20-24 | 16.5 | 47.3 | 23.3 | 12.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,533 |
| 25-29 | 15.1 | 42.1 | 25.2 | 17.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,258 |
| 30-39 | 17.2 | 41.1 | 25.1 | 16.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,749 |
| 40-49 | 23.6 | 46.2 | 18.1 | 11.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 867 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 34.6 | 47.7 | 10.0 | 7.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,095 |
| Currently in union | 18.0 | 43.8 | 23.9 | 14.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 5,081 |
| Formerly in union | 18.8 | 46.0 | 18.6 | 16.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 813 |
| No. of sexual partners other than husband in last 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 21.1 | 44.8 | 20.9 | 12.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 6,815 |
| 1 | 6.4 | 43.5 | 27.4 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 134 |
| 2-3 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 23 |
| 4+ | * | * | * | - | * | * | 4 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 12.6 | 47.1 | 20.8 | 18.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,053 |
| Rural | 22.2 | 44.2 | 21.1 | 12.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5,939 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 10.8 | 50.4 | 21.4 | 16.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,965 |
| Eastern | 18.8 | 48.7 | 19.3 | 13.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,730 |
| Northern | 37.8 | 32.2 | 19.0 | 10.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1,336 |
| Western | 20.9 | 43.8 | 23.8 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,962 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 26.7 | 41.9 | 19.4 | 11.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,108 |
| Primary | 19.1 | 45.6 | 21.7 | 13.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3,932 |
| Secondary+ | 14.4 | 46.9 | 22.0 | 16.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 952 |
| Total | 20.7 | 44.7 | 21.1 | 13.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 6,993 |

Note: Total includes 17 women who reported "don't know" to number of sexual partners in last 12 months. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

| Table 11.8.2 Perception of the risk of getting AIDS: men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of men who have heard of AIDS by their perception of the risk of getting AIDS, according to background characteristics, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chances of getting AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | No risk at all | Small | Moderate | Great | Don't know | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { men } \end{aligned}$ |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 56.9 | 32.1 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 385 |
| 20-24 | 31.6 | 46.4 | 12.9 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 367 |
| 25-29 | 28.3 | 52.7 | 12.4 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 359 |
| 30-39 | 36.3 | 46.8 | 11.3 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 509 |
| 40-49 | 41.6 | 46.2 | 7.9 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 279 |
| 50-54 | 40.4 | 46.8 | 10.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 48.8 | 36.2 | 9.4 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 590 |
| Currently in union | 35.9 | 49.5 | 9.7 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,252 |
| Formerly in union | 25.0 | 40.8 | 17.6 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 152 |
| No. of sexual partners other than wife in last 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 42.8 | 44.0 | 8.2 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,726 |
| 1 | 13.6 | 58.2 | 21.2 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 169 |
| 2-3 | 15.3 | 30.7 | 33.6 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 63 |
| 4+ | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 8 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 22.0 | 56.7 | 15.7 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 281 |
| Rural | 41.7 | 42.9 | 9.3 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,713 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 35.0 | 48.9 | 9.5 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 567 |
| Eastern | 39.5 | 36.6 | 15.4 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 496 |
| Northem | 49.4 | 35.4 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 419 |
| Western | 34.1 | 56.2 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 511 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 45.2 | 38.1 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 231 |
| Primary | 41.3 | 43.7 | 9.1 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 1,258 |
| Secondary+ | 30.0 | 50.8 | 13.2 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 504 |
| Total | 38.9 | 44.9 | 10.2 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1,994 |

Note: Total includes 27 men who reported "don't know" to number of sexual partners in last 12 months. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed.

As might be expected, the proportion who feel that they have no chance of getting AIDS is higher among younger women and men, among those who have never married, and among those who had no sexual partners other than their spouses in the preceding 12 months. The proportion reporting no risk is also higher among rural than urban residents and among those in the Northern Region. Women and men with no education are more likely than educated respondents to feel they are not at risk of getting AIDS.

The 1995 UDHS made use of the fact that in some households, both women and men were interviewed, making it possible to link data on currently married men and their wives living in the same household and look at couples in Uganda as units of study. Data regarding couples' perception of their risk of getting AIDS are presented in Table 11.9.

| Percent distribution of couples who know about AIDS by husband's and wife's perceptions of risk of getting AIDS, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chance | of gettin | AIDS: hus | band |  | Number |
| Perception of risk of AIDS | No risk at all | Small | Moderate | Great | Total | of couples |
| Chances of getting AIDS: wife |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No risk at all | 10.2 | 9.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 20.6 | 226 |
| Small | 15.6 | 22.8 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 44.7 | 491 |
| Moderate | 7.0 | 10.6 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 21.1 | 232 |
| Great | 3.4 | 6.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 13.3 | 146 |
| Total | 36.3 | 48.9 | 9.9 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 1,099 |
| Number | 399 | 538 | 109 | 51 | 1,099 | 1,099 |

The results show that there is a considerable difference of opinion between married couples as to their risk of getting AIDS. For only 37 percent of the couples do both spouses report the same level of risk; in 10 percent, both say they have no risk at all, while for 23 percent of couples, both say they have a small risk of getting AIDS, and for 2 percent, both say they have either a moderate or great risk of getting AIDS. For couples in which there is disagreement between spouses in the assessment of risk, the cases in which the wives feel at greater risk of getting AIDS than their husbands outnumber the cases in which the reverse is true ( 45 vs. 18 percent). For example, in 16 percent of the couples, the husbands believe they have no risk of getting AIDS, while their wives say they have a small risk and in 10 percent of couples, the husbands believe they have no risk, while their wives believe they have a moderate or great risk of getting AIDS.

Table 11.10 shows information on reasons why individual women and men perceive their risk of getting the AIDS virus as low or nil. Roughly equal proportions of women and men state that their risk is low or nil because they were abstaining from sex altogether ( 23 and 25 percent, respectively). Seventy

Table 11.10 Reasons for perception of small/no risk of getting HIV/AIDS
Percentage of women and men who think they have a small or no risk of getting AIDS, by reasons for that perception of risk, Uganda 1995

| Marital status | Abstain from sex | Use condom | One sex partner/ Limit partners | No <br> homosexual contact | No <br> blood transfusion | No injections | Other | Number of women/ men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never in union | 69.4 | 8.5 | 15.4 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 9.1 | 901 |
| Currently in union | 1.6 | 1.1 | 94.1 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 3,141 |
| Formerly in union | 68.2 | 5.5 | 22.4 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 527 |
| All women | 22.7 | 3.1 | 70.3 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 7.1 | 4,574 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never in union | 64.3 | 12.4 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 10.3 | 501 |
| Currently in union | 2.6 | 4.1 | 96.5 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 1,069 |
| Formerly in union | 59.8 | 17.8 | 27.4 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 1.4 | 6.6 | 100 |
| All men | 24.5 | 7.4 | 69.9 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 1,670 |

percent of women and men report that sticking to one sexual partner or limiting the number of partners is the reason for their low risk. Although low, men ( 7 percent) are more likely than women ( 3 percent) to report that condom use is the reason for their low risk of getting AIDS.

Table 11.11 presents the percentage of women and men who think they have a moderate or great risk of getting AIDS, by the stated reason for their perceptions. Eleven percent of women and 35 percent of men believe that they are at moderate or great risk because they do not use condoms. Nine percent of women and 35 percent of men report that they are at moderate or great risk because they have more than one sexual partner. More than half of women, but only 10 percent of men believe they are at risk because their spouse has multiple partners. Twelve percent of respondents (both women and men) say they are at moderate or great risk because they have had injections.

| Percentage of women and men who think they have a moderate or great risk of getting AIDS, by reasons for that perception of risk, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marital status | Don't use condom | Multiple <br> sex partners | Spouse has multiple partners | Had injections | Had blood transfusion | Other | Number of women/ men |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never in union | 15.5 | 9.3 | 15.1 | 29.7 | 7.9 | 29.1 | 191 |
| Currently in union | 10.0 | 8.0 | 57.5 | 8.9 | 2.6 | 6.4 | 1,922 |
| Formerly in union | 14.6 | 13.0 | 32.3 | 17.6 | 2.6 | 26.1 | 284 |
| All women | 11.0 | 8.7 | 51.1 | 11.6 | 3.0 | 10.5 | 2,397 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never in union | 31.4 | 33.7 | 4.9 | 9.1 | 0.2 | 37.2 | 88 |
| Currently in union | 33.3 | 38.4 | 12.4 | 14.6 | 0.4 | 17.8 | 180 |
| Formerly in union | 44.7 | 25.5 | 12.4 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 45.7 | 52 |
| All men | 34.6 | 35.0 | 10.3 | 12.2 | 0.3 | 27.6 | 320 |

Respondents were asked if they had changed their sexual behaviour in order to try to prevent getting AIDS and if so, in what way. Tables 11.12 .1 and 11.12 .2 show the percentages of women and men who have adopted various ways to avoid AIDS, according to selected background characteristics. Results show that 36 percent of women and 11 percent of men say that they have not changed their behaviour (Figure 11.1). Only 2 percent of women and 11 percent of men say they began using condoms to avoid AIDS, while 53 percent of women and 55 percent of men began restricting sexual activity to one partner, and 7 percent of women and 10 percent of men stopped having sex altogether.

Respondents living in rural areas and those with no education are more likely not to have changed their sexual behaviour in response to the perceived risk of AIDS than respondents living in urban areas and those who are more educated. Thirty-eight percent of women in rural areas have not changed their sexual behaviour, compared with 21 percent in nrbar areas; 12 percent of men in rural areas, compared with 5 percent in urban areas, have not changed their sexual behaviour in order to avoid AIDS. While it is perhaps not surprising that those who perceive their risk of getting AIDS to be small or who do not know that AIDS is a fatal disease have not changed their sexual behaviour, it is disturbing that almost one-

Table 11.12.1 AIDS prevention behaviour: women
Percentage of women who have heard of AIDS and have ever had sex, by specific changes in behaviour in order to avoid AIDS, perception of AIDS risk, and background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | No change in sexual behaviour | Change in behaviour to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Stopped sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Began } \\ \text { using } \\ \text { condom } \end{gathered}$ | Restricted to one partner | Fewer partners | Other sexual behaviour |  |
| Perception of AIDS risk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Among those who believeAIDS always fatal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No /small risk | 37.4 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 49.9 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 3,588 |
| Moderate/great risk | 30.7 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 59.5 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 2,122 |
| Among those who do not believe AIDS always fatal, or don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moderate/great risk | 33.2 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 55.0 | 5.7 | 3.1 | 224 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 34.2 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 53.4 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 980 |
| 20-24 | 34.6 | 5.4 | 2.7 | 54.4 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 1,483 |
| 25-29 | 33.3 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 58.2 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 1,245 |
| 30-39 | 37.8 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 52.2 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 1,744 |
| 40-49 | 36.9 | 17.4 | 0.6 | 42.5 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 867 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 38.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 57.6 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 5,081 |
| Currently in union | 26.8 | 38.4 | 3.1 | 28.6 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 813 |
| Formerly in union | 19.9 | 24.6 | 14.1 | 42.0 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 420 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 20.9 | 12.8 | 8.7 | 56.8 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 924 |
| Rural | 38.0 | 6.1 | 1.0 | 52.1 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 5,395 |
| Region $28.7117 \quad 500535050$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 28.7 | 11.7 | 5.0 | 53.5 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 1,771 |
| Eastern | 33.4 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 56.4 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 1,613 |
| Northern | 41.8 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 50.1 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1,210 |
| Western | 39.9 | 6.3 | 0.9 | 50.6 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 1,725 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 42.6 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 48.6 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 2,016 |
| Primary | 33.9 | 6.9 | 2.1 | 54.1 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 3,537 |
| Secondary+ | 24.2 | 10.6 | 7.6 | 57.7 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 766 |
| Total | 35.5 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 52.8 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 6,319 |

Note: "No change" category includes those who say "don't know."
third of women who believe their risk of getting AIDS is moderate or great and who are aware that AIDS is a fatal disease have not modified their sexual behaviour in order to lower their risk.

The behavioural changes reported by survey respondents are in line with the AIDS prevention messages channelled to the general public. Although results of the survey show some behavioural change, there is still a need to intensify the behavioural change campaign.

## Table 11.12.2 AIDS prevention behaviour: men

Percentage of men who have heard of AIDS and have ever had sex, by specific changes in behaviour in order to avoid AIDS, perception of AIDS risk, and background characteristics, Uganda 1995

| Background characteristic | No change in sexual behaviour | Change in behaviour to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Stopped sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Began } \\ \text { using } \\ \text { condom } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Restricted } \\ & \text { to one } \\ & \text { partner } \end{aligned}$ | Fewer partners | Avoid sex with prostitutes | Other sexual behaviour |  |
| Perception of AIDS risk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Among those who believe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIDS always fatal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small risk | 10.3 | 10.8 | 9.8 | 58.7 | 26.0 | 12.6 | 1.4 | 1,353 |
| Moderate/great risk | 15.1 | 7.2 | 13.1 | 36.0 | 42.1 | 15.4 | 1.9 | 292 |
| Among those who do not believe AIDS always fatal, or don't know |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small risk | 7.0 | 10.9 | 17.7 | 62.5 | 24.8 | 13.5 | 0.4 | 72 |
| Moderate/great risk | 0.0 | 7.0 | 13.7 | 51.7 | 32.5 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 19 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 12.8 | 31.6 | 18.6 | 33.9 | 16.3 | 8.5 | 0.7 | 184 |
| 20-24 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 21.6 | 54.2 | 26.3 | 11.6 | 1.5 | 326 |
| 25-29 | 7.3 | 9.4 | 11.4 | 59.9 | 30.7 | 10.6 | 1.8 | 350 |
| 30-39 | 11.0 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 61.4 | 31.7 | 18.2 | 2.0 | 507 |
| 40-49 | 12.6 | 7.3 | 3.9 | 55.2 | 30.7 | 12.3 | 0.8 | 277 |
| 50-54 | 24.3 | 8.7 | 1.8 | 44.1 | 31.6 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 95 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 11.0 | 0.8 | 5.4 | 65.8 | 31.6 | 14.2 | 1.5 | 1,252 |
| Currently in union | 15.0 | 29.1 | 16.6 | 27.4 | 21.7 | 18.6 | 2.0 | 152 |
| Formerly in union | 9.3 | 36.4 | 27.8 | 26.6 | 20.9 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 336 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.4 | 12.1 | 26.3 | 50.0 | 34.6 | 9.0 | 3.0 | 254 |
| Rural | 12.0 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 55.7 | 27.7 | 13.7 | 1.2 | 1,485 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central | 11.1 | 13.9 | 20.1 | 47.5 | 36.0 | 19.9 | 2.6 | 497 |
| Eastern | 4.4 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 58.2 | 38.5 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 431 |
| Northem | 13.2 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 57.6 | 20.7 | 15.6 | 2.1 | 364 |
| Western | 15.6 | 9.6 | 4.6 | 57.7 | 17.7 | 9.1 | 0.3 | 447 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 18.0 | 14.6 | 3.4 | 51.7 | 18.4 | 13.6 | 0.1 | 208 |
| Primary | 11.4 | 8.6 | 7.2 | 57.0 | 28.2 | 12.9 | 1.1 | 1,104 |
| Secondary+ | 6.6 | 12.0 | 23.2 | 50.9 | 35.0 | 12.8 | 3.2 | 428 |
| Total | 11.0 | 10.1 | 10.7 | 54.9 | 28.7 | 13.0 | 1.5 | 1,739 |

Note: "No change" category includes those who say "don't know."

Figure 11.1
Changes in Behaviour after Learning about HIV/AIDS, among Men and Women


## CHAPTER 12

## AVAILABILITY OF FAMILY PLANNING AND HEALTH SERVICES

Use of family planning and health services is determined by supply as well as demand. As part of the 1995 UDHS, a separate team of interviewers conducted a Service Availability (SA) survey to assess the availability, or supply, of family planning and health services in the community. The questionnaire was applied at the community level, that is, one questionnaire was filled out for each Local Council (LC1) (see Chapter 1) in the selected cluster. Information was gathered by the supervisors of the household listing teams. The supervisors were instructed to gather information by first contacting the local LC1 leaders and asking them to assemble a group of knowledgeable residents to include at least one nurse or health worker, and a woman. The supervisor would then ask the appropriate questions of this group, facilitating a discussion and encouraging a consensus in indentfying the facilities. Besides, respondents were asked about distance, time, and services offered by the facilities.

The number of independent data points is greater than the number of clusters' (sample points) for which the information was collected; 121 points for the Central Region, 97 points for the Eastern Region, 68 for the Northern and 131 data points for the Western region. Due to the small number of data points, the service availability estimates are subject to larger sampling errors than the estimates based on data from the individual women in the main survey. This analysis focuses on urban and rural residence as well as the four regions. Moreover, the results are presented for women, which are actually the results of 417 interviews at the LC1 level.

### 12.1 Service Availability Questionnaire

The Service Availability Questionnaire was designed to provide a picture of the family planning and health service environments available to Ugandan women. There are two mechanisms for providing family planning and health services: (1) via outreach programmes which carry the services to the community, and (2) via stationary facilities which require men and women to come to them. Outreach services can consist of a person based in a community (community-based distribution), a person who periodically visits a community (a family planning or health worker), or a vehicle that periodically visits the community (a mobile clinic).

Many types of stationary facilities exist. Community informants were asked to identify the facilities from the following types:

1) private doctor;
2) pharmacy/drug shop;
3) dispensary, sub-dispensary, or delivery matemity unit;
4) health centre; and
5) hospital.
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### 12.2 A vailability of Family Planning Services

## Outreach Services

Sixteen percent of women live in a community served by a community-based distribution (CBD) worker who provides family planning services at least three times a year (Table 12.1). CBD workers are available to provide family planning services to 26 percent of urban women and 14 percent of rural women. The highest coverage ( 28 percent) of CBD workers is in the Central Region and the lowest ( 5 percent) is in the Northern Region. One in nine women in Uganda are served by a family planning fieldworker and only 1 percent of women have family planning services available from a mobile clinic.

## Stationary Family Planning Facilities

Table 12.1 shows that about one-fifth of women live within 1 kilometre of a facility that provides family planning services and nearly half of them live within 5 kilometres of such a facility. Urban women are generally closer to a source of family planning than rural women. The median distance to a stationary family planning outlet is about 1 kilometre in urban areas compared to 6 kilometres in rural areas. Women living in the Central and Eastern regions are generally closer to a source of family planning than women in the other two regions. Sixty-four percent of women in the Central Region live within 5 kilometres of a family planning outlet, compared to only about 30 percent of women in the Northern and Western regions.

## Table 12.1 Distance to nearest family planning services

Percentage of currently married women with community level family planning (FP) resources and percent distribution of married women by distance (kilometres) to the nearest family planning services, according to residence and region, Uganda 1995

| Resources/Distance to nearest facility | Residence |  | Region |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Central | Eastern | Northern | Western |  |
| Community based distribution | 25.5 | 14.1 | 28.1 | 17.9 | 5.2 | 10.0 | 15.5 |
| FP fieldworker ${ }^{1}$ | 15.7 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 14.3 | 0.4 | 15.7 | 11.1 |
| FP mobile clinic ${ }^{1}$ | 2.9 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| Health mobile clinic ${ }^{1}$ | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 3.6 |
| Distance to nearest facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<1 \mathrm{~km}$ | 52.7 | 14.8 | 32.9 | 20.4 | 17.5 | 7.4 | 19.3 |
| $1-4 \mathrm{~km}$ | 45.5 | 24.4 | 31.4 | 38.7 | 11.5 | 23.3 | 26.9 |
| $5-9 \mathrm{~km}$ | 0.8 | 23.9 | 16.2 | 14.9 | 32.7 | 22.6 | 21.2 |
| $10-14 \mathrm{~km}$ | 0.0 | 13.6 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 17.2 | 11.7 | 12.0 |
| 15.29 km | 0.0 | 10.4 | 6.5 | 12.7 | 4.1 | 12.1 | 9.2 |
| $30+\mathrm{km}$ | 0.0 | 8.3 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 22.9 | 7.3 |
| Distance unknown | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| No services known | 1.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 3.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 612 | 4,522 | 1,242 | 1,399 | 1,115 | 1,378 | 5,134 |
| Median distance | 0.9 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 5.2 |
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## Availability of Family Planning by Type of Facility

Tables 12.2.1 and 12.2.2 present the distribution of currently married women by distance and oneway travel time to the nearest facility providing family planning services. Overall, the median distance (of

| Table 12.2.1 Distance to family planning services by type of facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of currently married women by distance (kilometres) to the nearest facility providing family planning services/supplies, according to facility type and urban-rural residence, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Type of facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance to nearest facility |  Dispensary/ <br> Sub-dispensary/ <br> Delivery <br>  maternity <br> Private unit <br> doctor Pharmacy <br>  (DMU) |  |  | Health centre | Hospital | Any facility |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<1 \mathrm{~km}$ | 27.2 | 41.4 | 9.9 | 6.0 | 8.9 | 52.7 |
| $1-4 \mathrm{~km}$ | 24.6 | 40.2 | 36.0 | 32.3 | 61.9 | 45.5 |
| 5.14 km | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 7.3 | 14.2 | 0.8 |
| $15+\mathrm{km}$ | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| Distance unknown | 5.3 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| No known facility | 4.4 | 1.6 | 38.3 | 34.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| No facility with family planning services | 36.1 | 15.0 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 612 | 612 | 612 | 612 | 612 | 612 |
| Median distance | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.9 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<1 \mathrm{~km}$ | 1.2 | 8.1 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 14.8 |
| 1.4 km | 3.2 | 13.7 | 16.4 | 9.3 | 6.4 | 24.4 |
| $5-14 \mathrm{~km}$ | 8.2 | 14.9 | 19.4 | 22.1 | 20.7 | 37.5 |
| $15+\mathrm{km}$ | 11.1 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 21.1 | 62.0 | 18.7 |
| Distance unknown | 2.1 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 0.7 |
| No known facility | 39.1 | 22.7 | 12.9 | 19.7 | 2.1 | 4.0 |
| No facility with family planning services | 35.1 | 30.4 | 34.0 | 20.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 4.522 | 4,522 | 4,522 | 4,522 | 4,522 | 4,522 |
| Median distance | 14.3 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 10.3 | 20.7 | 6.0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<1 \mathrm{~km}$ | 4.3 | 12.1 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 19.3 |
| $1-4 \mathrm{~km}$ | 5.8 | 16.9 | 18.8 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 26.9 |
| $5-14 \mathrm{~km}$ | 7.2 | 13.1 | 17.4 | 20.3 | 19.9 | 33.1 |
| $15+\mathrm{km}$ | 10.1 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 18.7 | 55.7 | 16.4 |
| Distance unknown | 2.5 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 0.6 |
| No known facility | 34.9 | 20.1 | 15.9 | 21.5 | 1.8 | 3.6 |
| No facility with family planning services | 35.2 | 28.5 | 31.2 | 19.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 |
| Median distance | 7.5 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 8.8 | 18.8 | 5.2 |

Table 12.2.2 Time to family planning services by type of facility
Percent distribution of currently married women by one-way travel time (minutes) to nearest facility providing family planning services/supplies, according to facility type and urban-rural residence, Uganda 1995

| Time to nearest facility | Type of facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Private doctor | Pharmacy | Dispensary <br> -dispensary <br> Delivery <br> maternity <br> unit <br> (DMU) | Health centre | Hospital | Any facility |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <15 min | 35.1 | 51.3 | 19.8 | 18.9 | 27.6 | 65.6 |
| $15-29 \mathrm{~min}$ | 10.9 | 15.4 | 14.4 | 16.1 | 27.6 | 23.4 |
| $30-59 \mathrm{~min}$ | 4.2 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 5.6 | 33.5 | 9.0 |
| 60-119 min | 2.4 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 0.5 |
| $120+\mathrm{min}$ | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 0.5 |
| Time unknown | 5.8 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| No known facility | 4.4 | 1.6 | 38.3 | 34.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| No facility with family planning services | 36.1 | 15.0 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 612 | 612 | 612 | 612 | 612 | 612 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<15 \mathrm{~min}$ | 0.5 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 12.6 |
| 15-29 min | 1.4 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 12.5 |
| 30-59 min | 8.8 | 12.8 | 10.6 | 6.2 | 14.6 | 17.6 |
| $60-119 \mathrm{~min}$ | 2.7 | 7.8 | 10.4 | 18.3 | 20.0 | 23.4 |
| $120+\mathrm{min}$ | 10.8 | 11.3 | 18.9 | 22.5 | 48.7 | 29.3 |
| Time unknown | 1.6 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 0.7 |
| No known facility | 39.1 | 22.7 | 12.9 | 19.7 | 2.1 | 4.0 |
| No facility with family planning services | 35.1 | 30.4 | 34.0 | 20.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 4,522 | 4,522 | 4,522 | 4,522 | 4,522 | 4,522 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<15 \mathrm{~min}$ | 4.6 | 10.7 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 18.9 |
| 15-29 min | 2.5 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 9.2 | 13.8 |
| $30-59 \mathrm{~min}$ | 8.3 | 12.6 | 10.6 | 6.1 | 16.9 | 16.6 |
| $60-119 \mathrm{~min}$ | 2.7 | 7.2 | 9.2 | 16.7 | 18.0 | 20.7 |
| $120+\mathrm{min}$ | 9.6 | 10.1 | 17.0 | 20.4 | 43.3 | 25.8 |
| Time unknown | 2.1 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 0.6 |
| No known facility | 34.9 | 20.1 | 15.9 | 21.5 | 1.8 | 3.6 |
| No facility with family planning services | 35.2 | 28.5 | 31.2 | 19.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5.134 | 5.134 |

those reporting a distance) to any facility providing family planning is 5 kilometres. ${ }^{2}$ Dispensaries are closer than hospitals. The median distance for women 15-49 (for known facility) is 5 kilometres for dispensaries compared to 19 kilometres for hospital. As expected, women living in urban areas are closer to all types of stationary family planning outlets than women living in rural areas. The median distance for rural women to a hospital providing family planning services is seven times longer than for women living in urban areas ( 21 km vs. 3 km ).

About half of Ugandan women live within one hour's travel time to a source of family planning; one-third live within 30 minutes of a family planning outlet. Two-thirds of urban women live within 15 minutes of a facility compared to only 13 percent of rural women (Table 12.2.2).

## Availability of Specific Methods

Tabulations on distance to the nearest source of specific modern methods show that not all methods are equally accessible (Table 12.3). Twenty-two percent of Ugandan women live within 1 kilometre of a modem method provider. As might be expected, supply methods such as the pill and condom are generally more readily available to women than are clinical methods such as injectables, IUD, and sterilisation. More than half of women live within 5 kilometres of a source of a supply method compared with only 16-17 percent for a source of clinical methods or sterilisation. The median distance to a source of supply method is 5 kilometres, compared with 19 kilometres to a source for any clinical method and 20 kilometres for sterilisation. However, urban women live closer to a source of modern methods than their rural counterparts.
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## Availability of Family Planning by User Status

This section examines the relationship between physical access to a family planning provider and actual contraceptive use: Do contraceptive users live in communities with better access? This information can be used as a very rough test of the assumption that wider availability of family planning services leads to higher levels of contraceptive use.

Table 12.4 shows the percent distribution of all currently married women in terms of users of clinical and supply methods and non-users by presence of outreach services and by distance to the nearest stationary facility providing family planning services. Results show that users are slightly more likely than non-users to live in areas that are covered by CBD workers ( 18 percent vs. 15 percent). However, there is virtually no difference between the proportion of users and non-users who live in areas covered by family planning fieldworkers. Somewhat larger proportions of women who are using clinical or supply methods ( 22 percent) live in areas covered by CBD workers compared to non-users.

| Percentage of currently married women with community level family planning (FP) resources and percent distribution of married women by distance (kilometres) to the nearest family planning services, according to use of family planning and type of method, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources/Distance to nearest facility | Nonusers | Type of method |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\overline{\text { Clinical }}$ | Supply | users | Total |
| Community based distribution | 15.0 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 18.3 | 15.5 |
| FP fieldworker ${ }^{1}$ | 11.0 | 16.6 | 18.7 | 11.7 | 11.1 |
| FP mobile clinic ${ }^{1}$ | 1.2 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Health mobile clinic ${ }^{1}$ | 3.8 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 3.6 |
| Distance to nearest facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<1 \mathrm{~km}$ | 18.4 | 37.1 | 31.7 | 24.6 | 19.3 |
| $1-4 \mathrm{~km}$ | 26.1 | 35.0 | 38.9 | 31.6 | 26.9 |
| 5.9 km | 21.2 | 12.1 | 15.2 | 20.8 | 21.2 |
| 10.14 km | 11.8 | 12.3 | 8.4 | 13.1 | 12.0 |
| $15-29 \mathrm{~km}$ | 9.9 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 9.2 |
| $30+\mathrm{km}$ | 8.0 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 7.3 |
| Distance unknown | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| No services known | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 3.6 |
| Total | $100.0$ | $100.0$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | $100.0$ |
| Number of women | 4,372 | 148 | 180 | 762 | 5,134 |
| Median distance | 5.4 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 5.2 |

${ }^{1}$ Visit community at least three times a year

Fifty-six percent of all users live within 5 kilometres of fixed facilities offering family planning services, compared to 45 percent of non-users. The median distance to a stationary facility providing family planning is 4 kilometres for users compared to 5 kilometres for non-users. It should be noted that differences in contraceptive users status by distance from contraceptive source are small and subject to high levels of sampling errors.

