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## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The 1994 Tanzania Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Survey (TKAPS) is a nationally representative survey of 4,225 women age $15-49$ and 2,097 men age 15-59. The purpose of the TKAPS is to provide up-todate information on family planning knowledge and use, fertility preferences, unmet need for family planning services, sexual activity, and knowledge of AIDS. Fieldwork for the TKAPS took place from 13 July to 22 September 1994. The survey was designed as a follow-on to the larger 1991/92 Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey (TDHS) and utilised a subsample of the sample points used in the TDHS. Unlike the TDHS, the TKAPS questionnaire was more limited and did not include a birth history or questions about matemal and child health or nutrition.

TKAPS data show that significant progress has been made toward achieving the goals of increasing contraceptive knowledge and use and increasing awareness about AIDS.

## Family Planning

Increasing Use of Contraception. Data from the TKAPS document a striking increase in contraceptive use in recent years. The proportion of women age $15-49$ who are using a method of family planning has almost doubled since 1991/92, from 10 to 18 percent. The relative increase has been roughly the same for modern and traditional methods, almost doubling for each. Increased use of injection, condoms, and the pill accounts for most of the rise in modern method use among women.

Differentials in Family Planning Use. Differentials in current use of family planning are large. For example, contraceptive use among urban women is double that among rural women. Rates are also twice as high in the coastal and central part of Tanzania than in the western zone. As is common in many countries, education apparently has a strong effect on family planning use- 41 percent of women with some secondary education are using contraception, compared to only 11 percent of women with no formal education.

Knowledge of Contraception. Knowledge of at least one contraceptive method has been widespread in Tanzania for some time and the TKAPS results show only modest gains since 1991/92 (from 74 to 80 percent of women and from 78 to 86 percent of men). But these statistics mask some rather extraordinary increases in knowledge of specific methods. For example, since the 1991/92 TDHS, the proportion of women who have heard of condoms increased from 51 to 67 percent and the proportion who have heard of injections increased from 40 to 57 percent. Overall, the most commonly known methods among women and men are pills and condoms.

Family Planning Messages. One reason for the high level of contraceptive awareness is that family planning messages are prevalent. Over half of the women interviewed reported that they had heard or seen a family planning message in the six months prior to the survey. Radio is the most effective medium, followed by newspapers.

Correct Use of Pill. Pill users could benefit from additional education in correct use of their method. Fifteen percent of pill users said that they had not taken a pill in the last two days and less than one-third knew what to do if they forgot to take a pill for two days.

Unmet Need for Family Planning. Survey data indicate that there still exists a substantial unmet need for family planning services, with more than one-quarter of married women saying that they either want to space their next birth or do not want any more children and yet are not using contraception. There has been only a slight decline in the level of unmet need since the 1991/92 TDHS.

Ideal Family Size. TKAPS data indicate that fertility desires are still high in Tanzania, with more than half of the women interviewed expressing a desire for five or more children. The mean ideal family size is 5.5 children for women and 5.9 for men. Nevertheless, these figures are lower than they were in 1991/92, indicating that smaller family norms may be becoming more acceptable.

## Knowledge of AIDS

Number of Sexual Partners. TKAPS data indicate that a large majority of both women and men have only one sexual partner. Only about 7 percent of women and about one-quarter of men report having had more than one sexual partner in the 12 months preceding the survey.

Knowledge of Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STDs). Knowledge of STDs is widespread in Tanzania, with at least 98 percent of women and men having heard of AIDS and one-half to four-fifths having heard of syphilis and gonorrhoea. Only two percent of women and four percent of men reported having had an STD during the 12 months prior to the survey. The major sources of information about AIDS are radio and friends and relatives.

AIDS Risk. About 20 percent of both women and men believe that they have a moderate to great chance of getting AIDS. The most common reason given by these respondents for their increased risk of acquiring AIDS is that either they or their spouses have many sexual partners or have sex with prostitutes. Knowledge of ways to avoid AIDS is widespread in Tanzania, with large proportions of women and men knowing that condom use and limiting the number of sexual partners can reduce the risk of infection. Most encouraging is the fact that 74 percent of women and 88 percent of men said they had changed their sexual behaviour in order to reduce their risk of acquiring AIDS, mostly by limiting themselves to one sexual partner.

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Objectives of the Survey

The 1994 Tanzania Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Survey (TKAPS) was conducted by the Bureau of Statistics, Planning Commission. Macro International Inc. of Calverton, Maryland provided technical assistance to the project through its Demographic and Health Surveys contract with the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). Funding for the TKAPS was provided by USAID/Tanzania, while the Government of Tanzania loaned the services of the senior staff of the Bureau of Statistics.

The TKAPS is a follow-up to the 1991/92 Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey (TDHS) which was implemented by the same organisations. ${ }^{1}$ The TKAPS differed from the TDHS in that it was implemented on a smaller sample and did not include a birth history or questions on health. The main purpose of the TKAPS was to produce up-to-date estimates of contraceptive knowledge and use that could be used to evaluate the USAID-funded Family Planning Services Support project. Another objective of the survey was to provide data on general knowledge about AIDS.

More specifically, the primary objective of the TKAPS is to provide information on awareness, approval, and use of family planning methods; unmet need for family planning services; fertility preferences; nuptiality; and knowledge regarding AIDS. This information is intended to assist policymakers and administrators in evaluating and designing programmes and strategies for improving family planning services and AIDS programs in the country.

### 1.2 Questionnaires

Three types of questionnaires were used for the TKAPS: a Household Questionnaire, a Women's Questionnaire, and a Men's Questionnaire. The contents of these questionnaires were based on the DHS Model B Questionnaire, as well as on the questionnaires used in the TDHS. As mentioned above, the birth history section and the sections on maternal and child health and nutrition were omitted from the TKAPS. Contents of the questionnaires were discussed with staff from the Family Planning Unit, the National AIDS Control Programme, the Institute of Public Health, the UNFPA, and USAID/Tanzania. The questionnaires were developed in English and then translated into and printed in Kiswahili.

The Household Questionnaire was used to list all the usual members and visitors of selected households. Some basic information was collected on the characteristics of each person listed, including his/her age, sex, education, and relationship to the head of the household. The main purpose of the Household Questionnaire was to identify women and men who were eligible for individual interview. In addition, information was collected about the dwelling itself, such as the source of water, type of toilet facilities, materials used to construct the house, and ownership of various consumer goods.

[^0]The Women's Questionnaire was used to collect information from women age 15-49. These women were asked questions on the following topics:

Background characteristics (age, education, religion, etc.),
Total number of children bom,
Knowledge and use of family planning methods,
Marriage,
Fertility preferences,
Husband's background and respondent's work, and
Awareness of AIDS.
The Men's Questionnaire contained most of the same questions as the Women's Questionnaire. Men were eligible if they were 15-59.

### 1.3 Sample Design and Implementation

The sample for the 1994 TKAPS was national in scope, with the exclusion of only Zanzibar. In order to maximise efficiency and enhance the measurement of trends, sample points for the TKAPS were selected from those which had been chosen for the 1991/92 TDHS. ${ }^{2}$ The TDHS sample was a three-stage design, consisting of wards/branches at the first stage, census enumeration areas (EAs) at the second stage, and households at the third stage. ${ }^{3}$ Of the total of 357 EAs used in the TDHS, 203-57 urban and 146 rural-were selected for the TKAPS.

The ratio of the sub-selection of TKAPS sample points from TDHS sample points was not uniform across the country. Although the TKAPS sample size was too small to obtain separate estimates for each of Tanzania's 20 mainland regions, estimates of most variables were obtained for groups of regions. Regions were grouped into three geographically contiguous zones, as follows:

Coastal Zone: Tanga, Coast, Dar es Salaam, Lindi, Mtwara, Ruvuma, and Morogoro;
Central Zone: Arusha, Kilimanjaro, Singida, Dodoma, Iringa, and Mbeya; and
Western Zone: Kagera, Mwanza, Mara, Shinyanga, Tabora, Rukwa, and Kigoma.
Based on TDHS results, the three zones correspond to medium, high and low contraceptive prevalence rates, respectively. Moreover, to meet the secondary objective of the TKAPS, namely to provide data on AIDS knowledge and sexual behaviour, it was decided to provide separate estimates of certain variables for Mwanza, Dodoma, Iringa and Dar es Salaam regions. Thus, the TKAPS sample over-selected EAs from the TDHS for these four regions relative to the other regions, such that there would be an expected minimum of 350 women interviewed in each. Whereas in the other regions, one-half of the selected households were designated for the men's survey, in these four regions, all households were selected for both the women's and men's surveys. Due to the oversampling of households in certain regions, the TKAPS sample is not self-weighting at the national level; consequently, the data presented in this report have been weighted to compensate.

[^1]After the selection of the TKAPS sample points, field staff from the Bureau of Statistics conducted a household listing operation in May and June 1994. A systematic sample of households was then selected from these lists, with an average "take" of 22 households in both urban and rural clusters for an expected total of about 4,466 households selected. As already mentioned, every second household was identified as selected for the male survey, meaning that, in addition to interviewing all women age $15-49$, interviewers were also to interview all men age 15-59; in Dodoma, Iringa and Dar es Salaam regions, all selected households were eligible for the male survey. ${ }^{4}$ It was expected that the sample would yield interviews with approximately 4,500 women age 15-49 and over 2,500 men age 15-59.

### 1.4 Training and Field Work

Given that the questionnaires were so similar to those used in the 1991/92 TDHS and that they were printed in only one language (Kiswahili), the pretest of the TKAPS questionnaires was not extensive. In March 1994, several permanent staff of the Bureau of Statistics conducted a small pretest in one urban and one rural area, after which they all met to make revisions in the questionnaires and translations.

Bureau of Statistics staff recruited candidates for field staff positions for the main survey. Recruitment criteria included educational attainment, maturity, ability to spend up to three months on the survey, and experience in other surveys.

Training for the main survey was conducted in Iringa for two weeks from 27 June to 9 July. Staff of the Bureau of Statistics were assigned to conduct the training with assistance from the Macro Country Monitor. Fifty-six trainees participated in the training course, of whom six were trained as supervisors, six as field editors, and 44 as interviewers.

Training consisted mostly of lectures on how to fill in the questionnaires and mock interviews between participants. Later, participants conducted field practice interviewing in the community using the whole questionnaire. Periodic tests were administered to evaluate the training. Supervisors and field editors received special training in questionnaire editing.

Trainees who performed satisfactorily in the training programme were selected as interviewers, while those whose performance was rated as superior were selected as field editors. Supervisors were full-time staff from the Bureau of Statistics.

The field work for the TKAPS was carried out by 6 interviewing teams. Each consisted of one supervisor, one field editor, 5 female interviewers, 2 male interviewers and one driver; however, in the regions in which all households qualified for the men's survey, each team had 5 female and 3 male interviewers. Each team was provided a vehicle and a driver. Field work commenced on 13 July and was completed on 22 Scptember 1994.

### 1.5 Data Processing

All questionnaires for the TKAPS were returned to the Census Office in Dar es Salaam for data processing. The processing operation consisted of office editing, coding of open-ended questions, data entry, and editing of errors found by the computer programs. Bureau of Statistics staff were responsible for the data processing operation. The data were processed on 5 microcomputers, two of which were supplied specifically

[^2]for the TKAPS survey. The DHS data entry and editing programs were written in ISSA (Integrated System for Survey Analysis). Data processing commenced on 8 August and was completed by 23 November 1994.

### 1.6 Response Rates

Table 1.1 shows response rates for the survey and reasons for non-response. A total of 4,496 dwelling units was selected from the household listings for the sample, from which 4,023 households were successfully interviewed. The shortfall is primarily due to dwellings that were vacant or in which the inhabitants had left for an extended period at the time they were visited by the interviewing teams. Of the 4,134 households encountered, 97 percent were successfully interviewed. In these households, 4,444 women were identified as eligible for the individual interview and interviews were completed for 4,225 or 95 percent of these. In those households that were selected for inclusion in the men's survey, 2,447 eligible men were identified, of which 2,097 or 86 percent were interviewed.

The principal reason for non-response among eligible women and men was the failure to find them at home despite repeated visits to the household. The refusal rate was low (less than 1 percent among both women and men).

| Table 1.1 Results of the household and individual interview <br> Number of households, number of interviews and response rates, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | Residence |  | Total |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Household interviews |  |  |  |
| Households sampled | 1256 | 3240 | 4496 |
| Households found | 1130 | 3004 | 4134 |
| Households interviewed | 1081 | 2942 | 4023 |
| Household response rate | 95.7 | 97.9 | 97.3 |
| Individual interviews |  |  |  |
| Number of eligible women | 1245 | 3199 | 4444 |
| Number of eligible women interviewed | 1197 | 3028 | 4225 |
| Eligible woman response rate | 96.1 | 94.7 | 95.1 |
| Number of eligible men | 779 | 1668 | 2447 |
| Number of eligible men interviewed | 655 | 1442 | 2097 |
| Eligible men response rate | 84.1 | 86.5 | 85.7 |

## CHAPTER 2

## CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND RESPONDENTS

Information on the background characteristics of the households and individual respondents interviewed is essential to interpretation of survey results, and also provides a rough measure of the representativeness of the survey. This chapter presents this information in three sections:

- Characteristics of the household population,
- Housing characteristics, and
- Background characteristics of female and male respondents.


### 2.1 Characteristics of the Household Population

The TKAPS collected information on all usual residents and visitors who spent the previous night in the household. A household was defined as a person or a group of persons living together and sharing a common source of food.

## Age

Table 2.1 and Figure 2.1 present the age distribution of the household population in the TKAPS by sex and five-year age groups. The distribution conforms to the pattern typical of high-fertility populations, i.e., the younger ages account for a much higher proportion of the population than the older ages. There is heaping on ages 60-64 among men and 50-54 among women, the age groups just above the eligibility range. Although one would expect some heaping on ages ending in zeros due to rounding, this heaping on these age groups is almost surely due to interviewers deliberately putting the age of respondents out of the range of eligibility to avoid an interview. Such heaping was not found among men in the 1991/92 TDHS, despite the fact that the age range for eligibility in the men's survey in the TDHS was the same as for the TKAPS. There is also cvidence that intervicwers underestimated the ages of men and women in the 15-19 age group, pushing them down to the 10-14 age group (see Appendix C for more information on the distribution by single year of age).

Table 2.2 shows that the broad population age structure found in the TKAPS is quite similar to those reported in the 1967, 1978, and 1988 population censuses and the 1991/92 TDHS. However, the highest proportion in the under-15 group is reported for the TKAPS. This results in a larger dependency ratio ${ }^{1}$ for the TKAPS than for the previous sources. The Tanzanian dependency ratio is typical of those found in African countries. With half the population under the age of 15 , and an additional 4 percent above 64 , there is more than one dependent person to every working-age adult. As is common in high-fertility countries, child dependency is much higher than old age dependency.

[^3]Table 2.1 Household population by age, residence and sex
Percent distribution of the de facto household population by five-year age groups, according to urban-rural residence and sex. Tanzania 1994

| Age group | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 0-4 | 17.2 | 13.9 | 15.5 | 18.3 | 17.1 | 17.7 | 18.0 | 16.5 | 17.2 |
| 5-9 | 14.3 | 14.7 | 14.5 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 16.2 | 16.3 | 16.3 |
| 10-14 | 14.5 | 15.5 | 15.0 | 17.3 | 14.8 | 16.0 | 16.7 | 14.9 | 15.8 |
| 15-19 | 10.0 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 8.8 |
| 20-24 | 8.6 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 5.9 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 8.8 | 7.7 |
| 25-29 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 6.4 |
| 30-34 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| 35-39 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| 40-44 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 45-49 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| 50-54 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| 55-59 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| 60-64 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| 65-69 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 70-74 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| 75-79 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| 80+ | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Missing/ Don't know | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 2202 | 2376 | 4577 | 8106 | 8609 | 16715 | 10308 | 10984 | 21292 |

Figure 2.1
Population Pyramid, Tanzania 1994


Table 2.2 Population by age from selected sources
Percent distribution of the de facto population by age group, selected sources, Tanzania 1994

| Age group | Census |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TDHS } \\ \text { 1991/92 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TKAPS } \\ 1994 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1967 | 1978 | 1988 |  |  |
| $<15$ | 43.9 | 46.1 | 45.8 | 46.8 | 49.3 |
| 15-64 | 50.5 | 49.7 | 49.9 | 49.2 | 46.4 |
| $65+$ | 5.6 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 4.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Median age | - | - | - | 16.4 | 15.4 |

Source: Bureau of Statistics, 1993:8

## Household Composition

Table 2.3 presents the percent distribution of houscholds by sex of the head of the household and size of the houschold, as well as the percentage of households which include fostered children. The large majority of houscholds in Tanzania are headed by males ( 80 percent). While this is higher than the 1988 Census figure of 70 percent (Bureau of Statistics, 1992), it matches the 81 percent reported in the TDHS (Ngallaba et al., 1993:9).

The average household size is 5.3 persons. Rural households are generally larger than urban households ( 5.5 versus 4.7 persons per household), and urban areas have more than twice the proportion of single-person households as do rural areas ( 15 versus 6 percent).

One-quarter of Tanzanian households (24 percent) contain children under age 15 who are living without either of their natural parents. Urban and rural areas are equally likely to have foster children in their households. The extent of fostering does not appear to have changed significantly since the 1991/92 TDHS, in which 23 percent of households were recorded as consisting of one or more fostered children (Ngallaba et al., 1993:9).

## Education

In 1970, a nationwide mass literacy programme was launched, and in 1975, a national policy of Universal Primary Education was adopted which gave every child the right to free primary education. Primary education, which includes seven years of schooling, has been

Table 2.3 Household composition
Percent distribution of households by sex of head of household, household size, and whether includes fostered children, according to urban-rural residence, Tanzania 1994

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Characteristic | Urban |  | Rural |
| Total |  |  |  |
| Houschold headship |  |  |  |
| Male | 75.6 | 81.8 | 80.3 |
| Female | 24.4 | 18.2 | 19.7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of usual members |  |  |  |
| 1 | 14.8 | 6.0 | 8.1 |
| 2 | 13.0 | 8.2 | 9.4 |
| 3 | 13.7 | 12.4 | 12.7 |
| 4 | 14.2 | 13.2 | 13.4 |
| 5 | 11.3 | 15.6 | 14.5 |
| 6 | 7.5 | 12.9 | 11.6 |
| 7 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 9.6 |
| 8 | 10.4 | 14.1 | 13.5 |
| $9+$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 4.7 | 5.5 | 5.3 |
| Mean size |  |  |  |
| Fostering ${ }^{1}$ | 23.8 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Foster children | 972 | 3051 | 4023 |
| Total |  |  |  |

[^4]compulsory for all children 7 to 14 years of age since 1978. Secondary school includes six years of schooling. Entry into the fifth year of secondary school (Form V) is based on open competitive examinations.

Information on educational attainment was collected for all household members of households surveyed in the TKAPS. Tables 2.4.1 and 2.4.2 present the percent distribution of the de facto male and female household population age 5 and over, respectively, by highest level of education attained, according to selected background characteristics. There has been a steady decline over the decades in the percent of persons receiving no education, from over 50 percent of men and over 80 percent of women in their early 60 s to less than 15 percent of men and women age 15-19. The male-female differential has also declined, so that young women's educational attainment is almost as high as that of young men. However, the percent of both boys and girls age $10-14$ reported as having no education (nearly 30 percent) is higher than would be expected, given that primary education is compulsory and free. Overall, the median number of years of schooling is only 3.4 for men and 1.5 for women. In general, the educational attainment of the population has not changed much since the 1991/92 TDHS. As Figure 2.2 shows, the proportion of men and women who have completed primary school has increased enormously over time. It also shows that young women are completing primary school as often as young men.

Table 2.4.1 Educational level of the male household population
Percent distribution of the de facto male household population age five and over by highest level of education attended, and median number of years of schooling, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | No education | Primary incomplete | Primary complete | Secondary/ Higher | Don't know/ Missing | Total | Number | Median years of schooling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.9 | 91.1 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1674 | 0.5 |
| 10.14 | 29.1 | 68.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1719 | 2.4 |
| 15.19 | 10.9 | 46.9 | 39.2 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 952 | 6.5 |
| 20-24 | 11.0 | 15.8 | 64.2 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 669 | 7.4 |
| 25-29 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 70.8 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 556 | 7.4 |
| 30-34 | 12.1 | 16.2 | 62.2 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 561 | 7.3 |
| 35-39 | 20.2 | 19.3 | 47.3 | 13.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 410 | 7.2 |
| 40-44 | 21.6 | 37.4 | 33.8 | 6.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 360 | 4.8 |
| 45-49 | 27.0 | 42.0 | 22.6 | 8.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 321 | 4.5 |
| 50.54 | 33.7 | 52.9 | 9.2 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 212 | 4.2 |
| 55-59 | 34.1 | 52.2 | 10.4 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 199 | 4.1 |
| 60-64 | 52.6 | 39.7 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 305 | 0.9 |
| 65+ | 63.9 | 30.5 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 496 | 0.8 |
| Don't know/Missing | 62.6 | 13.6 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 16 | 0.8 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 26.7 | 30.8 | 31.4 | 10.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1824 | 5.2 |
| Rural | 41.1 | 34.6 | 22.4 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 6627 | 2.8 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 33.5 | 34.3 | 27.4 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2528 | 4.1 |
| Central | 37.9 | 33.8 | 24.1 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2816 | 3.4 |
| Western | 41.7 | 33.4 | 22.1 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3106 | 2.7 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodorna | 47.6 | 27.4 | 17.7 | 7.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 352 | 1.9 |
| Dar es Salaam | 25.1 | 30.1 | 33.2 | 11.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 710 | 5.7 |
| Iringa | 37.6 | 35.3 | 25.5 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 434 | 3.3 |
| Mwanza | 40.1 | 33.3 | 23.7 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 654 | 3.0 |
| Total | 38.0 | 33.8 | 24.4 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 8450 | 3.4 |


| Percent distribution of the de facto female household population age five and over by highest level of education attended, and median number of years of schooling, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background characteristic | No education | Primary incomplete | Primary complete | Secondary/ Higher | Don't know/ Missing | Total | Number | Median years of schooling |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | 90.1 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1792 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 27.1 | 69.1 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1642 | 2.8 |
| 15-19 | 14.8 | 33.6 | 47.3 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 924 | 7.0 |
| 20-24 | 16.4 | 12.3 | 64.2 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 965 | 7.3 |
| 25-29 | 25.3 | 10.6 | 60.3 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 808 | 7.2 |
| 30-34 | 31.8 | 16.9 | 47.6 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 607 | 7.0 |
| 35-39 | 45.8 | 26.1 | 25.4 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 502 | 2.7 |
| 40-44 | 49.9 | 32.5 | 15.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 389 | 1.2 |
| 45-49 | 61.0 | 31.4 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 238 | 0.0 |
| 50-54 | 76.6 | 18.0 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 398 | 0.0 |
| 55.59 | 84.4 | 14.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 278 | 0.0 |
| 60-64 | 84.8 | 14.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 219 | 0.0 |
| 65+ | 88.8 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 408 | 0.0 |
| Missing/Don't know | 40.8 | 34.9 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 100.0 | 7 | 2.1 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 32.3 | 29.5 | 31.2 | 6.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2044 | 4.5 |
| Rural | 52.6 | 25.7 | 20.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 7133 | 0.0 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 41.8 | 29.2 | 26.3 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2688 | 2.8 |
| Central | 45.9 | 27.9 | 23.2 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 3142 | 2.2 |
| Western | 55.3 | 23.2 | 20.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3343 | 0.9 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 51.9 | 23.6 | 22.3 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 440 | 0.0 |
| Dar es Salaam | 28.6 | 27.7 | 36.4 | 6.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 734 | 5.2 |
| lringa | 50.0 | 27.3 | 20.7 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 525 | 1.0 |
| Mwanza | 55.2 | 25.3 | 18.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 709 | 0.9 |
| Total | 48.1 | 26.5 | 23.0 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 9177 | 1.5 |

As expected, urban residents are more likely than rural residents to have been to school and to have attained higher levels of education. Similarly, men and women in the Coastal Zone and especially those in Dar es Salaam have higher education levels than residents of other zones and regions.

Figure 2.2
Percentage of Males and Females Who Have Completed Primary Education by Age Group


Table 2.5 presents the percentage of the de facto household population 6-24 years of age enrolled in school. Only 31 percent of 6-10 year-olds are currently enrolled in school. Given that 75 percent of 11-15 year-olds are enrolled, it would seem that children begin school at ages above 6 or 7 years, particularly in rural areas, where the level of enrolment of 6-10 year-olds is lower. Children age 6-10 show the greatest urban-rural differential in school enrolment. Enrolment drops significantly after age 15; only one-quarter of 16-20 year-olds are currently enrolled in school and the decline is more pronounced among females than males. Only 3 percent of people in their early 20 s are currently in school ( 5 percent of males and 2 percent of females).

Table 2.5 School enrolment
Percentage of the de facto household population age 6-24 years enrolled in school, by age group, sex, and urban-rural residence, Tanzania 1994

| Age group | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural | Total |
| 6-10 | 41.1 | 26.5 | 29.4 | 47.1 | 29.6 | 33.0 | 44.2 | 28.1 | 31.3 |
| 11-15 | 82.0 | 76.3 | 77.4 | 78.8 | 71.6 | 73.3 | 80.3 | 74.1 | 75.4 |
| 6-15 | 60.3 | 50.7 | 52.5 | 63.0 | 48.1 | 51.3 | 61.8 | 49.4 | 51.9 |
| 16-20 | 33.3 | 28.8 | 29.9 | 21.0 | 19.6 | 20.0 | 26.6 | 24.2 | 24.8 |
| 21-24 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 |

### 2.2 Housing Characteristics

In order to assess the economic and environmental conditions in Tanzania, data were collected in the TKAPS about the household environment. Table 2.6 presents the percent distribution of households by housing characteristics. The source of drinking water, type of sanitation facilities, type of flooring material, and conditions of crowding are important determinants of the health status of household members.

Only 9 percent of households in Tanzania have electricity. Electricity is much more common in urban areas; one-third of urban households have electricity, compared to only 2 percent of rural households.

Piped water and wells are the major sources of drinking water in Tanzania. Just over one-third of households have piped water, mostly from public as opposed to private, taps. Somewhat less than one-third of households obtain drinking water from wells, while about one-third get water from springs, rivers or streams. As expected, a greater proportion of urban households have piped water than rural households ( 83 vs. 20 percent). The distribution of households by source of drinking water has changed little since 1991/92.

Almost 90 percent of households in Tanzania have pit latrines, with the remainder having no sanitary facility at all. This pattern is similar in both urban and rural areas, except that some urban households have flush toilets. There has been a slight improvement since 1991/92 in the proportion of households with pit toilets (from 83 to 88 percent and a consequent decrease in the proportion with no toilet (from 14 to 10 percent).

Earthen floors are still by far the most common (78 percent) in Tanzanian households, with cement (21 percent) accounting for most of the remainder. This pattern is almost identical to that from the 1991/92 TDHS. Of course, earthen floors are much more common among rural households and cement floors predominate among urban households.

As a way of estimating the extent of crowding, information was gathered in the TKAPS on the number of rooms households use for sleeping. The majority of households ( 58 percent) have 1-2 persons per sleeping room, while 28 percent of households have $3-4$ persons per sleeping room. The mean number is 2.8 persons. Differences between urban and rural houscholds in the extent of crowding are not large.

## Household Durable Goods

Respondents were asked about household ownership of particular goods to assess access to the media, food storage, and modes of transportation. The results are presented in Table 2.7. Nearly 4 in ten households (39 percent) own a radio, which represents an increase from 33 percent at the time of the TDHS. The increase in ownership of radios occurred in both the urban and rural areas, although urban dwellers are twice as likely as rural dwellers to own a radio. Less than one percent of Tanzanian households own televisions. Refrigerators are not very common in Tanzania and are owned predominantly by urban households.

Bicycles are the most common form of privately owned mechanical transport, owned by 28 percent of households, which is an increase from 22 percent at the time of the TDHS. Ownership of bicycles is slightly higher among rural (30 percent) than urban ( 23 percent) households. Only one percent of surveyed households owns a car, which is the same level of automobile ownership that existed in 1991/92.

### 2.3 Background Characteristics of Survey Respondents

## General Characteristics

Table 2.8 presents the distribution by selected background characteristics of women and men interviewed in the TKAPS; both weighted and unweighted data are shown (see Chapter 1 for a discussion of sample weighting factors).

The declining proportion of respondents with increasing age reflects the age distribution of the population at large and is typical of high fertility countries. Sixty-one percent of female respondents are below 30 years of age; 50 percent of male respondents are below age 30 . In general, the proportion of men in each age group is smaller than that of women; this is simply the result of the distribution covering a broader age range among men (15-59) than women (15-49). The TKAPS age distribution generally is similar to that of the 1991/92 TDHS, except that in 1994 there are slightly smaller proportions at the youngest and oldest age groups (15-19 and 45-49 for women and 15-19 and 50-59 for men) and slightly larger proportions in the intermediate age groups (20-44 for women and 20-49 for men). Part of the reason for this shift in age distribution may be due to an apparently greater tendency for interviewers in the TKAPS to shift respondents out of the age range of eligibility for the individual interview. Although this phenomenon has been noted in other DHS surveys and was evident in the TDHS, the difference between the numbers of men and women just outside and just inside the age cutoffs for eligibility are substantially greater in the TKAPS than in the TDHS (see Appendix Table C.1).

Nearly 70 percent of the women and 60 percent of the men interviewed in the TKAPS were married. These proportions are slightly higher than those for the TDHS ( 65 percent of women and 56 percent of men were currently married). The change in the proportions married between the TDHS and TKAPS is too small to draw any conclusions and could simply be the result of the smaller proportion of 15 - to 19 -year-olds among TKAPS respondents. The marriage data presented here are only for summary purposes; marriage data will be looked at more closely in Chapter 5.

Table 2.8 Background characteristics of respondents
Percent distribution of women and men, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Men |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted |  | Unweighted |  | Weighted |  | Unweighted |  |
|  | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 21.2 | 444 | 20.5 | 430 | 20.6 | 868 | 20.4 | 860 |
| 20-24 | 15.4 | 323 | 15.4 | 323 | 21.6 | 911 | 21.6 | 914 |
| 25-29 | 13.0 | 273 | 13.6 | 286 | 18.6 | 786 | 18.4 | 777 |
| 30-34 | 13.6 | 286 | 14.0 | 293 | 13.7 | 580 | 14.0 | 590 |
| 35-39 | 10.4 | 219 | 9.9 | 207 | 11.3 | 478 | 11.4 | 480 |
| 40-44 | 8.6 | 181 | 9.6 | 201 | 8.9 | 376 | 8.8 | 373 |
| 45-49 | 8.6 | 180 | 8.0 | 168 | 5.3 | 226 | 5.5 | 231 |
| 50-54 | 4.9 | 102 | 4.8 | 100 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 55-59 | 4.2 | 89 | 4.2 | 89 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 35.0 | 734 | 35.1 | 737 | 22.2 | 937 | 21.8 | 921 |
| Married | 55.7 | 1168 | 55.4 | 1162 | 59.1 | 2497 | 59.3 | 2504 |
| Living together | 4.2 | 88 | 4.2 | 88 | 9.6 | 406 | 9.7 | 408 |
| Widowed | 0.5 | 11 | 0.6 | 12 | 2.7 | 113 | 2.8 | 119 |
| Divorced | 2.9 | 62 | 2.8 | 59 | 4.3 | 182 | 4.3 | 180 |
| Separated | 1.7 | 36 | 1.9 | 39 | 2.1 | 88 | 2.2 | 92 |
| Missing | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 24.6 | 515 | 31.2 | 655 | 25.2 | 1065 | 28.3 | 1197 |
| Rural | 75.4 | 1582 | 68.8 | 1442 | 74.8 | 3160 | 71.7 | 3028 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 32.8 | 688 | 35.4 | 742 | 31.1 | 1313 | 30.2 | 1274 |
| Central | 31.9 | 669 | 34.5 | 723 | 32.8 | 1386 | 32.0 | 1350 |
| Western | 35.3 | 739 | 30.1 | 632 | 36.1 | 1526 | 37.9 | 1601 |
| Reglon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 3.6 | 75 | 8.0 | 168 | 4.4 | 184 | 6.2 | 260 |
| Dar es Salaam | 11.1 | 233 | 15.5 | 325 | 10.6 | 450 | 9.1 | 386 |
| Iringa | 4.6 | 96 | 10.0 | 210 | 5.2 | 220 | 6.3 | 266 |
| Mwanza | 9.3 | 194 | 8.1 | 170 | 8.0 | 340 | 9.3 | 395 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.8 | 331 | 15.9 | 333 | 29.1 | 1229 | 30.1 | 1273 |
| Primary incomplete | 29.7 | 623 | 27.8 | 583 | 21.1 | 893 | 20.8 | 879 |
| Primary complete | 46.9 | 983 | 47.5 | 997 | 45.6 | 1928 | 44.6 | 1883 |
| Secondary/Higher | 7.3 | 153 | 8.5 | 179 | 4.0 | 169 | 4.4 | 185 |
| Missing | 0.3 | 7 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.1 | 5 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muslim | 31.1 | 651 | 31.2 | 655 | 30.1 | 1271 | 29.6 | 1252 |
| Catholic | 32.3 | 677 | 33.2 | 696 | 32.8 | 1384 | 32.4 | 1369 |
| Protestant | 23.9 | 502 | 23.9 | 501 | 26.4 | 1115 | 26.8 | 1132 |
| None | 12.3 | 258 | 11.3 | 237 | 10.5 | 443 | 10.9 | 462 |
| Other | 0.4 | 8 | 0.4 | 8 | 0.3 | 12 | 0.2 | 10 |
| Total | 100.0 | 2097 | 100.0 | 2097 | 100.0 | 4225 | 100.0 | 4225 |

TKAPS data indicate that one in four respondents lives in an urban area; this is true of both men and women. The TDHS reported roughly the same figures for 1991/92. Roughly speaking, one-third of respondents (both women and men) lives in each of the three geographical zones.

Seventy-one percent of women and 84 percent of men interviewed have received some formal education. These figures represent an improvement since 1991/92, when 66 percent of women and 80 percent of men were reported to have some formal schooling. Four percent of women and 7 percent of men have received secondary or higher schooling. Roughly one-third of repondents are Muslim, one-third are Catholic, one-quarter are Protestant, and 10 percent report no religious affiliation.

## Differentials in Education

Tables 2.9.1 and 2.9.2 show the percent distribution of women and men by highest level of education attended according to selected background characteristics. Education is inversely related to age, that is, older persons are generally less educated than younger persons. Over the decades, the change has been particularly steep and steady among women- 59 percent of 45-49 year-olds have had no formal education, in contrast to 14 percent of $15-19$ year-olds. While there have also been educational improvements over time among men, the trend is less pronounced than it is among women because men have historically had greater contact with formal schooling, which is common when education is not universal. The greater contact with education among older males was predominantly attending primary school, without completing that primary education. Recent improvements in education have meant that a majority of both males and females have completed primary education, and that male/female differences in educational attainment have been drastically reduced.

Table 2.9.1 Level of education: men
Percent distribution of male respondents by the highest level of education attended, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Highest level of education |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No education | Primary incomplete | Primary complete | Sccondary/ Higher | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 9.4 | 46.9 | 40.4 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 444 |
| 20-24 | 10.2 | 13.8 | 65.3 | 9.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 323 |
| 25-29 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 69.5 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 273 |
| 30-34 | 10.5 | 16.6 | 62.3 | 10.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 286 |
| 35-39 | 20.8 | 18.4 | 46.4 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 219 |
| 40-44 | 23.2 | 37.3 | 33.5 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 181 |
| 45.49 | 29.4 | 43.7 | 20.8 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 180 |
| 50-54 | 24.0 | 58.0 | 11.4 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 102 |
| 55-59 | 33.7 | 49.9 | 15.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.5 | 20.0 | 51.6 | 20.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 515 |
| Rural | 18.5 | 32.9 | 45.3 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1582 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 12.8 | 25.8 | 52.5 | 8.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 688 |
| Central | 14.0 | 27.0 | 49.2 | 9.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 669 |
| Western | 20.1 | 35.7 | 39.5 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 20.6 | 21.9 | 38.3 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 75 |
| Dar es Salaam | 10.2 | 19.5 | 50.7 | 19.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 233 |
| Iringa | 12.8 | 29.8 | 53.3 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Mwanza | 17.7 | 38.5 | 39.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 194 |
| Total | 15.8 | 29.7 | 46.9 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2097 |

Table 2.9.2 Level of education: women
Percent distribution of female respondents by the highest level of education attended, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Highest level of education |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No education | Primary incomplete | Primary complete | Secondary/ Higher | Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.9 | 33.6 | 47.8 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 868 |
| 20-24 | 18.7 | 10.8 | 63.7 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 911 |
| 25-29 | 25.5 | 10.8 | 59.8 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 786 |
| 30-34 | 31.9 | 17.2 | 47.7 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 580 |
| 35-39 | 46.9 | 26.4 | 24.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 478 |
| 40-44 | 51.5 | 30.8 | 15.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 376 |
| 45.49 | 59.3 | 33.9 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urbar | 14.8 | 18.7 | 54.9 | 11.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1065 |
| Rural | 33.9 | 22.0 | 42.5 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3160 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 22.7 | 23.2 | 49.1 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1313 |
| Central | 25.3 | 20.7 | 48.7 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1386 |
| Western | 38.0 | 19.8 | 39.8 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1526 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 28.7 | 17.7 | 49.2 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 184 |
| Dar es Salaam | 14.9 | 19.8 | 54.5 | 10.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 450 |
| Iringa | 33.3 | 19.4 | 43.3 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 41.5 | 21.9 | 34.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 340 |
| Total | 29.1 | 21.1 | 45.6 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4225 |

When examining educational differentials by other background characteristics in Tables 2.9.1 and 2.9.2, it should be kept in mind that the figures summarize the data across all age groups, and therefore reflect averages of a characteristic that has been changing significantly over time.

Generally, females are twice as likely as males not to have been to school and rural residents are twice as likely as urban residents not to have been to school. The vast majority of respondents who have attended secondary school are urban and men are twice as likely as women to have attended secondary school.

## Access to Media

Table 2.10 presents information that is important to programme planners seeking to reach women and men with family planning and health messages through the media. Respondents were asked if they usually read a newspaper or magazine, watch television, or listen to the radio at least once a week. The results are presented in Table 2.10.

## Table 2.10 Access to mass media

Percentage of male and female respondents who usually read a newspaper once a week, watch television once a week, or listen to radio daily, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Men |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Read newspaper weekly | Watch television weekly | $\begin{gathered} \text { Listen to } \\ \text { radio } \\ \text { weekly } \end{gathered}$ | Number | Read newspaper weekly | Watch television weekly | Listen to radio daily | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 37.4 | 17.8 | 66.6 | 444 | 39.5 | 7.9 | 57.2 | 868 |
| 20-24 | 43.7 | 16.9 | 82.0 | 323 | 37.9 | 7.1 | 61.6 | 911 |
| 25.29 | 43.3 | 12.0 | 86.4 | 273 | 33.0 | 5.6 | 57.0 | 786 |
| 30-34 | 42.3 | 11.1 | 76.7 | 286 | 29.8 | 4.3 | 53.7 | 580 |
| 35-39 | 40.1 | 11.8 | 77.1 | 219 | 19.7 | 3.1 | 48.6 | 478 |
| 40.44 | 38.9 | 9.2 | 71.9 | 181 | 21.0 | 4.2 | 41.6 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 38.1 | 7.1 | 67.1 | 180 | 14.9 | 2.4 | 43.0 | 226 |
| 50.54 | 39.7 | 7.7 | 78.8 | 102 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 55.59 | 27.8 | 1.8 | 70.8 | 89 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 72.7 | 37.1 | 92.7 | 515 | 61.3 | 17.4 | 81.5 | 1065 |
| Rural | 29.3 | 4.5 | 69.6 | 1582 | 21.3 | 1.7 | 45.4 | 3160 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 64.1 | 24.1 | 84.9 | 688 | 42.0 | 10.9 | 62.2 | 1313 |
| Central | 35.3 | 9.0 | 73.6 | 669 | 28.5 | 3.3 | 56.4 | 1386 |
| Western | 21.7 | 5.0 | 67.8 | 739 | 24.9 | 3.2 | 46.2 | 1526 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 39.9 | 6.4 | 76.0 | 75 | 25.9 | 2.6 | 45.3 | 184 |
| Dar es Salaam | 90.2 | 59.7 | 96.3 | 233 | 71.2 | 27.7 | 88.6 | 450 |
| Iringa | 19.5 | 5.1 | 68.0 | 96 | 17.1 | 1.2 | 49.6 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 25.9 | 7.3 | 73.2 | 194 | 26.4 | 6.0 | 47.9 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.3 | 2.0 | 54.4 | 331 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 32.0 | 1229 |
| Primary incomplete | 33.6 | 7.3 | 69.4 | 623 | 29.5 | 3.6 | 53.7 | 893 |
| Primary complete | 48.9 | 14.5 | 82.1 | 983 | 46.9 | 7.1 | 65.7 | 1928 |
| Secondary/Higher | 80.2 | 43.1 | 99.9 | 153 | 88.1 | 35.5 | 93.5 | 169 |
| Total | 40.0 | 12.5 | 75.3 | 2097 | 31.4 | 5.6 | 54.5 | 4225 |

Men are more likely than women to do each of these activities. Overall, 31 percent of women and 40 percent of men read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week. Six percent of women and 13 percent of men watch television at least once a week. Radio is the most common mode of access to the media-55 percent of women and 75 percent of men listen to the radio at least once a week.

Access to the media declines steadily with age among women; contact with mass media is generally more constant over age among men, with the exception of television. Not surprisingly, newspaper reading increases steadily and dramatically with education, but more surprisingly, so does listening to the radio. Television watching also increases with increasing education, but this may be more influenced by the ability to afford a television. Respondents were asked to report their reading, watching, and listening habits regardless of who owned the items, but of course, regular watching of television or listening to the radio is more probable if these items are in the household. Access to mass media is significantly lower in rural areas
than it is in urban areas; overall, 54 percent of all rural respondents listen to a radio at least once a week, while 85 percent of urban respondents do so.

Access to all three types of media among both men and women are highest in the Coastal Zone and lowest in the Western Zone. Residents of Dar es Salaam are considerably more likely to read newspapers, listen to the radio, and watch television than residents of the other three selected regions. Residents of Iringa Region are the least exposed to the media.

There has been some increase in contact with mass media since 1991/92. At the time of the TDHS, 25 percent of women reported reading a newspaper or magazine at least once a week, compared to 31 percent in the TKAPS. For an unknown reason, the percentage of men reporting reading a newspaper or magazine has declined from 45 to 40 percent; this may have occurred as television watching has increased, from 5 to 13 percent of men. Television watching among women has increased from 3 to 6 percent. Radio listening has remained about constant among men, and has increased from 46 to 55 percent among women (Ngallaba et al., 1993:20).

## CHAPTER 3

## FERTILITY

The fertility measures presented in this chapter are based on two sets of questions asked of women age 15-49 interviewed in the TKAPS. Each woman was asked the number of sons and daughters living with her, the number living elsewhere, and the number who had died. She was then asked the month and year of her last two births. Based on this information, measures of current fertility (age-specific rates) and completed fertility (number of children ever born) were calculated.

### 3.1 Current Fertility

Table 3.1 presents age-specific fertility rates for the three-year period preceding the survey. Numerators of the age-specific rates are calculated by summing the live births that occurred in the 1-36 months preceding the survey (determined from the date of interview and date of birth of the child), and classifying them by the age (in five-year age groups) of the mother at the time of birth (determined from the date of birth of the mother). Because the dates of women's last two births were obtained in the TKAPS, the total number of births occurring in the three years prior to the survey will be underestimated to the extent that some few women will have given birth to three or more children and have only reported on the last two. Fortunately, the extent of the undercount can be estimated from data from the 1991/92 TDHS, for which complete birth histories for all women were obtained. In that survey, only 0.3 percent of women gave birth to three or more children in the three years prior to survey. ${ }^{1}$ The denominators of the age-specific rates are the number of woman-years lived in each of the specified five-year age groups during the 1-36 months preceding the survey.

The age pattern of fertility indicates that women in Tanzania have children early in the childbearing period; by age 30, a Tanzanian woman will have given birth to well over half of the children she will ever have.

