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## PREFACE

The Uganda Demographic and Health Survey (UDHS) was conducted at a time when Uganda needed baseline information for planning and implementing national and regional programmes. The survey was conducted as part of the worldwide Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) programme in which surveys are being carried out in countries in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Near East. The UDHS used an ample survey designed to collect information on fertility, family planning, and maternal and child health.

The survey was conducted by the Ministry of Health in close collaboration with the Ministry of Planning and Economic Development, the Institute of Statistics and Applied Economics and the Geography Department, Makerere University. Fieldwork for the Uganda Demographic and Health Survey was carried out from September 1988 to February 1989 with financial and technical assistance from the U.S. Agency for International Development and the Uganda Government. The Institute for Resource Development (IRD), a Macro Systems company, provided technical assistance under terms of an agreement with the Uganda Government (through the Ministry of Health).

The objectives of the UDHS were to collect data on fertility, family planning knowledge, attitudes and use among women; and on matemal and child health coverage such as immunisation, breastfeeding, diarthoeal diseases in children, nutrition, matemity care and child morbidity and treatment.

Planning for the UDHS started in 1987 when a statistical committee was set up by the Ministry of Health. Members included experts from the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Planning and Economic Development, UNICEF, Makerere University and the Family Planning Association of Uganda. The role of the committee was to adapt the DHS model questionnaire to the social, economic, and health situation in Uganda.

The UDHS would not have been completed successfully without the relentless effort and dedication of several institutions and individuals, especially the employees of the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Planning and Economic Development, Makerere University, and the Institute for Resource Development. In particular, I wish to extend my gratitude and appreciation to the following individuals and institutions who contributed to the success of the UDHS project:

Administrative: Mr. A.M. Ogola, Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Health; Mr. Paul Cohn, Health/Population/AIDS Officer; Mr. David Puckett, Technical Adviser for Child Survival (TACS).

Technical: Dr. Emmanuel M. Kaijuka, Ministry of Health/UDHS Project Director; Mr. Edward Kaija, Ministry of Planning and Economic Development/UDHS Project Coordinator, Dr. James Ntozi, Institute of Statistics and Applied Economics, Makerere University; Dr. John Kabera, Department of Geography, Makerere University; Professor Ben Kiregyera, Makerere University/Sampler, Ms. Anne R. Cross, Mr. Roger Pearson and Dr. Edilberto Loaiza, DHS coordinators, Dr. Chris Scott and Dr. Alfredo Aliaga, DHS samplers, IRD/Macro Systems, Inc.

Field Staff: Dr. V. Mwaka, Department of Geography, Makerere University/Field Coordinator, Mr. Ssekamatte, Makerere University/Field Supervisor, Ms. Rose Kabasinguzi, Mwanamugimu Nutrition Unit, Mulago Hospital/Field Supervisor; and the field editors and enumerators.

Data Processing: Mr. David Cantor, Ms. Elizabeth Britton, Dr. Sidney Moore, and Mr. Robert D. Wolf, IRD/Macro Systems, Inc.; Miss Catherine Zigiti, Ministry of Health and their assistants.

Institutions: The Ministry of Local Government, the Ministry of Planning and Economic Development, and the Family Planning Association of Uganda provided administrative and field staff. UNICEF provided both technical and financial support to UDHS project.

In conclusion, I wish to extend my sincere thanks to all those who in one way or another contributed to the success of the UDHS project.
Z.K.R. KAHERU

Minister of Health

## SUMMARY

The Uganda Demographic and Health Survey (UDHS) was conducted by the Ministry of Health in 24 districts between September 1988 and February 1989. The sample covered 4730 women aged 1549. Nine northem districts were not surveyed due to security reasons (see map). The purpose of the survey was to provide planners and policymakers with baseline information regarding fertility, family planning, and maternal and child health. The survey data were also needed by UNFPA and UNICEFKampala for planning and evaluation of current projects in Uganda.

The UDHS data indicate that fertility is high in Uganda, with women having an average of seven births by the time they reach the end of their childbearing years. Overall, fertility in Uganda has remained the same, that is, just over seven children per woman during the last 15 years. Women in urban areas, especially Kampala, have fewer children than women in rural areas. A significant finding is that fertility is linked to education: women with higher education have an average of 5 births, compared with 7 births for women with primary education. Childbearing begins at an early age, with 60 percent of Ugandan women having their first birth before the age of 20 . Less than 3 percent of women have their first birth at age 25 or older.

A major factor contributing to high fertility is age at first marriage; 54 percent of women marry before they reach 18 years of age and only 2 percent remain unmarried throughout their entire life. However, with increasing levels of education among women, there is evidence of a trend toward later marriage. The median age at first union has risen from 17 for older women to 18 for those age 20-24. Urban women marry 2 years later on average than rural women, while women with middle and higher education marry 4 years later than women with no education. Polygyny is common in Uganda, with 33 percent of currently married women reporting that their husband has other wives. The practice declines with higher levels of education.

Breastfeeding and postpartum abstinence provide some protection from pregnancy after the birth of a child. In Uganda, babies are breastfed for an average of 19 months and postpartum amenorthoea lasts an average of 13 months. However, sexual abstinence after a birth is short, with an average duration of only 4 months. UDHS data show a decline in duration of breastfeeding and postpartum abstinence, especially among younger, urban, and educated women.

The low level of contraceptive use in Uganda is one of the leading factors contributing to high fertility, as evidenced by the UDHS data. Although 84 percent of currently married Ugandan women know at least one contraceptive method and 77 percent know of a source for a contraceptive method, only 22 percent have ever used a method; and only 5 percent are currently using a method. Low rates of use are due partially to the desire of women to have many children. However, access to family planning services may also be a factor since most clinics are in urban areas, while 89 percent of women live in rural areas.

Among currently married women using contraception, periodic abstinence is the most common method used ( 1.6 percent), followed by pill ( 1.1 percent) and female sterilisation ( 0.8 percent). Contraceptive use is higher among women with more children and women who reside in urban areas, especially Kampala. There are strong differentials in family planning use by education level. The level of use among women with higher education is eighteen times the rate for women with no education. Forty-two percent of users of modern methods obtained their method from government hospitals, while 33 percent reported Family Planning Association of Uganda (FPAU) clinics as the source. Ten percent of users rely on private sources such as private doctors and clinics.

The most common reasons for nonuse of contraception cited by women who are exposed to the risk of pregnancy, but do not want to get pregnant immediately are: fear of side effects, prohibition by religion, lack of knowledge, and disapproval by partner.

Despite the low level of contraceptive use in Uganda, the UDHS indicates that the potential need for family planning is great. Although 39 percent of the currently married women want another child soon (within 2 years), 33 percent want to space their pregnancies for at least two years and another 19 percent want no more children. This means that 52 percent of currently married women in the surveyed area are potentially in need of family planning services either to limit or to space their births. Furthermore, 35 percent of the women who had a birth in the 12 months prior to the survey indicated that their last birth was either unwanted or mistimed.

UDHS data indicate that infant and childhood mortality remain high. For every thousand live births, 100 children die before reaching their first birthday and 180 children die before reaching age five. While these rates indicate high levels of mortality, there is some evidence that rates have declined in the five years before the survey.

Forty-four percent of children under five with health cards have been fully immunised against the major vaccine-preventable diseases. This percentage is higher if children without health cards who have been immunised are included.

UDHS data further indicated high levels of prevalence of certain illnesses. Of children under five, 24 percent had diarrhea in the two weeks before the survey. Forty-one percent of children under five were reported to have had a fever in the previous four weeks and 22 percent had an episode of severe cough with difficult or rapid breathing in the four weeks preceding the interview. Various types of treatment including antibiotics and antimalarials were used to treat the illnesses.

The nutritional status of children in Uganda was assessed from UDHS data. Overall, 45 percent of the children age $0-60$ months were found to be stunted, that is, two or more standard deviations below the mean reference population for height-for-age. These children are defined as chronically undemourished.

## Uganda



## CHAPTER 1 <br> BACKGROUND

### 1.1 Geography, History, and the Economy

## Geography

The Republic of Uganda is located in East Africa and lies astride the equator (see map). It is a landlocked country bordering Kenya in the east, Tanzania and Rwanda in the south, Zaire in the west and Sudan in the north. The country has an area of 241,038 square kilometres, 18 percent of which is open water and swamps and 12 percent is forest reserves and game parks. Lake Victoria, the third largest lake in the world, makes up most of the open water area and is shared by Kenya and Tanzania.

Uganda has a favourable climate because of its relatively high altitude. Temperatures range between $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Central, West and South West regions receive heavy rainfall during the months of March through May and light rainfall between September and December. The levels of rainfall diminish towards the North as the border with the Sudan is approached. The soil composition varies accordingly, being generally fertile in the Central, West and South West regions and becoming less fertile as one moves from the East to the North. Due to these combinations of climatic conditions, Uganda has tropical rain forest vegetation in the south and savanna woodlands and semi-desert vegetation in the north. The regional agricultural potential is determined by these climatic conditions and the land's population carrying capacity is closely related to these agricultural potentials.

## History

Uganda is composed of many tribal groupings of Bantu, Nilotics, Nilo-Hamites and those of Sudanese origin. Before independence, Uganda was basically divided into kingdoms or similar groupings consisting of mainly homogeneous tribal groups, which occupied various parts of the country, spoke various languages and had unique cultural identities. This diversity has given rise to a rich cultural and social heritage. One of the most widely spoken languages is Luganda, followed by Swahili and English. English is the official language of the country.

Independence from British colonial rule was obtained in October 1962. After achieving sovereignty, Uganda became a member of the Commonwealth, the United Nations, the Organisation of African Unity, the African-Caribbean-Pacific States, and the Preferential Trade Area. At present Uganda is divided into 34 districts ${ }^{1}$ which do not necessarily represent tribal groups, but were created for the ease of administration. Districts are further divided into 149 counties, 750 sub-counties and 3,721 parishes. In most cases parishes are divided into two sub-parishes.

## The Economy

Uganda has an agricultural economy with 90 percent of the population dependant on agriculture and agro-based industries. Agricultural produce contributes 98 percent of Uganda's exports and the country is basically self-sufficient in food. From 1960 to 1970, Uganda had an expanding economy with a Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth rate of 5 percent per annum, compared to a population growth rate of 2.6 percent per annum.

[^0]However, during the past 25 years, the country experienced a period of civil and military unrest with the resultant destruction of social infrastructure and disruption of the economy. This has had a tremendous negative impact on the economic, educational, and health situation of the general population. By 1985, per capita GDP had fallen 43 percent and per capita Gross National Product (GNP) was estimated at $\$ 220$ (US). Since 1986, however, the National Resistance Movement Government has introduced and implemented a recovery programme which is steadily moving the country toward economic prosperity. Table 1.1 presents some basic socioeconomic indicators.

| Table 1.1 Basic socioeconomic indicators, Uganda, various years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | Year | Value |
| Population (thousands) | 1988 | 15,947.8 |
| Total area (sq. km.) | 1988 | 241,038 |
| Land area (sq. km.) | 1988 | 197,100 |
| Women of childbearing age as percent of the total popul. | 1985 | 23 |
| Population growth rate(year) | 69-80 | 2.8 |
| Life expectancy - males | 1969 | 45.6 |
| - females | 1969 | 46.9 |
| Hospital beds | 1981 | 20,136 |
| Beds per 10,000 population | 1981 | 15 |
| Population per physician | 1981 | 23,000 |

Source: Ministry of Planning and Economic
Development, various Development Plans

### 1.2 Availability of Demographic Data

The population of Uganda, estimated at more than 16 million, is increasing 2.8 percent per year. At this rate of growth, the population can be expected to double every 25 years. The high rate of growth is due primarily to the high levels of fertility prevailing in the country; each woman has an average of 7 children by the end of her childbearing years (Table 1.2).

The first systematic census which generated useable demographic data was held in 1948. Prior to this date, there were administrative counts or estimates varying in methodology, coverage and content. After the 1948 census, other censuses were conducted in 1959, 1969 and 1980. Some data from the census of 1980 were not available for inclusion into this report. The next census will be conducted in 1990.

Surveys have not been instrumental as a source of demographic data in Uganda. Although postcensus or intercensal surveys were planned after each of the censuses, they were not implemented, due to logistical or financial problems. A few small-scale surveys were carried out by researchers at Makerere University, but none was representative of the whole country.

Civil registration in Uganda is incomplete and of limited use as a source of demographic information. The Births and Deaths Registration Ordinance of 1904 provided for voluntary registration of the native population and people did not seriously respond to it. In 1973, registration was made compulsory. Efforts are being made to improve the system although coverage is still incomplete.

| Table 1.2 Demographic indices, Uganda |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Census year |  |  |  |
| Index | 1948 | 1959 | 1969 | 1980 |
| Population | 4,917,555 | 6,449,558 | 9,456,466 | 12,636,179 |
| Intercensal growth rate | - | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.8 |
| Sex ratio | 100.0 | 100.8 | 101.8 | 98.2 |
| Crude birth rate | 42 | 44 | 50 | 50 |
| Total fertility rate | 5.9 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 7.4 |
| Crude death rate | 25 | 20 | 19 | 20 |
| Infant mortality rate | 200 | 160 | 120 | 115 |
| Percent urban | - | 4.8 | 7.8 | 8.7 |
| Density (Pop./Km.) | 25.2 | 33.2 | 48.4 | 64.1 |

Source: Statistics Department, Ministry of Planning and Economic
Development, Entebbe

Information on emigration and immigration is collected at border posts, ports, and intemational airports where immigration cards are filled out. These cards collect social and demographic data on age, sex, date of birth, occupation, place of birth and residence, and reason for movement, in addition to place of origin and destination. There is evidence of sizeable illegal entrance and departure from the country. Internal migration is unrecorded and can only be estimated from censuses or surveys. There are official resettlement schemes which may have systematic records.

As a result of the varying climatic conditions mentioned above, certain regions are more densely populated than others. The country is divided into four major administrative regions: East, West, North and Central, but for purposes of this report, the country is divided into six major regions: West Nile, East, Central, West, South West and Kampala. This division was due primarily to the different major languages into which the survey questionnaire was translated. Kampala region consisted of the capital city and its suburbs, and because of its high population compared to some regions, it was regarded as a region.

### 1.3 Population and Family Planning Policies and Programmes

Family planning activities in Uganda started in 1957 with the establishment of the Family Planning Association of Uganda (FPAU), an affiliate of the Intemational Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF). Since the inception of the FPAU, family planning services have been largely limited to urban centres, despite the fact that 90 percent of the population resides in rural areas. With the acceptance and introduction of its primary health care strategy, the Government has integrated family planning into the overall matemal and child health program as a means of reducing maternal morbidity and mortality in Uganda.

Currently, family planning services are provided through clinics administered by FPAU, government and non-government health units. Available data indicate that most acceptors use oral contraceptives, female sterilisation, injectables and IUDs, while few couples use condoms. Natural family planning has gained some support in Uganda. A natural family planning programme organised by the Uganda Catholic Medical Secretariat covers most dioceses in Uganda and provides services through health units and home visits.

Since 1980, family planning has been increasingly viewed as an important component of matemal and child health. As a consequence, most govemment hospitals and health centres provide family planning services. In spite of this support and increasing family planning acceptance, national coverage has remained very low because of the heavy concentration of the services in the urban centres.

Uganda does not have an explicit population policy, but in 1988, a population secretariat was established in the Ministry of Planning and Economic Development. The secretariat's overall responsibility is to coordinate population activities conducted in different ministries and to develop population guidelines for the country.

### 1.4 Health Priorities and Programmes

Health services in Uganda are provided by the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Local Government and non-government organisations (NGOs), particularly religious groups. The Ministry of Health is responsible for planning and developing health policies and for providing health care in all government hospitals. The Ministry of Local Government is in charge of health care delivery at the district level and below. NGOs provide services both to hospitals and to smaller medical units.

In its continuing efforts to expand services to the majority of the population, the government is gradually shifting away from costly curative services to cost-effective, preventive services. The govemment is developing a health policy with the goal of health for all people by means of a nationwide network of preventive and curative health services in a self-sustaining cost recovery system. Particular emphasis is placed on matemal and child health services, environmental sanitation, provision of essential drugs, water supply, and health education. The goal of the system is to extend health coverage to all Ugandan citizens by the turn of the century through community participation.