Table 12.5 analyses distance and contraceptive status for Ugandan women; it contrasts current contraceptive users with women who have an unmet need for family planning. The data suggest that women who live closer to family planning providers are more likely to use a method than women who may be similarly motivated but live farther from a facility. There is a general tendency for women with an unmet need for family planning to be farther away from a provider than current users.

## Table 12.5 Distance to family planning services by type of method and need for family planning

Percent distribution of currently married women by distance (kilometres) to the nearest facility providing family planning services/supplies according to method offered and need for family planning, Uganda 1995

| Distance to nearest facility | Unmet need to space |  |  |  | Unmet need to limit |  |  |  | Met need (users) |  |  |  | Wants another soon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sterilisation | Clinical | Supply | Any method | Sterilisation | Clinical | Supply | $\begin{gathered} \text { Any } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | Sterilisation | Clinical | Supply | Any method | Sterilisation | Clinical | Supply | Any method |
| $<1 \mathrm{~km}$ | 3.4 | 3.4 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 19.5 | 19.5 |
| $1-4 \mathrm{~km}$ | 13.5 | 15.6 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 11.4 | 12.6 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 24.6 | 26.5 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 8.6 | 9.6 | 27.1 | 27.1 |
| $5-14 \mathrm{~km}$ | 19.6 | 22.3 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 18.7 | 22.2 | 32.3 | 32.3 | 22.9 | 23.7 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 17.4 | 22.2 | 32.9 | 32.9 |
| $15+\mathrm{km}$ | 58.2 | 55.1 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 58.9 | 57.4 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 43.2 | 41.1 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 63.4 | 60.3 | 18.2 | 18.9 18.2 |
| Distance unknown | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 2.4 | . 4 |
| No known facility | 5.2 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Number of women | 940 | 940 | 940 | 940 | 551 | 551 | 551 | 551 | 762 | 762 | 762 | 762 | 2,243 | 2,243 | 2,243 | 2,243 |
| Median distance | 19.5 | 18.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 18.6 | 18.3 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 11.0 | 10.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 24.1 | 20.1 | 2,24 | 5.3 |

### 12.3 A vailability of Health Services to Women and Children

## Antenatal Care

Table 12.6 shows the percent distribution of currently married women by distance and time to the nearest facility providing antenatal care, according to type of facility, residence, and region. The data indicate that nearly half ( 48 percent) of women in Uganda live within 5 kilometres of a facility providing antenatal care (column 5). Moreover, 32 percent of women live within 5 kilometres of a known dispensaries with antenatal services, compared to only 17 percent who live within 5 kilometres of a hospital with these services. The accessibility of health centre for health services are in between the dispensaries and hospitals.

Table 12.6 Distance and time to nearest facility providing antenatal care according to type of facility, residence and region
Percent distribution of currently married women by distance (kilometres) and time (minutes) to the nearest facility providing antenatal care services according to type of facilities, residence and region, Uganda 1995

| Distance/Time | Type of facility |  |  |  |  | Residence |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dispensary/Sub-dispensary/DeliverymaternityPrivate unit $^{\text {mealth }}$doctor(DMU) centre |  |  | Any <br> Hospital facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Urban |  | Rural | Central | Eastern NorthernWestern |  |  |
| DISTANCE TO NEAREST FACILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <1 km | 3.5 | 7.9 | 3.7 |  | 3.4 | 12.9 | 37.2 | 9.6 | 28.4 | 10.1 | 5.8 | 7.4 |
| $1-4 \mathrm{~km}$ | 8.0 | 24.0 | 14.9 | 13.6 | 34.9 | 59.9 | 31.5 | 31.3 | 39.3 | 34.8 | 33.9 |
| $5-9 \mathrm{~km}$ | 6.9 | 20.1 | 18.2 | 8.7 | 27.3 | 2.2 | 30.7 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 41.1 | 23.1 |
| $10-14 \mathrm{~km}$ | 4.6 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 12.7 | 13.3 | 7.2 | 13.9 |
| $15-29 \mathrm{~km}$ | 7.2 | 4.4 | 21.1 | 24.6 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 3.9 | 9.7 | 2.3 | 15.5 |
| $30+\mathrm{km}$ | 5.2 | 1.7 | 5.0 | 34.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 |
| Distance unknown | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| No services known | 34.9 | 15.9 | 21.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 |
| No facility with services | 29.0 | 17.3 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 612 | 4,522 | 1,242 | 1,399 | 1,115 | 1,378 |
| Median distance | 9.0 | 5.2 | 8.9 | 18.9 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 |
| TIME TO NEAREST FACILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <15 min | 5.5 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 17.1 | 58.5 | 11.5 | 28.8 | 21.2 | 2.0 | 14.7 |
| 15-29 min | 3.0 | 9.8 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 15.9 | 26.4 | 14.4 | 12.8 | 26.5 | 15.0 | 8.5 |
| 30-59 min | 4.5 | 12.3 | 10.9 | 16.9 | 20.5 | 14.7 | 21.2 | 17.0 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 30.2 |
| 60-119 min | 9.2 | 17.3 | 22.2 | 18.6 | 25.9 | 0.5 | 29.3 | 27.2 | 21.5 | 28.2 | 27.3 |
| 120+min | 13.9 | 20.2 | 25.6 | 45.5 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 29.7 | 19.3 |
| Time unknown | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 |
| No known facility | 34.9 | 15.9 | 21.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| No facility with services | 29.0 | 17.3 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 612 | 4,522 | 1,242 | 1,399 | 1,115 | 1,378 |

Women in urban areas are closer to antenatal services than women in rural areas. Nearly all (97 percent) urban women in Uganda live within 5 kilometres of a health facility that offers antenatal services, compared to 41 percent of women in rural areas. Antenatal care services are more widely available for women living in the Central Region, compared with women living in other regions. More than half ( 54
percent) of Ugandan women live within one hour's travel time to antenatal services; one-third live within 30 minutes to antenatal services.

## Delivery care

According to Table 12.7,43 percent of women live in communities where delivery care is available within 5 kilometres, and 70 percent within 10 kilometres. Although hospitals are farther from communities than dispensaries and health centres, more community informants mention hospitals as a known source of delivery care compared to other facilities. The median distance for urban women for delivery care is 2 kilometres and 6 kilometres for rural women. Women in the Central Region are nearer to delivery care services (median distance is 4 kilometres) than women in other regions; the median distance for women in the Northern Region is 6 kilometres and 10 kilometres for the Western Region.

Table 12.7 Distance and time to nearest facility providing delivery care according to type of facility, residence, and region
Percent distribution of currently married women by distance (kilometres) and time (minutes) to the nearest facility providing delivery care services according to type of facilities, residence and region, Uganda 1995

| Distance/ Time | Type of facility |  |  |  | Any <br> al facility | Residence |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dispensary/ <br> Sub-dispensary/ <br> Delivery <br> maternity <br> Private unit <br> Health <br> doctor <br> (DMU) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Hospital |  | Urban | Rural | Central | Eastern | Norther | Western |
| DISTANCE TO NEAREST FACILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<1 \mathrm{~km}$ | 1.6 | 6.3 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 11.7 | 31.6 | 9.0 | 26.1 | 10.1 | 5.8 | 5.1 |
| $1-4 \mathrm{~km}$ | 4.5 | 19.6 | 12.5 | 13.6 | 31.5 | 66.3 | 26.8 | 34.0 | 38.8 | 30.2 | 23.0 |
| $5-9 \mathrm{~km}$ | 4.1 | 18.6 | 17.0 | 8.5 | 27.2 | 1.4 | 30.7 | 23.2 | 25.7 | 42.5 | 20.1 |
| $10-14 \mathrm{~km}$ | 3.1 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 11.8 | 10.4 | 13.5 |
| $15-29 \mathrm{~km}$ | 2.7 | 3.7 | 20.1 | 24.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 3.9 | 9.7 | 2.4 | 18.9 |
| $30+\mathrm{km}$ | 1.6 | 1.2 | 5.0 | 34.1 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 17.5 |
| Distance unknown | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.9 |
| No services known | 34.9 | 15.9 | 21.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 |
| No facility with services | 46.9 | 27.4 | 10.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 612 | 4,522 | 1,242 | 1,399 | 1,115 | 1,378 |
| Median distance | 7.0 | 5.4 | 10.1 | 19.0 | 5.7 | 1.5 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 10.1 |
| TIME TO NEAREST FACILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<15 \mathrm{~min}$ | 3.2 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 16.0 | 56.7 | 10.5 | 28.2 | 21.2 | 2.0 | 11.1 |
| 15-29 min | 1.0 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 9.8 | 15.2 | 25.0 | 13.8 | 12.4 | 26.5 | 15.0 | 6.1 |
| 30-59 min | 2.4 | 8.4 | 10.1 | 16.9 | 19.2 | 17.8 | 19.3 | 18.0 | 15.6 | 12.2 | 29.5 |
| 60.119 min | 3.7 | 13.8 | 20.0 | 18.6 | 26.1 | 0.5 | 29.5 | 25.5 | 21.5 | 29.6 | 28.4 |
| $120+$ min | 7.8 | 18.8 | 25.3 | 45.5 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 15.8 | 15.2 | 32.8 | 24.9 |
| Time unknown | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 |
| No known facility | 34.9 | 15.9 | 21.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| No facility with services | 46.9 | 27.4 | 10.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 612 | 4,522 | 1,242 | 1,399 | 1,115 | 1,378 |

Twenty-four percent of women live within one hour's travel time from a known dispensary with delivery care, compared to 34 percent of women with a hospital within one hour's travel. Notably, 43 percent of the women live in communities where either there is no dispensary known to the informants or the dispensary does not provide delivery care; about one-third of women live in communities where delivery care is not available from the health centre or no health centre is known.

## Immunisation

Table 12.8 shows the distribution of currently married women by distance and one-way travel time to the nearest facility providing immunisation services. Fifty-three percent of married women live within 5 kilometres of a source of immunisation services. About one-third of women live within one hour of a dispensary and hospital providing immunisations.

Table 12.8 Distance and time to nearest facility providing immunisation services according to type of facility, residence, and region

Percent distribution of currently marricd women by distance (kilometres) and time (minutes) to the nearest facility providing child immunisation services, according to type of facilities, residence, and region, Uganda 1995

| Distance (km)/ <br> Time (minutes) | Type of facility |  |  |  |  | Residence |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dispensary/ Sub-dispensary/ Delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Private doctor | $\begin{gathered} \text { unit } \\ \text { (DMU) } \end{gathered}$ | Health centre | Any Hospital facility |  | Urban | Rural | Central | Eastern Northern Western |  |  |
| DISTANCE TO NEAREST FACILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <1 km | 1.0 | 6.8 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 11.6 | 24.3 | 9.8 | 20.7 | 13.5 | 5.8 | 6.1 |
| $1-4 \mathrm{~km}$ | 2.1 | 27.1 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 41.5 | 71.9 | 37.3 | 40.8 | 47.9 | 34.8 | 40.9 |
| $5-9 \mathrm{~km}$ | 3.1 | 22.1 | 19.4 | 8.7 | 26.0 | 2.2 | 29.2 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 45.1 | 22.3 |
| $10-14 \mathrm{~km}$ | 2.0 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 12.0 | 10.5 | 0.9 | 11.8 | 12.9 | 10.4 | 5.0 | 12.9 |
| $15-29 \mathrm{~km}$ | 1.1 | 5.1 | 20.7 | 24.6 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 11.1 |
| $30+\mathrm{km}$ | 1.1 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 34.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 6.7 |
| Distance unknown | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| No services known | 34.9 | 15.9 | 21.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 |
| No facility with services | 54.2 | 12.1 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 612 | 4,522 | 1,242 | 1,399 | 1,115 | 1,378 |
| Median distance | 8.1 | 5.3 | 8.7 | 18.9 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 6.1 | 5.3 |

TIME TO NEAREST FACILITY

| Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $<15 \mathrm{~min}$ | 2.0 | 4.9 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 14.7 | 49.5 | 10.0 | 20.7 | 22.7 | 2.0 | 11.3 |
| $15-29 \mathrm{~min}$ | 0.4 | 11.2 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 17.4 | 27.7 | 16.0 | 19.3 | 26.3 | 15.6 | 8.5 |
| $30-59 \mathrm{~min}$ | 1.8 | 14.1 | 10.9 | 16.9 | 22.1 | 20.9 | 22.2 | 20.9 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 32.8 |
| 60.119 min | 1.7 | 18.8 | 22.8 | 18.6 | 26.5 | 0.5 | 30.0 | 24.9 | 23.6 | 30.7 | 27.5 |
| $120+$ min | 5.0 | 22.9 | 26.1 | 45.5 | 17.6 | 1.4 | 19.8 | 14.2 | 10.7 | 27.1 | 19.9 |
| Time unknown | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 |
| No known facility | 34.9 | 15.9 | 21.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| No facility with services | 54.2 | 12.1 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5.134 | 612 | 4,522 | 1,242 | 1,399 | 1,115 | 1,378 |

## Availability of selected health services

Table 12.9 indicates that only 17 percent of married women live within 5 kilometres of a facility where screening for AIDS can be done. About 62 percent of women in Uganda live within 5 kilometres of a source of ORS packets. More than two-fifths of women live within 5 kilometres of a place where their children can be treated for respiratory diseases.

| Percent distribution of currently married women 15-49 by distance (kilometres) to nearest sources of health services, by type of services, Uganda 1995 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type of services |  |  |
| Distance to nearest facility | AIDS screening | ORS packet | Respiratory disease treatment |
| <1 km | 3.6 | 22.5 | 13.8 |
| $1-4 \mathrm{~km}$ | 13.7 | 39.8 | 31.5 |
| $5-9 \mathrm{~km}$ | 8.0 | 25.5 | 23.7 |
| $10-14 \mathrm{~km}$ | 6.4 | 6.6 | 9.8 |
| $15-29 \mathrm{~km}$ | 21.8 | 4.4 | 12.8 |
| $30+\mathrm{km}$ | 41.6 | 0.5 | 7.2 |
| No known facility | 4.9 | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 |
| Median distance | 25.2 | 3.9 | 6.0 |

It is of interest to see if those who use maternal and child health (MCH) services are more likely to live closer to these services than those who do not use them. Table 12.10 shows the percent distribution of births in the four years preceding the survey by distance to the nearest facility providing MCH services, according to whether the mothers received antenatal and/or delivery care. This table also shows the percentage of children age 1-4 by distance to MCH services according to whether they themselves received all vaccinations against childhood diseases. The data show that children whose mothers received both antenatal and delivery care are more likely to live within 5 kilometres of a facility providing MCH services ( 70 percent) than either those whose mothers received only one of these services ( 46 percent) or those whose mothers received neither antenatal or delivery care ( 39 percent). The median distance to MCH services is only 3 kilometres for children whose mothers received both antenatal and delivcry care compared with 6 kilometres for children whose mothers did not receive any of these services. Children who are fully vaccinated are slightly more likely than those not fully vaccinated to live within 5 kilometres of a facility providing MCH services ( 60 percent vs. 55 percent).

## Table 12.10 Distance to nearest maternal and child health services for children

Percent distribution by distance (kilometres) to nearest maternal and child health services for children under age four according to type of maternity care their mothers received, and for children age $1-4$ years according to immunisation status, Uganda 1995

| Mobile clinic/ Distance to nearest facility | Maternity care received for mothers of children under age four |  |  | Total | Received all vaccinations |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 1-4 \text { years } \\ & \text { old } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{ANC} \& \\ \mathrm{DA} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANC or } \\ \text { DA } \end{gathered}$ DA | Neither |  | Yes | No |  |
| Health mobile clinic | 5.1 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| Distance to facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<1 \mathrm{~km}$ | 25.0 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 14.9 | 19.5 | 14.0 | 15.2 |
| 1.4 km | 44.9 | 37.3 | 30.9 | 39.6 | 40.4 | 40.7 | 40.7 |
| 5.9 km | 20.7 | 31.1 | 30.6 | 27.2 | 20.8 | 27.4 | 26.0 |
| $10-14 \mathrm{~km}$ | 6.8 | 12.2 | 14.8 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 9.8 | 10.2 |
| $15-29 \mathrm{~km}$ | 1.5 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.4 |
| $30+\mathrm{km}$ | 0.5 | 1.8 | 6.3 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Distance unknown | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| No services known | 0.3 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of children | 2,256 | 3,287 | 483 | 6,025 | 868 | 3,097 | 3,965 |
| Median distance | 3.1 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.4 |

ANC = Antenatal care
DA = Delivery assistance
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## APPENDIX A

## SAMPLE IMPLEMENTATION

## Table A. 1 Sample implementation: women

Percent distribution of households and eligible women in the DHS sample by results of the interviews and household, eligible women, and overall response rates, according to region and residence, Uganda 1995

| Result | Region |  |  |  | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Central | Eastern | Northern | Western | Urban | Rural |  |
| Selected households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (C) | 91.5 | 93.3 | 92.4 | 96.0 | 89.9 | 95.0 | 93.3 |
| Household present but no competent respondent at home (HP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| at home (HP) | 2.3 0.0 | 1.3 0.0 | 1.0 0.0 | 0.7 0.0 | 2.6 0.0 | 0.9 0.0 | 1.4 0.0 |
| Dwelling not found (DNF) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Household absent (HA) | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Dwelling vacant (DV) | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 3.2 |
| Dwelling destroyed (DD) | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 2,700 | 2,133 | 1,152 | 2,108 | 2,682 | 5,411 | 8,093 |
| Household response rate (HRR) ${ }^{1}$ | 97.4 | 98.6 | 99.0 | 99.2 | 97.1 | 99.1 | 98.4 |
| Eligible women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (EWC) | 95.8 | 96.3 | 95.7 | 95.6 | 94.8 | 96.4 | 95.8 |
| Not at home (EWNH) | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
| Refused (EWR) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Partly completed (EWPC) | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Incapacitated (EWI) | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Other (EWO) | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 2,336 | 1,985 | 1,168 | 1,888 | 2.573 | 4,804 | 7,377 |
| Eligible woman response rate (EWRR) ${ }^{2}$ | 95.8 | 96.3 | 95.7 | 95.6 | 94.8 | 96.4 | 95.8 |
| Overall response rate (ORR) ${ }^{3}$ | 93.3 | 94.9 | 94.7 | 94.8 | 92.0 | 95.5 | 94.3 |

Note: The household response rate is calculated for completed households as a proportion of completed, no competent respondent, refused, and dwelling not found. The eligible woman response rate is calculated for completed interviews as a proportion of completed, not at home, postponed, refused, partially completed, incapacitated and "other." The overall response rate is the product of the household and woman response rates.
${ }^{1}$ Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as:

$$
\frac{C}{C+H P+R+D N F}
$$

${ }^{2}$ Using the number of eligible women falling into specific response categories, the eligible woman response rate (EWRR) is calculated as:

EWC
$\mathrm{EWC}+\mathrm{EWNH}+\mathrm{EWP}+\mathrm{EWR}+\mathrm{EWPC}+\mathrm{EWI}+\mathrm{EWO}$
${ }^{3}$ The overall response rate (ORR) is calculated as:
ORR = HRR * EWRR

Table A. 2 Sample implementation: men
Percent distribution of households and eligible men in the DHS sample by results of the interviews and household, eligible men, and overall response rates, according to region and residence, Uganda 1995

| Result | Region |  |  |  | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Central | Eastern | Northern | Western | Urban | Rural |  |
| Selected households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (C) | 91.2 | 93.9 | 93.3 | 95.9 | 88.8 | 95.7 | 93.4 |
| Household present but no competent respondent at home (HP) | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| Refused (R) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Household absent (HA) | 1.7 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Dwelling vacant (DV) | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 3.2 |
| Dwelling destroyed (DD) | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 902 | 708 | 389 | 703 | 894 | 1,808 | 2,702 |
| Household response rate (HRR) ${ }^{1}$ | 97.6 | 98.2 | 98.6 | 99.3 | 96.5 | 99.3 | 98.4 |
| Eligible men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed (EMC) | 87.7 | 90.1 | 88.0 | 93.5 | 85.8 | 91.8 | 89.7 |
| Not at home (EMNH) | 9.5 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 4.9 | 12.3 | 6.3 | 8.4 |
| Postponed (EMP) | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Refused (EMR) | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Partly completed (EMPC) | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Incapacitated (EMI) | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| Other (EMO) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 740 | 606 | 367 | 511 | 766 | 1.458 | 2.224 |
| Eligible man response rate (EMRR) ${ }^{2}$ | 87.7 | 90.1 | 88.0 | 93.5 | 85.8 | 91.8 | 89.7 |
| Overall response rate (ORR) ${ }^{3}$ | 85.6 | 88.5 | 86.8 | 92.9 | 82.7 | 91.2 | 88.3 |

Note: The household response rate is calculated for completed households as a proportion of completed, no competent respondent, refused, and dwelling not found. The eligible man response rate is calculated for completed interviews as a proportion of completed, not at home, postponed, refused, partially completed, incapacitated and "other." The overall response rate is the product of the household and man response rates.
${ }^{1}$ Using the number of households falling into specific response categories, the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as:

$$
\frac{C}{C+H P+R+D N F}
$$

${ }^{2}$ Using the number of eligible men falling into specific response categories, the eligible man response rate (EMRR) is calculated as:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{EMC}}{\mathrm{EMC}+\mathrm{EMNH}+\mathrm{EMP}+\mathrm{EMR}+\mathrm{EMPC}+\mathrm{EMI}+\mathrm{EMO}}
$$

${ }^{3}$ The overall response rate (ORR) is calculated as:
ORR $=$ HRR * EMRR
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## APPENDIX B

## ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS

The estimates from a sample survey are affected by two types of errors: (1) nonsampling errors, and (2) sampling errors. Nonsampling errors are the results of mistakes made in implementing data collection and data processing, such as failure to locate and interview the correct household, misunderstanding of the questions on the part of either the interviewer or the respondent, and data entry errors. Although numerous efforts were made during the implementation of the UDHS to minimize this type of error, nonsampling errors are impossible to avoid and difficult to evaluate statistically.

Sampling errors, on the other hand, can be evaluated statistically. The sample of respondents selected in the UDHS is only one of many samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and expected size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between all possible samples. Although the degree of variability is not known exactly, it can be estimated from the survey results.

A sampling error is usually measured in terms of the standard error for a particular statistic (mean, percentage, etc.), which is the square root of the variance. The standard error can be used to calculate confidence intervals within which the true value for the population can reasonably be assumed to fall. For example, for any given statistic calculated from a sample survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error of that statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

If the sample of respondents had been selected as a simple random sample, it would have been possible to use straightforward formulas for calculating sampling errors. However, the UDHS sample is the result of a two-stage stratified design, and, consequently, it was necessary to use more complex formulae. The computer software used to calculate sampling errors for the UDHS is the ISSA Sampling Error Module (ISSAS). This module used the Taylor linearization method of variance estimation for survey estimates that are means or proportions. The Jacknife repeated replication method is used for variance estimation of more complex statistics such as fertility and mortality rates.

The Taylor linearization method treats any percentage or average as a ratio estimate, $r=y / x$, where $y$ represents the total sample value for variable $y$, and $x$ represents the total number of cases in the group or subgroup under consideration. The variance of $r$ is computed using the formula given below, with the standard error being the square root of the variance:

$$
\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1-f}{x^{2}} \sum_{h=1}^{H}\left[\frac{m_{h}}{m_{h}-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} z_{h i}^{2}-\frac{z_{h}^{2}}{m_{h}}\right)\right]
$$

in which

$$
z_{h i}=y_{h i}-r \cdot x_{h i}, \text { and } z_{h}=y_{h}-r \cdot x_{h}
$$

where $h \quad$ represents the stratum which varies from 1 to $H$,
$m_{h} \quad$ is the total number of enumeration areas selected in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$y_{h i} \quad$ is the sum of the values of variable $y$ in EA $i$ in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$x_{h i} \quad$ is the sum of the number of cases in EA $i$ in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum, and
$f \quad$ is the overall sampling fraction, which is so small that it is ignored.
The Jacknife repeated replication method derives estimates of complex rates from each of several replications of the parent sample, and calculates standard errors for these estimates using simple formulae. Each replication considers all but one clusters in the calculation of the estimates. Pseudo-independent replications are thus created. In the UDHS, there were 295 non-empty clusters. Hence, 295 replications were created. The variance of a rate $r$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(r_{i}-r\right)^{2}
$$

in which

$$
r_{i}=k r-(k-1) r_{(i)}
$$

| where | $r$ | is the estimate computed from the full sample of 295 clusters, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $r_{i)}$ is the estimate computed from the reduced sample of 294 clusters ( $i^{\text {th }}$ cluster excluded), and <br> $k$ is the total number of clusters. |  |

In addition to the standard error, ISSAS computes the design effect (DEFT) for each estimate, which is defined as the ratio between the standard error using the given sample design and the standard error that would result if a simple random sample had been used. A DEFT value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a value greater than 1.0 indicates the increase in the sampling error due to the use of a more complex and less statistically efficient design. ISSAS also computes the relative error and confidence limits for the estimates.

Sampling errors for the UDHS are calculated for selected variables considered to be of primary interest. The results are presented in this appendix for the country as a whole, for urban and rural areas, and for each of the four regions: Central, Eastern, Northern, and Western. For each variable, the type of statistic (mean, proportion, or rate) and the base population are given in Table B.1. Tables B. 2 to B. 8 present the value of the statistic (R), its standard error (SE), the number of unweighted ( N ) and weighted ( WN ) cases, the design effect (DEFT), the relative standard error (SE/R), and the 95 percent confidence limits ( $\mathrm{R} \pm 2 \mathrm{SE}$ ), for each variable. The DEFT is considered undefined when the standard error considering simple ramdom sample is zero (when the estimate is close to 0 or 1 ).

In general, the relative standard error for most estimates for the country as a whole is small, except for estimates of very small proportions. There are some differentials in the relative standard error for the estimates of sub-populations. For example, for the variable contraceptive use for currently married women age 15-49, the relative standard errors as a percent of the estimated mean for the whole country, for urban areas, and for rural areas are 5.2 percent, 5.0 percent, and 6.2 percent, respectively.

The confidence interval (e.g., as calculated for contraceptive use for currently married women age 15-49) can be interpreted as follows: the overall national sample proportion is 0.148 and its standard error is .008 . Therefore, to obtain the 95 percent confidence limits, one adds and subtracts twice the standard error to the sample estimate, i.e. $0.148 \pm 2(.008)$. There is a high probability ( 95 percent) that the true average proportion of contraceptive use for currently married women age 15 to 49 is between 0.132 and 0.164 .