The sum of the age-specific fertility rates, i.e., the total fertility rate, is used to summarise the current level of fertility. It can be interpreted as the number of children a woman would have by the end of her

[^5]childbearing years if she were to pass through those years bearing children at the currently observed rates. If fertility were to remain constant at current levels, a Tanzanian woman would give birth to an average of 5.6 children.

This total fertility rate of 5.6 for the three years prior to the survey (representing mid-1991 to mid1994) is considerably lower ( 0.7 children) than the level of 6.3 reported in the 1991/92 TDHS for the period 1989-1991. Among urban women, the total fertility rate measured in the TKAPS is 0.8 children lower than that measured in the TDHS, while among rural women, it is 0.7 children lower. Moreover, age-specific fertility rates are lower in the TKAPS than in the TDHS for nearly every age group (see Figure 3.1). This decline in fertility is a continuation of the decline reported in the TDHS, although the decline in the two and a half years between the TDHS and the TKAPS appears to be greater than the gradual decline occurring in the late 1980s. In interpreting the data on fertility, it is important to remember that data from the TDHS and TKAPS were derived from different sets of questions and therefore are not strictly comparable. Although it is likely that the questions used in the TKAPS may produce an underestimate of the level of current fertility, it is also almost certain that fertility in Tanzania has fallen considerably, given the sharply increased use of contraceptives (see Chapter 4).

Figure 3.1
Age-Specific Fertility Rates
1991/92 TDHS and 1994 TKAPS


Note: Rates refer to the 3 years prior to each survey.

Two other fertility measures are presented in Table 3.1, the general fertility rate and the crude birth rate. The general fertility rate summarises the number of births per woman, while the crude birth rate summarises the number of births per population. The numerator of the general fertility rate is the total number of births in the previous three years and the denominator is the number of woman-years lived between the ages of 15 and 44 during the previous three years. The general fertility rate calculated from the TKAPS data is 189, compared to 212 from the 1991/92 TDHS. Because fertility has fallen in both urban and rural areas, the general fertility rate is lower in both urban and rural areas.

The crude birth rate is the annual number of births in a population per 1,000 persons. Overall, there were about 37 births per thousand population over the three years preceding the TKAPS. This is lower than the crude birth rate of 43 births per thousand population reported in the TDHS.

Table 3.2 presents total fertility rates by urban-rural residence, zone, and education of respondents. The total fertility rate in rural areas ( 5.9 children per woman) is 37 percent higher than the rate in urban areas (4.3). Fertility rates increase from east to west in Tanzania, from a low of 4.9 children per woman in the Coastal Zone to 5.5 among women in the Central Zone and a high of 6.2 in the Western Zone. Fertility declines with education level of women, although the major difference is between women with no education and those who have some primary education.

Data from the 1991/92 TDHS indicate that there has been a greater decline in fertility among women with some education than among women with no education. The total fertility rate among women with incomplete primary schooling and with completed primary schooling fell by 1.2 and 0.8 children, respectively, while the total fertility rate among women with no education fell by only 0.4 children (Ngallaba et al., 1993:23).

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { Table 3.2 Fertility by background characteristics }\end{array}\right]$| Total fertility rate for the three years preceding the |
| :--- |
| survey and mean number of children ever bom to |
| women 40-49 years of age, by selected background |
| characteristics, Tanzania 1994 |

Table 3.3 presents the percentage of women who reported that they were pregnant at the time of the TDHS and the TKAPS, according to age group. The percentage pregnant is known to be underreported since women at early stages of pregnancy may not yet know they are pregnant. However, since it is a measure based on "current status," it is free of potential biases in the reporting of dates of events and thus, is a useful indicator of trends in fertility behaviour. Oddly, the numbers in Table 3.3 imply that fertility is increasing instead of decreasing. The proportion of women currently pregnant increased from 10 percent in 1991/92 to 12 percent in 1994. The apparent increase possibly is due to more complete reporting of pregnancies in the TKAPS than in the TDHS.

Table 3.3 Trends in percentage pregnant
Percentage of all and currently married women who were pregnant at the time of interview, by age group, Tanzania 1991/92 and 1994

| Age group | All women |  |  |  | Currently married women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1991/92 TDHS |  | 1994 TKAPS |  | 1991/92 TDHS |  | 1994 TKAPS |  |
|  | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number |
| 15-19 | 7.9 | 2114 | 7.9 | 868 | 19.8 | 537 | 22.5 | 213 |
| 20-24 | 15.1 | 1831 | 16.8 | 911 | 20.2 | 1250 | 21.3 | 638 |
| 25-29 | 14.1 | 1546 | 15.2 | 786 | 15.6 | 1233 | 16.6 | 647 |
| 30.34 | 10.0 | 1139 | 13.9 | 580 | 11.2 | 911 | 15.8 | 502 |
| 35-39 | 9.7 | 976 | 8.7 | 478 | 10.9 | 823 | 9.4 | 416 |
| 40-44 | 4.5 | 691 | 7.3 | 376 | 5.1 | 583 | 7.9 | 306 |
| 45-49 | 2.9 | 681 | 1.4 | 226 | 3.0 | 533 | 1.8 | 182 |
| Total | 10.3 | 8978 | 11.7 | 4225 | 13.4 | 5870 | 15.1 | 2903 |

Note: Data from 1991/92 TDHS exclude Zanzibar so as to be consistent with the 1994 TKAPS.

### 3.2 Children Ever Born and Living

Table 3.4 presents the distribution of all women and currently married women by number of children ever born and by age group. The mean number of children ever born increases with age; at the end of her reproductive period, a Tanzanian woman has given birth to almost 7 children on average. The distribution of women by number of births indicates that one in five teens ( 19 percent) has already borne at least one child and that 42 percent of $40-49$ year-olds have borme eight or more children.

Voluntary childlessness is uncommon in Tanzania, so the proportion of childless women provides an estimate of primary infertility. About four percent of 40-49 year olds are childless.

## Table 3.4 Children ever born and living

Percent distribution of all women and of currently married women age $15-49$ by number of children ever born (CEB) and mean number ever born and living, according to five-year age groups, Tanzania 1994

| Age group | Number of children ever bom (CEB) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women | Mean no. of CEB | Mean no. of living children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10+ |  |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 80.7 | 16.5 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 868 | 0.22 | 0.19 |
| 20-24 | 26.8 | 28.1 | 26.7 | 12.7 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 911 | 1.45 | 1.25 |
| 25-29 | 7.1 | 11.8 | 21.5 | 25.4 | 17.1 | 11.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 786 | 2.94 | 2.48 |
| 30-34 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 9.9 | 13.4 | 17.7 | 16.3 | 15.7 | 11.3 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 580 | 4.48 | 3.74 |
| 35-39 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 12.9 | 16.1 | 17.0 | 13.0 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 478 | 5.94 | 5.02 |
| 40-44 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 8.7 | 12.8 | 9.0 | 12.3 | 13.6 | 9.8 | 18.5 | 100.0 | 376 | 6.71 | 5.40 |
| 45-49 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 11.2 | 13.6 | 8.9 | 6.2 | 26.6 | 100.0 | 226 | 6.80 | 5.42 |
| Total | 25.0 | 13.0 | 12.9 | 11.0 | 8.5 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 4225 | 3.15 | 2.62 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.19 | 45.6 | 44.3 | 9.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 213 | 0.66 | 0.56 |
| 20-24 | 12.7 | 30.6 | 33.4 | 16.0 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 638 | 1.78 | 1.54 |
| 25-29 | 3.1 | 9.3 | 21.7 | 27.6 | 18.5 | 13.3 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 647 | 3.19 | 2.70 |
| 30-34 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 9.4 | 12.7 | 17.9 | 17.5 | 16.3 | 11.4 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 502 | 4.58 | 3.83 |
| 35-39 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 13.8 | 14.8 | 17.6 | 13.2 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 416 | 6.07 | 5.15 |
| $40-44$ | 1.7 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 8.8 | 12.6 | 9.6 | 12.8 | 14.3 | 11.5 | 19.3 | 100.0 | 306 | 7.01 | 5.69 |
| 45-49 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 11.8 | 13.0 | 9.1 | 6.5 | 30.2 | 100.0 | 182 | 7.14 | 5.74 |
| Total | 8.1 | 13.5 | 15.9 | 13.7 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 2903 | 4.00 | 3.34 |

### 3.3 Teenage Pregnancy and Motherhood

Fertility among women age 15-19 warrants special attention because teenage mothers as well as their children are at high risk of encountering social and health problems. There has been much research on this topic, and the causality of the problems has proven difficult to identify. Children born to young mothers are associated with higher levels of illness and mortality during childhood than are children borne to older mothers.

Table 3.5 shows the percentage of women age 15-19 who are mothers or are pregnant with their first child. Overall, 26 percent of teenagers have already begun childbearing (have already given birth, or were pregnant with their first child at the time of the survey). This is slightly lower than the 29 percent reported at the time of the TDHS (Ngallaba et al., 1993:30).

Surprisingly, the percentage of 15 -year-olds who have begun childbearing (8 percent) is higher than at the time of the TDHS (3 percent). The percentage of women who become mothers increases rapidly during the teen years, so that more than half ( 53 percent) of 19 -year-olds have begun childbearing. This does represent a decrease from the 59 percent of 19 -year-olds who had begun childbearing at the time of the TDHS. The proportion of 17 -year-olds who have begun childbearing has also fallen significantly, from 28 percent at the time of the TDHS to 17 percent in the TKAPS. The proportion of 18 -year-olds who have begun childbearing has remained the same.

Table 3.5 Teenage pregnancy and motherhood
Percentage of teenagers 15-19 who are mothers or pregnant with their first child, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Percentage who are: |  | Percentage who have begun childbearing | Number <br> of teenagers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mothers | Pregnant with first child |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 7.5 | 151 |
| 16 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 8.8 | 169 |
| 17 | 12.2 | 5.0 | 17.2 | 199 |
| 18 | 29.8 | 9.9 | 39.6 | 178 |
| 19 | 44.6 | 8.4 | 53.0 | 171 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.9 | 6.1 | 26.0 | 229 |
| Rural | 19.0 | 6.3 | 25.4 | 639 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 23.6 | 4.7 | 28.3 | 288 |
| Central | 13.6 | 5.0 | 18.6 | 269 |
| Western | 20.1 | 8.8 | 28.9 | 311 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 30.4 | 9.5 | 39.9 | 121 |
| Primary incomplete | 12.0 | 2.1 | 14.1 | 291 |
| Primary complete | 21.5 | 8.6 | 30.0 | 415 |
| Secondary/Higher | 6.6 | 3.0 | 9.6 | 37 |
| Total | 19.3 | 6.3 | 25.5 | 868 |

The proportion of teenagers who are mothers or pregnant with their first child is almost identical for urban and rural women. The proportion of teens who have begun childbearing has actually increased since the time of the TDHS among women with no education and among women with secondary school or higher. Overall, women with no education are more likely to begin childbearing in their teen years than are women with some education. Women in the Central Zone appear to be less likely to start childbearing early, compared to women in the Coastal and Westem Zones.

Most teenagers who have begun childbearing have only given birth once, although some have given birth two or more times. Table 3.6 presents the percent distribution of 15 - to 19 -year-olds by number of children ever born (this excludes women who are pregnant with their first child). Seventeen percent of teenagers have given birth to one child and 3 percent have had 2 or more children. These percentages are lower than they were at the time of the TDHS, when 20 percent of teens had had one child, and 4 percent had 2 or more. The decline has been the result of reduced fertility of the 16 - to 19 -year-olds; the fertility of the 15 -year-olds has increased. Four percent of 15 -year-olds have had a child, compared to 0.5 percent at the time of the TDHS.

Table 3.6 Children born to teenagers
Percent distribution of teenagers 15-19 by number of children ever born (CEB), and mean number of CEB, according to age, Tanzania 1994

|  | Number of <br> children ever born |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> number <br> of |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 0 | 1 | $2+$ | Total | Number <br> of <br> ofB |  |
| 15 | 96.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.04 | 151 |
| 16 | 95.3 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.05 | 169 |
| 17 | 87.8 | 10.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 0.14 | 199 |
| 18 | 70.2 | 26.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 0.34 | 178 |
| 19 | 55.4 | 36.1 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 0.55 | 171 |
| Total | 80.7 | 16.5 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 0.22 | 868 |

## CHAPTER 4

## FERTILITY REGULATION

### 4.1 Knowledge of Contraception

Information about knowledge of contraception was obtained by first asking the respondents to name ways or methods by which a couple could delay or avoid pregnancy. If the respondent failed to mention a particular method spontaneously, the interviewer described the method and asked if the respondent had heard of it. Seven modern methods-the pill, IUD, injection, vaginal methods (diaphragm, foam, jelly), condom, and female and male sterilisation-were described, as well as three traditional methods-the calendar rhythm method (periodic abstinence), the mucus method, and withdrawal. Other methods mentioned by the respondent, such as herbs or breastfeeding, were also recorded. In the following discussion, respondents are considered to know of a method if they spontaneously mentioned it or said they had heard of it after it was described to them. Results are presented in Table 4.1 for all women and men as well as currently married women and men.

Table 4.1 shows that 80 percent of women age 15-49 and 86 percent of men age 15-59 have heard of at least one method of family planning. Almost all respondents who have heard of any method have heard of a modem method. Nearly half of all women and over half of all men have heard of a traditional method. As is often true when knowledge is not yet universal, levels of knowledge among currently married respondents are somewhat higher than levels among the non-married; this holds for both women and men and for every method.

Table 4.1 Knowledge of contraceptive methods and source for methods
Percentage of all women and men and currently married women and men who know specific contraceptive methods, Tanzania 1994

| Contraceptive method | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All women | Currently married women | All men | Currently married men |
| Any method | 79.5 | 84.4 | 85.7 | 89.7 |
| Any modern method | 77.4 | 81.7 | 84.8 | 88.3 |
| Pill | 71.8 | 77.5 | 70.4 | 77.2 |
| IUD | 41.3 | 46.0 | 29.0 | 36.4 |
| Injection | 57.3 | 63.6 | 49.5 | 56.9 |
| Diaphragm/Foam/Jelly | 23.4 | 26.9 | 22.5 | 27.5 |
| Condom | 66.8 | 69.7 | 79.1 | 81.2 |
| Female sterilisation | 51.7 | 57.8 | 53.4 | 58.8 |
| Male sterilisation | 14.5 | 16.5 | 18.6 | 22.1 |
| Any traditional method | 46.1 | 52.0 | 55.9 | 66.3 |
| Calendar rhythm | 25.8 | 27.1 | 37.4 | 44.5 |
| Mucus method | 10.9 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 13.9 |
| Withdrawal | 30.6 | 36.0 | 42.3 | 50.8 |
| Other traditional methods | 14.5 | 17.6 | 11.5 | 15.9 |
| Number | 4225 | 2903 | 2097 | 1255 |

The most commonly known methods among women are the pill (recognised by 72 percent of women), condom ( 67 percent), injections ( 57 percent), and female sterilisation ( 52 percent); less than half of women say they know about the IUD ( 41 percent), diaphragm, foam or jelly ( 23 percent) or male sterilisation ( 15 percent). Of the traditional methods, withdrawal is the most commonly known (recognised by 31 percent of women). About one-quarter ( 26 percent) of women say that they know of the calendar rhythm method, while only 11 percent know of the mucus method. Fifteen percent of women mentioned methods that were not on the list, mostly traditional methods such as abstinence, breastfeeding, strings, or herbs.

Not surprisingly, the most widely recognised method among men is the condom (recognised by 79 percent). Otherwise, knowledge of methods more or less follows that among women, except that men are more likely than women to know the three methods used by men-condom, withdrawal, and male sterilisation. While women are more likely to know the methods used by women, it is surprising to note that the proportion of men who know of the calendar rhythm method is higher than among women ( 37 vs .26 percent).

The proportion of women who have heard of methods of family planning has increased since 1991/92. The proportion of women who have heard of at least one method has increased from 74 to 80 percent, while the proportion who have heard of a modern method increased from 72 to 77 percent of women (Ngallaba et al., 1993:31). This rather modest increase in knowledge of any method masks some extraordinary increases in knowledge of specific methods. Since the time of the TDHS, the proportion of women who have heard of condoms increased from 51 to 67 percent, the proportion who have heard of injections increased from 40 to 57 percent, and those who have heard of the IUD increased from 31 to 41 percent (Figure 4.1).

Figure 4.1
Trends in Contraceptive Knowledge Women 15-49, Tanzania



Knowledge of methods among men has also increased since 1991/92. The proportion of men who have heard of at least one method has increased from 78 to 86 percent. But knowledge of certain methods has increased even more rapidly--the proportion of men who have heard of condoms increased from 65 to 79 percent and the proportion who have heard of injections increased from 32 to 50 percent (Ngallaba et al., 1993:117). To the extent that knowing more methods increases the likelihood that individuals will find one that meets their needs, this broader knowledge may lead to increased use.

Table 4.2 presents the percentage of all women and currently married women who know any method or any modern method according to background characteristics. Knowledge of contraceptive methods is highest among women age 20-34, urban women, women in Dar es Salaam and Iringa regions, women in the Coastal and Central Zones, women with completed primary or secondary education, and Muslim women. These patterns follow closely those that were evident in the 1991/92 TDHS (Ngallaba et al., 1993:33).

Table 4.2 Knowledge of methods by background characteristics
Percentage of all women and currently married women knowing at least one family planning method and knowing a modern method, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | All women |  |  | Currently married women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Knows } \\ \text { any } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | Knows modern method | Number of women | $\begin{gathered} \text { Knows } \\ \text { any } \\ \text { method } \end{gathered}$ | Knows modern method | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 59.2 | 58.4 | 868 | 70.4 | 68.0 | 213 |
| 20-24 | 85.6 | 83.6 | 911 | 87.4 | 85.0 | 638 |
| 25-29 | 88.9 | 86.5 | 786 | 89.1 | 86.7 | 647 |
| 30-34 | 87.9 | 86.2 | 580 | 88.1 | 86.2 | 502 |
| 35-39 | 82.5 | 79.9 | 478 | 83.2 | 80.9 | 416 |
| 40-44 | 82.7 | 79.0 | 376 | 82.2 | 78.0 | 306 |
| 45-49 | 67.8 | 63.1 | 226 | 69.6 | 63.9 | 182 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 91.1 | 90.7 | 1065 | 95.9 | 95.8 | 657 |
| Rural | 75.7 | 72.9 | 3160 | 81.0 | 77.5 | 2247 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 86.2 | 85.2 | 1313 | 91.2 | 90.1 | 883 |
| Central | 82.5 | 78.5 | 1386 | 87.8 | 82.7 | 944 |
| Western | 71.1 | 69.6 | 1526 | 75.7 | 73.9 | 1076 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 83.8 | 81.8 | 184 | 85.7 | 82.5 | 117 |
| Dar es Salaam | 92.6 | 92.2 | 450 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 265 |
| Iringa | 86.0 | 85.3 | 220 | 93.1 | 92.2 | 160 |
| Mwanza | 71.8 | 68.6 | 340 | 74.7 | 71.0 | 246 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 68.6 | 62.4 | 1229 | 71.9 | 65.0 | 1005 |
| Primary incomplete | 73.7 | 72.9 | 893 | 85.1 | 84.3 | 545 |
| Primary complete | 88.0 | 87.6 | 1928 | 93.2 | 92.8 | 1276 |
| Secondary/Higher | 94.4 | 94.4 | 169 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 72 |
| Relligion ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muslim | 85.7 | 84.3 | 1271 | 90.9 | 89.3 | 874 |
| Catholic | 79.1 | 78.3 | 1384 | 85.0 | 84.3 | 923 |
| Protestant | 83.3 | 82.0 | 1115 | 89.2 | 87.7 | 728 |
| None | 54.7 | 43.9 | 443 | 58.3 | 45.7 | 373 |
| Total | 79.5 | 77.4 | 4225 | 84.4 | 81.7 | 2903 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Excludes 12 women whose religion was "other" or missing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2 Problems with Methods

Actual and perceived problems with contraceptive methods can hamper adoption of these methods, as well as reduce effectiveness of use among those who do adopt the method. In order to elicit information on possible rumors about or actual problems with specific methods, all women who had heard of either the pill, IUD, or injections were asked what they thought were the problems or disadvantages of each of these methods. The results are shown in Tables 4.3, 4.4, and 4.5.

Table 4.3 Disadvantages of the pill
Among women who have heard of the pill, the percentage who report specific problems or disadvantages with using the pill, by contraceptive use status, Tanzania 1994

| Disadvantage of the pill | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Currently } \\ & \text { using } \\ & \text { pill } \end{aligned}$ | Currently using other method | Not using any method | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forgetting | 13.2 | 7.3 | 3.5 | 4.8 |
| Longer periods | 38.4 | 30.6 | 22.1 | 24.5 |
| Gain/lose weight | 18.2 | 17.0 | 14.4 | 15.0 |
| If get pregnant, deformed child | 3.3 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| Racing heart | 24.1 | 19.1 | 10.0 | 12.4 |
| Watery vaginal discharge | 12.2 | 12.9 | 9.9 | 10.6 |
| Swelling | 2.4 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| Other | 30.9 | 25.4 | 17.5 | 19.7 |
| Don't know | 21.2 | 29.2 | 42.6 | 39.0 |
| Number | 191 | 501 | 2343 | 3034 |

Table 4.4 Disadvantages of the IUD
Among women who have heard of the IUD, the percentage who report specific problems or disadvantages with using an IUD, by contraceptive use status, Tanzania 1994

|  | Currently <br> using <br> IUD | Currently <br> using <br> other <br> method | Not <br> using <br> any <br> method | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Disadvantage <br> of the IUD |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50.9 | 21.6 | 15.8 | 18.1 |
| General aches/soreness/pain | 32.6 | 10.6 | 9.5 | 10.2 |
| More frequent periods | 9.4 | 17.0 | 14.2 | 14.9 |
| Pregnancy | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| If get pregnant, deformed child | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| Vaginal discharge with pus | 20.5 | 9.1 | 6.3 | 7.4 |
| Watery vaginal discharge | 13.4 | 11.1 | 7.4 | 8.6 |
| Other | 23.0 | 50.8 | 58.4 | 55.6 |
| Don't know |  |  |  | 1208 |
| Number | 30 | 506 | 1744 |  |


| Table 4.5 Disadvantages of injection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Among women who have heard of injection, the percentage who report specific problems or disadvantages with using injection, by contraceptive use status, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |  |  |
| Disadvantage of injection | Currently using injection | Currently using other method | Not using any method | Total |
| Forgetting | 3.2 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| More frequent periods | 24.0 | 12.9 | 11.1 | 12.0 |
| Causes permanent infertility | 18.5 | 25.8 | 23.9 | 24.1 |
| If get pregnant, deformed child | 1.1 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.2 |
| Other | 16.5 | 9.9 | 5.3 | 6.8 |
| Don't know | 46.3 | 56.4 | 62.7 | 60.7 |
| Number | 88 | 553 | 1782 | 2423 |

Almost 4 in ten women said they did not know of any problem with the pill. The most common problem or disadvantage of the pill is that it is perceived to cause longer menstrual periods; one-quarter of women cited this as a problem. Other commonly cited problems are that the pill causes either weight gain or loss, a racing heart, or watery vaginal discharge. Interestingly, women generally mentioned the same problems regardless of whether they were actually taking the pill, using some other method, or not using any method.

Over half of women who know the IUD report that they do not know of any problems or disadvantages with it. The most commonly stated disadvantage is general aches and pains, followed by pregnancy, and more frequent menstrual periods. Women who were using a method other than the IUD or no method at all were not nearly so likely to report a disadvantage of the IUD as were women who were currently using the method. The relatively high proportion of women who perceive the IUD to be ineffective (unwanted pregnancy) is of concern and may be depressing the level of current use of the IUD.

Although 61 percent of women who know about injections say that they do not know of any problem with the method, almost one-quarter of women say that injections cause permanent infertility. Among women currently using injections, the most common complaint is more frequent menstrual periods.

It is interesting to note that, of the three methods for which women were asked to state problems, women were more likely to report problems with the pill and least likely to report problems with injections. This is true regardless of whether the women were currently using that method, using another method, or not using at all.

### 4.3 Ever Use of Contraception

All respondents who reported knowing a method of family planning were asked if they had ever used that method. Results are presented in Table 4.6 for all women and men and currently married women and men. In asking about use of methods, respondents were asked about use of methods with any partner. Thus, discrepancies in use between men and women may be duc to use in extramarital relationships or polygynous relationships, or due to the fact that several female methods (e.g., the pill, injections) can be used without the knowledge of the partner.

Table 4.6 Ever use of contraception
Percentage of all women and men and currently married women and men who have ever used any contraceptive method, by specific method and age, Tanzania 1994

| Age |  | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Any trad. <br> method | Traditional method |  |  |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any method | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | Injection | Diaphragm/ Foam/ Jelly | Con- <br> dom | Female steri-lisation | Male <br> steri- <br> lisa- <br> tion |  | Calendar rhythm | Mucus mehod | With-drawal | Other |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 11.6 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 868 |
| 20-24 | 31.7 | 20.3 | 11.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 6.7 | 0.8 | 7.5 | 2.6 | 911 |
| 25-29 | 37.3 | 23.5 | 17.3 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 6.9 | 1.0 | 11.1 | 4.2 | 786 |
| 30-34 | 44.0 | 29.2 | 21.8 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 22.8 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 11.6 | 8.9 | 580 |
| 35-39 | 39.0 | 25.2 | 18.9 | 3.2 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 20.4 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 10.5 | 5.8 | 478 |
| 40-44 | 39.2 | 25.5 | 15.5 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 19.1 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 10.6 | 5.9 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 31.0 | 20.6 | 9.6 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 226 |
| All women | 31.8 | 20.6 | 13.3 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 16.2 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 8.3 | 4.3 | 4225 |
| All men | 39.2 | 23.9 | 8.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 17.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 13.1 | 1.3 | 12.9 | 6.2 | 2097 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 22.0 | 13.2 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 11.4 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 213 |
| 20-24 | 33.9 | 21.8 | 13.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 9.5 | 3.6 | 638 |
| 25-29 | 35.9 | 22.4 | 17.2 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 12.6 | 4.1 | 647 |
| 30-34 | 43.7 | 27.9 | 20.9 | 3.5 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 11.6 | 9.7 | 502 |
| 35-39 | 39.2 | 25.3 | 18.3 | 2.9 | 7.7 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 20.2 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 9.8 | 6.1 | 416 |
| 40-44 | 40.3 | 26.4 | 15.5 | 2.6 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 19.1 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 10.8 | 6.3 | 306 |
| 45-49 | 32.1 | 19.6 | 7.4 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 182 |
| Currently m women | 36.5 | 23.2 | 15.6 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 19.1 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 10.4 | 5.7 | 2903 |
| Currently m men | 48.6 | 25.1 | 13.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 15.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 35.5 | 19.1 | 2.0 | 17.1 | 9.5 | 1255 |

Almost one-third ( 32 percent) of all women age 15-49 and 39 percent of all men age 15-59 in Tanzania have used a method of family planning at some time in their lives. More women have used the pill than any other method ( 13 percent). Withdrawal, condoms, and the calendar rhythm method have been used by 8,7 , and 5 percent of women, respectively. Other methods have been less widely used; injections have been used by 3 percent of women, the IUD by 2 percent, and female sterilisation by 2 percent. More men have used condoms than any other method ( 18 percent). Withdrawal and the calendar rhythm method have each been used by 13 percent of men. Nine percent of men have had partners who used the pill.

As with contraceptive knowledge, ever use of family planning methods has increased recently. In 1991/92, only 23 percent of all women had ever used any method, compared to 32 percent in 1994. Increases in ever use were greatest for the condom, the pill, and injections. Among men, the increase in ever use since 1991/92 was more modest-from 36 to 39 percent. Increases were greatest for the condom, the pill, and injections (Ngallaba et al., 1993:34,120).

### 4.4 Current Use of Contraception

Table 4.7 presents the levels of current use of contraception among women and men by age group. While the family planning programme recognises the need for family planning among all persons regardless of marital status, data are also presented for currently married respondents because need may differ according

## Table 4.7 Current method use

Percent distribution of all women and men and currently married women and men by contraceptive method currently used, according to age, Tanzania 1994

| Age |  | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Not currently using | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any method | Any modern method | Pill | IUD | Injection | Diaphragm/ Foam/ Jelly | Con- dom | Female steri-lisation | Any trad. method $^{1}$ | Calendar thythm | With-drawal | Other |  |  |  |
| ALL WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 92.1 | 100.0 | 868 |
| 20-24 | 17.9 | 10.6 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 82.1 | 100.0 | 911 |
| 25-29 | 21.2 | 13.5 | 7.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 7.7 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 78.8 | 100.0 | 786 |
| 30-34 | 23.6 | 15.6 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 76.4 | 100.0 | 580 |
| 35-39 | 21.2 | 13.2 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 78.8 | 100.0 | 478 |
| 40-44 | 20.5 | 14.1 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 79.5 | 100.0 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 16.2 | 10.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 83.8 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Total | 17.8 | 11.3 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 82.2 | 100.0 | 4225 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 15.0 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 85.0 | 100.0 | 213 |
| 20-24 | 17.7 | 10.8 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 82.3 | 100.0 | 638 |
| 25.29 | 21.1 | 13.2 | 7.0 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 7.9 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 647 |
| 30-34 | 24.0 | 16.1 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 7.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 76.0 | 100.0 | 502 |
| 35-39 | 22.2 | 14.5 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.1 | 7.6 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 77.8 | 100.0 | 416 |
| 40-44 | 21.8 | 15.1 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 78.2 | 100.0 | 306 |
| 45-49 | 17.6 | 11.2 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 82.4 | 100.0 | 182 |
| Total | 20.4 | 13.1 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 7.4 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 79.6 | 100.0 | 2903 |
| ALL MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 91.3 | 100.0 | 444 |
| 20-24 | 18.2 | 14.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 81.8 | 100.0 | 323 |
| 25-29 | 33.7 | 19.2 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 66.3 | 100.0 | 273 |
| 30-34 | 34.4 | 18.0 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 65.6 | 100.0 | 286 |
| 35-39 | 44.5 | 16.8 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 1.2 | 27.8 | 15.6 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 55.5 | 100.0 | 219 |
| 40.44 | 22.2 | 8.7 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 13.5 | 6.6 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 77.8 | 100.0 | 181 |
| 45-49 | 26.1 | 13.7 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 12.4 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 73.9 | 100.0 | 180 |
| 50-54 | 31.7 | 11.4 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 20.3 | 8.8 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 68.3 | 100.0 | 102 |
| 55-59 | 15.2 | 12.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 84.8 | 100.0 | 89 |
| Total | 24.7 | 13.5 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 7.3 | 0.9 | 11.2 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 75.3 | 100.0 | 2097 |
| CURRENTLY MARRIED MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 10 |
| 20-24 | 28.0 | 14.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 8.8 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 72.0 | 100.0 | 88 |
| 25-29 | 36.6 | 17.5 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 63.4 | 100.0 | 203 |
| 30-34 | 37.6 | 18.5 | 9.3 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 8.6 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 62.4 | 100.0 | 242 |
| 35-39 | 48.0 | 17.4 | 8.8 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 1.3 | 30.6 | 17.2 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 52.0 | 100.0 | 199 |
| 40-44 | 23.6 | 9.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 14.5 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 5.6 | 76.4 | 100.0 | 168 |
| 45-49 | 27.6 | 14.8 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 12.9 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 72.4 | 100.0 | 167 |
| 50-54 | 32.8 | 11.1 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 21.7 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 67.2 | 100.0 | 95 |
| 55.59 | 16.4 | 13.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 8.4 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 83.6 | 100.0 | 83 |
| Total | 33.5 | 15.1 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 1.5 | 18.4 | 8.8 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 66.5 | 100.0 | 1255 |

Note: An asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 women and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ Includes less than .05 percent for mucus method
to marital status. For example, sexual activity among the unmarried tends to be more sporadic than among those in union.

The data indicate that almost one in five women (18 percent) in Tanzania is currently using a contraceptive method. More women are using modern methods ( 11 percent) than traditional methods ( 6 percent). The most popular methods are the pill ( 5 percent), calendar rhythm ( 3 percent), and condom, withdrawal, injection, and female sterilisation (2 percent each).

One in four men is currently using a family planning method. Unlike women, men are almost as likely to report using a traditional as a modem method. Condoms are the most popular method among men ( 7 percent), followed by the calendar rhythm method ( 5 percent), pill ( 4 percent), and withdrawal and other traditional methods (3 percent each). Use of methods among currently married men is significantly higher than among currently married women; one in three currently married men reported using a contraceptive method, compared to one in five married women. Most of the difference is greater use of condoms, the calendar rhythm and other traditional methods. In fact, more currently married men are using traditional methods (18 percent) than modern methods ( 15 percent).

Use of contraception and method choice varies by age. The use of modern methods increases steadily up through age group 30-34 among women and 35-39 among men and declines thereafter. Use of traditional methods varies less by age among women, especially among the currently married. Teenage women rely most heavily on condoms, calendar rhythm, and the pill. Women in their 20s have a clear preference for using the pill, with condoms and calendar rhythm vying for the second most popular method. There is a gradual shift to longer-term methods among older women, so that by age 30-34, injections have become the second most popular method after the pill and by age 35-39, it is the most popular method. Above age 40 , female sterilisation is the most widely used method, followed by injection.

## Trends in Current Use of Family Planning

Table 4.8 and Figure 4.2 show that contraceptive use among women in Tanzania has almost doubled in the two and one-half years since 1991/92, from 10 to 18 percent of all women. The relative increase has been roughly the same for modem and traditional methods, almost doubling for each. Increased use of injection, condoms, and the pill accounts for most of the rise in modern method use among women (Figure 4.3).

Use among men has risen from 15 to 25 percent between 1991/ 92 and 1994. As with women, increased use of condoms and the pill accounts for most of the rise, although use of withdrawal has also risen.

Table 4.8 Trends in current use of family planning methods
Percentage of all women and men who are currently using specific family planning methods, Tanzania 1991/92 and 1994

| Contraceptive method | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1991 / 92 \\ \text { TDHS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ \text { TKAPS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{1991 / 92} \\ & \text { TDHS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ \text { TKAPS } \end{gathered}$ |
| Any method | 9.5 | 17.8 | 14.9 | 24.7 |
| Any modern method | 5.9 | 11.3 | 8.2 | 13.5 |
| Pill | 3.0 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 4.1 |
| IUD | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Injection | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Diaphragm/Foam/Jelly | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Condom | 0.7 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 7.3 |
| Female sterilisation | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Any traditional method | 3.6 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 11.2 |
| Calendar rhythm | 1.6 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 5.4 |
| Withdrawal | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.6 |
| Mucus method | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Other traditional methods | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 3.1 |
| Number | 9238 | 4225 | 2114 | 2097 |

Figure 4.2
Trends in Contraceptive Use Among All Women 15-49


Figure 4.3
Trends in Use of Specific Methods
Among All Women 15-49


[^6]
## Differentials in Current Use among Women

Some women are much more likely to be using contraception than others. Table 4.9 shows that the level of use of modern methods among urban women is more than double that among rural women ( 21 vs . 8 percent). ${ }^{1}$ The most popular modern method among both urban and rural women is the pill. Comparing data from the TKAPS to that from the TDHS shows that between 1991/92 and 1994, modern contraceptive use increased from about 11 to 21 percent among urban women and from 4 to 8 percent among rural women (Figure 4.4).

| Percent distribution of all women by contraceptive method currently used, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Not currently using | Total | Number of women |
| Background characteristic | Any mehod | Any modern method ${ }^{1}$ | Pill | IUD | Injec- <br> tion | Condom | Female steri-lisation | Any trad. method ${ }^{2}$ | Calendar rhythm | With-drawal | Other |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 28.2 | 21.2 | 9.1 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 71.8 | 100.0 | 1065 |
| Rural | 14.2 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 85.8 | 100.0 | 3160 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 21.1 | 14.3 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 1313 |
| Central | 21.4 | 14.1 | 5.9 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 7.3 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 78.6 | 100.0 | 1386 |
| Western | 11.6 | 6.3 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 88.4 | 100.0 | 1526 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 20.1 | 13.0 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 79.9 | 100.0 | 184 |
| Dar es Salaam | 27.7 | 19.2 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 8.5 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 72.3 | 100.0 | 450 |
| Lringa | 14.7 | 7.3 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 15.9 | 7.0 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 8.9 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 5.7 | 84.1 | 100.0 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 10.8 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 1229 |
| Pri. incompl. | 15.7 | 9.6 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 84.3 | 100.0 | 893 |
| Primary compl. | 21.2 | 13.8 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 78.8 | 100.0 | 1928 |
| Secondary/ Higher | 40.7 | 31.1 | 11.0 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 10.9 | 3.1 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 59.3 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Number of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 93.0 | 100.0 | 1141 |
| 1 | 17.3 | 10.4 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 6.8 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 82.7 | 100.0 | 638 |
| 2 | 19.7 | 13.5 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 80.3 | 100.0 | 595 |
| 3 | 26.5 | 18.5 | 10.0 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 73.5 | 100.0 | 496 |
| 4+ | 23.0 | 14.1 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 8.9 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 77.0 | 100.0 | 1354 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Muslim | 20.5 | 14.8 | 6.5 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 79.5 | 100.0 | 1271 |
| Cahholic | 16.5 | 9.8 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 83.5 | 100.0 | 1384 |
| Procestant | 20.9 | 13.4 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 79.1 | 100.0 | 1115 |
| None | 5.8 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 4.0 | 94.2 | 100.0 | 443 |
| Total | 17.8 | 11.3 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 82.2 | 100.0 | 4225 |
| Note: Total includes 7 wornen whose education was mis ${ }^{1}$ Includes less than .05 percent for diaphragm/foam/jelly ${ }^{2}$ Includes less than .05 percent for mucus method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ The data in Table 4.9 refer to all women; data based on currently married women are presented in Appendix Table D.1.


The level of contraceptive use differs by zone. Fourteen percent of women in both the Coastal and Central Zones are currently using a modern method, compared to only 6 percent of those in the Western Zone. Since 1991/92, use of modern methods has increased relatively faster in the Coastal Zone (from 6 to 14 percent of all women) and Western Zone (from 3 to 6 percent), than in the Central Zone (from 10 to 14 percent).

Women in Dar es Salaam are more than twice as likely to be using a modern contraceptive method than women in Iringa or Mwanza Regions ( 19 vs .7 percent). Modern contraceptive use among women in Dodoma Region is intermediate at 13 percent.

Large differentials in current use are also found for educational groups. Only 6 percent of women with no formal education are currently using a modern family planning method compared to 10 percent of women with some primary school, 14 percent of those who completed primary school and 31 percent of those with at least some secondary education. The pill and female sterilisation are the most commonly used modern methods among women who have no formal education, whereas injection and female sterilisation are the favourite modern methods among women with some primary, and the pill and condom are the most common methods among women with completed primary school and those with secondary or higher education. Since 1991/92, modern contraceptive use has increased relatively more rapidly among uneducated women than among those with education. For example, among women with no education, the modern contraceptive prevalence rate tripled from 2 to 6 percent, whereas for those with primary incomplete it increased from 7 to 10 percent. Among women who completed primary school, the rate increased from 7 to 14 percent and among those with some secondary school, prevalence rose from 16 to 31 percent.

As expected, contraceptive use rises steeply with the number of living children until it levels off among those with three or more children. Muslim and Protestant women are more likely than Catholic
women or women who profess no religion to be using a modern contraceptive method. Use of the calendar rhythm or the mucus method-the only methods officially accepted by the Catholic Church-is no higher among Catholic women than women of other religions.

### 4.5 Number of Children at First Use of Contraception

Family planning methods can be used either for limiting family size or for spacing births. Couples who use methods to limit their family size are using contraception after they have had as many children as they would like to have. When fertility desires are high, such couples will not use contraception until late in their reproductive careers, and will adopt contraception to stop further childbearing. Couples who use methods for spacing births will start using contraception earlier in their reproductive lives, hoping to delay a possible pregnancy. Adopting contraception for spacing purposes may be done before having any children at all or before having many births. To explore motivations for using contraception, women interviewed in the TKAPS were asked how many children they had at the time they first used a method of family planning. Results are presented in Table 4.10 for ever-married women.

Table 4.10 Number of children at first use of contraception
Percent distribution of ever-married women age $15-49$ by number of living children at the time of first use of contraception, according to current age, Tanzania 1994

| Current age | Never used contraception | Number of living children at time of first use of contraception |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ | Missing |  |  |
| 15-19 | 78.1 | 6.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 238 |
| 20-24 | 66.6 | 4.2 | 19.8 | 7.2 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 702 |
| 25.29 | 63.2 | 1.2 | 16.0 | 11.1 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 725 |
| 30-34 | 56.5 | 0.8 | 14.7 | 11.3 | 7.4 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 561 |
| 35-39 | 61.2 | 0.6 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 472 |
| 40-44 | 60.5 | 0.5 | 8.4 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 16.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 368 |
| 45-49 | 68.7 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 19.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 222 |
| Total | 63.6 | 1.9 | 13.7 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 3287 |

Overall, only 2 percent of ever-married women used a method of contraception before ever having any children. Fourteen percent of ever-married women ( 38 percent of those who have ever used) first started using family planning when they had only one child. These women were most likely interested in spacing their next birth. Eight percent of ever-married women (about 21 percent of women who have ever used a method of contraception) used a method of contraception for the first time after they had four or more living children, suggesting that they were interested in limiting their family size.

Because the number of children an individual woman has when she first uses a method of family planning does not change over time, there are only two ways in which these data can change in the period between the TDHS and the TKAPS. One is that the increase in contraceptive use means that more women have a childbearing status to report at the time of first use, and the other is the entrance into the table of teenagers, who were too young to be interviewed at the time of the TDHS. At the time of the TDHS, most teenagers who had used a method did so before having any children. The TKAPS shows that teenagers now are more likely to wait until after their first child to begin using a method. By comparing the TDHS to the TKAPS, it is also apparent that new users began to use family planning at all stages of family building, indicating increases in use for both limiting and spacing purposes.

### 4.6 Pill Use

Use of the pill has increased rapidly in recent years in Tanzania, such that it now accounts for one-quarter of all use and 40 percent of all modern method use. Because of the importance of the pill, the TKAPS included a number of special questions relating to its use, such as the brand used and the quality of use of the pill.

Table 4.11 shows the percent distribution of current pill users by the brand of pill they reported using. The most widely used brand of pill is Microgynon, used by 41 percent of pill users, followed by Lafemenal, used by 21 percent of pill users. Almost one in five pill users was unable to report the brand she was using.

The TKAPS collected information on two indicators that measure the "quality of use" of the pill. These indicators are the proportion of users who say they have not taken a pill in the last two days and the proportion who answer appropriately when asked what they do when they forget to take a pill. Table 4.12 presents these results.

| Table 4.12 Quality of pill use |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of pill users who did not take a pill in the last two days and the percent distribution of pill users by action taken when they forget to take a pill two days in a row, according to urban-rural residence, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |  |
|  | Residence |  |  |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Percentage who did not take a pill in the last 2 days | 16.5 | 12.5 | 14.5 |
| Percent distribution of pill users by action taken when forget $2+$ pills: |  |  |  |
| Start again as usual | 14.3 | 17.5 | 15.9 |
| Take extra pills | 16.7 | 17.5 | 17.1 |
| Use another method | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| Extra pills plus other method | 16.8 | 6.7 | 11.8 |
| Never forgot | 44.1 | 51.9 | 48.0 |
| Other | 6.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 96 | 95 | 191 |

Fifteen percent of pill users said that the last time they had taken a pill was more than two days before the interview. Although it is possible that most of these women were in the period between packets and thus were protected against pregnancy, it is likely that many were unprotected, since most pills used in Tanzania are meant to be taken continuously. Urban pill users are slightly more likely than rural pill users to have not taken a pill in the two days before the survey.

All current pill users were also asked the following question: "Just about everyone forgets to take a pill sometime. What do you do when you forget to take a pill for two days in a row?" As shown in Table 4.12, 31 percent of pill users gave correct responses such as that they would take extra pills, use another
method or both. Almost half declined to answer the question in that they said they never forgot to take a pill, while 16 percent said they would continue taking the pill as usual, i.e., take only one pill on the day they forgot, thus possibly exposing themselves to the risk of pregnancy. Urban pill users are more likely to know that they should use another method to protect themselves if they forget to take a pill for two days.