### 1.5 Objectives of the Survey

The primary objective of the UDHS was to provide data on fertility, family planning, childhood mortality and basic indicators of maternal and child health. Additional information was collected on educational level, literacy, sources of household water and housing conditions. The available demographic data were incomplete and hardly any recent information concerning family planning or other health and social indicators existed at the national level.

A more specific objective was to provide baseline data for the South West region and the area in Central region known as the Luwero Triangle, where the Uganda government and UNICEF are currently supporting a primary health care project. In order to effectively plan strategies and to evaluate progress in meeting the project goals and objectives, there was a need to collect data on the health of the target population.

Another important goal of UDHS was to enhance the skills of those participating in the project so that they could conduct high-quality surveys in the future. Finally, the contribution of Ugandan data to an expanding international data set was an objective of the UDHS.

### 1.6 Organisation of the Survey

The Uganda Demographic and Health Survey (UDHS) was conducted between September, 1988 and February, 1989 by the Ministry of Health, with the assistance of the Statistics Department of the Ministry of Planning and Economic Development and both the Department of Geography and the Institute of Statistics and Applied Economics at Makerere University. Financial and technical support for the survey was provided by the Demographic and Health Surveys Programme at the Institute for Resource Development (IRD) in Columbia, Maryland, through its contract with the U.S. Agency for International

Development (USAID). In addition, UNICEF provided some of the vehicles used for the listing operation and fieldwork.

The UDHS used a stratified, weighted probability sample of women aged $15-49$ selected from 206 clusters. Due to security problems at the time of sample selection, 9 districts, containing an estimated 20 percent of the country's population, were excluded from the sample frame. Primary sampling units in rural areas were sub-parishes, which, in the absence of a more reliable sampling frame, were selected with a probability proportional to the number of registered taxpayers in the sub-parish. Teams visited each selected sub-parish and listed all the households by name of the household head. Individual households were then selected for interview from this list. The South West region and the area in Central region known as Luwero Triangle were each oversampled to provide a sample size sufficient to produce independent estimates of certain variables for these two areas. Results from oversampled areas are presented separately in this report.

Because Ugandans often pay taxes in rural areas or in their place of work instead of their place of residence, it was not possible to use taxpayer rolls as a sampling frame in urban areas. Consequently, a complete list of all administrative urban areas known as Resistance Council Ones ( RCl ) was compiled, and a sampling frame was created by systematically selecting 200 of these units with equal probability. The households in these RCls were listed, and 50 RCls were selected with probability proportional to size. Finally, 20 households were then systematically selected in each of the 50 RCls for a total of 1,000 urban households.

Three questionnaires were used for the UDHS: the household questionnaire, the individual woman's questionnaire, and the service availability questionnaire. The household questionnaire listed all usual members of the household and their visitors, together with information on their age and sex and information on the fostering of children under 15. It was used to identify women who were eligible for the individual interview, namely, those aged 15-49 who slept in the household the night before the household interview, whether they normally lived there or were visiting. For those women who were either absent or could not be interviewed during the first visit, a minimum of three revisits were made before recording nonresponse. Women were interviewed with the individual questionnaire, which contained questions on fertility, family planning and maternal and child health.

The service availability (SA) questionnaire collected information on family planning and health services and other socioeconomic characteristics of the selected areas and was completed for each rural cluster and for each urban area. The SA questionnaire was administered by a different team of interviewers from the one carrying out the individual women's interview. The same clusters chosen for the individual interviews were visited by the SA interviewer who was instructed to assemble 3 or 4 "knowledgeable" residents. These people were asked about the services available in the community and the distances to them. Based on this information, interviewers visited the facilities close to the cluster and collected information about equipment, staffing, services available, and general infrastructure. Results on service availability are not included in this report.

The household and the individual questionnaires were translated into four languages: Luganda, Lugbara, Runyankole-Rukiga and Runyoro-Rutoro. Luganda questionnaires were used in the East region, where there are a number of languages, but most people speak Luganda. A pretest of the translated questionnaires was conducted in October 1987 by interviewers who completed a three-week training course.

A three-week training course for the main survey was held in September 1988. Fifty-six interviewers, six field editors and six supervisors took part in the survey. All interviewers were women, although some of the supervisors and field editors were men. Field staff were recruited from the Ministries of Health and Planning and from among people who answered advertisements in the national press and passed selection interviews. A major qualification of the interviewers was educational
achievement and a good command of at least one of the local languages covered by the four translations. All field staff had at least Senior Four secondary school education and several were university graduates. Senior survey staff came from the Ministries of Health and Planning, as well as Makerere University. The National Director of the UDHS was the Assistant Director of Medical Services in charge of Maternal and Child Health. IRD provided technical collaboration through periodic staff visits regarding sample selection, questionnaire design, anthropometric measurement, training of interviewers, and data processing and analysis.

Completed questionnaires were sent to the data processing room at Makerere University where data entry and machine editing proceeded concurrently with fieldwork. Four desktop computers and ISSA, the Integrated System for Survey Analysis, were used to process the UDHS data. Of the households sampled, 5,101 were successfully interviewed, a completion rate of 91.3 percent. A total of 4,857 eligible women were identified in these households, of which 4,730 were interviewed, a completion rate of 97.4 percent. Data entry and editing were completed a few days after fieldwork ended.

### 1.7 Background Characteristics of Survey Respondents

Table 1.3 and Figure 1.1 show the background characteristics of all women interviewed in the survey. Encompassing 25 percent of UDHS respondents, 15-19 year olds are the largest age group. The percentages decrease gradually at each successive age group with 20-24 and 25-29 year olds constituting 21 and 18 percent, respectively. A young population distribution is to be expected in a country with high fertility such as Uganda.

The data indicate that almost 12 percent of women between $15-49$ years of age, live in urban areas. Comparison with census data shows that a slight increase in urbanisation may have taken place in the past 30 years (see Table 1.2); however, since the UDHS excluded the more rural northem part of the country, twelve percent is a slight overestimate. The distribution of women by region is divided: for East, Central and South West regions, each accounts for 25-30 percent of respondents, for West Nile, West, and Kampala, each accounts for 6 percent of respondents.

Table 1.3 indicates that 44 percent of all women are Catholic, 42 percent are Protestant, 12 percent are Moslem, 1 percent are Seventh Day Adventists and less than 1 percent belong to other religions. Information on religion was collected because religious affiliation may affect attitude toward acceptance of certain family planning methods.

All women interviewed in the UDHS were asked if they had ever attended school. Those who had were further asked the highest level of school attended, according to the country's formal education system. Those women who had never attended school and those who had not completed primary education were requested to read a short sentence written in a local language.

Respondents were grouped into five education categories: those with no education; those with 1-6 years of primary education (some primary); those who completed primary school (including those with Junior 1 level); those with some secondary school (middle--including those with Junior 2 or 3 or level 1-4 of secondary school) and those with more than a secondary 4 education (higher). The latter category also includes women who completed at least one year of vocational training after secondary 4 or who completed at least two years of vocational after secondary level 3.

Almost 40 percent of respondents have never been to school and an additional 43 percent have only some primary education. Altogether, fewer than 20 percent have completed primary education, and only 3 percent have more than a secondary education. One reason for the low level of education among women has been the preference for educating boys rather than girls. For example, in 1982, there were twice as many boys as girls enrolled in Standard 7 in govemment schools. This situation is currently changing, due to vigorous govemment efforts, and soon females will be about equally represented at all levels of education.

| Table 1.3 Percent distribution of women by background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background Characteristic | Weighted <br> Percent | Weighted No. of Women | Unwtd <br> No. o <br> Women |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 24.5 | 1157 | 1199 |
| 20-24 | 20.8 | 985 | 982 |
| 25-29 | 18.2 | 859 | 877 |
| 30-34 | 13.1 | 620 | 601 |
| 35-39 | 9.7 | 459 | 452 |
| 40-44 | 7.3 | 345 | 332 |
| 45-49 | 6.4 | 304 | 287 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 11.5 | 542 | 964 |
| Rural | 88.5 | 4188 | 3766 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| West N1le | 5.6 | 265 | 161 |
| East | 27.6 | 1305 | 865 |
| Central | 24.9 | 1177 | 1392 |
| West | 5.8 | 273 | 166 |
| South West | 29.9 | 1415 | 1619 |
| Kampala | 6.3 | 296 | 527 |
| Luwero Triangle | 10.4 | 491 | 873 |
| Religion |  |  |  |
| Catholic | 44.3 | 2096 | 2062 |
| Protestant | 42.1 | 1991 | 2083 |
| Muslim | 11.6 | 547 | 489 |
| Seventh Day Adventist | t 1.3 | 64 | 73 |
| Other | 0.7 | 32 | 23 |
| Education * |  |  |  |
| No education | 37.8 | 1788 | 1631 |
| Some primary | 43.3 | 2048 | 2030 |
| Primary completed | 8.7 | 410 | 447 |
| Middle | 7.8 | 367 | 443 |
| Higher | 2.5 | 118 | 179 |
| Total | 100.0 | 4730 | 4730 |
| * Throughout this report, women who completed Junior 1 were considered to have completed primary. Those with Junior 2 or 3 were tabulated in Middle, along with those who completed secondary education up to level 4. Women with more than secondary 4 education were put in the higher category, which includes women who went on to complete at least one year of vocational training after secondary 4 or who completed at least two years of such training after secondary 3. |  |  |  |



Table 1.4 shows that education is inversely related to age, that is, older women are less educated than younger women. For example, whereas 67 percent of women 45-49 have no education, only 21 percent of women aged 15-19 fall in this category.

The proportion of respondents with no education is three times higher in rural areas ( 41 percent) than in urban areas ( 13 percent). Two major factors influence this urban-rural differential. First, access to schools is more difficult in rural than in urban areas. Secondly, rural children are more likely to drop out of school due to inability to pay school fees.

Table 1.4 shows that West Nile region has the highest proportion of uneducated women, ( 65 percent), followed by South West region ( 46 percent) and East region ( 40 percent). Kampala has the smallest proportion of uneducated women ( 10 percent) and the highest proportion of women with middle ( 28 percent) and higher ( 17 percent) education. Until recently, vocational and university education was limited to Kampala, where most graduates with higher education remain.

In addition to the question on educational attainment, respondents were shown sentences written in their language and asked to read them. The next-to-last column in Table 1.4 shows the percentage of women with no formal education who can read. About 9 percent of the women with no education can read; the percentage is higher among older women and among residents of Kampala and the South West region.

Availability of various household amenities is an indicator of socioeconomic status, as well as having potential relevance for the health status of household members; the presence of a refrigerator may have an impact on nutrition and the presence of soap in the household may be regarded as a measure of personal hygiene, since it can be used for washing the body as well as washing utensils and clothes. Availability of a radio in a household is important since many educational messages, especially those regarding health education, are communicated by radio.


Table 1.5 presents data on the percent of women who own or have access to various household possessions, according to residence and region. Only 7 percent of women have electricity in their homes, and consequently, very few have hot plates/cookers, refrigerators, or televisions. Thirty percent have a charcoal iron and 21 percent have a charcoal stove. More than one in three women has a bicycle in the household, and 85 percent have soap. Over one-quarter have access to a radio and more than one-third listen to a radio at least once a week.

Not surprisingly, urban women are much more likely to have household amenities than rural women. One-half of urban women live in homes with electricity, and a majority of urban women have a radio, charcoal iron, and charcoal stove in their homes. Regionally, women in Kampala are far more likely to have access to these amenities than women in other regions, and women in West Nile are the least likely. For example, 76 percent of women in Kampala have a radio in their households, followed by Central and West regions ( 34 percent). Women in West Nile are the least likely to have radios (11 percent) and are therefore least likely to benefit from the messages sent by radio Uganda.

Table 1.5 Pefcent of women who own or have access to selected household amenities according to residence and region, Uganda, 1988/89

|  | Residence |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household Amenity | Urban | Rural | West <br> Nile | East | Central | West | South <br> West | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kam- } \\ & \text { pala } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| Electricity | 51.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 62.6 | 7.4 |
| Hot plate/cooker | 25.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 36.4 | 3.4 |
| Television | 14.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 23.9 | 1.9 |
| Refrigerator | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 16.5 | 1.3 |
| Charcoal iron | 54.7 | 27.1 | 39.8 | 31.8 | 31.8 | 33.7 | 19.5 | 56.4 | 30.2 |
| Charcoal stove | 82.2 | 12.9 | 8.1 | 18.4 | 26.8 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 91.1 | 20.9 |
| Bicycle | 24.2 | 36.1 | 37.9 | 44.2 | 39.9 | 42.2 | 22.7 | 20.7 | 34.7 |
| Soap in house | 94.5 | 83.2 | 64.6 | 79.9 | 88.9 | 86.7 | 86.5 | 93.4 | 84.5 |
| Radio | 66.2 | 23.4 | 10.6 | 23.7 | 33.8 | 33.7 | 20.3 | 76.1 | 28.3 |
| Listen to radio weekly | 72.1 | 30.8 | 19.3 | 29.4 | 45.3 | 43.4 | 25.4 | 79.7 | 35.5 |
| Number of women | 542 | 4188 | 265 | 1305 | 1177 | 273 | 1415 | 296 | 4730 |

## CHAPTER 2 <br> MARRIAGE AND EXPOSURE TO THE RISK OF PREGNANCY

### 2.1 Current Marital Status

In Uganda, childbearing takes place mainly within socially prescribed and relatively stable marital unions. Therefore, the study of the pattems of marriage is essential to the understanding of fertility patterns in Uganda. Marriage, whether legal, customary or consensual, is the primary indication of the exposure of women to the risk of pregnancy.

There are several types of marriage in Uganda, including legal marriage, customary marriage and other unions. Legal marriage includes both religious and registered marriage. Customary marriage varies according to region and tribal groupings. In some areas a marriage is recognised so long as the parents of the bride and bridegroom agree, while in other areas a marriage is recognised only after the payment of the dowry is completed. Living together without fulfilling the legal or customary procedures is not encouraged but is socially tolerated and is becoming very common, particularly in urban areas. In the long run, these unions become acceptable to society but have serious implications for legal and inheritance rights and customary obligations.

In Table 2.1 the term "married" is intended to mean legal or formal marriage, while "living together" designates an informal union. In subsequent tables, the two categories are combined and referred to collectively as "currently married" or "currently in union". Those widowed, divorced, and not living together (separated) make up the remainder of the "ever-married" or "ever in union". In most cases, the distinction between not living together (or separated) and divorced is difficult to make. Divorce has connotations of legal or customary procedures while separation implies a temporary disunion pending divorce or reunion.

Table 2.1 and Figure 2.1 show the percent distribution of women by marital status at the time of the survey, according to age. That 41 percent of women 15-19 have already entered some kind of marital union is indicative of a general tendency to marry early. The legal age at marriage for women in Uganda is 16 years. By the time women reach the age of 30,95 percent have been married; by the age of 35,99 percent have been involved in some kind of marital union.

The percentage of women who are widowed is low in the younger age groups and high in the age groups 40-44 and 45-49. The same pattem applies for divorced women. This is due to the fact that older women have had a longer time in which to get divorced than younger, newly married women. Also, young divorced women remarry easily while older divorced women find it difficult to remarry. Hence, the high percentages of divorced women are concentrated in age groups 40-44 and 45-49. Apart from age group 15-19, where widowhood, divorce and separation are small (because these women have just married), the percentage separated is almost uniform for all age groups.

### 2.2 Polygyny

The custom of a man having more than one wife, polygyny, has long been acceptable in Uganda. Some religious denominations allow polygny, while others oppose it; however, the practice is rapidly declining as more women attain higher educational levels. Table 2.2 shows the percentage of currently married women in polygynous unions by age and selected background characteristics.

Overall, 33 percent of currently married women report that their husband has other wives. The percentage increases with age of the woman, from 21 percent of women 15-19, to over 40 percent of women in their 40s. This may indicate that polygyny is decreasing among younger women.


Figure 2.1
Union Status by Current Age


Uganda DHS 1988/89

Polygyny is slightly more common in rural areas, where 33 percent of the women report polygynous unions, compared with 31 percent in urban areas. The distributions for individual age groups are irregular, but generally indicate a similar pattern.