Table B. 1 List of selected variables for sampling errors, Uganda, 1995

| Variable |  | Description | Base population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |
| URBAN | Urban residence | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| NOEDUC | No education | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| EDUC | With secondary education or higher | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| NEVMAR | Never married (in union) | Proportion | All women 15.49 |
| CURMAR | Currently married (in union) | Proportion | All women 15-49 |
| AGEM20 | Married before age 20 | Proportion | Women 20-49 |
| SEX18 | Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | Proportion | Women 20-49 |
| EVBORN | Children ever born | Mean | All women 15.49 |
| EVB40 | Children ever born to women over 40 | Mean | Women age 40-49 |
| SURVIV | Chidren surviving | Mean | All women 15-49 |
| KMETHO | Knowing any contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| KMODME | Knowing any modern contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| EVUSE | Ever used any contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| CUSE | Currently using any method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| CUMODE | Currently using a modern method | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| CUPILL | Currently using pill | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| CUIUD | Currently using IUD | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| CUINJ | Currently using injectables | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| CUCOND | Currently using condom | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| CUFSTER | Currently using female sterilisation | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| CUPABS | Currently using periodic abstinence | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| PSOURC | Using public sector source | Proportion | Current users of modern method |
| NOMORE | Want no more children | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| DELAY | Want to delay at least 2 years | Proportion | Currently married women 15-49 |
| IDEAL | Ideal number of children | Mean | All women 15-49 |
| TETANU | Mothers received tetanus injection | Proportion | Births in last 4 years |
| MEDELI | Mothers received medical care at birth | Proportion | Births in last 4 years |
| DIAR2W | Had diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks | Proportion | Children 0-47 months |
| ORSTRE | Treated with sugar-salt-water solution | Proportion | Children under 4 with diarrhoea in last 2 weeks |
| MEDTRE | Sought medical treatment | Proportion | Children under 4 with diarrhoea in last 2 weeks |
| HCARD | Having health card, scen | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| BCG | Received BCG vaccination | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| DPT | Received DPT vaccination (3 doses) | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| POLIO | Received polio vaccination (3 doses) | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| MEASLE | Received measles vaccination | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| FULLIM | Fully immunised | Proportion | Children 12-23 months |
| WGTHGT | Weight-for-height (below -2SD) | Proportion | Children 0-47 months |
| HGTAGE | Height-for-age (below -2SD) | Proportion | Children 0-47 months |
| WGTAGE | Weight-for-age (below-2SD) | Proportion | Children 0-47 months |
| TFR | Total fertility rate ( 3 years betore survey) | Rate | All women |
| NMORT | Neonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) ${ }^{1}$ | Rate | Number of births |
| PNMORT | Postneonatal mortality rate (0-9 years) ${ }^{1}$ | Rate | Number of births |
| INMORT | Inlant mortality rate (0-9 years) | Rate | Number of births |
| CMORT | Child tnortality rate ( $0-9$ years) ${ }^{1}$ | Rate | Number of births |
| U5MORT | Under-five mortality rate (0-9 years) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Rate | Number of births |
| MEN |  |  |  |
| URBAN | Urban residence | Proportion | All men 15-54 |
| NOEDUC | No education | Proportion | All men 15.54 |
| EDUC | With secondary education or higher | Proportion | All men 15-54 |
| NEVMAR | Never married (in union) | Proportion | All men 15-54 |
| CURMAR | Currently married (in union) | Proportion | All men 15-54 |
| AGEM20 | Married before age 20 | Proportion | Меп 20-54 |
| SEX18 | Had first sexual intercourse before 18 | Proportion | Men 20-54 |
| KMETHO | Knowing any contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| KMODME | Knowing any modern contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| EVUSE | Ever used any contraceptive method | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| CUSE | Currently using any method | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| CUMODE | Currently using a modern method | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| CUPILL | Currently using pill | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| CUIUD | Currently using IUD | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| CUINJ | Currently using injectables | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| CUCOND | Currently using condom | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| CUFSTER | Currently using female sterilisation | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| CUPABS | Currently using periodic abstinence | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| NOMORE | Want no more children | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| DELAY | Want to delay at least 2 years | Proportion | Currently married men 15-54 |
| IDEAL | Ideal number of children | Mean | All men 15-54 |

[^38]| Variable | Value (R) | Standarderror(SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted | Weighted |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| URBAN | 0.149 | . 010 | 7070 | 7070 | 2.369 | . 067 | 0.129 | 0.169 |
| NOEDUC | 0.306 | . 015 | 7070 | 7070 | 2.661 | . 048 | 0.277 | 0.335 |
| EDUC | 0.135 | . 008 | 7070 | 7070 | 1.969 | . 059 | 0.119 | 0.151 |
| NEVMAR | 0.157 | . 006 | 7070 | 7070 | 1.352 | . 037 | 0.145 | 0.168 |
| CURMAR | 0.726 | . 008 | 7070 | 7070 | 1.520 | . 011 | 0.710 | 0.742 |
| AGEM20 | 0.746 | . 008 | 5446 | 5464 | 1.427 | . 011 | 0.729 | 0.763 |
| SEX18 | 0.717 | . 008 | 5446 | 5464 | 1.370 | . 012 | 0.700 | 0.733 |
| EVBORN | 3.407 | . 040 | 7070 | 7070 | 1.094 | . 012 | 3.326 | 3.488 |
| EVB40 | 7.282 | . 123 | 826 | 880 | 1.091 | . 017 | 7.036 | 7.528 |
| SURVIV | 2.827 | . 035 | 7070 | 7070 | 1.131 | . 012 | 2.757 | 2.898 |
| KMETHO | 0.934 | . 009 | 4898 | 5134 | 2.586 | . 010 | 0.915 | 0.952 |
| KMODME | 0.916 | . 011 | 4898 | 5134 | 2.659 | . 012 | 0.894 | 0.937 |
| EVUSE | 0.339 | . 012 | 4898 | 5134 | 1.714 | . 034 | 0.315 | 0.362 |
| CUSE | 0.148 | . 008 | 4898 | 5134 | 1.505 | . 052 | 0.133 | 0.164 |
| CUMODE | 0.078 | . 006 | 4898 | 5134 | 1.476 | . 07.3 | 0.067 | 0.089 |
| CUPILL | 0.026 | . 003 | 4898 | 5134 | 1.386 | . 120 | 0.020 | 0.033 |
| CUIUD | 0.004 | . 001 | 4898 | 5134 | 0.932 | . 223 | 0.002 | 0.005 |
| CUINJ | 0.025 | . 003 | 4898 | 5134 | 1.270 | . 114 | 0.019 | 0.031 |
| CUCOND | 0.008 | . 001 | 4898 | 5134 | 1.022 | . 163 | 0.005 | 0.011 |
| CUFSTER | 0.014 | . 002 | 4898 | 5134 | 1.166 | . 139 | 0.010 | 0.018 |
| CUPABS | 0.035 | . 003 | 4898 | 5134 | 1.150 | . 087 | 0.029 | 0.041 |
| PSOURC | 0.474 | . 027 | 726 | 524 | 1.442 | . 056 | 0.420 | 0.527 |
| NOMORE | 0.309 | . 010 | 4898 | 5134 | 1.443 | . 031 | 0.290 | 0.328 |
| DELAY | 0.36 .3 | . 010 | 4898 | 5134 | 1.396 | . 026 | 0.344 | 0.382 |
| IDEAL | 5.300 | . 051 | 6692 | 6593 | 1.807 | . 010 | 5.198 | 5.402 |
| TETANU | 0.802 | . 009 | 5756 | 6027 | 1.621 | . 012 | 0.783 | 0.820 |
| MEDELI | 0.378 | . 014 | 5756 | 6027 | 1.874 | . 037 | 0.350 | 0.406 |
| DIAR2W | 0.235 | . 010 | 5188 | 5447 | 1.620 | . 042 | 0.215 | 0.254 |
| ORSTRE | 0.482 | . 016 | 1172 | 1278 | 1.094 | . 034 | 0.449 | 0.514 |
| MEDTRE | 0.551 | . 021 | 1172 | 1278 | 1.445 | . 039 | 0.509 | 0.594 |
| HCARD | 0.605 | . 016 | 1475 | 1588 | 1.315 | . 027 | 0.572 | 0.637 |
| BCG | 0.836 | . 013 | 1475 | 1588 | 1.330 | . 015 | 0.811 | 0.862 |
| DPT | 0.611 | . 019 | 1475 | 1588 | 1.510 | . 031 | 0.574 | 0.649 |
| POLIO | 0.590 | . 018 | 1475 | 1588 | 1.425 | . 030 | 0.554 | 0.626 |
| MEASLE | 0.596 | . 018 | 1475 | 1588 | 1.460 | . 031 | 0.560 | 0.633 |
| FULLIM | 0.474 | . 019 | 1475 | 1588 | 1.480 | . 040 | 0.4 .37 | 0.512 |
| WGTHGT | 0.053 | . 004 | 4520 | 4776 | 1.187 | . 074 | 0.045 | 0.061 |
| hgtage | 0.383 | . 009 | 4520 | 4776 | 1.273 | . 024 | 0.365 | 0.402 |
| wGTAGE | 0.255 | . 009 | 4520 | 4776 | 1.350 | . 035 | 0.238 | 0.273 |
| TFR | 6.858 | . 124 | 7070 | 7070 | 1.379 | . 018 | 6.610 | 7.106 |
| NMORT | 26.989 | 2.458 | 7346 | 7681 | 1.212 | . 091 | 22.072 | 31.906 |
| PNMORT | 54.283 | 3.636 | 7379 | 7717 | 1.367 | . 067 | 47.012 | 61.555 |
| INMORT | 81.272 | 4.573 | 7381 | 7719 | 1.375 | . 056 | 72.125 | 90.419 |
| CMORT | 71.879 | 4.157 | 7487 | 7834 | 1.18 .3 | . 058 | 63.566 | 80.192 |
| USMORT | 147.309 | 6.086 | 7524 | 7875 | 1.358 | . 041 | 135.138 | 159.481 |

Table B.2.2 Sampling errors - National sample: men, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard efror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| URBAN | 0.141 | . 010 | 1996 | 1996 | 1.306 | 0.072 | 0.120 | 0.161 |
| NOEDUC | 0.116 | . 010 | 1996 | 1996 | 1.349 | 0.083 | 0.097 | 0.136 |
| EDUC | 0.253 | . 014 | 1996 | 1996 | 1.450 | 0.056 | 0.225 | 0.281 |
| NEVMAR | 0.297 | . 015 | 1996 | 1996 | 1.497 | 0.052 | 0.266 | 0.327 |
| CURMAR | 0.627 | . 016 | 1996 | 1996 | 1.444 | 0.025 | 0.596 | 0.658 |
| AGEM20 | 0.234 | . 013 | 1621 | 1609 | 1.268 | 0.057 | 0.207 | 0.260 |
| SEX18 | 0.585 | . 016 | 1621 | 1609 | 1.271 | 0.027 | 0.554 | 0.616 |
| KMETHO | 0.982 | . 004 | 1241 | 1252 | 1.181 | 0.005 | 0.973 | 0.991 |
| KMODME | 0.952 | . 013 | 1241 | 1252 | 2.171 | 0.014 | 0.926 | 0.979 |
| EVUSE | 0.430 | . 017 | 1241 | 1252 | 1.233 | 0.040 | 0.396 | 0.465 |
| CUSE | 0.252 | . 015 | 1241 | 1252 | 1.240 | 0.061 | 0.221 | 0.282 |
| CUMODE | 0.103 | . 010 | 1241 | 1252 | 1.104 | 0.093 | 0.084 | 0.122 |
| CUPILL | 0.034 | . 005 | 1241 | 1252 | 0.939 | 0.143 | 0.024 | 0.043 |
| CUIUD | 0.003 | . 001 | 1241 | 1252 | 0.753 | 0.425 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| CUINJ | 0.026 | . 005 | 1241 | 1252 | 1.082 | 0.187 | 0.016 | 0.036 |
| CUCOND | 0.025 | . 004 | 1241 | 1252 | 0.994 | 0.175 | 0.016 | 0.034 |
| CUFSTER | 0.014 | . 004 | 1241 | 1252 | 1.055 | 0.252 | 0.007 | 0.021 |
| CUPABS | 0.112 | . 012 | 1241 | 1252 | 1.395 | 0.112 | 0.087 | 0.137 |
| NOMORE | 0.239 | . 018 | 1243 | 1253 | 1.039 | 0.076 | 0.202 | 0.275 |
| DELAY | 0.322 | . 021 | 1243 | 1253 | 1.144 | 0.065 | 0.280 | 0.364 |
| IDEAL | 5.801 | . 090 | 1939 | 1932 | 1.288 | 0.015 | 5.622 | 5.981 |

Table B.3.1 Sampling errors - Urban sample: women, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect <br> (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted ( N ) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| URBAN | 1.000 | 0.000 | 2439 | 1055 | NA | . 000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| NOEDUC | 0.106 | 0.012 | 2439 | 1055 | 1.935 | . 114 | 0.082 | 0.130 |
| EDUC | 0.397 | 0.019 | 2439 | 1055 | 1.940 | . 048 | 0.358 | 0.435 |
| NEVMAR | 0.26 .3 | 0.011 | 24.39 | 1055 | 1.261 | . 04.3 | 0.241 | 0.286 |
| CURMAR | 0.580 | 0.013 | 2439 | 1055 | 1.318 | . 023 | 0.554 | 0.607 |
| AGEM20 | 0.608 | 0.017 | 1820 | 778 | 1.478 | . 028 | 0.574 | 0.641 |
| SEX18 | 0.688 | 0.018 | 1820 | 778 | 1.640 | . 026 | 0.653 | 0.724 |
| EVBORN | 2.473 | 0.070 | 24.39 | 1055 | 1.298 | . 028 | 2.334 | 2.612 |
| EVB40 | 6.372 | 0.265 | 214 | 84 | 1.158 | . 042 | 5.84 .3 | 6.902 |
| SURVIV | 2.148 | 0.057 | 24.39 | 1055 | 1.209 | . 026 | 2.035 | 2.262 |
| KMETHO | 0.979 | 0.006 | 1430 | 612 | 1.622 | . 006 | 0.966 | 0.991 |
| KMODME | 0.967 | 0.008 | 1430 | 612 | 1.736 | . 008 | 0.951 | 0.984 |
| EVUSE | 0.638 | 0.019 | 1430 | 612 | 1.489 | . 030 | 0.601 | 0.676 |
| CUSE | 0.345 | 0.017 | 1430 | 612 | 1.362 | . 050 | 0.310 | 0.379 |
| CUMODE | 0.281 | 0.017 | 1430 | 612 | 1.452 | . 062 | 0.246 | 0.315 |
| CUPILL | 0.105 | 0.010 | 14.30 | 612 | 1.170 | . 090 | 0.086 | 0.125 |
| CUIUD | 0.022 | 0.005 | 1430 | 612 | 1.298 | . 232 | 0.012 | 0.031 |
| CUINJ | 0.069 | 0.010 | 1430 | 612 | 1.429 | . 139 | 0.050 | 0.088 |
| CUCOND | 0.036 | 0.007 | 1430 | 612 | 1.434 | . 197 | 0.022 | 0.050 |
| CUFSTER | 0.043 | 0.008 | 14.30 | 612 | 1.526 | . 191 | 0.026 | 0.059 |
| CUPABS | 0.032 | 0.004 | 14.30 | 612 | 0.935 | . 137 | 0.023 | 0.040 |
| PSOURC | 0.390 | 0.030 | 493 | 23.3 | 1.360 | . 077 | 0.330 | 0.450 |
| NOMORE | 0.337 | 0.016 | 14.30 | 612 | 1.303 | . 048 | 0.304 | 0.369 |
| DELAY | 0.350 | 0.015 | 14.30 | 612 | 1.155 | . 042 | 0). 321 | 0.379 |
| IDEAL | 4.249 | 0.058 | 2.38 .3 | 1033 | 1.536 | 014 | 4.133 | 4.364 |
| TETANU | 0.852 | 0.014 | 16.30 | 706 | 1.490 | . 017 | 0.823 | 0.881 |
| MEDELI | 0.788 | 0.018 | 1630 | 706 | 1.555 | . 023 | 0.751 | 0.825 |
| DIAR2W | 0.194 | 0.014 | 1473 | 635 | 1.368 | . 074 | 0.165 | 0.222 |
| ORSTRE | 0.550 | 0.030 | 292 | 123 | 1.003 | . 055 | 0.490 | 0.611 |
| MEDTRE | 0.626 | 0.039 | 292 | 123 | 1.309 | . 062 | 0.548 | 0.704 |
| HCARD | 0.551 | 0.030 | 389 | 173 | 1. 182 | . 054 | 0.492 | 0.610 |
| BCG | 0.937 | 0.016 | 389 | 173 | 1.245 | . 017 | 0.906 | 0.968 |
| DPT | 0.753 | 0.034 | 389 | 173 | 1.555 | . 045 | 0.684 | 0.821 |
| POLIO | 0.674 | 0.026 | 389 | 173 | 1.113 | . 039 | 0.621 | 0.727 |
| MEASLE | 0.742 | 0.032 | 389 | 173 | 1.441 | . 043 | 0.677 | 0.806 |
| FULLIM | 0.561 | 0.0 .38 | 389 | 173 | 1.522 | . 068 | 0.485 | 0.637 |
| WGTHGT | 0.049 | 0.009 | 1257 | 537 | 1.405 | . 179 | 0.032 | 0.067 |
| HGTAGE | 0.225 | 0.012 | 1257 | 537 | 1.000 | . 055 | 0.200 | 0.249 |
| WGTAGE | 0.153 | 0.011 | 1257 | 537 | 1.100 | . 075 | 0.130 | 0.176 |
| TFR | 4.072 | 0.192 | 2439 | 1055 | 1.199 | . 039 | 4.588 | 5.356 |
| NMORT | 25.437 | 3.704 | 3681 | 1596 | 1.303 | . 146 | 18.018 | 32.846 |
| PNMORT | 48.935 | 5.874 | 3689 | 1598 | 1.532 | . 120 | 37.186 | 60.683 |
| INMORT | 74.372 | 7.512 | 3690 | 1599 | 1.61 .3 | . 101 | 59.347 | 89.397 |
| CMORT | 63.826 | 5.701 | 3711 | 1609 | 1.212 | . 089 | 52.423 | 75.228 |
| U5MORT | 133.45 ${ }^{\text {] }}$ | 9.725 | 3721 | 1613 | 1.533 | . 07.3 | 114.000 | 152.90] |

NA $=$ Not available

Table B.3.2 Sampling errors - Urban sample: men, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| URBAN | 1.000 | . 000 | 657 | 281 | NA | . 000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| NOEDUC | 0.054 | . 014 | 657 | 281 | 1.536 | . 252 | 0.027 | 0.081 |
| EDUC | 0.572 | . 026 | 657 | 281 | 1.345 | . 045 | 0.520 | 0.623 |
| NEVMAR | 0.377 | . 027 | 657 | 281 | 1.437 | . 072 | 0.323 | 0.431 |
| CURMAR | 0.557 | . 026 | 657 | 281 | 1.337 | . 047 | 0.505 | 0.609 |
| AGEM20 | 0.157 | . 019 | 534 | 228 | 1.197 | . 120 | 0.119 | 0.195 |
| SEX18 | 0.662 | . 022 | 534 | 228 | 1.065 | . 033 | 0.618 | 0.705 |
| KMETHO | 0.994 | . 006 | 378 | 157 | 1.450 | . 006 | 0.983 | 1.000 |
| KMODME | 0.992 | . 006 | 378 | 157 | 1.324 | . 006 | 0.980 | 1.000 |
| EVUSE | 0.629 | . 030 | 378 | 157 | 1.219 | . 048 | 0.568 | 0.690 |
| CUSE | 0.420 | . 031 | 378 | 157 | 1.238 | . 075 | 0.358 | 0.483 |
| CUMODE | 0.317 | . 029 | 378 | 157 | 1.226 | . 093 | 0.258 | 0.376 |
| CUPILL | 0.121 | . 019 | 378 | 157 | 1.108 | . 154 | 0.084 | 0.158 |
| CUIUD | 0.013 | . 007 | 378 | 157 | 1.220 | . 545 | 0.000 | 0.027 |
| CUINJ | 0.063 | . 017 | 378 | 157 | 1.326 | . 263 | 0.030 | 0.096 |
| CUCOND | 0.083 | . 021 | 378 | 157 | 1.454 | . 248 | 0.042 | 0.125 |
| CUFSTER | 0.029 | . 010 | 378 | 157 | 1.115 | . 330 | 0.010 | 0.049 |
| CUPABS | 0.073 | . 014 | 378 | 157 | 1.066 | . 196 | 0.044 | 0.101 |
| NOMORE | 0.288 | . 055 | 379 | 157 | 1.257 | . 191 | 0.178 | 0.398 |
| DELAY | 0.405 | . 061 | 379 | 157 | 1.371 | . 152 | 0.282 | 0.528 |
| IDEAL | 4.860 | . 107 | 647 | 276 | 1.057 | . 022 | 4.646 | 5.075 |

NA $=$ Not applicable

Table B.4.1 Sampling errors - Rural sample: women, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard ertor (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect <br> (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted | Weighted |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (N) | (W.N) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| URBAN | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4631 | 6015 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| NOEDUC | 0.341 | 0.016 | 4631 | 6015 | 2.356 | . 048 | 0.308 | 0.374 |
| EDUC | 0.089 | 0.008 | 4631 | 6015 | 1.926 | . 091 | 0.073 | 0.105 |
| NEVMAR | 0.138 | 0.006 | 4631 | 6015 | 1.251 | . 046 | 0.125 | 0.151 |
| CURMAR | 0.752 | 0.009 | 4631 | 6015 | 1.403 | . 012 | 0.734 | 0.770 |
| AGEM20 | 0.769 | 0.009 | 3626 | 4686 | 1.303 | . 012 | 0.751 | 0.787 |
| SEX18 | 0.721 | 0.009 | 3626 | 4686 | 1.247 | . 013 | 0.703 | 0.740 |
| EVBORN | 3.571 | 0.046 | 4631 | 6015 | 0.993 | . 013 | 3.479 | 3.663 |
| EVB40 | 7.378 | 0.134 | 612 | 796 | 1.033 | . 018 | 7.109 | 7.646 |
| SURVIV | 2.947 | 0.041 | 4631 | 6015 | 1.048 | . 014 | 2.865 | 3.028 |
| KMETHO | 0.928 | 0.010 | 3468 | 4522 | 2.345 | . 011 | 0.907 | 0.948 |
| KMODME | 0.909 | 0.012 | 3468 | 4522 | 2.420 | . 013 | 0.885 | 0.932 |
| EVUSE | 0.298 | 0.012 | 3468 | 4522 | 1.535 | . 040 | 0.274 | 0.322 |
| CUSE | 0.122 | 0.008 | 3468 | 4522 | 1.369 | . 062 | 0.107 | 0.137 |
| CUMODE | 0.051 | 0.005 | 3468 | 4522 | 1.337 | . 098 | 0.041 | 0.061 |
| CUPILL | 0.016 | 0.003 | 3468 | 4522 | 1.463 | . 197 | 0.010 | 0.022 |
| CUIUD | 0.001 | 0.000 | 3468 | 4522 | 0.813 | . 408 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| CUINJ | 0.019 | 0.003 | 3468 | 4522 | 1.217 | . 149 | 0.013 | 0.025 |
| CUCOND | 0.004 | 0.001 | 3468 | 4522 | 1.053 | . 275 | 0.002 | 0.007 |
| CUFSTER | 0.010 | 0.002 | 3468 | 4522 | 1.080 | . 180 | 0.007 | 0.014 |
| CUPABS | 0.035 | 0.003 | 3468 | 4522 | 1.075 | . 096 | 0.029 | 0.042 |
| PSOURC | 0.540 | 0.041 | 233 | 291 | 1.239 | . 075 | 0.459 | 0.621 |
| NOMORE | 0.305 | 0.011 | 3468 | 4522 | 1.353 | . 035 | 0.284 | 0.326 |
| DELAY | 0.365 | 0.011 | 3468 | 4522 | 1.310 | . 029 | 0.344 | 0.386 |
| IDEAL | 5.495 | 0.059 | 4309 | 5560 | 1.653 | . 011 | 5.377 | 5.612 |
| TETANU | 0.795 | 0.010 | 4126 | 5321 | 1.462 | . 013 | 0.774 | 0.816 |
| MEDELI | 0.323 | 0.014 | 4126 | 5321 | 1.081 | . 045 | 0.294 | 0.352 |
| DIAR2W | 0.240 | 0.011 | 3715 | 4812 | 1.466 | . 045 | 0.218 | 0.262 |
| ORSTRE | 0.474 | 0.018 | 880 | 1155 | 0.994 | . 038 | 0.439 | 0.510 |
| MEDTRE | 0.543 | 0.023 | 880 | 1155 | 1.297 | . 042 | 0.497 | 0.589 |
| HCARD | 0.611 | 0.018 | 1086 | 1414 | 1.202 | . 029 | 0.575 | 0.647 |
| BCG | 0.824 | 0.014 | 1086 | 1414 | 1.192 | . 017 | 0.796 | 0.852 |
| DPT | 0.594 | 0.021 | 1086 | 1414 | 1.364 | . 035 | 0.553 | 0.635 |
| POLIO | 0.580 | 0.020 | 1086 | 1414 | 1.305 | . 034 | 0.540 | 0.620 |
| MEASLE | 0.578 | 0.020 | 1086 | 1414 | 1.314 | . 034 | 0.539 | 0.618 |
| FULLIM | 0.463 | 0.020 | 1086 | 1414 | 1.340 | . 044 | 0.423 | 0.504 |
| WGTHGT | 0.054 | 0.004 | 3263 | 42.39 | 1.067 | . 080 | 0.045 | 0.062 |
| HGTAGE | 0.403 | 0.010 | 3263 | 4239 | 1.155 | . 025 | 0.383 | 0.424 |
| WGTAGE | 0.268 | 0.010 | 3263 | 42.39 | 1.217 | . 036 | 0.249 | 0.288 |
| TFR | 7.166 | 0.134 | 4631 | 6015 | 1.251 | . 019 | 6.899 | 7.434 |
| NMORT | 32.659 | 2.079 | 9511 | 12280 | 1.018 | . 064 | 28.501 | 36.817 |
| PNMORT | 54.973 | 3.103 | 9526 | 12295 | 1.216 | . 056 | 48.766 | 61.180 |
| INMORT | 87.632 | 4.027 | 9527 | 12297 | 1.238 | . 046 | 79.578 | 95.686 |
| CMORT | 78.380 | 4.613 | 9613 | 12418 | 1.371 | . 059 | 69.155 | 87.606 |
| U5MORT | 159.144 | 6.004 | 9630 | 12436 | 1.346 | . 038 | 147.135 | 171.152 |
| NA $=$ Not available |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.4.2 Sampling errors - Rural sample: men, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| URBAN | 0.000 | . 000 | 1339 | 1715 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| NOEDUC | 0.126 | . 011 | 1339 | 1715 | 1.212 | . 087 | 0.104 | 0.149 |
| EDUC | 0.201 | . 015 | 1339 | 1715 | 1.377 | . 075 | 0.170 | 0.231 |
| NEVMAR | 0.284 | . 017 | 1339 | 1715 | 1.409 | . 061 | 0.249 | 0.318 |
| CURMAR | 0.639 | . 018 | 1339 | 1715 | 1.362 | . 028 | 0.603 | 0.674 |
| AGEM20 | 0.247 | . 015 | 1087 | 1381 | 1.162 | . 062 | 0.216 | 0.277 |
| SEX18 | 0.573 | . 018 | 1087 | 1381 | 1.180 | . 031 | 0.537 | 0.608 |
| KMETHO | 0.981 | . 005 | 863 | 1095 | 1.062 | . 005 | 0.971 | 0.991 |
| KMODME | 0.946 | . 015 | 863 | 1095 | 1.945 | . 016 | 0.917 | 0.976 |
| EVUSE | 0.402 | . 019 | 863 | 1095 | 1.142 | . 047 | 0.364 | 0.440 |
| CUSE | 0.227 | . 017 | 863 | 1095 | 1.172 | . 074 | 0.194 | 0.261 |
| CUMODE | 0.072 | . 009 | 863 | 1095 | 1.054 | . 129 | 0.054 | 0.091 |
| CUPILL | 0.021 | . 005 | 863 | 1095 | 0.915 | . 211 | 0.012 | 0.030 |
| CUIUD | 0.001 | . 001 | 863 | 1095 | 0.663 | . 708 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| CUINJ | 0.021 | . 005 | 863 | 1095 | 1.023 | . 238 | 0.011 | 0.031 |
| CUCOND | 0.017 | . 004 | 863 | 1095 | 0.901 | . 234 | 0.009 | 0.025 |
| CUFSTER | 0.012 | . 004 | 86.3 | 1095 | 1.030 | . 322 | 0.004 | 0.019 |
| CUPABS | 0.117 | . 014 | 863 | 1095 | 1.280 | . 120 | 0.089 | 0.145 |
| NOMORE | 0.231 | . 019 | 864 | 1096 | 0.985 | . 083 | 0.193 | 0.270 |
| DELAY | 0.311 | . 022 | 864 | 1096 | 1.084 | . 072 | 0.266 | 0.355 |
| IDEAL | 5.958 | . 103 | 1292 | 1656 | 1.191 | . 017 | 5.752 | 6.165 |