### 4.7 Knowledge of the Fertile Period

Proper use of the calendar rhythm method and the mucus method depends on an elementary knowledge of a woman's menstrual cycle. Knowledge of the cycle can also improve the success of coital-related methods, such as withdrawal, condom, and vaginal methods. A woman's menstrual cycle is measured as the time between two menstrual bleedings. Within one cycle, a woman's ability to conceive varies from being relatively fertile for several days during the middle of the cycle, to being relatively infertile during the other days of the cycle.

Women who reported in the TKAPS that they were currently using the calendar rhythm method or the mucus method were asked whether there is a time during a woman's menstrual cycle when she is more likely to become pregnant, and if so, when during the cycle a woman is most likely to become pregnant. Women were also asked to identify which method they use to identify the time during their own ovulatory cycles when they were most fertile. The results are presented in Table 4.13.

Less than three percent of women interviewed reported that they were using the calendar rhythm or mucus methods. Of these women, almost half gave the correct response: that a woman is most likely to conceive in the middle of her ovulatory cycle. Thirty percent reported either that there is no particular time which is more fertile or that they did not know when a woman is most likely

Table 4.13 Knowledge of fertile period
Percent distribution of women who are currently using calendar rhythm or mucus method by knowledge of the fertile period during the ovulatory cycle and method used to determine unsafe days, Tanzania 1994

|  | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Knowledge of fertlle perlod |  |
| After period ended | 10.5 |
| Middle of her cycle | 46.8 |
| Before period begins | 7.9 |
| No particular time | 17.2 |
| Don't know | 13.3 |
| Missing | 4.1 |
|  |  |
| Method of determinlng |  |
| unsafe days |  |
| Calendar | 75.7 |
| Body temperature | 8.8 |
| Cervical mucus | 4.5 |
| Body temperature and mucus | 0.5 |
| No specific system | 5.8 |
| Other | 1.4 |
| Missing | 3.3 |
|  | 100.0 |
| Total | 109 |
| Number |  | to conceive. Given that all women who were asked this question said they were using the calendar rhythm or mucus method, knowledge of the correct use of the method is fairly low.

The large majority of women ( 76 percent) who were using a method of periodic abstinence said they used the calendar method to estimate the days to avoid sexual intercourse to prevent pregnancy. Nine percent use body temperature and 5 percent check their cervical mucus to estimate the unsafe time.

### 4.8 Sources for Family Planning Methods

Women who reported using a modern method of contraception at the time of the survey were asked where they obtained the method the last time. Table 4.14 and Figure 4.5 show that almost three-quarters of modem method users ( 71 percent) obtained their methods from government sources, including government hospitals ( 25 percent), government health centres ( 18 percent) and government dispensaries or parastatal facilities ( 27 percent). One-fifth of modern method users obtained their methods from private medical sources, such as facilities run by religious organisations ( 10 percent), private doctors, clinics, and hospitals ( 4 percent), pharmacies and medical stores ( 5 percent), and CBD workers ( 1 percent). Eight percent of women who use modern methods obtain them from other sources, such as shops, church, or friends and relatives.

Table 4.14 Source of supply for modern contraceptive methods
Percent distribution of women currently using modern contraceptive methods by most recent source of supply, according to specific methods, Tanzania 1994

| Source of supply | Contraceptive method |  |  |  |  | All modern methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pill | IUD | Injection | Condom | Female sterilisation |  |
| Public | 79.3 | (75.9) | 84.2 | 49.5 | 62.0 | 71.2 |
| Regional/Consultant hospital | 4.1 | (18.8) | 7.8 | 1.7 | 43.2 | 10.6 |
| District hospital | 12.1 | (25.8) | 15.5 | 11.7 | 17.4 | 14.4 |
| Health centre | 18.5 | (24.8) | 30.8 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 18.2 |
| Parastatal facility | 42.7 | (6.5) | 30.1 | 18.4 | 1.3 | 27.1 |
| Village health post | 2.0 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Medical private | 14.5 | (24.1) | 13.8 | 19.0 | 38.0 | 19.2 |
| Religious organisation facility | 5.2 | (21.0) | 7.0 | 2.2 | 34.8 | 10.0 |
| Doctor/Clinic/lospital | 3.4 | (3.0) | 6.9 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
| Pharmacy/Medical store | 4.2 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
| CBD worker | 1.7 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Other private | 4.3 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
| Shop | 3.8 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 |
| Church | 0.5 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Friends/Relatives | 0.0 | (0.0) | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Other | 0.0 | (0.0) | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Don't know | 1.9 | (0.0) | 1.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | (100.0) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of uscrs | 191 | (30) | 88 | 103 | 66 | 479 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. Total includes 1 user of diaphragm/foam/jelly
CBD $=$ Community-based distribution

Figure 4.5
Source of Family Planning Supply Current Users of Modern Methods


The source a woman uses to obtain contraceptive methods is related to the type of method she is using. Three-quarters or more of pill, IUD, and injection users obtained their methods from government sources, compared to only 62 percent of women who are sterilised and half of those who use condoms. As expected, a large proportion of condom users obtain supplies from pharmacies and shops. There has been a slight shift to greater use of private sector sources since 1991/92, due in part to greater use of condoms and more widespread use of private facilities as a source for the pill (Ngallaba et al., 1993:41).

### 4.9 Intention to Use Family Planning Among Nonusers

Women and men who were not using contraception at the time of the survey were asked if they intended to use family planning in the near future (within the next 12 months), and if not, at any time in the future. Results are presented in Table 4.15.

| Table 4.15 Future use of contraception <br> Percent distribution of all women and men who are not <br> currently using any contraceptive method by intention to <br> use in the future, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Future intention |  |  |
|  | Women | Men |
| Intend to use in next 12 months |  |  |
| Intend to use elater | 31.3 | 20.5 |
| Unsure as to timing | 17.0 | 20.0 |
| Unsure as $w$ intention | 17.9 | 3.2 |
| Do not intend to use | 31.3 | 30.8 |
| Missing | 1.2 | 1.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 3475 | 1579 |

Half of all women who were not using a method said they intended to use a method at some time in the future. This is significantly higher than at the time of the 1991/92 TDHS, when only one-quarter of the married nonusers said they intended to use at some time in the future. Although these figures cannot be interpreted literally as a prediction of future demand, it does indicate that women are significantly more disposed to considering use of family planning than they were just three years ago. Men who were not using a method were less likely than women to intend to start using in the next 12 months and more likely to be unsure if they would use at all.

Women who said they did not intend to use family planning were asked why they did not intend to do so (Table 4.16). Although women were asked to give their primary reason, as well as any additional reason, the vast majority of women gave only one reason. One in three women say they do not intend to use because they want more children. While this is, of course, a valid reason for not wanting to use contraception, these women could be potential users for spacing purposes. One in five nonusers report that either they or their partner is opposed to family planning.

Women who indicated an intent to use family planning at some time in the future were asked to name the method they would prefer to use. Nearly three-quarters of women said they would choose cither pills or injections (data not shown).

| Table 4.16 Reasons for not using contraception |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of all women who are not using a contraceptive method and who do not intend to use in the future by main reason for not using, according to age, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |  |
| Reason for not using contraception | Age |  | Total |
|  | <30 | 30+ |  |
| Not married | 16.0 | 0.6 | 7.0 |
| Infrequent sex | 1.7 | 4.3 | 3.2 |
| Menopausal/Hysterectomy | 0.0 | 13.0 | 7.6 |
| Subfecund/Infecund | 0.0 | 3.3 | 1.9 |
| Want more children | 38.1 | 31.8 | 34.4 |
| Respondent opposed | 12.2 | 14.4 | 13.5 |
| Husband opposed | 5.7 | 5.1 | 5.4 |
| Religious prohibition | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| No method known | 8.1 | 7.7 | 7.9 |
| No source known | 1.2 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| Health concerns | 1.5 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| Fear side effects | 4.3 | 2.7 | 3.4 |
| Lack of access | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Costs too much | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Inconvenient to use | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Interferes with body | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Other | 3.3 | 4.6 | 4.1 |
| Don't know | 5.1 | 0.9 | 2.6 |
| Missing | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 456 | 634 | 1089 |

### 4.10 Family Planning Information

Radio, television, and printed material are all potential sources of information about family planning. Respondents were asked whether they had heard or read about family planning within the six months preceding the survey. Table 4.17 presents the results by background characteristics for women.

Over half of the women interviewed in the TKAPS said they had been exposed to a family planning message in the 6 months before the survey. Radio is the most common source of information on family planning; 48 percent of women had heard a message on the radio. Less than one-quarter of women interviewed had seen a family planning message in a newspaper and less than one in five had seen a poster about family planning. Only 4 percent of women reported having seen a family planning message on television in the six months before the survey. Twenty-three percent of women have listened to the radio soap opera, Zinduka, in the six months preceding the survey.

Exposure to family planning messages varies considerably by background characteristics of the women. Twice the proportion of urban than rural women has seen or heard a message in the six months preceding the survey; this increased exposure is evident for each type of media. For example, three-quarters of urban women had heard a family planning message on the radio within the six months before the survey, compared to 40 percent of rural women and nearly one-half of urban women have read information in a newspaper and listened to Zinduka.

Table 4.17 Exposure to family planning messages
Percentage of all women who have heard a radio or television message, or read a newspaper, magazine, poster, leaflet, or brochure about family planning, or have listened to "Zinduka" during the 6 months prior to interview, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Type of media |  |  |  |  |  | Any media | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Radio | Television | Newspaper | Poster | Leaflet | Zinduka |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 74.8 | 11.7 | 46.7 | 36.4 | 15.8 | 45.9 | 80.6 | 1065 |
| Rural | 39.4 | 1.8 | 14.4 | 11.2 | 4.5 | 15.2 | 43.9 | 3160 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 62.5 | 8.4 | 29.6 | 23.3 | 8.7 | 36.2 | 66.0 | 1313 |
| Central | 46.9 | 2.6 | 21.3 | 15.7 | 7.6 | 18.6 | 51.9 | 1386 |
| Western | 37.4 | 2.2 | 17.6 | 14.2 | 5.9 | 15.5 | 43.3 | 1526 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 51.4 | 2.5 | 15.9 | 12.0 | 5.0 | 16.4 | 52.8 | 184 |
| Dar es Salaam | 89.8 | 18.7 | 60.0 | 43.2 | 12.9 | 66.4 | 93.4 | 450 |
| Iringa | 42.2 | 1.4 | 14.4 | 9.9 | 4.4 | 12.4 | 48.0 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 42.1 | 3.3 | 18.4 | 14.1 | 9.2 | 14.8 | 46.1 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 28.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 9.1 | 31.0 | 1227 |
| Primary incomplete | 42.5 | 2.4 | 16.3 | 12.1 | 4.6 | 18.8 | 48.0 | 894 |
| Primary complete | 60.2 | 5.6 | 33.5 | 24.3 | 9.4 | 30.2 | 65.9 | 1904 |
| Secondary/ligher | 85.0 | 22.2 | 73.2 | 59.6 | 39.9 | 58.3 | 90.4 | 193 |
| Total | 48.3 | 4.3 | 22.5 | 17.5 | 7.3 | 22.9 | 53.2 | 4225 |

Exposure to information on family planning also varies greatly by zone. Women in the Coastal Zone are the most likely to have been exposed to information on family planning, especially compared to women in the Western Zone. Not surprisingly, women in Dar es Salaam have the greatest exposure to family planning messages; 93 percent had heard or seen a message in the six months prior to the survey. Educational differences in exposure through reading material are not altogether surprising; however, exposure to a family planning message on the radio also increases stcadily with education.

Differences in question design between the TDHS and TKAPS make it difficult to assess changes in exposure to family planning messages through the media. In the TDHS, women were asked about exposure in the one month preceding the survey, as opposed to the period of six months in the TKAPS. Thus, exposure would be expected to increase merely by virtue of asking about a longer period of time. Also, the TKAPS included questions about a greater range of media instruments than did the TDHS.

In order to assess acceptability of family planning information in the mass media, all respondents were asked whether they approve or disapprove of broadcasting family planning information on the radio and television (Table 4.18). Three-quarters of women said that they approve of such dissemination of information, a slight increase from seventy-one percent of women approving at the time of the TDHS (Ngallaba et al., 1993:47). More than eight in ten men said they found it acceptable to have family planning messages on the radio or television.

| Percentage of all women and men who believe that it is acceptable to have messages about family planning on the radio or television, by age and selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  | Men |  |
| characteristic | Acceptable | Number | Acceptable | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 70.5 | 868 | 71.7 | 444 |
| 20-24 | 82.7 | 911 | 84.2 | 323 |
| 25-29 | 82.7 | 786 | 91.9 | 273 |
| 30-34 | 76.9 | 580 | 90.2 | 286 |
| 35-39 | 74.9 | 478 | 87.4 | 219 |
| 40-44 | 66.1 | 376 | 80.7 | 181 |
| 45-49 | 61.5 | 226 | 85.6 | 180 |
| 50-54 | - | - | 80.5 | 102 |
| 55-59 | - | - | 64.2 | 89 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 89.2 | 1065 | 93.0 | 515 |
| Rural | 71.4 | 3160 | 79.1 | 1582 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 82.1 | 1313 | 90.8 | 688 |
| Central | 76.2 | 1386 | 81.0 | 669 |
| Western | 70.3 | 1526 | 76.1 | 739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 66.5 | 184 | 79.2 | 75 |
| Dar es Salaam | 92.2 | 450 | 93.5 | 233 |
| Iringa | 74.4 | 220 | 63.7 | 96 |
| Mwanza | 73.3 | 340 | 79.8 | 194 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 58.4 | 1227 | 64.4 | 331 |
| Primary incomplete | 73.4 | 894 | 78.6 | 623 |
| Primary complete | 86.5 | 1904 | 88.6 | 983 |
| Secondary/Higher | 93.8 | 193 | 98.1 | 153 |
| Total | 75.9 | 4225 | 82.5 | 2097 |

Smaller proportions of women and men in the youngest and oldest age groups find broadcasting information over the radio or television acceptable; this same pattern was found in the TDHS. Patterns of acceptability by zone and education mimic the patterns found in exposure; those women who have not heard such messages are less likely to approve of them.

As a further measure of exposure to family planning information, all respondents were asked whether they knew what symbol identifies a place as a source for family planning methods. Results are presented in Table 4.19 for women. Fifteen percent of women were able to name the Green Star as the symbol which identifies a source of methods. This knowledge varies significantly by age, place of residence, and education. Women under 20 were half as likely as women age 20-34 to identify the symbol, as were women age 45-49. Nearly 40 percent of urban women named the Green Star, compared to only 7 percent of rural women. Women in the Western Zone, women in Mwanza Region, and women with no education are particularly unfamiliar with the symbol. The most common places to have learned about the symbol were the radio and clinic signs.

Table 4.19 Family planning symbol
Percentage of women who know that a green star is the symbol for family planning service outlets and of those, the percentage who cite various sources where they heard of Green Star, by selected background characteristics,
Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Know of Green Star | Where heard of Green Star |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Billboard | Bus | Poster | Radio | Clinic sign | Service provider | Other |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 9.6 | 38.0 | 26.7 | 26.1 | 66.2 | 48.3 | 11.9 | 2.1 | 868 |
| 20-24 | 18.3 | 26.2 | 14.9 | 18.9 | 53.2 | 53.9 | 16.6 | 3.5 | 911 |
| 25-29 | 17.5 | 27.9 | 16.8 | 19.8 | 59.0 | 72.8 | 15.8 | 1.3 | 786 |
| 30-34 | 21.0 | 32.3 | 12.9 | 18.1 | 49.5 | 66.3 | 16.9 | 0.8 | 580 |
| 35-39 | 14.0 | 33.8 | 11.3 | 16.9 | 47.4 | 62.1 | 9.3 | 1.3 | 478 |
| 40-44 | 14.4 | 28.7 | 5.5 | 26.4 | 58.8 | 51.5 | 19.8 | 1.7 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 7.2 | 58.6 | 25.9 | 3.1 | 53.8 | 52.4 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 226 |
| Resldence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 39.0 | 35.7 | 21.1 | 23.7 | 62.0 | 56.0 | 12.9 | 2.1 | 1065 |
| Rural | 7.3 | 22.6 | 5.7 | 13.1 | 43.2 | 67.6 | 19.6 | 1.5 | 3160 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 33.3 | 30.3 | 21.1 | 18.7 | 58.8 | 57.5 | 10.0 | 0.4 | 1313 |
| Central | 10.7 | 35.7 | 5.0 | 23.0 | 57.2 | 63.4 | 28.0 | 4.7 | 1386 |
| Western | 4.0 | 25.0 | 1.4 | 20.9 | 25.4 | 71.3 | 22.0 | 5.3 | 1526 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 15.9 | 28.2 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 69.6 | 63.4 | 23.6 | 2.7 | 184 |
| Dar es Salaam | 60.0 | 36.0 | 31.5 | 24.4 | 69.3 | 48.1 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 450 |
| Iringa | 9.6 | 41.5 | 3.3 | 42.1 | 28.0 | 43.8 | 24.8 | 6.2 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 7.1 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 24.2 | 59.9 | 27.9 | 11.5 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.2 | 25.2 | 13.8 | 9.5 | 49.8 | 57.5 | 7.1 | 2.4 | 1227 |
| Primary incomplete | 11.0 | 24.8 | 15.1 | 12.2 | 56.3 | 62.4 | 6.1 | 1.0 | 894 |
| Primary complete | 19.7 | 29.9 | 13.8 | 21.1 | 52.3 | 63.2 | 19.3 | 2.0 | 1904 |
| Secondary/Ligher | 49.8 | 46.5 | 24.7 | 30.5 | 69.9 | 48.7 | 15.7 | 1.8 | 193 |
| No. of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10.0 | 36.7 | 25.0 | 27.4 | 66.7 | 31.6 | 14.1 | 2.3 | 1047 |
| 1 | 23.9 | 26.0 | 16.7 | 15.2 | 63.0 | 63.2 | 16.9 | 1.2 | 653 |
| 2 | 19.8 | 27.3 | 8.6 | 20.3 | 49.6 | 68.7 | 17.6 | 4.5 | 592 |
| 3 | 16.8 | 36.5 | 20.9 | 21.0 | 50.4 | 65.5 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 528 |
| 4+ | 12.9 | 31.7 | 11.1 | 18.8 | 48.2 | 65.9 | 13.1 | 1.5 | 1405 |
| Total | 15.3 | 31.0 | 15.6 | 19.9 | 55.3 | 60.2 | 15.3 | 1.9 | 4225 |

To further measure exposure to family planning information, women were asked whether they had been visited by a family planning programme worker within the 12 months prior to the survey and also whether they had visited a health facility for any reason within the 12 months prior to the survey. If the woman had visited a health facility, she was asked whether anyone at the facility spoke to her about family planning. Table 4.20 presents the results.

Whereas only five percent of women have been visited by a family planning programme worker, 62 percent had visited a health facility within the last year. These women could have gone to the facility for reasons other than family planning, but once they enter the facility, they should be considered potential candidates for family planning outreach. Only one-quarter of women who went to a health facility were

Table 4.20 Family planning outreach
Percentage of women who were visited by a family planning field worker in the last 12 months and percentage who visited a healh facility in the last 12 months, and of chose, the percentage who were spoken to about family planning methods at the facility, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Percent visited by a family planning field worker | Percent who visited a health facility | Percent spoken to about family planning | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { women } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.5 | 52.2 | 8.9 | 868 |
| 20-24 | 5.4 | 63.2 | 25.3 | 911 |
| 25-29 | 5.4 | 66.9 | 30.4 | 786 |
| 30-34 | 5.4 | 68.0 | 31.3 | 580 |
| 35-39 | 5.3 | 66.2 | 31.8 | 478 |
| 40-44 | 4.5 | 63.8 | 25.6 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 5.9 | 54.8 | 18.5 | 226 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.4 | 69.2 | 27.8 | 1065 |
| Rural | 4.2 | 59.9 | 23.7 | 3160 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 3.2 | 72.9 | 22.1 | 1313 |
| Central | 4.9 | 52.8 | 24.8 | 1386 |
| Western | 5.3 | 61.6 | 27.7 | 1526 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 1.4 | 75.9 | 20.0 | 184 |
| Dar es Salaam | 1.7 | 69.4 | 18.2 | 450 |
| Iringa | 4.2 | 33.5 | 29.1 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 6.0 | 73.8 | 32.4 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.6 | 57.5 | 18.6 | 1227 |
| Primary complete | 3.9 | 64.1 | 24.2 | 894 |
| Primary incomplete | 5.7 | 64.2 | 28.7 | 1904 |
| Secondary/Higher | 8.0 | 65.6 | 25.6 | 193 |
| Total | 4.5 | 62.2 | 24.9 | 4225 |

spoken to about family planning. Those who were not spoken to about family planning represent missed opportunities for educating women about family planning. There is not a great deal of variability by background characteristics in the extent to which women are provided with family planning information at health facilities, although teenagers are particularly unlikely to be approached with such information (only nine percent of teenagers who visited a health facility within the last year were spoken to about family planning).

Aside from public sources of information, a potentially more influential source for information and the formation of attitudes is the informal channels of family, friends, and relatives. While adoption of several methods of contraception can be done by the individual, it is a behaviour that affects the couple. In the TKAPS, women who were currently married were asked the number of times they discussed family planning with their partners in the past year. The question was not posed to sterilised women, since their decision to contracept was a final one. Results are presented in Table 4.21.

## Table 4.21 Discussion of family planning by couples

Percent distribution of currently married non-sterilised women who know a contraceptive method by the number of times family planning was discussed with husband in the year preceding the survey, according to current age, Tanzania 1994

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number of times } \\ \text { family planning discussed }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Never | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Once or } \\ \text { twice }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { More } \\ \text { often }\end{array}$ | Missing |  | Total | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Number <br>

of <br>
women\end{array}\right]\)

Note: The question was not asked of sterilised women.

Nearly half of married women (46 percent) say they did not discuss family planning with their partners even once in the year preceding the survey. This does, however, represent an improvement since the time of the TDHS, when 56 percent of women reported never having discussed the topic with their partners in the previous year. There has also been improvement among women who have discussed the topic with their partners; the number of women who discussed family planning with their partners three or more times in the previous year increased markedly, from 18 percent to 31 percent. The increase in discussion between partners seems to have reduced age variations that were present at the time of the TDHS, such that there is now little variation across age groups in the likelihood of couples having discussed family planning.

The increased communication between couples has made women more aware of their partners' attitudes towards family planning. In the TKAPS, non-sterilised women who knew a method were asked whether they approve or disapprove of family planning and what they think their partners' attitudes were. More than four of five married women ( 81 percent) approve of family planning use, 13 percent disapprove, and 5 percent were not sure (data not shown). More than half of women say that their husbands also approve of family planning. While the percentage of couples who disapprove of family planning has not declined since the time of the TDHS ( 8 percent of couples disapprove), the percentage of women who do not know their husbands' attitudes towards family planning has declined from 31 to 21 percent.

All respondents were asked whether they had discussed family planning with friends or relatives in the six months prior to the survey, and if so, with whom. Results are presented in Table 4.22. Overall, onequarter of women reported having discussed family planning with a friend or relative. Urban women were twice as likely as rural women to have discussed the topic with someone ( 40 versus 20 percent). The likelihood of discussing the topic increases steadily with education. Among women who did discuss the topic with someone, 70 percent had done so with a friend, 40 percent had done so with a sister, and 36 percent with their partner. Other relatives were not common participants of such discussions, except that young women did tend to speak with their mothers about family planning, as did older women with their daughters.

Table 4.22 Discussion of family planning with relatives and friends
Percentage of all women who have discussed family planning with relatives or friends in the 6 months prior to the survey and, of these, the percentage who discussed family planning with specific relatives, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Discussed family planning with a friend/ relative | Family planning discussed with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Partner | Mother | Father | Sister | Brother | Daughter | Son | Mother in-law | Friend | Other |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 10.2 | 31.2 | 15.7 | 1.4 | 32.8 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 64.1 | 3.3 | 868 |
| 20-24 | 26.3 | 35.8 | 10.4 | 0.7 | 36.6 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 72.4 | 3.6 | 911 |
| 25-29 | 28.9 | 44.4 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 38.9 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 72.4 | 4.3 | 786 |
| 30-34 | 35.0 | 36.9 | 7.4 | 0.6 | 47.4 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 3.0 | 580 |
| 35-39 | 28.4 | 28.0 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 47.5 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 71.2 | 2.1 | 478 |
| 40-44 | 26.0 | 35.9 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 37.5 | 5.9 | 16.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 74.2 | 2.2 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 22.6 | 16.0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 38.8 | 7.8 | 3.6 | 68.5 | 1.0 | 226 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 39.7 | 36.1 | 8.2 | 1.7 | 47.9 | 3.0 | 6.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 77.0 | 1.9 | 1065 |
| Rural | 19.6 | 35.1 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 34.5 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 65.2 | 4.0 | 3160 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 27.6 | 31.3 | 9.6 | 1.6 | 45.8 | 2.2 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 76.1 | 2.9 | 1313 |
| Central | 24.7 | 38.3 | 5.2 | 0.9 | 38.1 | 2.3 | 8.9 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 67.5 | 4.0 | 1386 |
| Western | 22.2 | 37.3 | 8.5 | 0.9 | 35.3 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 65.8 | 2.5 | 1526 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 23.2 | 34.7 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 43.6 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.8 | 3.0 | 184 |
| Dar Es Salaam | 36.3 | 42.1 | 12.4 | 2.0 | 47.8 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 77.3 | 0.9 | 450 |
| Iringa | 19.1 | 74.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.5 | 0.0 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 23.7 | 33.6 | 10.3 | 2.4 | 41.8 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 73.3 | 4.1 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 12.3 | 35.8 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 32.1 | 1.5 | 9.1 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 63.0 | 1.6 | 1227 |
| Primary incomplete | 21.3 | 30.5 | 8.5 | 2.0 | 41.7 | 2.0 | 9.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 70.8 | 1.5 | 894 |
| Primary complete | 31.7 | 37.7 | 8.0 | 1.0 | 40.2 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 70.6 | 3.7 | 1904 |
| Secondary/Higher | 50.6 | 31.6 | 10.2 | 2.5 | 46.7 | 6.0 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 74.9 | 5.3 | 193 |
| No. of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 9.4 | 16.9 | 10.5 | 1.1 | 40.5 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 72.9 | 2.4 | 1047 |
| 1 | 26.2 | 31.5 | 13.0 | 2.1 | 36.8 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.6 | 5.4 | 653 |
| 2 | 29.7 | 35.7 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 41.2 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 74.4 | 2.6 | 592 |
| 3 | 34.2 | 44.8 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 41.8 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 65.9 | 3.3 | 528 |
| 4+ | 29.8 | 37.5 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 39.7 | 3.4 | 10.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 69.7 | 2.5 | 1405 |
| Total | 24.7 | 35.5 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 39.9 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 69.9 | 3.1 | 4225 |

Women who are already using contraception can be a valuable resource for those who are not. In the TKAPS, women were asked whether they perceived that most, some, or none of the women they know are using family planning. As shown in Table 4.23, 30 percent of women reported that most of the women they know are using family planning and an additional 20 percent reported that some of the women they know are using family planning. Teenage women, rural women, women in the Western Zone and in Iringa and Mwanza Regions, women with no education and those with no children are less likely than other women to say that most of the women they know are using family planning.

Table 4.23 Perceptions of the level of family planning use
Percent distribution of women by whether they think most, some, or none of the women they know use family planning, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Percent who believe other women use family planning |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Most | Some | None | Don't know |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.4 | 12.1 | 8.2 | 65.3 | 100.0 | 868 |
| 20-24 | 32.1 | 21.9 | 8.8 | 37.2 | 100.0 | 911 |
| 25-29 | 35.9 | 26.0 | 7.5 | 30.5 | 100.0 | 786 |
| 30-34 | 40.3 | 18.9 | 8.0 | 32.7 | 100.0 | 580 |
| 35-39 | 31.9 | 24.4 | 10.3 | 33.4 | 100.0 | 478 |
| 40-44 | 30.9 | 18.6 | 9.5 | 40.9 | 100.0 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 22.1 | 16.5 | 9.6 | 51.8 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 50.2 | 17.6 | 3.2 | 29.0 | 100.0 | 1065 |
| Rural | 22.7 | 20.7 | 10.5 | 46.1 | 100.0 | 3160 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 41.5 | 17.1 | 5.2 | 36.2 | 100.0 | 1313 |
| Central | 28.4 | 18.1 | 10.9 | 42.6 | 100.0 | 1386 |
| Western | 20.5 | 24.1 | 9.5 | 45.9 | 100.0 | 1526 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 33.1 | 14.8 | 4.6 | 47.5 | 100.0 | 184 |
| Dar es Salaam | 56.3 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 34.7 | 100.0 | 450 |
| Iringa | 16.7 | 24.6 | 24.1 | 34.6 | 100.0 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 20.7 | 28.4 | 10.9 | 40.1 | 100.0 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 16.5 | 17.5 | 12.3 | 53.7 | 100.0 | 1227 |
| Primary incomplete | 25.9 | 18.4 | 7.2 | 48.5 | 100.0 | 894 |
| Primary complete | 37.5 | 22.9 | 7.4 | 32.3 | 100.0 | 1904 |
| Secondary/Higher | 54.0 | 14.0 | 4.6 | 27.5 | 100.0 | 193 |
| No. of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 16.2 | 12.1 | 7.6 | 64.1 | 100.0 | 1047 |
| 1 | 32.4 | 22.9 | 7.3 | 37.3 | 100.0 | 653 |
| 2 | 35.9 | 25.8 | 8.6 | 29.7 | 100.0 | 592 |
| 3 | 37.4 | 22.7 | 8.1 | 31.8 | 100.0 | 528 |
| 4+ | 32.8 | 20.9 | 10.2 | 36.2 | 100.0 | 1405 |
| Total | 29.6 | 19.9 | 8.6 | 41.8 | 100.0 | 4225 |

## CHAPTER 5

## MARRIAGE AND SEXUAL ACTIVITY

While it is by no means exact, marriage is an indicator of exposure to the risk of pregnancy, and is therefore important to the understanding of fertility. Populations in which age at marriage is low also tend to experience early childbearing and high fertility; hence the motivation to examine trends in age at marriage. This chapter also includes more direct measures of the beginning of exposure to pregnancy and the level of exposure: age at first sexual intercourse and the frequency of intercourse.

### 5.1 Marital Status

Table 5.1 shows the distribution of women and men by their marital status at the time of the survey. The term "married" refers to legal or formal marriage (civil, religious, or traditional), whereas "living together" refers to informal unions. In subsequent tables, these two categories are combined and referred to collectively as "currently married" or "currently in union." Those who are widowed, divorced, and not living together (separated) make up the remainder of the "ever-married" or "ever in union" category.

Most women age 15-49 are in a marital union ( 69 percent). This is higher than the level of 66 percent reported in the 1988 population census and the 65 percent reported for the 1991/92 TDHS (Ngallaba et al., 1993:51). Although the majority of women are in a union, a fair proportion in their early 20 s have never been

| Table 5.1 Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of women and men by current marital status, according to age, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Marital status |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| Age | Never married | Married | Living together | Widowed | Divorced | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { living } \\ \text { together } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 72.5 | 19.1 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 868 |
| 20-24 | 22.9 | 58.1 | 11.9 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 911 |
| 25-29 | 7.9 | 70.0 | 12.3 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 786 |
| $30-34$ | 3.3 | 76.2 | 10.4 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 580 |
| 35.39 | 1.2 | 77.4 | 9.7 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 478 |
| 40-44 | 2.1 | 73.1 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 376 |
| 45-49 | 1.6 | 73.6 | 7.1 | 10.1 | 6.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 226 |
| Total | 22.2 | 59.1 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 4225 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 96.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 444 |
| 20-24 | 68.0 | 23.2 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 323 |
| 25-29 | 16.0 | 67.6 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 273 |
| 30-34 | 9.7 | 78.1 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 286 |
| 35-39 | 3.4 | 88.6 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 219 |
| 40-44 | 1.2 | 87.3 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 181 |
| 45-49 | 0.2 | 88.4 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 180 |
| 50-54 | 1.9 | 86.4 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 102 |
| 55-59 | 0.6 | 88.6 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 89 |
| Total | 35.0 | 55.7 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 2097 |

married ( 23 percent). More than 80 percent of women over age 30 are in a union. As expected, the proportion of women who are widowed increases with age, reaching 10 percent among those 45-49 years. Six percent of women are divorced or separated.

As expected, a greater proportion of men age 15-59 than women have never married, reflecting the fact that men tend to marry at older ages than women. However, with men, as with women, all but about 1-2 percent eventually marry.

### 5.2 Polygyny

Since polygyny is fairly common in Tanzania, married women were asked whether their husbands had other wives, and if so, how many. Table 5.2 presents the percentage of married women and men who report themselves to be in polygynous unions. Overall, 27 percent of currently married women and 17 percent of currently married men are in polygynous unions. The overall level of polygyny has not changed significantly since the 1991/92 TDHS; however, the pattem by age group seems to have shifted considerably. For example, while the level of polygyny among younger married women shows little change between the two surveys, it seems to have decreased among women in their 40s. For men, the trend in polygyny by age group is erratic, apparently decreasing among men in their 20s, increasing among men in their late 30s and late 40s and decreasing among men in their 50s. Since the level of polygyny generally increases with age, the data from the TKAPS are somewhat suspect and may reflect, among other things, the smaller sample

Table 5.2 Polygyny
Percentage of currently married women and men in a polygynous union, by age, 1991/92 TDHS and 1994 TKAPS

| Age | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1991 / 92 \\ & \text { TDHS }^{\text {a }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ \text { TKAPS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1991 / 92 \\ & \text { TDHS }^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ \text { TKAPS } \end{gathered}$ |
| 15-19 | 16.7 | 18.0 | * | * |
| 20-24 | 17.9 | 20.0 | 11.7 | 1.2 |
| 25-29 | 27.1 | 27.0 | 13.8 | 7.0 |
| 30-34 | 30.6 | 32.0 | 14.9 | 14.1 |
| 35-39 | 33.8 | 30.0 | 17.2 | 23.5 |
| 40-44 | 36.2 | 31.3 | 20.6 | 18.3 |
| 45-49 | 37.0 | 28.4 | 18.7 | 27.7 |
| 50-54 | NA | NA |  | 21.4 |
| 55-59 | NA | NA | $26.7{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 15.4 |
| Total | 27.5 | 26.7 | 16.1 | 16.5 |

* = Fewer than 25 cases

NA = Not applicable
a 1991/92 TDHS data include Zanzibar.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes age groups 50-54 and 55-59.
Source: Bureau of Statistics, 1993:132 size.

### 5.3 Age at First Marriage

The 1971 Marriage Act set the minimum legal age at marriage for women at 18 years. The data in Table 5.3 show that the median age at marriage for women has been holding steady at about 18 years for some time. This means that half the women in Tanzania get married before age 18. The TDHS data showed an increase in the median age at marriage among women that is not apparent in the TKAPS data. According to the TDHS, the median age at marriage among women in their forties was 17 , among women in their thirties 18, and among women in their twenties 19. However, both surveys did find an overall median age at marriage of 18 years.

Although the TKAPS data do not indicate an increase in the median age at marriage among women over time, they do show that the proportions marrying at the youngest ages have generally been declining. For example, there has been a gradual decline among women under age 40 in the proportions married by age 15. Moreover, although almost half of women age $30-39$ married before they were 18 , only about forty percent of the 20-29 year olds did so.

## Table 5.3 Age at first marriage

Percentage of women who were first married by exact age $15,18,20,22$, and 25 and percentage of men ever married by exact ages $20,22,25,28$, and 30 , and median age at first marriage, by current age. Tanzania 1994

| Current age | Percentage of women who were first married by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percent never married | Number of women | Median age at first marriage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 4.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 72.6 | 868 | a |
| 20-24 | 8.9 | 39.3 | 61.8 | NA | NA | 22.9 | 911 | 17.1 |
| 25-29 | 11.4 | 40.8 | 62.6 | 77.7 | 88.6 | 7.9 | 786 | 18.1 |
| 30-34 | 15.9 | 46.9 | 67.5 | 79.2 | 90.1 | 3.3 | 580 | 18.0 |
| 35-39 | 16.4 | 46.5 | 66.2 | 78.5 | 90.5 | 1.2 | 478 | 18.2 |
| 40-44 | 14.2 | 42.8 | 65.9 | 81.8 | 90.4 | 2.1 | 376 | 18.5 |
| 45-49 | 23.4 | 53.5 | 69.1 | 77.9 | 87.6 | 1.6 | 226 | 17.3 |
| 20-49 | 13.3 | 43.3 | 64.6 | 77.4 | 86.1 | 9.1 | 3357 | 17.8 |
| 25-49 | 15.0 | 44.9 | 65.6 | 78.9 | 89.5 | 4.0 | 2445 | 18.1 |
|  |  | Perce firs | of me ed by | o were t age: |  | Percent | Number | Median age at |
| Current age | 20 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 30 | married | men | marriage |
| 20-24 | 12.5 | 22.3 | 32.0 | NA | NA | 68.0 | 323 | a |
| 25-29 | 17.4 | 35.3 | 66.9 | 82.1 | 84.0 | 16.0 | 273 | 23.2 |
| 30-34 | 14.8 | 31.5 | 57.9 | 76.7 | 84.6 | 9.7 | 286 | 24.3 |
| 35-39 | 18.1 | 34.3 | 53.0 | 70.8 | 80.3 | 3.4 | 219 | 24.6 |
| 40-44 | 20.4 | 38.4 | 57.1 | 76.5 | 81.6 | 1.2 | 181 | 23.6 |
| 45-49 | 14.4 | 29.0 | 50.4 | 72.0 | 77.6 | 0.2 | 180 | 24.9 |
| 50-54 | 17.0 | 30.0 | 43.5 | 61.1 | 69.1 | 1.9 | 102 | 25.7 |
| 55-59 | 7.9 | 18.8 | 34.0 | 44.1 | 57.0 | 0.6 | 89 | 29.0 |
| 25-59 | 16.3 | 32.4 | 55.1 | 72.8 | 79.4 | 6.3 | 1330 | 24.3 |

NA = Not applicable
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women and men in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ were first married by age $x$.

The median age at first marriage among men is about 6 years higher than among women- 24 vs .18 (Table 5.3). As with women, the data for men show no trend over age groups, although the data for men are more erratic than for women.

Differences in the median ages at marriage by background characteristics indicate that, similar to the TDHS data, urban women and especially those with more education, marry later than rural and uneducated women (data not shown).

### 5.4 Age at First Intercourse

Although age at first marriage is commonly used as a proxy for exposure to intercourse, the two events do not coincide exactly. Women and men may engage in sexual relations prior to marriage, in which case, proportions married would underestimate the percent who are sexually active. In the TKAPS, women and men were asked the age at which they first had sexual intercourse. The results are presented in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4 Age at first sexual intercourse
Percentage of women and men ever having sexual intercourse by exact exact age $15,18,20,22$, and 25 , and median age at first sexual intercourse, by current age, Tanzania 1994

| Current age | Percentage having sexual intercourse by exact age: |  |  |  |  | Percent never having sex | Number | Median age at first intercourse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 50.1 | 868 | a |
| 20.24 | 17.6 | 60.1 | 82.6 | NA | NA | 8.8 | 911 | 17.1 |
| 25-29 | 21.4 | 62.2 | 84.6 | 94.5 | 98.1 | 1.3 | 786 | 16.9 |
| 30-34 | 22.3 | 63.1 | 83.9 | 93.5 | 96.3 | 0.2 | 580 | 17.0 |
| 35.39 | 21.6 | 66.0 | 84.2 | 91.9 | 97.2 | 0.0 | 478 | 16.7 |
| 40-44 | 23.1 | 59.6 | 81.7 | 90.7 | 97.1 | 0.6 | 376 | 16.8 |
| 45.49 | 22.5 | 62.8 | 80.8 | 88.6 | 94.8 | 0.3 | 226 | 17.0 |
| 20-49 | 20.8 | 62.1 | 83.3 | 91.9 | 95.5 | 2.8 | 3357 | 16.9 |
| 25-49 | 22.0 | 62.8 | 83.6 | 92.6 | 97.1 | 0.6 | 2445 | 16.9 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 23.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 39.9 | 444 | a |
| 20-24 | 15.0 | 62.5 | 85.2 | NA | NA | 7.1 | 323 | 16.9 |
| 25-29 | 18.6 | 65.9 | 82.7 | 91.7 | 96.7 | 0.8 | 273 | 16.8 |
| 30-34 | 13.4 | 53.8 | 76.2 | 92.3 | 97.5 | 1.0 | 286 | 17.7 |
| 35-39 | 13.1 | 52.8 | 78.9 | 93.7 | 95.9 | 0.0 | 219 | 17.7 |
| 40-44 | 10.5 | 51.0 | 82.8 | 93.1 | 96.4 | 0.0 | 181 | 17.9 |
| 45-49 | 10.8 | 48.9 | 72.6 | 88.2 | 94.0 | 0.2 | 180 | 18.1 |
| 50-54 | 11.5 | 51.3 | 76.4 | 91.6 | 95.1 | 1.5 | 102 | 17.9 |
| 55-59 | 3.6 | 34.8 | 58.6 | 80.4 | 90.3 | 0.6 | 89 | 18.9 |
| 20-59 | 13.3 | 55.3 | 78.8 | 90.9 | 95.2 | 1.8 | 1653 | 17.5 |
| 25-59 | 12.9 | 53.6 | 77.2 | 91.1 | 95.8 | 0.6 | 1330 | 17.7 |

NA = Not applicable
${ }^{2}$ Omitted because less than 50 percent of the women and men in the age group $x$ to $x+4$ were first married by age $x$.

The vast majority of both women and men (about 80 percent) engage in sexual intercourse for the first time while in their teenage years. There has been almost no change in the median age at first intercourse over time (across age groups) among women, whereas the data for men show a slight decline over time. Comparison with data from the TDHS also indicates no real change in the overall median age at first intercourse for either women or men (Ngallaba et al., 1993:56,134).

By comparing Table 5.4 with Table 5.3, it can be seen that for women the median age at first intercourse (16.9) is about one year earlier than age at marriage (17.8). For men, the difference is much greater- 17.7 for the median age at first intercourse vs. 24.3 for the median age at first marriage, or almost 7 years.

Focussing on the behaviour of the youngest women, it can be seen that 14 percent of 15 - to 19-yearolds have had sexual intercourse by age 15 , although only 4 percent were married by age 15 , and 18 percent of 20 - to 24 -year-olds had sexual intercourse by age 15 , although only 9 percent were married by age 15 .

### 5.5 Recent Sexual Activity

In the absence of contraceptive use, frequency of sexual intercourse is a direct determinant of pregnancy; therefore, knowledge of frequency is a useful indicator of exposure to pregnancy. Although virtually all women and men have sexual intercourse at some time in their lives, not all are currently sexually active. Table 5.5 shows the percent distribution of women who have ever had sexual intercourse by sexual activity in the four weeks prior to the survey and the duration of abstinence by whether or not the women have recently had a birth (are postpartum). Women who are not currently sexually active may be abstaining for many reasons. Some women may have recently given birth and be postpartum abstaining, whereas others may not have a sexual partner or may be separated from their partner.

Overall, two-thirds of the women interviewed in the TKAPS who had ever had sexual intercourse were sexually active in the four weeks preceding the interview. Nine percent had not had sexual relations since delivering a recent baby (postpartum) and 25 percent were abstaining for reasons other than having recently given birth. Most of these women had been sexually inactive for less than 2 years; only 10 percent of women who have ever had sexual intercourse had not been sexually active for two years or longer.

Among women who have had intercourse, the proportion who had sex in the four weeks preceding the survey does not vary by age or marital duration. It does, however, vary considerably by marital status; over 70 percent of ever-married women had had sexual intercourse in the four weeks preceding the survey, compared to only 44 percent of never married women who have ever had sexual intercourse. Women with secondary or higher education were also less likely to have been recently sexually active ( 57 percent). These women were the most likely to be abstaining for reasons other than having recently given birth. As one might expect, women who were using a method of family planning were more likely to be sexually active than women who were not using a method. Women using the pill had the highest proportion sexually active ( 88 percent).

The overall proportion of women reported to be sexually active has increased slightly since the 1991/92 TDHS (66 vs. 61 percent; Ngallaba et al., 1993:58). However, the proportions abstaining for postpartum or other reasons differ between the surveys. Much of this difference is probably due to the fact that, unlike the TDHS, the TKAPS did not include a specific question as to whether a recent mother had resumed sexual intercourse since the birth. Instead, the data on postpartum abstinence are derived from the question on when the respondent last had sexual intercourse. Thus, the TKAPS data may underestimate the proportions of women who are abstaining postpartum.