The regional distribution shows that the South West is least polygynous, particularly at the younger ages. This is probably due to a high proportion of Christians, particularly Protestants, who

| Table 2.2 Percentage of currently married women in a polygynous union, by age, according to background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background <br> Characteristic | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | Total |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 16.2 | 33.1 | 34.3 | 28.6 | 41.7 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 31.0 |
| Rural | 22.3 | 30.4 | 36.8 | 39.4 | 39.7 | 43.2 | 40.0 | 33.3 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | (14.3) | 37.0 | 34.8 | 30.4 | (35.3) | (37.5) | (50.0) | 33.1 |
| East | 35.3 | 40.9 | 43.7 | 47.5 | 38.3 | 46.4 | 43.6 | 42.3 |
| Central | 19.0 | 32.3 | 32.5 | 32.9 | 36.4 | 35.6 | 43.6 | 31.8 |
| West | (21.4) | 36.0 | 35.5 | (56.3) | (26.7) | (58.3) | (60.0) | 39.0 |
| South West | 15.3 | 20.9 | 21.4 | 31.3 | 43.3 | 41.7 | 29.6 | 27.1 |
| Kampala | 18.2 | 32.4 | 33.7 | 27.8 | 48.4 | (58.3) | (25.0) | 33.2 |
| Luwero Triangle | 21.5 | 37.8 | 36.7 | 33.3 | 29.6 | 29.3 | 51.3 | 33.8 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 22.3 | 32.5 | 26.7 | 38.4 | 37.7 | 43.9 | 36.8 | 33.9 |
| Some primary | 20.7 | 29.4 | 33.9 | 35.3 | 40.5 | 43.2 | 41.2 | 32.2 |
| Primary compl. | 27.3 | 35.8 | 39.7 | 31.8 | (61.1) | (20.0) | (0.0) | 36.2 |
| Middle | (1487) | 31.6 | 35.8 | 27.6 | 38.5 | (50.0) | (50.0) | 31.7 |
| Higher | (0.0) | 17.4 | 22.2 | 28.6 | (33.3) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 22.7 |
| Total | 21.2 | 30.9 | 31.4 | 35.6 | 39.7 | 42.9 | 38.1 | 32.9 |
| Note: The numbers in parentheses are based on fewer than 20 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

oppose polygyny. Protestants account for over 50 percent of the population in the South West. Central region and Kampala also show relatively little polygyny, basically because these are areas where development is most pronounced. People are generally more educated and are engaged in paid employment such that sustaining polygyny would be difficult. Polygyny is high in the East where the greatest concentration of Moslems is found. The distribution of polygyny does not correlate well with education level, although women with higher education are least likely to be in a polygynous union.

It should be noted that the relationship between polygyny and fertility is not straightforward. There is a tendency for women in polygynous unions to compete with co-wives in number of children, so as to have the largest share of family property. In this respect, the desire to have as many sons as possible is likely, and polygyny may be one of the factors which sustains high fertility. On the other hand, polygyny encourages prolonged birth spacing, which would tend to lower fertility among women in polygynous unions.

### 2.3 Age at First Union

Although a significant number of births take place outside a marital union, the majority occur in union. Age at first marriage is, therefore, an important indicator of exposure to the risk of conception and childbirth. Table 2.3 gives the percent distribution of women by age at first union (including nevermarried women) and median age at first union, according to current age.

```
Table 2.3 Percent distribution of women by age at first marriage, proportion of women married
at different ages and median age at first marriage, according to current age,
Uganda, 1988/89
```

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curr- } \\ & \text { ent } \\ & \text { Age } \end{aligned}$ | Never Married | Age at First Marriage |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Percent Married by Age: |  |  | Wtd. <br> No. of <br> Women | Median Age* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $<15$ | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 22-24 | $25+$ |  | 18 | 20 | 25 |  |  |
| 15-19 | 59.2 | 11.6 | 25.4 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | 1157 | - |
| 20-24 | 17.0 | 17.8 | 34.7 | 20.3 | 7.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 52.5 | 72.8 | 82.9 | 985 | 17.8 |
| 25-29 | 4.8 | 17.2 | 38.9 | 18.1 | 10.5 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 56.1 | 74.2 | 92.2 | 859 | 17.5 |
| 30-34 | 2.5 | 19.9 | 42.1 | 17.1 | 8.0 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 62.0 | 79.1 | 93.0 | 620 | 17.0 |
| 35-39 | 1.0 | 27.1 | 38.1 | 15.0 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 65.2 | 80.2 | 94.9 | 459 | 16.8 |
| 40-44 | 1.0 | 27.4 | 39.5 | 16.1 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 66.9 | 83.0 | 95.2 | 345 | 16.6 |
| 45-49 | 0.9 | 26.7 | 38.5 | 15.6 | 9.2 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 65.2 | 80.8 | 94.0 | 304 | 16.7 |
| Total | 19.5 | 18.6 | 35.1 | 14.3 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 53.7 | 68.0 | 78.4 | 4730 | - |

* Defined as the exact age by which 50 percent of women have experienced marriage.
- Some data for women age 15-19 and the median for all women have been omitted, since a substantial proportion of these women have not yet married.

The median age at marriage suggests that there has been recently a slight rise in the age at first union, since women aged 20-24 and 25-29 entered their first union later (age 18) than women aged 30 and above (age 17). Younger women tend to enter their first union at a later age than older cohorts, as can be seen by the higher percentage of women married by age 18,20 , and 25 among older women. The exception is age group 45-49, which shows a lower percentage of women marrying by age 18,20 and 25 ; this may be a result of misreporting of age at marriage due to recall lapse. Further indication of the trend toward later marriage is found in the analysis of data for eight districts from the 1980 census, which indicates that the singulate mean age at marriage for females rose from 17.7 in 1969 to 19.6 in 1980.

The median age at first union according to selected characteristics shows that uban women generally marry later than rural women and that in both urban and rural areas, the median age at first marriage is generally higher for younger women than for older women (Table 2.4). Women in Kampala, South West, and West Nile marry later than women in the other regions. The figures by age group for West Nile and Kampala vary greatly due to the small number of women involved. As in many countries, there is a strong inverse relationship between age at marriage and education; the median age at first marriage is six years later for those with higher education (23 years) than for those with no education (17 years) and women with intermediate levels of schooling are in between.

The balance of evidence seems to suggest that there has been a slight increase in the age at first union, which in the long run, will probably contribute to a gradual decline in fertility.

### 2.4 Breastfeeding, Postpartum Amenorrhoea and Abstinence

Data were collected in the UDHS on factors other than contraception that affect the length of pregnancy intervals. The factors were breastfeeding, amenorthoea and sexual abstinence. The information was obtained for all live births during the five years prior to the survey and was analysed for all births within the 36 months prior to the survey. There were 3176 weighted births occurring $0-35$ months prior to the survey.

| Table 2.4 Median age at first union among women aged 20-49 years, by current age and background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | Total |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.5 | 19.4 | 18.4 | 19.2 | 17.4 | 16.7 | 18.6 |
| Rural | 17.8 | 17.4 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 17.2 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 16.9 | 17.0 | 17.5 | (17.5) | (19.0) | (18.5) | 17.4 |
| East | 16.9 | 16.8 | 16.3 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 16.5 |
| Central | 17.7 | 17.6 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 16.9 | 17.1 |
| West | 18.4 | 17.7 | 17.5 | (14.8) | (16.0) | (17.3) | 17.5 |
| South West | 18.9 | 17.9 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 18.0 | 17.2 | 18.0 |
| Kampala | 19.6 | 20.0 | 18.4 | 20.0 | 17.3 | (17.0) | 19.3 |
| Luwero Triangle | 17.7 | 17.5 | 16.6 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 17.1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 16.9 | 17.1 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.7 | 16.7 |
| Some primary | 17.7 | 17.4 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 17.2 |
| Primary comp. | 18.6 | 18.6 | 17.9 | 19.1 | (18.0) | (20.5) | 18.5 |
| Middle | 20.6 | 19.8 | 20.2 | 19.5 | (20.0) | (18.5) | 20.1 |
| Higher | - | 23.2 | (22.7) | (22.6) | (25.2) | (25.5) | 23.5 |
| Total | 18.1 | 17.7 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 17.5 |
| Note: The numbers in parentheses are based on fewer than 20 unweighted cases. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.5 gives the proportion of births whose mother are still breastfeeding, amenorthoeic, or abstaining, by months since the birth. The results show that breastfeeding is a common practice among Ugandan women. Eighty-two percent of births are breastfed 10 months after delivery and 42 percent are still breastfed at 20 months. After that, breastfeeding diminishes rapidly and at 24 months only 13 percent of the births are still being breastfed. Overall, the median duration of breastfeeding is 19 months.

Postpartum amenorthoea is the period following a birth before the retum of the menstrual cycle. In most societies, this period lasts about three months, during which time the woman is usually infecund. However, the length of amenorthoea depends to a large extent on the woman's physiological condition. Factors such as nutrition, mental stress, and the length of breastfeeding influence the return of the menstrual cycle. In Table 2.5, the importance of breastfeeding can be seen by the fact that duration of amenorrhoea follows a pattem similar to duration of breastfeeding, with half of the women still amenorrhoeic 12 months after birth.

Postpartum sexual abstinence is widely practiced in Uganda, as in much of sub-Saharan Africa. Postpartum sexual abstinence is usually accompanied by breastfeeding, which is considered essential to the health and normal development of the child. However, the period of postpartum abstinence is shorter than the period of breastfeeding--less than 40 percent of women were still abstaining only 2-3 months
after birth. Column four in Table 2.5 shows the proportion of women protected from pregnancy due to either amenorrhoea or abstinence. Over half of the women are still insusceptible to pregnancy 12 months after birth, primarily due to amenorrhoea.

| Table 2.5 Percentage of births whose mothers are still breastfeeding, postpartum amenorrhoelc, abstaining, or insusceptible, by number of months since birth, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Months <br> Since Birth | Breast- <br> feeding | Amenorrhoeic | Abstain1ng | Insusceptible* | No. of Births |
| Less than 2 | 90.9 | 91.9 | 68.9 | 95.1 | 163 |
| 2-3 | 91.5 | 82.5 | 37.8 | 86.6 | 172 |
| 4-5 | 89.9 | 71.1 | 18.1 | 75.3 | 182 |
| 6-7 | 87.6 | 68.7 | 18.0 | 72.1 | 197 |
| 8-9 | 88.1 | 68.0 | 9.5 | 69.2 | 186 |
| 10-11 | 81.7 | 51.5 | 6.5 | 53.7 | 206 |
| 12-13 | 84.7 | 52.1 | 9.4 | 56.3 | 190 |
| 14-15 | 71.2 | 40.7 | 7.7 | 43.5 | 226 |
| 16-17 | 65.7 | 30.0 | 6.0 | 33.8 | 179 |
| 18-19 | 52.4 | 22.1 | 3.4 | 24.3 | 139 |
| 20-21 | 42.2 | 17.1 | 6.1 | 19.8 | 153 |
| 22-23 | 22.9 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 8.5 | 181 |
| 24-25 | 13.1 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 7.6 | 203 |
| 26-27 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 159 |
| 28-29 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 160 |
| 30-31 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 4.4 | 173 |
| 32-33 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 156 |
| 34-35 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 151 |
| Total | 52.0 | 35.7 | 11.2 | 38.1 | 3176 |
| Median | 19.0 | 12.9 | 1.8 | 13.5 | - |
| Note: Includes births $0-35$ months before survey. <br> * Either amenorrhoeic or abstaining at the time of the survey |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.6 presents the mean number of months of breastfeeding, amenorthoea, abstinence and insusceptibility by background characteristics of the mother. These estimates were calculated using the "prevalence/incidence" method borrowed from epidemiology: the total number of women breastfeeding (or amenorthoeic, abstaining or insusceptible) is divided by the average number of births per month in the 36 months before the survey.

The average duration of breastfeeding is 19 months, which is longer than in several other subSaharan countries: Liberia ( 17 months) and Senegal ( 18 months). Ugandan women under age 30 breastfeed their children for slightly shorter durations than women aged 30 and over. Differentials by region show that West Nile women breastfeed for longer durations on average ( 26 months), while women in Kampala have the shortest average duration of breastfeeding ( 15 months). Table 2.6 also indicates that women with higher education breastfeed their children for shorter durations on average ( 14 months), probably due to their greater participation in the labour force which necessitates staying away from their children for long periods of time.

| Table 2.6 Mean number of months of breastfeeding, postpartum amenorrhoea, postpartum abstinence, and postpartum insusceptibility, by background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background Characteristic | Breastfeeding | Amenorrhoelc | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abstain- } \\ \text { ing } \end{gathered}$ | Insuscept1ble* | No. of Births |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<30$ | 18.3 | 11.7 | 3.9 | 12.7 | 2153 |
| $30+$ | 19.2 | 14.7 | 4.7 | 15.8 | 1063 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 15.3 | 9.4 | 5.9 | 11.5 | 316 |
| Rural | 19.0 | 13.1 | 4.0 | 14.0 | 2900 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 25.7 | 20.0 | 10.7 | 22.0 | 177 |
| East. | 18.6 | 13.0 | 4.6 | 13.7 | 879 |
| Central | 16.7 | 11.1 | 3.6 | 12.1 | 812 |
| West | 17.9 | 11.9 | 2.6 | 12.5 | 209 |
| South West | 19.8 | 13.3 | 2.9 | 14.3 | 964 |
| Kampala | 14.9 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 11.4 | 174 |
| Luwero Triangle | 16.4 | 10.9 | 3.6 | 11.8 | 340 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 19.8 | 14.8 | 4.5 | 15.7 | 1308 |
| Some primary | 18.2 | 11.8 | 3.5 | 12.8 | 1338 |
| Primary completed | 17.8 | 11.1 | 3.5 | 11.9 | 298 |
| Middle | 16.4 | 10.6 | 7.5 | 13.0 | 195 |
| Higher | 14.0 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 5.9 | 77 |
| Total | 18.6 | 12.7 | 4.1 | 13.7 | 3216 |
| Note: Includes births $1-36$ months before survey. Estimates are based on current status method (see text). <br> *' Either amenorrhoeic or abstaining at the time of the survey |  |  |  |  |  |

These findings imply a trend towards a shorter duration of breastfeeding. This can have adverse effects on the health of children since breast milk provides protection against certain illnesses. Shorter durations of breastfeeding also result in shorter periods of amenorthoea, which may lead to higher fertility, if not compensated for with greater contraception. Most women know that breastfeeding tends to suppress the return of menstruation following a birth, thereby lengthening the period of amenorthoea. Women in Uganda are now increasingly aware of the benefits of breastfeeding, which will hopefully result in a trend towards prolonged breastfeeding.

Table 2.6 indicates that the mean duration of postpartum amenorrhoea is 13 months. Postpartum amenorrhoea is longer for rural women ( 13 months) than for urban women ( 9 months). The mean duration of postpartum sexual abstinence is 4 months and is higher in urban areas ( 6 percent) than rural areas ( 4 months). It is also substantially longer for women in West Nile than for women in other regions.

## CHAPTER 3 FERTILITY

### 3.1 Current Fertility Levels and Trends

In the past, fertility indices in Uganda have been almost entirely derived from population censuses using indirect methods. This is because there have not been any nationwide demographic surveys. Furthermore, Uganda did not participate in the World Fertility Survey. The first systematic census was conducted in 1948 with others in 1959, 1969, and 1980; reasonable fertility estimates are available only for these census years. The Uganda Demographic and Health Survey (UDHS) is the first national survey with the capacity to generate rural/urban, national, and regional indices.

## Current Fertility

The total fertility rate (TFR) is defined as the number of births a woman would have if she survived through the reproductive period of 15-49 years and if she were subjected to the age-specific fertility rates which women are currently experiencing. The TFR is a measure of current fertility.

Table 3.1 and Figure 3.1 show TFRs for the periods 1985-88, 1982-84 and for the five-year period prior to the survey (approximately 1984-88). Also shown is the average number of children ever born to women 40-49, who have generally


Table 3.1 Total fertility rates (TFRs) for calendar year periods and for five years preceding the survey, and mean number of children ever born CEB) to women 40-49 years of age, by background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89

[^1] completed their childbearing. The data indicate high levels of fertility in Uganda (an average of 7.4 births per woman) with no indication of a recent decline. Fertility in urban areas is lower than in rural areas. This is true for all the periods under observation, as well as for older women aged 40-49 years. Urban women tend to be more educated, more likely to be engaged in wage employment, and more likely to have access to family planning services.