NA = Not available

Table B.5.1 Sampling errors - Central region sample: women, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect <br> (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| URBAN | 0.351 | 0.027 | 2218 | 1967 | 2.651 | . 077 | 0.297 | 0.405 |
| NOEDUC | 0.144 | 0.013 | 2218 | 1967 | 1.683 | . 087 | 0.119 | 0.169 |
| EDUC | 0.236 | 0.017 | 2218 | 1967 | 1.935 | . 074 | 0.201 | 0.271 |
| NEVMAR | 0.207 | 0.010 | 2218 | 1967 | 1.218 | . 051 | 0.186 | 0.228 |
| CURMAR | 0.6 .32 | 0.013 | 2218 | 1967 | 1.308 | . 021 | 0.605 | 0.659 |
| AGEM20 | 0.696 | 0.018 | 1656 | 1465 | 1.56 .3 | . 025 | 0.661 | 0.732 |
| SEX18 | 0.741 | 0.015 | 1656 | 1465 | 1.347 | . 020 | 0.712 | 0.770 |
| EVBORN | 3.205 | 0.071 | 2218 | 1967 | 1.076 | . 022 | 3.064 | 3.347 |
| EVB40 | 7.304 | 0.231 | 2.35 | 227 | 1.084 | . 032 | 6.842 | 7.767 |
| SURVIV | 2.695 | 0.061 | 2218 | 1967 | 1.094 | . 023 | 2.573 | 2.817 |
| KMETHO | 0.993 | 0.003 | 1357 | 1242 | 1.190 | . 003 | 0.987 | 0.998 |
| KMODME | 0.984 | 0.005 | 1357 | 1242 | 1.458 | . 005 | 0.975 | 0.994 |
| EVUSE | 0.508 | 0.022 | 1357 | 1242 | 1.647 | . 044 | 0.463 | 0.553 |
| CUSE | 0.250 | 0.019 | 1.357 | 1242 | 1.575 | . 074 | 0.213 | 0.287 |
| CUMODE | 0.162 | 0.015 | 1357 | 1242 | 1.502 | 093 | 0.132 | 0.192 |
| CUPILL | 0.057 | 0.009 | 1.357 | 1242 | 1.348 | . 149 | 0.040 | 0.074 |
| CUIUD | 0.009 | 0.003 | 1.357 | 1242 | 1.035 | . 300 | 0.003 | 0.014 |
| CUINJ | 0.049 | 0.008 | 1.357 | 1242 | 1.339 | . 159 | 0.034 | 0.065 |
| CUCOND | 0.018 | 0.004 | 1.357 | 1242 | 1.149 | . 229 | 0.010 | 0.027 |
| CUFSTER | 0.026 | 0.005 | 1.357 | 1242 | 1.120 | . 186 | 0.016 | 0.036 |
| CUPABS | 0.036 | 0.005 | 1.357 | 1242 | 1.022 | . 143 | 0.026 | 0.047 |
| PSOURC | 0.408 | 0.034 | 370 | 287 | 1.316 | . 08.3 | 0.340 | 0.475 |
| NOMORE | 0.396 | 0.016 | 1.357 | 1242 | 1.212 | . 041 | 0.364 | 0.428 |
| DELAY | 0.336 | 0.014 | 1.357 | 1242 | 1.064 | . 041 | 0.309 | 0.363 |
| IDEAL | 4.727 | 0.067 | 2182 | 1933 | 1.506 | . 014 | 4.593 | 4.861 |
| TETANU | 0.790 | 0.015 | 1679 | 1565 | 1.373 | . 019 | 0.759 | 0.820 |
| MEDEL1 | 0.599 | 0.024 | 1679 | 1565 | 1.716 | . 040 | 0.551 | 0.647 |
| DIAR2W | 0.163 | 0.014 | 1515 | 1410 | 1.451 | . 086 | 0.135 | 0.191 |
| ORSTRE | 0.44 .3 | 0.032 | 256 | 231 | 0.978 | . 071 | 0.380 | 0.506 |
| MEDTRE | 0.579 | 0.034 | 256 | 231 | 1.065 | . 059 | 0.511 | 0.647 |
| HCARD | 0.635 | 0.023 | 421 | 397 | 0.981 | . 035 | 0.590 | 0.680 |
| BCG | 0.858 | 0.019 | 421 | 397 | 1. 164 | . 022 | 0.820 | 0.897 |
| DPT | 0.708 | 0.025 | 421 | 397 | 1.175 | . 036 | 0.658 | 0.759 |
| POLIO | 0.672 | 0.022 | 421 | 397 | 0.991 | . 033 | 0.628 | 0.716 |
| MEASLE | 0.658 | 0.028 | 421 | 397 | 1.243 | . 042 | 0.602 | 0.714 |
| FULLIM | 0.534 | 0.0 .30 | 421 | 397 | 1.275 | . 057 | 0.474 | 0.594 |
| WGTHGT | 0.035 | 0.006 | 1312 | 1224 | 1.105 | . 159 | 0.024 | 0.046 |
| HGTAGE | 0.335 | 0.015 | 1312 | 1224 | 1.096 | . 04.3 | 0.306 | 0.364 |
| WGTAGE | 0.211 | 0.013 | 1312 | 1224 | 1.096 | . 059 | 0.186 | 0.236 |
| TFR | 6.277 | 0.230 | 2218 | 1967 | 1.191 | . 037 | 5.818 | 6.736 |
| NMORT | 29.558 | 3.817 | 3871 | 3579 | 1.266 | . 129 | 21.92 .3 | 37.192 |
| PNMORT | 47.044 | 4.709 | 3878 | 3585 | 1.298 | . 100 | 37.627 | 58.461 |
| INMORT | 76.602 | 6.348 | 3879 | 3586 | 1.392 | . 083 | 63.905 | 89.298 |
| CMORT | 70.098 | 6.129 | 3907 | 3612 | 1.261 | . 087 | 57.841 | 82.356 |
| USMORT | 141.330 | 9.575 | 3916 | 3621 | 1.561 | . 068 | 122.179 | 160.481 |

Table B.5.2 Sampling errors - Central region sample: men, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative efror (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| URBAN | 0.329 | . 026 | 641 | 569 | 1.419 | . 080 | 0.276 | 0.382 |
| NOEDUC | 0.097 | . 012 | 641 | 569 | 1.064 | . 128 | 0.072 | 0.122 |
| EDUC | 0.380 | . 023 | 641 | 569 | 1.22 .3 | . 062 | 0.333 | 0.427 |
| NEVMAR | 0.338 | . 026 | 641 | 569 | 1.388 | . 077 | 0.286 | 0.390 |
| CURMAR | 0.557 | . 025 | 641 | 569 | 1.261 | . 044 | 0.508 | 0.607 |
| AGEM20 | 0.232 | . 020 | 526 | 463 | 1.081 | . 086 | 0.192 | 0.271 |
| SEX18 | 0.605 | . 023 | 526 | 46.3 | 1.066 | . 038 | 0.559 | 0.650 |
| KMETHO | 0.994 | . 004 | 364 | 317 | 1.055 | . 004 | 0.985 | 1.000 |
| KMODME | 0.990 | . 006 | 364 | 317 | 1.097 | . 006 | 0.979 | 1.000 |
| EVUSE | 0.446 | . 032 | 364 | 317 | 1.236 | . 072 | 0.381 | 0.510 |
| CUSE | 0.265 | . 027 | 364 | 317 | 1.187 | . 104 | 0.210 | 0.320 |
| CUMODE | 0.195 | . 027 | 364 | 317 | 1.280 | . 137 | 0.142 | 0.248 |
| CUPILL | 0.059 | . 012 | 364 | 317 | 0.965 | . 202 | 0.035 | 0.083 |
| CUIUD | 0.005 | .003 | 364 | 317 | 0.886 | . 674 | 0.000 | 0.011 |
| CUINJ | 0.053 | . 014 | 364 | 317 | 1.157 | . 257 | 0.026 | 0.080 |
| CUCOND | 0.061 | . 015 | 364 | 317 | 1.170 | . 241 | 0.031 | 0.090 |
| CUFSTER | 0.014 | . 007 | 364 | 317 | 1.066 | . 462 | 0.001 | 0.028 |
| CUPABS | 0.030 | . 008 | 364 | 317 | 0.914 | . 274 | 0.013 | 0.046 |
| NOMORE | 0.279 | . 037 | 365 | 318 | 1.026 | . 133 | 0.205 | 0.353 |
| DELAY | 0.337 | . 035 | 365 | 318 | 0.960 | . 105 | 0.267 | 0.408 |
| IDEAL | 5.558 | . 171 | 6.32 | 560 | 1.368 | . 031 | 5.216 | 5.901 |

Table B.6.1 Sampling errors - Eastern region sample: women, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted ( N ) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\overline{R+2 S E}$ |
| URBAN | 0.093 | 0.007 | 1911 | 1738 | 1.113 | . 080 | 0.078 | 0.107 |
| NOEDUC | 0.295 | 0.017 | 1911 | 1738 | 1.607 | . 057 | 0.261 | 0.328 |
| EDUC | 0.121 | 0.012 | 1911 | 1738 | 1.639 | . 101 | 0.096 | 0.145 |
| NEVMAR | 0.105 | 0.008 | 1911 | 1738 | 1.201 | . 080 | 0.089 | 0.122 |
| CURMAR | 0.805 | 0.012 | 1911 | 1738 | 1.343 | . 015 | 0.780 | 0.829 |
| AGEM20 | 0.780 | 0.015 | 1518 | 1388 | 1.402 | . 019 | 0.751 | 0.810 |
| SEX18 | 0.792 | 0.015 | 1518 | 1388 | 1.412 | . 019 | 0.762 | 0.821 |
| EVBORN | 3.568 | 0.091 | 1911 | 1738 | 1.284 | . 025 | 3.386 | 3.750 |
| EVB40 | 7.237 | 0.261 | 231 | 225 | 1.155 | . 036 | 6.715 | 7.759 |
| SURVIV | 2.926 | 0.070 | 1911 | 1738 | 1.175 | . 024 | 2.787 | 3.066 |
| KMETHO | 0.939 | 0.008 | 1450 | 1399 | 1.346 | . 009 | 0.922 | 0.956 |
| KMODME | 0.921 | 0.010 | 1450 | 1.399 | 1.361 | . 010 | 0.902 | 0.940 |
| EVUSE | 0.290 | 0.019 | 1450 | 1399 | 1.567 | . 064 | 0.252 | 0.327 |
| CUSE | 0.114 | 0.013 | 1450 | 1399 | 1.533 | . 11.3 | 0.088 | 0.139 |
| CUMODE | 0.055 | 0.008 | 1450 | 1399 | 1.365 | . 149 | 0.039 | 0.071 |
| CUPILL | 0.014 | 0.005 | 1450 | 1399 | 1.458 | . 319 | 0.005 | 0.023 |
| CUIUD | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1450 | 1399 | 0.660 | . 3.32 | 0.001 | 0.005 |
| CUINJ | 0.017 | 0.005 | 1450 | 1399 | 1.453 | . 290 | 0.007 | 0.027 |
| CUCOND | 0.007 | 0.002 | 1450 | 1399 | 0.991 | . 300 | 0.003 | 0.012 |
| CUFSTER | 0.014 | 0.003 | 1450 | 1399 | 1.111 | . 249 | 0.007 | 0.020 |
| CUPABS | 0.020 | 0.003 | 1450 | 1399 | 0.866 | . 159 | 0.014 | 0.026 |
| PSOURC | 0.541 | 0.051 | 151 | 92 | 1.256 | . 095 | 0.438 | 0.643 |
| NOMORE | 0.303 | 0.016 | 1450 | 1399 | 1.298 | . 052 | 0.271 | 0.334 |
| DELAY | 0.350 | 0.021 | 1450 | 1399 | 1.699 | . 061 | 0.308 | 0.393 |
| IDEAL | 5.511 | 0.070 | 1863 | 1693 | 1.362 | . 013 | 5.371 | 5.650 |
| TETANU | 0.841 | 0.018 | 1698 | 1638 | 1.788 | . 021 | 0.805 | 0.876 |
| MEDELI | 0.413 | 0.02 .3 | 1698 | 16.38 | 1.679 | . 056 | 0.367 | 0.460 |
| DIAR2W | 0.262 | 0.018 | 1506 | 1454 | 1.556 | . 069 | 0.226 | 0.298 |
| ORSTRE | 0.462 | 0.024 | 394 | 381 | 0.923 | . 052 | 0.414 | 0.510 |
| MEDTRE | 0.601 | 0.032 | 394 | 381 | 1.283 | . 054 | 0.537 | 0.666 |
| HCARD | 0.576 | 0.027 | 44.3 | 4.31 | 1.196 | . 048 | 0.521 | 0.631 |
| BCG | 0.808 | 0.024 | 44.3 | 431 | 1.307 | . 029 | 0.760 | 0.855 |
| DPT | 0.491 | 0.030 | 44.3 | 4.31 | 1.291 | . 061 | 0.431 | 0.551 |
| POLIO | 0.469 | 0.032 | 443 | 4.31 | 1.381 | . 068 | 0.405 | 0.533 |
| MEASLE | 0.480 | 0.028 | 44.3 | 431 | 1.227 | . 059 | 0.423 | 0.537 |
| FULLIM | 0.344 | 0.029 | 443 | 431 | 1.309 | . 084 | 0.286 | 0.401 |
| WGTHGT | 0.066 | 0.009 | 1299 | 1268 | 1.272 | . 131 | 0.049 | 0.084 |
| HGTAGE | 0.356 | 0.020 | 1299 | 1268 | 1.553 | . 057 | 0.316 | 0.396 |
| WGTAGE | 0.273 | 0.021 | 1299 | 1268 | 1.679 | . 077 | 0.231 | 0.315 |
| TFR | 7.381 | 0.223 | 1911 | 1738 | 1.443 | . 030 | 6.934 | 7.828 |
| NMORT | 38.383 | 3.694 | 3724 | 3615 | 1.072 | . 095 | 31.076 | 45.691 |
| PNMORT | 59.715 | 4.878 | 3737 | 3623 | 1.251 | . 082 | 49.958 | 69.472 |
| INMORT | 98.098 | 6.587 | 3737 | 362.3 | 1.271 | . 067 | 84.925 | 111.271 |
| CMORT | 86.012 | 7.192 | 3773 | 3665 | 1.311 | . 084 | 71.629 | 100.396 |
| U5MORT | 175.673 | 9.593 | 3786 | 3672 | 1.426 | . 055 | 156.487 | 194.859 |

Table B.6.2 Sampling errors - Eastern region sample: men, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| URBAN | 0.086 | . 007 | 546 | 497 | 0.615 | . 086 | 0.071 | 0.101 |
| NOEDUC | 0.122 | . 022 | 546 | 497 | 1.559 | . 179 | 0.079 | 0.166 |
| EDUC | 0.227 | . 027 | 546 | 497 | 1.520 | . 120 | 0.172 | 0.282 |
| NEVMAR | 0.294 | . 028 | 546 | 497 | 1.426 | . 095 | 0.239 | 0.350 |
| CURMAR | 0.641 | . 026 | 546 | 497 | 1.256 | . 040 | 0.589 | 0.692 |
| AGEM20 | 0.227 | . 023 | 442 | 403 | 1.164 | . 102 | 0.181 | 0.273 |
| SEX18 | 0.689 | . 026 | 442 | 403 | 1.179 | . 038 | 0.637 | 0.741 |
| KMETHO | 0.992 | . 006 | 350 | 318 | 1.182 | . 006 | 0.981 | 1.000 |
| KMODME | 0.980 | . 009 | 350 | 318 | 1.189 | . 009 | 0.963 | 0.998 |
| EVUSE | 0.454 | . 033 | 350 | 318 | 1.255 | . 074 | 0.387 | 0.521 |
| CUSE | 0.250 | . 030 | 350 | 318 | 1.308 | . 121 | 0.189 | 0.311 |
| CUMODE | 0.102 | . 017 | 350 | 318 | 1.025 | . 163 | 0.069 | 0.135 |
| CUPILL | 0.032 | . 009 | 350 | 318 | 0.912 | . 269 | 0.015 | 0.049 |
| CUIUD | 0.005 | . 003 | 350 | 318 | 0.726 | . 536 | 0.000 | 0.011 |
| CUINJ | 0.016 | . 005 | 350 | 318 | 0.698 | . 297 | 0.006 | 0.025 |
| CUCOND | 0.019 | . 008 | 350 | 318 | 1.140 | . 434 | 0.003 | 0.036 |
| CUFSTER | 0.030 | . 010 | 350 | 318 | 1.064 | . 322 | 0.011 | 0.050 |
| CUPABS | 0.102 | . 022 | 350 | 318 | 1.388 | . 221 | 0.057 | 0.146 |
| NOMORE | 0.221 | . 031 | 351 | 319 | 1.071 | . 142 | 0.158 | 0.283 |
| DELAY | 0.266 | . 037 | 351 | 319 | 1.221 | . 139 | 0.192 | 0.340 |
| IDEAL | 5.915 | . 186 | 541 | 492 | 1.304 | . 031 | 5.543 | 6.287 |


| Variable | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { (R) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Standard } \\ \text { error } \\ \text { (SE) } \end{gathered}$ | Number of cases |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Design } \\ \text { effect } \\ \text { (DEFT) } \end{gathered}$ | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| URBAN | 0.070 | 0.009 | 1136 | 1.398 | 1.233 | . 134 | 0.051 | 0.088 |
| NOEDUC | 0.476 | 0.054 | 1136 | 1398 | 3.621 | . 113 | 0.368 | 0.583 |
| EDUC | 0.065 | 0.013 | 1136 | 1398 | 1.781 | 201 | 0.039 | 0.091 |
| NEVMAR | 0.119 | 0.013 | 1136 | 1398 | 1.353 | . 109 | 0.093 | 0.145 |
| CURMAR | 0.798 | 0.017 | 1136 | 1398 | 1.410 | . 021 | 0.764 | 0.832 |
| aGEm20 | 0.787 | 0.014 | 850 | 1054 | 0.990 | . 018 | 0.760 | 0.815 |
| SEX18 | 0.691 | 0.020 | 850 | 1054 | 1.273 | . 129 | 0.651 | 0.731 |
| EVBORN | 3.171 | 0.068 | 1136 | 1398 | 0.800 | . 022 | 3.035 | 3.308 |
| EVB40 | 6.551 | 0.286 | 125 | 159 | 1.076 | . 044 | 5.978 | 7.124 |
| SURVIV | 2.520 | 0.069 | 1136 | 1398 | 1.014 | . 027 | 2.382 | 2.657 |
| КMETHO | 0.845 | 0.036 | 863 | 1115 | 2.916 | . 04.3 | 0.773 | 0.917 |
| KMODME | 0.794 | 0.041 | 863 | 115 | 2.955 | . 051 | 0.713 | 0.876 |
| EVUSE | 0.358 | 0.029 | 863 | 1115 | 1.800 | . 082 | 0.299 | 0.416 |
| CUSE | 0.136 | 0.013 | 86.3 | 1115 | 1.140 | . 098 | 0.109 | 0.162 |
| CUMODE | 0.025 | 0.005 | 86. | 1115 | 0.921 | . 197 | 0.015 | 0.034 |
| CUPILL | 0.005 | 0.002 | 86. | 1115 | 0.858 | . 427 | 0.001 | 0.009 |
| cuivd | 0.001 | 0.000 | 863 | 1115 | 0.482 | . 717 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| CUINJ | 0.012 | 0.004 | 86.3 | 1115 | 1.140 | . 357 | 0.003 | 0.020 |
| CUCOND | 0.003 | 0.001 | 863 | 1115 | 0.800 | . 499 | 0.000 | 0.006 |
| CUFSTER | 0.003 | 0.002 | 863 | 1115 | 0.898 | . 544 | 0.000 | 0.007 |
| CUPABS | 0.071 | 0.010 | 86.3 | 1115 | 1.174 | . 144 | 0.051 | 0.092 |
| Psourc | 0.740 | 0.102 | 45 | 31 | 1.539 | . 138 | 0.536 | 0.944 |
| NOMORE | 0.202 | 0.027 | 86.3 | 1115 | 1.982 | 134 | 0.148 | 0.256 |
| DELAY | 0.397 | 0.022 | 86.3 | 1115 | 1.302 | . 055 | 0.353 | 0.440 |
| IDEAL | 5.695 | 0.171 | 966 | 1152 | 2.244 | . 030 | 5.354 | 6.037 |
| tetanu | 0.848 | 0.019 | 908 | 1164 | 1.562 | 02.3 | 0.809 | 0.886 |
| MEDELI | 0.226 | 0.027 | 908 | 1164 | 1.731 | 118 | 0.173 | 0.279 |
| DIAR2W | 0.343 | 0.029 | 810 | 1057 | 1.641 | . 083 | 0.285 | 0.400 |
| ORSTRE | 0.572 | 0.033 | 252 | 362 | 1.072 | . 057 | 0.506 | 0.637 |
| MEDTRE | 0.566 | 0.056 | 252 | 362 | 1.838 | 100 | 0.453 | 0.678 |
| HCARD | 0.527 | 0.038 | 248 | 335 | 1.251 | . 173 | 0.451 | 0.604 |
| BCG | 0.827 | 0.033 | 248 | 335 | 1.392 | 039 | 0.762 | 0.892 |
| DPT | 0.479 | 0.062 | 248 | 335 | 2.021 | . 129 | 0.355 | 0.603 |
| POLIO | 0.434 | 0.054 | 248 | 335 | 1.769 | 124 | 0.327 | 0.541 |
| measle | 0.515 | 0.052 | 248 | 335 | 1.682 | .00) | 0.412 | 0.619 |
| FULLIM | 0.347 | 0.054 | 248 | 335 | 1.853 | 155 | 0.240 | 0.455 |
| WGTHGT | 0.076 | 0.011 | 711 | 930 | 1.118 | . 141 | 0.054 | 0.097 |
| hgTage | 0.419 | 0.018 | 711 | 930 | 0.984 | . 04.3 | 0.383 | 0.455 |
| wGTaGE | 0.316 | 0.019 | 711 | 930 | 1.086 | . 059 | 0.278 | 0.353 |
| TFR | 6.823 | 0.306 | 1136 | 1398 | 1.453 | . 045 | 6.210 | 7.436 |
| NMORT | 33.588 | 4.885 | 2059 | 2653 | 1.116 | . 145 | 23.817 | 43.359 |
| PNMORT | 65.754 | 8.266 | 2060 | 2655 | 1.1397 | . 126 | 49.222 | 82.286 |
| INMORT | 99.342 | 10.306 | 2060 | 2655 | 1.391 | . 104 | 78.730 | 119.955 |
| CMORT | 100.607 | 13.760 | 2081 | 2693 | 1.786 | . 137 | 73.087 | 128.127 |
| USMORT | 189.955 | 13.665 | 2082 | 2965 | 1.246 | . 172 | 162.625 | 217.284 |

Table B.7.2 Sampling errors - Northern region sample: men, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| URBAN | 0.055 | . 014 | 331 | 419 | 1.083 | 0.248 | 0.028 | 0.082 |
| NOEDUC | 0.098 | . 023 | 331 | 419 | 1.414 | 0.237 | 0.051 | 0.144 |
| EDUC | 0.209 | . 037 | 331 | 419 | 1.659 | 0.178 | 0.135 | 0.283 |
| NEVMAR | 0.283 | . 043 | 331 | 419 | 1.741 | 0.153 | 0.196 | 0.369 |
| CURMAR | 0.654 | . 049 | 331 | 419 | 1.879 | 0.075 | 0.555 | 0.752 |
| AGEM20 | 0.313 | . 042 | 262 | 326 | 1.450 | 0.133 | 0.230 | 0.396 |
| SEX18 | 0.554 | . 041 | 262 | 326 | 1.336 | 0.074 | 0.472 | 0.637 |
| KMETHO | 0.976 | . 008 | 217 | 274 | 0.741 | 0.008 | 0.960 | 0.991 |
| KMODME | 0.865 | . 051 | 217 | 274 | 2.179 | 0.059 | 0.764 | 0.966 |
| EVUSE | 0.590 | . 042 | 217 | 274 | 1.263 | 0.072 | 0.505 | 0.674 |
| CUSE | 0.343 | . 040 | 217 | 274 | 1.246 | 0.117 | 0.263 | 0.424 |
| CUMODE | 0.038 | . 011 | 217 | 274 | 0.883 | 0.303 | 0.015 | 0.061 |
| CUPILL | 0.016 | . 009 | 217 | 274 | 1.034 | 0.543 | 0.000 | 0.034 |
| CUIUD | 0.000 | . 000 | 217 | 274 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| CUINJ | 0.005 | . 005 | 217 | 274 | 1.074 | 1.063 | 0.000 | 0.015 |
| CUCOND | 0.016 | . 002 | 217 | 274 | 0.224 | 0.121 | 0.012 | 0.019 |
| CUFSTER | 0.001 | . 001 | 217 | 274 | 0.474 | 0.994 | 0.000 | 0.003 |
| CUPABS | 0.257 | . 038 | 217 | 274 | 1.264 | 0.146 | 0.181 | 0.332 |
| NOMORE | 0.210 | . 051 | 217 | 274 | 1.009 | 0.244 | 0.107 | 0.313 |
| DELAY | 0.388 | . 066 | 217 | 274 | 1.228 | 0.171 | 0.255 | 0.520 |
| IDEAL | 5.993 | . 187 | 310 | 395 | 1.148 | 0.031 | 5.619 | 6.366 |

Table B.8.1 Sampling errors - Western region sample: women, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect <br> (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| URBAN | 0.054 | 0.007 | 1805 | 1968 | 1.246 | . 123 | 0.041 | 0.067 |
| NOEDUC | 0.357 | 0.021 | 1805 | 1968 | 1.890 | . 060 | 0.314 | 0.399 |
| EDUC | 0.095 | 0.013 | 1805 | 1968 | 1.943 | . 141 | 0.068 | 0.122 |
| NEVMAR | 0.178 | 0.012 | 1805 | 1968 | 1.301 | . 066 | 0.155 | 0.202 |
| CURMAR | 0.700 | 0.017 | 1805 | 1968 | 1.540 | . 024 | 0.667 | 0.733 |
| AGEM20 | 0.734 | 0.017 | 1422 | 1557 | 1.461 | . 023 | 0.700 | 0.768 |
| SEX18 | 0.644 | 0.019 | 1422 | 1557 | 1.481 | . 029 | 0.606 | 0.682 |
| EVBORN | 3.633 | 0.075 | 1805 | 1968 | 0.981 | . 021 | 3.483 | 3.783 |
| EVB40 | 7.731 | 0.207 | 235 | 269 | 1.015 | . 027 | 7.317 | 8.145 |
| SURVIV | 3.091 | 0.067 | 1805 | 1968 | 1.031 | . 022 | 2.957 | 3.226 |
| KMETHO | 0.947 | 0.006 | 1228 | 1378 | 1.005 | . 007 | 0.934 | 0.960 |
| KMODME | 0.946 | 0.007 | 1228 | 1378 | 1.053 | . 007 | 0.933 | 0.960 |
| EVUSE | 0.220 | 0.018 | 1228 | 1378 | 1.525 | . 082 | 0.184 | 0.256 |
| CUSE | 0.103 | 0.013 | 1228 | 1378 | 1.456 | . 123 | 0.078 | 0.128 |
| CUMODE | 0.069 | 0.010 | 1228 | 1378 | 1.331 | . 140 | 0.050 | 0.088 |
| CUPILL | 0.029 | 0.006 | 1228 | 1378 | 1.305 | . 218 | 0.016 | 0.041 |
| CUIUD | 0.002 | 0.001 | 1228 | 1378 | 0.977 | . 582 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| CUINJ | 0.021 | 0.005 | 1228 | 1378 | 1.129 | . 218 | 0.012 | 0.031 |
| CUCOND | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1228 | 1378 | 0.893 | . 444 | 0.000 | 0.006 |
| CUFSTER | 0.013 | 0.004 | 1228 | 1378 | 1.338 | . 331 | 0.004 | 0.022 |
| CUPABS | 0.019 | 0.005 | 1228 | 1378 | 1.329 | . 275 | 0.008 | 0.029 |
| PSOURC | 0.512 | 0.066 | 160 | 114 | 1.676 | . 130 | 0.379 | 0.645 |
| NOMORE | 0.323 | 0.018 | 1228 | 1378 | 1.346 | . 056 | 0.287 | 0.359 |
| DELAY | 0.374 | 0.018 | 1228 | 1378 | 1.339 | . 049 | 0.337 | 0.411 |
| IDEAL | 5.461 | 0.111 | 1681 | 1815 | 1.836 | . 020 | 5.240 | 5.683 |
| TETANU | 0.742 | 0.020 | 1471 | 1661 | 1.594 | . 027 | 0.701 | 0.782 |
| MEDELI | 0.241 | 0.026 | 1471 | 1661 | 1.995 | . 107 | 0.189 | 0.292 |
| DIAR2W | 0.200 | 0.011 | 1357 | 1525 | 1.034 | . 057 | 0.177 | 0.223 |
| ORSTRE | 0.427 | 0.039 | 270 | 305 | 1.227 | . 090 | 0.350 | 0.505 |
| MEDTRE | 0.450 | 0.037 | 270 | 305 | 1.166 | . 081 | 0.376 | 0.523 |
| HCARD | 0.667 | 0.037 | 36.3 | 425 | 1.522 | . 056 | 0.593 | 0.741 |
| BCG | 0.853 | 0.026 | 363 | 425 | 1.353 | . 030 | 0.801 | 0.904 |
| DPT | 0.747 | 0.035 | 363 | 425 | 1.543 | . 047 | 0.676 | 0.817 |
| POLIO | 0.760 | 0.033 | 363 | 425 | 1.474 | . 044 | 0.693 | 0.826 |
| MEASLE | 0.720 | 0.035 | 363 | 425 | 1.504 | . 049 | 0.649 | 0.790 |
| FULLIM | 0.651 | 0.035 | 363 | 425 | 1.414 | . 054 | 0.580 | 0.721 |
| WGTHGT | 0.041 | 0.007 | 1198 | 1.354 | 1.171 | . 166 | 0.028 | 0.055 |
| HGTAGE | 0.428 | 0.018 | 1198 | 1354 | 1.249 | . 043 | 0.391 | 0.464 |
| WGTAGE | 0.238 | 0.015 | 1198 | 1.354 | 1.188 | . 062 | 0.208 | 0.267 |
| TFR | 6.985 | 0.248 | 1805 | 1968 | 1.384 | . 035 | 6.489 | 7.481 |
| NMORT | 26.785 | 3.050 | 35.38 | 4029 | 1.058 | . 114 | 20.686 | 32.885 |
| PNMORT | 48.336 | 5.558 | 3540 | 4030 | 1.419 | . 115 | 37.220 | 59.452 |
| INMORT | 75.121 | 6.927 | 3541 | 4032 | 1.435 | . 092 | 61.267 | 88.976 |
| CMORT | 60.065 | 6.347 | . 356.3 | 4057 | 1.321 | . 106 | 47.372 | 72.759 |
| U5MORT | 130.674 | 9.996 | 3567 | 4061 | 1.520 | . 076 | 110.682 | 150.666 |