## Table 5.5 Recent sexual activity

Percent distribution of women who have ever had sexual intercourse by sexual activity in the four weeks preceding the survey, and among those not sexually active, the length of time they have been abstaining and whether postpartum or not postpartum, according to selected background characteristics and contraceptive method currently used, Tanzania 1994


## CHAPTER 6

## FERTILITY PREFERENCES

As in the TDHS, women interviewed in the TKAPS were asked several questions in order to determine their fertility preferences: their desire to have a(nother) child; how long they would prefer to wait before having that next child; and if they were able to re-live their lives again, how many children they would choose to have.

### 6.1 Desire for More Children

Table 6.1 shows the percent distribution of all women by their fertility preferences. Three-fifths of women want a child in the future, although the majority of these women ( 34 percent of all women) would like to wait two or more years before having that child (Figure 6.1). One-fifth of women in Tanzania say they want no more children (this includes 2 percent who are sterilised). There has been little change in fertility preferences since the TDHS. ${ }^{1}$

Table 6.1 Fertility preferences by number of living children
Percent distribution of women by desire for more children, according to number of living children, Tanzania 1994

| Desire for children | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 27.0 | 28.2 | 29.3 | 17.1 | 13.4 | 11.9 | 5.9 | 20.4 |
| Have another later ${ }^{3}$ | 13.4 | 53.5 | 48.9 | 53.4 | 38.4 | 31.3 | 17.3 | 34.2 |
| Have another, undecided when | 17.3 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 5.8 |
| Undecided | 33.5 | 6.8 | 5.3 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 9.8 | 5.1 | 13.3 |
| Want no more | 3.6 | 6.7 | 10.1 | 14.6 | 32.8 | 38.1 | 58.9 | 20.7 |
| Sterilised | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 1.6 |
| Declared infecund | 4.6 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 3.6 |
| Missing | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1020 | 670 | 594 | 530 | 408 | 335 | 668 | 4225 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy
${ }^{2}$ Want next birth within 2 years
${ }^{3}$ Want to delay next birth for 2 or more years

[^7]

As expected, the proportion of women who want another child generally decreases as the number of children they already have increases; conversely, the proportion of women who want no more children increases as the number of children they have increases (see Figure 6.2). For example, 28 percent of women with one child want another child in the near future, compared to only 6 percent of women with six or more children; only 7 percent of women with one child do not want any more children, while over one-third of women with five children want no more children. Overall, with 34 percent of women wanting to wait two or more years before having their next child and 22 percent either sterilised or wanting no more children at all, 57 percent of all women want to either space their next birth or stop childbearing altogether.

This majority that wants to either space or limit their childbearing is achieved by the time women reach their early 20 s, with 55 percent of women wanting to space their next child (Table 6.2). Almost half of women want to stop childbearing altogether by the time they reach their late 30 s, when they still have many potential years of childbearing ahead of them. Table 6.2 shows how rapidly the desire to limit childbearing increases with age. Only about 15 percent of the youngest and oldest women want a child within the next two years. By the time women are in their early 40 s, over half are either sterilised or want no more children.

Figure 6.2
Fertility Preferences of All Women by Number of Living Children


Table 6.2 Fertility preferences by age
Percent distribution of women age 15-49 by desire for more children, according to age, Tanzania 1994

|  | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Desire for <br> children | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40-44$ | $45-49$ | Total |
| Have another soon ${ }^{1}$ | 14.9 | 20.4 | 26.3 | 24.5 | 20.2 | 18.9 | 14.5 | 20.4 |
| Have another later $^{2}$ | 27.3 | 55.0 | 44.8 | 35.8 | 22.3 | 9.0 | 3.0 | 34.2 |
| Have another, undecided when | 15.6 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 5.8 |
| Undecided | 34.1 | 11.0 | 9.5 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 13.3 |
| Want no more | 5.1 | 7.6 | 14.3 | 28.0 | 41.9 | 48.5 | 45.8 | 20.7 |
| Sterilised | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 1.6 |
| Declared infecund | 2.8 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 9.6 | 25.1 | 3.6 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 868 | 911 | 786 | 580 | 478 | 376 | 226 | 4225 |

[^8]The overall proportion of women who want no more children differs only slightly by background characteristics. However, there are stronger differences in how quickly they reach the point of wanting no more children (see Table 6.3 and Figure 6.3). One-third of urban women with three children want no more; this one-third is not reached among rural women until they have four children. There is no strong relationship between education and wanting no more children until women have four children, although the majority of all women do still want another child even after having five children.

Table 6.3 Want no more children by background characteristics
Percentage of all women who want no more children by number of living children and selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.4 | 8.0 | 14.9 | 34.2 | 44.5 | 56.1 | 75.3 | 23.9 |
| Rural | 3.9 | 6.6 | 9.8 | 11.0 | 31.5 | 37.0 | 61.1 | 21.7 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 5.7 | 9.9 | 13.1 | 23.4 | 37.5 | 47.0 | 61.8 | 22.1 |
| Central | 2.0 | 5.4 | 11.0 | 13.9 | 32.9 | 40.3 | 73.9 | 22.9 |
| Western | 3.5 | 4.9 | 9.6 | 12.8 | 33.5 | 36.6 | 56.8 | 21.8 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 1.7 | (0.0) | (9.5) | * | (34.1) | (35.4) | (70.1) | 19.8 |
| Dar es Salaam | 3.3 | 14.5 | 19.9 | (34.4) | (45.3) | (48.8) | (87.4) | 24.4 |
| Iringa | (0.0) | (7.4) | (8.7) | (3.4) | (19.5) | (23.1) | (64.0) | 17.2 |
| Mwanza | 5.4 | 2.7 | 5.7 | (2.1) | 21.3 | 32.6 | 37.8 | 14.4 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 0.9 | 3.2 | 10.9 | 20.1 | 26.2 | 34.5 | 55.8 | 27.8 |
| Primary incomplete | 3.5 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 16.8 | 38.8 | 43.4 | 69.0 | 26.1 |
| Primary complete | 4.6 | 7.9 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 37.4 | 46.3 | 76.2 | 17.2 |
| Secondary/Higher | 3.8 | (7.1) | * | * | * | * | * | 20.3 |
| Total | 3.7 | 7.0 | 11.1 | 16.6 | 34.3 | 41.0 | 63.4 | 22.2 |

Note: Women who have been sterilised are considered to want no more children. Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 women; an asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 women and has been suppressed.
${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy

Figure 6.3
Percentage of All Women 15-49 Who Want No More Children by Background Characteristics


1994 TKAPS

### 6.2 Need for Family Planning

Although fertility desires remain high in Tanzania, there still exists a substantial need for family planning. Women who are potentially in need of family planning are those who either want to wait two or more years before their next birth (need for spacing) or want to stop childbearing altogether (need for limiting). Married women who want to space or limit their childbearing, but are not using contraception, are considered to have an unmet need for family planning. Women who are using family planning methods are said to have a met need for family planning. Women with unmet need and met need constitute the total demand for family planning. In calculating the unmet and met needs for family planning in Table 6.4, the data are restricted to women who are fecund, that is, potentially able to bear a child. Women who are no longer fecund do not have a need for family planning to achieve their desires.

Over one-quarter of married women in Tanzania have an unmet need for family planning (Table 6.4, column 3), 18 percent for spacing purposes and 10 percent for limiting births. Combined with the 20 percent of married women who are currently using a contraceptive method, the total demand for family planning comprises about one half of married women. Less than half of that demand is being met.

Table 6.4 Need for family planning services
Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 with unmet need for family planning, and met need for family planning, and the total demand for family planning services, by selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Unmet need for family planning ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Met need for farmily planning, (currently using) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Total demand for family planning ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Percentage of demand satisfied | Number of wormen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { spacing } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { spacing } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { spacing } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \\ \text { limiting } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 18.5 | 1.7 | 20.2 | 13.5 | 1.5 | 15.0 | 32.1 | 3.2 | 35.2 | 42.6 | 213 |
| 20-24 | 20.6 | 4.7 | 25.3 | 15.4 | 2.3 | 17.7 | 36.0 | 7.0 | 43.0 | 41.2 | 638 |
| 25-29 | 22.9 | 4.9 | 27.8 | 16.1 | 5.0 | 21.1 | 39.0 | 9.9 | 48.9 | 43.2 | 647 |
| 30-34 | 18.3 | 7.6 | 25.9 | 14.1 | 9.9 | 24.0 | 32.4 | 17.5 | 49.9 | 48.1 | 502 |
| 35-39 | 14.9 | 18.4 | 33.3 | 8.5 | 13.6 | 22.2 | 23.4 | 32.1 | 55.4 | 40.0 | 416 |
| 40-44 | 8.9 | 20.3 | 29.2 | 3.2 | 18.6 | 21.8 | 12.2 | 38.8 | 51.0 | 42.8 | 306 |
| 45-49 | 5.4 | 22.1 | 27.5 | 1.3 | 16.4 | 17.6 | 6.7 | 38.5 | 45.2 | 39.1 | 182 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 14.4 | 9.5 | 23.9 | 18.6 | 14.4 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 23.9 | 56.9 | 58.0 | 657 |
| Rural | 18.5 | 9.8 | 28.3 | 10.1 | 6.6 | 16.8 | 28.6 | 16.4 | 45.0 | 37.2 | 2247 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 20.0 | 9.6 | 29.6 | 13.9 | 10.2 | 24.1 | 33.9 | 19.7 | 53.7 | 44.9 | 883 |
| Central | 15.2 | 10.4 | 25.6 | 14.9 | 10.3 | 25.2 | 30.1 | 20.7 | 50.8 | 49.5 | 944 |
| Western | 17.5 | 9.3 | 26.8 | 8.0 | 5.3 | 13.3 | 25.6 | 14.5 | 40.1 | 33.1 | 1076 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 14.1 | 8.6 | 22.8 | 16.4 | 6.5 | 22.9 | 30.6 | 15.1 | 45.7 | 50.2 | 117 |
| Dar es Salaam | 14.2 | 9.0 | 23.3 | 15.9 | 16.3 | 32.3 | 30.2 | 25.4 | 55.5 | 58.1 | 265 |
| Iringa | 20.1 | 11.4 | 31.4 | 13.0 | 2.1 | 15.1 | 33.0 | 13.5 | 46.5 | 32.5 | 160 |
| Mwanza | 14.8 | 5.5 | 20.4 | 12.2 | 4.4 | 16.5 | 27.0 | 9.9 | 36.9 | 44.8 | 246 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 15.4 | 11.8 | 27.1 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 11.9 | 21.4 | 17.6 | 39.0 | 30.5 | 1005 |
| Primary incomplete | 15.5 | 14.1 | 29.5 | 9.5 | 11.7 | 21.1 | 24.9 | 25.7 | 50.6 | 41.7 | 545 |
| Primary complete | 20.6 | 6.3 | 26.9 | 16.8 | 8.2 | 25.0 | 37.4 | 14.5 | 51.9 | 48.2 | 1277 |
| Secondary/Lligher | 7.6 | 6.7 | 14.4 | 31.7 | 22.9 | 54.6 | 39.4 | 29.6 | 69.0 | 79.1 | 72 |
| Total | 17.5 | 9.7 | 27.3 | 12.0 | 8.4 | 20.4 | 29.6 | 18.1 | 47.7 | 42.8 | 2903 |

${ }^{1}$ Unmet need for spacing includes pregnant women whose pregnancy was mistimed, amenorrhoeic women whose last birth was mistimed, and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrhoeic and who are not using any method of family planning and say they want to wait two or more years for their next birth. Also included in unmet need for spacing are women who are unsure whether they want another child or who want another child but are unsure when to have the birth. Unmet need for limiting refers to pregnant women whose pregnancy was unwanted, amenorthoeic women whose last child was unwanted and women who are neither pregnant nor amenorrhoeic and who are not using any method of family planning and who want no more children. Excluded from the unmet need category are menopausal or infecund women.
${ }^{2}$ Using for spacing is defined as women who are using some method of family planning and say they want to have another child or are undecided whether to have another. Using for limiting is defined as women who are using and who want no more children. Note that the specific methods used are not taken into account here.
${ }^{3}$ Total demand includes pregnant or amenorthocic women who became pregnant while using a method (method failure).

There has been improvement in meeting demand since the time of the TDHS. ${ }^{2}$ The total demand for family planning has increased from 41 to 48 percent of married women, whereas the percentage of demand satisfied has increased substantially, from 26 percent in 1991/92 to 43 percent in 1994. Earlier tables in this chapter have shown that fertility desires have not changed substantially since the time of the TDHS, but Table
${ }^{2}$ Differences in questionnaire design between the TDHS and TKAPS hinders exact comparison of unmet need. The proportion of women classified as amenorrhoeic may be underestimated in the TKAPS, since a direct question was not included on whether respondents' menstrual periods had resumed since the last birth. There are also slight differences in the categorisation of women as being infecund, since the TKAPS did not include a complete birth history.
6.4 shows that higher levels of contraceptive use translate to a greater percentage of existing demand being satisfied. Contraceptive use has increased among all age groups, predominantly for spacing purposes among younger women and limiting purposes among older women. Contraceptive use for spacing purposes has doubled among nearly all age groups and increased substantially for limiting purposes. The increase in demand satisfied has also occurred across all educational groups. Unmet need for family planning has been reduced somewhat, largely by reducing the unmet need for limiting among the oldest women.

### 6.3 Ideal and Actual Number of Children

Thus far, fertility desires have been examined relative to respondents' current family size. But the TKAPS also asked women and men to consider how many children they would like to have if they could start their childbearing lives over again. This is taken to be the ideal number of children. The question eliciting ideal number of children is meant to be independent of the number of children the respondent already has, but there is usually a correlation between ideal and actual number of children. This is because people who want larger families will tend to achicve larger families and because respondents may adjust their ideal family size upwards as their actual family size increases.

Table 6.5 shows the percent distribution of all women and men by the number of children they would ideally like to have, according to the number of children they actually have. Fertility desires are high in Tanzania, so most women express an ideal number of children that is greater than the number they have. More than half state an ideal number of children of five or more. However, the mean ideal reported by women interviewed in the TKAPS is lower than it was in the TDHS for women at every parity, resulting in an overall mean ideal number of children that is 0.6 lower than it was at the time of the TDHS ( 5.5 vs .6 .1 in the TDHS). Also, the proportion of women who gave a non-numeric response, such as "up to God" or "as many as possible" fell from 14 to 7 percent of women, possibly indicating a reduction in the number of women who do not view family size as a matter of conscious reproductive choice. The mean ideal number of children is slightly higher among married women than it is among non-married women.

The same questions that were asked of women interviewed in the TKAPS were also asked of men. Regardless of the number of children they actually have, men have larger ideal family sizes than women on average. Overall, men want an average of 5.9 children, compared to 5.5 for women.

## Table 6.5 Ideal and actual number of children

Percent distribution of all women and men by ideal number of children and mean ideal number of children for all women and men and for currently married women and men, according to number of living children, Tanzania 1994

| Ideal number of children | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 2 | 8.2 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 3 | 11.7 | 14.8 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 7.0 |
| 4 | 33.2 | 31.5 | 32.1 | 23.0 | 18.6 | 10.8 | 15.7 | 25.5 |
| 5 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 18.2 | 19.1 | 12.7 | 13.4 | 6.8 | 14.1 |
| $6+$ | 24.0 | 27.8 | 35.7 | 48.5 | 58.0 | 61.3 | 64.5 | 42.0 |
| Non-numeric response | 8.5 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 11.4 | 7.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1020 | 670 | 594 | 530 | 408 | 335 | 668 | 4225 |
| Mean ideal number | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 5.5 |
| Number of women | 933 | 638 | 561 | 506 | 379 | 303 | 591 | 3912 |
| Mean ideal for currently married women | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 5.9 |
| women | 182 | 469 | 464 | 442 | 334 | 267 | 531 | 2689 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 1 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 2 | 6.3 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 3.2 |
| 3 | 15.3 | 11.7 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 9.5 |
| 4 | 25.0 | 32.2 | 21.0 | 20.7 | 21.7 | 13.1 | 15.5 | 22.3 |
| 5 | 18.4 | 22.6 | 12.0 | 18.3 | 14.5 | 11.8 | 4.9 | 15.2 |
| $6+$ | 25.3 | 24.6 | 50.5 | 53.0 | 57.3 | 60.5 | 60.4 | 40.8 |
| Non-numeric response | 8.0 | 6.3 | 8.1 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 9.5 | 13.4 | 8.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of men | 847 | 209 | 202 | 194 | 162 | 123 | 360 | 2097 |
| Mean ideal number | 4.9 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 5.9 |
| Number of men | 779 | 196 | 185 | 186 | 154 | 111 | 312 | 1924 |
| Mean ideal for currently married men | 5.3 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 6.6 |
| Number of currently married men | 106 | 148 | 168 | 173 | 141 | 109 | 309 | 1153 |

Note: The means exclude women who gave non-numeric responses.
${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy

Table 6.6 presents the mean ideal number of children for all women by age and selected background characteristics. Women who stated an ideal family size that was smaller than the overall mean of 5.5 were urban women ( 4.7 children) and women who completed a primary education (5.0) and secondary or higher schooling ( 3.9 children). Women in Dodoma and Dar es Salaam also stated mean ideal family sizes that were lower than the overall average, as did women in the Coastal and Central Zones. Older women, who probably acquired their family-building attitudes 20 to 30 years ago, have larger ideal numbers than younger women. However, women of all ages express ideal numbers of children that are lower than in the TDHS.

Table 6.6 Mean ideal number of children by background characteristics
Mean ideal number of children for all women age 15-49, by age and selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 4.7 |
| Rural | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 5.8 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 5.2 |
| Central | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 5.3 |
| Western | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 7.7 | 7.2 | 6.1 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | (4.6) | (4.8) | 4.7 | (5.4) | (6.5) | (5.6) | * | 5.2 |
| Dar es Salaam | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.4 | (4.9) | (5.9) | (5.4) | * | 4.7 |
| Iringa | (4.3) | 4.5 | 5.6 | (6.1) | (7.2) | (7.7) | * | 5.8 |
| Mwanza | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.6 | (7.1) | (7.7) | * | 6.1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 6.6 |
| Primary incomplete | 4.8 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 5.7 |
| Primary complete | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.6 | * | 5.0 |
| Secondary/Higher | (3.6) | 3.7 | (3.9) | * | * | * | * | 3.9 |
| Total | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 5.5 |

Note: Figures in parentheses are based on 25 to 49 women; an asterisk indicates that a figure is based on fewer than 25 women and has been suppressed.

## CHAPTER 7

## SEXUAL ACTIVITY AND KNOWLEDGE OF AIDS

Since HIV/AIDS continues to be one of the most important public health problems in Tanzania, the TKAPS included an expanded set of questions pertaining to sexual activity and knowledge of AIDS.

### 7.1 Sexual Partners

Both male and female respondents were asked questions about their sexual partners within the previous 12 months. Respondents were asked about their spouses and regular sexual partners other than spouses, and nonregular partners. A regular partner is someone with whom the respondent has been having sexual relations for about a year or more.

Married respondents were asked whether they had a regular sexual partner other than their spouse, and if so, how many regular partners they had. They were also asked to state when they last had sexual intercourse with their spouse or regular partner. Married respondents were also asked whether they had sexual intercourse with someone other than their spouse or regular partner within the 12 months prior to the survey, and, if so, how many such people they had sex with and how long ago the most recent sexual encounter took place.

Unmarried respondents were asked whether they had a regular sexual partner and if so, how many regular partners they had. They were also asked to state when they last had sex with a regular partner. Unmarried respondents were also asked whether they had had sexual intercourse with someone other than a regular partner within the 12 months prior to the survey. They were then asked how many people they had sex with and when the most recent sexual encounter with a nonregular partner occurred.

Tables 7.1.1 and 7.1.2 present data on the number of sexual partners respondents had in the 12 months preceding the survey. In constructing these tables, it was assumed that married respondents who reported that they had been sexually active within the previous 12 months were sexually active with their spouses and that other partners were in addition to their spouses.

The vast majority of currently married women ( 93 percent) have not had sex with anyone other than their spouse (or have not had sex at all) in the 12 months preceding the survey. The women who have had a partner other than their spouse are of all ages, marital durations, and education levels. Most of the unmarried women who were sexually active in the 12 months preceding the survey had only one partner ( 26 percent of all unmarried women). As is true of the married women, unmarried women who have had more than one partner in the previous 12 months are of all ages and education levels. Unmarried women age 25-29 are the most likely to have had more than one partner ( 14 percent).

Men, both married and unmarried, are more likely than women to have had more than one sexual partner in the 12 months preceding the survey. About one-quarter of currently married men have had two or more partners. The likelihood of having two or more partners increases with increasing education. Onchalf of unmarried men have been sexually active in the previous 12 months; one-quarter of unmarried men had one partner, and one-quarter of unmarried men had two or more partners. As is true of the unmarried women, unmarried men who have had more than one partner in the previous 12 months are of all ages and education levels.

Table 7.1.1 Number of sexual partners: women
Percent distribution of women by number of persons with whom they had sexual intercourse in the last 12 months and mean number of persons with whom they had sexual intercourse, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Currently married women |  |  |  |  |  | Number | Women who are not currently married |  |  |  |  |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of partners including spouse |  |  |  | Total | Mean |  | Number of partners |  |  |  | Total | Mean |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | $4+$ |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $4+$ |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.4 | 88.1 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 213 | 76.6 | 18.5 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 655 |
| 20-24 | 5.2 | 86.1 | 7.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 638 | 61.8 | 32.7 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 274 |
| 25-29 | 5.0 | 87.3 | 6.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 647 | 47.0 | 39.2 | 12.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 139 |
| 30-39 | 6.7 | 86.5 | 5.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 918 | 56.9 | 33.1 | 7.2 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 140 |
| 40-49 | 8.2 | 87.2 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 488 | 68.6 | 27.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 113 |
| Marital duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0-4$ | 5.0 | 89.1 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 679 | - | - | - | : | 0.0 | - | 0 |
| 5-9 | 7.3 | 84.3 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 682 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0 |
| 10-14 | 3.7 | 89.5 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 526 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0 |
| $15+$ | 7.7 | 85.6 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 1016 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.5 | 86.5 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 657 | 60.7 | 32.5 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 408 |
| Rural | 6.5 | 86.9 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 2247 | 70.8 | 23.0 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 913 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 6.9 | 84.4 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 883 | 65.8 | 25.9 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 430 |
| Central | 8.9 | 83.7 | 6.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 944 | 67.0 | 29.1 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 442 |
| Western | 3.4 | 91.6 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 1076 | 70.1 | 22.8 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 450 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 7.7 | 85.7 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 1005 | 67.3 | 26.0 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 223 |
| Primary incomplete | 4.8 | 86.4 | 8.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 545 | 76.2 | 17.5 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 347 |
| Primary complete | 6.0 | 87.3 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1276 | 63.8 | 29.5 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 652 |
| Secondary+ | 1.1 | 96.2 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 72 | 64.0 | 31.2 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 97 |
| Total | 6.2 | 86.8 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 2903 | 67.7 | 25.9 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 1322 |

Note: Married respondents who were sexually active in the last 12 months are assumed to have been sexually active with their spouses. Totals include 7 women with education missing.

Table 7.1.2 Number of sexual partners: men
Percent distribution of men by number of persons with whom they had sexual intercourse in the last 12 months and mean number of persons with whom they had sexual intercourse, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Currently martied men |  |  |  |  |  | Number | Men who are not currently married |  |  |  |  |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of partners including spouse |  |  |  | Total | Mean |  | Number of partners |  |  |  | Total | Mean |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | $4+$ |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2-3 | $4+$ |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 10 | 58.4 | 20.9 | 15.3 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 435 |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 60.8 | 31.5 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 1.8 | 88 | 35.5 | 30.7 | 21.6 | 12.3 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 235 |
| 25-29 | 1.8 | 65.7 | 21.9 | 10.6 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 203 | 32.4 | 39.0 | 19.4 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 70 |
| 30-39 | 3.6 | 67.9 | 22.4 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 441 | 39.1 | 28.7 | 25.9 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 64 |
| 40-49 | 7.1 | 69.6 | 19.3 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 336 | 66.5 | 25.2 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 25 |
| 50-59 | 6.4 | 78.6 | 11.7 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 178 | 66.5 | 18.4 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.6 | 13 |
| Marital duration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.4 | 1.8 | 64.9 | 23.3 | 9.9 | 100.0 | 1.9 | 280 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0 |
| 5-9 | 3.0 | 65.3 | 23.7 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 277 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0 |
| 10-14 | 6.1 | 68.3 | 21.0 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 202 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0 |
| 15+ | 5.9 | 72.9 | 17.6 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 496 | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | 0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.9 | 72.2 | 20.1 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 276 | 41.3 | 33.1 | 17.2 | 8.5 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 239 |
| Rural | 4.8 | 67.7 | 21.0 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 980 | 51.7 | 22.9 | 18.1 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 602 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 3.2 | 66.7 | 22.8 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 426 | 45.3 | 29.2 | 19.1 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 262 |
| Central | 6.0 | 68.5 | 18.8 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 394 | 44.5 | 29.0 | 18.6 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 276 |
| Western | 4.0 | 70.8 | 20.5 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 436 | 55.6 | 20.0 | 16.0 | 8.4 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 304 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.3 | 74.3 | 14.9 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 233 | 52.1 | 26.6 | 14.8 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 98 |
| Primary incomplete | 5.9 | 72.7 | 17.4 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 349 | 56.7 | 21.3 | 15.3 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 274 |
| Primary complete | 2.8 | 64.3 | 24.3 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 590 | 44.3 | 26.2 | 20.1 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 392 |
| Secondary+ | 3.8 | 66.2 | 26.9 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 81 | 40.0 | 35.7 | 20.3 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 72 |
| Total | 4.4 | 68.7 | 20.8 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 1,255 | 48.7 | 25.8 | 17.8 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 842 |

Note: Married respondents who were sexually active in the last 12 months are assumed to have been sexually active with their spouses. Totals include 7 men with education missing.

* Denotes less than 25 cases

Among respondents who have ever had sexual intercourse, Table 7.2 shows the percent of women and men who exchanged money, gifts, or favours the last time they had sex with someone other than their spouse or regular partner within the previous 12 months. Unmarried respondents (both women and men) are more likely than currently married respondents to have made such an exchange.

Overall, 2 percent of married women and 14 percent of unmarried women received a gift or favour the last time they had sex with someone other than their regular partner. Sixteen percent of unmarried men and 6 percent of married men gave money, a gift, or a favour the last time they had sex with someone other than their regular partner. While unmarried women under the age of 30 are the most likely to have received a gift or favour, unmarried men who give gifts or favours show less variability by age. Exchange of favours occurs across all education levels, showing no particular pattern.

## Table 7.2 Payment for sexual relations

Among women and men who ever had sexual intercourse, the percentage who gave or received money, gifts, or favours the last time they had sex with someone other than their spouse or regular partner (this last sexual encounter must have occurred within the previous 12 months), Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Women |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Currently married |  | Not currenty married |  | Total |  | Currently married |  | Not currendy married |  | Total |  |
|  | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | All | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | All |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.8 | 213 | 20.0 | 220 | 10.5 | 433 | 0.0 | 10 | 15.6 | 257 | 15.0 | 267 |
| 20-24 | 3.2 | 638 | 14.2 | 194 | 5.8 | 831 | 2.4 | 88 | 15.6 | 213 | 11.8 | 300 |
| 25-29 | 2.7 | 647 | 17.8 | 129 | 5.2 | 776 | 7.0 | 203 | 15.4 | 68 | 9.1 | 271 |
| 30-39 | 2.0 | 918 | 7.0 | 139 | 2.7 | 1056 | 7.7 | 441 | 21.0 | 61 | 9.3 | 502 |
| 40-49 | 0.8 | 488 | 4.1 | 110 | 1.4 | 598 | 4.7 | 336 | 10.8 | 25 | 5.1 | 361 |
| 50-59 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 4.2 | 178 | * | 11 | 4.1 | 189 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.2 | 657 | 15.9 | 282 | 7.0 | 939 | 6.8 | 276 | 19.0 | 191 | 11.8 | 466 |
| Rural | 1.8 | 2247 | 12.5 | 510 | 3.8 | 2756 | 5.6 | 980 | 14.3 | 443 | 8.3 | 1423 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 3.8 | 883 | 20.5 | 274 | 7.8 | 1157 | 7.1 | 426 | 15.5 | 212 | 9.9 | 638 |
| Central | 1.2 | 944 | 9.5 | 256 | 3.0 | 1200 | 4.5 | 394 | 15.3 | 214 | 8.3 | 608 |
| Western | 1.6 | 1076 | 10.7 | 262 | 3.4 | 1338 | 5.9 | 436 | 16.3 | 208 | 9.3 | 644 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.7 | 1005 | 7.6 | 169 | 2.5 | 1175 | 4.6 | 233 | 21.8 | 71 | 8.6 | 304 |
| Primary incomplete | 2.3 | 545 | 18.0 | 139 | 5.5 | 684 | 5.5 | 349 | 15.6 | 171 | 8.9 | 520 |
| Primary complete | 2.6 | 1276 | 15.4 | 422 | 5.7 | 1699 | 6.5 | 590 | 13.9 | 327 | 9.1 | 917 |
| Secondary+ | 0.0 | 72 | 9.0 | 60 | 4.1 | 133 | 6.0 | 81 | 19.5 | 62 | 11.8 | 143 |
| Total | 2.1 | 2903 | 13.7 | 792 | 4.6 | 3695 | 5.9 | 1255 | 15.7 | 634 | 9.2 | 1889 |

* Fewer than 25 cases

Tables 7.3.1 and 7.3.2 identify the relationship of the person with whom the respondent last had sexual intercourse. All married women and virtually all married men ( 98 percent) reported that their most recent sexual intercourse was with their spouse or regular partner. As with Table 7.2, data for the unmarried apply only to respondents who reported ever having had sex. Unmarried respondents are about as likely to have most recently had sex with someone they consider to be a regular partner, as they are to have had sex with a nonregular partner. Forty-nine percent of unmarried women and 56 percent of unmarried men last had sex with someone other than a regular partner.

Table 7.3.1 Relationship with last person with whom respondent had sexual intercourse: women
Percent distribution of women who have ever had sexual intercourse by relationship with last person with whom they had sexual intercourse and marital status, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Currently married women |  |  | Women who are not currenuly married |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spouse/ Regular partner | Other | Total | Number | Regular partner | Someone paid | Other | Total | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 213 | 59.2 | 11.5 | 29.3 | 100.0 | 220 |
| 20-24 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 638 | 56.9 | 6.7 | 36.4 | 100.0 | 194 |
| 25-29 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 647 | 51.6 | 8.0 | 40.4 | 100.0 | 129 |
| 30-39 | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 918 | 42.4 | 1.9 | 55.6 | 100.0 | 139 |
| 40-49 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 488 | 36.1 | 0.5 | 63.4 | 100.0 | 110 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 657 | 58.4 | 8.4 | 33.2 | 100.0 | 282 |
| Rural | 99.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,247 | 47.3 | 5.5 | 47.2 | 100.0 | 510 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 99.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 883 | 50.1 | 10.6 | 39.3 | 100.0 | 274 |
| Central | 99.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 944 | 54.0 | 4.9 | 41.1 | 100.0 | 256 |
| Western | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,076 | 49.8 | 3.9 | 46.3 | 100.0 | 262 |
| Reglon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 117 | 63.8 | 6.2 | 30.0 | 100.0 | 49 |
| Dar es Salaam | 99.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 265 | 49.0 | 11.8 | 39.3 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Iringa | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 160 | 56.7 | 0.0 | 43.3 | 100.0 | 32 |
| Mwanza | 99.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 246 | 64.3 | 2.6 | 33.1 | 100.0 | 65 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,005 | 43.8 | 1.5 | 54.7 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Primary incomplete | 99.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 545 | 52.7 | 9.2 | 38.1 | 100.0 | 139 |
| Primary complete | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1,276 | 52.3 | 8.6 | 39.1 | 100.0 | 422 |
| Secondary+ | 99.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 72 | 60.7 | 0.7 | 38.5 | 100.0 | 60 |
| Total | 99.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,903 | 51.3 | 6.6 | 42.2 | 100.0 | 792 |

Table 7.3.2 Relationship with last person with whom respondent had sexual intercourse: men
Percent distribution of men who have ever had sexual intercourse by relationship with last person with whom they had sexual intercourse and marital status, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Currenly married men |  |  | Number | Men who are not currently married |  |  |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spouse/ Regular partner | Other | Total |  | Regular partner | Someone paid | Other | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10 | 46.2 | 8.7 | 45.1 | 100.0 | 257 |
| 20-24 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 88 | 44.9 | 6.3 | 48.8 | 100.0 | 213 |
| 25-29 | 96.7 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 203 | 55.9 | 8.9 | 35.2 | 100.0 | 68 |
| 30-39 | 96.7 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 441 | 29.6 | 12.0 | 58.4 | 100.0 | 61 |
| 40-49 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 336 | 20.5 | 7.9 | 71.5 | 100.0 | 25 |
| 50-59 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 178 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 100.0 | 11 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.3 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 276 | 38.6 | 10.7 | 50.7 | 100.0 | 191 |
| Rural | 97.4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 980 | 46.0 | 6.9 | 47.1 | 100.0 | 443 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 98.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 426 | 35.9 | 9.2 | 54.9 | 100.0 | 212 |
| Central | 96.6 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 394 | 47.1 | 7.4 | 45.5 | 100.0 | 214 |
| Western | 97.4 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 436 | 48.3 | 7.6 | 44.1 | 100.0 | 208 |
| Reglon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 98.3 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 42 | 62.8 | 6.9 | 30.3 | 100.0 | 23 |
| Dar es Salaam | 97.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 122 | 31.8 | 17.7 | 50.5 | 100.0 | 89 |
| lringa | 98.4 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 60 | 44.7 | 6.3 | 49.0 | 100.0 | 28 |
| Mwanza | 95.6 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 107 | 54.5 | 3.7 | 41.9 | 100.0 | 60 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 99.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 233 | 35.3 | 15.7 | 49.0 | 100.0 | 71 |
| Primary incomplete | 97.2 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 349 | 50.5 | 6.2 | 43.4 | 100.0 | 171 |
| Primary complete | 96.9 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 590 | 42.5 | 6.3 | 51.2 | 100.0 | 327 |
| Secondary+ | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81 | 44.0 | 14.7 | 41.3 | 100.0 | 62 |
| Total | 97.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1,255 | 43.8 | 8.1 | 48.2 | 100.0 | 634 |

### 7.2 Sexually Transmitted Diseases

All respondents were asked to name diseases they had heard about that can be transmitted through sexual intercourse. Nearly all respondents named at least one disease, AIDS (Tables 7.4.1 and 7.4.2). Gonorrhoea was named by 61 percent of women and 80 percent of men. Many respondents were also able to name syphilis ( 54 percent of women and 68 percent of men). Knowledge of sexually transmitted diseases is higher among urban respondents and those in Dar es Salaam. Knowledge of the existence of gonorrhoea and syphilis increases with increasing education.

Table 7.4.1 Knowledge of sexually transmitted diseases: women
Percentage of women who know of specific sexually transmitted diseases, by background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Syphilis | Gonorrhoea | AIDS | Genital warts | Other | Don't know any | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 36.5 | 42.7 | 97.1 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 868 |
| 20-24 | 57.1 | 65.8 | 98.9 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 911 |
| 25-29 | 61.2 | 70.0 | 98.1 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 786 |
| 30-39 | 61.0 | 67.2 | 98.3 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 1057 |
| 40-49 | 53.4 | 59.1 | 95.1 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 601 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married, no sex | 26.9 | 33.8 | 97.7 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 529 |
| Never married, had sex | 59.4 | 65.6 | 99.6 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 408 |
| Currently married | 57.7 | 64.5 | 97.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2903 |
| Formerly married | 58.0 | 69.3 | 98.0 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 384 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 71.3 | 76.3 | 99.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 1065 |
| Rural | 48.3 | 56.1 | 97.1 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3160 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 63.6 | 73.6 | 99.3 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1313 |
| Central | 58.5 | 65.1 | 98.6 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 1386 |
| Western | 41.9 | 47.1 | 95.5 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 1526 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 53.4 | 62.9 | 97.2 | 0.7 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 184 |
| Dar es Salaam | 77.3 | 76.3 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 450 |
| Iringa | 76.5 | 68.3 | 99.7 | 5.4 | 8.9 | 0.3 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 31.6 | 38.5 | 94.4 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 41.6 | 46.6 | 94.1 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 5.9 | 1229 |
| Primary incomplete | 47.8 | 58.4 | 98.2 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 893 |
| Primary complete | 62.3 | 70.1 | 99.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 1928 |
| Secondary+ | 84.4 | 81.8 | 100.0 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 169 |
| Total | 54.1 | 61.2 | 97.7 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 4225 |

Table 7.4.2 Knowledge of sexually transmitted diseases: men
Percentage of men who know of specific sexually transmitted diseases, by background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Syphilis | Gonorrhoea | AIDS | Genital warts | Other | Don't know any | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 40.9 | 58.9 | 97.3 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 444 |
| 20-24 | 70.2 | 81.2 | 99.6 | 9.0 | 6.6 | 0.4 | 323 |
| 25-29 | 79.7 | 87.2 | 99.7 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 273 |
| 30-39 | 76.5 | 86.5 | 98.8 | 11.3 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 504 |
| 40-49 | 73.9 | 84.4 | 98.2 | 8.9 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 361 |
| 50-59 | 73.7 | 86.8 | 97.4 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 191 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married, no sex | 32.6 | 46.8 | 92.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 7.6 | 208 |
| Never married, had sex | 61.6 | 77.8 | 99.9 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 526 |
| Currently married | 75.3 | 85.0 | 98.9 | 8.8 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 1255 |
| Formerly married | 76.0 | 88.6 | 98.9 | 14.1 | 9.5 | 1.1 | 108 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 75.3 | 88.3 | 99.5 | 7.9 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 515 |
| Rural | 65.2 | 76.7 | 98.2 | 7.9 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 1582 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 77.6 | 90.5 | 98.6 | 5.8 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 688 |
| Central | 68.3 | 82.9 | 98.8 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 669 |
| Western | 57.9 | 66.4 | 98.1 | 10.3 | 7.3 | 1.9 | 739 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 53.4 | 82.6 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 75 |
| Dar es Salaam | 72.9 | 91.9 | 99.7 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 233 |
| Iringa | 78.8 | 83.8 | 97.9 | 18.3 | 9.9 | 2.1 | 96 |
| Mwanza | 51.5 | 55.1 | 95.7 | 22.0 | 11.4 | 4.3 | 194 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 57.7 | 67.9 | 95.1 | 8.1 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 331 |
| Primary incomplete | 59.9 | 71.9 | 97.8 | 7.5 | 6.8 | 2.2 | 623 |
| Primary complete | 72.7 | 85.6 | 99.8 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 983 |
| Secondary+ | 87.6 | 96.6 | 100.0 | 13.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 153 |
| Total | 67.7 | 79.6 | 98.5 | 7.9 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 2097 |

Respondents were asked whether they had had any sexually transmitted diseases in the previous 12 months. As Tables 7.5.1 and 7.5.2 show, two percent of women and four percent of men reported having had such a disease in the 12 months before the survey, mostly gonorrhoea.

Table 7.5.1 Sexually transmitted discases in the last year: women
Percentage of women with sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) during the 12 months preceding the survey, by specific STDs and background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Any } \\ & \text { STD } \end{aligned}$ | Syphilis | Gonorrhoea | AIDS | Genital warts | Other | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 868 |
| 20-24 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 911 |
| 25-29 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 786 |
| 30-39 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1057 |
| 40-49 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 601 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married, no sex | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 529 |
| Never married, had sex | 1.9 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 408 |
| Currently married | 2.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2903 |
| Formerly married | 1.6 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 384 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.0 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1065 |
| Rural | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3160 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1313 |
| Central | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1386 |
| Western | 2.6 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1526 |
| Reglon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 2.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 184 |
| Dar es Salaam | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 450 |
| Iringa | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 2.4 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1229 |
| Primary incomplete | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 893 |
| Primary complete | 2.5 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1928 |
| Secondary+ | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 169 |
| Total | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4225 |

Table 7.5.2 Sexually transmitted diseases in the last year: men
Percentage of men with sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) during the 12 months preceding the survey, by specific STDs, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Any } \\ & \text { STD } \end{aligned}$ | Syphilis | Gonorrhoea | AIDS | Genital warts | Other | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 444 |
| 20-24 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 323 |
| 25-29 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 273 |
| 30-39 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 504 |
| 40-49 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 361 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married, no sex | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 208 |
| Never married, had sex | 4.2 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 526 |
| Currently married | 4.0 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1255 |
| Formerly married | 10.9 | 2.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 108 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.6 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 515 |
| Rural | 4.1 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1582 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 3.5 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 688 |
| Central | 5.6 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 669 |
| Western | 3.1 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 739 |
| Reglon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 7.8 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 75 |
| Dar es Salaam | 3.9 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 233 |
| Iringa | 3.8 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96 |
| Mwanza | 6.4 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 194 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 5.5 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 331 |
| Primary incomplete | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 623 |
| Primary complete | 5.0 | 0.7 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 983 |
| Secondary+ | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 153 |
| Total | 4.0 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2097 |

The vast majority of respondents ( 96 percent of men and 88 percent of women) who had a sexually transmitted disease in the previous year reported that they sought treatment for it (data not shown). Women were more likely than men to inform their partners of the disease ( 89 percent of women vs. 57 percent of men). However, men were more likely than women to avoid sex. Twenty-five percent of women said they took no measures to protect their partners because their partners were already infected.

### 7.3 AIDS Awareness and Knowledge

Awareness of the existence of AIDS is universal in Tanzania, as shown in Tables 7.6.1 and 7.6.2 and Figure 7.1. The tables also show the sources from which respondents have learned about AIDS. The radio and friends or relatives are the two most commonly cited sources of information among both women and men. The radio was cited by 61 percent of women and 82 percent of men; friends or relatives were cited by 55 percent of women and 54 percent of men. Men are more likely than women to learn about AIDS from the radio, and they are also more likely to cite newspapers or magazines ( 43 percent of men and 23 percent

Table 7.6.1 Knowledge of AIDS and sources of AIDS information: women
Percentage of women who have ever heard of AIDS, percentage who received information about AIDS from specific sources, and mean number of sources of information about AIDS, by background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Ever heard of AIDS | Sources of AIDS information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number | Mean number of sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Radio | TV | Newspapers | Pamphlets | Health worker | Mosque/ Church | School | Community meetings | Friends/ Relatives | Work place | Other sources |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 97.1 | 57.2 | 4.2 | 23.5 | 6.5 | 13.0 | 7.0 | 28.0 | 8.1 | 48.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 868 | 2.0 |
| 20-24 | 98.9 | 68.2 | 5.7 | 29.6 | 8.5 | 24.1 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 13.7 | 56.1 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 911 | 2.3 |
| 25-29 | 98.1 | 66.0 | 3.7 | 26.0 | 7.6 | 25.4 | 9.0 | 2.7 | 15.4 | 52.2 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 786 | 2.2 |
| 30-39 | 98.3 | 58.2 | 4.1 | 19.6 | 7.4 | 28.7 | 10.7 | 2.3 | 20.0 | 59.6 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 1057 | 2.2 |
| 40-49 | 95.1 | 50.7 | 3.0 | 12.7 | 5.7 | 23.1 | 10.3 | 1.8 | 19.2 | 59.8 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 601 | 2.0 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 98.5 | 63.3 | 5.7 | 30.7 | 9.2 | 13.7 | 9.0 | 27.5 | 8.2 | 45.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 937 | 2.2 |
| Currently married | 97.4 | 59.9 | 3.8 | 20.3 | 6.3 | 25.7 | 8.9 | 3.5 | 16.7 | 57.8 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2903 | 2.1 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 98.0 | 58.5 | 4.2 | 22.3 | 9.4 | 26.3 | 10.0 | 2.9 | 21.2 | 61.1 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 384 | 2.2 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.5 | 83.4 | 8.8 | 42.7 | 11.8 | 29.9 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 10.4 | 54.2 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 1065 | 2.6 |
| Rural | 97.1 | 52.8 | 2.7 | 16.0 | 5.7 | 20.7 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 16.8 | 55.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 3160 | 2.0 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 99.3 | 71.7 | 6.0 | 26.4 | 7.7 | 27.8 | 5.7 | 9.3 | 13.6 | 58.2 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1313 | 2.3 |
| Central | 98.6 | 65.4 | 5.2 | 25.0 | 8.5 | 25.1 | 14.0 | 10.7 | 17.1 | 48.6 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1386 | 2.3 |
| Western | 95.5 | 46.5 | 1.9 | 17.5 | 5.8 | 17.2 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 14.9 | 58.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1526 | 1.9 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 97.2 | 57.1 | 2.1 | 11.2 | 6.1 | 38.3 | 13.3 | 10.2 | 15.5 | 72.2 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 184 | 2.4 |
| Dar es Salaam | 100.0 | 91.4 | 12.7 | 44.5 | 8.4 | 30.3 | 3.7 | 8.7 | 6.4 | 59.0 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 450 | 2.7 |
| Iringa | 99.7 | 74.9 | 0.9 | 22.1 | 8.1 | 26.6 | 14.8 | 7.4 | 29.6 | 61.1 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 220 | 2.5 |
| Mwanza | 94.4 | 43.5 | 1.8 | 13.1 | 7.8 | 17.2 | 3.0 | 5.8 | 12.3 | 66.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 340 | 1.8 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 94.1 | 36.6 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 16.3 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 17.9 | 66.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1229 | 1.7 |
| Primary incomplete | 98.2 | 57.6 | 2.6 | 15.6 | 5.6 | 21.3 | 8.2 | 15.2 | 13.6 | 54.7 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 893 | 2.0 |
| Primary complete | 99.6 | 74.1 | 4.8 | 35.1 | 9.6 | 27.7 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 14.8 | 50.2 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 1928 | 2.4 |
| Secondary+ | 100.0 | 95.1 | 22.6 | 67.1 | 21.5 | 27.7 | 9.9 | 22.0 | 9.2 | 35.4 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 169 | 3.2 |
| Total | 97.7 | 60.5 | 4.2 | 22.8 | 7.2 | 23.1 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 15.2 | 55.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 4225 | 2.2 |

Note: Mean number of sources is based on respondents who have heard of AIDS.