When the TFRs are examined on a regional basis, it is seen that Kampala has the lowest fertility. It should be kept in mind that Kampala is the capital city of Uganda and has the typical characteristics of urban areas. The South West and the West regions on the average stand out with fertility higher than the rest of the country. Fertility in the South West, particularly Ankole (i.e., Mbarara and Bushenyi Districts) has always been high and was the highest in the country according to the 1969 census. In the remaining regions, the TFRs are lower. Fertility generally declines with increasing education and women with higher education have much lower fertility than the rest of the women.

## Fertility Trends

Trends in fertility can be observed by comparing the total fertility rate for the period 1985 88 with the TFR for the period 1982-84, and the mean number of children ever born to women aged 40-49. It should be noted that the fertility of women aged $40-49$ refers to a specific cohort of women and to a reproductive experience that spans the past 25 to 30 years.

Overall, the TFR for the various periods has remained about the same, that is, just above 7 children per woman. In urban areas, there seems to be a sure decline in fertility from 6.9 for women aged 40-49 to the current level of 5.7; while in rural areas, the TFR shows no change. In the regions, the differences between various periods are so small that one can conclude that the TFR has remained stable, with only a slight indication of decline. Kampala, however, shows a sharp decline from 7.8 (completed fertility of women aged $40-49$ years) to 5.9 , the TFR for the period 1985-88. The trend by education shows that fertility has declined during the eighties among women with primary education and more, although the data on completed fertility among women 40-49 show a decline only for women with middle education. The data should be viewed with caution since the number of women is small in some categories.

In the past, a sharp increase in fertility was observed between census years 1959 and 1969, as indicated in Table 3.2, and it appears that the TFR rose slightly from 1969 to the present.

Another indicator of current fertility is the percentage of women who are pregnant, which is shown in Table 3.3 by age of woman. Overall, 13 percent of women reported themselves pregnant at the time of the survey, which may be a low estimate, since many women at early stages of pregnancy may not know that they are pregnant. Eleven percent of teenagers and 20 percent of women aged 20-24 were pregnant, showing the extreme youthfulness of childbearing in Uganda.

| Table 3.2 Crude birth rates and total fertility rates from various censuses, Uganda |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year of Estimate |  |  |  |
| Rate | 1948 | 1959 | 1969 | 1985-88* |
| Crude birth rate | 42 | 44 | 50 | - |
| Total fertility rate | 5.9 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 7.4 |
| * UDHS, based on women 15-49 |  |  |  |  | Such early childbearing has serious implications for both maternal and child health.

Table 3.4 presents age-specific fertility rates for different five-year periods preceding the survey. Since women 50 years of age and over were not included in the survey, fertility rates cannot be calculated for the older age groups back into time. The data indicate that fertility is highest among women aged $20-24$, and only slightly lower for women aged 25-29. For the last three five-year periods, the figures show a steady decrease in fertility for every age group. Although data from birth histories are often subject to error in reporting both the number and timing of births, which can lead to misrepresentation of trends in fertility, the data in Table 3.4 appear to indicate that fertility in Uganda is declining, which supports the figures presented above for changes observed in urban areas.

### 3.2 Children Ever Born

| Table 3.3 | Percent of all women who are currently pregnant by age, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Percent <br> Pregnant | No. of Women |
| 15-19 | 10.8 | 1157 |
| 20-24 | 19.5 | 985 |
| 25-29 | 16.8 | 859 |
| 30-34 | 14.6 | 620 |
| 35-39 | 9.7 | 459 |
| 40-44 | 4.6 | 345 |
| 45-49 | 0.5 | 304 |
| Total | 13.0 | 4730 |

Information on children ever bom describes the childbirth history of a cohort of women from the time they started childbearing up to the present. This lifetime or cumulated fertility is important for understanding current fertility in areas where statistics on current fertility are unreliable. The percent distribution of all women and currently married women by the number of children ever born is presented in Table 3.5. At younger ages, the numbers are different for all women and for currently married women; however, for the older age groups, the distributions are almost the same, indicating that since most women marry by age 25 , the categories of "all women" and "currently married women" are almost identical after the age 25.

Fourteen percent of all women have 8 or more children, that is, above the observed TFR of around 7 children. The percentage of all women who had 8 or more children in 1969 was 15 (Republic of Uganda, 1976), showing that there has been hardly any change since 1969. These percentages are sizeable and indicate either a widespread preference for large families or considerable nonuse of contraception, or both.

## Table 3.4 Age-period fertility rate by age of woman at birth of child, Uganda, 1988/89

| Age at Birth | Number of Years Preceding Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 |
| 15-19 | 187 | 213 | 222 | 232 | 236 | 226 | (185) |
| 20-24 | 325 | 331 | 338 | 333 | 352 | (308) | - |
| 25-29 | 319 | 326 | 335 | 322 | (337) | - | - |
| 30-34 | 273 | 288 | 294 | (300) | - | - | - |
| 35-39 | 224 | 213 | (243) | - | - | - | - |
| 40-44 | 96 | (138) | - | - | - | - | - |
| 45-49 | (36) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cumulative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-29 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.4 | - | - | - |

Note: Figures in parentheses are partially truncated rates.

Table 3.5 Percent distribution of all women and currently married women by number of children ever born (CEB), according to age, Uganda, 1988/89

| Number of Children Ever Born |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $10+$ | Total | Wtd. No. of Women | Mean No. Born |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

All Women

| $15-19$ | 69.7 | 22.3 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1157 | 0.4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20-24$ | 16.7 | 24.6 | 28.3 | 20.3 | 7.4 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 985 | 1.9 |
| $25-29$ | 6.2 | 7.4 | 12.9 | 19.0 | 21.4 | 17.4 | 9.5 | 4.7 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 859 | 3.6 |
| $30-34$ | 4.6 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 9.7 | 13.5 | 16.4 | 19.8 | 9.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 620 | 5.0 |
| $35-39$ | 2.1 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 9.0 | 10.9 | 18.1 | 17.4 | 11.7 | 14.7 | 100.0 | 459 | 6.8 |
| $40-44$ | 5.8 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 10.2 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 14.8 | 15.9 | 26.9 | 100.0 | 345 | 7.2 |
| $45-49$ | 5.3 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 8.0 | 5.4 | 8.5 | 11.7 | 14.7 | 33.8 | 100.0 | 304 | 7.8 |
| Total | 23.2 | 13.8 | 11.5 | 9.9 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 4730 | 3.5 |

Currently Married Women

| $15-19$ | 38.7 | 42.0 | 16.5 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 422 | 0.8 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20-24$ | 7.6 | 24.1 | 31.3 | 24.5 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 710 | 2.1 |  |
| $25-29$ | 3.7 | 6.1 | 12.5 | 19.2 | 23.3 | 18.7 | 10.5 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 705 | 3.8 |  |
| $30-34$ | 3.3 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 10.0 | 13.4 | 16.5 | 20.9 | 10.1 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 506 | 5.3 |  |
| $35-39$ | 1.7 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 7.7 | 10.8 | 16.7 | 17.4 | 14.3 | 26.5 | 100.0 | 363 | 7.0 |  |
| $40-44$ | 5.1 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 10.0 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 14.8 | 14.9 | 31.4 | 100.0 | 252 | 7.6 |  |
| $45-49$ | 4.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 7.5 | 10.2 | 16.0 | 38.0 | 100.0 | 223 | 8.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 9.1 | 14.1 | 13.5 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 9.1 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 3180 | 4.2 |  |

Women who have almost completed their childbearing (40-44 and 45-49), have had well over 7 births on average ( 7.2 and 7.8 , respectively). For currently married women, the mean number of children ever born is 7.6 and 8.1 , for women aged $40-44$ and $45-49$ respectively. It is interesting to note that 60 percent of all women aged $45-49$ gave birth to 8 or more children and 34 percent gave birth to ten or more children. It is clear that the cohorts which have recently completed childbearing had extremely high fertility.

Since contraceptive use in Uganda is low and marriage is almost universal, infecundity is probably the major reason that some older women have no children. it can be seen that 5-6 percent of all women aged 40-44 and 45-49 are childless. The figure is slightly lower (4-5 percent) for married women. Furthermore, 3-5 percent of older women have had only one birth. This is not likely to be a result of contraceptive use, but rather sub-fecundity (sterility).

Cumulative fertility as measured by children ever born to ever-married women, according to the duration of marriage and age at first marriage is presented in Table 3.6. In the absence of deliberate fertility control, the number of children a woman bears will depend largely on the age at which she marries (assuming that she does not experience premarital childbearing) and the duration of her marriage, and women married earlier will give birth to more children than women married later. This relationship is expected because women who marry earlier are younger and exposed to the risk of conception for a longer period of time than women married later.

| Table 3.6 Mean number of children ever born to ever-married women, by age at first marriage and years since first marriage, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ago al First Marriage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years <br> Since First <br> Marriage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <1 3 | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 22-24 | $25+$ | Total |
| 0-4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| 5-9 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.8 | (5.5) | 2.9 |
| 10-14 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.7 |
| 15-19 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.5 | (6.0) | (5.2) | 5.9 |
| 20-24 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 6.3 | (7.1) | 7.4 |
| 25-29 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 8.0 | (4.8) | - | 7.4 |
| $30+$ | 8.2 | 7.8 | (8.3) | - | - | - | 8.0 |
| Total | 5.0 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| Note: Numbers in parentheses are based on fewer than 20 unweighted cases. <br> - Not available due to age truncation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Overall, women married at an early age produce more children than women married later, however, this pattern is primarily due to the fact that women who married earlier were more likely to be older when the survey occurred, and thus had more children. When the figures are examined for individual duration groups, the relationship is inconsistent. For example, for marriages lasting $0-4$ years, the average number of children rises with age at marriage. It appears that women married in their teens space births, while women married at age 20 or over produce children more frequently. For other marriage duration groups, the pattern fluctuates, sometimes rising with age at marriage and sometimes falling.

### 3.3 Age at First Birth

The age at which women start childbearing is an important demographic indicator. Although there are births which occur outside marital unions in Uganda, it is still true that the majority of births occur among married couples. So an increase in the age at marriage will in most cases imply an increase in the age at first birth, especially in the absence of premarital childbearing and wide use of contraceptives. Table 3.7 shows the percent distribution of women by age at first birth according to current age.

| Table 3.7 Percent distribution of women by age at first birth, according to current age, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Age at First Birth |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted Number of Women | Median <br> Age at First <br> Birth* |
| Current | No <br> Births | <15 | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-21 | 22-24 | $25+$ | Total |  |  |
| 15-19 | 69.7 | 3.5 | 22.2 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1157 | - |
| 20-24 | 16.7 | 5.5 | 36.2 | 25.8 | 12.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 985 | 18.6 |
| 25-29 | 6.2 | 9.0 | 36.8 | 22.0 | 13.4 | 9.6 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 859 | 18.3 |
| 30-34 | 4.6 | 10.0 | 40.1 | 21.4 | 12.9 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 620 | 18.0 |
| 35-39 | 2.1 | 10.3 | 39.2 | 23.1 | 10.9 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 100.0 | 459 | 18.0 |
| 40-44 | 5.8 | 14.8 | 31.8 | 22.1 | 13.2 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 345 | 18.3 |
| 45-49 | 5.3 | 10.7 | 31.8 | 21.9 | 15.5 | 6.4 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 304 | 18.6 |
| Total | 23.2 | 7.7 | 33.1 | 18.6 | 9.8 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 4730 | - |
| * Defined as the exact age by which 50 percent of women have had a birth. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The median age at first birth for the various age groups is almost uniform. For example, the median age at first birth of women aged $45-49$ is 18.6 , which is identical to the median age for women aged 20-24.

Table 3.7 shows that 8 percent of women had a birth before 15 years of age. This percentage is notable and is due to the early age at which women become sexually active and contributes to the medico-social problems related to teenage pregnancy. The percentage of women who gave birth before age 15 is lower for younger women, that is, it rises from about 6 percent for the women aged $20-24$ to over 15 percent for women aged $40-44$. On the other hand, only 3 percent of all women deliver their first birth at age 25 and older. This means that having a child before the age of 25 is almost universal among Ugandan women.

Table 3.8 presents the median age at first birth for women aged $20-49$ by background characteristics of women. Urban women and women in West Nile, South West, and Kampala regions have a somewhat higher median age at first birth than rural women and women in other regions. Age at first birth definitely increases with education, from 18 for women with no education or some primary education to 24 among women with higher education.

The conclusion drawn from the results of the UDHS is that there has been a small increase in overall fertility during the last twenty years, with a possible slight decline due to the effects of modemisation (education) observed in the urban areas (mainly Kampala).

| BackgroundCharacteristic | Current Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | Total |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.8 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.8 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 19.0 |
| Rural | 18.6 | 18.5 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 18.3 | 18.8 | 18.4 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 18.8 | 18.0 | 17.9 | (18.8) | (21.0) | (19.7) | 18.9 |
| East | 18.0 | 17.8 | 17.5 | 17.2 | 18.3 | 18.0 | 17.8 |
| Central | 18.2 | 18.2 | 17.8 | 17.8 | 17.5 | 18.6 | 18.0 |
| West | 18.6 | 18.9 | 17.6 | (15.9) | (16.5) | (20.0) | 18.0 |
| South West | 19.6 | 19.1 | 19.0 | 19.1 | 19.3 | 19.4 | 19.3 |
| Kampala | 19.7 | 18.2 | 18.6 | 18.7 | 18.0 | (17.3) | 18.8 |
| Luwero Triangle | 18.2 | 18.2 | 18.1 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 18.5 | 18.0 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 18.3 | 18.5 | 17.8 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 18.8 | 18.3 |
| Some primary | 18.4 | 18.0 | 18.1 | 17.7 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 18.1 |
| Primary completed | 19.2 | 18.8 | 17.8 | 19.2 | (19.0) | (22.5) | 18.9 |
| Middle | 20.6 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 19.1 | (19.0) | (20.5) | 19.7 |
| Higher | - | 23.7 | 21.5 | (22.2) | (25.7) | (25.5) | 23.6 |
| Total | 18.8 | 18.5 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.8 | 18.5 |

Note: Median age is the exact age by which 50 percent of women have had a birth.
Note: Numbers in parentheses are based on fewer than 20 unweighted cases.

## CHAPTER 4 CONTRACEPTIVE KNOWLEDGE AND USE

### 4.1 Contraceptive Knowledge

Collection of data about knowledge and use of contraceptive methods was a major objective of the UDHS. Furthermore, data about the places where contraceptive methods could be obtained and the type of family planning services offered to clients provided useful information regarding family planning coverage.

To determine knowledge of contraception, respondents were first asked to list ways or methods that a couple could use to delay or avoid a pregnancy. If a respondent did not spontaneously mention a particular method, the method was then described by the interviewer and the respondent was asked if she recognised the method. If the answer was positive, the respondent was asked whether she had ever used the method, the place where she would go to obtain the method if she wanted to use it and the main problem, if any, with using the method. Descriptions of seven modern methods (the pill, IUD, injection, condom, female sterilisation, male sterilisation, and vaginal methods--diaphragm, foam and jelly) and two traditional methods (periodic abstinence (rhythm) and withdrawal) were included in the questionnaire. Traditional methods mentioned by the respondent, such as herbs and tying strings around the waist were recorded as a tenth category "any other method".

Table 4.1 and Figure 4.1 indicate that 82 percent of all women interviewed know of at least one contraceptive method ( 84 percent of married women), while only 75 percent of all women know where to get a method of contraception ( 77 percent of the married women). More than 3 out of 4 women know of at least one modern method ( 77 percent of all women and 78 percent of married women).

The most well-known modern method is the pill which is known by 66 percent of all women and 68 percent of married women. This is not surprising, since it is the most widely available contraceptive and probably the easiest to use. Female sterilisation is the next most well-known method ( 59 percent of all women and 63 percent of married women). It is surprising that sources for female sterilisation are more widely known than sources for the pill. Sixty percent of married women know where they can be sterilised, whereas 54 percent know where to get the pill.