Table B.8.2 Sampling errors - Western region sample: men, Uganda 1995

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| URBAN | 0.054 | . 007 | 478 | 511 | 0.657 | . 125 | 0.041 | 0.068 |
| NOEDUC | 0.147 | . 020 | 478 | 511 | 1.221 | . 135 | 0.107 | 0.186 |
| EDUC | 0.172 | . 025 | 478 | 511 | 1.449 | . 145 | 0.122 | 0.222 |
| NEVMAR | 0.265 | . 028 | 478 | 511 | 1.372 | . 105 | 0.210 | 0.320 |
| CURMAR | 0.670 | . 029 | 478 | 511 | 1.364 | . 044 | 0.611 | 0.729 |
| AGEM20 | 0.181 | . 025 | 391 | 418 | 1.281 | . 138 | 0.131 | 0.231 |
| SEX18 | 0.487 | . 036 | 391 | 418 | 1.434 | . 075 | 0.414 | 0.560 |
| KMETHO | 0.968 | . 013 | 310 | 343 | 1.302 | . 014 | 0.941 | 0.994 |
| KMODME | 0.960 | . 014 | 310 | 343 | 1.246 | . 014 | 0.933 | 0.988 |
| EVUSE | 0.267 | . 023 | 310 | 343 | 0.912 | . 086 | 0.221 | 0.312 |
| CUSE | 0.167 | . 022 | 310 | 343 | 1.044 | . 133 | 0.123 | 0.212 |
| CUMODE | 0.070 | . 015 | 310 | 343 | 1.047 | . 217 | 0.040 | 0.100 |
| CUPILL | 0.026 | . 008 | 310 | 343 | 0.901 | . 312 | 0.010 | 0.043 |
| CUIUD | 0.000 | . 000 | 310 | 343 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| CUINJ | 0.029 | . 011 | 310 | 343 | 1.110 | . 366 | 0.008 | 0.050 |
| CUCOND | 0.006 | . 00.3 | 310 | 343 | 0.814 | . 620 | 0.000 | 0.012 |
| CUFSTER | 0.009 | . 006 | 310 | 343 | 1.177 | . 719 | 0.000 | 0.021 |
| CUPABS | 0.081 | . 017 | 310 | 343 | 1.099 | . 211 | 0.047 | 0.115 |
| NOMORE | 0.241 | . 027 | 310 | 343 | 0.976 | . 110 | 0.188 | 0.294 |
| DELAY | 0.309 | . 028 | 310 | 343 | 0.962 | . 090 | 0.253 | 0.364 |
| IDEAL | 5.811 | . 177 | 456 | 486 | 1.319 | . 030 | 5.458 | 6.164 |

$N A=$ Not available

## APPENDIX C

## DATA QUALITY TABULATIONS

Table C. 1 Household age distribution
Single-year age distribution of the de facto household population by sex (weighted), Uganda 1995

| Age | Males |  | Females |  | Age | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| <1 | 766 | 4.4 | 771 | 4.2 | 37 | 123 | 0.7 | 102 | 0.6 |
| 1 | 690 | 4.0 | 764 | 4.2 | 38 | 170 | 1.0 | 184 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 619 | 3.6 | 658 | 3.6 | 39 | 95 | 0.5 | 90 | 0.5 |
| 3 | 690 | 4.0 | 684 | 3.7 | 40 | 243 | 1.4 | 222 | 1.2 |
| 4 | 683 | 4.0 | 708 | 3.9 | 41 | 50 | 0.3 | 62 | 0.3 |
| 5 | 682 | 4.0 | 715 | 3.9 | 42 | 122 | 0.7 | 77 | 0.4 |
| 6 | 659 | 3.8 | 696 | 3.8 | 43 | 86 | 0.5 | 63 | 0.3 |
| 7 | 580 | 3.4 | 589 | 3.2 | 44 | 54 | 0.3 | 46 | 0.3 |
| 8 | 597 | 3.5 | 647 | 3.5 | 45 | 147 | 0.9 | 125 | 0.7 |
| 9 | 533 | 3.1 | 518 | 2.8 | 46 | 54 | 0.3 | 39 | 0.2 |
| 10 | 616 | 3.6 | 610 | 3.3 | 47 | 69 | 0.4 | 48 | 0.3 |
| 11 | 364 | 2.1 | 413 | 2.3 | 48 | 74 | 0.4 | 67 | 0.4 |
| 12 | 567 | 3.3 | 562 | 3.1 | 49 | 46 | 0.3 | 39 | 0.2 |
| 13 | 488 | 2.8 | 530 | 2.9 | 50 | 136 | 0.8 | 139 | 0.8 |
| 14 | 492 | 2.9 | 424 | 2.3 | 51 | 42 | 0.2 | 115 | 0.6 |
| 15 | 375 | 2.2 | 273 | 1.5 | 52 | 85 | 0.5 | 141 | 0.8 |
| 16 | 340 | 2.0 | 353 | 1.9 | 53 | 53 | 0.3 | 77 | 0.4 |
| 17 | 293 | 1.7 | 268 | 1.5 | 54 | 54 | 0.3 | 87 | 0.5 |
| 18 | 350 | 2.0 | 468 | 2.6 | 55 | 72 | 0.4 | 99 | 0.5 |
| 19 | 223 | 1.3 | 324 | 1.8 | 56 | 84 | 0.5 | 78 | 0.4 |
| 20 | 374 | 2.2 | 478 | 2.6 | 57 | 60 | 0.3 | 40 | 0.2 |
| 21 | 166 | 1.0 | 239 | 1.3 | 58 | 67 | 0.4 | 95 | 0.5 |
| 22 | 232 | 1.3 | 319 | 1.7 | 59 | 36 | 0.2 | 25 | 0.1 |
| 23 | 255 | 1.5 | 286 | 1.6 | 60 | 135 | 0.8 | 178 | 1.0 |
| 24 | 232 | 1.3 | 303 | 1.7 | 61 | 25 | 0.1 | 32 | 0.2 |
| 25 | 331 | 1.9 | 368 | 2.0 | 62 | 52 | 0.3 | 43 | 0.2 |
| 26 | 222 | 1.3 | 234 | 1.3 | 63 | 44 | 0.3 | 43 | 0.2 |
| 27 | 190 | 1.1 | 218 | 1.2 | 64 | 23 | 0.1 | 25 | 0.1 |
| 28 | 273 | 1.6 | 329 | 1.8 | 65 | 113 | 0.7 | 105 | 0.6 |
| 29 | 145 | 0.8 | 179 | 1.0 | 66 | 24 | 0.1 | 18 | 0.1 |
| 30 | 285 | 1.7 | 420 | 2.3 | 67 | 40 | 0.2 | 25 | 0.1 |
| 31 | 140 | 0.8 | 94 | 0.5 | 68 | 42 | 0.2 | 31 | 0.2 |
| 32 | 204 | 1.2 | 238 | 1.3 | 69 | 18 | 0.1 | 24 | 0.1 |
| 33 | 128 | 0.7 | 139 | 0.8 | 70+ | 370 | 2.1 | 351 | 1.9 |
| 34 | 116 | 0.7 | 121 | 0.7 | Don't know/ |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 262 | 1.5 | 278 | 1.5 | Missing | 45 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.1 |
| 36 | 128 | 0.7 | 148 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total 1 | 17,240 | 100.0 | 18,323 | 100.0 |

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who slept in the household the night before the interview.

## Table C. 2 Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women and men

Percent distribution of the de facto household population of women age 10-54 and men age 10-69, and of interviewed women age 15-49 and men age 15-54, and the percentage of eligible women and men who were interviewed (weighted) by five-year age groups, Uganda 1995

| Age | Household population |  | Persons interviewed |  | Percent interviewed (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 2,539 | - | - | - | - |
| 15-19 | 1.685 | 23.3 | 1.609 | 23.1 | 95.4 |
| 20-24 | 1,626 | 22.5 | 1,568 | 22.6 | 96.5 |
| 25-29 | 1,328 | 18.3 | 1,289 | 18.5 | 97.1 |
| 30-34 | 1,011 | 14.0 | 970 | 14.0 | 95.9 |
| 35-39 | 803 | 11.1 | 766 | 11.0 | 95.4 |
| 40-44 | 471 | 6.5 | 445 | 6.4 | 94.6 |
| 45-49 | 318 | 4.4 | 302 | 4.3 | 94.9 |
| 50-54 | 559 | - |  | - | - |
| 15-49 | 7,243 | - | 6,949 | - | 95.9 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 950 | - | - | - | - |
| 15-19 | 454 | 20.6 | 394 | 19.8 | 86.8 |
| 20-24 | 405 | 18.4 | 362 | 18.1 | 89.2 |
| 25-29 | 390 | 17.7 | 353 | 17.7 | 90.6 |
| 30.34 | 281 | 12.8 | 257 | 12.9 | 91.4 |
| 35-39 | 256 | 11.6 | 244 | 12.3 | 95.3 |
| 40-44 | 202 | 9.2 | 183 | 9.2 | 90.7 |
| 45-49 | 121 | 5.5 | 107 | 5.4 | 88.9 |
| 50-54 | 96 | 4.3 | 92 | 4.6 | 95.7 |
| 55-59 | 113 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60-64 | 113 | - |  | - | - |
| 65-69 | 75 | - |  | - | - |
| 15-54 | 2,206 | - | 1,993 | - | 90.4 |

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who slept in the houschold the night before the interview.

## Table C. 3 Completeness of reporting

Percentage of observations missing information for selected demographic and health questions (weighted), Uganda 1995


Table C. 4 Births by calendar years
Distribution of births by calendar years for living (L), dead (D), and all (T) children, according to reporting completeness, sex ratio at birth, and ratio of births by calendar year, Uganda 1995


## Table C. 5 Reporting of age at death in days

Distribution of reported deaths under one month of age by age at death in days and the percentage of neonatal deaths reported to occur at ages $0-6$ days, for five-year periods preceding the survey, Uganda 1995

| Age at death (in days) | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 0-19 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |  |
| <1 | 69 | 82 | 62 | 48 | 261 |
| 1 | 26 | 32 | 28 | 24 | 110 |
| 2 | 18 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 55 |
| 3 | 12 | 18 | 10 | 2 | 42 |
| 4 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 31 |
| 5 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 15 |
| 6 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 18 |
| 7 | 27 | 26 | 24 | 19 | 97 |
| 8 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 23 |
| 9 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 14 |
| 10 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 13 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| 14 | 6 | 9 | 16 | 13 | 44 |
| 15 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 16 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 21 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 24 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 26 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 28 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 29 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 30 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 31+ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total 0-30 | 202 | 235 | 194 | 133 | 765 |
| Percent early neonatal ${ }^{1}$ | 72.9 | 71.2 | 64.3 | 69.2 | 69.6 |

${ }^{1}$ (0-6 days/0-30 days) * 100

## Table C. 6 Reporting of age at death in months

Distribution of reported deaths under two years of age by age at death in months and the percentage of infant deaths reported to occur at ages under one month, for five-year periods preceding the survey, Uganda 1995

| Age at death (in months) | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 0-19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |  |
| $<1^{\text {a }}$ | 202 | 235 | 196 | 133 | 766 |
| 1 | 36 | 35 | 25 | 19 | 115 |
| 2 | 48 | 49 | 22 | 16 | 135 |
| 3 | 37 | 45 | 26 | 20 | 128 |
| 4 | 27 | 29 | 17 | 23 | 96 |
| 5 | 27 | 19 | 14 | 16 | 76 |
| 6 | 30 | 42 | 29 | 19 | 120 |
| 7 | 43 | 41 | 20 | 15 | 118 |
| 8 | 25 | 34 | 31 | 35 | 125 |
| 9 | 38 | 42 | 19 | 15 | 113 |
| 10 | 19 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 35 |
| 11 | 18 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 51 |
| 12 | 19 | 39 | 28 | 24 | 111 |
| 13 | 4 | 23 | 13 | 8 | 48 |
| 14 | 23 | 19 | 18 | 6 | 66 |
| 15 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 39 |
| 16 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 22 |
| 17 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 30 |
| 18 | 17 | 32 | 22 | 17 | 88 |
| 19 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 25 |
| 20 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 23 |
| 21 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 12 |
| 22 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 13 |
| 23 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 22 |
| 24+ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 year | 34 | 27 | 21 | 16 | 97 |
| Total 0-11 | 551 | 586 | 417 | 326 | 1,879 |
| Percent neonatal ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 36.7 | 40.2 | 47.0 | 40.8 | 40.8 |

[^39]
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## APPENDIX E

## QUESTIONNAIRES



Now we would like same information about the people tho usually live in your household or who are staying with you now.



| MO. OUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 184 What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household? | PIPED INTO RESIDEMCE/YARD/PLOT . . . . 11 PUBLIC TAP............................... 12 <br> WELL JM RESIDENCE/YARD/PLOT........ 21 <br> PUBLIC WELL............................... 22 <br> BOREHOLE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 23 <br> SPRING. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 31 <br> RIVER/STREAM. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 32 <br> PONO/LAKE/DAM. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 33 <br> GRAVITY FLOW SCHEME................... 34 <br> RAINUATER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 41 <br> BOTTLED HATER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 51 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 | $\underset{\mid}{\underset{\longrightarrow}{\boldsymbol{~}} 20} 20$ |
| 188 Where do you store the drinking water? | Рот............................................ 1 <br> JERRY CAN.................................... 2 <br> PAN........................................... . 3 <br> KALABASH. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 |  |
| 18C How much water is used in this household every day? | LITRES...................... |  |
| 19 <br> How long does it take to go there, get water, and come back? | minutes. $\qquad$ $\square$ ON PREMISES. $\qquad$ |  |
| 20 What kind of toilet facility does your household have? | FLUSH TOILET <br> OWN FLUSH TOILET.................... 11 <br> SHARED FLUSH TOILET................ 12 <br> PIT TOILET/LATRINE <br> TRADITIONAL PIT TOILET............ 21 <br> IMPROVED PIT LATRINE.............. 22 <br> NO FACILITY/BUSH/FIELD............... 31 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |  |
| $21 \begin{aligned} & \text { Does your household have: } \\ & \text { Electricity? } \\ & \text { A radio? } \\ & \text { A television? } \\ & \text { A telephone? } \\ & \text { A refrigerator? } \\ & \text { A Video } \\ & \text { An Electric cooker }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 22 How meny rooms in your household are used for sleeping? | R00\%1S . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 23 <br> MAIN MATERIAL OF THE FLOOR. RECORD OQSERVATION. | EARTH/SAND. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11 <br> COW DUNG................................... 12 <br> PARQUET OR POLISHED YOCO........... 21 <br> VINYL OR ASPHALT SIRIPS.............. 22 <br> CERAMIC TILES........................... 23 <br> CEMENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 24 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| $24 \begin{aligned} & \text { Does any member of your household oun: } \\ & \text { A bicycle? } \\ & \text { A motorcycle? } \\ & \text { A Motor vehicle (CAR, BUS, LORRY, IRACTOR) }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $25 \begin{aligned} & \text { What type of salt is usually used for cooking in } \\ & \text { your household? } \\ & \text { (ASK IO SEE SALT PACKAGE) }\end{aligned}$ | LOCAL SALT................................. 1 <br> PACKAGED SALT (ICDI2ED)............... 2 <br> PACKAGED SALT (NOT ICOIZED).......... 3 <br> SALT FOR AHIMALS......................... 4 <br> OTHER SALT ............................... 6 |  |
| 25A\\| TESt The salt and write the result. | iodine reading (PPM)....... | 1 |
| $26 \begin{gathered}\text { How many meals did the household have yesterday? } \\ \text { (MEALS: OTHER THAN TEA AND SNACKS) }\end{gathered}$ | NUMBER OF MEALS |  |
| 27 In terms of household consumption, do you think that your household is: <br> Surplus household <br> Neither surplus nor deficit <br> Occasionally deficit <br> Always deficit | SURPLUS..................................... 1 <br> NEITHER SURPLUS NOR DEFICIT........ 2 <br> OCCASIONALLY DEFICIT................... 3 <br> ALHAYS DEFICIT............................ 4 <br> DOES NOT KHOY............................ 8 |  |



SECTION 1: RESPONDENT'S BACKGROUND

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109 | RECORD THE TIME. | HOUR. $\qquad$ <br> MINUTES. $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| 102 | Firat I would like to ask some questions about you and your household. For most of the time untit you were 12 years old, did you live in a city, in a municipality, in a town or in the countryside? |  |  |
| 103 | How long have you been living continuously in (NAME OF Current place of residences? | YEARS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> AL WAYS. $\qquad$ 95 <br> VISITOR. $\qquad$ |  |
| 104 | Just before you moved here, did you live in a city, in a municipelity, in stown, or in the countryside? | CITY (KAMPALA)............................ 1 <br> MUNICIPALITY................................ 2 <br> TONK. . . . . . . ............................... . . . 3 <br> COUNTRYSIDE |  |
| 105 | In what month and year were you born? | MONTH. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DOES NOT KMOW MONTH $\qquad$ <br> YEAR. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DOES NOT KNOW YEAR. $\qquad$ |  |
| 106 | How old were you at your last birthday? <br> COMPARE AND CORRECT 105 AND/OR 106 IF INCONSISTENT. | AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS......... |  |
| 107 | Have you ever attended school? | YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11 N0........................ 2 | $\rightarrow 114$ |
| 108 | What is the highest level of school you attended: primery, junior, secondary or university? |  |  |
|  | What is the highest grade you completed at that level? | GRADE. ....................... $\square$ |  |
|  | CHECK 106: |  | ( |
| 111 | Are you currently attending school? | YES.................................. 11 N0............................ 2 | $\xrightarrow{1} 113$ |
| 112 | What was the main reason you stopped attending school? | GOT PREGNANT... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 01 GOT MARRIED............................... 02 HAD TO CARE FOR YOUNGER CHILDREN. 03 family needed help on farm <br> OR IN BUSINESS....................... 04 <br> COULD NOT PAY SCHOOL FEES.......... 05 <br> needed to earn money. ................ 06 <br> GRADUATED/HAD ENOUGH SCHOOLING.... 07 <br> falled. <br> DID NOT LIKE SCHCOL...................... . 09 <br> SCHOOL NOT ACCESSIBLE/TOO FAR...... 10 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> DOES NOT KNOH.............. |  |


| No. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 113 | CHECK 108: <br> JUNIOR <br> PRIMARY OR HIGHER | $\prod_{115}$ |
| 114 | Would you please read this sentence? <br> SHOW SENTENCE TO RESPONDENT AND CIRCLE CORRECT CODE. |  |
|  | Do you usually read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week? | YES.................................... 1 N0............................. 2 |
|  | How often do you listen to the radio? | EVERY day/almost every day........... 1 <br> AT LEAST ONCE A HEEK.................... 2 <br> at least once a month. ................. 3 <br> LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH................. 4 <br> HARDLY/VIRTUALLY NEVER................ . . 5 <br> DOES NOT XNOU. $\qquad$ |
| 1168 | What times do you usually listen to the radio? <br> Circle all times mentioned. | EARLY MORNING (6.00-8.00)............. MID MORNING (8.00-10.00)............. . LATE MORNIMG (10.00-12.00)........... $C$ LUNCH TIME (12.00-14.00)............. AFTERNOON (14.00-16.00)...............E LATE AFTERNOON (16.00-18.00)........ EARLY EVENING (18.00-20.00).......... G LATE EVENING (20.00-STATION CLOSE).H DOES NOT KNOW.............................. 2 |
|  | What day of the week do you usually like to listen to the radio? <br> CIRCLE ALL DAYS mentiowed. |  |
|  | How often do you watch television (TV)? | EVERY DAY/ALMOST EVERY DAY-..........- 1 <br> AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK................... 2 <br> AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH.................... 3 <br> LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH................. 4 <br> HARDLY/VIRTUALLY NEVER................. 5 <br> $\longrightarrow 118$ <br> DOES NOT KNOW. ............................. $8 \underset{\mid}{\longrightarrow} 118$ |
| 1178 | What times do you usually watch TV? circle all times mentioned. | EARLY MORNING ( $6.00 \cdot 8.00$ )............. A <br> MID MORNING ( $8.00-10.00$ ).............. 8 <br> LATE MORNING (10.00-12.00)........... C <br> LUNCH TIME (12.00-14.00)............. D <br> AFTERNOON (14.00-16.00)............... $E$ <br> LATE AFTERNOON (16.00-18.00).........F <br> EARLY EVENING (18.00-20.00).......... G <br> LATE EVENING (20.00-STATION CLOSE).H <br> DOES NOT KNOW............................. 2 |
|  | What day of the week do you usually like to watch television? <br> circle all days mentioned. |  |


| No. | QUESTIONS ANO FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118 | What is your religion? | CATHOLIC................................... 1 <br> PROTESTANT. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> MUSLIM. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 <br> SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST................... 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
|  | What is your tribe? |  |  |
|  | Check residential status of the yoman at cover page: <br> THE WOMAN INTERVIENED <br> IS NOT A USUAL <br> RESIDENT (VISITOR) <br> THE WOMAN IMTERYIEWED <br> IS A USUAL <br> RESIDENT |  | $\rightarrow 201$ |
| 121 | Now I would like to ask about the place in which you usually live. <br> Do you usaully live in a city, in a municipality, in a town or in the countryside? |  |  |
|  | In which (DISIRICT) is that located? | $\frac{\text { (HAME OF THE DISTRICT) }}{\square}$ |  |
|  | How I would like to ask bbout the household in which you usually live. <br> What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household? | PIPED WATER <br> PIPED INTO <br> RESIDENCE/YARD/PLOT . . . . . . . . . . . 11 <br> PUBLIC TAP............................... 12 <br> WELL WATER <br> WELL IN RESIDENCE/YARD/PLOT...... 21 <br> PUBLIC WELL........................... 22 <br> BORE HOLE.............................. 23 <br> SURFACE WATER <br> SPRING.................................... 31 <br> RIVER/STREAM. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 32 <br> POND/LAKE/DAM. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 33 <br> GRAVITY FLOW SCHEME................ 34 <br> RAINHATER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 41 <br> BOTTLED WATER........................... . . 51 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 125$ $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 125$ $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow 125 \\ & \rightarrow 125 \end{aligned}$ |
| 123A | Where do you store the drinking water? |  |  |
|  | How long does it take to go there, get water, and come back? |  |  |


| No. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125 | What kind of toilet facility does your household heve? | flush toilet <br> OUN FLUSH TOILET.................... 11 <br> Shared flush toilet................ 12 <br> PIt TOILET/LATRIWE <br> TRADITIONAL PIT TOILET............ 21 <br> IMPROVED PIT LATRINE............... 22 <br> NO FACILITY/BUSH/FIELD............... 31 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 126 | Does your household have: <br> Electricity? <br> A radio? <br> A television? <br> A telephone? <br> A refrigerator? <br> A video? <br> An electric cooker? |  |  |
| 127 | Could you describe the main material of the floor of your home? | matural floor <br> EARTH/SAND. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11 <br> DUNG....................................... 12 <br> FINISHED FLOOR <br> Parouet or polished woco.......... 21 <br> VINYL OR ASPHALT STRIPS........... 22 <br> CERAMIC TILES......................... 23 <br> CEMENT................................... 24 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |  |
| 128 | Does any member of your household own: <br> A bicycle? <br> A motorcycle? <br> A motor vehicle (CAR, BUS, LORRY, TRACTOR) |  Yes <br>  NO <br> BICYCLE.......................... 2 <br> MOTORCYCLE.................... 2 <br> MOTOR VEHICLE................. 2 |  |



211 Now 1 would like to record the names of all your births, whether still alive or not, starting with the first one you had.
RECORD NAMES OF ALL THE BIRTHS IN 212. RECORD TWINS AND IRIPLETS ON SEPARATE LIMES.




Now 1 would like to talk about fanily planning-- the various ways or methods that a couple can use to delay or avoid a pregnancy.
CIRCLE CODE 1 IM 301 fOR EACH mETHOD mentioned spowtaneously. then proceed down column 302, reading the WAME AND DESCRIPTION OF EACH METHCD MOT MENTIONED SPOWTANEOUSLY. CIRCLE CCOE 2 IF METHCO IS RECOGMISED. AND COOE 3 IF MOT RECOGNISED. THEN, FOR EACH METHOO WITH CODE 1 OR 2 CIRCLED IN 301 OR 302, ASK 303.

301 Which ways or methods have you heard about?



Where did the sterilisation take place?
IF SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC WRIte the mame of the place. probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate cooe.
mission/Church facilities are considered uprivate".
(MAME OF THE PLACE)

PUBLIC SECTOR
GOVERNHENT HOSPITAL................. 11
gOVERNMENT HEALTH CENTRE......... 12
GOVERNMENT MOBILE CLINIC......... 14
OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ 16

Private medical sector
PRIVATE HOSPITAL/CLINIC. ......... 21
PRIVATE DOCTOR........................ 23
PRIVATE MOBILE CLINIC.............. 24
OTHER PRIVATE
MEDICAL___ 26
(SPECIFY)
OTHER
(SPECIFY)
DOES NOT KNOW.
$\xrightarrow[(\text { SPECIFY ) }]{\text {...................... } 98}$


322 Between the first day of a woman's period and the first day of her next period, are there certain times when she has a greater chance of becoming pregnant than other times?
$\qquad$



During which times of the monthly cycle does a woman have the greatest chance of becoming pregnant?

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DURING HER PERIOD..RIGHT AFTER HER PER |  |
| HAS ENDED................................ 2 |  |
|  |  |
| JuSt mefore her periol begins...... 4 |  |
| OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

324
How do you determine which days of your monthly cycle not to have sexual relations?
based on calendar........................ 1
BASED ON BODY TEMPERATURE based on cervical mucus
(BILLINGS METHOD)..................... 3
BASED ON BODY TEMPERATURE
AND CERVICAL MUCUS
NO SPECIFIC SYSTEM.
OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY)
8 YEARS OR LONGER $\qquad$

Sane people use family planning becouse they have talked to their husband or friend, heard something on the radio or TV, or read something that encouraged them to use family planning.

What motivated you to use family planning?
record all mentioned
talked to husbahd
.
TALKED TO A FRIEND.........................
TALKED TO A HEALTH WORKER............ $C$
HEARD FP DRAMA ON RADIO...............
HEARD ADVERTISEMENT ON RADIO........E
heard something else on radio......f
SAW SOMETHING ON TV.....................
SAW THE YELLOW FP FLONER (FP LOGO). H
READ A POSTER.
READ A LEAFLET/FLYER/BROCHURE....... J
ATTENDED A HEALTH TALK ON FP.........K
SELF MOtivated..............................
OTHER
(SPECIFY)

DOES NOT KNOL/NO REASON.
. $z$


| NO. | QUESTIOWS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 332 | What is the main reason you are not using a method of contraception to avoid pregnency? | NOT MARRIED $\qquad$ <br> fertillty-related reasons <br> NOT HAVING SEX....................... 21 <br> INFREQUENT SEX...................... 22 <br> MENOPAUSAL/HYSTERECTOMY . . . . . . . . . 23 <br> SUBFECUND/INFECUND................. 24 <br> POSTPARTUM/BREASTFEEDING. . . . . . . . 25 <br> WANTS MORE CHILDREN............... 26 <br> OPPOSITION TO USE <br> RESPONDENT OPPOSED.................. 31 <br> husband opposed...................... 32 <br> OTHERS OPPOSED........................ 33 <br> RELIGIOUS PROHIBITION............. 34 <br> lack of knowledge <br> KNOLS NO METHOD...................... 41 <br> KNOUS NO SOURCE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 42 <br> methco-related reasons <br> health CONCERNS..................... 51 <br> fEAR OF SIDE EFFECTS.............. 52 <br> LACK OF ACCESS/TOO FAR............ 53 <br> COST TOD MUCH........................ 54 <br> [INCONVENIENT TO USE. ................ 55 <br> INTERFERES WITH BODY'S <br> NORMAL PROCESSES................. . 56 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> DOES NOT KHOW. $\qquad$ .98 |
|  | Do you know of a place where you can obtain a method of family planning? |  |
|  | Where is thst? <br> IF SCURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLIMIC, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIFY the type of source and circle the appropriate cooe. missiow/Church facilities are considered "private". | PUBLIC SECTOR <br> GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL................. 11 <br> gOVERNMENT HEALTH CENTER......... 12 <br> GOV'T.DISPENSARY/HEALTH UNIT..... 13 <br> GOVERNMENT MOBILE CLINIC.......... 14 <br> GOVERNMENT FIELD WORKER........... 15 <br> OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ 16 (SPECIFY) <br> PRIVATE/NGO MEDICAL SECTOR <br> PRIVATE HOSPITAL/CLINIC........... 21 <br> PHARMACY/CHEHISTS.................. . . 22 <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR......................... 23 <br> PRIVATE MOBILE CLINIC............. 24 <br> PRIVATE FIELD WORKER............... 25 <br> OTHER PRIVATE <br> MEDICAL $\qquad$ 26 (SPECIFY) <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 31 <br> CHURCH. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 32 <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES................... 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |
|  | Here you visited by a family plaming program worker in the last 12 months? |  |
| 336 | Have you visited a health facility in the last 12 months for any reason? |  |



402 enter the lime mumber, name, and survival status of each birth since jan. 1991 in the table. ask questions ABOUT ALL OF THESE BIRTHS. BEGIN WITH THE LAST BIRTH. (IF MORE THAN 2 BIRTHS, USE ADDITIOMAL FORMS).