Table 7.6.2 Knowledge of AIDS and sources of AIDS information: men
Percentage of men who have ever heard of AIDS, percentage who received information about AIDS from specific sources, and mean number of sources of information about AIDS, by background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Ever heard of AIDS | Sources of AIDS information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number | Mean number of sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Radio | TV | Newspapers | Pamphlets | Health worker | Mosque/ Church | School | Com munity meetings | Friends/ Relatives | Work place | Other sources |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 97.3 | 78.1 | 5.8 | 33.5 | 8.4 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 18.8 | 8.0 | 52.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 444 | 2.2 |
| 20-24 | 99.6 | 86.4 | 10.2 | 49.1 | 13.3 | 10.5 | 8.1 | 5.9 | 12.2 | 54.7 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 323 | 2.6 |
| 25-29 | 99.7 | 87.6 | 12.0 | 50.1 | 13.0 | 11.6 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 13.4 | 53.6 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 273 | 2.5 |
| 30-39 | 98.8 | 84.2 | 8.9 | 47.8 | 18.0 | 10.5 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 16.0 | 55.8 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 504 | 2.6 |
| 40-49 | 98.2 | 80.5 | 5.7 | 39.2 | 13.4 | 10.5 | 8.1 | 0.5 | 20.9 | 54.2 | 1.7 | 4.8 | 361 | 2.4 |
| 50-59 | 97.4 | 75.5 | 2.5 | 34.9 | 16.2 | 10.0 | 14.2 | 0.3 | 27.2 | 52.5 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 191 | 2.4 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 97.8 | 81.4 | 8.0 | 41.8 | 10.8 | 7.8 | 4.5 | 13.6 | 10.4 | 54.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 734 | 2.4 |
| Currently married | 98.9 | 83.0 | 7.2 | 43.0 | 15.4 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 18.0 | 53.6 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 1255 | 2.5 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 98.9 | 80.1 | 11.8 | 43.9 | 12.4 | 14.2 | 8.1 | 1.3 | 15.8 | 59.3 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 108 | 2.5 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.5 | 93.5 | 15.3 | 61.3 | 20.8 | 11.9 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 15.5 | 51.8 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 515 | 2.9 |
| Rural | 98.2 | 78.6 | 5.3 | 36.5 | 11.3 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 15.2 | 54.8 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 1582 | 2.3 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 98.6 | 94.0 | 8.2 | 55.0 | 16.2 | 10.3 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 15.1 | 51.1 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 688 | 2.7 |
| Central | 98.8 | 82.4 | 10.2 | 46.0 | 14.0 | 9.8 | 10.7 | 7.3 | 17.3 | 54.6 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 669 | 2.6 |
| Western | 98.1 | 71.2 | 4.9 | 28.0 | 10.9 | 8.1 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 13.5 | 56.3 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 739 | 2.1 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 100.0 | 77.4 | 3.1 | 23.4 | 13.4 | 10.8 | 2.6 | 6.6 | 31.3 | 66.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 75 | 2.4 |
| Dar es Salaam | 99.7 | 98.7 | 14.7 | 56.9 | 24.6 | 13.7 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 10.8 | 53.2 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 233 | 2.8 |
| Iringa | 97.9 | 89.0 | 6.8 | 42.4 | 20.2 | 10.5 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 22.4 | 60.2 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 96 | 2.8 |
| Mwanza | 95.7 | 66.3 | 7.9 | 24.4 | 10.1 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 8.2 | 11.4 | 46.8 | 0.7 | 8.7 | 194 | 2.0 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 95.1 | 61.6 | 3.0 | 9.1 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 14.0 | 63.5 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 331 | 1.8 |
| Primary incomplete | 97.8 | 75.7 | 5.1 | 34.0 | 11.8 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 10.0 | 14.7 | 52.6 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 623 | 2.3 |
| Primary complete | 99.8 | 91.4 | 8.7 | 54.4 | 14.2 | 10.6 | 7.6 | 4.3 | 14.8 | 53.1 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 983 | 2.6 |
| Secondary+ | 100.0 | 94.1 | 19.3 | 73.3 | 30.2 | 21.6 | 4.5 | 15.6 | 23.4 | 45.6 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 153 | 3.3 |
| Total | 98.5 | 82.3 | 7.7 | 42.6 | 13.6 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 15.2 | 54.1 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 2097 | 2.5 |

Note: Mean number of sources is based on respondents who have heard of AIDS.
of women) and pamphlets or posters ( 14 percent of men and 7 percent of women) as sources of AIDS information. Women are more likely than men to cite health workers as a source of information ( 23 percent of women and 9 percent of men). These data are similar to those found in the TDHS, although data collected in the TDHS referred to only the month prior to the interview, as opposed to the TKAPS, which did not refer to any time period.

Figure 7.1
Sources of Information about AIDS among Women 15-49 and Men 15-59


1994 TKAPS

To ascertain depth of knowledge about AIDS, respondents were asked whether there is anything a person can do to avoid getting AIDS and if so, what. Results are shown in Tables 7.7.1 and 7.7.2. As many as 35 percent of women and 18 percent of men believe there is nothing a person can do to avoid getting AIDS. Rural dwellers are significantly more likely than urban dwellers to think that there is no way to avoid AIDS; 39 percent of rural women and 21 percent of rural men believe there is nothing a person can do to avoid AIDS, as compared to 21 percent of urban women and 10 percent of urban men. The belief that there is nothing one can do to avoid AIDS clearly decreases with increasing education; those with no education are the most likely to say there is no way to avoid AIDS. Forty-seven percent of women with no education and 35 percent of men with no education believe there is nothing a person can do to avoid AIDS.

Reporting of particular ways to avoid AIDS also varies by urban/rural residence and education. Overall, the most common ways to avoid AIDS that were reported by women were staying with one sexual partner (reported by 40 percent) and using condoms (reported by 36 percent). Men reported the same ways to avoid AIDS ( 44 percent reported staying with one sex partner and 49 percent reported using condoms), and also reported avoiding sex with prostitutes ( 36 percent of men).

Table 7.7.1 Knowledge of ways to avoid AIDS: women
Percentage of women who know of ways to avoid AIDS and percentage with misinformation, by background characteristics,
Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Ways to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No way to avoid AIDS | Abstain from sex | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Use } \\ & \text { con- } \\ & \text { doms } \end{aligned}$ | Only one sexual partner | Avoid sex with prostitutes | Avoid sex with homo-sexuals | Avoid trans. fusions | Avoid injections | Mother to child | Avoid kissing | Avoid mosquito bites | Traditional healer | Avoid too much alcohol | Other way | Don't krow any way | Percent age with any misin-formation | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 45.3 | 8.4 | 27.6 | 29.5 | 10.3 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 843 |
| 20-24 | 32.8 | 4.9 | 42.0 | 38.8 | 14.9 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 902 |
| 25-29 | 28.0 | 4.7 | 42.5 | 44.2 | 18.0 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 771 |
| 30-39 | 30.3 | 5.7 | 36.7 | 46.6 | 17.9 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 1040 |
| 40.49 | 38.3 | 6.6 | 25.3 | 42.8 | 15.2 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 572 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 41.4 | 10.4 | 29.4 | 31.6 | 12.6 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 7.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 923 |
| Currently married | 32.5 | 4.0 | 36.7 | 43.6 | 16.4 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 6.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 2828 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 33.9 | 10.4 | 41.8 | 38.1 | 13.8 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 376 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uban | 21.4 | 6.0 | 54.8 | 53.1 | 17.6 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 10.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 1060 |
| Rural | 39.1 | 6.0 | 28.9 | 36.0 | 14.5 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 3068 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 33.3 | 5.7 | 43.2 | 43.6 | 12.4 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 6.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1304 |
| Central | 32.2 | 5.8 | 37.3 | 42.1 | 18.7 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 8.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 1366 |
| Westem | 38.0 | 6.5 | 27.0 | 36.0 | 14.7 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 1458 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 24.9 | 4.7 | 52.4 | 63.4 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 179 |
| Dar es Salaam | 23.3 | 6.5 | 56.9 | 61.4 | 15.7 | 0.5 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 450 |
| Iringa | 34.0 | 5.9 | 37.3 | 41.5 | 31.0 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 8.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 39.0 | 2.5 | 27.7 | 39.7 | 10.5 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 321 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 47.0 | 4.7 | 18.5 | 31.9 | 13.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1156 |
| Primary incomplete | 37.1 | 7.4 | 30.4 | 36.4 | 13.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 877 |
| Primary complete | 27.6 | 5.7 | 46.3 | 45.6 | 17.1 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 1920 |
| Secondary ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 13.7 | 10.7 | 56.7 | 61.2 | 19.2 | 2.0 | 13.7 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 169 |
| Total | 34.6 | 6.0 | 35.5 | 40.4 | 15.3 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 4128 |

Note: Percentage with any misinformation includes avoid kissing, avoid mosquito bites, seek protection from traditional healer, avoid too much alcohol, and other way.

| Percentage of men who know of ways to avoid AIDS and percentage with misinformation, by background characteristics, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ways to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background characteristic | No way to avoid AIDS | $\mathrm{Ab}-$ stain from sex | Use condoms | Only one sexual partner | Avoid sex with prostitutes | Avoid sex with homo-sexuals | Avoid trans- <br> fusions | Avoid injections | Mother to child | Avoid kissing | Avoid mosquito bites | Traditional healer | Avoid too much alcohol | Other way | Don't <br> know <br> any <br> way | Percentage with any misin-formation | Number |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | 26.5 | 14.2 | 44.8 | 28.4 | 24.8 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 432 |
| 20-24 | 17.9 | 13.2 | 58.1 | 40.0 | 29.2 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 11.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 322 |
| 25-29 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 62.6 | 51.9 | 37.3 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 272 |
| 30-39 | 13.2 | 11.8 | 49.6 | 50.3 | 41.8 | 3.3 | 6.9 | 15.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 498 |
| 40-49 | 20.7 | 9.8 | 42.9 | 48.7 | 40.7 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 355 |
|  | 18.6 | 9.5 | 37.2 | 54.3 | 43.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 186 |
| Marltal status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married | 22.5 | 14.4 | 51.2 | 34.0 | 27.3 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 10.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 717 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ | 16.8 | 10.7 | 47.1 | 49.9 | 39.9 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 12.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 1242 |
| Separated | 9.2 | 10.9 | 63.8 | 49.8 | 42.5 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 107 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.7 | 10.6 | 63.0 | 54.7 | 41.7 | 2.4 | 6.0 | 18.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 512 |
| Rural | 21.2 | 12.5 | 44.9 | 41.0 | 33.7 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 9.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 1553 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 17.9 | 7.0 | 48.1 | 51.7 | 42.4 | 2.9 | 11.1 | 18.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 75 |
| Dar es Salaam | 6.8 | 7.7 | 62.0 | 69.6 | 48.6 | 0.7 | 6.3 | 27.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 233 |
| Iringa | 18.5 | 17.5 | 45.9 | 37.8 | 48.2 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 94 |
| Mwanza | 38.5 | 10.7 | 43.2 | 23.4 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 186 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 14.3 | 10.7 | 58.5 | 58.1 | 42.7 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 13.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 679 |
| Central | 15.5 | 16.3 | 46.7 | 44.0 | 42.9 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 14.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 661 |
| Western | 24.8 | 9.3 | 43.2 | 32.0 | 22.5 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 726 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 34.6 | 11.4 | 33.3 | 31.7 | 24.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 315 |
| Primary incomplete | 22.2 | 12.0 | 42.4 | 42.0 | 31.5 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 609 |
| Primary complete | 13.1 | 12.3 | 56.6 | 47.8 | 40.0 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 981 |
| Secondary+ | 4.1 | 11.4 | 63.6 | 58.3 | 48.4 | 4.7 | 13.4 | 32.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 153 |
| Total | 18.4 | 12.0 | 49.4 | 44.4 | 35.7 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 11.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2066 |

Note: Percentage with any misinformation includes avoid kissing, avoid mosquito bites, seek protection from traditional healer, avoid too much alcohol, and other way.

Further questions were asked to ascertain whether respondents are aware of the levels of risk involved in contracting AIDS. Results are shown in Tables 7.8.1 and 7.8.2. Respondents were asked whether it is possible for a healthy-looking person to have the AIDS virus. Sixty-nine percent of women and 78 percent of men know that it is possible for a healthy-looking person to have the AIDS virus. This represents some increase in knowledge since the time of the TDHS, when 62 percent of women and 68 percent of men reported knowing it is possible for a healthy-looking person to have AIDS. However, this knowledge does vary significantly by educational level of the respondent. As many as 47 percent of uneducated women reported either that a healthy-looking person cannot have the AIDS virus or that they did not know.

| Table 7.8.1 Knowledge and perceptions about AIDS: women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of women who have heard of AIDS by their knowledge and perceptions about AIDS, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Can a healthy-looking person have the AIDS virus? |  |  | Can AlDS be cured? |  |  | Can the virus be transmitted from mother to child? |  |  | Is there a household member with AIDS? |  |  | Do you know a person with AIDS? |  |  | Total | Number |
| Background characteristic | Yes | No | Don't know/ Missing |  | No | Don't know/ Missing | Yes | No | Don't know/ Missing | Yes | No | Don't know/ Missing | Yes | No | Don't know/ Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 63.5 | 20.6 | 15.9 | 5.3 | 90.4 | 4.3 | 70.1 | 9.9 | 20.0 | 7.5 | 89.2 | 3.3 | 41.6 | 53.2 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 843 |
| 20-24 | 72.5 | 16.6 | 10.8 | 6.2 | 90.5 | 3.4 | 81.2 | 7.6 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 88.3 | 2.5 | 50.0 | 47.1 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 902 |
| 25-29 | 72.8 | 13.7 | 13.4 | 6.5 | 90.3 | 3.2 | 78.7 | 7.9 | 13.4 | 8.1 | 89.2 | 2.7 | 51.7 | 44.8 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 771 |
| 30-39 | 69.7 | 14.4 | 15.9 | 5.7 | 91.4 | 2.8 | 79.4 | 7.2 | 13.4 | 7.4 | 89.9 | 2.7 | 52.1 | 43.9 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 1040 |
| 40-49 | 61.8 | 14.3 | 23.9 | 4.9 | 87.8 | 7.3 | 72.5 | 7.3 | 20.2 | 9.0 | 87.9 | 3.1 | 50.9 | 43.0 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 572 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 67.8 | 18.4 | 13.7 | 5.3 | 90.4 | 4.3 | 73.5 | 8.7 | 17.8 | 7.1 | 89.8 | 3.0 | 48.0 | 46.2 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 923 |
| Currently married Widowed/Divorced/ | 68.7 | 15.3 | 15.9 | 5.8 | 90.2 | 4.0 | 77.6 | 7.7 | 14.7 | 8.0 | 89.3 | 2.8 | 48.6 | 47.7 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 2828 |
| Separated | 68.7 | 15.2 | 16.1 | 6.5 | 91.0 | 2.5 | 78.7 | 8.1 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 85.3 | 2.9 | 57.0 | 38.5 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 376 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 85.2 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 94.3 | 1.5 | 87.1 | 5.2 | 7.7 | 10.2 | 87.5 | 2.3 | 64.7 | 31.2 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 1060 |
| Rural | 62.8 | 18.7 | 18.5 | 6.3 | 88.9 | 4.8 | 73.2 | 9.0 | 17.8 | 7.5 | 89.5 | 3.0 | 43.9 | 51.8 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 3068 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 74.0 | 15.1 | 10.9 | 2.2 | 94.6 | 3.2 | 79.8 | 8.0 | 12.2 | 8.9 | 87.6 | 3.4 | 48.8 | 45.8 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 1304 |
| Central | 66.7 | 15.6 | 17.7 | 3.6 | 92.0 | 4.4 | 76.0 | 7.2 | 16.7 | 5.7 | 91.2 | 3.1 | 42.3 | 53.6 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 1366 |
| Western | 65.4 | 17.2 | 17.4 | 11.0 | 84.8 | 4.2 | 74.8 | 8.7 | 16.5 | 9.8 | 88.2 | 2.0 | 56.2 | 40.6 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 1458 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 61.2 | 16.4 | 22.5 | 0.6 | 97.1 | 2.4 | 78.7 | 3.6 | 17.8 | 1.6 | 98.1 | 0.4 | 53.4 | 42.0 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 179 |
| Dar es Salaam | 92.1 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 96.8 | 1.9 | 89.7 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 11.7 | 85.8 | 2.5 | 64.9 | 28.8 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 450 |
| Iringa | 63.5 | 17.6 | 18.9 | 0.9 | 97.1 | 2.0 | 74.9 | 11.0 | 14.1 | 3.0 | 94.2 | 2.8 | 32.0 | 65.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 59.3 | 21.5 | 19.3 | 7.2 | 86.7 | 6.1 | 67.3 | 11.5 | 21.1 | 5.1 | 91.8 | 3.2 | 47.8 | 48.2 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 321 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 53.2 | 19.2 | 27.6 | 5.8 | 86.2 | 8.0 | 63.1 | 10.4 | 26.5 | 6.8 | 89.0 | 4.3 | 37.0 | 56.5 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 1156 |
| Primary incomplete | 66.4 | 17.7 | 15.8 | 5.4 | 91.5 | 3.0 | 75.0 | 9.6 | 15.4 | 6.7 | 90.2 | 3.1 | 44.7 | 50.3 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 877 |
| Primary complete | 77.1 | 13.9 | 9.0 | 5.2 | 92.5 | 2.3 | 84.3 | 6.2 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 88.9 | 1.9 | 55.9 | 41.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 1920 |
| Secondary+ | 87.9 | 10.5 | 1.6 | 13.9 | 86.1 | 0.0 | 95.6 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 12.8 | 85.7 | 1.5 | 80.8 | 16.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Tocal | 68.5 | 16.0 | 15.5 | 5.8 | 90.3 | 3.9 | 76.8 | 8.0 | 15.3 | 8.2 | 89.0 | 2.8 | 49.3 | 46.5 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 4128 |

Most respondents do know that AIDS cannot be cured. Ninety percent of women and 96 percent of men reported that AIDS cannot be cured. Knowledge that AIDS can be transmitted from mother to child has increased since the time of the TDHS. Seventy-seven percent of women and 81 percent of men know that AIDS can be so transmitted; the TDHS reported 59 percent of women and 77 percent of men knowing this fact. Better educated respondents are more likely than less educated respondents to know that AIDS can be transmitted from mother to child. Only 63 percent of women with no education know that AIDS can be transmitted from mother to child.

| Table 7.8.2 Knowledge and perceptions about AIDS: men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent distribution of men who have heard of AIDS by their knowledge and perceptions about AIDS, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1994 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Can a healthy-looking person have the AIDS virus? |  |  | Can AIDS be cured? |  |  | Can the vinus be transmitued from mother to child? |  |  | Is there a houschold member with AIDS? |  |  | Do you know a person with AIDS? |  |  | Total | Number |
| Background characteristic | Yes | No | Don't know/ Missing | Yes | No |  | Yes | No | Don't <br> know/ <br> Missing | Yes | No | Don't know/ Missing | Yes | No | Don't know/ Missing |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 71.7 | 17.8 | 10.6 | 1.5 | 95.1 | 3.4 | 72.5 | 10.3 | 17.3 | 5.4 | 90.6 | 4.0 | 42.4 | 51.1 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 432 |
| 20.24 | 82.7 | 11.4 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 95.8 | 1.5 | 87.4 | 5.1 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 92.0 | 2.9 | 52.2 | 44.1 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 322 |
| 25-29 | 78.6 | 14.0 | 7.4 | 1.0 | 98.1 | 0.9 | 86.9 | 4.9 | 8.2 | 5.5 | 91.4 | 3.1 | 51.4 | 46.6 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 272 |
| 30-39 | 80.6 | 11.4 | 8.0 | 1.9 | 94.7 | 3.4 | 84.7 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 4.0 | 92.9 | 3.1 | 57.9 | 37.3 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 498 |
| 40-49 | 77.2 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 1.6 | 95.8 | 2.6 | 81.7 | 6.7 | 11.6 | 4.3 | 93.8 | 1.9 | 54.2 | 41.8 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 355 |
| 50-59 | 73.5 | 13.3 | 13.2 | 1.7 | 95.1 | 3.2 | 71.5 | 8.6 | 19.9 | 7.4 | 88.1 | 4.5 | 54.6 | 39.2 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 186 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 76.1 | 15.0 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 94.8 | 3.3 | 78.7 | 7.7 | 13.6 | 6.1 | 89.3 | 4.6 | 46.4 | 48.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 717 |
| Currently married | 78.7 | 12.1 | 9.1 | 1.7 | 96.2 | 2.1 | 82.6 | 7.3 | 10.2 | 4.0 | 93.6 | 2.4 | 55.1 | 40.9 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 1242 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 73.9 | 14.7 | 11.4 | 1.4 | 95.1 | 3.6 | 80.9 | 5.5 | 13.6 | 9.6 | 87.7 | 2.7 | 52.6 | 42.2 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Resldence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 88.8 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 97.7 | 1.3 | 86.4 | 4.9 | 8.8 | 4.6 | 90.4 | 5.0 | 63.0 | 31.8 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 512 |
| Rural | 73.9 | 15.8 | 10.3 | 2.0 | 95.0 | 3.0 | 79.4 | 8.1 | 12.4 | 5.2 | 92.3 | 2.5 | 48.3 | 47.2 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 1553 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 85.3 | 9.0 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 96.6 | 1.3 | 83.3 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 89.4 | 4.1 | 57.2 | 36.1 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 679 |
| Central | 73.5 | 15.5 | 11.0 | 0.6 | 96.6 | 2.9 | 81.3 | 6.8 | 11.8 | 4.1 | 92.8 | 3.1 | 41.9 | 55.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 661 |
| Westem | 74.0 | 15.2 | 10.7 | 2.5 | 93.9 | 3.6 | 79.0 | 7.2 | 13.8 | 4.4 | 93.2 | 2.4 | 56.3 | 39.6 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 726 |
| Reglon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 65.1 | 25.7 | 9.2 | 1.6 | 96.6 | 1.7 | 73.2 | 15.4 | 11.5 | 0.9 | 87.5 | 11.5 | 46.1 | 49.6 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 75 |
| Dar es Salaam | 87.9 | 3.9 | 8.2 | 0.9 | 97.7 | 1.4 | 81.5 | 8.9 | 9.6 | 2.8 | 90.4 | 6.9 | 61.3 | 29.3 | 9.4 | 100.0 | 233 |
| Iringa | 73.0 | 5.1 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 96.2 | 3.8 | 74.2 | 7.3 | 18.5 | 3.7 | 94.6 | 1.7 | 31.4 | 66.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 94 |
| Mwanza | 71.1 | 14.2 | 14.7 | 2.0 | 93.0 | 5.0 | 73.4 | 9.7 | 16.9 | 4.6 | 93.0 | 2.3 | 51.1 | 44.3 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 186 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 60.9 | 19.8 | 19.4 | 1.4 | 91.5 | 7.1 | 65.7 | 11.8 | 22.5 | 3.3 | 90.1 | 6.6 | 36.4 | 54.0 | 9.6 | 100.0 | 315 |
| Primary incomplete | 71.1 | 16.7 | 12.2 | 1.5 | 94.6 | 3.9 | 75.3 | 8.6 | 16.1 | 4.2 | 92.4 | 3.4 | 48.4 | 46.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 609 |
| Primary complete | 83.8 | 10.8 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 97.6 | 0.7 | 87.5 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 92.7 | 1.6 | 55.2 | 42.3 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 981 |
| Secondary+ | 96.6 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 96.5 | 0.7 | 94.4 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 7.1 | 87.4 | 5.5 | 76.0 | 20.2 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 153 |
| Total | 77.6 | 13.3 | 9.1 | 1.8 | 95.6 | 2.6 | 81.2 | 7.3 | 11.6 | 5.0 | 91.8 | 3.1 | 52.0 | 43.4 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 2066 |

Personal experience with AIDS patients is not uncommon in Tanzania. Eight percent of women and 5 percent of men reported that someone in their own household either has AIDS or has died of AIDS. Fortynine percent of women and 52 percent of men reported that they personally know someone who has AIDS or has died of AIDS. People in urban areas are more likely to know someone with AIDS, as do people with higher levels of education.

Respondents were asked to report what they perceived to be their own personal risk of contracting AIDS. They were asked to classify their risk as small, moderate, great, or no risk at all. As many as 31 percent of women and 22 percent of men were unable to classify their own level of risk (Tables 7.9.1 and 7.9.2). Twenty-nine percent of women and 40 percent of men reported themselves to be at no risk of contracting AIDS. These categories include some respondents who have had two or more sexual partners other than their spouse in the previous 12 months.

Table 7.9.1 Perception of the risk of getting AIDS: women
Percent distribution of women who have heard of AIDS by their perception of the risk of getting AIDS, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Chances of getting AIDS |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No risk at all | Small | Moderate | Great | Has AIDS | Don't know |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 36.5 | 19.7 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 33.1 | 100.0 | 843 |
| 20-24 | 28.7 | 23.7 | 12.1 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 25.6 | 100.0 | 902 |
| 25-29 | 26.0 | 17.9 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 30.2 | 100.0 | 771 |
| 30-39 | 25.0 | 21.1 | 14.6 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 30.9 | 100.0 | 1040 |
| 40-49 | 28.7 | 19.5 | 8.8 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 100.0 | 572 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 36.2 | 20.1 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 31.7 | 100.0 | 923 |
| Currently married | 26.5 | 21.1 | 12.6 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 30.4 | 100.0 | 2828 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 28.6 | 18.1 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 32.1 | 100.0 | 376 |
| No. of sexual partners other than husband in last 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 30.4 | 21.2 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 30.5 | 100.0 | 3454 |
| 1 | 22.9 | 18.7 | 17.1 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 32.2 | 100.0 | 509 |
| $2 \cdot 3$ | 13.9 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 38.3 | 100.0 | 127 |
| 4+ | 16.0 | 10.2 | 21.6 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 100.0 | 37 |
| Resldence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 23.1 | 22.0 | 18.4 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 26.6 | 100.0 | 1060 |
| Rural | 30.8 | 20.1 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 32.3 | 100.0 | 3068 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 23.6 | 17.9 | 15.7 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 34.2 | 100.0 | 1304 |
| Central | 27.6 | 22.0 | 11.9 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 31.5 | 100.0 | 1366 |
| Western | 34.7 | 21.7 | 6.7 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 27.3 | 100.0 | 1458 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 20.7 | 17.7 | 15.9 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 38.7 | 100.0 | 179 |
| Dar es Salaam | 16.1 | 19.1 | 25.9 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 31.5 | 100.0 | 450 |
| Iringa | 29.7 | 28.5 | 6.4 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 100.0 | 220 |
| Mwanza | 30.0 | 22.3 | 5.6 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 28.4 | 100.0 | 321 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 28.8 | 15.8 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 41.1 | 100.0 | 1156 |
| Primary incomplete | 31.9 | 21.3 | 8.9 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 30.3 | 100.0 | 877 |
| Primary complete | 27.6 | 22.5 | 14.0 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 100.0 | 1920 |
| Secondary+ | 27.6 | 27.6 | 13.7 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 24.7 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Total | 28.8 | 20.6 | 11.3 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 30.9 | 100.0 | 4128 |

Note: Only includes AIDS cases among women who have had sex.

It is interesting to note that among the remaining proportions who did classify themselves as being at some risk (about 40 percent of the respondents), women and men classified themselves similarly. Twentyone percent of both women and men classified themselves as having a small degree of risk; eleven percent of both women and men classified themselves as having a moderate degree of risk; and 8 percent of women and 6 percent of men said they believed themselves to be at great risk of contracting AIDS.

## Table 7.9.2 Perception of the risk of getting AIDS: men

Percent distribution of men who have heard of AIDS by their perception of the risk of getting AIDS, according to background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Chances of getting AIDS |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No risk at all | Small | Moderate | Great | Has AIDS | Don't know |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 54.5 | 14.5 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 100.0 | 432 |
| 20-24 | 40.8 | 23.3 | 10.1 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 100.0 | 322 |
| 25-29 | 33.8 | 22.5 | 14.3 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 22.3 | 100.0 | 272 |
| 30-39 | 29.5 | 24.4 | 15.9 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 22.3 | 100.0 | 498 |
| 40-49 | 41.2 | 19.8 | 10.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 25.1 | 100.0 | 355 |
| 50-59 | 42.7 | 19.2 | 8.6 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 25.6 | 100.0 | 186 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 47.4 | 19.3 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 100.0 | 717 |
| Currently married | 36.6 | 20.9 | 13.2 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 100.0 | 1242 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 34.5 | 26.8 | 11.5 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 107 |
| No. of sexual partners other than wife in last 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 44.3 | 19.5 | 8.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 100.0 | 1269 |
| 1 | 36.4 | 21.1 | 12.9 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 100.0 | 416 |
| 2-3 | 33.8 | 26.1 | 13.6 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 100.0 | 267 |
| 4+ | 24.7 | 19.2 | 21.5 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 17.1 | 100.0 | 113 |
| Resldence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 35.9 | 24.9 | 10.4 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 24.8 | 100.0 | 512 |
| Rural | 41.7 | 19.3 | 10.8 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 100.0 | 1553 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 37.0 | 23.6 | 13.5 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 679 |
| Central | 36.3 | 22.2 | 8.2 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 100.0 | 661 |
| Western | 46.9 | 16.5 | 10.4 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 100.0 | 726 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 29.2 | 13.4 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 49.5 | 100.0 | 75 |
| Dar es Salaam | 40.1 | 18.3 | 7.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 31.1 | 100.0 | 233 |
| Iringa | 20.0 | 27.0 | 9.3 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 37.4 | 100.0 | 94 |
| Mwanza | 51.0 | 13.7 | 6.2 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 100.0 | 186 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 40.3 | 11.4 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 31.5 | 100.0 | 315 |
| Primary incomplete | 44.5 | 18.1 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 100.0 | 609 |
| Primary complete | 40.3 | 22.6 | 12.2 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 981 |
| Secondary+ | 23.2 | 38.3 | 15.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 100.0 | 153 |
| Total | 40.3 | 20.7 | 10.7 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 100.0 | 2066 |

Note: Only includes AIDS cases among men who have had sex.

Perceptions of risk may or may not accurately reflect one's true risk of AIDS. However, cause for concern would arise when an individual considered at moderate or great risk of contracting AIDS has a spouse who considers him or herself at little or no risk. This type of situation may arise from a person engaging in high-risk activities without his/her spouse's knowledge. It is possible to compare spouses' views of their risks in order to assess whether couples have similar or disparate levels of perceived risk of contracting AIDS. Twenty-one percent of all couples classified themselves at the same level of risk (either small, moderate, great, or no risk at all) and another 21 percent of all couples had one spouse who considered him/herself to be at moderate or great risk, while his/her spouse considered him/herself to be at little or no risk of contracting AIDS (data not shown).

Respondents who classified themselves to be at no risk or to have a small risk of getting AIDS were asked to state why they perceive themselves to be at little or no risk. Results are presented in Table 7.10.1. Only 5 percent of women and 18 percent of men classified themselves at little or no risk because they use condoms. The most common response for both women and men was that they have only one sex partner ( 59 percent of women and 44 percent of men). Although a fair number of respondents classified themselves at low risk because they are abstaining from sex ( 22 percent of women and 17 percent of men), most of these respondents are not currently married. Forty-two percent of men reported themselves at low risk because they do not have sex with prostitutes.

Table 7.10.1 Reasons for perception of small/no risk of getting AIDS
Among women and men who think they have a small or no risk of getting AIDS, reasons for that perception of risk, Tanzania 1994

| Marital status | Abstain from sex | Use condom | One sex partner | No homosexual contact | No sex with prostitutes | No blood transfusion | No injections | Other | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 67.3 | 6.2 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 519 |
| Currently married | 2.6 | 3.7 | 77.6 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 1346 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 38.8 | 7.2 | 38.0 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 176 |
| Total | 22.2 | 4.6 | 58.9 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 2041 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 39.5 | 24.9 | 17.8 | 2.8 | 28.9 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 478 |
| Currenty married | 2.6 | 12.7 | 63.4 | 3.9 | 50.5 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 715 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Scparated | 17.8 | 25.0 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 50.3 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 65 |
| Total | 17.4 | 18.0 | 43.6 | 3.3 | 42.3 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 1258 |

Respondents who classified themselves to be at moderate or great risk of contracting AIDS were also asked to state why they perceive themselves to be at such risk. Results are presented in Table 7.10.2. Over half of the women ( 57 percent) who report themselves to be at moderate or great risk state they are at this level of risk because their spouse or regular partner has another sexual partner besides herself. Eighteen percent of women report they are at elevated risk because they do not use condoms. The most common reasons given by men for being at moderate or great risk are that they have many sexual partners ( 24 percent), they have sex with prostitutes ( 22 percent), and they do not use condoms ( 20 percent).

Table 7.10.2 Reasons for perception of moderate/great risk of getting AIDS
Among women and men who think they have a moderate or great risk of getting AIDS, reasons for that perception of risk, Tanzania 1994

| Marital status | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't } \\ & \text { use } \\ & \text { condom } \end{aligned}$ | Sex with prostitutes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Many } \\ \text { sex } \\ \text { partners } \end{gathered}$ | Spouse has partner | Homosexual contact | Had <br> blood transfusion | IIad injections | Other | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 22.6 | 8.9 | 23.4 | 34.9 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 12.8 | 13.7 | 111 |
| Currently married | 16.5 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 62.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 621 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 23.8 | 7.8 | 23.1 | 39.9 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 7.7 | 14.4 | 80 |
| Total | 18.1 | 7.4 | 11.3 | 56.5 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 813 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 23.3 | 28.3 | 16.4 | 5.4 | 1.1 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 81 |
| Currently married | 17.6 | 19.4 | 25.9 | 17.4 | 11.9 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 240 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | (36.8) | (21.8) | (26.2) | (9.7) | (5.7) | (16.7) | (0.0) | (19.5) | 24 |
| Total | 20.3 | 21.6 | 23.7 | 14.1 | 9.0 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 345 |

Note: Numbers in parentheses are based on 25-49 unweighted cases.

Respondents who have ever had sexual intercourse were asked whether they have in any way changed their sexual behaviour since leaming about AIDS, and if so, how. As shown in Tables 7.11.1 and 7.11.2, 74 percent of women and 88 percent of men reported that they have done something to change their sexual behaviour since learning about AIDS. By far the most common response among both women and men was to restrict sex to one partner ( 59 percent of women and 53 percent of men). Twenty-six percent of men reported that they had stopped having sex with prostitutes and 25 percent said they had reduced their number of sexual partners. Only 4 percent of women and 18 percent of men reported that they began using condoms or used condoms more often.

Table 7.11.1 AIDS prevention behaviour: women
Percentage of women who have heard of AIDS and have ever had sex by specific changes in sexual behaviour in order to avoid AIDS, perceptions of AIDS risk, and background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | No sexual behaviour change | Change in sexual behaviour to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Stopped sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Began } \\ \text { using } \\ \text { condom } \end{gathered}$ | Restricted to one partner | Fewer partners | No sex with prostitutes | Used condoms more | Othersexual <br> behaviour |  |
| Risk of getting AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small risk | 17.2 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 62.7 | 13.1 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1703 |
| Moderate/great/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Has AIDS | 20.5 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 64.7 | 17.9 | 5.8 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 791 |
| Don't know | 42.4 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 47.4 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1109 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 26.8 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 54.8 | 13.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 419 |
| 20-24 | 22.5 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 61.4 | 16.2 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 823 |
| 25.29 | 24.0 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 59.9 | 13.6 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 762 |
| 30-39 | 25.7 | 6.6 | 1.3 | 59.2 | 11.0 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1039 |
| 40-49 | 31.5 | 10.1 | 1.5 | 53.6 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 569 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 20.8 | 12.1 | 5.6 | 52.0 | 15.6 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 406 |
| Currenty married | 27.2 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 61.3 | 11.5 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2828 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 18.9 | 25.1 | 2.8 | 44.1 | 13.4 | 7.1 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 375 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.2 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 65.7 | 14.0 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 935 |
| Rural | 28.2 | 6.4 | 1.5 | 55.9 | 11.5 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2676 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 27.2 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 64.3 | 10.5 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1149 |
| Central | 30.2 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 54.9 | 9.7 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 1183 |
| Western | 20.0 | 9.4 | 1.5 | 56.5 | 16.0 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1279 |
| Reglon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 32.0 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 59.5 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 162 |
| Dar es Salaam | 19.5 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 71.9 | 14.6 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 392 |
| Iringa | 26.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 63.8 | 3.9 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 191 |
| Mwanza | 17.6 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 64.2 | 15.4 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 294 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 36.2 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 50.7 | 8.2 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1108 |
| Primary incomplete | 25.2 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 59.1 | 11.1 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 673 |
| Primary complete | 19.8 | 6.9 | 2.9 | 62.8 | 15.0 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1693 |
| Secondary+ | 11.2 | 9.6 | 4.5 | 66.7 | 14.8 | 4.7 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 133 |
| Total | 25.6 | 6.3 | 2.3 | 58.5 | 12.2 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 3611 |

Table 7.11.2 AIDS prevention behaviour: men
Percentage of men who have heard of AIDS and have ever had sex by specific changes in sexual behaviour in order to avoid AIDS, perceptions of AIDS risk, and background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Change in sexual behaviour to avoid AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | sexual behaviour change | Stopped sex sex | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Began } \\ \text { using } \\ \text { condom } \end{gathered}$ | Restricted to one partner | Fewer partners | No sex with prostitutes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Used } \\ & \text { condoms } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { sexual } \\ \text { behaviour } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Risk of getting AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small risk | 9.2 | 6.2 | 13.7 | 55.3 | 24.5 | 25.9 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 1110 |
| Moderate/great/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Has AIDS | 13.4 | 2.6 | 15.0 | 36.0 | 28.8 | 30.0 | 6.9 | 4.9 | 339 |
| Don't know | 17.1 | 3.1 | 9.1 | 58.1 | 25.0 | 22.9 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 423 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 19.6 | 7.9 | 11.1 | 35.0 | 21.3 | 20.0 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 266 |
| 20-24 | 8.0 | 9.9 | 20.6 | 42.3 | 23.9 | 21.8 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 300 |
| 25-29 | 8.1 | 2.9 | 18.7 | 56.8 | 24.5 | 24.7 | 6.7 | 0.9 | 271 |
| 30-39 | 10.5 | 2.8 | 15.0 | 58.5 | 26.6 | 30.2 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 498 |
| 40-49 | 13.0 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 56.8 | 29.1 | 30.1 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 354 |
| 50-59 | 13.5 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 63.0 | 24.3 | 23.4 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 185 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 13.2 | 10.4 | 18.5 | 35.0 | 21.0 | 21.8 | 9.0 | 1.2 | 525 |
| Currently married | 11.4 | 1.3 | 10.2 | 61.6 | 27.0 | 27.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1242 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 10.2 | 19.2 | 16.2 | 32.3 | 27.8 | 24.6 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 107 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.1 | 6.5 | 16.9 | 53.2 | 22.8 | 28.6 | 8.0 | 1.5 | 466 |
| Rural | 14.0 | 4.3 | 11.5 | 52.2 | 26.2 | 25.1 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 1407 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 5.5 | 6.0 | 10.8 | 53.6 | 24.3 | 32.4 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 633 |
| Central | 10.7 | 2.8 | 17.2 | 54.1 | 28.1 | 31.5 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 605 |
| Western | 19.1 | 5.7 | 10.8 | 49.9 | 23.8 | 14.2 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 636 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 9.1 | 1.8 | 14.3 | 49.8 | 29.0 | 27.2 | 12.7 | 3.3 | 65 |
| Dar es Salaam | 1.7 | 5.9 | 12.6 | 43.6 | 19.2 | 43.2 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 211 |
| Iringa | 9.0 | 1.2 | 13.6 | 57.2 | 22.0 | 34.3 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 86 |
| Mwanza | 23.2 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 41.6 | 34.3 | 10.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 164 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 23.8 | 3.7 | 8.4 | 47.8 | 20.9 | 19.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 296 |
| Primary incomplete | 16.5 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 52.3 | 24.1 | 26.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 514 |
| Primary complete | 6.6 | 4.9 | 15.5 | 54.4 | 28.1 | 28.2 | 7.5 | 2.2 | 916 |
| Secondary+ | 3.4 | 5.7 | 28.0 | 51.4 | 21.7 | 25.5 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 143 |
| Total | 11.8 | 4.9 | 12.9 | 52.5 | 25.4 | 25.9 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 1874 |

While most respondents know about condoms, many do not know where they can obtain them. Tables 7.12.1 and 7.12.2 present the percentage of respondents who know of the condom and the types of places they identified as sources for condoms. Four-fifths of women and nearly all men know of the condom. But half of the women and one-third of the men who have heard of the condom do not know where to get one. Knowledge of a source for condoms differs greatly by urban/rural residence, as well as education. Most urban dwellers know a source for obtaining condoms ( 76 percent of urban women who know about condoms, and 84 percent of urban men), but as many as 58 percent of rural women and 40 percent of rural men who know of the condom do not know where to get one. Respondents who have completed primary school are twice as likely as respondents with no education to know of a source for obtaining condoms. Most respondents who did know of a place to obtain condoms identified a public (government) source.