Male sterilisation is the least known method with only 9 percent of married women reporting awareness of this method. Vaginal methods (diaphragm/foam/jelly) are also largely unknown, with 12 percent of married women knowing one of these methods. Most women who know of these methods also know a source for obtaining them.

Among the traditional methods, 43 percent of all women and 45 percent of married women know of periodic abstinence. The percentages for withdrawal are about half of periodic abstinence. Surprisingly, 31 percent and 33 percent of all women and married women, respectively, report knowledge of traditional methods other than periodic abstinence and withdrawal.

Table 4.2 shows the percentage of currently married women who know at least one modern method and a place to obtain it by age, type of residence (rural or urban), region, and education. Age differentials in knowledge are not great, although knowledge tends to increase until age 30, after which it levels off, and drops slightly for the oldest women. This is probably due to the fact that women marry in their teens and early 20s. As more women get married, demand for/and knowledge of contraceptive methods rises to meet their needs. Also, the more women attend MCH clinics with young children or during pregnancy, the more likely it is they will be told about these methods.

| Table 4.1 Percentage of all women and currently married women knowing any contraceptive method and knowing a source (For Information or Services), by specific method, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Knows Method |  | Knows Source |  |
| Method | AW | CMW | AN | CMW |
| Any method | 81.9 | 84.0 | 74.5 | 76.7 |
| Any modern method | 76.5 | 77.9 | 70.7 | 72.3 |
| Pill | 66.4 | 67.7 | 53.6 | 54.4 |
| IUD | 20.2 | 21.1 | 15.9 | 16.3 |
| Injection | 39.7 | 40.8 | 34.9 | 35.5 |
| Diaphragm/Foam/Jelly | 11.3 | 11.6 | 8.8 | 8.7 |
| Condom | 32.5 | 31.1 | 21.9 | 20.9 |
| Female sterilization | 59.1 | 62.6 | 56.4 | 59.7 |
| Male sterilization | 8.2 | 8.8 | 7.5 | 8.2 |
| Any traditional method | 58.6 | 62.4 | 39.1 | 41.2 |
| Periodic abstinence | 42.6 | 45.0 | 39.1 | 41.2 |
| Withdrawal | 20.5 | 22.0 |  |  |
| Other | 31.1 | 33.4 |  |  |
| AW = All women (4730); CMH = Currently married women (3180) |  |  |  |  |

Figure 4.1
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| Table 4.2 Percentage of currently married women knowing at least one modern method and knowing a source for a modern method, by background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/B9 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background Characteristic | Knows <br> Modern <br> Method | Knows Source | Wtd. No. of Women |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 74.4 | 69.5 | 422 |
| 20-24 | 78.2 | 72.9 | 710 |
| 25-29 | 83.2 | 77.7 | 705 |
| 30-34 | 76.9 | 69.8 | 506 |
| 35-39 | 79.3 | 74.2 | 363 |
| 40-44 | 76.1 | 70.3 | 252 |
| 45-49 | 69.1 | 61.8 | 223 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 94.2 | 90.3 | 290 |
| Rural | 76.3 | 70.4 | 2890 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| West N1le | 17.8 | 11.9 | 194 |
| East | 84.8 | 75.4 | 979 |
| Central $/$ | 78.7 | 74.1 | 777 |
| West | 61.0 | 56. B | 194 |
| South West | 83.3 | 79.9 | 886 |
| Kampala | 96.3 | 93.3 | 151 |
| Luwero Triangle | 89.7 | 84.9 | 313 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | 67.1 | 58.7 | 1409 |
| Some primary | 83.2 | 79.2 | 1294 |
| Primary comp. | 94.1 | 91.5 | 241 |
| Middle | 95.3 | 93.1 | 172 |
| Higher | 99.1 | 99.1 | 64 |
| Total | 77.9 | 72.2 | 3180 |

As expected, the percentage of married women who know at least one modem method is higher among urban women ( 94 percent) than rural women ( 76 percent). Almost all women who know a modem method also know of a place to obtain it ( 90 percent for urban women and 70 percent for rural women). Given that most family planning clinics are in urban areas, the difference in knowledge between urban and rural women is not surprising.

Women in West Nile seem to have the least knowledge about modem methods and their sources, with only 18 percent knowing a modern method and 12 percent knowing a source. There are two possible explanations for this. Foremost, the sample in West Nile was small and more prone to erratic results. Secondly, there are concentrations of Catholics and Moslems in West Nile who generally do not use contraceptives. In contrast, the figures for Kampala, South West and East regions are high.

The education of women is an important determinant of knowledge of family planning methods. Table 4.2 shows that the percentage of women knowing a method and its source increases as educational level increases. Thus, 99 percent of women with higher education know at least one family planning method and its source, compared with 67 percent and 59 percent of women with no education.

In an effort to identify obstacles to the wider use of family planning methods, the UDHS interviewers asked respondents who reported knowing about a method, what they thought was the main problem, if any, with using the method. As shown in Table 4.3, between 50 and 70 percent of women answered either "don't know", or "no problem". A substantial proportion of women cited health-related problems as the main reason associated with using modem methods. The proportion of women giving this reason was 45 percent for the pill, 33 percent for the IUD, and 32 percent for injection. The only other commonly cited reason was "method permanent" which was given by 27 percent of women who know female sterilisation, 36 percent of women who know male sterilisation, and oddly, by 9 percent of women who know injection. Lack of effectiveness was cited as a problem for periodic abstinence and withdrawal more frequently than it was for modem methods. For withdrawal, women also gave inconvenience of the method and disapproval of the husband or partner as problems with using the method.
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Table 4.3 percent distribution of women who have ever heard of a contraceptive method by main } \\ & \text { problem perceived in using the method, according to specific method, Uganda, } 1988 / \theta 9\end{aligned}$


Knowledge of a source for modem family planning methods is a precondition for their use. However, the Ievel of use may be quite low if methods are not easily accessible. Table 4.4 shows the type of supply source that women say they would use to obtain specific methods if they wanted to use them. For all methods except periodic abstinence, government hospitals are by far the most frequently named potential source. For the pill, IUD, injection, and diaphragm, foam and jelly, the next most frequently mentioned source is the Family Planning Association of Uganda (FPAU) clinic, followed by the "don't know" responses. For the condom, 15 percent cited FPAU, while twice as many did not know where to obtain condoms. When asked where they could obtain advice about periodic abstinence, most women cited the church ( 54 percent); eleven percent responded "nowhere."

| Table 4.4 Percent distribution of women knowing a contraceptive method by supply source they sald they would use, according to specific method, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supply Source that Would be Used | Contraceptive Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Diaphragm/ |  |  | Female <br> Sterili- <br> sation | Male Periodic Sterili- Abstisation nence |  |
|  | Pi11 | IUD | Injec- |  | Con- |  |  |  |
| Government hospital | 47.1 | 48.4 | 59.5 | 37.4 | 33.9 | 90.1 | 81.1 | 7.8 |
| Govt. health center | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| FPAU* clinic | 21.8 | 24.8 | 22.6 | 29.3 | 15.1 | 1.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 |
| Mobile clinic | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Field worker | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Private doctor | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| Private hosp., clinic | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 0.5 |
| Pharmacy/shop | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Church | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 54.1 |
| Friends, relatives | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Other | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6.9 |
| Nowhere | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 |
| Don't know | 19.2 | 20.5 | 11.9 | 22.3 | 32.5 | 4.2 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| Missing | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 3141 | 956 | 1878 | 535 | 1536 | 2796 | 390 | 2015 |
| * Family Planning Assoctation of Uganda |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2 Contraceptive Use

For each family planning method that a respondent said she had heard of, she was also asked if she had ever used it. As shown in Table 4.5 and Figure 4.1, 21 percent of all women and 22 percent of currently married women have used a method at some point in their lives. Thirteen percent of all women report having used periodic abstinence, 6 percent have used the pill, 4 percent have used withdrawal, and 1 percent have used injection. Fewer than one percent of women have used any of the other methods, including condoms; this is of particular concern, given the emphasis placed on use of condoms to prevent AIDS. Women in their late 20s and 30s are somewhat more likely to have used a method of family planning than either younger or older women, although the differences are not great, especially among currently married women.

On the whole, current use of contraception is still very low. Only 6 percent of all women and 5 percent of currently married women reported using a contraceptive method at the time of the interview, and only half of these were using a modern method. Periodic abstinence is the most popular method, followed by the pill and female sterilisation. The IUD, injection and condom account for a very small percentage of users. The percentage of currently married women using any method increases with age except in the oldest age group, 45-49, where it declines slightly. The percentage rises from 2 in the 15-19 age group to 8 in the $40-44$ and $45-49$ age groups. Interestingly, family planning use is slightly higher among all women than among currently married women, which implies that single women use methods to avoid pregnancies outside marriage.

With regard to differentials in current use by background characteristics, Table 4.7 and Figure 4.2 show that contraceptive use is five times higher among urban married women ( 18 percent) than rural

Table 4.5 Percentage of all women and currently married women who have ever used a contraceptive mathod, by specific method and age, Uganda, 1988/89

| Ange | Contracept Ive Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any Method | Any Modern Method | P111 | IUD | Injection | Dlaph. <br> Foam <br> Jelly | Condom | Female Ster1~ lisat. | Any <br> Trad'l <br> Method | Peri- <br> odic <br> Abati- <br> nence | With-drawal | Other | Weighted <br> Number of Women |
| All Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.3 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 9.1 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1157 |
| 20-24 | 22.9 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 14.9 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 985 |
| 25-29 | 25.7 | 9.6 | 8.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 20.7 | 16.3 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 859 |
| 30-34 | 23.9 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 18.5 | 14.8 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 620 |
| 35-39 | 25.0 | 10.1 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 19.0 | 14.9 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 459 |
| 40-44 | 20.9 | 10.5 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 15.0 | 11.2 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 345 |
| 45-49 | 18.1 | 4.6 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 15.6 | 10.2 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 304 |
| Total | 20.9 | 7.4 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 16.5 | 13.1 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 4730 |
| Currently Mexturimmen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 19.8 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 12.0 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 422 |
| 20-24 | 18.6 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 12.9 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 710 |
| 25-29 | 24.3 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 20.2 | 16.4 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 705 |
| 30-34 | 22.1 | 6.9 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 18.0 | 14.2 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 506 |
| 35-39 | 24.1 | 9.9 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 18.4 | 15.3 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 363 |
| 40-44 | 21.5 | 11.0 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 15.5 | 11.3 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 252 |
| 45-49 | 18.9 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 15.7 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 223 |
| Total | 21.5 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 17.4 | 13.5 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 3180 |

Figure 4.2
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married women (4 percent). Urban users are also much more likely to be using a modern method than rural users. This urban emphasis is reflected in the rates by region, where Kampala leads with 25 percent of married women using contraception. Use is also higher than average in West region (7 percent) and is lowest in West Nile, where less than one percent of married women are using a method.

Table 4.7 and Figure 4.3 also show very large differentials in contraceptive use according to level of education. Thirty-four percent of women with higher education currently use a contraceptive method compared with 2 percent of women with no education. Thus, even without other motivating factors such as a vigorous family planning education campaign or an increase in standards of development, contraceptive use might be expected to increase in the future simply as a result of trends toward urbanisation and increasing educational attainment of women.

Family planning use also increases with the number of living children a woman has. The percentage of currently married women using any method ranges from 1 percent among women with no children to 7 percent among women with 4 or more children. This is an indication of decreasing desire for more children as women realise that it is not necessary to have additional children when those already bom can survive.

Table 4.6 Percent distribution of all women and currently married women, by contraceptive method currently being used, according to age, Uganda, 1988/89

| Age | Contraceptive Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any <br> Method | Any <br> Modern <br> Method | P111 | IUD | Injection | Condom | Female <br> Steri- <br> lisa- <br> tion | Any <br> Trad' 1 <br> Method | Peri- <br> odic <br> Absti- <br> nence | With-drawal | Other | Not <br> Cur- <br> rently <br> Using | Total | Welghted Number of Women |
| All Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 97.4 | 100.0 | 1157 |
| 20-24 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 985 |
| 25-29 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 94.3 | 100.0 | 859 |
| 30-34 | 6.7 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 620 |
| 35-39 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 92.1 | 100.0 | 459 |
| 40-44 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 91.4 | 100.0 | 345 |
| 45-49 | 7.1 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 92.9 | 100.0 | 304 |
| Total | 5.5 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 94.5 | 100.0 | 4730 |
| currently Married 4 Lerm Togethen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.3 | 100.0 | 422 |
| 20-24 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 97.2 | 100.0 | 710 |
| 25-29 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 95.7 | 100.0 | 705 |
| 30-34 | 5.9 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 94.1 | 100.0 | 506 |
| 35-39 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 91.9 | 100.0 | 363 |
| 40-44 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 91.8 | 100.0 | 252 |
| 45-49 | 7.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 92.1 | 100.0 | 223 |
| Total | 4.9 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 95.1 | 100.0 | 3180 |

Table 4.7 Percent distribution of currently married women by contraceptive method currently being used, according to background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89

| Background Characteristic | Any <br> Me- <br> thod | Any <br> Modern <br> Method | P111 | Contraceptive Method Currently Being Used |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  $T$ <br> Not 0 <br> Cur- $T$ <br> rently $A$ <br> using $L$ | Weighted <br> Number of Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | IUD | In jection | Condom | Female <br> Steri- <br> lisa- <br> tion | Any <br> Trad. meth. | Peri- <br> odic <br> Abstinence | With-drawal | Oth. |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.0 | 12.2 | 7.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 82.0100 .0 | 290 |
| Rural | 3.6 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 96.4100 .0 | 2890 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.2100 .0 | 194 |
| East | 3.5 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 96.5100 .0 | 979 |
| Central | 4.7 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 95.3100 .0 | 777 |
| West | 6.8 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 93.2100 .0 | 194 |
| South West | 3.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 96.4100 .0 | 886 |
| Kampala | 24.6 | 17.9 | 10.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 75.4100 .0 | 151 |
| Luwero Tri. | 5.0 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 95.0100 .0 | 313 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No educa. | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.60. | 0.2 | 0.2 | 98.1100 .0 | 1409 |
| Some prim. | 4.8 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 95.2100 .0 | 1294 |
| Prim. comp. | 9.1 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 90.9100 .0 | 241 |
| Middle | 12.7 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 87.3100 .0 | 172 |
| Higher | 34.1 | 22.7 | 15.8 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 11.4 | 7.9 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 65.9100 .0 | 64 |



The number of children at the time family planning is first used is an indicator of the acceptance of family planning for spacing purposes (Table 4.8). The data indicate a strong shift in the timing of first contraceptive use. Only 3 percent of ever-married women 45-49 first used contraception when they had no children, compared to 14 percent of women 15-19.

### 4.3 Knowledge of the Fertile Period

Table 4.9 shows the percent distribution of all women and of women who have ever used periodic abstinence by knowledge of the fertile period during the ovulatory cycle. The data indicate that knowledge of the reproductive cycle is very limited, with one-third of all women answering "don't know", and only 10 percent giving the "correct" response ("in the middle of her cycle"). Women who have used periodic abstinence are more likely to know when they are most fertile, and only 13 percent said they did
not know. It should be noted that the response categories for this question are only one attempt at dividing the ovulatory cycle into distinct periods. It is possible that women who gave an answer of, say, "one week after her period" were coded in the category "just after her period has ended", instead of in the category "in the middle of the cycle". Thus, women may actually have a more accurate understanding of their fertility cycles than is reflected in the data.


Figure 4.3
Current Use of Family Planning by Education and Number of Living Children Currently Married Women 15-49



[^2]
### 4.4 Source for Methods

In the UDHS, women using modern methods of contraception were asked where they obtained their method the last time they received their supply. Table 4.10 and Figure 4.4 show that overall, 42 percent of users of modern methods rely on govemment hospitals and 33 percent rely on the Family Planning Association of Uganda (FPAU). Thirteen percent of users obtain their method from a private doctor, clinic or pharmacy.