Nou I would like to ask you some more questions about the health of all your children born in the past three years. (We will talk about one child at a time.)

| 403 | LIME MUWBER FROM 0212 | LAST BIRTH <br> LIME NUMBER.......... $\square$ | HEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> LINE NUMGER $\qquad$ $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 404 FROM 0212 <br> AND 0216 $\quad$ MAME $\quad$ MAME |  |  |  |
| 405 | At the time you became pregnant with (NAME), did you want to become pregnant then, did you want to wait until later, or did you want no (more) children at all? | THEN. <br> (SKIP 10 407) $\square$ <br> LATER. <br> ........................ 2 <br> MO MORE. $\qquad$ | THEN. <br> (SKIP TO 407) <br> LATER <br> ......................... 2 <br> NO MORE. (SKIP TO 407) |
| $406 \begin{aligned} & \text { How much longer would you like to have } \\ & \text { waited? }\end{aligned}$ |  | MONTHS. $\qquad$ YEARS. $\qquad$ $\square$ DOES NOT KNOW $\qquad$ 998 | MONTHS. $\qquad$ <br> YEARS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DOES NOT KHON. $\qquad$ |
| 407 | When you were pregnent with (NAME), did you see anyone for antenatal care for this pregnancy? <br> IF YES: Whom did you see? Anyone else? <br> Probe for the type of person and RECORD ALL PERSONS SEEN. | healith professional <br> DOCTOR.....................A <br> NURSE/MIDHIFE. . . . . . . . . . <br> AUXILIARY MIDUIFE.......C <br> OTHER PERSON <br> traditional birth <br> attemdant............... . $D$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> NO ONE. | HEALTH PROFESSIONAL <br> DOCTOR..................... A <br> NURSE/MIDHIFE. ........... $B$ <br> aUXILIARY MIDWIFE.......C <br> OTHER PERSON <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATtENDANT................ $D$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> NO ONE. $\qquad$ |
| How many months pregnant were you when you first received antenatal care? |  | MONTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ DOES NOT KNON. . . . . . . . . . 98 | MOWTHS $\qquad$ $\square$ doEs not know. $\square$ |
| 409 How many times did you receive antenatal care during this pregnancy? |  |  | NO. OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ DOES NOT KNOW............. 98 |
| 410 | When you were pregnant with (NAME) were you given an injection in the arm to prevent the baby from getting tetanus, that is, convulsions after birth? |  |  |
|  | During this pregnancy, how many times did you get this injection? | TIMES.................... $\square$ DOES NOT KNOL. . . . . . . . . . 8 | TIMES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\square^{\square}$ DOES NOT KNOW. . . . . . . . . 8 |
| 411A | Did you eat special diet during this pregnancy? <br> (DIET MEANS OTHER THAN NORMAL FOOO) | Yes....................... 1 no. $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ |  |





|  |  | LAST BIRTH <br> HAME $\qquad$ | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHECK 436: FOOD OR LIOUID GIVEN YESTERDAY? |  |  |
| 440 | (Aside from breastfeeding, ) how meny times did (NAME) eat yesterday? <br> meals owly <br> If 7 OR MORE TIMES, RECORD '7'. | NUMEER OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DOES NOT KNOH $\qquad$ | NUMBER OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DOES NOT KNON. $\qquad$ |
| 441 | On how many days during the last seven days was (NAME) given any of the following: <br> Plain water? <br> Any kind of milk (other than breast milk)? <br> Any other liquids? <br> Food made from millet/sorghum/maize? <br> Food made from potato/cassava/yam/matooke? <br> Eggs, fish, or poultry? <br> Meat? <br> Any other solid or semi-solid foods? <br> IF DON'T KNOW, RECORD ' 8 ' | RECORD THE NUMBER OF DAYS. <br>  | RECORD THE NUMBER OF DAYS. <br>  |
| 442 |  | GO BACK TO 405 IN NEXT COLUMN: OR, <br> IF NO MORE BIRTHS, CO TO 443. | ```gO BACX TO 405 IN NEXT COLUMN: OR, IF NO MORE BIRTHS, GO TO 443.``` |





| NO. | QUESTIOWS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 468 | When s child has diarrhoea, should he/she be given less fluids than usual, about the same amount, or more than usual. |  |
| 469 | When a child has diarrhoea, should he/she be given less food than usual about the same amount, or more than usual? |  |
| 470 | When should a child who is sick with diarrhoes be taken to a health worker or health facility? <br> record all mentioned. | REPEATED WATERY STOOLS................... <br> ANY WATERY STOOLS...................... . $B$ <br> REPEATED VOMITING......................... $C$ <br> ANY VOHITING.............................. $D$ <br> BLOOO IN STOOLS.......................... <br> FEVER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> MARKED THIRST.............................. $G$ <br> not eating/not drinking well......... H <br> getting sicker/very sick.............. I <br> not Getting better.......................... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOL. .2 |
| 471 | When should a child who is sick with a cough be taken to a health worker or health facility? <br> record all mentioned. | fast breathing.............................. <br> DIFFICULT BREATHING...................... <br> nOISY BREATHING........................... . $C$ <br> feVER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $D$ <br> UNABLE TO DRINK............................ <br> NOT EATING/NOT DRINKING WELL......... $F$ <br> getting sicker/very sick.............. G <br> not getting better......................... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. . |
|  | CHECK 462, ALL COLUANS: <br> mo CHILD RECEIVEO ORS <br> ANY CHILD RECEIVED ORS | $\overbrace{1} 475$ |
|  | Have you ever heard of a special product called dalozi you can get for the treatment of diarrhea? |  |
|  | Have you ever seen a packet like this before? (SHOW PACKET). |  |
|  | How many times in your whole life did you receive an injection in the arm to prevent tetanus? | nUMBER DF TIMES. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> MEVER $\qquad$ 501 |
|  | In what month and year was your last tetanus injection given? | MONTH. $\qquad$ .1 <br> YEAR $\qquad$ |




| NO. | QUESTIONS AMD FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 524A | CHECK 515 AND 520: <br> HAD SEX IN LAST 4 WEEKS $\square$ NO SEX IN LAST 4 HEEKS |  | ${ }_{\rightarrow 527}$ |
| 525 | In the last four weeks, how many times have you had sexual intercourse? | number of times $\qquad$ $\square$ DOES NOT KNOW $\qquad$ |  |
| 526 | Was a condom used on any of these occasions? IF YES: was it each rime or sometimes? |  |  |
| 527 | Who did you have sex with the last time you had sexual intercourse? Has it with (your husband / the man you are living with) or was it with someone else? |  |  |
| $528$ | CHECK 303: <br> DID MOT USE CONDON AS <br> USED CONDON AS COWTRACEPTIVE METHCO CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD |  | ${\underset{i}{531}}$ |
|  | Do you know where you can get condoms? | YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 | $\xrightarrow{f} 532$ |
| 530 | Where is thar? <br> IF SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTER, OR CLINIC, write the name of the place. probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate cooe. | PUBLIC SECTOR <br> GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL................ 11 <br> government health center......... 12 <br> DISPENSARY/HEALTH UNIT............ 13 <br> MOBILE CLINIC......................... 14 <br> FIELD WORKER......................... . . 15 <br> OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ 16 (SPECIFY) <br> PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR <br> PRIVATE HOSPITAL/CLINIC.......... 21 <br> PHARMACY/DRUG STORE............... 22 <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR.................... . . . 23 <br> MOBILE CLINIC......................... 24 <br> fIELD WORKER.......................... 25 <br> OTHER PRIVATE <br> MEDICAL $\qquad$ 26 <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP.................................... . 31 <br> CHURCH. . . . . . . . . . . ................ . . 32 <br> FRIERDS/RELATIVES.................. . . . 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |  |
|  | Have you heard of a condom called 'Protector'? |  |  |
|  | In general, do you think that most women like men to use condoms, they don't like men to use condoms, or it does not matter? | LIKE MEN TO USE CONDONS............... 1 DON'T LIXE MEN TO USE CONDONS...... 2 DOES NOT MATTER.......................... 3 OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOU. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |
| 533 | Wow think back to the past. How old were you when you had sexual intercourse for the first time? | AGE $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> NEVER HAD SEX, $\qquad$ 95 <br> FIRST TIME WHEN MARRIED............ 96 |  |



| mo. | QUESTIONS AMD FILTERS | COOING Categories |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 610 | What is the min reason that you think you will never uge 0 method? | mot having sex. $\qquad$ <br> FERTILITY-RELATED REASONS <br> IMFREQUENT SEX........................ 22 <br> MENOPAUSAL/HYSTERECTOMY.......... . 23 <br> SUBFECUND/INFECUND. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 24 <br> WANTS MORE CHILDREN................ 26 <br> OPPOSITION TO USE <br> RESPONDEHT OPPOSED................. 31 <br> HUSBAND DPPOSED...................... 32 <br> OTHERS OPPOSED. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 33 <br> RELIGIOUS PROHIBITION............. . 34 <br> LACK OF KNOULEDGE <br> KNOUS NO METHCD. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 41 <br> KNOWS NO SOURCE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 42 <br> METHOD-RELATED REASONS <br> HEALTH COWCERNS....................... 51 <br> FEAR OF SIDE EFFECTS.............. 52 <br> LACK OF ACCESS/TOO FAR........... 53 <br> cost too much. ........................ 54 <br> INCONVENIENT TO USE................. 55 <br> INTERFERES WITH BCOY'S <br> NORMAL PROCESSES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 56 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> DOES HOT KNOW. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 98 |
|  | CHECK 216: <br> has living childoren <br> If you could go back to the time you did not have any children and could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be? <br> no living childoren $\square$ <br> If you could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be? <br> PROBE FOR A MUMERIC RESPONSE. |  |
| 614 | How many of these children would you like to be boys and how many would you like to be girls? |  |
|  | Would you say that you approve of couples using amothod to avoid getting pregnant? |  |
| 616 | Have you ever recommended family planning to a friend, relative or mione else? |  |
|  | Is it secepteble or not acceptable to you for information on family plaming to be provided: <br> On the radio? <br> on the television? |  |


| NO. | OUESTIOWS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 618 | In the last six months have you heard about family pleming: <br> On the radio? <br> On the television? <br> In a newspaper or magazine? <br> from a poster? <br> From leaflets or brochures? |  |  |
| 6184 | $\qquad$ |  | $620$ |
| 619 | Which progrem or message have you heard? Any others? <br> ON THE RADIO AND TELEVISION. | KONOUEEKA. ................................ <br> ADVERTISEMENT FOR CONDOM/PILL....... $B$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ x <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 620 | In the last few months have you discussed the practice of family planning with your friends or relatives? |  | $\xrightarrow{1} 622$ |
| 621 | With whom? <br> Anyone else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | HUSBAND/PARTHER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> MOTHER........................................ . 8 <br> FATHER......................................... . <br> SISTER(S).................................. . 0 <br> BROTHER(S).................................. . <br> DAUGHTER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $F$ <br> MOTHER-IN-LAL. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $G$ <br> FRIENDS. $\qquad$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ x <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 622 | Do you think most, some, or none of the women you know use some kind of fanily planning? |  |  |
| 623 | CHECK 502:  <br>  YES, <br> CURRENTLY <br> MARRIED <br>  YES, <br> LIVING UITH <br> A MAN <br> NO,  |  |  |
| 624 | Spouses/partners do not always agree on everything. Now I want to ask you about your husband's/partner's vieus on family planning. <br> Do you think that your husband/partner approves or disapproves of couples using amethod to avoid pregnancy? | APPROVES. $\qquad$ 1 <br> DISAPPROVES. $\qquad$ <br> DOES MOT KHOW. $\qquad$ |  |
| 625 | Have you and your husband/partner ever discussed the number of children you would like to have? | YES.................................. 11 NO............................. 2 |  |
| 626 | Do you think your husband/partner wants the same number of children that you want, or does he want more fewer than you want? |  |  |


| no. Questions and filters | COOING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: |
| 626A Do you think that using family planning will make a woman more promiscuous? | YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 |
| $6268 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Do you think that using family plaming will } \\ & \text { make a man more promiscuous? }\end{aligned}\right.$ |  |
| 626C What do you understand by the term "family planning"? <br> record all mentioned | ADVICE ON PRODUCING CHILDREN........A not to have many children............ 6 SPACING CHILDREN TO HAVE A manaceable family............... C PLANING A BRIGHT FUTURE............... $D$ PRODUCE FEW CHILDREN, EDUCATE AND FEED THEM......................E <br> OTHER $\qquad$ x <br> dOES NOT KNOW............................... 2 |
| 6260 In a relationship, who do you think should have the major role using family planning? |  |
| 626E Who should be responsible in getting information about family planning? |  |
| 626F $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Have you seen or heard about the } \\ & \text { Yellow Family Planning flower? }\end{aligned}\right.$ |  |
| 626G ${ }^{\text {Can you describe it? }}$ | YELLOW FLOWER IN A CIRCLE............ 1 SMALL FAMILY INSIDE THE FLOWER...... 2 A MAN, LIOMAN, AND THO CHILDREN..... 3 OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOU............................... 8 |
| 626H What does it mean? | fp services are available............ 1 <br> high ouality services are availabl. 2 OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |
| $627 \left\lvert\,$CHECK 527: <br> HAD SEXUAL <br> INTERCOURSE$\quad$NEVER HAD <br> \right. |  |
| 628 Sometimes a woman becomes pregnant when she does not want to be. Have you ever become pregnant when you did not want to be? |  |
| 629 How long ago was the last time that you became pregnant when you did not want to be? | YEARS AGO.................... $\square$ |
| 630 When that happened to you, what did you do about it? |  |



SECTION 7. HUSBAND'S BACKGROUND AND WOMAN'S YORK



SECIION 8. AIDS

| No. | OUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATECORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have you heard about disease that can be transmitted through sex? |  | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 806$ |
| 802 | Which diseases do you know? RECORD ALL RESPOWSES | SYPHILIS/KABOTONGO........................... <br> GONORRHEA/NZIKO............................ <br> AIDS/SLIM DISEASE........................ $C$ <br> GENITAL WARTS/CONDYLOMATA............ $D$ <br> DTHER $\qquad$ 4 $\qquad$ <br> DOES NOT KNOW.............................. 2 |  |
|  | CHECK 527 <br> has had sex $\square$ has mever had sex |  | $+806$ |
|  | During the last 12 months, did you have any of these diseases? |  | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 806$ |
| 805 | Which? RECORD ALL RESPONSES |  |  |
|  | During the last 12 months, did you have a vaginal discharge? |  |  |
|  | Ouring the last 12 months, did you have a sore or ulcer on your genitals? |  |  |
|  | CHECK 805, 806, AMD 807 HAD ONE OR MORE DISEASES | $\underline{1}$ | $\operatorname{l}_{\rightarrow 814}$ |
|  | When you had this disease (DISEASE FRON B05, B06 AND BO7) did you take advice or treatment? | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { ADVICE/TREATMENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ \text { SELF TREATMENT. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 8 \end{array}$ | $\rightarrow 810 \mathrm{~A}$ |
|  | Where did you seek advice or treatment? <br> Any other place or person? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIOWED | PUBLIC SECTOR <br> GOVERHMENT HOSPITAL.................. <br> GOVERHMENT HEALTH CENTER........... B <br> DISPENSARY/HEALTH UNIT.............. C <br> GOVERNMENT MOBILE CLINIC.......... <br> GOVERHMENT FIELD WORKER.............E <br> OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ (SPEC1FY) <br> PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR <br> PRIVATE HOSPITAL/CLINIC............ G <br> PHARMACY/DRUG STORE................... <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR........................... I <br> PRIVATE MOBILE CLINIC................ <br> PRIVATE FIELD WORKER.................. <br> OTHER PRIVATE <br> MEDICAL $\qquad$ L <br> other private sector $\qquad$ <br> CHURCH. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES....................... <br> tRADITIONAL HEALER.................... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> DOES NOT KNOW............. |  |



| MO. Questiows and filters | CODING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: |
| 819 Whet can a person do to avoid getting AIDS or the virus that causes AIDS? <br> Any other waye? <br> RECORD ALL MEMTIOWED | do not have sex at all................. A <br> USE CONDONS DURING SEX................. <br> DON'T HAVE SEX WITH PROSTITUTES.....C <br> dow't have sex hith <br> HOMOSEXUALS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .D <br> do not have many sex partners.......E <br> have one falthful partmer <br> (ZERO GRAZING)............................ <br> AVOID BLOCD TRANSFUSIOWS............. $G$ <br> AVOID UNSTERILISED EQUIPMENT.......... <br> AVOID KISSING............................. <br> AVOID MOSQUITO BITES....................... <br> SEEK PROTECTION FROM <br> traditional healer..................... <br> DO NOT DRINK TOO MUCH ALCOHOL....... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $W$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW............................... 2 |
| $820 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Is it possible for a healthy-looking person to have the AIDS } \\ & \text { virus? }\end{aligned}\right.$ | YeS................................... 1 мо............................ 2 dоеs пот кпои.................... 8 |
| 821 is alds a fatal disease, that is, do all people with AIDS die from the disease? |  |
| 822 Can Alos be transmitted from mother to child? |  |
| 823 Can Alds be transmitted through breastfeeding? | Yes................................... 1 NO............................ 2 DOES NOT KNOW. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |
| 824 Do you personally know somene who has AlDS or has died of AIDS? |  |
| 824A What relationship to you? | SPOUSE. <br> SIBLINGS. <br> ........... $B$ <br> WEIGHBOURS. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $D$ <br> OTHERS $\qquad$ $x$ |
| 825 Do you think your chances of getting AIDS are small, moderate, great, or no risk at all? |  |
| 826 \| Why do you think that you have (NO RISK/A SMALL CHANCE) of getting AIDS? <br> Any other reasons? <br> record all mentiowed. | ABSTAIN FROM SEX. <br>  <br> have only one sex parther............ $C$ <br> LIMITED NUMBER OF PARTNERS.......... <br> NO HOMOSEXUAL CONTACT. . . . . . . . . . . . . .E <br> NO BLDOD TRANSFUSIONS..................... <br> NO INJECTIONS............................... G <br> OTHER $\qquad$ x <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. |
| 827 \| Why do you think that you have a (MCDERATE/GREAT) chance of getting AIDS? <br> Any other reasons? <br> record all mentiowed. | DO NOT USE CONDOMS....................... multiple sex partners................... SPOUSE HAS MULTJPLE PARTNERS........ HOHOSEXUAL CONTACT..................... $D$ HAD BLDOD TRANSFUSION.................. . HAD INJECTIONS................................ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ |


| NO. | OUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES \| SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $828$ | Since you heard of AIDS, have you changed your sexual behaviour to prevent getting AIDS? |  |
| 829 | What did you do? <br> Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIOWED | RESTRICTED SEX TO ONE PARTNER.......A STARTED USING CONDOMS................. REDUCED NUMBER OF PARTMERS........... $C$ STOPPED ALL SEX......................... OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> DOES NOT KNOH CIFY) .2 |
| 830 | Some people use a condom during sexual intercourse to avoid getting AIDS or other sexually transmitted diseases. Heve you ever heard of this? |  |
| $830 \mathrm{~A}$ | CHECK 527: <br> HAS HAD $\square$ MAS MEVER <br> SEX <br> HAD SEX | $\operatorname{li}_{\rightarrow 832}$ |
| 831 | Have you over used a condom during sex to avoid getting or transmitting diseases, such as AIDS? | \| YEs............................... . . . 1 |
| 832 | Have you over been tested to see if you have the AIDS virus? |  |
| 833 | Would you like to be tested for the AIDS virus? |  |
| 834 | Do you know a place where you could go to get an AIDS test? |  |
|  | There could you go? | PUBLIC SECTOR <br> GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL.................. A <br> GOVERNMENT HEALTH CENTER........... 8 <br> DISPENSARY/HEALTH UNIT..............C <br> MOBILE CLINIC......................... $D$ <br> FIELD HORKER.............................. <br> OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ F <br> (SPECIFY) <br> private medical sector <br> PRIVATE HOSPITAL/CLINIC............ G <br> PHARMACY/DRUG STORE.................... <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR,......................... <br> MOBILE CLINIC............................ <br> FIELD HORKER............................... <br> other private <br> MEDICAL $\qquad$ L <br> (SPECIFY) <br> other private sector <br> SHOP . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> CINRCH . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES..................... . 0 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $\times$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNON.............................. 2 |
| 836 | Uhat do you suggest is the most important thing the goverment should do for people who have AIDS? | PROVIDE medical treatment ............ 1 <br> help relatives provide care......... 2 <br> isolate/quarantine/jail people..... 3 <br> NOT BE INVOLVED........................... 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) |
| 837 | If a member of your family is suffering from Alds would you be willing to care for him or her at home? |  |



| 904 that was the name given to your oldest (next oldeat) brother or sister? | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] | [6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 905 is (NANE) male or female? | MALE. ....... 1 <br> FEMALE..... 2 | MALE........ 1 <br> FEMALE..... 2 | MALE. ....... 1 <br> FEMALE...... 2 | MALE........ 1 <br> female..... . 2 | MALE........ 1 <br> female...... 2 | MALE........ 1 <br> fEMALE..... 2 |
| 906 Is (NAME) still alive? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 907 How old is (NAME)? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 908 In that year did (NAME) die? | 19 $\square$ <br> GO 10910 <br> DK. .98 $\qquad$ | 19 $\square$ 60 rO 910 OK. $\qquad$ | 19 $\square$ GO TO 910 DK $\qquad$ | 19 $\square$ <br> GO TO 910 <br> DK......... 98 | 19 $\square$ co to 910 OK $\qquad$ | 19 $\square$ 6010910 DK......... 98 |
| 909 How meny years ago did (NAME) die? |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| 910 How old was (NAME) when she/he died? <br> 911 Was (NAME) pregnant when she died? | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 years of age GO TO [2] <br>  YES.........1] GO $10914<]$ NO. $\qquad$ | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 years of age co TO [3] YES........ GO TO $914<1$ <br> NO. . . . . . . . . 2 | If Male or DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [4] YES.........1] GO TO 914- NO........... 2 | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [5] <br>  $\text { но. . . . . . . . . . } 2$ | If MALE OR OIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [6] $=============$ YES........1 GO TO $914<$ NO......... 2 | IF MALE OR <br> DIED BEFORE 12 <br> YEARS OF AGE <br> GO TO [7] <br> $======x====3$ <br> YES....... <br> GO TO $914<]$ <br> NO.......... 2 |
| 912 Did (NAME) die during childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES........1] } \\ & \text { GO to } 915 \times-1 \\ & \text { NO. ........ } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES........ } \\ & \text { GO TO } 915<-1 \\ & \text { NO. ......... } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ........1] } \\ & \text { GO TO } 915 \& 1 \\ & \text { NO. ......... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes. ....... } \\ \text { GO to } 915<1 \\ \text { HO.......... } \end{gathered}$ |
| 913 Did (MAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . ...... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 915<1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { NO. .........2] } \\ \text { GO TO } 915< \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEs......... } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { No. .........2 } \\ \text { GO TO } 915 \& \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO.......... }{ }^{2} \\ & \text { GO TO } 915<-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.......... } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { NO. ......... } \\ \text { GO } 70 \\ 915 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO...........2 } \\ & \text { GO TO } 915< \end{aligned}$ |
| 914 Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... . } 1 \\ & \text { No. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO.......... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { no. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 915 How many children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 904 that mas the neme given to your oldest (next oldest) brother or sister? | [7] | [8] | [9] | [10] | [11] | [12] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 905 IS (MAME) male or fenale? | MALE. . . ..... 1 <br> female..... . 2 | MALE. . . . . . ${ }^{4}$ <br> FEMALE..... . 2 | male......... 1 <br> fEMALE..... 2 | MALE. ....... 1 <br> FEMALE. .... . 2 | MALE......... 1 <br> FEMALE..... . 2 | MALE........ 1 <br> fEMALE..... 2 |
| 906 is (NAME) still alive? |  | YES......... 1 MO....... 2 $\omega 0$ TO $908<$ DK.......... $\omega 0$ ro $[9]$ |  |  |  |  |
| 907 How old is (MAME)? |  |  |  | GO to [11] |  |  |
| 908 In that yesr did (NAME) die? | 19 $\square$ GO 70910 DK. $\qquad$ |  |  | 19 $\square$ <br> 6010910 <br> DK. $\qquad$ |  |  |
| 909 How many years ago did (MAME) die? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 910 How old was (NAME) when she/he died? |  | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 yEARS OF AGE GO TO [9] <br>  YES......... ${ }^{1}$ GO TO $914<-$ No........... 2 | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [10] <br>  YES.........1] GO TO 914no. $\qquad$ |  | if male or DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [12] <br>  YES......... GO $10914<-1$ NO. . . . . . . . . 2 | if male or OIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [13] <br>  YES......... ${ }^{1}$ GO TO 914:NO........... 2 |
| 912 Did (MAME) die during childoirth? | $\begin{gathered} \text { YES........ } \\ \text { GO to } 915 \ll \\ \text { NO. . . . . . . } 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . .......1] } \\ & \text { GO to } 915 \& \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 913 Did (NAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childoirth? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { NO.........2 } \\ 60 \text { ro } 915< \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { NO. . .......2 } \\ \text { GO TO } 915< \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.......... } \\ & \text { No. ......... } \\ & \text { co ro } 915< \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 914 Was her death due to complicetions of pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO.......... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 915 How many children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 916 record the time. |  |  |  | HOUR. <br> MINUTES |  | $\square$ |


interviever: in 1002 (COLUMNS 2-3) record the lime mumber for each child born since january 1991 amd still alive. In 1003 AMD 1004 RECORD THE NAME AND BIRTH OATE FOR THE RESPONDENT AND FOR ALL LIVING CHILDREN BORN SIMCE JANUARY 1991 . IN 1006 AND 1008 RECORD HEIGHT AND WEIGHT OF THE RESPONDENT AND THE LIVING CHILDREN. (MOTE: ALL RESPONDENTS WITH ONE OR MORE BIRTHS SINCE JANUARY 1991 SHOULD BE WEIGHED aMD measureo even if all of the chiloren have died. If there are more than 2 living children born since january 1991, USE ADDITIONAL FORMS).

|  | 4 RESPONDENT | 2) YOUnGEST LIVING Child | 13! <br> MEXT - TO- <br> YOUNGEST <br> LIVING CHILD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1002 LIME MO. FROM 0.212 | \%...... | $\square$ |  |
|  | (HAME) | (NAME) | (NAME) |
| $1004 \|$DATE OF BIRTH <br> FROM $0.21 S, ~ A N D ~$ <br> ASK FOR DAY DF BIRTH |  | DAY. MONTH. yEAR $\square$ | DAY. <br> MONTH <br> YEAR |
| 1005BCG SCAR OH TOP <br> OF LEFT SHOULOER | \% | scar seen........... 1 no scar............. 2 | SCAR SEEN. . . . . . . . 1 no Scar. $\ldots . . . . . . . . .2$ |
| 1006 HEIGHT(in centimeters) | $\square . \square$ | $\square \square . \square$ |  |
| $1007 \begin{aligned} & \text { WAS LEMGTH/HEICHT OF CHILD } \\ & \\ & \text { MEASURED LYING DOWN } \\ & \\ & \text { OR } \\ & \\ & \text { STAMDING UP? }\end{aligned}$ | (.o. | lying.............. standing........... 2 | LYing. . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{1}$ Standing. . . . . . . . 2 |
| $1008 \left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { WEIGHT } \\ \text { (in kilograms) }\end{gathered}\right.$ | $\square \square \square$ | 0  $\square$ |  |
| $1009 \begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & \text { WEIGHED } \\ & \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { MEASURED }\end{aligned}$ | DAY <br> MONTH <br> YEAR | DAY MONTH. <br> YEAR. | DAY MONTH. YEAR. |
| 1010 RESULT | MEASURED. . . ......... 1 <br> not present........ 3 <br> REFUSED. . . . . . . . . . . 4 <br> OTHER................ . 6 <br> (SPECIFY) | CHILD MEASURED....1 <br> CHILD SICK.......2 <br> CHILD NOT <br> PRESENT......... <br> CHILD REFUSED.... <br> MOTHER REFUSED...5 <br> OTHER.............6 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 1011 HAME OF MEASURER: |  | E OF ASSISTANT: $\qquad$ | $\square$ |

## Comments ebout Respondent:

Cofments on
Specific Questions:

Any Other Comments:

## SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS

$\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Neme of Editor:
Date:


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEgORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RECORD ThE TIME. | HOUR <br> minutes. |  |
| 102 | First I would like to ask some questions about you and your housthold. For most of the time until you were 12 years old, did you live in a city, in a municipality, in a tomn or in the countryside? | CITY (KAMPALA) <br> MUNICIPALITY. <br> TOWN. <br> COUNTRYSIDE |  |
| 103 | How long have you been living continuously in (NAME OF CURRENT PLACE OF RESIDENCE)? | YEARS. $\qquad$ <br> Always. $\qquad$ <br> VISITOR. $\qquad$ | $\rightarrow 105$ |
| 104 | Just before you moved here, did you live in a city, in a municipality, in a town, or in the countryside? |  |  |
|  | In what month and year were you born? | MONTH. $\qquad$ <br> DK MONTH. $\qquad$ <br> YEAR $\qquad$ <br> DOES NOT KNOW YEAR. $\qquad$ |  |
|  | How old were you at your last birthday? COMPARE AND CORRECT 105 AND/OR 106 IF INCONSISTENT. | age in completed tears..... |  |
|  | Have you ever attended school? |  | $\rightarrow 111$ |
|  | What is the highest level of school you attended: primary, junior, secondary or university? |  |  |
|  | What is the highest grade you completed at that level? | GRADE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| $110$ | CHECK 108: <br> JUNIOR <br> PRIMARY $\square$ or higher $\square$ |  | $\rightarrow 112$ |
|  | Hould you please read this sentence? SHOW SENTENCE TO RESPONDEWT AND CIRCLE CORRECT COOE. | READ EASILY................. WITH DIFFICULTY.......... NOT AT ALL................ | $\rightarrow 113 A$ |
|  | Do you usually read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week? | YES........................ N0..................... |  |
|  | How often do you listen to the radio? | EVERY DAY/almost every day. AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK........ AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH....... LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH...... hardLy/VIRTUALLY NEVER..... DOES NOT KHON. | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow 114 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \rightarrow 114 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |


| No. | QUESTIOWS AND FILTERS | CODING CAIEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1138 | What times do you usually listen to the radio? (CIRCLE ALL tIMES MENTIOWED) | EARLY MORNING (6.00-8.00)............ MID MORHING (8.00-10.00)............. $B$ LATE MORNING (10.00-12.00)........... $C$ LUNCH TIME (12.00-14.00)............. AFTERNOON (14.00-16.00) ...............E LATE AFTERNOON (16.00-18.00)........ .F EARLY EVENING (18.00-20.00)......... G Late evening (20.00-statiow close).h DOES NOT KNOH.............................. 2 |
| 113C | What day of the week do you usually like to listen to the radio? <br> (CIRCLE ALL DAYS MENTIONED) |  |
| 114A | How often do you watch television (TV)? | every day/almost every day........... 1 <br> at least once a week................... 2 <br> at least once a month.................. 3 <br> LESS THAN ONCE A MONTH................ 4 <br> HARDLY/VIRTUALLY NEVER............... $5 \longrightarrow 115$ <br> DOES NOT KNOW............................ $8 \underset{\mid}{\longrightarrow} 115$ |
| 1148 | What times do you usually watch IV? (CIRCLE ALL TIMES MENTIONED) | EARLY MORNING (6.00-8.00).............A MID MORNING (8.00-10.00).............. LATE MORMING (10.00-12.00)........... LUNCH TIME (12.00-14.00)............. AfTERNOON (14.00-16.00)...............E LATE AFTERNOON (16.00-18.00)........ F EARLY EVENING (18.00-20.00).......... G LATE EVEHING (20.00-STATION CLOSE).H DOES NOT KNOW.............................. 2 |
| 114 C | What day of the week do you usually watch TV? (CIRCLE ALL DAYS MENTIONED) |  |
|  | What is your occupation, that is, what kind of work do you mainly do? |  |
| $116$ | CHECK 115: <br> HORKS IN DOES NOT WORK <br> AGRICULTURE <br> IN AGRICULTURE | $\xrightarrow{\square} 118$ |
|  | Do you work mainly on your own land or on family land, or do you rent lend, or work on someone else's land? | OWN LAND. ..................................... 1 <br> RENTED LAND................................. 3 <br> SOMEONE ELSE'S LAND..................... 4 <br> LABOR ON SOMEONE ELSE'S FARM LAND.. 5 <br> PUBLIC LAND............................... 6 |


| mo. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118 | Do you earn cash for this work? | YES................................... 1 no............................... 2 |  |
| 119 | What is your religion? |  |  |
| 120 | What is your nationality? | UGAMDAN . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 02 RHANDESE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 02 <br> SUDANESE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 03 <br> KENYAN. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 04 <br> ZAIRIAN................................... 05 <br> TANZANIAN. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 06 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 121 | What is your tribe? |  |  |


| no. | QUESTIDNS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201 | Now I would like to ssk sbout all the children you have had during your life. <br> I mean your own children, not ones you may have adopted or care for as a father but those real father is someone else. <br> Do you have children? |  |
| 202 | Do you have any sons or daughters who are now living with you? |  |
|  | How many sons live with you? <br> And how many daughters live with you? <br> If NONE RECORD 'OO'. | SONS AT HOHE $\qquad$ DAUGHTERS AT HOME $\qquad$ $\square$ |
|  | Do you have any sons or daughters who are alive but do not live with you? |  |
|  | How many sons are alive but do not live with you? <br> And how many daughters are alive but do not live with you? <br> IF NONE RECORD '00'. | SONS ELSEWHERE $\qquad$ <br> DAUGHTERS ELSEWHERE $\qquad$ $\square$ |
| 206 | Heve you ever had a son or daughter who was born alive but later died? <br> IF NO, ASK: Any baby who cried or showed signs of life but survived only a few hours or days? |  |
| 207 | How many boys have died? <br> And how many girls have died? <br> If mone record '00'. | BOYS DEAD $\qquad$ GIRLS DEAD $\square$ |
| 208 | SUM ANSLERS TO 203, 205, and 207, and enter total. IF NONE RECORD 'OO'. | TOTAL....................... $\square$ |
| 209 | CHECK 208: <br> Just to make sure that I have this right: you have had in TOTAL $\qquad$ children during your life. Is that correct? $\square$ <br> PROBE AND CORRECT <br> YES $\square$ no 201-208 AS NEEDED |  |

SECTION 3. CONTRACEPTION
Now I would like to talk about family plaming-the various ways or methods that a couple can use to delay or avoid a pregnancy.

CIRCLE CODE 1 IN 301 FOR EACH METHOD MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. THEN PROCEED DON COLUMN 3O2, READING THE WNME AND DESCRIPTION OF EACH METHCD MOT MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. CIRCLE COOE 2 IF METHOD IS RECOGNISED, AND CODE 3 IF NOT RECOGNISED. THEN, FOR EACH METHOD WITH CODE 1 OR 2 CIRCLED IN 301 OR 302, ASK 303.

|  | Which mays or methods have you hear | SPONTANEOUS YES | 302 Have you ever heard of (METHOD)? PROBED YES <br> NO | 303 Have you ever used (METHCO)? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | PILL Women can take a pill every day. | 1 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 02 | luD Women can have a loop or coil placed inside them by a doctor or a nurse. | 1 | 2 3- | YES............................. 1 no. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |
| 03 | INJECTIOWS Women can have an injection by a doctor or nurse which stops them from becoming pregnant for seversl months. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 04 | IMPLANTS Women can have several small rods placed in their upper arm by a doctor or nurse which can prevent pregnancy for several years. | 1 | $2 \begin{array}{ll}3\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { n0. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 05 | DIAPHRAGM, FOAM, JELLY Women can place a sponge, suppository, diaphragm, jelly, or cream inside themselves before intercourse. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { no......................... } \end{aligned}$ |
| 06 | CONDOM Men can use a rubber sheath during sexual intercourse. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { но. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 07. | FEMALE STERILIZATION Uomen cen have an operation to avoid heving any more children. | 1 | 27 | Have you ever had a partner who had an operation to avoid having children? <br> YES........................... 1 <br> NO. <br> ............................. . 2 |
| 081 | MALE STERILIZATION Men can have operation to avoid having any more children. | 1 | 27 | Have you ever had an operation to avoid having any more children? $\qquad$ <br> NO. $\qquad$ |
| 091 | RHYTHA, COUNTING DAYS Every month that a women is sexually active she can avoid having sexual intercourse on the days of the month she is most likely to get pregnant. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { No. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | matural family plameing a woman can take her temperature every day or check her vaginal mucus to tell which days to avoid having sexual intercourse. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { No. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | UITHDRAGAL Men can be careful and pull out before climax. | 1 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Have you heard of any other ways or methods that women or men can use to avoid pregnancy? |  | $3$ | YES............................. 1 NO......................... 2 YES.......................... 1 NO......................... |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 305 | Have you ever used anything or tried anything in any way to delay or avoid having a child? |  |
| 306 | What have you used or done? CORRECT 303-304 (AND 302 IF MECESSARY) | (1) |
| 307 | Are you currently doing something or using any method to delay or avoid having a child? |  |
| 308 | thich method are you using? <br> Anything else? <br> record first, second, third amd fourth partaer in SEPARATE COLUMNS |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 401 | presemce of others at this point. |  |
| 402 | Are you currently married or living with a woman? | YES, CURREMTLY MARRIED $\qquad$ <br> YES, LIVING WITH A WOMAN MO, NOT IH UNION $\qquad$ |
|  | Have you ever been married or lived with a women? |  |
| 404 | What is your marital status now: are you separated, divorced or widowed? |  |
|  | How many wives do you have? | number. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |
|  | In what month and year did you start living with your (first) wife/partner? |  |
|  | How old were you when you started living with her? | AGE. ....................... $\square$ |
| 409 | Do you have a regular partner (apart from your wife/wives)? I mean someone with whom you have been having sex for about a year or more? |  |
| 410 | How many regular partners do you have (aside from your wife/wives)? | number. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\square$ |
| 411 | CHECK 402 AMD 409 <br> marRIED OR LIVING WITH <br> not married and no <br> a woman or has a regular partner <br> regular partner | $\underset{\underbrace{}_{4}}{ }$ |
| 412 | Now I need to ask you some questions about sexual activity in order to gain a better understanding of some family planning issues. <br> When was the last time you had sexual intercourse with your (wife/regular partner)? <br> if respondent has both wife and regular parther, ask WHEN HE LAST HAD SEX WITH EITHER. |  |
| 413 | For that sexual intercourse, was a condom used? | YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 N0............................. 21 |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 414 | Have you had sexual intercourse with anyone (else) in the last 6 months? (I mean, with someone other than your wife or regular partner that you mentioned earlier) |  |
| 415 | With how many different people have you had sexual intercourse in the last 6 months (apart from your wife or regular partners)? | nUMBER......................... |
| 416 | Was a condom used with any of these women? |  |
| 417 | When was the last time vou had sexual intercourse (apart from your wife/regular partner)? | NEVER. $\qquad$ <br> days ago. $\qquad$ <br> WEEKS AGO. $\qquad$ <br> MONTHS AGO. $\qquad$ <br> years ago. $\square$ $\qquad$ |
|  | For that last sexusl intercourse, did you give money, gifts or favours in return for sex? |  |
|  | Was this person someone you had met before or someone you met for the first time? |  |
| 420 | Was a condon used for thet last sexual intercourse? |  |
| 421 | What was the main reason thet you did not use e condom that time? | NO KHOLLEDGE ABOUT CONDOM........... 01 CONDONS NOT AVAILABLE............... . 02 CONDON TOO COSTLY..................... 03 WANTED MORE CHILDREN................ . 04 <br> TRUST EACH OTHER...................... . 05 PaRTNER DOES NOT APPROVE............ 06 CONDOH USE IS CUMBERSOME............ 07 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. $\qquad$ 98 |
| $421$ |  | $\left.\right\|_{424}$ |
|  | In the last four weeks, how many times have you had sexual intercourse? | NUMBER OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ OOES NOT KNOW. $\qquad$ |
|  | Was a condom used on any of these occasion? IF YES: Was it each time or sometimes? | YeS, EACH TIME. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 YES, SOMETIMES................. 2 NEVER............................ 3 |
|  | Who did you have sex with the last time you had sexual intercourse? Was it with (your wife / the woman are living with) or was it with someone else? | SPOUSE....................................$~$ |




| мо. | Questiows and filters | COOING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 509 | Which method would you prefer to use? |  |
| 510 | What is the main reason that you think you will never use a method? | not married $\qquad$ <br> FERTILITY-RELATED REASONS <br> INFREQUENT SEX........................ 22 <br> WIFE MENOPAUSAL/HYSTER........... . 23 <br> SUBFECUND/INFECUND. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 24 <br> WANTS MORE CHILDREN................ 26 <br> OPPOSITION TO USE <br> RESPONDENT OPPOSED.................. 31 <br> WIFE/PARTNER OPPOSED............... 32 <br> OTHERS OPPOSED........................ 33 <br> RELIGIOUS PROHIBITION............. 34 <br> LACK OF KNOWLEDGE <br> KHOWS NO METHOD. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 41 <br> KNOWS NO SOURCE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 42 <br> method-related reasons <br> HEALTH CONCERNS...................... 51 <br> fear of side effects............... 52 <br> LACK OF ACCESS/TOO FAR.......... . 53 <br> COSTS TOO MUCH..................... 54 <br> INCONVENIENT TO USE................ 55 <br> INTERFERES UITH BOOY'S <br> NORMAL PROCESSES................ . . 56 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> DOES ROT KNOW............................. . 98 |
|  | CHECK 203 AND 205: <br> has LIVING CHILDREN <br> If you could go back to the time you did not have any children and could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole tife, how many would that be? <br> NO LIVING CHILDREN $\square$ <br> If you could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be? <br> PROBE FOR A NUMERIC RESPONSE. |  |
| 512 | How many of these children would you like to be boys and how many would you like to be girls? |  |
|  | In general, do you approve or disapprove of couples using a method to avoid pregnancy? |  |



SECTION 6. AIDS

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKJP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have you heard about disease that can be transmitted through sex? |  | $\longrightarrow 606$ |
| 602 | Which diseases do you know? RECORD ALL RESPOWSES |  |  |
| $603$ | CHECK 424: <br> HAS HAD <br> has never <br> SEX <br> HAD SEX |  | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow_{606}}$ |
|  | During the last 12 months, did you have any of these diseases? |  | $\xrightarrow{\\|} 606$ |
| 605 | Which? <br> RECORD ALL RESPONSES |  |  |
|  | During the last 12 months, did you have a discharge fram your penis? |  |  |
|  | During the last 12 months, did you have a sore or ulcer on your penis? |  |  |
|  | CHECK 605, 606 AND 607: |  | $\prod_{\rightarrow 614}$ |
|  | When you had the most recent episode of (DISEASE FROM 605, 606, AND 607) did you seek advice or treatment? |  | $\xrightarrow[\mid]{\xrightarrow{\\|} 610 \mathrm{~A}}$ |
| 610 | Where did you seek advice or treatment? <br> Any other place or person? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED | PUBLIC SECTOR <br> GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL.................... <br> GOVERNMENT HEALTH CENTER........... $B$ <br> DISPENSARY/HEALTH UNIT..............C <br> MOBILE CLINIC......................... $D$ <br> FIELD YORKER.............................. <br> OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) <br> PRIVATE MEDICAL SECTOR <br> PRIVATE HOSPITAL/CLINIC............ $G$ <br> PHARHACY/DRUG STORE.................... <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR.......................... <br> MOBILE CLINIC.......................................... <br> FIELD HORKER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> other private <br> MEDICAL $\qquad$ L <br> (SPECIFY) <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . M <br> CHURCH........................................... <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES..................... 0 <br> TRADITIONAL HEALER..................... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> DON'T KNOW.................. |  |


| Mo. 1 | QUESTIOWS AND FILTERS | COOIMG Categories SKip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 610A | Why did not you seak edvice or treatment? | embarrassed............................ 1 <br> T00 EXPENSIVE/COSTLY.................. 2 <br> treatment is mot available.......... 3 DOES NOT KNOW UHERE TO CO............ 4 <br> OTHEA $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) |
|  |  |  |
| 619 | When you had the most recent episode of (DISEASE FROM 605, 606, AND 607) did you inform your partner? |  |
| 612 | When you had the most recent episode of (DISEASE FROM 605, 606, ANO 607) did you do something not to infect your pertner? | YES. <br> NO. <br> PARTMER ALREADY INfECTED $\qquad$ .2 614 |
|  | What did you do? | ho sexual intercourse.................. USED CONDOM............................ ${ }^{\text {B }}$ TOOK MEDICINES.............. (SPECIFY) |
| 614 | $\left\lvert\,$Check 602: <br> did mot mention <br> IAIDS!$\quad \square\right.$ mentioned 'aids' | $\square \longrightarrow\left\|\left.\right\|_{\square}\right.$ (16 |
| 615 | Have you ever heard of an illness called AIDS? |  |
| 616 | From which sources of information have you learned most about AlDS? <br> Any other sources? <br> record all mentioned. |  |
| 617 | How can a person get AlDS? <br> Any other ways? <br> RECORD ALL RESPONSES |  |
| 618 | Is there anything a person can do to avoid getting AIDS or the virus that causes AIDS? |  |


| NO. QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES SKIP |
| :---: | :---: |
| What can a person do to avoid getting AIDS or the virus that causes AIDS? <br> Amy other ways? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED | DO NOT HAVE SEX AT ALL.................. A USE CONDOMS DURING SEX.................. dow't have sex with prostitutes..... DOW'T have sex with <br> hOMOSEXUALS. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $D$ do not have many sex parwters.......E have one fajthful pariner <br> (ZERO GRAZING)........................... <br> AVOID 日LD00 TRANSFUSIOWS............... $G$ <br> AVOID UNSTERILISED EQUIPMENT......... h <br> AVOID KISSING............................... <br> avoid mosouito bites....................... <br> SEEK PROTECTION FROM <br> TRADITIONAL HEALER..................... K <br> DO NOT DRINK TOO MUCH ALCOHOL....... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $*$ (SPECIFY) <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> DOES MOT KNOW. |
| 620 Is it possible for a healthy-looking person to have the AIOS virus? |  |
| 621 Is AIDS a fatal disease, that is, do all people with AIDS die from the disease? | YES................................. 1 по............................ 2 DоеS пот кпои.................... 8 |
| 622 Can AlDS be transmitted from mother to child? | YES.................................. 1 NO.......................... 2 dOES MOT KNOW................... 8 |
| 623 Can AlDS be transmitted through breastfeeding? | Yes.................................... 1 no............................ 2 does nor knou, ................... ${ }^{8}$ |
| Do you personally know someone who has AIDS or has died of AIDS? |  |
| 624A What relationship to you? |  |
| 625 Do you think your chances of getting AlDS are small, moderate, great, or no risk at all? |  |
| 626 Why do you think that you have (NO RISK/A SMALL CHANCE) of getting AlDS? <br> Any other reasons? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | ABSTAIN FROM SEX. <br> USE CONDCHS DURING SEX.................. <br> have onty one sex partner............. C <br> LIMITED NUMBER OF PARTNERS......... . $D$ <br> NO HOMOSEXUAL CONTACT................. . . $E$ <br> NO INJECTIONS <br> OTHER $\qquad$ x <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOL. . |
| 627 Why do you think that you have a (MODERATE/GREAT) chance of getting AIDS? <br> Any other reasons? <br> record all mentioned. | DO NOT USE CONDONS........................ A MuLtiple sex partners................... . spouse has multiple partmers........ C HOMOSEXUAL CONTACT. ................... . $D$ had blood transfusion. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . HAD INJECTIONS............................... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ |


| NO. | Questiows and filters | CODING CATEGORIES ${ }^{\text {S }}$ SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 628 | Since you heard of AIDS, have you changed your sexual behaviour to prevent getting AlDS? |  |
| 629 | What did you do? <br> Anything else? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED | RESTRICIED SEX TO ONE PARTMER.......A <br> STARTED USING CONDOHS................. $B$ REDUCED NUMBER OF PARTNERS........... $C$ STOPPED ALL SEX.......................... no more homosexual contact...........E STOPPED SEX WITH PROSTITUTE.......... OTHER $\qquad$ X (SPECIFY) <br> DDES NOT KNOW.............................. 2 |
| $630$ | Some people use a condam during sexual intercourse to avoid getting AlDS or other sexually transmitted diseases? Have you ever heard of this? |  |
| $630 A$ | CHECK 424: HAS HAD  <br>  $\square$ <br>   <br> SEX  | $\rightarrow 632$ |
| 631 | Have you ever used a condom during sex to avoid getting or transmitting diseases, such as AlDS? | $\left\|\begin{array}{l}\text { YES................................... } 1 \\ \text { no........................... } 2\end{array}\right\|$ |
| 632 | Have you ever been tested to see if you have the AIDS virus? |  |
| 633 | Would you like to be tested for the AIDS virus? |  |
|  | Do you know a place where you could go to get an AIDS test? |  |
| 635 | Where could you go? | government and parastatal. PUBLIC SECTOR <br> GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL.................. A <br> government health center........... <br> DISPENSARY/HEALTH UNIT.............. $C$ <br> mobile clinic.......................... . <br> FIELD WORKER.............................. <br> OTHER PUBLIC $\qquad$ F <br> private medical sector <br> PRIVATE HOSPITAL/CLINIC............ <br> PHARMACY/DRUG STORE.................... <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR.......................... <br>  <br> FIELD WORKER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> OTHER PRIVATE <br> MEDICAL $\qquad$ L <br> (SPECIFY) <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> CHURCH. . . . . . . . ............................. <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES..................... . 0 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ <br> DOES NOT KNOW. |
|  | What do you suggest is the most important thing the goverrment should do for people who have AIDS? | PROVIDE MEDICAL TREATMENT............ 1 <br> help relatives provide care......... 2 <br> isolate/quarantine/jail people..... 3 <br> NOt be involved........................... 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) |
|  | If a menber of your family is suffering from AlDS would you be willing to care for him or her at home? |  |

701 Now I would like to aak you some questions about your brothers and sisters, that is, all of the children born to your natural mother, inciudin those tho are living with you, those living elsewhere, and those tho have died.

How many children did your mother give birth to, including you?

NUMBER OF BIRTHS TO NATURAL MOTHER............



| 704 what was the name given to your oldest (next oldest) brother or sister? | [1] | [2] | (3) | [4] | [5] | [6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 705 Is (MAME) male or femal e? | MALE. ....... 1 <br> fEMALE..... 2 | MALE........ 1 <br> fehale..... . 2 | male......... 1 <br> female..... . 2 | MALE. ....... 1 <br> female..... . 2 | male........ 1 <br> FEMALE. .... 2 | MALE........ 1 <br> FEMALE...... 2 |
| 706 Is (MAME) still alive? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 707 How old is (NAME)? |  |  |  |  |  | GO TO (7] |
| 708 In what year did (NAME) die? | 19 $\square$ <br> GO 10710 <br> DK. $\qquad$ .98 | 19 $\square$ GO TO 710 DK. $\qquad$ .98 | 19 $\square$ <br> G0 10710 <br> DK. .98 $\qquad$ | 19 $\square$ <br> GO 70710 DK. $\qquad$ .98 | 19 $\square$ GO TO 710 DK. $\qquad$ .98 | 19 $\square$ GO TO 710 DK. . . . . . . 98 |
| 709 How meny years ago did (NAME) die? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 710 How old was (NAME) when she/he died? <br> 711 Was (HAME) pregnant when she died? |  | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [3] <br>  YES......... ${ }^{1}$ GO TO $714<-$ NO. $\qquad$ |  | if male or DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [5] <br>  YES......... 1 GO TO 714<no. . . . . . . . . 2 |  | If male or DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [7] <br>  YES......... 1 GO TO $714<-$ NO. $\qquad$ |
| 712 Did (NAME) die during childtirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.........1] } \\ & \text { co to } 715<1 \\ & \text { No.......... } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.........1] } \\ & \text { GO to } 715 \& 1 \\ & \text { No. ......... } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES........1] } \\ & \text { GO } 70715<-1.2 \\ & \text { N0......... } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { YES........ } \\ \text { GO to } 715<1 \\ \text { No. ........ } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES........1 } \\ & \text { GO } 10715<-1 . \\ & \text { NO. ........ } \end{aligned}$ |
| 713 Did (NAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. .........2 } \\ & \text { GO To } 715<-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { NO. . . . . . . . } \\ \text { GO } 10 \\ 715< \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { No. ......... } 2 \\ & \text { GO TO } 715<-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YEs......... } 1 \\ & \text { No. ......... } 2 \\ & \text { GO to } 715<- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { No. ......... } \\ & \text { CO } 70715 \& \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { no.......... } \\ 60 \text { to } 715<- \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| 714 Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES........ . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { No. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 715 How many children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | [7] | [8] | [9] | [10] | [11] | [12] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 704 What was the name given to your oldest (next oldest) brother or siater? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 705 Is (MAME) male or female? | MALE........ 1 <br> FEMALE..... . 2 | MALE. ....... 1 <br> FEMALE..... . 2 | MALE. ....... 1 <br> female...... 2 | MALE. ....... 1 <br> female..... . 2 | MALE. ...... . 1 <br> female...... 2 | MALE........ 1 <br> female. . . . . 2 |
| 706 Is (NAME) still alive? |  |  | YES......... 1 NO........ 60 TO 708.$]$ DK.........8 $G 0 \quad 10[10]$ |  | YES......... 1 NO........ 2$]$ $G 0$ TO $708<$ DK.........8 $G 0$ to $[12]$ |  |
| 707 How old is (NAME)? | GO TO [8] |  |  |  |  | GO TO [13] |
| 708 In that year did (NAME) die? | 19 $\square$ 60 10710 DK. $\qquad$ .98 | 19 $\square$ 60 TO 710 DK. $\qquad$ .98 | 19 $\square$ GO TO 710 DK. $\qquad$ .98 | 19 $\square$ <br> GO 10710 <br> DK. $\qquad$ | 19 $\square$ GO 10710 DK. $\qquad$ | 19 $\square$ GO 10710 DK. $\qquad$ .98 |
| 709 How many years ago did (NAME) die? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 710 How old was (NAME) when she/he died? <br> 711 Was (NAME) pregnant when she died? | If MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [8] <br>  YES......... 1 GO TO 714\&NO. $\qquad$ | If male OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [9] YES $\qquad$ GO TO $714<-$ <br> NO. $\qquad$ | IF MALE OR DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [10] YES $\qquad$ GO TO 714\&- <br> NO. . . . . . . . . 2 | If male or DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF AGE GO TO [11] YES........1] <br> NO. . . . . . . . . 2 | if male or DIED BEFORE 12 YEARS OF aGE GO TO [12] YES......... ${ }^{1}$ ] GO TO $714 \&$ $\qquad$ |  |
| 712 Did (NAME) die during childoirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES........1 } \\ & \text { GO TO } 715<1 \\ & \text { NO......... } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \text { GO TO } 715<1] \\ & \text { NO. ......... } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots . .1 \\ & \text { GO TO } 715<1] \\ & \text { HO } \ldots \ldots . .2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.........1 } \\ & \text { GO TO } 715 \&-1 \\ & \text { NO......... } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 713 Did (NAME) die within two months after the end of a pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES } \ldots \ldots . .1 \\ & \text { NO. ........... } \\ & \text { GO To } 715 \& \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES ......... } \\ & \text { NO......... } \\ & \text { CO T0 } 715< \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { GO TO } 715< \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { NO..........2 } \\ \text { GO T0 } 715< \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES......... } \\ & \text { NO. .......... } \\ & \text { GO to } 715< \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 714 Was her death due to complications of pregnancy or childbirth? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES........ . . } \\ & \text { No. . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 715 How many children did (NAME) give birth to during her lifetime? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 716 Record the time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Corments about Respondent

Comments on
Specific Questions:

## SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Date:

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


SECTION 1A. COMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS

| Mo. | Questiows | COOING CATEGORIES | SKIP TO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QUESTIOWS 101 is to be ansuered by the interviewer upow arrival at the cluster.

| 101 | TYPE OF LOCALITY (in which cluster is found) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

the remaiming questions are to be ansuered by knowledgeable informants from the cluster.

| 102 | What is the name of the nearest urben center? | $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103 | How far is it in kilometers to the nearest urban center? | MM. TO NEAREST URBAN CENTER...... $\square$ |  |
| 104 | What are the most commonly used types of transportation to go to the nearest urben center? <br> (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE) | MOTORI2ED. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $A$ <br> CANDE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . B <br> WALKING. ............................... . . $C$ <br> CYCLING................................. . <br> OTHER $\qquad$ ...E |  |
| 105 | What is the main access route to this (LOCALITY)? | all weather road..................... 1 <br> SEASONAL RDAD......................... 2 <br> WATER.................................... . . 3 <br> PATH. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 |  |
| 106 | What are the mejor economic activities of the (LOCALITY) inhabitents? <br> recond three major activities | AGRICULTURE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . A <br> LIVESTOCK. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . <br> FISHING................................. <br> TRADING/MARKETING................... . . <br> SERVICE SECTOR......................... <br> MANUFACTURING........................... <br> MINING/QUERRY. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . g <br> OTHER $\qquad$ x <br> (SPECIFY) |  |
| 107 | Is there telephone service in the (LOCALITY ?) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { n0. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 108 | Sometimes children tho play normally in the day have difficulty seeing and moving around in the twilight ofter the sun goes down. In the evening these children may sit alone, hold onto their mother's clothes, be unable to find their toys, or see to eat. <br> Are you familiar with this condition? |  | $\longrightarrow 111$ |
| 109 | What do you call this condition? <br> try to cet the local name of this disease |  |  |
| 110 | Do you know of any children in the community who hove (this condition) in the past month? |  |  |


| No. | QUESTIOWS | COOING CATEGORIES | SKIP 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | Please tell me if the following things are in the (LOCALITY) <br> Is there a primary school here? <br> is there a secondary school here? <br> Is there a post office here? <br> Is there a local market here? <br> Is there a cinema/video hall here? <br> Is there any protected well/borehole here/spring water? <br> Is there any traditioner healer here? <br> is there a bank here? <br> Is there a public transportation here? | KILOMETERS <br> PRIMARY SCHOOL $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> SECONDARY SCHOOL $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> POST OFFICE $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> local market $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> CIMEMA/VIDEO HALL $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> PROTECTED WELL/BOREHOLE.. /SPRING WATER $\square$ TRADITIONER HEALER $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> BANK. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION. $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |

NOTE: FOR EACH, IF IN LOCALITY, URITE "OO".
IF NOT IN LOCALITY, ASK HOW FAR. WRITE IN KILOMETER
IF DO NOT KHOU, WRITE "98". IF MORE THAN 97, URITE "97".

| No. | OUESTIONS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP TO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112 | Does a commenity based fanily plaming distribution progren cover this (LOCALITY)? |  | 114 |
| 113 | Are the following methoda available from the community based distribution program? <br> a: Pill? <br> b: Condom? <br> C: Voginels (Diaphragm, foan, Jelly)? | PILL: <br> YES....................................... 1 <br> NO........................................ 2 <br> CONDOH: <br> YES......................................... 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> Vaginals: <br> YES........................................ 1 <br> No. $\qquad$ |  |
| 114 | Does a family plaming field worker visit this (LOCALITY)? | YES......................................... 1 ND................................... 2 | $\rightarrow 119$ |
| 115 | How often does the family plaming field worker visit? | no. of times $\square$ PER MONTH.. 1 |  |
| 116 | Does a family planning field worker provide family planning counselling/advice? |  |  |
| 117 | Are the following methods available from the family planning field worker? <br> a: Pill? <br> b: Condom? <br> c: Veginals (Diaphragm, Foam, Jelly)? | PILL: <br> YEs....................................... 1 <br> N0........................................ 2 <br> CONDOM: <br> YES........................................ 1 <br> No......................................... . 2 <br> Vaginals: <br> YES........................................................ 2 |  |
| 118 | How many family planning field workers work in this area? <br> How many of them are government workers? <br> How meny of them are non-government workers? | total <br> WO. Of FP WORKERS <br> ND. OF GOVT WORKERS <br> NO. OF NON-GOVT WORKER |  |
| 119 | Is this area visited regularly by a mobile family planning elinic? |  | $\rightarrow 122$ |
| 120 | How often does the mobile family planning clinic visit? | NO. OF times $\square$ PER MONTH.. 1 |  |
| 121 | Are the following methods available from the mobile family plarning clinic? <br> a: Pill? <br> b: ILOP <br> c: Femsele /Male sterilisation? <br> d: Injection? | PILL: <br> YES...................................... 1 <br> NO, $\qquad$ <br> IUD: <br> YES...................................... 1 <br> N0........................................ . 2 <br> FEMALE /MALE STERILIZATION: <br> YES..................................... 1 <br> ผO...................................... . . 2 <br> INJECTION: <br>  |  |


| No. | QUESTJOWS | coojng categories | SKIP TO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122 | Have there been any family planning information program in the (locality) in the last year? |  | $\rightarrow 124$ |
| 123 | What specifically was this information pramoting? <br> (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE) | Child spacing......................... BENEFITS OF BIRTH CONTROL....... B USE OF FAMILY PLANNING............ $C$ BREAST FEEDING....................... $D$ SPECIFIC METHOD(S) PRONOTION. . . .E here methoos available...........f OTHER (SPECIFY) $\qquad$ |  |
| 124 | Is this area visited regularly by a mobile health clinic? | YES................................ 1 M0........................ 2 | $\rightarrow 127$ |
| 125 | How often does the mobile health clinic visit? | no. Of times $\square$ PER MONTH. 1 |  |
| 126 | Does the mobile health elinic provide: <br> a: Basic medications? <br> b: ORT instruction or ORS packets? <br> c: Vitamin A capsules? <br> d: Growth promotion? <br> e: Iron tablets? <br> f: lodized oil capsules/injections? <br> g: Antenatal care? <br> $h$ : Immanizations? <br> i: Curative health care service? <br> $j:$ ALOS screening/testing? <br> k: femily plaming services? | BASIC MEDICATIONS: <br> YES...................................... 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> ORT/ORS: <br> YES....................................... . 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> VITAMIN A: <br> YES....................................... 1 <br> No. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> GROWTH PROMOTION: <br> YES....................................... 1 <br> No....................................... . . 2 <br> IRON TABELTS: <br> YES...................................... 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> IODI2ED OIL: <br> YE5....................................... 1 <br> no. $\qquad$ <br> ANTEMATAL CARE: <br> YES...................................... 1 <br> NO........................................ 2 <br> IMMUNIZATIONS: <br> YES....................................... 1 <br> No. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> Curative health service: <br> YES...................................... 1 <br> No. $\qquad$ <br> AIDS SCREENING/TESTING <br> YES...................................... 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> FAMILY PLANNING: <br> YES......................................................... 2 |  |
| 127 | Where do nost women give birth? | АT HOME.................................. 1 <br> AT TRADTIONAL BIRTH ATND HOME... 2 <br> AT HEALTH CENTER/HOSPITAL........ 3 |  |
| 128 | Is there a traditional birth attendant available to women here who regularly assists during delivery? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { wo. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow 131$ |
| 129 | Does the traditional birth attendant provide iron supplements? |  |  |
| 130 | Has the traditional birth attendant had any special training from the government or Ministry of Health or other organization? |  |  |


| No. | OUESTIOWS | COOING CATEGORIES | SKIP TO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 131 | Is the ares covered by a trained midwife? |  | $\rightarrow 133$ |
| 132 | Does the trained midwife provide iron supplements? |  |  |
| 133 | Is the area covered by a health worker? | YES.................................................................. 1 | $\rightarrow 136$ |
| 134 | How often does the heal th worker visit? | no. of times $\square$ PER MOWTH.. 1 |  |
| 135 | Does the health worker provide: <br> a: Basic medications? <br> b: ORT instruction or ORS packets? <br> c: Vitamin A capsules? <br> d: Growth promotion? <br> e: Iron tablets? <br> f: lodized oil capsules/injections? <br> $g$ : Antenatal care? <br> $h$ : Immanizations? <br> i: Curative heslth care service? <br> $j:$ AIDS screening? <br> k: family planning services? | BASIC MEDICATIONS: <br> YES...................................... 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> ORT/ORS: <br> YES........................................ 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> Vitamin a: <br> YES....................................... 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> GROUTH PROMDTION: <br> YES. $\qquad$ <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> 1RON TABELTS: <br> YES. $\qquad$ <br> NO. . . . . . . ............................... 2 <br> ICDIZED OIL: <br> YES....................................... 1 <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> ANTENATAL CARE: <br> YES....................................... 1 <br> HO. $\qquad$ <br> IMMUNIZATIONS: <br> YES........................................ 1 <br>  <br> CURATIVE HEALTH SERVICE: <br> YES....................................... 1 <br> NO........................................ . 2 <br> AIDS SCREENING: <br> YES....................................... 1 <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> FAMILY PLANNING: <br> YES...................................... 1 <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 136 | Have there been any health informetion programs in this (LOCALITY) in last year? | YES...................................................... 2 | $\rightarrow$ A201 |
| 137 | What was the health information program? (CIRCLE ALL APPLICABLE) | BENEFITS OF BREASTFEEDIMG........A IMMUNIZATION. . . . ..................... . 8 <br> dIARREAL DISEASE CONTROL......... $C$ <br> AIDS.................................. . . . <br> DRUG ABUSE.............................. $E$ <br> GROWTH PROMOTION/MUTRITION...... . $F$ <br> VITAMIN A............................ $G$ <br> IODINE DEFICIENCY...................... <br> SANITATION. <br> family hygiene <br> OTHER (SPECIFY) $\qquad$ |  |

A. What is the neme of the nearest doctor with a private practice to this community?
B. What is the neme of the nearest phermacy /drug shop to this community?
C. What is the name of the nearest Sub-dispensary/dispensary /Delivery Maternity Unit (DMU) to this cormunity?
$\qquad$
D. What is the name of the nearest health centre to this commity?
E. What is the name of the nearest hospital to this community?
A. private doctor

| No. | OUESTIOWS | cooing cateegoe | SKIP TO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A201 | WAME OF PRIVATE DOCTOR (COPY FROM SECTION 2 COVER PAGEE). | PRIVATE DOCTCR'S <br> NAME $\qquad$ <br> NOT APPLICABLE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 97 | 8201 |
| A202 | How far is it (in kns) from here? <br> (WRITE IN 'OO' If LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS WRITE IN MMBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER. IF 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IM '97'.) | KILOMETERS............... $\square$ |  |
| 4203 | What is the most common type of transport to the doctor's place? |  |  |
| . 204 | How long does it take to get from here to (PRIVATE DOCTOR'S MAME) using most common type of transport? | HOURS. $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> MINUTES $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| A205 | Does this private doctor provide : <br> antenatal care? <br> delivery care? <br> child immunization? <br> fanity planning services? |  | A210 |
| A206 | Who is the nearest doctor with a private practice who provides family planning services to this community? | PRIVATE DOCTOR'S <br> MAME $\qquad$ <br> NOT APPLICABLE......................... 97 <br> DON'T KNOW. .............................. 98 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A210 } \\ & \text { A210 } \end{aligned}$ |
| A207 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IM '00' If LESS THAN 1 kILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 Kilometers write in munber as given in cluster. if 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IN '97'.) | Kılameters.............. $\square$ |  |
| A208 | What is the most conmon type of transport to this doctor's place? |  |  |
| A209 | How long does it take to get from here to (PRIVATE DOCTOR'S NAME) using most common type of transport? | HOURS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> MINUTES $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| 4210 | How many private doctor practices in total are here within 30 kilometers? | NO. PRIVATE DOCTORS WITHIN 30 KH................ |  |

B. PhARMACY/DRUG SHOP

| Mo. | OUEST IOWS | cooing categors | SKIP TO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B201 | MAME OF PHARMACY/DRUG STORE. (COPY FRON SECTION 2 COVER PAGE) | PHARMACY/DRUG STORE <br> NAME $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> nOt APPLICABLE. ...................... . . 97 | $\rightarrow \mathrm{C2O1}$ |
| 8202 | Is that a goverrment pharmacy or is it operated by a non-government organization? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOVERNHENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NON-GOVERNMENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 8203 | How far ie it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IN '00' IF LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 Kilameters write in number as given in cluster. if 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IN '97'.) | KıLOMETERS............... |  |
| B204 | What is the most common type of transport to the pharmacy/drug store? | MOTORIZED (E.G. BUS)................. 1 <br> CYCLING................................ 2 <br> CANOE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 <br> WALKIMG.................................... 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ |  |
| B205 | How long does it take to get from here to (PHARMACY NAME) using most common type of transport? | HOURS. $\qquad$ <br> MINUTES $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| B206 | Does this pharmacy /drug store sell family planning supplies? |  | $\rightarrow 8212$ |
| B207 | What is the name of the nearest pharmacy/drug store which sells family planning supplies to this community? | Pharmacy/drug store <br> HAME $\qquad$ <br> NOT APPLLCABLE........................ 97 <br> DON T T KNOL. ............................. 98 | $\begin{array}{r} \quad 8212 \\ \rightarrow 8212 \end{array}$ |
| B208 | Is that a government pharmacy/drug store or is it operated by a non-goverment organization? | GOVERNMENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NON-GOVERNMENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| 8209 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE In '00' If LESS than 1 kilometer. If 1 to 96 KILOMETERS WRITE IN NUMBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER. IF 97 KILONETERS OR MORE, WRITE IN '97'.) | KILOMETERS. . . . . . . . . . . . $\square$ |  |
| B210 | What is the most common type of transport to the pharmacy/drug store? | MOTORIZED (E.G. BUS)................ 1 <br> CYCLJNG. ................................. 2 <br>  <br> HALKING. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{4}$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ |  |
| 8211 | How long does it take to get from here to (PHARMACY /DRUG STORE NAME) using most common type of transport? | HOURS. $\qquad$ <br> minutes. $\square$ |  |
| B212 | How many pharmacies/drug stores in total are there within 30 kilometers? | NO. PHARMACIES/O. STORES WITHIN 30 KM............... |  |

C. SUB DISPENSARY/ DISPENSARY /DELIVERY MATERMITY UMIT

D. health center

| No. | OUESTIONS | CODING CATEGORS | SKIP TO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0201 | WAME OF HEALTH CENTER (COPY from section 2 COVER PAGE). | health center <br> NAME $\qquad$ <br> NOT APPLICABLE................... . . . . 97 | $\rightarrow$ E201 |
| D202 | Is that government hesith center or is it operated by a non-goverrment orgonization? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gOVERNMENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NON-GOVERHMENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| D203 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IN ' 00 ' IF LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS WRITE IN MUMBER AS GIVEN IM CLUSTER. If 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IH '97'.) | KILOMETERS............... $\square$ |  |
| D204 | Uhat is the most common type of transport to the health center? | MOTORIZED (E.G. BUS)................ 1 <br> CYCLING................................. 2 <br> СААОЕ. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 <br> WALKING................................. . . 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ |  |
| D205 | How long does it take to get from here to (HEALTH CENTER NAME) using most common type of transport? | HOURS. $\qquad$ <br> MINUTES. $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| D206 | Does this health center provide: <br> antenatal care? <br> delivery care? <br> growth promotion? <br> child immmization? <br> AlDS screening? <br> Family Planning? |  | $\rightarrow \mathrm{D} 213$ |
| 0207 | What is the name of the nearest health center providing family planning services to this community? | health CEnter <br> NAME $\qquad$ <br> MOT APPLICABLE........................ 97 <br> DON'T KNOW. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 98 | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow D 213 \\ & \rightarrow D 213 \end{aligned}$ |
| D208 | Is that a goverment health center or is it operated by a non-govermment organization? | GOVERNMENT . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NON-GOVERNMENT . . . . . . . . . . . 2 |  |
| D209 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE In ' OO' IF LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 Kilometers write in mumber as given in cluster. if 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, URITE IN '97'.) | KILOMETERS.............. $\square$ |  |
| D210 | What is the most common type of transport to the health center? | MOTORIZED (E.G. BUS)................. 1 <br> CYCLING.................................. . . 2 <br> CANOE. . . . . . . . . . . ..................... . . 3 <br> walking. <br> OTHER $\qquad$ |  |
| D211 | How long does it take to get from here to (HEALTH CENTER WAME) using most common type of transport? | HOURS. <br> MI NUTES $\square$ |  |
| D212 | Does this health center provide: <br> antenatal care? <br> delivery care? <br> growth promotion? <br> child immization? <br> AIDS screening? | YES NO DK <br> ANTENATAL CARE........1 2 8 <br> DELIVERY CARE.......1 2 8 <br> GROWTH PROMOTION..... 2 8 <br> CHILD IMMUNIZATION...1 2 8 <br> AIDS SCREENING.......1 2 8 |  |
| D213 | How many health centers in total are there within 30 kilometers? | no. health centers WITHIN 30 kM............... $\square$ |  |

E. MOSPITAL

| No. | QUESTIONS | CCDING CATEGORS |  | SKIP T0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E201 | WAME OF HOSPITAL (COPY FROM SECTION 2 COVER PAGE). | HOSPITAL <br> NAME $\qquad$ <br> NOT APPLICABLE................ |  | - 301 |
| E202 | Is that a government hospital or is it operated by a non-goverrment organization? | GOVERHMENT ................. NOM-GOVERHMENT | $\begin{gathered} \ldots \\ \ldots . . . . . . . \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| E203 | How far is it (in kns) from here? <br> (WRITE IN '00' IF LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS WRITE IN NUMBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER. IF 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IN '97'.) | KILOMETERS............... | $\square$ |  |
| E204 | What is the most common type of transport to the hospital? | MOTORIZED (E.G. BUS) CYCLING. <br> CANOE. <br> UALKING. <br> OTHER $\qquad$ |  |  |
| E205 | How long does it take to get from here to (HOSPITAL MAME) using most common type of transport? | HOURS. $\qquad$ <br> MINUTES $\qquad$ |  |  |
| E206 | Ooes this hospital provide: <br> antenatal care? delivery care? growth promotion? child immunization? AIDS screening? Family Planning? | YES <br> ANTENATAL CARE........ 1 DELIVERY CARE......... 1 GRONTH PROMOTION..... 1 <br> CHILD IMMUNIZATION... 1 <br> AIDS SCREENING. . . . . . . 1 <br> FAMILY PLANNING....... 1 | NO DK <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 | E213 |
| E207 | What is the name of the nearest hospital providing family plaming services to this commuity? | HOSPITAL <br> HAME $\qquad$ <br> NOT APPLICABLE............... <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow E 213 \\ & \rightarrow E 213 \end{aligned}$ |
| E208 | Is that a government hoapital or is it operated by a non-government organization? | GOVERNMENT NON-GOVERNMENT | $\begin{gathered} \ldots \\ \ldots \\ . . . . . . . . \end{gathered}$ |  |
| E209 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IN '00' if LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS WRITE IM NUMBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER. IF 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IH '97'.) | KILOMETERS. . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\square$ |  |
| E210 | What is the most common type of transport to the hospital? | MOTORIZED (E.G. BUS)..... CYCLING. <br> CANOE <br> WALKING. <br> OTHER $\qquad$ |  |  |
| E211 | How long does it take to get from here to (HOSPITAL NAME) using most common type of transport? | HOURS $\qquad$ <br> MINUTES. $\qquad$ |  |  |
| E212 | Does this hospital provide: <br> entenatal care? delivery care? growth promotion? child immaizetion? AIDS screening? | YES <br> antenatal care........ 1 <br> DELIVERY CARE......... 1 <br> GRONTH PROMOTIOW. . . . . 1 <br> CHILD IMMUNIZATIOM... 1 <br> AIDS SCREENING........ 1 | NO DK <br>   <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 <br> 2 8 |  |
| E213 | How many hospitals in total are there within 30 kilometers? | NO. HOSPITALS <br> WITHIN 30 KM. |  |  |

SECTION 3: COWTRACEPTIVE meth $C D$ and health services identification

| No. | OUESTIOWS | CCOING CACORIES | SKIP TO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 301 | What is the neme of the nearsst place where birth control pill can be obtsined? | MEAREST PILL PROVIDER MAME |  |
| 3010 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (LURITE IN 'OO' IF LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 Kiloneters urite in mumber as given in cluster. if 97 KILLMEIERS OR MORE, WRITE IN '97'.) | KILOMETER5................ $\square$ |  |
| 302 | What is the name of the nearest place or provider to this community where condoms can be obtained? | nearest condom provider name |  |
| 3020 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IN 'OD' IF LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. If 1 TO 96 Kilometers write in muneer as given in cluster. if 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IN '97'.) | KILOMETERS................ $\square$ |  |
| 303 | What is the name of the nearest place to this community where family planning injection can be obtained? | NEAREST INJECTION PROVIDER NAME |  |
| 3030 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IN '00' IF LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS WRITE IN MUNBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER. IF 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IN 197'.) | KILOMETERS................ $\square$ |  |
| 304 | What is the neme of the nearest facility or provider to this commmity where IUDs can be inserted? | NEAREST JUD PROVIDER NAME |  |
| 3040 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IN 'OO' IF LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS WRITE IN NUMBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER. If 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, URITE IN '97'.) | KILOMETERS |  |
| 305 | What is the name of the nearest facility or provider to this community where sterilization can be obtained? | mearest sterilization provider mame |  |
| 3050 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (LRITE IN 'OO' IF LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS WRITE IN NUMBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER. IF 97 <br> KILOMETERS OR MORE, URITE IN '97'.) | KILOMETERS. . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 306 | What is the neme of the nearest facility or provider to this community where AIDS treatment/screening can be obtained? | nearest aids treatment place mame |  |
| 3060 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IN 'OO' IF LESS THAN 1 KIlOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS GRITE IN NUMBER AS GIVEN IH CLUSTER. IF 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IN '97'.) | KILOMETERS. . . . . . . . . . . . . $\square$ |  |
| 307 | What is neme of the nearest place to this community where immunizations for children can be obtained? | nearest immunization provider mame |  |
| 3070 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IN 'OO' if LESS than 1 KIlometer. if 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS GR!TE IN MUNBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER. If 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, YRITE IN 197'.) | KILOMETERS $\qquad$ $\square$ |  |
| 308 | What is the name of the nearest place to this community where oral rehydration solution (ORS) packets can be obtained? | NEAREST ORS Place mame |  |
| 3080 | How for is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IN '00' IF LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 KILOHETERS WR!TE IN MUNBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER. IF 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, YRITE IN '97'.) | KILOMETERS. . . . . . . . . . . . . $\square$ |  |


| No. | QUESTIOWS | coolng cagories | SKIP 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 309 | If child is sick with cough (respiratory disease), what is name of the nearest place where treatment can be obtained? | mearest resp. disease treathet place |  |
| 3090 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IN '00' if LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. If 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS WRITE IN NUWBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER. If 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IN '97'.) | KILOMETERS. . . . . . . . . . . . $\square$ |  |
| 310 | What is the name of the nearest place to this community where antenatal care can be obtained? | nearest antenatal provider name |  |
| 3100 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IM 'OO' If LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS WR!TE IN MUMBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER, IF 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IN '97'.) | KILOMETERS................ $\square$ |  |
| 311 | If a woman has a complication in delivery, what is the name of the nearest place where she can be treated | REAREST DELIVERY PLACE MAME |  |
| 3110 | How far is it (in kms) from here? <br> (WRITE IN '00' IF LESS THAN 1 KILOMETER. IF 1 TO 96 KILOMETERS WRITE IN NUMBER AS GIVEN IN CLUSTER. IF 97 KILOMETERS OR MORE, WRITE IM '97'.) | KILOMETERS................ $\square$ |  |

312. 

END OF CLUSTER INTERVIEH.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Chapter 7 for details.
    ${ }^{2}$ Piped, well, and bottled water

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ At the time of the survey design, there were 38 districts. Ntungamo district was gazetted later.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The 10 DISH districts are Jinja, Kampala, Kamuli, Kasese, Luwero, Masaka, Masindi, Mbarara, Ntungamo (included in Mbarara District in the UDHS), and Rakai.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The dependency ratio is defined as the sum of all persons under age 15 years and age 65 and over divided by the number of persons age 15-64, multiplied by 100 .

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ Tests involved putting a small amount of salt on a piece of paper and then putting a drop of a special solution onto the salt and recording the intensity of the blue color that appears. Test kits were supplied by UNICEF/Uganda.

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ Throughout the report, comparisons between men and women are affected by the different age limits used.

[^6]:    ${ }^{4}$ Data on age and educational attainment were taken from the respondent's questionnaire and not from what his/her spouse reported.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The 1988-89 UDHS covered only approximately 80 percent of the country's population, excluding nine districts in the North.

    Source: Kaijuka, et al., 1989: Table 3.4; Statistics Department, 1995b: Tables A.4.5, A.4.10, and A.4.28.

[^8]:    Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
    NA = Not applicable

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Because the 1988-89 survey did not cover the entire country, the data from 1995 have been tabulated for only the areas that were covered in the 1988-89 UDHS.

[^10]:    ${ }^{2}$ The rate of 16 percent is calculated from the 1995 UDHS data for only those areas of the country that were covered in the 1988-89 UDHS and is slightly higher than the national-level contraceptive prevalence rate of 14.8 (see Table 4.6.1).

[^11]:    ${ }^{3}$ LAM uscrs are women who are breastfeeding a child under six months of age, are still postpartum amenorrhoeic. and are not feeding the child anything but breastmilk and plain water.

[^12]:    Note: Table excludes IUD and female sterilisation users who obtained these methods more than two years prior to the survey. Total includes sources for which there are too few users to show separately. Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy

[^14]:    Note: The median age for women 15-19 could not be determined because some women may still get married before reaching age 20. An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ were first married by age $x$

[^15]:    Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.
    ${ }^{1}$ Undecided or missing

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data for men could not be disaggregated due to small sample sizes in some cells.

[^17]:    Note: The means exclude respondents who gave non-numeric responses.
    ${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy

[^18]:    Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases; an asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and has been suppressed. Men age $50-54$ have been omitted. NA = Not applicable

[^19]:    ${ }^{2}$ Women who did not report a numeric ideal family size are assumed to have wanted all their births.

[^20]:    ' All rates in this chapter are calculated using direct techniques, unless otherwise mentioned.

[^21]:    ${ }^{2}$ There are no model mortality patterns for the neonatal period. However, one review of data from several developing countries concludes that, at levels of neonatal mortality of 20 per 1,000 or higher, approximately 70 percent of neonatal deaths occur within the first six days of life (Boerma, 1988, cited in Sullivan et al., 1990).

[^22]:    ${ }^{3}$ In addition, there is evidence that the model life tables used to derive the indirect estimates do not adequately capture the mortality pattern that is most prevalent in sub-Saharan Africa (i.e., a high concentration of deaths in the 1-4 year age group) (Sullivan et al., 1994; Bicego and Ahmad, 1996).

[^23]:    Note: Figures are for births in the period $0-47$ months preceding the survey.
    ${ }^{1}$ If the respondent mentioned more than one provider, only the most qualified provider is considered.
    ${ }^{2}$ Traditional midwife

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ The dropout rate is defined as the percentage of children receiving the first dose who do not subsequently receive the third dose of DPT or polio vaccine. The formula is as follows:

[^25]:    Note: Figures are for children born in the period $0-47$ months preceding the survey.
    Includes health centre, hospital, clinic, and private doctor

[^26]:    Note: Figures are for children born in the period 0-47 months preceding the survey. Each index is expressed in terms of the number of standard deviation (SD) units from the median of the NCHS/CDC/WHO international reference population. Children are classified as undernourished if their z-scores are below minus two or minus three standard deviations ( -2 SD or -3 SD ) from the median of the reference population. Includes children who are below -3 SD
    ${ }^{2}$ Excludes first births

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ The measuring boards and scales used to measure the mothers were the same as those used to collect anthropometric measurements of children.
    ${ }^{2}$ Interviewers were instructed to weigh and measure all women who had had a birth since January 1991, regardless of whether or not the child was still living.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although data were collected from male respondents, the analysis here is restricted to female respondents, because techniques for merging the two datasets have not yet been established.
    ${ }^{2}$ The imputation procedure is based on the assumption that the reported birth ordering of siblings in the birth history is correct. The first step is to calculate birth dates. For each living sibling with a reported age and for each dead sibling with complete information on both age at death and years since death, the birth date was calculated. For a sibling missing these data, a birth date was imputed within the range defined by the birth dates of the bracketing siblings. In the case of living siblings, an age was then calculated from the imputed birth date. In the case of dead siblings, if either age at death or years since death was reported, that information was combined with the birth date to produce the missing information. If both pieces of information were missing, the distribution of the ages at death for siblings for whom the years since death was unreported, but age at death was reported, was used as a basis for imputing the age at death.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Model life tables were selected at a level of mortality approximately corresponding to a sex-specific probability of dying between birth and age 5 for the period $0-9$ years before the survey (i.e., 162 per 1,000 for males, 151 per 1,000 for females). Mortality rates are expressed per 1,000 population. Life expectancies are given in parentheses.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Age-adjusted rates
    Source: Statistics Department, 1995b: 317-318

[^30]:    ${ }^{3}$ The life table was selected by finding the level that corresponds to the under five mortality rates of 162 per 1,000 for males and 151 per 1,000 for females estimated from UDHS data for the period $0-9$ years before the survey (see Table 7.3).

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Expressed per 1,000 woman-years of exposure
    ${ }^{2}$ Per 100,000 live births; calculated as the maternal mortality rate divided by the general fertility rate.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ As mentioned earlier, the proportion who reported knowing about AIDS without probing was 92 percent among women and 96 percent among men.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes avoiding mosquito bites, kissing, and seeking protection from a traditional healer.

[^34]:    Note: Total includes some missing values

[^35]:    ${ }^{\prime} 210$ clusters have one LC1, 61 clusters have two, 16 clusters have three, eight clusters have four and one cluster has five LCls: therefore, a total of 417 community questionnaires were completed in 296 clusters.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Visit community at least three times a year.

[^37]:    ${ }^{2}$ About 30 percent of women living in a community with community informants mention unavailability of family planning services from the dispensaries. However, hospitals are well-known for family planning services by the informants.

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ For total ( $0-4$ years)

[^39]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes deaths under 1 month reported in days
    ${ }^{b}$ (Under 1 month/under 1 year) * 100