Table 7.12.1 Knowledge of condoms: women
Percentage of women who know about condoms and the percentage who know a specific source for condoms, by background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Knows about condom | Source for condoms |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public source | Private medical | Pharmacy | Other source | Don't know/ missing |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 80.9 | 28.3 | 6.0 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 58.6 | 419 |
| 20-24 | 85.0 | 44.2 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 7.0 | 44.9 | 823 |
| 25-29 | 87.3 | 47.7 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 5.2 | 43.0 | 762 |
| 30-39 | 83.5 | 46.0 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 44.9 | 1039 |
| 40-49 | 73.1 | 28.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 65.0 | 569 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 85.8 | 40.8 | 6.6 | 1.1 | 9.4 | 42.2 | 406 |
| Currently married | 82.1 | 41.1 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 50.2 | 2828 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 84.4 | 41.8 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 49.8 | 375 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 94.7 | 63.4 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 24.4 | 935 |
| Rural | 78.5 | 33.3 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 5.4 | 57.9 | 2676 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 89.9 | 52.5 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 40.6 | 1149 |
| Central | 83.5 | 44.0 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 6.4 | 45.0 | 1183 |
| Western | 75.6 | 28.3 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 60.9 | 1279 |
| Reglon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 84.9 | 54.5 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 38.9 | 162 |
| Dar es Salaam | 94.4 | 65.6 | 6.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 24.5 | 392 |
| Iringa | 87.2 | 48.9 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 46.1 | 191 |
| Mwanza | 74.5 | 35.4 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 56.2 | 294 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 66.8 | 23.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 71.4 | 1108 |
| Primary incomplete | 83.0 | 38.0 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 5.9 | 51.8 | 673 |
| Primary complete | 91.7 | 51.9 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 6.4 | 36.9 | 1693 |
| Secondary+ | 100.0 | 71.6 | 14.0 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 8.3 | 133 |
| Total | 82.7 | 41.1 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 49.2 | 3611 |

Table 7.12.2 Knowledge of condoms: men
Percentage of men who know about condoms and the percentage who know a specific source for condoms, by background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Knows about condom | Source for condoms |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public source | Private medical | Pharmacy | Other source | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Don't } \\ & \text { know/ } \\ & \text { missing } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 92.0 | 39.7 | 10.7 | 0.6 | 9.8 | 39.2 | 266 |
| 20-24 | 97.2 | 53.0 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 10.0 | 28.6 | 300 |
| 25-29 | 97.6 | 68.9 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 7.4 | 18.6 | 271 |
| 30-39 | 96.1 | 57.4 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 32.0 | 498 |
| 40-49 | 92.0 | 48.5 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 40.8 | 354 |
| 50-59 | 89.9 | 42.8 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 47.2 | 185 |
| Current marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 93.8 | 47.9 | 10.2 | 1.0 | 9.5 | 31.4 | 525 |
| Currently married | 94.8 | 55.2 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 34.5 | 1242 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 95.2 | 48.2 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 35.1 | 107 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.1 | 62.3 | 15.2 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 16.1 | 466 |
| Rural | 93.0 | 49.6 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 6.7 | 39.5 | 1407 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 97.0 | 62.9 | 8.6 | 0.2 | 5.7 | 22.6 | 633 |
| Central | 93.0 | 52.4 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 34.2 | 605 |
| Western | 93.5 | 42.8 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 8.4 | 44.3 | 636 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 93.9 | 58.8 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 27.6 | 65 |
| Dar es Salaam | 99.4 | 51.5 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 15.7 | 211 |
| Iringa | 88.1 | 60.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 35.8 | 86 |
| Mwanza | 90.4 | 41.2 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 49.9 | 164 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 84.3 | 31.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 58.6 | 296 |
| Primary incomplete | 93.6 | 46.0 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 7.5 | 42.6 | 514 |
| Primary complete | 97.5 | 60.5 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 25.1 | 916 |
| Secondary ${ }^{+}$ | 99.9 | 71.6 | 15.9 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 143 |
| Total | 94.5 | 52.7 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 6.5 | 33.7 | 1874 |

As shown in Tables 7.13.1 and 7.13.2, 12 percent of women and 30 percent of men reported ever having used a condom for either family planning or disease prevention purposes. Condom use increases with increasing education among both women and men, and urban dwellers are more likely than rural dwellers to have ever used a condom. Respondents were asked whether they used a condom the last time they had sex with their spouse or regular partner and also whether they used a condom the last time they had sex with someone other than their spouse or regular partner. Not surprisingly, the likelihood of a condom being used is higher when respondents had sex with a nonregular partner than when respondents had sex with a spouse or regular partner. Twenty percent of women and 36 percent of men used a condom the last time they had sex with a nonregular partner. However, only 4 percent of women and 9 percent of men used a condom the last time they had sex with their spouse or regular partner.

Table 7.13.1 Use of condoms: women
Percentage of women who have ever used condoms, and percentage who used condom during last sexual intercourse, by perceptions of AIDS risk, background characteristics, and changes in sexual behaviour, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Ever used condoms |  |  |  | Used condom during last sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Used condom for family planning | Used condom to avoid STDs | Either | Number | Last sex with spouse/ regular partner | Number | Last sex with other | Number | Used with any partner | Number |
| Risk of getting AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small risk | 9.3 | 10.7 | 13.3 | 1447 | 4.3 | 1425 | 31.5 | 67 | 5.2 | 1447 |
| Moderate/great/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Has AIDS | 11.2 | 9.8 | 15.1 | 689 | 3.5 | 661 | 19.6 | 74 | 5.2 | 689 |
| Don't know | 6.2 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 944 | 2.9 | 918 | 9.8 | 77 | 3.3 | 944 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 18.2 | 343 | 8.4 | 306 | 12.4 | 58 | 9.3 | 343 |
| 20-24 | 12.8 | 14.2 | 17.8 | 705 | 6.2 | 685 | 27.7 | 58 | 7.2 | 705 |
| 25-29 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 12.5 | 676 | 4.2 | 662 | 23.1 | 52 | 5.5 | 676 |
| 30-39 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 8.2 | 901 | 1.2 | 897 | 21.9 | 36 | 2.0 | 901 |
| 40-49 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 461 | 0.9 | 459 | * | 14 | 0.9 | 461 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 25.9 | 24.0 | 30.8 | 264 | 20.3 | 206 | 20.4 | 88 | 21.5 | 264 |
| Currenly married | 6.7 | 7.3 | 9.7 | 2650 | 2.3 | 2649 | 21.8 | 87 | 2.7 | 2650 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 14.6 | 13.7 | 19.0 | 170 | 4.6 | 152 | 14.9 | 42 | 7.5 | 170 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 17.6 | 16.1 | 21.6 | 779 | 6.6 | 750 | 28.3 | 70 | 8.3 | 779 |
| Rural | 5.8 | 6.7 | 8.8 | 2306 | 2.7 | 2259 | 15.7 | 148 | 3.4 | 2306 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 11.3 | 11.1 | 15.2 | 962 | 5.1 | 930 | 21.6 | 97 | 6.1 | 962 |
| Central | 10.2 | 9.1 | 13.1 | 1002 | 3.8 | 973 | 17.5 | 66 | 4.6 | 1002 |
| Western | 5.3 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 1121 | 2.4 | 1106 | 19.3 | 56 | 3.3 | 1121 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodorna | 10.3 | 11.9 | 13.7 | 136 | 5.9 | 133 | * | 6 | 5.7 | 136 |
| Dar es Salaam | 12.1 | 11.8 | 15.3 | 310 | 5.1 | 293 | 21.7 | 36 | 6.6 | 310 |
| Iringa | 8.9 | 9.8 | 12.8 | 163 | 2.9 | 161 | * | 4 | 2.9 | 163 |
| Mwanza | 6.7 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 268 | 3.4 | 266 | * | 12 | 4.4 | 268 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 3.7 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 945 | 0.9 | 940 | 5.3 | 48 | 1.0 | 945 |
| Primary incomplete | 5.6 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 595 | 2.8 | 578 | 14.4 | 49 | 3.3 | 595 |
| Primary complete | 12.3 | 12.1 | 16.0 | 1434 | 5.2 | 1384 | 26.1 | 112 | 6.7 | 1434 |
| Secondary+ | 24.6 | 27.1 | 35.8 | 106 | 14.1 | 102 | * | 9 | 15.3 | 106 |
| Changes in sexual behaviour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No sexual behaviour change | 4.8 | 4.1 | 6.3 | 814 | 2.1 | 792 | 3.8 | 69 | 2.4 | 814 |
| Stopped sex | 7.0 | 15.3 | 17.2 | 85 | 1.7 | 80 | * | 6 | 1.6 | 85 |
| Began using condoms | 81.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 69 | 42.1 | 64 | 66.7 | 24 | 51.9 | 69 |
| Restrict to one partner | 7.8 | 8.7 | 11.4 | 1889 | 2.9 | 1849 | 17.8 | 89 | 3.5 | 1889 |
| Fewer partners | 13.4 | 16.0 | 19.3 | 381 | 6.9 | 364 | 23.1 | 53 | 7.8 | 381 |
| No sex with prostitutes | 13.2 | 11.8 | 16.1 | 139 | 5.4 | 133 | * | 12 | 6.2 | 139 |
| Used condoms more | 86.5 | 35.5 | 87.1 | 46 | 47.4 | 44 | * | 12 | 67.0 | 46 |
| Other sexual behaviour | 6.7 | 11.5 | 18.2 | 20 | 0.0 | 20 | * | 1 | * | 20 |
| Total | 8.8 | 9.1 | 12.1 | 3085 | 3.7 | 3009 | 19.8 | 218 | 4.6 | 3085 |
| * Denotes fewer than 25 cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.13.2 Use of condoms: men
Percentage of men who have ever used condoms, and percentage who used condom during last sexual intercourse, by perceptions of AIDS risk, background characteristics, and changes in sexual behaviour, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Ever used condoms |  |  |  | Used condom during last sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Used condom for family planning | Used condom to avoid STDs | Either | Number | Last sex with spouse/ regular partner | Number | Last sex with other | Number | Used with any partner | Number |
| Risk of getting AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/small risk | 25.4 | 30.6 | 32.4 | 945 | 9.7 | 828 | 39.6 | 261 | 17.6 | 945 |
| Moderate/greav | 31.1 | 24.6 | 33.1 |  | 12.1 | 287 |  |  |  |  |
| Don't know | 17.7 | 15.5 | 20.3 | 359 | 12.1 5.9 | 326 | 36.3 21.6 | 116 86 | 20.9 8.8 | 313 359 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 30.6 | 33.1 | 37.3 | 189 | 20.9 | 121 | 25.1 | 104 | 25.4 | 189 |
| 20-24 | 40.8 | 42.9 | 48.8 | 241 | 17.6 | 178 | 46.8 | 117 | 30.9 | 241 |
| 25-29 | 34.5 | 34.9 | 40.7 | 247 | 15.9 | 227 | 43.3 | 70 | 23.6 | 247 |
| 30-39 | 23.7 | 25.0 | 28.5 | 459 | 7.0 | 438 | 48.0 | 100 | 14.7 | 459 |
| 40-49 | 11.1 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 314 | 2.0 | 312 | 7.1 | 49 | 2.8 | 314 |
| 50-59 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 10.9 | 168 | 2.6 | 164 | * | 23 | 3.6 | 168 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 42.5 | 43.4 | 49.5 | 370 | 23.9 | 225 | 39.9 | 214 | 34.1 | 370 |
| Currently married | 18.1 | 19.7 | 22.2 | 1186 | 6.0 | 1178 | 31.6 | 210 | 9.9 | 1186 |
| Widowed/Divorced/ Separated | 46.6 | 45.1 | 59.9 | 61 | 26.2 | 38 | 32.3 | 39 | 32.0 | 61 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 33.5 | 34.5 | 39.0 | 405 | 10.5 | 340 | 45.3 | 135 | 21.0 | 405 |
| Rural | 21.9 | 23.2 | 26.8 | 1213 | 8.9 | 1100 | 31.4 | 328 | 14.7 | 1213 |
| Reglon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 34.0 | 26.7 | 34.5 | 62 | 15.3 | 56 | * | 20 | 23.3 | 62 |
| Dar es Salaam | 29.4 | 28.5 | 30.8 | 187 | 7.0 | 142 | 44.4 | 63 | 19.0 | 187 |
| Iringa | 30.4 | 27.2 | 34.9 | 67 | 12.2 | 59 | * | 21 | 18.4 | 67 |
| Mwanza | 15.8 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 141 | 4.9 | 130 | 17.3 | 40 | 7.8 | 141 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 23.1 | 22.3 | 26.0 | 548 | 7.6 | 477 | 30.0 | 159 | 14.1 | 548 |
| Central | 32.1 | 35.1 | 40.2 | 522 | 12.2 | 462 | 47.2 | 165 | 22.8 | 522 |
| Western | 19.5 | 21.2 | 23.9 | 548 | 8.3 | 502 | 27.7 | 139 | 12.2 | 548 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 10.8 | 13.6 | 15.2 | 256 | 4.1 | 236 | 21.2 | 50 | 7.4 | 256 |
| Primary incomplete | 14.4 | 16.7 | 18.9 | 442 | 5.6 | 404 | 17.7 | 102 | 7.8 | 442 |
| Primary complete | 30.7 | 31.2 | 36.0 | 794 | 12.6 | 700 | 38.2 | 260 | 20.6 | 794 |
| Secondary+ | 51.7 | 51.3 | 58.9 | 121 | 13.2 | 98 | 69.8 | 47 | 35.2 | 121 |
| Changes in sexual behaviour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No sexual behaviour change | 6.6 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 168 | 2.6 | 149 | 18.7 | 46 | 5.3 | 168 |
| Stopped sex | 27.5 | 10.9 | 28.2 | 33 | * | 18 | * | 20 | 15.0 | 33 |
| Began using condoms | 81.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 217 | 34.9 | 171 | 69.3 | 112 | 56.0 | 217 |
| Restrict to one partner | 17.9 | 20.3 | 23.5 | 902 | 6.7 | 852 | 27.9 | 163 | 10.1 | 902 |
| Fewer partners | 25.0 | 29.2 | 31.7 | 443 | 8.7 | 412 | 24.9 | 149 | 14.5 | 443 |
| No sex with prostitutes | 21.9 | 21.3 | 25.6 | 423 | 6.9 | 374 | 23.8 | 113 | 11.2 | 423 |
| Used condoms more | 94.9 | 63.4 | 95.9 | 87 | 59.7 | 66 | 78.8 | 55 | 81.4 | 87 |
| Other sexual behaviour | 29.2 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 37 | 7.1 | 37 | * | 13 | 18.3 | 37 |
| Total | 24.8 | 26.1 | 29.9 | 1617 | 9.3 | 1441 | 35.5 | 463 | 16.3 | 1617 |

[^9]
## APPENDIX A

## SAMPLE IMPLEMENTATION

## Table A. 1 Sample implementation

Percent distribution of households, eligible women and eligible men in the TKAPS sample by results of the interviews and household, eligible women, eligible men, and overall response rates, according to sample domain and urban-rural residence, Tanzania 1994

| Result | Residence |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural |  |
| Selected households |  |  |  |
| Completed (C) | 86.1 | 90.8 | 89.5 |
| Household present but no competent respondent at home (HP) | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Refused (R) | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Dwelling not found (DNF) | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Household absent (HA) | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Dwelling vacant (DV) | 7.2 | 4.4 | 5.1 |
| Dwelling destroyed (DD) | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Other (O) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 1256 | 3240 | 4496 |
| Household response rate (HRR) ${ }^{1}$ | 95.7 | 97.9 | 97.3 |
| Eliglble women |  |  |  |
| Completed (EWC) | 96.1 | 94.7 | 95.1 |
| Not at home (EWNH) | 2.7 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| Refused (EWR) | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Partly completed (EWPC) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incapacitated (EWI) | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Other (EWO) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 1245 | 3199 | 4444 |
| Eligible woman response rate (EWRR) ${ }^{2}$ | 96.1 | 94.7 | 95.1 |
| Overall response rate (ORR) ${ }^{3}$ | 92.0 | 92.7 | 92.5 |
| Eligible men |  |  |  |
| Completed (EMC) | 84.1 | 86.5 | 85.7 |
| Not at home (EMNH) | 11.7 | 10.1 | 10.6 |
| Refused (EMR) | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Incapacitated (EMI) | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Other (EMO) | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Total percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 779 | 1668 | 2447 |
| Eligible man response rate (EMRR) ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 84.1 | 86.5 | 85.7 |
| Overall response rate (ORR) ${ }^{3}$ | 79.4 | 84.8 | 83.1 |

Note: The household response rate is calculated for completed households as a proportion of completed, no competent respondent, refused, and dwelling not found. The eligible woman response rate is calculated for completed interviews as a proportion of completed not at home postponed refused partially completed incapacitated and "other." The overall response rate is the product of the household and woman response rates.
Using the number of households falling into specific response categories the household response rate (HRR) is calculated as:

$$
\frac{C}{C+H P+R+D N F}
$$

${ }^{2}$ Using the number of eligible women falling into specific response categories the eligible woman response rate (EWRR) is calculated as:

EWC
$E W C+E W N H+E W R+E W P C+E W I+E W O$
${ }^{3}$ The overall response rate (ORR) is calculated as:
ORR = HRR * EWRR
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## APPENDIX B

## ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS

The estimates from a sample survey are affected by two types of errors: (1) nonsampling errors, and (2) sampling errors. Nonsampling errors are the results of mistakes made in implementing data collection and data processing, such as failure to locate and interview the correct household, misunderstanding of the questions on the part of either the interviewer or the respondent, and data entry errors. Although numerous efforts were made during the implementation of the TKAP to minimize this type of error, nonsampling errors are impossible to avoid and difficult to evaluate statistically.

Sampling errors, on the other hand, can be evaluated statistically. The sample of respondents selected in the TKAPS is only one of many samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and expected size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between all possible samples. Although the degree of variability is not known exactly, it can be estimated from the survey results.

A sampling error is usually measured in terms of the standard error for a particular statistic (mean, percentage, etc.), which is the square root of the variance. The standard error can be used to calculate confidence intervals within which the true value for the population can reasonably be assumed to fall. For example, for any given statistic calculated from a sample survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error of that statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

If the sample of respondents had been selected as a simple random sample, it would have been possible to use straightforward formulas for calculating sampling errors. However, the TKAPS sample is the result of a two-stage stratified design, and, consequently, it was necessary to use more complex formulae. The computer software used to calculate sampling errors for the TKAPS is the ISSA Sampling Error Module (ISSAS). This module used the Taylor linearization method of variance estimation for survey estimates that are means or proportions. The Jacknife repeated replication method is used for variance estimation of more complex statistics such as fertility and mortality rates.

The Taylor linearization method treats any percentage or average as a ratio estimate, $r=y / x$, where $y$ represents the total sample value for variable $y$, and $x$ represents the total number of cases in the group or subgroup under consideration. The variance of $r$ is computed using the formula given below, with the standard error being the square root of the variance:

$$
\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1-f}{x^{2}} \sum_{h=1}^{H}\left[\frac{m_{h}}{m_{h}-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} z_{h i}^{2}-\frac{z_{h}^{2}}{m_{h}}\right)\right]
$$

in which

$$
z_{h i}=y_{h i}-r \cdot x_{h i}, \text { and } z_{h}=y_{h}-r \cdot x_{h}
$$

where $h \quad$ represents the stratum which varies from 1 to H ,
$m_{h} \quad$ is the total number of enumeration areas selected in the $\mathrm{h}^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$y_{h i} \quad$ is the sum of the values of variable $y$ in EA $i$ in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum,
$x_{h i} \quad$ is the sum of the number of cases in EA $i$ in the $h^{\text {th }}$ stratum, and
$f \quad$ is the overall sampling fraction, which is so small that it is ignored.
The Jacknife repeated replication method derives estimates of complex rates from each of several replications of the parent sample, and calculates standard errors for these estimates using simple formulae. Each replication considers all but one clusters in the calculation of the estimates. Pscudo-independent replications are thus created. In the TKAPS, there were 203 non-empty clusters. Hence, 202 replications were created. The variance of a rate $r$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(r_{i}-r\right)^{2}
$$

in which

$$
r_{i}=k r-(k-1) r_{(i)}
$$

where $r$ is the estimate computed from the full sample of 203 clusters, $r_{i j} \quad$ is the estimate computed from the reduced sample of 202 clusters ( $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ cluster excluded), and
$k \quad$ is the total number of clusters.
In addition to the standard error, ISSAS computes the design effect (DEFT) for each estimate, which is defined as the ratio between the standard error using the given sample design and the standard error that would result if a simple random sample had been used. A DEFT value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, whereas a value greater than 1.0 indicates the increase in the sampling error due to the use of a more complex and less statistically efficient design. ISSAS also computes the relative error and confidence limits for the estimates.

Sampling errors for the TKAPS are calculated for selected variables considered to be of primary interest. The results are presented in this appendix for the country as a whole, for urban and rural areas, for three large zones and for the four divisions: Dodoma, Dar es Salaam, Iringa, and Mwanza. For each variable, the type of statistic (mean, proportion, or rate) and the base population are given in Table B.1. Tables B. 2 to $B .11$ present the value of the statistic (R), its standard error (SE), the number of unweighted ( N ) and weighted (WN) cases, the design effect (DEFT), the relative standard error (SE/R), and the 95 percent confidence limits ( $\mathrm{R} \pm 2 \mathrm{SE}$ ), for each variable. The DEFT is considered undefined when the standard error considering a simple random sample is zero (when the estimate is close to 0 or 1 ).

In general, the relative standard error for most estimates for the country as a whole is small, except for estimates of very small proportions. There are some differentials in the relative standard error for the estimates of sub-populations. For example, for the variable Children ever born to women age 15-49, the relative standard errors as a percent of the estimated mean for the whole country, for urban areas, and for rural areas are 1.6 percent, 3.3 percent, and 1.8 percent, respectively.

The confidence interval (e.g., as calculated for Children ever born to women age 15-49) can be interpreted as follows: the overall average from the national sample is 3.153 and its standard error is 0.050 . Therefore, to obtain the 95 percent confidence limits, one adds and subtracts twice the standard error to the sample estimate, i.e., $3.153 \pm 0.050$. There is a high probability ( 95 percent) that the true average number of children ever born to all women age 15 to 49 is between 3.053 and 3.254 .

Finally, the TKAPS sample was a subsample of enumeration areas selected for the 1991-92 TDHS sample; therefore, there was a strong interest in the calculation of sampling errors for the change in rates between the two surveys. Because the two samples were not independent, it is possible to detect change in a particular rate during the period between the two surveys with a smaller sample than if the two samples had been independent. To obtain a measure of the sampling error of the difference in rates between the two surveys, say, for example, the contraceptive prevalence rate, it is necessary to calculate the correlation between the values of the contraceptive prevalence rate for the two surveys at the cluster level and then apply the following formula to calculate the corresponding sampling error:

$$
s e\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)=\sqrt{s e^{2}\left(p_{1}\right)+s e^{2}\left(p_{2}\right)-2 * \rho * \sqrt{s e^{2}\left(p_{1}\right) * s e^{2}\left(p_{2}\right)}} .
$$

Sampling errors of the difference in contraceptive prevalence rates for married women interviewed in the TDHS and the TKAPS are given in Table B. 12 .

| Variable | Type | Description | Base population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |
| URBAN | Proportion | Urban resident | All women |
| SECOND | Proportion | Secondary or more | All women |
| CURMAR | Proportion | Currently in union | All women |
| XAGM20 | Proportion | Ever in union before 20 | All women 20-49 |
| XSEX18 | Proportion | Sex before 18 | All women 20-49 |
| PREGNT | Proportion | ${ }^{\text {Pregnant }}$ | Women in union |
| EVBORN | Mean | Children ever bom | All women |
| EVB40 | Mean | Children ever bom | All women 40-49 |
| SURVIV | Mean | Children surviving | All women |
| KMETHO | Proportion | Knowing any method | Women in union |
| KMETMO | Proportion | Knowing any modern method | Women in union |
| EVUSE | Proportion | Ever use any method | Women in union |
| CUSE | Proportion | Using any method | Women in union |
| CUMODE | Proportion Proportion | Using any modern method | Women in union Women in union |
| CUIUD | Proportion | Using IUD | Women in union |
| CUSTER | Proportion | Using female sterilisation | Women in union |
| CUPABS | Proportion | Currently using abstinence | Women in union |
| PSOURC | Proportion | Public source user | User modern method |
| NOMORE | Proportion | Desiring no more children | Women in union |
| XDELAY | Proportion | Delay child at least 2 years | Women in union |
| IDEAL | Mean | Ideal number of children | All women |
| KWNAID | Proportion | Knowing AIDS | All women |
| PREVEN | Proportion | Knowing prevention for AIDS | All women |
| CNDSRC | Proportion | Knowing condom source | All women |
| MEN |  |  |  |
| URBAN | Proportion | Urban resident | All men |
| XSECON | Proportion | Secondary or more | All men |
| CURMAR | Proportion | Currenly in union | All men |
| XAGM20 | Proportion | Union before 20 | All men 25-59 |
| XSEX18 | Proportion | Sex before 18 | All men 25-59 |
| KMETHO | Proportion | Knowing any method | Men in union |
| KMETMO | Proportion | Knowing any modern method | Men in union |
| EVUSE | Proportion | Ever use any method | Men in union |
| CUSE | Proportion | Using any method | Men in union |
| CUMODE | Proportion | Using any modern method | Men in union |
| CUPILL | Proportion | Using pill | Men in union |
| CUIUD | Proportion | Using IUD | Men in union |
| CUSTER | Proportion | Using female sterilisation | Men in union |
| CUPABS | Proportion | Currently using abstinence | Men in union |
| IDEAL | Mean | Ideal number of children | All men |

Table B. 2 Sampling errors: Entire sample, Tanzania 1994

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 252 | . 017 | 4225 | 4225 | 2.489 | . 066 | . 219 | . 285 |
| SECOND | . 040 | . 004 | 4225 | 4225 | 1.379 | . 104 | . 032 | . 048 |
| CURMAR | . 687 | . 010 | 4225 | 4225 | 1.387 | . 014 | . 667 | . 707 |
| XAGM20 | . 646 | . 011 | 3365 | 3357 | 1.287 | . 016 | . 624 | . 667 |
| XSEX18 | . 621 | . 011 | 3365 | 3357 | 1.351 | . 018 | . 598 | . 644 |
| PREGNT | . 152 | . 009 | 2885 | 2875 | 1.341 | . 059 | . 134 | . 170 |
| EVBORN | 3.153 | . 050 | 4225 | 4225 | 1.082 | . 016 | 3.053 | 3.254 |
| EVB40 | 6.744 | . 164 | 604 | 601 | 1.245 | . 024 | 6.415 | 7.072 |
| SURVIV | 2.621 | . 037 | 4225 | 4225 | . 969 | . 014 | 2.546 | 2.696 |
| KMETHO | . 844 | . 008 | 2912 | 2903 | 1.235 | . 010 | . 827 | . 861 |
| KMETMO | . 817 | . 009 | 2912 | 2903 | 1.210 | . 011 | . 800 | . 834 |
| EVUSE | . 365 | . 012 | 2912 | 2903 | 1.349 | . 033 | . 341 | . 389 |
| CUSE | . 204 | . 010 | 2912 | 2903 | 1.358 | . 050 | . 184 | . 225 |
| CUMODE | . 131 | . 009 | 2912 | 2903 | 1.383 | . 066 | . 114 | . 148 |
| CUPILL | . 056 | . 005 | 2912 | 2903 | 1.234 | . 094 | . 045 | . 066 |
| CUIUD | . 010 | . 003 | 2912 | 2903 | 1.405 | . 260 | . 005 | . 015 |
| CUSTER | . 020 | . 003 | 2912 | 2903 | 1.287 | . 168 | . 013 | . 026 |
| CUPABS | . 023 | . 003 | 2912 | 2903 | 1.253 | . 152 | . 016 | . 030 |
| PSOURC | . 712 | . 024 | 472 | 479 | 1.163 | . 034 | . 664 | . 761 |
| NOMORE | . 225 | . 009 | 2912 | 2903 | 1.201 | . 041 | . 206 | . 244 |
| XDELAY | . 406 | . 011 | 2912 | 2903 | 1.166 | . 026 | . 385 | . 428 |
| IDEAL | 5.546 | . 055 | 3931 | 3912 | 1.490 | . 010 | 5.437 | 5.656 |
| KWNAID | . 977 | . 003 | 4225 | 4225 | 1.281 | . 003 | . 971 | . 983 |
| PREVEN | . 342 | . 009 | 4225 | 4225 | 1.211 | . 026 | . 325 | . 360 |
| CNDSRC | . 473 | . 011 | 4225 | 4225 | 1.430 | . 023 | . 451 | . 495 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 246 | . 016 | 2097 | 2097 | 1.743 | . 067 | . 213 | . 278 |
| XSECON | . 073 | . 010 | 2097 | 2097 | 1.674 | . 130 | . 054 | . 092 |
| CURMAR | . 599 | . 014 | 2097 | 2097 | 1.339 | . 024 | . 570 | . 627 |
| XAGM20 | . 163 | . 011 | 1344 | 1330 | 1.088 | . 067 | . 141 | . 185 |
| XSEX18 | . 536 | . 013 | 1344 | 1330 | . 942 | . 024 | . 511 | . 562 |
| KMETHO | . 897 | . 011 | 1250 | 1255 | 1.276 | . 012 | . 875 | . 918 |
| KMETMO | . 883 | . 012 | 1250 | 1255 | 1.312 | . 014 | . 859 | . 906 |
| EVUSE | . 486 | . 018 | 1250 | 1255 | 1.269 | . 037 | . 450 | . 521 |
| CUSE | . 335 | . 018 | 1250 | 1255 | 1.355 | . 054 | . 299 | . 371 |
| CUMODE | . 151 | . 014 | 1250 | 1255 | 1.405 | . 094 | . 123 | . 180 |
| CUPILL | . 064 | . 009 | 1250 | 1255 | 1.305 | . 141 | . 046 | . 082 |
| CUIUD | . 007 | . 004 | 1250 | 1255 | 1.784 | . 615 | . 000 | . 015 |
| CUSTER | . 015 | . 005 | 1250 | 1255 | 1.575 | . 364 | . 004 | . 026 |
| CUPABS | . 089 | . 010 | 1250 | 1255 | 1.217 | . 110 | . 069 | . 108 |
| IDEAL | 5.932 | . 119 | 1929 | 1924 | 1.473 | . 020 | 5.694 | 6.171 |

Table B. 3 Sampling errors: Urban sample, Tanzania 1994

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\underline{\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SECOND | . 114 | . 012 | 1197 | 1065 | 1.332 | . 107 | . 090 | . 139 |
| CURMAR | . 617 | . 018 | 1197 | 1065 | 1.292 | . 029 | . 580 | . 653 |
| XAGM20 | . 585 | . 017 | 935 | 836 | 1.035 | . 029 | . 551 | . 618 |
| XSEX18 | . 612 | . 017 | 935 | 836 | 1.069 | . 028 | . 578 | . 646 |
| PREGNT | . 122 | . 017 | 727 | 649 | 1.413 | . 141 | . 088 | . 156 |
| EVBORN | 2.509 | . 082 | 1197 | 1065 | 1.067 | . 033 | 2.346 | 2.672 |
| EVB40 | 5.532 | . 305 | 140 | 124 | 1.137 | . 055 | 4.921 | 6.143 |
| SURVIV | 2.158 | . 086 | 1197 | 1065 | 1.282 | . 040 | 1.986 | 2.329 |
| KMETHO | . 959 | . 014 | 735 | 657 | 1.855 | . 014 | . 932 | . 986 |
| KMETMO | . 958 | . 013 | 735 | 657 | 1.832 | . 014 | . 931 | . 985 |
| EVUSE | . 574 | . 031 | 735 | 657 | 1.689 | . 054 | . 512 | . 636 |
| CUSE | . 330 | . 028 | 735 | 657 | 1.638 | . 086 | . 273 | . 387 |
| CUMODE | . 255 | . 025 | 735 | 657 | 1.530 | . 097 | . 206 | . 304 |
| CUPILL | . 126 | . 017 | 735 | 657 | 1.350 | . 131 | . 093 | . 159 |
| CUIUD | . 020 | . 006 | 735 | 657 | 1.217 | . 313 | . 008 | . 033 |
| CUSTER | . 027 | . 007 | 735 | 657 | 1.166 | . 257 | . 013 | . 041 |
| CUPABS | . 034 | . 009 | 735 | 657 | 1.345 | . 263 | . 016 | . 052 |
| PSOURC | . 679 | . 028 | 246 | 226 | . 926 | . 041 | . 624 | . 734 |
| NOMORE | . 259 | . 019 | 735 | 657 | 1.184 | . 074 | . 221 | . 297 |
| XDELAY | . 356 | . 019 | 735 | 657 | 1.071 | . 053 | . 318 | . 394 |
| IDEAL | 4.724 | . 083 | 1164 | 1036 | 1.575 | . 018 | 4.558 | 4.890 |
| KWNAID | . 995 | . 001 | 1197 | 1065 | . 632 | . 001 | . 993 | . 998 |
| PREVEN | . 526 | . 012 | 1197 | 1065 | . 821 | . 023 | . 502 | . 550 |
| CNDSRC | . 711 | . 018 | 1197 | 1065 | 1.387 | . 026 | . 674 | . 747 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XSECON | . 200 | . 032 | 655 | 515 | 2.057 | . 161 | . 136 | . 265 |
| CURMAR | . 535 | . 023 | 655 | 515 | 1.190 | . 043 | . 489 | . 582 |
| XAGM20 | . 120 | . 015 | 406 | 313 | . 930 | . 125 | . 090 | . 150 |
| XSEX18 | . 535 | . 025 | 406 | 313 | . 994 | . 046 | . 486 | . 584 |
| KMETHO | . 956 | . 017 | 347 | 276 | 1.519 | . 017 | . 923 | . 990 |
| KMETMO | . 955 | . 017 | 347 | 276 | 1.512 | . 018 | . 921 | . 989 |
| EVUSE | . 571 | . 035 | 347 | 276 | 1.326 | . 062 | . 500 | . 641 |
| CUSE | . 417 | . 040 | 347 | 276 | 1.504 | . 096 | . 337 | . 497 |
| CUMODE | . 285 | . 031 | 347 | 276 | 1.290 | . 110 | . 222 | . 348 |
| CUPILL | . 139 | . 025 | 347 | 276 | 1.365 | . 183 | . 088 | . 190 |
| CUIUD | . 007 | . 004 | 347 | 276 | . 831 | . 541 | . 000 | . 014 |
| CUSTER | . 015 | . 008 | 347 | 276 | 1.186 | . 511 | . 000 | . 031 |
| CUPABS | . 080 | . 020 | 347 | 276 | 1.358 | . 248 | . 040 | . 119 |
| IDEAL | 5.157 | . 154 | 627 | 491 | 1.085 | . 030 | 4.848 | 5.465 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SECOND | . 015 | . 004 | 3028 | 3160 | 1.805 | . 266 | . 007 | . 023 |
| CURMAR | . 711 | . 012 | 3028 | 3160 | 1.406 | . 016 | . 688 | . 734 |
| XAGM20 | . 666 | . 013 | 2430 | 2521 | 1.373 | . 020 | . 640 | . 692 |
| XSEX18 | . 624 | . 014 | 2430 | 2521 | 1.418 | . 022 | . 596 | . 652 |
| PREGNT | . 161 | . 010 | 2158 | 2227 | 1.310 | . 064 | . 140 | . 182 |
| EVBORN | 3.370 | . 060 | 3028 | 3160 | 1.072 | . 018 | 3.249 | 3.491 |
| EVB40 | 7.058 | . 189 | 464 | 478 | 1.272 | . 027 | 6.680 | 7.435 |
| SURVIV | 2.777 | . 041 | 3028 | 3160 | . 879 | . 015 | 2.695 | 2.859 |
| KMETHO | . 810 | . 010 | 2177 | 2247 | 1.241 | . 013 | . 789 | . 831 |
| KMETMO | . 775 | . 011 | 2177 | 2247 | 1.205 | . 014 | . 754 | . 797 |
| EVUSE | . 304 | . 012 | 2177 | 2247 | 1.239 | . 040 | . 279 | . 328 |
| CUSE | . 168 | . 010 | 2177 | 2247 | 1.224 | . 058 | . 148 | . 187 |
| CUMODE | . 095 | . 008 | 2177 | 2247 | 1.275 | . 085 | . 079 | . 111 |
| CUPILL | . 035 | . 004 | 2177 | 2247 | 1.078 | . 121 | . 027 | . 044 |
| CUIUD | . 007 | . 003 | 2177 | 2247 | 1.606 | . 411 | . 001 | . 013 |
| CUSTER | . 018 | . 004 | 2177 | 2247 | 1.323 | . 213 | . 010 | . 025 |
| CUPABS | . 019 | . 004 | 2177 | 2247 | 1.241 | . 190 | . 012 | . 027 |
| PSOURC | . 742 | . 038 | 226 | 253 | 1.294 | . 051 | . 667 | . 818 |
| NOMORE | . 215 | . 011 | 2177 | 2247 | 1.199 | . 049 | . 194 | . 236 |
| XDELAY | . 421 | . 012 | 2177 | 2247 | 1.150 | . 029 | . 397 | . 445 |
| IDEAL | 5.842 | . 068 | 2767 | 2876 | 1.509 | . 012 | 5.706 | 5.979 |
| KWNAID | . 971 | . 004 | 3028 | 3160 | 1.312 | . 004 | . 963 | . 979 |
| PREVEN | . 280 | . 010 | 3028 | 3160 | 1.231 | . 036 | . 260 | . 300 |
| CNDSRC | . 393 | . 012 | 3028 | 3160 | 1.374 | . 031 | . 369 | .417 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XSECON | . 032 | . 006 | 1442 | 1582 | 1.406 | . 205 | . 019 | . 045 |
| CURMAR | . 619 | . 018 | 1442 | 1582 | 1.377 | . 028 | . 584 | . 654 |
| XAGM20 | . 176 | . 014 | 938 | 1016 | 1.093 | . 077 | . 149 | . 203 |
| XSEX18 | . 536 | . 015 | 938 | 1016 | . 918 | . 028 | . 507 | . 566 |
| KMETHO | . 880 | . 013 | 903 | 980 | 1.233 | . 015 | . 853 | . 906 |
| KMETMO | . 862 | . 015 | 903 | 980 | 1.274 | . 017 | . 833 | . 891 |
| EVUSE | . 462 | . 021 | 903 | 980 | 1.288 | . 046 | . 419 | . 504 |
| CUSE | . 312 | . 021 | 903 | 980 | 1.349 | . 067 | . 270 | . 354 |
| CUMODE | . 113 | . 016 | 903 | 980 | 1.536 | . 143 | . 081 | . 146 |
| CUPILL | . 043 | . 009 | 903 | 980 | 1.284 | . 201 | . 026 | . 061 |
| CUIUD | . 007 | . 005 | 903 | 980 | 1.908 | . 775 | . 000 | . 017 |
| CUSTER | . 015 | . 007 | 903 | 980 | 1.635 | . 447 | . 002 | . 028 |
| CUPABS | . 092 | . 011 | 903 | 980 | 1.170 | . 123 | . 069 | . 114 |
| IDEAL | 6.199 | . 153 | 1302 | 1433 | 1.569 | . 025 | 5.893 | 6.504 |

Table B. 5 Sampling errors: Coastal zone, Tanzania 1994

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 431 | . 029 | 1274 | 1313 | 2.123 | . 068 | . 372 | . 490 |
| SECOND | . 046 | . 007 | 1274 | 1313 | 1.111 | . 141 | . 033 | . 059 |
| CURMAR | . 673 | . 021 | 1274 | 1313 | 1.595 | . 031 | . 631 | . 715 |
| XAGM20 | . 652 | . 019 | 1000 | 1025 | 1.239 | . 029 | . 615 | . 690 |
| XSEX18 | . 663 | . 019 | 1000 | 1025 | 1.269 | . 029 | . 625 | . 701 |
| PREGNT | . 116 | . 017 | 838 | 873 | 1.490 | . 142 | . 083 | . 149 |
| EVBORN | 2.775 | . 079 | 1274 | 1313 | 1.030 | . 029 | 2.616 | 2.934 |
| EVB40 | 5.993 | . 321 | 186 | 184 | 1.349 | . 054 | 5.351 | 6.634 |
| SURVIV | 2.315 | . 071 | 1274 | 1313 | 1.088 | . 031 | 2.172 | 2.457 |
| KMETHO | . 912 | . 012 | 847 | 883 | 1.196 | . 013 | . 889 | . 936 |
| KMETMO | . 901 | . 013 | 847 | 883 | 1.252 | . 014 | . 875 | . 927 |
| EVUSE | . 435 | . 019 | 847 | 883 | 1.094 | . 043 | . 398 | . 473 |
| CUSE | . 241 | . 017 | 847 | 883 | 1.126 | . 069 | . 208 | . 274 |
| CUMODE | . 164 | . 013 | 847 | 883 | . 994 | . 077 | . 139 | . 189 |
| CUPILL | . 072 | . 009 | 847 | 883 | 1.038 | . 128 | . 054 | . 091 |
| CUIUD | . 006 | . 002 | 847 | 883 | . 656 | . 288 | . 003 | . 010 |
| CUSTER | . 018 | . 006 | 847 | 883 | 1.202 | . 302 | . 007 | . 029 |
| CUPABS | . 026 | . 007 | 847 | 883 | 1.347 | . 285 | . 011 | . 040 |
| PSOURC | . 787 | . 031 | 185 | 188 | 1.022 | . 039 | . 726 | . 849 |
| NOMORE | . 223 | . 015 | 847 | 883 | 1.057 | . 068 | . 193 | . 254 |
| XDELAY | . 356 | . 016 | 847 | 883 | 1.002 | . 046 | . 323 | . 389 |
| IDEAL | 5.153 | . 083 | 1220 | 1249 | 1.452 | . 016 | 4.986 | 5.319 |
| KWNAID | . 993 | . 003 | 1274 | 1313 | 1.238 | . 003 | . 987 | . 999 |
| PREVEN | . 386 | . 018 | 1274 | 1313 | 1.323 | . 047 | . 350 | . 422 |
| CNDSRC | . 560 | . 018 | 1274 | 1313 | 1.327 | . 033 | . 523 | . 597 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 427 | . 031 | 742 | 688 | 1.708 | . 073 | . 364 | . 489 |
| XSECON | . 088 | . 015 | 742 | 688 | 1.483 | . 176 | . 057 | . 118 |
| CURMAR | . 619 | . 025 | 742 | 688 | 1.426 | . 041 | . 568 | . 670 |
| XAGM20 | . 149 | . 019 | 487 | 454 | 1.201 | . 130 | . 110 | . 188 |
| XSEX18 | . 560 | . 020 | 487 | 454 | . 897 | . 036 | . 519 | . 600 |
| KMETHO | . 937 | . 016 | 443 | 426 | 1.366 | . 017 | . 906 | . 969 |
| KMETMO | . 931 | . 017 | 443 | 426 | 1.414 | . 018 | . 896 | . 965 |
| EVUSE | . 524 | . 030 | 443 | 426 | 1.256 | . 057 | . 464 | . 583 |
| CUSE | . 365 | . 029 | 443 | 426 | 1.251 | . 078 | . 308 | . 423 |
| CUMODE | . 196 | . 021 | 443 | 426 | 1.096 | . 106 | . 154 | . 237 |
| CUPILL | . 098 | . 016 | 443 | 426 | 1.120 | . 162 | . 066 | . 130 |
| CUIUD | . 004 | . 002 | 443 | 426 | . 745 | . 543 | . 000 | . 009 |
| CUSTER | . 013 | . 006 | 443 | 426 | 1.045 | . 433 | . 002 | . 024 |
| CUPABS | . 075 | . 015 | 443 | 426 | 1.179 | . 197 | . 046 | . 105 |
| IDEAL | 5.848 | . 102 | 703 | 649 | . 788 | . 017 | 5.644 | 6.053 |