Sources vary by the type of method used. For supply methods (pill, condom, injection), the FPAU provides 44 percent of users with supplies, while govemment hospitals provide 24 percent of users with supplies. Interestingly, FPAU is a more significant supplier to

| Percent distribution of all women and women who have ever used periodic abstinence by knowledge of the fertile period during the ovulatory cycle, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledge of the Fertile Period | All <br> Women | Ever <br> Users of Periodic Abstinence |
| During her menstrual period | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Right after her period has ended | 47.0 | 58.8 |
| In the middle of the cycle | 10.1 | 21.3 |
| Just before her period begins | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| At any time | 6.2 | 2.9 |
| Other | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| Don't know | 32.9 | 13.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 4730 | 621 | actual users than is reflected in responses regarding potential sources (Table 4.4). For clinic methods (IUD and male and female sterilisation), the FPAU services only 12 percent of users, while government hospitals provide for 78 percent. Ninety percent of female sterilisations are done in government hospitals and the remaining 10 percent in either private hospitals or clinics.


| Table 4.10 Percent distribution of current users of modern mathods by most recent source of supply or information, according to specific method, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of Supply | Total supply Methods | Pill | Total <br> Clinlc <br> Methods | Female sterilisation | Total Users |
| Government hospital | 24.4 | 25.0 | 78.3 | 90.3 | 41.9 |
| Government health center | 7.2 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 |
| FPAU* clinic | 44.1 | 42.2 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 33.2 |
| Mobile ciinic | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Field morker | 2.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Private doctor | 5.9 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 |
| Private hospital, clinic | 4.7 | 5.2 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 6.3 |
| Pharmacy | 4.2 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| Church | 3.9 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| Friends, relatives | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Other | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Missing | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of users | 85 | 65 | 41 | 34 | 126 |
| Note: Totals include 1 condom user, 19 injection users (both are supply methods), and 7 IUD users (clinic method). <br> * Family Planning Association of Uganda |  |  |  |  |  |

# Figure 4.4 Source of Family Planning Supply Current Users of Modern Methods 



- Includes private doctors and hospitals

Uganda DHS 1988/89

### 4.5 Attitude Toward Pregnancy and Reasons for Nonuse

Nonpregnant women who are sexually active and not using contraception are exposed to the risk of pregnancy. These women were asked about their attitude toward becoming pregnant in the next few weeks. Table 4.11 shows that 44 percent of women reported that they would be happy if they became pregnant in the following few weeks, 51 percent said that they would be unhappy if they became pregnant, and 5 percent said it would not matter. The proportion who would be unhappy increased with the number of living children a woman already had, from 28 percent among women with no children, to 67 percent among those with 4 or more children.

Table 4.11 Percent distribution of nonpregnant women who are sexually active and who are not using any contraceptive method by attitude toward becoming pregnant in the next few weeks, according to number of living children, Uganda, 198日/89

| Number of Living Children | Attitude Toward Becoming Pregnant in Next Few Weeks |  |  |  | Wtd. <br> Number <br> of <br> Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Happy | Unhappy | Would <br> Not <br> Matter | Total |  |
| None | 68.1 | 27.9 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 556 |
| 1 | 57.4 | 37.6 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 507 |
| 2 | 46.9 | 49.3 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 447 |
| 3 | 41.7 | 54.5 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 376 |
| $4+$ | 27.4 | 67.2 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 1180 |
| Total | 44.3 | 51.0 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 3066 |

Women who would be unhappy if they became pregnant, were asked the main reason for not using any method. Table 4.12 shows that 33 percent report lack of knowledge of contraception as the main reason for nonuse, while 20 percent report that religion prohibits them from using contraception. Other reasons for nonuse include opposition to family planning either by the respondent, her husband, or others ( 9 percent), lack of accessibility ( 9 percent), inconvenience of the method ( 8 percent), and being postpartum, amenorthoeic or breastfeeding ( 6 percent). It is important to note that many of the reasons
given by nonusers of contraceptive methods can be addressed by a combination of improved accessibility to family planning services and a health education campaign.

| Table 4.12 Percent distribution of nonpregnant women who are sexually active and who are not using any contraceptive method, who would be unhappy if they became pregnant, by maln reason for nonuse, according to age, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age |  |  |
| Main <br> Reason <br> for Nonuse |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $<30$ | $30+$ | Total |
| Lack of knowledge | 37.9 | 27.5 | 33.4 |
| Opposed to F.P. | 6.3 | 3.1 | 4.9 |
| Husband disapproves | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Others disapprove | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Infrequent sex | 2.8 | 4.4 | 3.5 |
| Postpartum/breastfeeding | 6.2 | 4.7 | 5.6 |
| Menopausal/subfecund | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| Health concerns | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Access/availability | 8.5 | 9.2 | 8.8 |
| Costs too much | 0.8 | 2.6 | 1.6 |
| Fatalistic | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Religion | 23.7 | 14.4 | 19.6 |
| Inconvenient to use | 0.0 | 18.3 | 8.1 |
| Other | 4.6 | 6.0 | 5.2 |
| Don't know | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 870 | 693 | 1563 |

### 4.6 Intention to Use in the Future

The intention to use contraception in the future provides an indication of the potential demand for family planning and acts as an indicator of potential use among current nonusers. In the UDHS, women who were not using a contraceptive method at the time of the interview were asked if they intended to use a method to avoid pregnancy at any time in the future. Table 4.13 shows the percent distribution of currently married women who are not currently using any contraceptive method by their intention to use a method in future, according to living children.

Over 70 percent of these women do not intend to use contraception in the future, 21 percent intend to use, and 8 percent are unsure. The intention not to use contraception falls somewhat as the number of children increases, and the intention to use in the next 12 months increases with the number of living children.

Those women who said they intended to use a method of family planning at some time in the future were asked which method they preferred. The pill (Table 4.14) is the most frequently cited method ( 33 percent), followed by injection ( 22 percent) and periodic abstinence ( 11 percent). There are only minor differences in method preference according to whether the respondent intends to use in the next 12 months or later.

Table 4.13 Percent distribution of currently married women who are not currently using any contraceptive method, by intention to use in the future, according to number of living children, Uganda, 1988/89

| Intention <br> to Use <br> in the Future | Number of Living Children |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | None | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |  |
| Intend to use in next 12 months | 2.8 | 5.3 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 17.0 | 11.9 |
| Intend to use later | 5.2 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 6.9 |
| Intend to use, not sure when Unsure about whether will uge | 0.2 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 2.6 |
|  | 7.9 | 11.0 | 6.8 | 9.6 | 6.4 | 7.8 |
| Do not intend to use | 83.9 | 73.9 | 72.8 | 68.8 | 66.8 | 70.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 275 | 496 | 513 | 425 | 1316 | 3025 |

Note: Women who were pregnant at the time of the survey are included.

| Table 4.14 Percent distribution of currently married women who are not using a contraceptive method but who intend to use in the future, by preferred method, according to whether they intend to use in the next 12 months or later, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intends to Use: |  |  |  |
| Preferred Method | $\begin{gathered} \text { In Next } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | After 12 |  |
|  | Months | Months | Total |
| Pill | 34.7 | 30.5 | 33.1 |
| IUD | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| Injection | 23.0 | 19.9 | 21.9 |
| Diaphragm/Foam/Jelly | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| Condom | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Female sterilization | 7.7 | 9.3 | 8.3 |
| Male sterilization | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Periodic abstinence | 11.3 | 11.7 | 11.4 |
| Withdrawal | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Other | 8.3 | 6.6 | 7.7 |
| Don't know | 8.9 | 15.8 | 11.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 361 | 208 | 568 |

### 4.7 Attitude Toward Family Planning

Attitude toward family planning is an important indicator of future use of contraception. Information about attitudes toward family planning was obtained by asking respondents four questions: whether they accepted the idea of family planning information being (1) provided on the radio or in the newspaper, or (2) taught in school, (3) whether they themselves approved or disapproved of couples using a method to avoid pregnancy, and, if married, (4) whether they thought their husband approved or disapproved of couples using family planning.

Table 4.15 shows that 68 percent of all women find it acceptable to provide family planning information on the radio or in the newspaper and 66 percent find it acceptable to teach family planning in school. It is clear that acceptability of family planning information on the radio or in school is higher for urban women than rural and increases as the educational level increases. Among regions, women in Kampala and East region accept the idea of family planning most frequently, and women in West Nile accept it least. As noted earlier, the West Nile sample may be predominantly Moslem.

| Table 4.15 Percent distribution of all women by whether they feel it is acceptable to have family planning information presented on the radio, in the newspaper, or taught in school, by background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background Characteristic | Radio or Newspaper |  |  | Taught in School |  |  | No. of Women |
|  | Accept- <br> able | Not <br> Accept- <br> able | Don't <br> Know | Accept- <br> able | Not <br> Accept- <br> able | Don't Know |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 80.2 | 17.3 | 2.5 | 73.0 | 24.7 | 2.3 | 542 |
| Rural | 66.4 | 28.4 | 5.2 | 65.4 | 30.0 | 4.6 | 4188 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 32.9 | 50.9 | 16.1 | 30.4 | 55.3 | 14.3 | 265 |
| East | 78.7 | 16.7 | 4.7 | 78.1 | 16.7 | 5.1 | 1305 |
| Central | 62.6 | 34.1 | 3.3 | 58.5 | 38.0 | 3.5 | 2177 |
| West | 60.8 | 34.3 | 4.8 | 57.8 | 37.3 | 4.8 | 273 |
| South West | 67.5 | 27.6 | 4.9 | 69.1 | 28.2 | 2.7 | 1415 |
| Kampala | 82.5 | 15.2 | 2.3 | 71.9 | 26.0 | 2.1 | 296 |
| Luwero Triangle | 72.2 | 25.3 | 2.5 | 68.5 | 29.2 | 2.3 | 491 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 58.4 | 34.8 | 6.8 | 59.0 | 34.7 | 6.3 | 1788 |
| Some primary | 70.0 | 25.2 | 4.9 | 68.2 | 27.7 | 4.0 | 2048 |
| Primary complete | 80.3 | 18.6 | 1.1 | 75.5 | 23.1 | 1.4 | 410 |
| Middle | 81.1 | 17.4 | 1.6 | 76.0 | 23.7 | 0.3 | 367 |
| Higher | 93.7 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 81.1 | 17.5 | 1.4 | 118 |
| Total | 68.0 | 27.1 | 4.9 | 66.3 | 29.4 | 4.3 | 4730 |

Table 4.16 and Figure 4.5 show the percent distribution of currently married women who know a contraceptive method by the husband's and wife's attitude toward the use of family planning. Seventyone percent of currently married women knowing about family planning approve of family planning use by couples. Only 26 percent of married women think that their husband approves of family planning use by couples. One-third of women do not know their husband's attitude.

| Table 4.16 Percent distribution of currently married women who know a contraceptive method by the husband's and wife's attitude toward the use of family planning, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wife's | Husband's Attitude Toward Family Planning |  |  |  |  |
| Attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toward |  |  |  | Total | Number |
| Family <br> Planning | Disapproves | Approves | Don't Know |  |  |
| Disapproves | 15.7 | 1.4 | 11.7 | 28.8 | 770 |
| Approves | 24.2 | 24.7 | 21.6 | 70.5 | 1881 |
| Missing | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 19 |
| Total | 40.1 | 26.4 | 33.4 | 100.0 | 2670 |
| Number | 1070 | 705 | 895 | 2670 | 2670 |

Figure 4.5
Attitudes Toward Family Planning Currently Married Women Knowing a Method


As shown in Table 4.17, there are few differences in either wife's or husband's approval of family planning use by age of the wife. Approval is higher for both wives and husbands in urban areas and in Kampala, and lower in rural areas and West Nile. Also, the more educated the respondent, the more likely she is to approve of family planning use and the more likely she is to report that her husband approves.

| Table 4.17 Percentage of currently married women knowing a contraceptive method who approve of family planning and who say their husband approves of family planning by background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Background Characteristic | Woman Approves | Husband Approves | Total |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 64.7 | 22.2 | 346 |
| 20-24 | 72.4 | 27.1 | 602 |
| 25-29 | 73.8 | 26.2 | 616 |
| 30-34 | 68.3 | 29.8 | 420 |
| 35-39 | 66.9 | 27.9 | 310 |
| 40-44 | 76.3 | 25.4 | 208 |
| 45-99 | 67.6 | 23.5 | 168 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 81.6 | 46.4 | 278 |
| Rural | 69.2 | 24.1 | 2392 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 47.0 | 10.6 | 108 |
| East | 74.0 | 20.5 | 848 |
| Central | 63.6 | 25.8 | 682 |
| West | 69.7 | 34.2 | 125 |
| South West | 73.5 | 29.7 | 761 |
| Kampala | 83.8 | 51.4 | 146 |
| Luwero Triangle | 67.2 | 20.5 | 297 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | 64.0 | 17.7 | 1065 |
| Some primary | 70.5 | 26.8 | 1141 |
| Primary completed | 82.5 | 38.4 | 233 |
| Middle | 86.1 | 43.2 | 168 |
| Higher | 91.3 | 76.7 | 63 |
| Total | 70.5 | 26.4 | 2670 |

Currently married women were asked how often they talked to their husbands about family planning in the past year. The results in Table 4.18 indicate that 60 percent had never discussed family planning with their husband in the year preceding the UDHS, 27 percent had discussed the subject once or twice, and 14 percent had done so more often. The youngest and the oldest women are least likely to have discussed family planning with their husband.


## CHAPTER 5 <br> FERTILITY PREFERENCES

### 5.1 Future Fertility Preferences

This chapter analyses the fertility preferences of women aged 15-49. The results are important for family planning programmes which use the information to evaluate the need for family planning services. The goal of family planning programmes is to allow women to have the number of children they want, when they want them.

The UDHS questionnaire includes a number of questions to ascertain fertility preferences. Each currently married woman was asked if she wanted to have another child and if so, how long she wanted to wait before having her next child. All women, regardless of marital status, were asked how many children they would like to have, if they could go back to the time when they didn't have any children. This latter variable is referred to in this report as the "ideal" number of children. Additionally, women who had a birth in the five years before the survey were asked if the birth was either unwanted or mistimed.

As shown in Table 5.1 and Figure 5.1, 39 percent of women want to have another child within the next two years, 33 percent want to wait at least two years before having another child, 19 percent do not want any more children, and the remaining 9 percent are either undecided (as to when they want or whether they want another birth) or say that they cannot have any more children.

| Table 5.1 Percent distribution of currently married women by desire for children, according to number of living children, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Desire for <br> More Children | Number of Living Children |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |  |
| Want another: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soon (within two years) | 74.3 | 51.5 | 47.8 | 36.9 | 30.8 | 30.4 | 17.8 | 38.6 |
| Later (after 2+ years) | 3.6 | 40.7 | 43.9 | 48.5 | 46.3 | 35.4 | 16.0 | 33.4 |
| Undecided when | 5.7 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 2.0 |
| Want no more | 0.0 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 17.9 | 24.6 | 55.1 | 19.4 |
| Undecided if want more | 3.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 3.2 |
| Say can't have more | 13.1 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 3.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 200.0 |
| Number | 279 | 510 | 532 | 444 | 367 | 305 | 743 | 3180 |

The results show a high inverse correlation between the number of living children a woman has and her desire for more children (Figure 5.2). Almost three-quarters of the childless women want to have a baby within the next two years. This high demand falls to one-half for women with one child. As family size increases, the demand for another child decreases; however, it is notable that 18 percent of the women with six or more children still want to have another child within the next two years.

Figure 5.1
Fertility Preferences
Currently Married Women 15-49

Want to Space (2+yr8.) 33\%


Want Another Soon 39\%

Figure 5.2
Fertility Preferences by Number of Living Children Currently Married Women 15-49


One would expect a different pattem in the case of the women who want another child, but after two years or more. As expected, only 4 percent of the childless women want to wait to have a baby. The demand rises to a peak at 3 children, where nearly half of the women want to have another child after two or more years. Thereafter, it declines steadily until only 16 percent of women with 6 or more living children want to have another child after two or more years. As expected, the proportion of women who want to stop childbearing rises with the number of children, from zero percent among childless women to 55 percent of women with 6 or more children (Figure 5.2).

Several conclusions can be made here. First, there is still a very high demand for children in this society; even among women with 6 or more children, one-third still want to have more children. Secondly, there is a high demand for spacing of children, even among women with only one or two children. It also should be noted that there are few women who either want to have another child but are not sure when, or are undecided whether they want another child or not.

Table 5.2 shows the percent distribution of currently married women by desire for children, according to age. The demand for more children declines with age, because the younger women are more likely to have fewer children, while the older women are more likely to have a greater number. The demand for another child within the next two years is highest among the 15-19 age-group, where nearly 50 percent of the women want a child within two years. The demand then declines, to a low of 20 percent for the 45-49 age group.
Table 5.2 Percent distribution of currently maried women by desire for children,
according to age, Uganda, $1988 / 89$

The women who want to wait two or more years to have another child present a different pattern Except for the first age group the proportion of women wanting a child after two years or more declines with age. Almost half of the women $20-24$ want to wait two or more years for their next child, compared to less than 1 percent of women 45-49.

The proportion of women wanting no more children is lowest for the youngest age group (1 percent), but increases to 22 percent for women in their early thirties. One-half of the women aged 40-44 want no more children and 60 percent of those 45-49 years old want no more. Similarly, the proportion of women who say that they cannot have more children increases with age to 18 percent for women 45-49.

The demand for children can be further analysed according to background characteristics of women. Table 5.3 presents the percentage of women who want no more children by the number of living children they have, according to background variables. Overall, there appears to be little difference in reproductive intentions between urban and rural women; 21 per cent of urban women do not want to have another child, compared with 19 percent of rural women. However, once they have 3 or more children, urban women are somewhat more likely to want no more children.

The regional distribution of currently married women who want no more children reveals some interesting patterns. Overall, the percentage of women who want no more children is about 20, with Kampala being slightly higher. West Nile region is lower, with only 11 percent wanting no more children. This may be due to the religious composition in West Nile; over 90 percent of the women interviewed in West Nile are either Catholic or Moslem.

```
Table 5.3 Percentage of currently married women who want no more children (including those sterilized) by number of living children and background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89
```

| Background | Number of Living Children |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Characteristic | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4+ |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.0 | 2.8 | 13.9 | 50.0 | 20.9 |
| Rural | 1.5 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 37.4 | 18.9 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | (0.0) | 5.0 | (11.1) | 18.2 | 11.0 |
| East | 4.3 | 4.6 | 9.4 | 43.9 | 21.4 |
| Central | 0.7 | 3.4 | 7.4 | 34.9 | 16.6 |
| West | (0.0) | 13.0 | (23.5) | 33.9 | 22.0 |
| South West | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 40.0 | 19.9 |
| Kampala | 2.0 | 5.5 | 14.6 | 53.1 | 23.1 |
| Luwero Triangle | 1.2 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 32.4 | 16.0 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 2.5 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 37.2 | 21.1 |
| Some primary | 0.8 | 2.8 | 6.4 | 39.1 | 17.2 |
| Primary completed | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 34.7 | 15.4 |
| Middle | 3.7 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 50.6 | 22.3 |
| Higher | (0.0) | 0.0 | (25.0) | 65.6 | 25.8 |
| Total | 1.4 | 3.3 | 7.7 | 39.1 | 19.3 |

() = fewer than 20 unweighted cases.

There is greater variation when the number of living children is considered, especially among women with two or more living children. Among women with two living children, up to 13 percent in the West region do not want to have more children, compared with 5 percent or less in other regions. For women with three living children, nearly 15 percent in Kampala do not want more children, while in the South West the proportion is only 3 percent. One should note that in the 1969 census, the highest fertility in Uganda was reported in the South West (Ankole). At four or more living children there is a sharp increase in the proportion of women not wanting another child, with the exception of West Nile. More than 50 percent of the women in Kampala and more than 40 percent of those in the East do not want another child, while less than 20 percent of women in West Nile want no more children. There is need to point out that in addition to religious affiliation and other socioeconomic variables, the sample sizes in West Nile, West, and Kampala regions were small and subject to sampling errors.

Education is another variable which seems to influence the desire for more children, although overall, the differences are not important. Variation can be seen when family size is taken into account. At one and two living children, the pattern is erratic. This may be due to the small number of women with more than primary education. When family size reaches 4 or more, 66 percent of the women with higher education and 51 percent of those with middle level education want no more children. For the rest, the percentage is considerably lower. It is clear, therefore, that the desire to stop childbearing is positively associated with education only at higher levels of education.

### 5.2 Need for Family Planning

Women who are not using contraception and either want no more children or want to space their next child are considered to be in need of family planning. According to this, more than 50 percent of all women in Uganda are in need of family planning. Given the high demand for children among women, there is a much greater need for family planning services for spacing than for stopping purposes. Table 5.4 shows that 37 percent of currently married women want to wait two or more years before their next birth and are not using contraception, and 17 percent want no more children and are not using contraception.


[^3]The need for family planning is slightly greater in rural areas than in urban. By region of residence, the need is greatest in West Nile, where 64 percent of the women are in need of family planning. By contrast, need is least in Kampala, where 45 percent are in need. When educational levels are compared, the greatest need for family planning is found in the middle education group. Among these women nearly 60 percent are in need of family planning, whether for spacing or limiting. The lowest level of need is among women with higher education (42 percent).

Of those in need of family planning, only a small proportion say they intend to use family planning in the future. Thus, only 14 percent ( 27 percent of those in need) of currently married women are in need of and intend to use family planning. Although rural women have a greater need for family planning, the intention to use family planning is greatest among urban women ( 24 vs .13 percent). The pattern by region is also reversed since Kampala has the largest proportion of women intending to use family planning ( 27 percent), while West Nile has the smallest ( 5 percent).

### 5.3 Ideal Number of Children

In order to obtain greater insight into fertility preferences among Ugandan women, all the UDHS respondents were asked: "(If you could go back to the time when you didn't have any children, and) if you could choose the number of children to have in your whole life, how many would that be?" Women with children were asked the entire question, while those with no children were asked the question excluding the part in parentheses.

Table 5.5 presents the distribution of women by ideal number of children, according to the number of living children. It is interesting to note that on the whole, less than one-tenth of women gave non-numeric responses (e.g., "As many as God gives me", "It is not up to me to decide"). This suggests that Ugandan women have a good idea of the number of children they consider ideal.
Table 5.5 Percent distribution of all women by ldeal number of children and mean ideal

number of children for all women and currently married women, according to
number of living children, Uganda, $1988 / 89$ number of living chlldren, Uganda, 1988/89

| Ideal Number of Children | Number of Living Children* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ | Total |
| 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 2 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| 3 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| 4 | 22.6 | 21.7 | 20.6 | 17.7 | 14.3 | 8.5 | 12.4 | 17.8 |
| 5 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 14.4 | 9.8 | 7.2 | 13.8 | 3.3 | 9.8 |
| $6+$ | 50.5 | 53.9 | 54.7 | 63.1 | 66.7 | 65.8 | 71.7 | 59.8 |
| Non-numeric response | 7.4 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 9.1 | 8.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of women | 1101 | 728 | 658 | 531 | 437 | 368 | 908 | 4730 |
| Mean (all women) | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 |
| Mean (currently married) | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 6.8 |

It is clear that very few women think that three children or less is an ideal family size. Furthermore, the number of living children a woman has seems to have little effect. The percentage choosing 4 as the ideal number of children is considerably higher, being 20 percent for women with fewer than four children. This percentage decreases as the current family size increases. Surprisingly, the proportion choosing an ideal of 5 children is considerably lower than the proportion choosing either 4 or 6 or more children. This may be due to avoidance of the figure 5 , perhaps as a result of myths about the number.

Most women consider a large family to be the ideal; sixty percent of women report 6 or more children as the ideal number. This ranges from 50 percent of the women who have not yet started childbearing to 70 percent of women with 6 or more living children. The results presented in this table further show that claims that women may rationalise the families they already have when asked questions about ideal family size are not entirely true. The fact is, a high proportion of women say that they want more children than they already have.

The mean ideal number of children is 6.5 for all women and 6.8 among currently married women. Despite fluctuations, the mean ideal number of children tends to increase with number of living children, which may reflect the fact that women who want more children actually end up having them, or that there is some rationalisation as mentioned above. On the other hand, it may be that younger, lower parity women are actually lowering their ideal family goals.

Ideal number of children varies considerably according to background variables. Table 5.6 shows the mean ideal number of children for all women by age group and background characteristics. The mean increases with age in a pattem similar to that found in Table 5.5 for number of living children, from 5.9 for women 15-19 to 7.5 for women 45-49.

The mean ideal number of children expressed by urban women (5.5) is about one child less than that expressed by rural women (6.6). Among the younger age groups, i.e. below 40 , urban women clearly state a lower ideal number than their rural counterparts. At older ages the difference becomes less pronounced, until for age group 45-49, urban women express an ideal that is almost one child higher than the rural women. This may be due in part to the small numbers of women at older ages.

Kampala has the lowest ideal number of children (5.3), with little variation among the other regions. Data by education category reflects the expected pattem. Women with higher education express the lowest ideal number of children (4.7). This increases as education declines, until the highest ideal of over 7 children, expressed by women with no education. Although background variables clearly influence ideal family size, even the lower ideal numbers of children reported by some groups are still quite high by international standards.

### 5.4 Unplanned Fertility

To get a more direct assessment of the need for family planning, it is useful to find out the extent to which births are either mistimed or unwanted. Respondents in the UDHS who had a birth in the five years preceding the survey were asked: "At the time you became pregnant with (NAME), did you want to have that child then, did you want to wait until later, or did you want no more children at all?" The results from this question are presented in Table 5.7 for births that occurred in the 12 months before the survey.

The majority of women ( 65 percent) wanted the birth when it occurred. However, a substantial number of women ( 30 percent) would have preferred to wait longer before having that birth, and 5 percent reported that they had not wanted the birth at all. It is significant that in a pro-natalist country such as Uganda 5 percent of women reported that a birth was unwanted. Birth order seems to have little effect on the proportions of births unwanted or mistimed.

## Table 5.6 Mean ideal number of children for all women by age and background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/B9

| Background | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 5.5 |
| Rusal | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 6.7 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 6.4 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 7.9 | (7.7) | (9.1) | 6.7 |
| East | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.3 |
| Central | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 6.4 |
| West | 5.3 | 5.4 | 6.8 | (7.9) | (7.7) | (7.3) | (6.3) | 6.4 |
| South West | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 6.9 |
| Kampala | 5.3 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.7 | (8.4) | 5.3 |
| Luwero Triangle | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 6.5 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.7 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.3 |
| Some primary | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 6.4 |
| Primary completed | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 5.8 | (7.9) | (6.0) | 5.7 |
| Middle | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.7 | (6.7) | (7.4) | 5.2 |
| Higher | (4.8) | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.8 | (5.4) | (5.4) | (4.0) | 4.5 |
| Total | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 6.4 |

[^4]| Table 5.7 Percentage of women who had a birth in the last 12 months by fertility planning status and birth order, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planning Status of Birth | Birth Order |  |  |
|  | 1-2 | 3+ | Total |
| Wanted then | 67.7 | 64.2 | 65.3 |
| Wanted later | 31.7 | 29.4 | 30.1 |
| Wanted no more children | 0.6 | 6.3 | 4.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 370 | 801 | 1170 |

## CHAPTER 6 MORTALITY AND HEALTH

### 6.1 Mortality

One of the major objectives of the Uganda Demographic and Health Survey was to collect data on mortality and health of children. Information on these topics is relevant both to the assessment of the morbidity and mortality levels in the population and of the health policies and programmes in Uganda. Information on mortality and the health status of children serves the needs of health ministries by locating sectors of the population which are at high risk and by assessing the coverage of the existing services. In this section mortality rates are presented for three age intervals:

Infant mortality--the probability of dying between birth and exact age one;
Childhood mortality--the probability of dying between age one and age five;
Under five mortality-the probability of dying between birth and exact age five.
Mortality rates are calculated on a period basis (i.e., based on deaths occurring during a certain time period), rather than on a birth cohort basis (i.e., based on deaths occurring to those born during a certain period) for two reasons. First, period-specific rates are more appropriate for programme evaluation and second, the data necessary for the calculation of cohort-based childhood mortality rates are only partially available for the five-year period immediately preceding the survey.

The data for estimation of mortality rates were collected by asking the respondents about their childbearing experience, namely the number of sons and daughters who live in the household, who live elsewhere, and who have died. Additional questions on sex, date of birth, status of survival, and current age or age at death of each of a respondent's live births were asked. The data obtained from these questions are used to calculate infant and childhood mortality rates.

Readers interested in this section should note that estimates of infant and childhood mortality based on survey data have limitations. First, most mortality estimates using survey data are based on relatively small numbers of cases, especially when mortality levels are low, leading to unreliable estimates. To reduce this problem, mortality measures based on the UDHS are calculated for five or ten year periods.

The second limitation is that birth histories are collected through retrospective reports. This method of data collection is subject to underreporting of events and misreporting of birth and death dates. The extent of these errors affects the overall results. However, such data problems are usually less serious for time periods close to the survey date.

Third, estimates of mortality trends using birth histories as reported by women in the reproductive ages at a given point in time are affected by truncation bias because women past age 49 are not interviewed. Estimates of mortality in the past are based only on those births reported by women interviewed at the time of the survey and therefore exclude births in the past that occurred to women who are 50 or older when the survey was done. As the length of the time period covered extends further into the past, the resulting truncation bias of information becomes progressively severe. To minimize the effect of this bias, analysis of trends in infant and birth mortality from the UDHS is limited to a period not exceeding 15 years prior to the survey.

Several analyses were conducted in order to investigate some of these potential problems. For example, the data on age at death were tested for digit preference at 12 months of age. In the absence of digit preference, the distribution of deaths by age should be more or less uniform. The distribution of deaths by age in months is as follow:

## Age in Months Number of Deaths

| 8 | 82 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 9 | 89 |
| 10 | 20 |
| 11 | 19 |
| 12 | 300 |
| 13 | 12 |
| 14 | 22 |
| 15 | 19 |
| 16 | 10 |
| 17 | 8 |
| 18 | 54 |

Clearly, there is a great concentration of responses at 12 months of age, and a deficiency of events in the immediately preceding and succeeding months. Since infant mortality covers only deaths under 12 months, a correction of this problem would involve an increase in infant mortality, and a decrease in child mortality.

## Mortality Levels and Trends 1973-1988

Table 6.1 and Figure 6.1 show infant and childhood mortality rates for the five-year period preceding the survey (1983-1988) and for two earlier five-year time periods (1973-1977 and 1978-1982). The infant mortality rate for the period 1983-1988 is 101 per 1,000 live births and the childhood mortality rate is 88 per 1,000 . This means that of 1000 live births in Uganda, 101 do not live to their first birthday and an additional 88 do not live to age five. The overall probability of dying between birth and exact age five is 180 per 1,000 .

$$
\begin{array}{lccc}
\text { Table 6.1 } \begin{array}{c}
\text { Infant and childhoood mortality by } \\
\text { five-year calendar periods, Uganda, } \\
\text { 1988/89 }
\end{array} \\
\hline & \begin{array}{c}
\text { Infant } \\
\text { Mortality } \\
\text { Rate } \\
\text { (1q0) }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
\text { Childhood } \\
\text { Mortality } \\
\text { Rate } \\
\text { (4q1) }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
\text { Under 5 } \\
\text { Martality } \\
\text { Rate } \\
\text { (5q0) }
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$



The rates for the 1973-1977 period are lower than for the periods 1978-1982 and 1983-1988. This is an indication of increasing mortality, particularly for infants. One likely reason for this increase is the deterioration and destruction of the health infrastructure during the civil unrest in Uganda from 1973 to 1982. However during the period 1983-1988, health services, especially those aimed at prevention of diseases among children (e.g., immunisation programmes) improved markedly. By the end of the 19831988 period, infant mortality had declined by 11 percent, childhood mortality by 9 percent and the overall probability of dying between birth and exact age five by 10 percent.

Table 6.2 and Figure 6.2 show mortality differentials by urban-rural residence, region, and mother's level of education for the ten-year period (1978-88) preceding the survey. The infant mortality rate for the urban population is only slightly lower ( 103 per 1,000 ) than for the rural population ( 107 per 1,000 ); however, childhood mortality in urban areas is much lower than in rural areas.

Region-specific rates are lowest in South West region (172) and highest in West Nile (211). Differentials associated with the level of mother's education indicate lower levels of both infant and childhood mortality for children whose mothers have completed primary education and above, than those children whose mothers have either no education or have not completed primary education. Children bom to women with the highest level of education have half the risk of dying under 5 than children bom to women with no education.