Table B. 6 Sampling errors: Central zone, Tanzania 1994

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | $\overline{\mathrm{R}-2 \mathrm{SE}}$ | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 203 | . 035 | 1350 | 1386 | 3.196 | . 173 | . 133 | . 272 |
| SECOND | . 053 | . 008 | 1350 | 1386 | 1.347 | . 154 | . 037 | . 070 |
| CURMAR | . 681 | . 016 | 1350 | 1386 | 1.222 | . 023 | . 650 | . 712 |
| XAGM20 | . 553 | . 018 | 1099 | 1116 | 1.204 | . 033 | . 517 | . 589 |
| XSEX18 | . 568 | . 025 | 1099 | 1116 | 1.689 | . 044 | . 517 | . 618 |
| PREGNT | . 142 | . 011 | 919 | 937 | . 971 | . 079 | . 119 | . 164 |
| EVBORN | 3.119 | . 105 | 1350 | 1386 | 1.298 | . 034 | 2.909 | 3.329 |
| EVB40 | 6.557 | . 343 | 215 | 215 | 1.547 | . 052 | 5.871 | 7.242 |
| SURVIV | 2.654 | . 068 | 1350 | 1386 | 1.002 | . 025 | 2.519 | 2.789 |
| KMETHO | . 878 | . 013 | 926 | 944 | 1.223 | . 015 | . 852 | . 905 |
| KMETMO | . 827 | . 015 | 926 | 944 | 1.212 | . 018 | . 796 | . 857 |
| EVUSE | . 443 | . 025 | 926 | 944 | 1.514 | . 056 | . 393 | . 492 |
| CUSE | . 252 | . 023 | 926 | 944 | 1.581 | . 090 | . 206 | . 297 |
| CUMODE | . 168 | . 021 | 926 | 944 | 1.679 | . 123 | . 127 | . 209 |
| CUPILL | . 072 | . 012 | 926 | 944 | 1.406 | . 166 | . 048 | . 096 |
| CUIUD | . 018 | . 006 | 926 | 944 | 1.452 | . 350 | . 005 | . 031 |
| CUSTER | . 032 | . 008 | 926 | 944 | 1.319 | . 240 | . 016 | . 047 |
| CUPABS | . 023 | . 006 | 926 | 944 | 1.149 | . 247 | . 012 | . 034 |
| PSOURC | . 682 | . 033 | 181 | 195 | . 957 | . 049 | . 616 | . 748 |
| NOMORE | . 232 | . 018 | 926 | 944 | 1.282 | . 077 | . 197 | . 268 |
| XDELAY | . 430 | . 021 | 926 | 944 | 1.294 | . 049 | . 387 | . 472 |
| IDEAL | 5.287 | . 108 | 1264 | 1285 | 1.770 | . 020 | 5.071 | 5.503 |
| KWNAID | . 986 | . 005 | 1350 | 1386 | 1.520 | . 005 | . 976 | . 996 |
| PREVEN | . 376 | . 017 | 1350 | 1386 | 1.325 | . 046 | . 341 | . 411 |
| CNDSRC | . 504 | . 021 | 1350 | 1386 | 1.551 | . 042 | . 462 | . 547 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 170 | . 030 | 723 | 669 | 2.136 | . 176 | . 110 | . 230 |
| XSECON | . 090 | . 023 | 723 | 669 | 2.122 | . 251 | . 045 | . 135 |
| CURMAR | . 588 | . 028 | 723 | 669 | 1.513 | . 047 | . 532 | . 643 |
| XAGM20 | . 128 | . 021 | 480 | 437 | 1.364 | . 162 | . 087 | . 170 |
| XSEX18 | . 517 | . 026 | 480 | 437 | 1.123 | . 050 | . 465 | . 568 |
| KMETHO | . 875 | . 022 | 428 | 394 | 1.397 | . 026 | . 830 | . 920 |
| KMETMO | . 857 | . 023 | 428 | 394 | 1.342 | . 026 | . 812 | . 903 |
| EVUSE | . 571 | . 030 | 428 | 394 | 1.271 | . 053 | . 510 | . 632 |
| CUSE | . 405 | . 037 | 428 | 394 | 1.545 | . 091 | . 332 | . 479 |
| CUMODE | . 188 | . 034 | 428 | 394 | 1.811 | . 182 | . 119 | . 256 |
| CUPILL | . 068 | . 021 | 428 | 394 | 1.710 | . 307 | . 026 | . 109 |
| CUIUD | . 016 | . 013 | 428 | 394 | 2.099 | . 809 | . 000 | . 041 |
| CUSTER | . 028 | . 014 | 428 | 394 | 1.781 | . 507 | . 000 | . 057 |
| CUPABS | . 075 | . 019 | 428 | 394 | 1.461 | . 248 | . 038 | . 112 |
| IDEAL | 5.807 | . 273 | 667 | 628 | 2.179 | . 047 | 5.261 | 6.354 |

Table B. 7 Sampling errors: Western zone, Tanzania 1994

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 143 | . 025 | 1601 | 1526 | 2.912 | . 178 | . 092 | . 194 |
| SECOND | . 022 | . 007 | 1601 | 1526 | 1.884 | . 312 | . 008 | . 036 |
| CURMAR | . 705 | . 015 | 1601 | 1526 | 1.352 | . 022 | . 674 | . 736 |
| XAGM20 | . 726 | . 016 | 1266 | 1215 | 1.236 | . 021 | . 695 | . 757 |
| XSEX18 | . 635 | . 014 | 1266 | 1215 | 1.018 | . 022 | . 607 | . 662 |
| PREGNT | . 190 | . 016 | 1128 | 1066 | 1.403 | . 086 | . 157 | . 223 |
| EVBORN | 3.509 | . 075 | 1601 | 1526 | . 934 | . 021 | 3.358 | 3.660 |
| EVB40 | 7.621 | . 198 | 203 | 203 | . 922 | . 026 | 7.226 | 8.016 |
| SURVIV | 2.855 | . 056 | 1601 | 1526 | . 833 | . 019 | 2.744 | 2.966 |
| KMETHO | . 757 | . 017 | 1139 | 1076 | 1.372 | . 023 | . 723 | . 792 |
| KMETMO | . 739 | . 018 | 1139 | 1076 | 1.369 | . 024 | . 704 | . 775 |
| EVUSE | . 239 | . 016 | 1139 | 1076 | 1.301 | . 069 | . 206 | . 272 |
| CUSE | . 133 | . 012 | 1139 | 1076 | 1.177 | . 089 | . 109 | . 157 |
| CUMODE | . 071 | . 009 | 1139 | 1076 | 1.172 | . 126 | . 053 | . 089 |
| CUPILL | . 028 | . 005 | 1139 | 1076 | 1.045 | . 181 | . 018 | . 039 |
| CUIUD | . 006 | . 004 | 1139 | 1076 | 1.803 | . 701 | . 000 | . 014 |
| CUSTER | . 010 | . 003 | 1139 | 1076 | 1.125 | . 325 | . 004 | . 017 |
| CUPABS | . 020 | . 005 | 1139 | 1076 | 1.246 | . 258 | . 010 | . 030 |
| PSOURC | . 627 | . 075 | 106 | 96 | 1.589 | . 120 | . 477 | . 777 |
| NOMORE | . 220 | . 015 | 1139 | 1076 | 1.229 | . 069 | . 190 | . 250 |
| XDELAY | . 427 | . 017 | 1139 | 1076 | 1.154 | . 040 | . 393 | . 461 |
| IDEAL | 6.146 | . 100 | 1447 | 1378 | 1.503 | . 016 | 5.946 | 6.345 |
| KWNAID | . 955 | . 007 | 1601 | 1526 | 1.267 | . 007 | . 942 | . 968 |
| PREVEN | . 274 | . 013 | 1601 | 1526 | 1.162 | . 047 | . 248 | . 300 |
| CNDSRC | . 370 | . 015 | 1601 | 1526 | 1.215 | . 040 | . 341 | . 399 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 146 | . 029 | 632 | 740 | 2.084 | . 201 | . 087 | . 204 |
| XSECON | . 044 | . 009 | 632 | 740 | 1.046 | . 193 | . 027 | . 061 |
| CURMAR | . 589 | . 022 | 632 | 740 | 1.144 | . 038 | . 545 | . 634 |
| XAGM20 | . 212 | . 016 | 377 | 439 | . 768 | . 076 | . 179 | . 244 |
| XSEX18 | . 531 | . 019 | 377 | 439 | . 745 | . 036 | . 493 | . 569 |
| KMETHO | . 876 | . 018 | 379 | 436 | 1.060 | . 020 | . 841 | . 912 |
| KMETMO | . 858 | . 021 | 379 | 436 | 1.158 | . 024 | . 817 | . 900 |
| EVUSE | . 371 | . 027 | 379 | 436 | 1.096 | . 073 | . 316 | . 425 |
| CUSE | . 242 | . 024 | 379 | 436 | 1.068 | . 097 | . 195 | . 289 |
| CUMODE | . 075 | . 014 | 379 | 436 | 1.026 | . 186 | . 047 | . 102 |
| CUPILL | . 028 | . 008 | 379 | 436 | . 998 | . 302 | . 011 | . 045 |
| CUIUD | . 001 | . 001 | 379 | 436 | . 655 | . 000 | . 000 | . 003 |
| CUSTER | . 004 | . 004 | 379 | 436 | 1.293 | . 993 | . 000 | . 013 |
| CUPABS | . 115 | . 017 | 379 | 436 | 1.019 | . 146 | . 081 | 148 |
| IDEAL | 6.138 | . 202 | 559 | 648 | 1.212 | . 033 | 5.733 | 6.543 |


| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | $\overline{\mathrm{R}-2 \mathrm{SE}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 875 | . 050 | 386 | 450 | 2.984 | . 057 | . 775 | . 976 |
| SECOND | . 105 | . 018 | 386 | 450 | 1.127 | . 167 | . 070 | . 141 |
| CURMAR | . 590 | . 036 | 386 | 450 | 1.453 | . 062 | . 517 | . 662 |
| XAGM20 | . 593 | . 024 | 292 | 338 | . 838 | . 041 | . 545 | . 641 |
| XSEX18 | . 625 | . 037 | 292 | 338 | 1.301 | . 059 | . 551 | . 699 |
| PREGNT | . 129 | . 021 | 210 | 261 | . 916 | . 165 | . 086 | . 171 |
| EVBORN | 2.251 | . 100 | 386 | 450 | . 819 | . 044 | 2.051 | 2.451 |
| EVB40 | 5.130 | . 325 | 50 | 54 | . 876 | . 063 | 4.480 | 5.779 |
| SURVIV | 1.924 | . 120 | 386 | 450 | 1.111 | . 062 | 1.685 | 2.164 |
| KMETHO | . 978 | . 013 | 214 | 265 | 1.267 | . 013 | . 953 | 1.004 |
| KMETMO | . 978 | . 013 | 214 | 265 | 1.267 | . 013 | . 953 | 1.004 |
| EVUSE | . 540 | . 037 | 214 | 265 | 1.098 | . 069 | . 465 | . 615 |
| CUSE | . 323 | . 037 | 214 | 265 | 1.152 | . 114 | . 249 | . 396 |
| CUMODE | . 222 | . 023 | 214 | 265 | . 821 | . 105 | . 175 | . 269 |
| CUPILL | . 095 | . 019 | 214 | 265 | . 925 | . 195 | . 058 | . 133 |
| CUIUD | . 014 | . 005 | 214 | 265 | . 589 | . 339 | . 005 | . 023 |
| CUSTER | . 042 | . 016 | 214 | 265 | 1.189 | . 391 | . 009 | . 074 |
| CUPABS | . 064 | . 020 | 214 | 265 | 1.211 | . 316 | . 024 | . 105 |
| PSOURC | . 649 | . 038 | 80 | 86 | . 699 | . 058 | . 574 | . 724 |
| NOMORE | . 246 | . 029 | 214 | 265 | . 994 | . 119 | . 187 | . 305 |
| XDELAY | . 313 | . 026 | 214 | 265 | . 828 | . 084 | . 261 | . 366 |
| IDEAL | 4.676 | . 109 | 380 | 442 | 1.305 | . 023 | 4.458 | 4.894 |
| KWNAID | 1.000 | . 000 | 386 | 450 | . 000 | . 000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| PREVEN | . 654 | . 024 | 386 | 450 | . 995 | . 037 | . 605 | . 702 |
| CNDSRC | . 707 | . 032 | 386 | 450 | 1.379 | . 045 | . 643 | . 771 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 874 | . 035 | 325 | 233 | 1.903 | . 040 | . 803 | . 944 |
| XSECON | . 193 | . 040 | 325 | 233 | 1.817 | . 206 | . 113 | . 273 |
| CURMAR | . 522 | . 037 | 325 | 233 | 1.330 | . 071 | . 448 | . 596 |
| XAGM20 | . 103 | . 019 | 193 | 137 | . 871 | . 186 | . 065 | . 141 |
| XSEX18 | . 635 | . 030 | 193 | 137 | . 870 | . 048 | . 574 | . 695 |
| KMETHO | . 993 | . 007 | 162 | 122 | 1.047 | . 007 | . 980 | 1.000 |
| KMETMO | . 993 | . 007 | 162 | 122 | 1.047 | . 007 | . 980 | 1.000 |
| EVUSE | . 567 | . 042 | 162 | 122 | 1.077 | . 074 | . 482 | . 651 |
| CUSE | . 424 | . 059 | 162 | 122 | 1.524 | . 140 | . 305 | . 543 |
| CUMODE | . 290 | . 039 | 162 | 122 | 1.084 | . 134 | . 212 | . 367 |
| CUPILL | . 129 | . 021 | 162 | 122 | . 798 | . 164 | . 087 | . 171 |
| CUIUD | . 011 | . 007 | 162 | 122 | . 892 | . 658 | . 000 | . 026 |
| CUSTER | . 041 | . 020 | 162 | 122 | 1.290 | . 493 | . 001 | . 081 |
| CUPABS | . 091 | . 029 | 162 | 122 | 1.262 | . 315 | . 033 | . 148 |
| IDEAL | 5.186 | . 133 | 305 | 218 | 1.015 | . 026 | 4.920 | 5.452 |

Table B. 9 Sampling errors: Dodoma, Tanzania 1994

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard efror (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 231 | . 179 | 260 | 185 | 6.824 | . 773 | -. 126 | . 589 |
| SECOND | . 043 | . 034 | 260 | 185 | 2.649 | . 773 | -. 024 | . 110 |
| CURMAR | . 637 | . 057 | 260 | 185 | 1.908 | . 090 | . 523 | . 751 |
| XAGM20 | . 648 | . 068 | 220 | 156 | 2.092 | . 104 | . 513 | . 783 |
| XSEX18 | . 631 | . 063 | 220 | 156 | 1.917 | . 099 | . 506 | . 756 |
| PREGNT | . 144 | . 032 | 170 | 118 | 1.170 | . 220 | . 081 | . 207 |
| EVBORN | 3.167 | . 295 | 260 | 185 | 1.699 | . 093 | 2.576 | 3.758 |
| EVB40 | 6.219 | . 399 | 47 | 33 | 1.040 | . 064 | 5.421 | 7.016 |
| SURVIV | 2.492 | . 180 | 260 | 185 | 1.267 | . 072 | 2.132 | 2.852 |
| KMETHO | . 857 | . 034 | 170 | 118 | 1.266 | . 040 | . 789 | . 925 |
| KMETMO | . 825 | . 044 | 170 | 118 | 1.493 | . 053 | . 738 | . 912 |
| EVUSE | . 397 | . 066 | 170 | 118 | 1.767 | . 168 | . 264 | . 530 |
| CUSE | . 229 | . 045 | 170 | 118 | 1.398 | . 197 | . 139 | . 320 |
| CUMODE | . 141 | . 053 | 170 | 118 | 1.961 | . 372 | . 036 | . 246 |
| CUPILL | . 051 | . 028 | 170 | 118 | 1.634 | . 545 | . 000 | . 106 |
| CUIUD | . 023 | . 019 | 170 | 118 | 1.660 | . 837 | . 000 | . 061 |
| CUSTER | . 005 | . 005 | 170 | 118 | . 955 | 1.016 | . 000 | . 016 |
| CUPABS | . 014 | . 009 | 170 | 118 | . 940 | . 602 | . 000 | . 031 |
| PSOURC | . 848 | . 047 | 27 | 24 | . 670 | . 056 | . 754 | . 942 |
| NOMORE | . 194 | . 040 | 170 | 118 | 1.327 | . 208 | . 113 | . 274 |
| XDELAY | . 345 | . 041 | 170 | 118 | 1.125 | . 119 | . 263 | . 428 |
| IDEAL | 5.229 | . 305 | 237 | 168 | 2.141 | . 058 | 4.620 | 5.838 |
| KWNAID | . 972 | . 011 | 260 | 185 | 1.106 | . 012 | . 949 | . 994 |
| PREVEN | . 512 | . 044 | 260 | 185 | 1.416 | . 086 | . 424 | . 599 |
| CNDSRC | . 556 | . 085 | 260 | 185 | 2.768 | . 154 | . 385 | . 726 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 245 | . 186 | 168 | 75 | 5.579 | . 758 | . 000 | . 616 |
| XSECON | . 192 | . 143 | 168 | 75 | 4.687 | . 743 | . 000 | . 478 |
| CURMAR | . 562 | . 047 | 168 | 75 | 1.219 | . 083 | . 469 | . 656 |
| XAGM20 | . 185 | . 057 | 109 | 48 | 1.527 | . 308 | . 071 | . 299 |
| XSEX18 | . 614 | . 053 | 109 | 48 | 1.126 | . 086 | . 509 | . 720 |
| KMETHO | . 989 | . 011 | 98 | 42 | 1.064 | . 012 | . 966 | 1.000 |
| KMETMO | . 964 | . 020 | 98 | 42 | 1.040 | . 021 | . 924 | 1.000 |
| EVUSE | . 754 | . 066 | 98 | 42 | 1.514 | . 088 | . 621 | . 886 |
| CUSE | . 593 | . 080 | 98 | 42 | 1.601 | . 135 | . 434 | . 753 |
| CUMODE | . 282 | . 056 | 98 | 42 | 1.224 | . 198 | . 170 | . 394 |
| CUPILL | . 093 | . 049 | 98 | 42 | 1.659 | . 526 | . 000 | . 191 |
| CUIUD | . 000 | . 000 | 98 | 42 | NA | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| CUSTER | . 014 | . 011 | 98 | 42 | . 914 | . 769 | . 000 | . 036 |
| CUPABS | . 141 | . 037 | 98 | 42 | 1.047 | . 263 | . 067 | . 215 |
| IDEAL | 6.251 | . 686 | 141 | 64 | 1.784 | . 110 | 4.879 | 7.624 |

NA = Not available

Table B. 10 Sampling errors: Iringa, Tanzania 1994

| Variable | Value <br> (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 102 | . 094 | 266 | 220 | 5.044 | . 922 | . 000 | . 289 |
| SECOND | . 039 | . 020 | 266 | 220 | 1.696 | . 515 | . 000 | . 080 |
| CURMAR | . 726 | . 034 | 266 | 220 | 1.243 | . 047 | . 658 | . 794 |
| XAGM20 | . 589 | . 031 | 231 | 186 | . 965 | . 053 | . 526 | . 651 |
| XSEX18 | . 567 | . 031 | 231 | 186 | . 952 | . 055 | . 505 | . 629 |
| PREGNT | . 112 | . 017 | 195 | 157 | . 762 | . 154 | . 077 | . 146 |
| EVBORN | 3.680 | . 369 | 266 | 220 | 1.811 | . 100 | 2.942 | 4.418 |
| EVB40 | 8.374 | . 663 | 43 | 37 | 1.309 | . 079 | 7.049 | 9.700 |
| SURVIV | 2.936 | . 156 | 266 | 220 | 1.036 | . 053 | 2.624 | 3.247 |
| KMETHO | . 931 | . 016 | 197 | 160 | . 901 | . 017 | . 899 | . 964 |
| KMETMO | . 922 | . 018 | 197 | 160 | . 960 | . 020 | . 885 | . 959 |
| EVUSE | . 284 | . 060 | 197 | 160 | 1.859 | . 211 | . 164 | . 404 |
| CUSE | . 151 | . 040 | 197 | 160 | 1.564 | . 265 | . 071 | . 231 |
| CUMODE | . 078 | . 046 | 197 | 160 | 2.383 | . 584 | . 000 | . 170 |
| CUPILL | . 048 | . 031 | 197 | 160 | 2.008 | . 636 | . 000 | . 110 |
| CUIUD | . 000 | . 000 | 197 | 160 | NA | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| CUSTER | . 000 | . 000 | 197 | 160 | NA | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| CUPABS | . 012 | . 009 | 197 | 160 | 1.186 | . 771 | . 000 | . 030 |
| PSOURC | . 749 | . 031 | 19 | 16 | . 304 | . 041 | . 687 | . 811 |
| NOMORE | . 184 | . 053 | 197 | 160 | 1.904 | . 286 | . 079 | . 290 |
| XDELAY | . 500 | . 030 | 197 | 160 | . 830 | . 059 | . 441 | . 559 |
| IDEAL | 5.752 | . 159 | 251 | 205 | 1.254 | . 028 | 5.434 | 6.069 |
| KWNAID | . 997 | . 003 | 266 | 220 | . 933 | . 003 | . 990 | 1.000 |
| PREVEN | . 442 | . 065 | 266 | 220 | 2.119 | . 146 | . 313 | . 571 |
| CNDSRC | . 498 | . 075 | 266 | 220 | 2.433 | . 150 | . 349 | . 647 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 093 | . 086 | 210 | 96 | 4.295 | . 930 | . 000 | . 265 |
| XSECON | . 037 | . 016 | 210 | 96 | 1.247 | . 443 | . 004 | . 069 |
| CURMAR | . 623 | . 045 | 210 | 96 | 1.338 | . 072 | . 533 | . 713 |
| XAGM20 | . 200 | . 040 | 146 | 66 | 1.212 | . 201 | . 120 | . 281 |
| XSEX18 | . 505 | . 022 | 146 | 66 | . 541 | . 044 | . 460 | . 550 |
| KMETHO | . 852 | . 034 | 133 | 60 | 1.093 | . 040 | . 785 | . 920 |
| KMETMO | . 831 | . 033 | 133 | 60 | 1.014 | . 040 | . 765 | . 897 |
| EVUSE | . 403 | . 033 | 133 | 60 | . 782 | . 083 | . 336 | . 469 |
| CUSE | . 181 | . 037 | 133 | 60 | 1.099 | . 203 | . 108 | . 255 |
| CUMODE | . 060 | . 017 | 133 | 60 | . 833 | . 288 | . 025 | . 094 |
| CUPILL | . 021 | . 014 | 133 | 60 | 1.090 | . 648 | . 000 | . 048 |
| CUIUD | . 000 | . 000 | 133 | 60 | NA | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| CUSTER | . 000 | . 000 | 133 | 60 | NA | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| CUPABS | . 042 | . 011 | 133 | 60 | . 622 | . 258 | . 020 | . 064 |
| IDEAL | 6.592 | . 344 | 195 | 90 | 1.494 | . 052 | 5.904 | 7.279 |

NA = Not available

Table B. 11 Sampling errors: Mwanza, Tanzania 1994

| Variable | Value (R) | Standard error (SE) | Number of cases |  | Design effect (DEFT) | Relative error (SE/R) | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unweighted <br> (N) | Weighted (WN) |  |  | $\overline{\mathrm{R}-2 \mathrm{SE}}$ | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| URBAN | . 263 | . 078 | 395 | 340 | 3.517 | . 296 | . 107 | .419 |
| SECOND | . 018 | . 012 | 395 | 340 | 1.798 | . 667 | -. 006 | . 042 |
| CURMAR | . 723 | . 026 | 395 | 340 | 1.134 | . 035 | . 672 | . 775 |
| XAGM20 | . 723 | . 018 | 319 | 275 | . 734 | . 025 | . 686 | . 760 |
| XSEX18 | . 662 | . 032 | 319 | 275 | 1.221 | . 049 | . 598 | . 727 |
| PREGNT | . 206 | . 026 | 276 | 244 | 1.069 | . 127 | . 154 | . 258 |
| EVBORN | 3.533 | . 074 | 395 | 340 | . 473 | . 021 | 3.385 | 3.682 |
| EVB40 | 7.424 | . 393 | 48 | 41 | . 841 | . 053 | 6.639 | 8.210 |
| SURVIV | 2.881 | . 085 | 395 | 340 | . 630 | . 029 | 2.712 | 3.051 |
| KMETHO | . 747 | . 023 | 278 | 246 | . 897 | . 031 | . 700 | . 794 |
| KMETMO | . 710 | . 022 | 278 | 246 | . 809 | . 031 | . 666 | . 754 |
| EVUSE | . 288 | . 040 | 278 | 246 | 1.469 | . 139 | . 208 | . 368 |
| CUSE | . 165 | . 029 | 278 | 246 | 1.284 | . 173 | . 108 | . 222 |
| CUMODE | . 066 | . 016 | 278 | 246 | 1.047 | . 237 | . 035 | . 097 |
| CUPILL | . 029 | . 008 | 278 | 246 | . 812 | . 280 | . 013 | . 046 |
| CUIUD | . 002 | . 003 | 278 | 246 | . 898 | 1.100 | -. 003 | . 008 |
| CUSTER | . 008 | . 005 | 278 | 246 | . 995 | . 656 | -. 003 | . 019 |
| CUPABS | . 021 | . 008 | 278 | 246 | . 944 | . 384 | . 005 | . 038 |
| PSOURC | . 668 | . 090 | 31 | 24 | 1.052 | . 135 | . 487 | . 849 |
| NOMORE | . 147 | . 026 | 278 | 246 | 1.214 | . 176 | . 095 | . 199 |
| XDELAY | . 395 | . 031 | 278 | 246 | 1.050 | . 078 | . 333 | . 457 |
| IDEAL | 6.087 | . 184 | 349 | 298 | 1.474 | . 030 | 5.719 | 6.456 |
| KWNAID | . 944 | . 006 | 395 | 340 | . 551 | . 007 | . 932 | . 957 |
| PREVEN | . 265 | . 027 | 395 | 340 | 1.212 | . 102 | . 211 | . 319 |
| CNDSRC | . 424 | . 032 | 395 | 340 | 1.267 | . 074 | . 361 | .487 |

## Table B. 12 Sampling errors of the difference

Sampling errors of the difference between the contraceptive prevalence rates for currently married women from the 1991/92 TDHS and the 1994 TKAPS

|  | PR-91 | SE-91 | PR-94 | SE-94 | Correl | Differ | SE(diffe) | Dif+2SE | Dif-2SE | RelError |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANY METHOD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tanzania | 10.5 | 0.6 | 20.4 | 1.0 | 0.643 | 9.9 | 0.77 | 11.43 | 8.37 | 0.08 |
| Urban | 18.7 | 2.4 | 33.0 | 2.8 | 0.473 | 14.3 | 2.69 | 19.68 | 8.92 | 0.19 |
| Rural | 8.4 | 0.5 | 16.8 | 1.0 | 0.643 | 8.4 | 0.78 | 9.96 | 6.84 | 0.09 |
| Coastal zone | 11.3 | 0.9 | 24.1 | 1.7 | 0.472 | 12.8 | 1.50 | 15.80 | 9.80 | 0.12 |
| Central zone | 15.3 | 1.3 | 25.2 | 2.3 | 0.740 | 9.9 | 1.60 | 13.10 | 6.70 | 0.16 |
| Western zone | 5.9 | 0.8 | 13.3 | 1.2 | 0.543 | 7.4 | 1.02 | 9.44 | 5.36 | 0.14 |
| Dodoma | 9.6 | 3.1 | 22.9 | 4.5 | 0.843 | 13.3 | 2.52 | 18.33 | 8.27 | 0.19 |
| Dar es Salaam | 15.7 | 2.3 | 32.3 | 3.7 | 0.704 | 16.6 | 2.65 | 21.89 | 11.31 | 0.16 |
| Iringa | 11.1 | 3.9 | 15.1 | 4.0 | 0.672 | 4.0 | 3.20 | 10.41 | -2.41 | 0.80 |
| Mwanza | 4.0 | 1.4 | 16.5 | 2.9 | 0.620 | 12.5 | 2.31 | 17.12 | 7.88 | 0.18 |
| MODERN METHOD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tanzania | 6.6 | 0.6 | 13.1 | 0.9 | 0.630 | 6.5 | 0.70 | 7.90 | 5.10 | 0.11 |
| Urban | 15.1 | 2.4 | 25.5 | 2.5 | 0.580 | 10.4 | 2.25 | 14.90 | 5.90 | 0.22 |
| Rural | 4.4 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 0.8 | 0.630 | 5.1 | 0.65 | 6.41 | 3.79 | 0.13 |
| Coastal zone | 6.4 | 0.8 | 16.4 | 1.3 | 0.379 | 10.0 | 1.24 | 12.48 | 7.52 | 0.12 |
| Central zone | 11.2 | 1.3 | 16.8 | 2.1 | 0.754 | 5.6 | 1.41 | 8.42 | 2.78 | 0.25 |
| Western zone | 2.7 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 0.9 | 0.563 | 4.4 | 0.75 | 5.90 | 2.90 | 0.17 |
| Dodoma | 8.3 | 3.2 | 14.1 | 5.3 | 0.904 | 5.8 | 2.77 | 11.34 | 0.26 | 0.48 |
| Dar es Salaam | 10.9 | 2.3 | 22.2 | 2.3 | 0.589 | 11.3 | 2.09 | 15.47 | 7.13 | 0.18 |
| Iringa | 9.0 | 4.1 | 7.8 | 4.6 | 0.887 | -1.2 | 2.12 | 3.05 | -5.45 | -1.77 |
| Mwanza | 2.3 | 1.1 | 6.6 | 1.6 | 0.335 | 4.3 | 1.61 | 7.52 | 1.08 | 0.37 |
| Notation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PR-91 | Prev | nce rate | the 1991 | DHS su |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE-91 | Sam | g error | the 199 | revalen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PR-94 | Prev | nce rate | the 1994 | KAPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SL-94 | Sam | g error | the 199 | revalen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Correl | Corr | tion bet | n preval | e rates | 991 and | 1994 |  |  |  |  |
| Differ | Diff | ace value | etween p | valence | ues in 19 | and 19 |  |  |  |  |
| SE(diffe) | Sam | g error | the diff | nce valu | f prevale | e rates |  |  |  |  |
| Dif+2SE | Upp | bound of | $5 \%$ confi | ce inter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dif-2SE | Low | bound of | 5\% confi | nce inte |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Relliror | Rela | error f | the differ | ce value |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX C

DATA QUALITY

Table C. 1 Household age distribution
Single-year age distribution of the de facto household population by sex (weighted), Tanzania 1994

| Age | Males |  | Females |  | Age | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 0 | 354 | 3.4 | 370 | 3.4 | 37 | 61 | 0.6 | 77 | 0.7 |
| 1 | 366 | 3.6 | 308 | 2.8 | 38 | 82 | 0.8 | 122 | 1.1 |
| 2 | 320 | 3.1 | 366 | 3.3 | 39 | 53 | 0.5 | 69 | 0.6 |
| 3 | 402 | 3.9 | 400 | 3.6 | 40 | 128 | 1.2 | 135 | 1.2 |
| 4 | 415 | 4.0 | 364 | 3.3 | 41 | 43 | 0.4 | 58 | 0.5 |
| 5 | 365 | 3.5 | 362 | 3.3 | 42 | 83 | 0.8 | 77 | 0.7 |
| 6 | 376 | 3.6 | 392 | 3.6 | 43 | 57 | 0.6 | 48 | 0.4 |
| 7 | 318 | 3.1 | 371 | 3.4 | 44 | 49 | 0.5 | 71 | 0.7 |
| 8 | 305 | 3.0 | 355 | 3.2 | 45 | 103 | 1.0 | 85 | 0.8 |
| 9 | 311 | 3.0 | 314 | 2.9 | 46 | 67 | 0.7 | 63 | 0.6 |
| 10 | 356 | 3.5 | 335 | 3.0 | 47 | 52 | 0.5 | 30 | 0.3 |
| 11 | 257 | 2.5 | 206 | 1.9 | 48 | 62 | 0.6 | 38 | 0.3 |
| 12 | 406 | 3.9 | 364 | 3.3 | 49 | 37 | 0.4 | 22 | 0.2 |
| 13 | 298 | 2.9 | 277 | 2.5 | 50 | 84 | 0.8 | 93 | 0.8 |
| 14 | 402 | 3.9 | 461 | 4.2 | 51 | 29 | 0.3 | 78 | 0.7 |
| 15 | 186 | 1.8 | 159 | 1.4 | 52 | 52 | 0.5 | 102 | 0.9 |
| 16 | 199 | 1.9 | 193 | 1.8 | 53 | 16 | 0.2 | 62 | 0.6 |
| 17 | 194 | 1.9 | 206 | 1.9 | 54 | 31 | 0.3 | 64 | 0.6 |
| 18 | 202 | 2.0 | 193 | 1.8 | 55 | 48 | 0.5 | 113 | 1.0 |
| 19 | 171 | 1.7 | 173 | 1.6 | 56 | 47 | 0.5 | 60 | 0.5 |
| 20 | 201 | 2.0 | 252 | 2.3 | 57 | 27 | 0.3 | 34 | 0.3 |
| 21 | 118 | 1.1 | 161 | 1.5 | 58 | 53 | 0.5 | 36 | 0.3 |
| 22 | 131 | 1.3 | 211 | 1.9 | 59 | 25 | 0.2 | 35 | 0.3 |
| 23 | 96 | 0.9 | 150 | 1.4 | 60 | 114 | 1.1 | 93 | 0.8 |
| 24 | 122 | 1.2 | 192 | 1.7 | 61 | 51 | 0.5 | 25 | 0.2 |
| 25 | 148 | 1.4 | 194 | 1.8 | 62 | 56 | 0.5 | 43 | 0.4 |
| 26 | 96 | 0.9 | 173 | 1.6 | 63 | 43 | 0.4 | 32 | 0.3 |
| 27 | 85 | 0.8 | 132 | 1.2 | 64 | 41 | 0.4 | 26 | 0.2 |
| 28 | 127 | 1.2 | 192 | 1.7 | 65 | 72 | 0.7 | 71 | 0.6 |
| 29 | 100 | 1.0 | 117 | 1.1 | 66 | 24 | 0.2 | 16 | 0.1 |
| 30 | 171 | 1.7 | 197 | 1.8 | 67 | 46 | 0.4 | 22 | 0.2 |
| 31 | 83 | 0.8 | 82 | 0.7 | 68 | 37 | 0.4 | 33 | 0.3 |
| 32 | 117 | 1.1 | 137 | 1.2 | 69 | 19 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.1 |
| 33 | 81 | 0.8 | 102 | 0.9 | 70+ | 298 | 2.9 | 259 | 2.4 |
| 34 | 108 | 1.1 | 88 | 0.8 | Don't know/ |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 119 | 1.2 | 128 | 1.2 | Missing | 16 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.1 |
| 36 | 95 | 0.9 | 106 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 10308 | 100.0 | 10987 | 100.0 |

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who slept in the household the night before the interview.

## Figure C. 1 <br> Distribution of De Facto Household Population by Single Year of Age and Sex



Table C. 2 Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women
Percent distribution of the de facto household population of women age 10-54 and of interviewed women age 15-49, and the percentage of eligible women who were interviewed (weighted), according to age, Tanzania 1994

|  | Household population of women |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Total |  | Respondents |  | Percentage <br> interviewed <br> (weighted) |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| $10-14$ | 1642 |  |  | - | - |
| $15-19$ | 924 | 20.8 | 866 | 20.5 | 93.8 |
| $20-24$ | 965 | 21.8 | 919 | 21.7 | 95.2 |
| $25-29$ | 808 | 18.2 | 781 | 18.5 | 96.6 |
| $30-34$ | 607 | 13.7 | 581 | 13.7 | 95.8 |
| $35-39$ | 502 | 11.3 | 479 | 11.3 | 95.4 |
| $40-44$ | 389 | 8.8 | 374 | 8.8 | 96.2 |
| $45-49$ | 238 | 5.4 | 228 | 5.4 | 95.9 |
| $50-54$ | 398 | - | - | - | - |
| $15-49$ | 4431 | - | 4228 | - | 95.4 |

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who slept in the household the night before interview. The number of interviewed women is calculated using the household weights in order to be comparable to the number of ever-married women in the household. Thus, the numbers differ slightly from those shown in the rest of the report, which are based on individual woman weights.

## Table C. 3 Age distribution of eligible and interviewed men

Percent distribution in five-year age groups of the de facto household population of men age 10-64 and of interviewed men age 15-59, and percentage of eligible men who were interviewed (weighted), according to age, Tanzania 1994

| Age | All households |  | Households selected for male survey |  | Total |  | Percentage interviewed (weighted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| 10-14 | 1719 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15-19 | 952 | 24.9 | 509 | 22.8 | 437 | 22.7 | 85.7 |
| 20-24 | 669 | 17.5 | 403 | 18.1 | 339 | 17.6 | 84.1 |
| 25-29 | 556 | 14.5 | 336 | 15.1 | 283 | 14.7 | 84.0 |
| 30-34 | 561 | 14.6 | 323 | 14.5 | 286 | 14.9 | 88.5 |
| 35-39 | 410 | 10.7 | 258 | 11.6 | 223 | 11.6 | 86.5 |
| 40-44 | 360 | 9.4 | 202 | 9.1 | 186 | 9.6 | 91.7 |
| 45-49 | 321 | 8.4 | 199 | 8.9 | 171 | 8.9 | 85.9 |
| 50-54 | 212 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 55.59 | 199 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 60-64 | 305 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15-49 | 3829 | - | 2231 | - | 1923 | - | 86.2 |

Note: The de facto population includes all residents and nonresidents who slept in the household the night before interview. The number of interviewed men is calculated using the household weights in order to be comparable to the number of men in the household. Thus, the numbers differ slightly from those shown in the rest of the report, which are based on individual man weights.

## APPENDIX D

## ADDITIONAL TABLES

## Table D. 1 Current use of family planning by method: currently married women

Percent distribution of currently married women by contraceptive method currently used, according to selected background characteristics, Tanzania 1994

| Background characteristic | Any method | Modern method |  |  |  |  |  | Traditional method |  |  |  | Not currently using | Total | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any modern method $^{1}$ | Pill | IUD | Injection | Condom | Fenale steri-lisation | Any trad. method $^{2}$ | Calendar rhythm | With-drawal | Other |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 33.0 | 25.5 | 12.6 | 2.0 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 7.5 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 67.0 | 100.0 | 657 |
| Rural | 16.8 | 9.5 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 7.3 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 83.2 | 100.0 | 2247 |
| Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coastal | 24.1 | 16.4 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 75.9 | 100.0 | 883 |
| Central | 25.2 | 16.8 | 7.2 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 8.3 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 74.8 | 100.0 | 944 |
| Western | 13.3 | 7.1 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 86.7 | 100.0 | 1076 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dodoma | 22.9 | 14.1 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 8.8 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 77.1 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Dar es Salamm | 32.3 | 22.2 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 5.9 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 10.0 | 6.4 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 67.7 | 100.0 | 265 |
| Lringa | 15.1 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 84.9 | 100.0 | 160 |
| Mwanze | 16.5 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 9.9 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 7.0 | 83.5 | 100.0 | 246 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 11.9 | 6.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 1005 |
| Primary | 21.1 | 12.7 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 8.4 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 545 |
| Primary incomplete | 25.0 | 16.4 | 8.1 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 8.6 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 1276 |
| Secondary/ <br> Higher | 54.6 | 47.7 | 18.1 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 45.4 | 100.0 | 72 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 293 |
| 1 | 17.2 | 10.0 | 5.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 7.2 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 82.8 | 100.0 | 478 |
| 2 | 19.0 | 12.9 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 81.0 | 100.0 | 498 |
| 3 | 27.6 | 19.1 | 10.5 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 8.5 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 72.4 | 100.0 | 429 |
| 4+ | 23.8 | 14.8 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 9.0 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 76.2 | 100.0 | 1205 |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mustim | 23.3 | 16.3 | 7.6 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 7.0 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 76.7 | 100.0 | 874 |
| Carholic | 19.4 | 12.3 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 80.6 | 100.0 | 923 |
| Protestant | 25.5 | 16.5 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 9.1 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 74.5 | 100.0 | 728 |
| None | 6.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 373 |
| Total | 20.4 | 13.1 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 7.4 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 79.6 | 100.0 | 2903 |

Note: Total includes 4 women whose education was missing and 5 women whose religion was either "other" or missing.
${ }^{1}$ Includes less than .05 percent for diaphragm/foam/jelly
${ }^{2}$ Includes less than .05 percent for mucus method

Table D. 2 Fertility preferences by number of living children: currently married women
Percent distribution of currently married women by desire for more children, according to number of living children, Tanzania 1994

| Desire for children | Number of living children ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{2}$ | 88.0 | 32.7 | 30.2 | 18.1 | 13.9 | 13.3 | 6.2 | 24.0 |
| Have another later ${ }^{3}$ | 3.2 | 56.9 | 53.6 | 57.2 | 40.9 | 35.1 | 18.8 | 40.6 |
| Have another, undecided when | 2.9 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| Undecided | 1.3 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 10.0 | 5.1 | 5.8 |
| Want no more | 0.4 | 3.1 | 6.7 | 11.2 | 30.4 | 33.6 | 57.3 | 22.5 |
| Sterilised | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 2.0 |
| Declared infecund | 3.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 5.8 | 2.8 |
| Missing | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 199 | 493 | 495 | 461 | 362 | 293 | 600 | 2903 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes current pregnancy
${ }^{2}$ Want next birth within 2 years
${ }^{3}$ Want to delay next birth for 2 or more years

Table D. 3 Fertility preferences by age: currently married women
Percent distribution of currently married women age 15-49 by desire for more children, according to age, Tanzania 1994

| Desire for children | Age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |
| Have another soon ${ }^{1}$ | 34.9 | 23.1 | 26.5 | 25.1 | 20.3 | 20.6 | 16.1 | 24.0 |
| Have another later ${ }^{2}$ | 53.7 | 64.8 | 49.7 | 37.9 | 24.8 | 10.3 | 3.5 | 40.6 |
| Have another, undecided when | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 1.9 |
| Undecided | 5.0 | 4.5 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 5.8 |
| Want no more | 3.2 | 5.7 | 13.2 | 25.8 | 40.0 | 46.8 | 47.3 | 22.5 |
| Want no more | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 5.7 | 2.0 |
| Sterilised | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 8.4 | 22.0 | 2.8 |
| Declared infecund Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 213 | 638 | 647 | 502 | 416 | 306 | 182 | 2903 |
| Number of women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Want next birth within 2 years
${ }^{2}$ Want to delay next birth for 2 or more years

## APPENDIX E

## QUESTIONNAIRES



## HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE

Now we would like some information about the people who usually live in your household or who are staying with you now.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LINE } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | USUAL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS | RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD* | RESIDENCE |  | SEX | AGE | EDUCATION |  |  | PARENTAL SURVIVORSHIP AND RESIDENCE FOR PERSONS LESS THAN 15 YEARS OLD*** |  |  |  | ELIGI- <br> BILITY WOMEN | hUSBAND <br> LINE <br> NUMBER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELIGI- } \\ & \text { BILITY } \\ & \text { MEN } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | Please give me the names of the persons who usually live in your household and guests of the household who stayed here last night, starting with the head of the household. | What is the relationship of (NAME) to the head of the household? <br> (3) | Does (NAME) usually live here? | Did <br> (NAME) <br> sleep <br> here <br> last <br> night? <br> (5) | Is (NAME) male or female $?$ | How old is (NAME)? | Has (NAME) ever been to school? | IF ATT <br> What <br> is the <br> highest <br> formal <br> school <br> (NAME) <br> complet- <br> ed? <br> (9) | ENDED <br> IF AGED <br> LESS <br> THAN <br> 25 <br> YEARS$\|$ | Is (NAME)'s natural mother alive? <br> (11) | If ALIVE <br> Does <br> (NAME)'s <br> natural <br> mother <br> live in <br> this <br> house- <br> hold? <br> If YES: <br> What is <br> her name? <br> RECORD <br> MOTHER's <br> LIME <br> MUMBER <br> (I2) | Is <br> (NAME)'s natural father alive? <br> (13) | IF ALIVE <br> Does <br> (NAME)'s <br> natural <br> father <br> live in <br> this <br> house- <br> hold? <br> If YES: <br> What is <br> his name? <br> RECORD <br> FATHER'S <br> LINE <br> NUMBER <br> (14) | CIRCLE <br> LINE <br> NUMBER <br> OF ALL <br> WOMEN <br> AGED <br> 15-49 | WRITE LINE NUMBER OF THE HUSBAND OF EACH ELIGIBLE WOMAN <br> WRITE 00 IF NOT MARRIED OR IF husband NOT IN HOUSEHOLD. <br> (16) | CIRCLE <br> LINE NUMBER OF ALL MEN AGED 15-59 (IF HOUSEHOLD FALLS IN MALE SAMPLE) |
| 01 |  |  | YES NO <br> 12 | YES NO <br> 12 | $\begin{array}{ll} M & F \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | IN YEARS $\square$ | YES NO <br> 12 |  | YES NO $12$ | YES NO DK <br> 128 |  | YES wo DK <br> 128 |  | 01 |  | 01 |
| 02 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 |  | 12 | 128 |  | 128 |  | 02 |  | 02 |
| 03 |  | $1$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 |  | 12 | 128 |  | 128 |  | 03 |  | 03 |
| 04 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | $1$ | 12 | 128 |  | 128 |  | 04 |  | 04 |
| 05 |  | $1$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 |  | 12 | 128 |  | 128 |  | 05 |  | 05 |
| 06 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 |  | 12 | 128 |  | 128 |  | 06 |  | 06 |
| 07 |  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | $\pm$ | 12 | 128 |  | 128 |  | 07 |  | 07 |
| 08 |  | $1$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 |  | 12 | 128 |  | 128 |  | 08 |  | 08 |

hOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE COWTINUED


* COOES FOR Q.3, RELATIONSHIP TO hEAD OF HOUSEHOLD:

| $01=$ HEAD | $05=$ GRANDCHILD |
| :--- | :--- |
| $02=$ WIFE OR HUSBAND | $06=$ PARENT |
| $03=$ SON OR DAUGHTER | $07=$ PARENT-IN-LAN |
| $04=$ SON OR DAUGHTER-IN-LAN | $08=$ BROTHER OR SISTER |

** COOES FOR Q. 9. HIGHEST FORMAL SChOOL:
$09=$ C0-Wife
10= OTHER RELATIVE
$11=$ ADOPTED/FOSTER CHILD
$12=$ NOT RELATED
98=DK
$00=$ LESS THAN 1 YEAR COMPLETEO

| $00=$ LESS THAN 1 | YEAR COMPLETED |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $01=$ STANDARD 1 | $05=$ STANDARD 5 | $09=$ FORM 1 | $13=$ FORM 5 |
| $02=$ STANDARD 2 | $06=$ STANDARD 6 | $10=$ FORM 2 | $14=$ FORM 6 |
| 03 $=$ STANDARD 3 | $07=$ STANDARD 7 | $11=$ FORM 3 | $15=$ UNIVERSITY |
| $04=$ STANDARD 4 | $08=$ STANOARD 8 | $12=$ FORM 4 | $98=$ DON 1T KNOU |

*** QUESTIONS 12 and 14: RECORD '00'IF THE NATURAL (BIOLOGICAL) PARENT IS NOT A MEMBER OF The hOUSEHOLD.

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES ${ }^{\text {a }}$ SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household? |  |
| 19 | How long does it take to 90 there, get water, and come back? | MINUTES $\qquad$ $\square$ on PREMISES $\qquad$ |
| 20 | What kind of toilet facility does your household have? <br> If flush toilet, ask if it is shared with ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD. | FLUSH TOILET <br> OWN FLUSH TOILET.................... 11 <br> Shared flush toilet................ 12 <br> PIT TOILET/LATRINE <br> traditional pit toilet............ 21 <br> ventilated improved pit latrine. 22 <br> NO FACILITY/BUSH/FIELD.............. 31 <br> OT KER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |
| 21 | Does your household have: Electricity? A radio? A television? A refrigerator? |  |
| 22 | How many rooms in your household are used for sleeping? | ROOMS..................... $\square$ |
| 23 | main material of the floor. RECORD OBSERVATION. | NATURAL FLOOR <br> EARTH/SAND. <br> RUDIMENTARY FLOOR <br> WOOD PLANKS........................... 21 <br> FINISHED FLOOR <br> Parquet or polished woon......... 31 <br> ceramic tiles.......................... 32 <br> CEMENT.................................... . 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |
| 24 | Does any member of your household own: <br> A bicycle? <br> A motorcycle? <br> A car? |  |
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102
First 1 would like to ask some questions about you and your household. For most of the time until you were 12 years old, did you live in Dar es Salaam city, another urban area or in a rural area?

103
How long have you been living continuously in (NAME OF CURRENT PLACE OF RESIDENCE)?

104 Just before you moved here, did you live in Dar es Salam city, another urban area or in a rural area?

DAR ES SALAAM.
OTHER URBAN AREA.
. 1
RURAL AREA/VILLAGE . 3

| MORNING/AM....1 | HOURS...... |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AFTERNOON/PM.. 2 | MINUTES.... |  |  |



105
In what month and year were you born?

106 How old were you at your last birthday?
106 How old were you at your last birthday?
age in completed years.
DAR ES SALAAM
.1
OTHER URBAN AREA 2RURAL AREA/VILLAGE....................... 3

Q


| EASILY....WITH DIFFICNOT AT ALL |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

108 Do you usually read a newspaper or magazine at least once a week?


110
What is the highest formal school you completed?

| less than 1 year. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Standard 1. |  |
| STANDARD 2. | 02 |
| STANDARD 3. | 03 |
| STANDARD 4 | 04 |
| STANDARD 5. | 05 |
| STANDARD 6. | 06 |
| STANDARD 7. | 07 |
| STANDARD 8. | 08 |
| FORM 1. | 09 |
| FORM 2. | 10 |
| FORM 3. | 11 |
| FORM 4. | 12 |
| FORM 5. | . 13 |
| FORM 6. | . 14 |
| UNIVERSITY | 15 |
| OTHER | 96 |


*0.119: SMALL CITIES ARE: MWANZA, ARUSHA, MOROGORO, DODOMA, MOSHI, TANGA, IRINGA, MBEYA, \& TABORA. ALL OTHER URBAN AREAS ARE TOWNS.


 use to delay or avoid a pregnancy. Which ways or methods have you heard about?
CIRCLE CODE 1 IN 302 FOR EACH METHOD MENTIONEO SPONTANEOUSLY. then proceed down the column-read the name and description of each method not mentioned spontaneously. CIRCLE CODE 2 IF METHOD IS RECOGNIZED, AND CODE 3 IF NOT RECOGNIZED. THEN, FOR EACH METHOD WITH COOE 1 OR 2 CIRCLED IN 302, ASK 303 BEFORE PROCEEDING TO THE NEXT METHOD.

| 302 | Have you ever heard of <br> (METHOD)? | 303 | Have you ever used <br> (METHOD)? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| READ DESCRIPTION OF EACH METHOD |  |  |  |


| 01 | PILL Women can take a pill every day. | YES/SPONTANEOUS. . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> YES/PROBED. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $3_{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02 | IUD Women can have a loop or coil placed inside them by a doctor or a nurse. | YES/SPONTANEOUS. . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> YES/PROBED................... 2 <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $3^{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 031 | INJECTIONS Women can have an injection by a doctor or nurse which stops them from becoming pregnant for several months. | YES/SPONTANEOUS . . . . . . . . . . 1 YES/PROBED. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ |
| 04 | DIAPHRAGM, FOAM, JELLY Women can place a sponge, suppository, diaphragm, jelly, or cream inside themselves before intercourse. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 05 | CONDOM, RUBBER, RAINCOAT, DUREX A man can wear a rubber bag on his penis during sex to prevent pregnancy. The rubber bag is also used to prevent passing diseases such as AIDS and for cleanliness. | YES/SPONTANEOUS............ 1 <br> YES/PROBED. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 . ${ }^{7}$ | YES......................................... 2 |
| 06 | FEMALE STERILISATION Women can have an operation to avoid having any more children. | YES/SPONTANEOUS............ 1 <br> YES/PROBED. ................... 2 <br> NO.............................. ${ }^{3}$ | Have you ever had an operation to avoid having any more children? <br> YES......................... 1 <br> NO.......................... 2 |
|  | MALE STERILISATION Men can have an operation to avoid having any more children. | YES/SPONTANEOUS. . . ......... 1 <br> YES/PROBED.................. . 2 <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $3_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { no. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | CALENDAR/SAFE PERIOD Couples can have sexual intercourse only during the safe period of the monthly cycle that is the times during the monthly cycle when the woman is least likely to get pregnant. | YES/SPONTANEOUS............ 1 <br> YES/PROBED.................... 2 <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { No. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 09 | MUCUS METHOD A woman can observe daily the state of the mucus and avoid sexual intercourse at the time when the mucus is colorless and extremely elastic. | YES/SPONTANEOUS............ 1 <br> YES/PROBED................... 2 <br> NO................................ ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | WITHDRAWAL Men can be careful and pull out before climax. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { NO. . . . } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Have you heard of any other ways or methods that women or men can use to avoid pregnancy? <br> (SPECIFY) | $\text { YES/SPONTANEOUS . . . . . . . . . . . } 1$ <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | CHECK 303: NOT A SINGLE "YES" (NEVER USED) | AT LEAST ONE "YES" (EVER USED) | $\longrightarrow$ SKIP TO 307 |


CHECK 315:
MORE THAN 2 DAYS AGO
TWO DAYS AGO OR LESS
318

| 317 | Why aren't you taking the pill these days? | HUSBAND AWAY................................ FORGOT. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $B$ HEALTH REASONS............................C COST TOO MUCH............................. D NO NEED TO TAKE EVERY DAY............E RAN OUT. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . F CBD HAS NOT BROUGHT RESUPPLY........ G MENSTRUATING. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . H OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 318 | Just about everyone forgets to take a pill sometime. What do you do when you forget to take a pill for two days in a row? | START TAKING AGAIN AS USUAL......... 1 TAKE EXTRA/MISSED PILLS.............. 2 USE ANOTHER METHOD....................... 3 TAKE EXIRA PILL AND USE <br> ANOTHER METHOD...................... 4 <br> NEVER FORGOT............................... . . 5 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 |
| 319 | Where did the sterilisation take place? <br> If SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIFY the type of source and circle the appropriate code. | government and parastatal <br> REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL..... 11 <br> DISTRICT HOSPITAL................. 12 <br> HEALTH CENTRE....................... 13 <br> DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY.. 14 <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER...... 15 <br> MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. FACILITY.......... 21 <br> PRIV.OOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL..... 22 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. $\qquad$ 98 |
| 320 | Do you regret that (you/your husband) had the operation not to have any (more) children? |  |
| 321 | Why do you regret the operation? |  |
| 322 | In what month and year was the sterilisation performed? | MONTH. $\qquad$ YEAR. |
| 323 | Between the first day of a woman's period and the first day of her next period, are there certain times when she has a greater chance of becoming pregnant than other times? |  |
| 324 | During which times of the monthly cycle does a woman have the greatest chance of becoming pregnant? | DURING HER PERIOD....................... 01 RIGHT AFTER HER PERIOD HAS ENDED.. 02 IN THE MIDDLE OF THE CYCLE........ 03 JUST BEFORE HER PERIOD BEGINS..... 04 OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW.......................... 98 |

325
How do you determine which days of your monthly cycle not to have sexual relations?
For how many months have you been using (METHOD) cont inuously?
If LESS THAN 1 MONTH, RECORD '00'.
based on calendar.................... 01
BASED ON BODY TEMPERATURE........... 02
based on cervical mucus
(BILLINGS METHOD)
BASED ON BODY TEMPERATURE
and cervical mucus ..... 04
NO SPECIFIC SYSTEM. ..... 05
OTHER ..... 96


## 327 CHECK 311:

| PI | 01 |
| :---: | :---: |
| IUD. | 02 |
| INJECTIONS | 03 |
| DIAPHRAGM/FOAM/JELLY. | 04 |
| CONDOM. | 05 |
| female sterilisation. | 06 |
| MALE STERILISATION. | 07 |
| CALENDAR/SAFE PERIOD. | 08 |
| MUCUS METHOD. | 09 |
| WITHDRAWAL. |  |
| other. |  |

IUD.......................................... 02
INJECTIONS. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 03
DIAPHRAGM/FOAM/JELLY................... . 04
CONDOM.................................. . . 05
 .08 09
WITHDRAWAL ..... 10$\rightarrow 331$
OTHER ..... 96
government and parastatal REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL..... 11 ..... 11
district hospital.
health centre ..... 13
DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY. ..... 14
VILLAGE HEALTH POST/HORKER ..... 15
medical private sector
religious org. facility .....  .21
PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL ..... 22
PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE ..... 23
CBD WORKER. ..... 24
OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR
SHOP. ..... 31
CHURCH. ..... 32
FRIENDS/RELATIVES/NEIGHBORS ..... 33
OTHER ..... 96
DOES NOT KNOW. ..... 98

Do you know another place where you could have obtained (METHOD) the last time?

At the time of the sterilisation operation, did you know another place where you could have received the operation?






418 With how many different people have you had sexual intercourse in the last 12 months (apart from your husband or regular partners)?

NUMBER


419 When was the last time you had sexual intercourse (apart from your husband/regular partner)?


For that last sexual intercourse, did you receive
money, gifts or favours in return for sex?


421 Was this person someone you had met before or someone you met for the first time?

MET BEFORE.................................. 1
MET FOR FIRST TIME
.1

| 422 | Was a condom used for that last sexual intercourse? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 423 | What was the main reason that you did not use a condom that time? |  |

Where was that condom obtained?
If SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIFY the type of source and circle the appropriate code.
government and parastatal
REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL. ..... 11
OISTRICT HOSPITAL ..... 12
HEALTH CENTRE. ..... 13
OISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY.. 14VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER...... 15medical private sector
RELIGIOUS ORG. FACILITY. ..... 21
PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL ..... 22
PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE ..... 23
(NAME OF PLACE)
CBD WORKER ..... 24
OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR
SHOP. ..... 31
CHURCH. ..... 32
FRIENDS/RELATIVES/NEIGHBORS. ..... 33
OTHER ..... 96
DOES NOT KNOW............................ 98425 Now think back to the past. How old were you whenyou had sexual intercourse for the first time?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| NO. | Questions and filters | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 501 | CHECK 311: <br> NEITHER STERILISED he or she steril | $1 \text { SED }$ | $\rightarrow 513$ |
| 502 | CHECK 217: <br> not pregnant or unsure <br> PREGNANT <br> $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7}$ <br> Now 1 have some questions about the future. <br> Would you like to have (a/another) child or would you prefer not to have any (more) children? | HAVE (A/ANOTHER) CHILD $\qquad$ NO MORE/NONE. $\qquad$ <br> says she can't get pregnant. <br> undecided/does not know..... | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow 506 \\ & \rightarrow 504 \end{aligned}$ |
| 503 | CHECK 217: <br> not pregnant or unsure <br> PREGNANT <br> How long would you like to wait from now before the birth of (a/another) of the child you are child? expecting before the birth of another child? | MONTHS. $\qquad$ .1 <br> YEARS. $\qquad$ <br> SOON/NOW. $\qquad$ <br> SAYS SHE CAN'T GET PREGNANT. <br> after marriage................... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. $\qquad$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\underset{\sim}{~}}$ |
|  | CHECK 217: <br> NOT PREGNANT <br> PREGNANT <br> OR UNSURE |  | $\rightarrow 507$ |
| 505 | If you became pregnant in the next few weeks, would you be happy, unhappy, or would it not matter very much? | HAPPY. <br> UNHAPPY <br> WOULD NOT MATTER |  |
|  | CHECK 310: USING A METHOD? <br> NOT $\square$ NOT CURRENTLY <br> CURRE <br> ASKED USING $\square$ | NTLY | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow 513}$ |
|  | Do you think you will use a method to delay or avoid pregnancy within the next 12 months? | YES. $\qquad$ <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> DOES NOT KNOW. $\qquad$ | $\xrightarrow{\\|} 509$ |
| 508 | Do you think you will use a method at any time in the future? |  | $\rightarrow 510$ |
|  | Which method would you prefer to use? | PILL.................................. <br> IUD. <br> injections. <br> DIAPHRAGM/FOAM/JELLY.......... <br> CONDOM. <br> female sterilisation. <br> male sterilisation. <br> CALENDAR/SAFE PERIOD <br> MUCUS METHOD. <br> WITHDRAWAL........................ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> UNSURE. <br> (SPECIFY) $\qquad$ | I $\rightarrow 513$ |

512 Would you ever use a method if you were married?
YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8
513 CHECX 203/205:

NUMBER.............................. $\operatorname{STHER}_{\text {(SPECIFY) }}$
How many of these children would you like to be boys and how many would you like to be girls?

|  | 80YS |
| :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| OTHER________ | $\ldots$ |
| (SPECIFY) | GIRLS |
| NUMBER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) | $9$ $96$ |
|  | EITHER |
| NUMBER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\square$ |
| OTHER | . 96 |
| (SPECIFY) |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline NO. \& QUESTIONS AND FILTERS \& CODING CATEGORIES \& SKIP \\
\hline 515 \& In general, do you approve or disapprove of couples using a method to avoid getting pregnant? \& APPROVE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& \[
+517
\] \\
\hline 516 \& Have you ever recommended family planning to a friend, relative, or anyone else? \&  \& \\
\hline 517 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Is it acceptable or not acceptable to you for information on family planning to be provided: \\
On the radio? \\
On the television?
\end{tabular} \&  \& \\
\hline 518 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
In the last six months have you heard about family planning: \\
On the radio? \\
On the television? \\
In a newspaper or magazine? \\
From a poster? \\
From leaflets or brochures?
\end{tabular} \& YES NO

RADIO............................ 1 \& <br>
\hline 519 \& In the last six months have you listened to
"ZINDUKA"? \& YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
NO. 1
DO \& <br>
\hline 520 \& In the last six months have you discussed family planning with your friends or relatives? \&  \& $\xrightarrow{\text { ! }} 522$ <br>

\hline 521 \& | With whom? |
| :--- |
| Anyone else? |
| RECORD ALL MENTIONED. | \& | HUSBAND/PARTNER.......................... . . . A |
| :--- |
| MOTHER. . . . ................................... |
| FATHER........................................ $C$ |
| SISTER(S).................................. . . . |
| BROTHER(S).................................. |
| DAUGHTER..................................... |
| SONS............................................ $G$ |
| MOTHER-IN-LAW. . . . . .......................... |
| FRIENDS....................................... |
| OTHER $\qquad$ $x$ (SPECIFY) | \& <br>

\hline 522 \& Do you think most, some, or none of the women you know use some kind of family planning? \&  \& <br>
\hline \&  \& NOT IN

$\square$ UNION \& $$
\longrightarrow 601
$$ <br>

\hline 524 \& | Spouses/partners do not always agree on everything. Now I want to ask you about your husband's/partner's views on family planning. |
| :--- |
| Do you think that your husband/partner approves or disapproves of couples using a method to avoid pregnancy? | \& | APPROVES. $\qquad$ |
| :--- |
| DISAPPROVES. $\qquad$ |
| DOES NOT KNOW................................ 8 | \& <br>

\hline \& How often have you talked to your husband/partner about family planning in the past year? \& NEVER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11
ONCE OR TWICE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 . 3
MORE OFTEN. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 \& <br>
\hline \& Have you and your husband/partner ever discussed the
number of children you would like to have? \& YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11
NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 \& <br>

\hline 527 \& Do you think your husband/partner wants the same number of children that you want, or does he want more or fewer than you want? \& | SAME NUMBER.................................. 1 |
| :--- |
| MORE CHILDREN............................. 2 |
| FEWER CHILDREN............................. 3 |
| DOES NOT KNOW.............................. 8 | \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 611 | What is your occupation, that is, what kind of work do you mainly do? |  |  |
| 612 | CHECK 611: WORKS IN <br> DOES NOT WORK AGRICULTURE <br> IN AGRICULTURE |  | $\underset{\geqq}{\underset{\\|}{\longrightarrow}} 614$ |
|  | Do you work mainly on your own land or on family land, or do you rent land, or work on someone else's land? |  | $\xrightarrow{\underline{1}} 615$ |
| 614 | Do you do this work for a member of your family, for someone else, or are you self-employed? | for family member................ 1 for Someone else............... 2 SELF-EMPLOYED................. 3 |  |
|  | Do you earn cash for this work? | YES.............................. 1 N0......................... 2 | $\xrightarrow{ } 701$ |
|  | CHECK 402: <br> yes, currently married OR LIVING WIth a man <br> $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ <br> Who mainly decides how <br> Who mainly decides how the the money you earn will be used: you, your money you earn will be husband/partner, you and used: you, someone else, or you and someone else your husband/partner jointly? jointly, or someone else? | RESPONDENT DECIDES...................... 1 <br> hUSBAND/PARTNER DECIDES.............. 2 <br> jointly with husband/partner........ 3 <br> SOMEONE ELSE DECIDES................... 4 <br> JOINTLY WITH SOMEONE ELSE............ 5 |  |


| No. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 701 | CHECK 302 (05): <br> has heard of condoms | RD OF CONDOMS | $\xrightarrow{\underbrace{}_{709}}$ |
| 702 | CRECX 303 (05), 416 AND 422 : <br> has never used condoms <br> (ALL ARE 'NO') $\square$ | USED CONDOMS LEAST ONE 'YES') | $\xrightarrow[\rightarrow 04]{ }$ |
| 703 | Have you ever seen a condom? | Yes.............................. 1 NO.......................... 2 |  |
| 704 | Do you know where you can get condoms? | YES................................. 1 NO...................... 2 | $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow} 706$ |
| 705 | Where can you get condoms? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED. <br> probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate cooe. |  |  |
|  | How many times can a condom be used? |  |  |
|  | Do you think that using condoms can give you AlDS? |  |  |
|  | In general, do you think that most women like men to use condoms, they don't like men to use condoms, or it does not matter? | like men to use condoms............. 1 <br> dON'T LIKE MEN TO USE CONDOMS..... 2 <br> DOES NOT MATTER......................... 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW............................ 8 |  |
|  | Have you heard about diseases that can be transmitted through sex? | YES................................ 1 No...................... 2 |  |
|  | Which diseases do you know?* | SYPHILIS..................................... <br> GONORRHOEA. <br> AIDS.. <br> GENITAL WARTS/CONDYLOMATA........... $D$ <br> other $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 711 | CHECK 425: <br> HAS HAD SEX HAS | R HAD SEX |
| 712 | During the last 12 months, did you have any of these diseases? |  |
| 713 | Which?* <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED. | SYPHILIS................................... <br> GONORRHOEA................................ $B$ <br> AIDS....................................... $C$ <br> GENITAL WARTS / CONDYLOMATA........D <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $X$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNOW. $\qquad$ |
| 717 | When you had this (DISEASE FROM 0.713) did you seek advice or treatment? | ADVICE /TREATMENT. $\qquad$ <br> SELF TREATMENT <br> DID NOT DO ANYTHING $\qquad$ |
| 718 | Where did you seek advice or treatment? <br> Any other place or person? <br> RECORD ALL MENTIONED | government and parastatal. <br> CONSULTANT HOSPITAL.................A <br> REGIONAL HOSPITAL..................... <br> DISTRICT HOSPITAL..................... <br> HEALTH CENTRE........................... <br> DISPENSARY..............................E <br> PARASTATAL HEALTH FACILITY........ F <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER.......G <br> MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. FACILITY.............. <br> PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL.......I <br> PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE............... <br> UMATI CBD WORKER......................... <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP........................................ . . <br> CHURCH...................................... <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES/NEIGHBOURS......N <br> OTHER $\qquad$ X |
| 719 | Did you tell your husband/partner that you had this (disease/discharge/sore)? | YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 N0. $1 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ |
| 720 | When you had this disease, did you do something so as not to infect your partner? | YES......................................... 1 <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> PARTNER ALREADY INFECTED. |
| 721 | What did you do? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED. | NO SEXUAL INTERCOURSE.................. <br> USED CONDOMS............................... $B$ <br> TOOK MEDICINES............................. $C$ <br> TOLD HIM TO GO FOR MEDICAL HELP... <br> OTHER $\qquad$ $X$ <br> (SPECIFY) |





## Comments about Respondent:

Comments on
Specific Questions:

Any Other Comments:

SUPERVI SOR'S OBSERVATIONS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Name of Supervisor: Date: $\qquad$

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

BUREAU OF STATISTICS, PLANNING COMMISSION TANZANIA KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDES AND PRACTICE SURVEY

| IDENTIFICATION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD |  |  |  |
| CLUSTER NUMBER............................................. |  |  |  |
| HOUSEHOLD NUMBER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |
| REGION_____________ |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT |  |  |  |
| WARD |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| LARGE CITY=1; SMALL CITY=2; TOWN=3; COUNTRYSIDE=4.... |  |  |  |
| NAME AND LINE NUMBER OF MAN <br> NAME AND LINE NUMBER OF WIFE |  |  |  |
| NAME AND LINE NUMBER OF WIFE______ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

INTERVIEWER VISITS




102 First I would like to ask some questions about you and your household. For most of the time until you were 12 years old, did you live in Dar es Salaam city, another

DAR ES SALAAM OTHER URBAN AREA2 urban area or in a rural area?

RURAL AREA/VILLAGE. . ...................... 3


How long have you been living continuously in (NAME OF CURRENT PLACE OF RESIDENCE)?


104 Just before you moved here, did you live in Dar es Salam city, another urban area or in a rural area?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DAR ES SALAAM. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\
& \text { OTHER URBAN AREA. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 3
\end{aligned}
$$

In what month and year were you born?


106
How old were you at your last birthday?
COMPARE AND CORRECT 105 AND/OR 106 IF INCONSISTENT.


107
Can you read and write kiswahili easily, with difficulty, or not at all?

```
EASILY .1
```

WITH DIFFICULTY
................... 2

NOT AT ALL................................ $3 \longrightarrow 109$

108
Do you usually read a newspaper or magazine at least YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1
NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . once a week? . .2

109
Have you ever attended school?
YES.
YO
.1
Nave

$\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 114$

110 What is the highest formal school you completed?

| LESS THAN 1 YEAR........ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| STANOARD 1. | . 01 |
| Standard 2. | . 02 |
| STANDARD 3. | . 03 |
| STANDARD 4. | . 04 |
| STANDARD 5. | . 05 |
| STANDARD 6. | . 06 |
| STANDARD 7. |  |
| STANDARD 8. | . 08 |
| FORM 1. | . 09 |
| FORM 2. | 10 |
| FORM 3. | 11 |
| FORM 4. | . 12 |
| FORM 5. | 13 |
| FORM 6. | . 14 |
| UNIVERSITY | . 15 |
| OTHER | 96 |
| (SPECIFY) |  |


| N0. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | CHECX 106: <br> AGE 24 OR BELOW <br> AGE 25 OR ABOVE |  | 114 |
| 112 | Are you currently attending school? | YES................................ 1 N0......................... 2 | $\rightarrow 114$ |
| 113 | What was the main reason you stopped attending school? |  |  |
|  | Do you usually listen to a radio at least once a week? |  |  |
| 115 | Do you usually watch television at least once a week? | Yes............................... . 1 NO............................ 2 |  |
| 116 | What is your religion? |  |  |
|  | To which tribe do you belong? If NOT A TANZANIAN CITIZEN, WRITE NAME Of COUNTRY. |  |  |
|  | CHECK Q. 4 In The household questionnaire: <br> THE MAN IS NOT A $\square$ the man is a usual USUAL RESIDENT RESIDENT |  | $\rightarrow 127$ |
|  | Now I would like to ask about the place in which you usually live. <br> Do you usually live in Dar es Salaam city, another urban area or in a rurat area? <br> If CITY: In which city do you live?* $\qquad$ | dar es salaam, large city............ 1 <br> SMALL CITY................................ 2 <br> TOWN. $\qquad$ <br> COUNTRYSIDE. $\qquad$ |  |
|  | In which region is that located? If USUAL RESIDENCE is outside tanzania, HRIte country. | REGION__ |  |
|  | Now I would like to ask about the household in which you usually live. <br> What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household? | PIPED WATER <br> PIPED INTO HOUSE/YARD/PLOT....... 11 <br> PUBLIC TAP............................ 12 <br> WELL WATER <br> WELL IN RESIDENCE/YARD/PLOT...... 21 <br> PUBLIC WELL........................... 22 <br> SURFACE WATER <br> SPRING.................................. 31 <br> RIVER/STREAM. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 32 <br> POND/LAKE. ............................. . . 33 <br> DAM.................................... 34 <br> RAINWATER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 41 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) | $\underset{\rightarrow 123}{\underset{\rightarrow}{\mid} \mid 123}$ |

[^10]

## 209 <br> CHECK 208:

Just to make sure that 1 have this right: you have had in TOTAL $\qquad$ children during your life. Is that correct?
 use to delay or avoid a pregnancy. Which ways or methods have you heard about? CIRCLE CODE 1 IN 302 FOR EACH METHOD MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. THEN PROCEED DOWN THE COLUMN-READ THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF EACH METHOD NOT MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. CIRCLE CODE 2 IF METHOD IS RECOGNISED, AND CODE 3 IF NOT RECOGNISED.
THEN, FOR EACH METHOD WITK CODE 1 OR 2 CIRCLED IN 302, ASK 303 before proceeding to the next method.


5 Have you ever used anything or tried in any way to

$\frac{\text { CORRECT } 303 \text { AND } 304 \text { (AND } 302 \text { IF NECESSARY) }}{307 \text { Now } 1 \text { would like to ask you about the first time that }}$

Now 1 would like to ask you about the first time that you did something or used a method to avoid pregnancy. How many children did you have at that time, if any? IF NONE, WRITE 'OO'.

NuMber of children


| 310 | Are you currently doing something or using any method to delay or avoid a pregnancy? | YES <br> NO. | $\begin{aligned} & \ldots 1 \\ & \ldots . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 311 | Which method are you using? | 1ST METHOO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2ND } \\ & \text { METHOD } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | PILL................... 01. | . . 01 |
|  |  | IUD..................... 02. | . . 02 |
|  |  | INJECTIONS............. $03 .$. | . . 03 |
|  | Anything else? | DIAPHRAGM/FOAM/JELLY...04... |  |
|  | If USING MORE than ONE METHOD, CIRCLE ONE CODE in EACH COLUMN. | CONDOM................. $05 . .$. | . . 05 |
|  |  | FEMALE STERILISATION. . 06... <br> MALE STERILISATION..... O7... | $\begin{gathered} 06 \\ \cdots . . .07 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | CALENDAR/SAFE PERIOD...08.. | $\ldots 08$ |
|  |  | MUCUS METHOD........... 09.. | . 09 |
|  |  | WITHDRAWAL . . . . . . . . . . $10 .$. | . 10 |
|  |  | NO OTHER METHOD............. | . 95 |
|  |  | (SPECIFY) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  |  | OTHER___ (SPECIFY) | 96 |

312 CHECK 311 (BOTH COLUMNS):
either female or male $\square$ neither female nor male
STERILISATION MARKED
 STERILISATION MARKED322

$320 |$| Do you regret that (you/your wife) had the operation |
| :--- |
| not to have any (more) children? |



| 321 | Why do you regret the operation? | RESPONDENT WANTS ANOTHER CHILD.... 01 <br> PARTNER WANTS ANOTHER CHILD........ 02 <br> SIDE EFFECTS............................. 03 <br> CHILD DIED............................... . 04 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 <br> (SPECIFY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHECK 311 (BOTH COLUMNS):  <br> CONDOMS MARKED  <br> IN EITHER COLUMN  <br> $\square$ CONDOMS NOT MARKED <br> IN EITHER COLUMN  | $\square$ |
| 323 | Where did you obtain condoms the last time? <br> IF SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC, write the name of the place. probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate code. |  |

324 What is the brand name of the condom you last used?

RECORD NAME OF BRAND.

325 How much did the condom you last used cost?

| Солт......................... |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| free. . | . . 996 |
| DOES NOT KNOW. | . 998 |

326
Are you using more condorms now than a year ago, about the same number, or fewer?



327
Why are you using more condoms now than you did a year ago?
circle all mentioned. do not read cooes.

330
What is the main reason you are not using a method of contraception to avoid pregnancy?

Any other reason?

RECORD MAIN AND OTHER REASON IN SEPARATE COLUMNS.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MAIN } \\ \text { REASON } \end{array}$ | OTHER REASON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not married. |  |  |
| fertility-RELATED REASONS |  |  |
| NOT Having sex.. | . . 21 | 21 |
| infrequent sex. | . 22 | 22 |
| MENOPAUSAL/HYSTERECTOM | Y . . 23 | 23 |
| SUBFECUND/INFECUND.. | ... 24 | 24 |
| WIFE POSTPARTUM/BREAST | FD. 25 | 25 |
| WANTS MORE Children. | . . . 26 | 26 |

OPPOSITION TO USE RESPONDENT OPPOSED......... 31WIFE/PARTNER OPPOSED....... 3232OTHERS OPPOSED............... 33 33
RELIGIOUS PROHIBITION..... 3434

LACK OF KNOWLEDGE
KNOWS NO METHOD............ 4141
KNOWS NO SOURCE............. 4242
METHOD-RELATED REASONS
HEALTH CONCERNS............. 51
FEAR OF SIDE EFFECTS...... 52
51

LACK OF ACCESS/TOO FAR..... 53
COST TOO MUCH............... 5454
INCONVENIENT TO USE........ 5555 INTERFERES WITH BODY'S

NORMAL PROCESSES........ 5656
WOMAN'S BUSINESS........... 5757
NO OTHER REASON......................... 95
OTHER $\qquad$ 96

OTHER
(SPECIFY)
$\qquad$ 96

DOES NOT KNOW.
(SPECIFY)

331
Do you know of a place where you can obtain a method of family planning?

YES
NO.
$\square$

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 332 | Where is that? <br> IF SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC, WRITE THE NAME OF THE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIFY the type of source and circle the appropriate code. | government and parastatal <br> REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL.... 11 <br> DISTRICT HOSPITAL.................. 12 <br> HEALTH CENTRE....................... 13 <br> DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY.. 14 <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER....... 15 <br> MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. FACILITY.......... 21 <br> PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL. . . . 22 <br> PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE........... 23 <br> CBD WORKER............................ . . 24 <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP................................... . 31 <br> CHURCH. ................................ . . 32 <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES/NEIGHBORS..... 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 (SPECIFY) |
| 333 | What symbol identifies places where you can obtain a method of family planning? | GREEN STAR................................. 1 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DON'T KNOW................................. 8 |
| 334 | How did you learn about the Green Star? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED. | BILLBOARDS................................A <br> BUS............................................. $B$ <br> POSTERS. <br> . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $C$ <br> RADIO....................................... . . . <br> CLINIC SIGN.................................. <br> SERVICE PROVIDER. <br> OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) |



| 418 | With how many different people have you had sexual intercourse in the last 12 months (apart from your wife or regular partners)? | NUMBER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 419 | When was the last time you had sexual intercourse (apart from your wife/regular partner)? | DAYS AGO. WEEKS AGO. $\qquad$ MONTHS AGO. $\qquad$ YEARS AGO. $\qquad$ <br> BEFORE LAST BIRTH. $\qquad$ |
| 420 | For that last sexual intercourse, did you give money, gifts or favours in return for sex? |  |
| 421 | Was this person someone you had met before or someone you met for the first time? |  |
| 422 | Did you use a condom for that last sexual intercourse? | YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 |
| 423 | What was the main reason that you did not use a condom that time? |  |
| 424 | Where was that condom obtained? <br> If SOURCE IS HOSPITAL, HEALTH CENTRE, OR CLINIC, WRITE THE NAME OF tHE PLACE. PROBE TO IDENTIfY the type of source and circle the appropriate code. | government and parastatal <br> REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL..... 11 <br> DISTRICT HOSPITAL................... 12 <br> health centre........................ 13 <br> DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY.. 14 <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER...... 15 <br> MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. FACILITY.......... 21 <br> PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL..... 22 <br> PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE........... 23 <br> CBD WORKER............................. 24 <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP. . .................................. 31 <br> CHURCH................................. 32 <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES/NE IGHBORS..... . 33 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |
| 425 | Now think back to the past. How old were you when you had sexual intercourse for the first time? | AGE $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> NEVER HAD SEX. $\qquad$ <br> FIRST TIME WHEN MARRIED............ 96 |
| 426 | In the last four weeks, how many times have you had sexual intercourse? | NUMBER OF TIMES $\qquad$ $\square$ DOES NOT KNOW. |


| Check 203 AND 205:
has living childoren $\stackrel{\square}{7}$
If you could go back to the time you did not have any children and could choose exactly the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?




| No. | QUESTIONS AND FILIERS | CODING CATEGORIES | SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 701 | CHECK 302 (05): <br> HAS HEARD OF CONDOMS $\square$ NEVER | OF CONDOMS | 709 |
| 702 | CHECK 303 (05), 416 AND 422: <br> has never used condoms | USED CONDOMS | $704$ |
| 703 | Have you ever seen a condom? | YES............................. 1 NO....................... 2 |  |
| 704 | Do you know where you can get condoms? | Yes............................ 1 NO....................... 2 | 706 |
| 705 | Where can you get condoms? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED. <br> probe to identify the type of source and circle <br> the appropriate code. | government and parastatal <br> REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL.....A <br> DISTRICT HOSPITAL.................... . <br> health Centre........................... <br> DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY...D <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER........E <br> medical private sector <br> religious org. facility............ <br> PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL. ......G <br> PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE............... H <br> CBD WORKER.............................. <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP...................................... <br> ChURCH.................................... <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES/NEIGHBORS.......L <br> OTHER $\qquad$ x <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. . 2 |  |
| 706 | How many times can a condom be used? |  |  |
|  | Do you think that using condoms can give you AIDS? |  |  |
|  | In general, do you think that most women like men to use condoms, they don't like men to use condoms, or it does not matter? | LIKE MEN TO USE CONDOMS............. 1 <br> DON'T LIKE MEN TO USE CONDOMS...... 2 <br> DOES NOT MATTER........................ 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> doEs not know. $\text { . . } 8$ |  |
|  | Have you heard about diseases that can be transmitted through sex? |  | $\rightarrow 714$ |
|  | Which diseases do you know?* <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED. |  |  |


722 CHECK 710: DID NOT MENTION AIDS
MENTIONED 'AIDS'
OR QUESTION NOT ASKED

724

## 723

Have you ever heard of an illness called AIDS?

724
From which sources of information have you learned about AIDS?
Any other sources?
RECORD ALL MENTIONED.



726
What can a person do to avoid getting AIDS or the virus that causes AIDS?

Any other ways?

RECORD ALL MENTIONED
DO NOT HAVE SEX AT ALL................ A
USE CONDOMS DURING SEX................
DON'T HAVE SEX WITH PROSTITUTES...C
DO NOT HAVE SEX WITH HOMOSEXUALS..D
DO NOT HAVE MANY SEX PARTNERS.....E
HAVE ONLY ONE SEX PARTNER............F
AVOID BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS............G
AVOID INJECTIONS...........................
MOTHER TO CHILD..........................
KISSING........................................
MOSQUITO BITES..............................
SEEK PROTECTION FROM
TRADITIONAL HEALER................... DO NOT DRINK TOO MUCH ALCOHOL......M OTHER
(SPECIFY)
DOES NOT KNOW
.2

## YES NO

GOOD DIET .12 STAY WITH ONE PARTNER............ 1

AVOID URINE OR STOOL............ 12
USE CONDOMS........................... 12
DON'T TOUCH PERSON WITH AIDS... 12
DON'T SHARE UTENSILS .12

AVOID INSECT BITES .12

INJECTION WITH CLEAN NEEDLE.... 12

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES \| SKIP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 728 | Is it possible for a healthy-looking person to have the AIDS virus? | YES. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 DOES NOT |
| 729 | Can AIDS be cured? |  |
| 730 | Can AIDS be transmitted from mother to child? |  |
| 731 | Does any member of your household have AlDS or has any member of your household died of AlDS? |  |
| 731A | Do you personally know someone who has AIDS or has died of AIDS? |  |
| 732 | Do you think your chances of getting AlDS are small, moderate, great, or no risk at all? |  |
| 733 | Why do you think that you have (NO RISK/ A SMALL CHANCE) of getting AlDS? <br> Any other reasons? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED | NO SEXUAL INTERCOURSE. $\qquad$ NO SEX WITH PROSTITUTES. $\qquad$ <br> NO HOMOSEXUAL CONTACT.................C <br> SLEEP ONLY WITH SPOUSE/PARTNER....D <br> USE CONDOMS..............................E <br> NO INJECTIONS.............................. <br> NO BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS................. $G$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. $\qquad$ .2- |
| 734 | Why do you think that you have a (MODERATE/GREAT) chance of getting AlDS? <br> Any other reasons? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED | MULTIPLE PARTNERS....................... A SEX WITH PROSTITUTES....... ........ $B$ HOMOSEXUAL CONTACT..................... $C$ SPOUSE HAS MULTIPLE PARTNERS...... D DO NOT USE CONDOMS....................E HAD INJECTIONS.............................. HAD BLOOD TRANSFUSION.................. OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) <br> DOES NOT KNOW. |
| 734 | CHECK 711: <br> HAS HAD SEX HAS | R HAD SEX |
| 735 | Since you heard of AIDS, have you changed your sexual behaviour to prevent getting AlDS? |  |
| 736 | What did you do? <br> Anything else? <br> CIRCLE ALL MENTIONED | ONE PARTNER................................. STOPPED HAVING <br> MANY SEX PARTNERS..................... $B$ <br> STOPPED SEX WITH PROSTITUTES......C <br> STARTED USING CONDOMS................. USED CONDOMS MORE OFTEN.............E $\longrightarrow \longrightarrow 738$ ABSTINENCE (STOPPED <br> HAVING SEX WITH ANYONE).............F OTHER $\qquad$ X <br> (SPECIFY) |


| No. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 737 | Some people use a condom during sexual intercourse to avoid getting AIDS or other sexually transmitted diseases. <br> Have you ever used a condom during sex to avoid getting or transmitting diseases, such as AIDS? | YEs............................ 1 No........................ 2 |
| 738 | Have you ever been tested to see if you have the AIDS virus? |  |
| 739 | Would you like to be tested for the AlDS virus? |  |
| 740 | Do you know a place where you could go to get an AIDS test? |  |
| 741 | Where could you go? | government and parastatal <br> REGIONAL/CONSULTANT HOSPITAL......A - <br> DISTRICT HOSPITAL................... $B$ <br> health centre......................... $C$ <br> DISPENSARY/PARASTATAL FACILITY...D <br> VILLAGE HEALTH POST/WORKER........E |
| 741A | Where did you go? | MEDICAL PRIVATE SECTOR <br> RELIGIOUS ORG. FACILITY............ <br> PRIV.DOCTOR/CLINIC/HOSPITAL.......G <br> PHARMACY/MEDICAL STORE.............. H $\rightarrow 742$ <br> CBD WORKER................................ I <br> OTHER PRIVATE SECTOR <br> SHOP....................................... J <br> CHURCH. ..................................... <br> FRIENDS/RELATIVES/NEI GHBOURS...... L <br> OTHER $\qquad$ <br> (SPECIFY) x <br> DOES NOT KNOW. $z$ |
| 742 | What do you suggest is the most important thing the government should do for people who have AIDS? | PROVIDE MEDICAL TREATMENT.......... 01 HELP RELATIVES PROVIDE CARE........ 02 ISOLATE/QUARANTINE/JAIL PEOPLE.... 03 NOT BE INVOLVED......................... 04 OTHER $\qquad$ 96 |
| 743 | If a member of your family is suffering from AIDS would you be willing to care for him or her at home? |  |
|  | RECORD THE TIME. | MORNING/AM $\qquad$ 1 HOUR. $\qquad$ <br> AFTERNOON/PM... 2 MINUTES..... $\square$ |

INTERVIEWER'S OBSERVATIONS
To be filled in after completing interview

Corments about Respondent:

Comments on
Specific Questions:

Any Other Comments:

SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS


EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Name of Editor: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ngallaba, S., S.H. Kapiga, I. Ruyobya, and J.T. Boerma. 1993. Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey 1991/1992. Dar es Salaam and Columbia, Maryland: Bureau of Statistics and Macro International Inc.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Although the actual households covered in the TKAPS differed from those covered in the TDHS, the fact that the sample points were the same increases the inter-survey correlation and reduces the sampling error of the difference between the rates measured in the two surveys.
    ${ }^{3}$ For a more detailed description of the TDHS sample design, see Ngallaba, et al. 1993, Appendix A.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Mwanza was to be included as well; however, it was erroneously excluded from this oversampling for men.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The dependency ratio is the ratio of the number of persons age 0 to 14 and 65 and over divided by the number of persons age 15 to 64 . It is used to indicate the burden on adults in their working years of needing to care for the young and the old.

[^4]:    Note: Table is based on de jure members, i.e., usual residents.
    ${ }^{1}$ Foster children are those under age 15 living in households with neither their mother nor their father present.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ More worrisome than the slight downward bias from only obtaining data on the last two births is the fact that, partly because the questions are so much simpler than a complete birth history, they do not elicit the same amount of probing and emphasis and therefore, most likely produce fertility data of inferior quality to a complete history of all a woman's births. The fertility rates presented here should for this reason be viewed with some caution and it should also be kept in mind that they are not strictly comparable to rates from the 1991/92 TDHS which were based on a complete birth history.

[^6]:    图 1991/92 TDHS $\square_{1994 \text { TKAPS }}$

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data for currently married women (comparable to tables in the TDHS) are shown in Appendix Table D.2.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Want next birth within 2 years
    ${ }^{2}$ Want to delay next birth for 2 or more years

[^9]:    * Denotes fewer than 25 cases

[^10]:    * Q.119: large urban areas are mbanza, arusha, morogoro, dodoma, moshi, tanga, iringa, mbeya, \& tabora small urban areas are all other towns.