Mortality differentials by sex, mother's age at birth, birth order, and length of the previous birth interval are shown in Table 6.3. As expected, mortality rates are somewhat higher in males than females during infancy and childhood. Infant mortality rates are also higher among children of mothers less than 20 and over 40 years of age, which is the pattern found in many other countries. Infant and childhood mortality estimates by birth order are highest among the first borm and 2-3 subsequent children.

| Table 6.2 Infant and childhoood mortality by background characteristics of the mother for the ten-year period preceding the survey, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Infant Mortality | Childhood Mortality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } 5 \\ & \text { Mortality } \end{aligned}$ |
| Background | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { (1q0) } \end{aligned}$ | Rate <br> (4q1) | Rate $(5 \mathrm{q} 0)$ |
| Characteristic | 1978-88* | 1978-88* | 1978-88* |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 103.1 | 67.6 | 163.7 |
| Rural | 106.6 | 94.0 | 190.6 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 121.7 | 101.4 | 210.8 |
| East | 118.0 | 100.4 | 206.5 |
| Central | 98.9 | 97.5 | 186.8 |
| West | 120.6 | 66.0 | 178.7 |
| South West | 95.5 | 84.9 | 172.3 |
| Kampala | 107.6 | 74.3 | 173.9 |
| Luwero Triangle | 98.3 | 105.6 | 193.5 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| No education | 116.6 | 92.6 | 198.4 |
| Some primary | 103.6 | 102.6 | 195.5 |
| Primary completed | 85.2 | 74.8 | 153.6 |
| Middle | 88.1 | 55.5 | 138.6 |
| Higher | 73.0 | 26.0 | 97.1 |
| Total | 106.3 | 91.6 | 188.2 |
| * Includes calendar year 1988 up to the month preceding date of interview. |  |  |  |

The length of the preceding birth interval depicts the most significant differentials. The infant mortality rates are 142 per 1,000 births bom after intervals of less than two years, 84 for births after intervals of 2 to 3 years and 68 for births after intervals of 4 years or more. This means that children borm less than two years after an older sibling have more than twice the risk of dying in infancy as those bom 4 or more years after a prior birth. These differentials suggest that an increase in birth spacing practices would substantially reduce infant and childhood mortality levels in Uganda.

Additional evidence of the high level of childhood mortality in Uganda is shown in Table 6.4 which gives the mean number of children ever bom, surviving, and dead, and proportion dead among children ever bom by age of mother. Almost 20 percent of all children borm to women 15-49 have died. As expected, the proportion dead rises with age of woman, which reflects the fact that children of older women were themselves bom longer ago and have been exposed longer to the risk of mortality.


### 6.2 Maternity Care

In Uganda matemity care is provided by several categories of trained health workers and nontrained service providers. The health care that a mother receives during pregnancy and at the time of delivery is important to the survival and well-being of the child as well as the mother. The quality and adequacy of matemity services contribute to a great extent to the levels of infant and maternal mortality of any country. In the UDHS, information on the type of matemity care which women receive in Uganda was obtained by asking respondents whether they had seen anyone for a prenatal checkup for all births in the five years preceding the interview. They were also asked if anyone assisted them with the delivery of that child. If they had received a prenatal checkup or assistance at delivery, they were asked who provided the care. For cases in which matemity care was received from more than one provider, the most qualified provider was recorded by the interviewer. It should be noted that a small proportion of traditional birth attendants in Uganda are trained.

For all births in the five years before the survey, mothers were also asked if they had received an injection to protect the baby from getting tetanus. Neonatal tetanus has been one of the major causes of neonatal deaths in Uganda and the level of tetanus toxoid vaccinations during pregnancy is one of the measures of the success of routine immunisation programmes in the prevention of neonatal tetanus. The respondent's ability to distinguish the tetanus toxoid vaccination from other injections she may have received during pregnancy may affect the quality of the results.

Table 6.5 indicates that for 76 percent of births in the last five years, the mother received prenatal care from trained nurses and for 56 percent, the mother received at least one tetanus toxoid injection. For a small proportion of births (11 percent), the mothers received prenatal care from a doctor. It is important to note the high percentage of mothers who did not obtain prenatal care at all (12 percent).


* Includes calendar year 1988 up to the month preceding date of interview.


| Table 6.5 Percent distribution of births in the last 5 years by type of prenatal care for the mother and percentage of births whose mother received a tetanus toxoid injection, according to background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Prenatal Care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background Characteristic | Doctor | Trained <br> Nuzse/ <br> M1dwife | Trad' 1 <br> Birth <br> Attend. | Other | None | Missing | Total | Percent Receiving Tetanus Toxold Injection | Number of Births |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<30$ | 11.3 | 76.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 11.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 57.3 | 3184 |
| $30+$ | 10.7 | 74.3 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 13.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | '52.5 | 1820 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 29.1 | 66.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 74.9 | 487 |
| Rural | 9.1 | 76.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 12.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 53.5 | 4517 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 12.0 | 54.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 30.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 57.5 | 274 |
| East | 7.0 | 88.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 68.9 | 1378 |
| Central | 20.0 | 70.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 8.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 47.9 | 1267 |
| West | 3.1 | 59.8 | 2.6 | 5.7 | 28.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 52.1 | 319 |
| South West | 4.7 | 78.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 45.9 | 1499 |
| Kampala | 34.2 | 61.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 79.7 | 267 |
| Luwero Triangle | 16.4 | 72.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 50.9 | 524 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 6.8 | 74.3 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 17.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 49.7 | 2071 |
| Some primary | 10.3 | 78.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 10.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 56.0 | 2058 |
| Primary completed | 17.0 | 78.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 64.9 | 448 |
| Middle | 23.3 | 71.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 72.0 | 310 |
| Higher | 44.4 | 53.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 73.3 | 117 |
| Total | 11.1 | 75.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 11.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 55.6 | 5004 |

There are almost no differentials by age of the mother in type of prenatal care obtained or the proportion receiving tetanus injections; however, births to urban women are three times more likely to benefit from prenatal care from a doctor ( 29 percent) than births to rural women ( 9 percent). This is at least partly due to the high concentration of health units in urban areas, coupled with the preference of most service providers to work in urban areas, thus leaving rural areas, underserved.

About 30 percent of births to women in West Nile and West regions do not benefit from any prenatal care at all, while for 90 percent or more of births to women in East and Central regions and Kampala, the mothers obtain prenatal care from either a doctor or trained nurse or midwife. It is clear that the higher the educational attainment of the mother, the greater the likelihood that she will seek prenatal care and obtain a tetanus injection.

Comparison between the proportion of births benefitting from prenatal care from trained staff and the proportion receiving assistance at delivery shows a large disparity between the two services (Table 6.6). While mothers receive prenatal care from trained nurses for 76 percent of their births, only 36
percent of births are assisted at delivery by the same service providers. The disparity is even larger with doctors; Table 6.5 shows that for 11 percent of births, women see doctors for prenatal care, compared with only 3 percent of births assisted at delivery by a doctor. Furthermore, the proportion of women without any type of assistance at delivery ( 17 percent), is much greater than for women receiving no assistance ( 12 percent).

| Table 6.6 Percent distribution of births in the last 5 years by type of assistance during delivery, according to background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Assistance at Dellvery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Background <br> Characteristic | Doctor | Trained <br> Nurse/ <br> Midwife | Trad'l <br> Birth <br> Attend. | Relative | Other | None | $\begin{gathered} \text { M1ss } \\ 1 \mathrm{ng} \end{gathered}$ | Total | Number of B1rths |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<30$ | 3.1 | 38.4 | 5.9 | 38.6 | 1.7 | 11.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3184 |
| $30+$ | 2.3 | 30.4 | 7.1 | 30.6 | 1.8 | 27.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1820 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 12.2 | 67.7 | 2.4 | 10.9 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 487 |
| Rural | 1.8 | 32.0 | 6.8 | 38.4 | 1.8 | 18.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4517 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 1.2 | 17.4 | 22.8 | 37.7 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 274 |
| East | 1.9 | 45.7 | 4.6 | 33.9 | 2.1 | 11.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1378 |
| Central | 3.9 | 47.8 | 7.2 | 32.1 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1267 |
| West | 1.0 | 20.1 | 10.3 | 46.4 | 4.1 | 17.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 319 |
| South West | 1.0 | 16.4 | 4.3 | 43.0 | 1.7 | 33.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1499 |
| Kampala | 17.5 | 68.8 | 1.3 | 6.5 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 267 |
| Luwero Triangle | 4.5 | 38.7 | 6.7 | 37.8 | 1.9 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 524 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 1.1 | 22.1 | 7.8 | 40.0 | 2.2 | 26.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2071 |
| Some primary | 2.9 | 38.2 | 5.9 | 37.8 | 1.6 | 13.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2058 |
| Primary completed | 3.5 | 54.6 | 5.5 | 27.6 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 448 |
| Middle | 8.1 | 66.4 | 1.8 | 17.5 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 310 |
| Higher | 16.4 | 70.1 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 117 |
| Total | 2.8 | 35.5 | 6.3 | 35.7 | 1.8 | 17.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 5004 |

Table 6.6 shows that 6 percent of births in the five years before the survey received assistance at delivery from a traditional birth attendant and 36 percent from a relative. Although the UDHS interviewers were trained to distinguish the different types of providers that assisted the respondent at delivery, it may have been difficult to distinguish a traditional birth attendant from a relative, especially since some traditional birth attendants may in fact, be related to the mother. If this is true, the proportion of births assisted by traditional birth attendants may be higher than the 6 percent reported in the UDHS. It may also be possible that the relatively high proportion ( 17 percent) of births reportedIy assisted by no one, may include some births assisted by birth attendants.

Younger women, urban women, women in Kampala, and better educated women are more likely to obtain assistance at delivery from a doctor,trained nurse or midwife than are other women. The proportion of births to women with no education who are not assisted at delivery by any service provider ( 26 percent) is about 4 times higher than it is for births to women who completed primary education or more. The inability of a pregnant woman to easily obtain prenatal care and assistance at delivery may be one of the major contributing factors keeping infant and matemal mortality high in Uganda.

### 6.3 Child Health Indicators

Questions on immunisation coverage, the prevalence and treatment of diarrhoea, fever, and respiratory illness among children under the age of five were asked of respondents in the UDHS. The purpose of these questions was to provide information on the frequency and mode of treatment of three illnesses that contribute to the high infant and childhood mortality in Uganda. Data were only collected for children under five whose mothers were interviewed in the UDHS.

## Immunisation of Children

Women who had children under age five were asked if their children had health cards. If the health card was available, the interviewer then copied from the card the dates on which the child had received immunisations against the following diseases: tuberculosis (BCG); diphtheria, whooping cough (pertussis) and tetanus (DPT); polio and measles. If the child had no card or the interviewer was not able to examine the card, the mother was then asked if the child had ever received a vaccination. No attempt was made to obtain information on specific vaccinations for these children because of the possible unreliability of the mother's recall and lack of knowledge about the immunisation programme in general.

Table 6.7 indicates that health cards were seen for 44 percent of all children under five and mothers reported an additional 26 percent of children had at least one immunisation but could not produce a card. ${ }^{1}$ We can therefore assume that about 70 percent of children under five in Uganda have received some immunisation.

Uganda launched the Expanded Programme of Immunisation in October 1983 and since then the programme has made steady progress. The following immunisation schedule has been used nationally:

| Age | Immunisations |
| :--- | :--- |
| Birth | BCG and Polio |
| 6 Weeks | DPT, Polio |
| 10 Weeks | DPT, Polio |
| 14 Weeks | DPT, Polio |
| 9 Months | Measles |

Readers of this report should note that polio vaccination was not given at birth in Uganda until 1988 when the Uganda Technical Committee on Immunisation adopted the recommendation by the World Health Organisation. Therefore in the UDHS children who had received 3 polio vaccinations were regarded as having been completely immunised against polio.

[^5]```
Table 6.7 Among all children under 5 years of age, the percentage with health cards seen by
Interviewer, the percentage who are immunised as recorded on a health card or as
reported by the mother and, among children with health cards, the percentage for
for whom BCG, DPT, polio and measles immunisations are recorded on the health card,
according to age, Uganda, 1988/89
```

|  | Among All Children Under 5, Percent with: |  |  |  | Amon | All <br> th | hildrer | Under <br> $t$ Who | 5 with Health Have Recelved: |  | Cards | Seen, | Num- <br> ber of <br> Chil- <br> dren |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age in Months | With <br> Health <br> Card <br> Seen | Some <br> Immun- <br> lation <br> on Card | Mother <br> Reports <br> Child <br> Immun. | BCG | $\begin{gathered} \text { DPT } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DPT } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DPT } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Polio } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Polio } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Polío } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | Measles | All <br> Immun-1sations* |  |
| $<6$ | 23.0 | 23.0 | 7.1 | 100.0 | 69.5 | 24.2 | 11.4 | 77.0 | 28.1 | 12.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 433 |
| 6-11 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 15.6 | 98.4 | 90.1 | 66.0 | 45.9 | 89.7 | 66.6 | 45.9 | 21.9 | 15.1 | 540 |
| 12-17 | 48.9 | 48.9 | 20.5 | 99.3 | 94.8 | 72.9 | 53.6 | 95.4 | 74.2 | 54.1 | 63.8 | 42.6 | 54.1 |
| 18-23 | 49.9 | 49.7 | 24.0 | 96.3 | 95.7 | 81.3 | 61.5 | 95.3 | 82.1 | 61.6 | 81.5 | 54.5 | 405 |
| 24-59 | 44.9 | 44.8 | 34.0 | 97.2 | 97.7 | 78.7 | 59.8 | 97.9 | 78.2 | 59.2 | 85.0 | 52.8 | 2411 |

* BCG, at least 3 doses of DPT and pollo, and measles

Table 6.7 and Figure 6.3 show that among children under five for whom health cards were available, 98 percent have received a BCG vaccination, 55 percent have received three doses of DPT vaccine, 55 percent have received three doses of polio vaccine, and 69 percent have received vaccine against measles. Overall, 44 percent of the children under five with health cards have been fully immunised against vaccine-preventable diseases. There is a substantial drop-off between the proportion of children who receive the first dose of either DPT or polio and the proportion who go on to get the second and third doses. Except for the first 2 age groups, when some children cannot be expected to have received all immunisations, the differences by age group are not large.

Table 6.8 presents similar information by background characteristics, but is restricted to children 12-23 months of age, since these children represent the best way to evaluate the coverage of the immunisation programme. The data show that there is little difference in immunisation coverage between boys and girls, but that a greater proportion of children are immunised in urban areas than rural areas. Although the same proportion of rural children as urban children receive the first few immunisations, far fewer continue on to obtain the later doses. West region has the highest proportion of children with health cards seen. Of those with health cards seen, two and a half times as many children in Kampala are fully immunised as in West Nile ( 77 percent $v s$. 29 percent). The higher the mother's education, the more likely she has a health card for her child and the more likely her child has received immunisations.

Estimates of coverage for all children, including those whose health cards were not seen can be derived by multiplying the proportion of children with particular immunisations recorded on health cards by the proportion of children whose health cards were seen. For example, multiplying the 47.7 percent of children 12-23 months who are fully immunised according to their health cards by the 49.3 percent who produced health cards for the interviewers, gives an estimate of 23.5 percent of all children 12-23 months who were fully immunised. This produces a minimum estimate of coverage, since the method assumes that all children without cards have not received any immunisation.


A more precise estimate of the coverage for children 12-23 months can be obtained by assuming that from those children whose mothers reported immunisation, some did get the specific vaccine. The results shown below are obtained by adding to the proportion vaccinated according to the health card the proportion vaccinated according to the information from the mother. This second value is obtained by using the following proportions of those observed in the health card seen: $\mathrm{BCG}=1.0$ (meaning that all the children with mothers information got BCG), DPT1 and PV1 $=.95$ (meaning that 95 percent of the children with mothers information got the vaccine) DPT2 and PV2 $=.90$, DPT3 and PV3 $=.80$, MEASLES $=.90$, and .75 as fully immunised. These values are the median values observed among seven countries for which DHS has implemented surveys (to be presented in a forthcoming analysis).

When this method of estimation is applied to UDHS data, the result is an estimate of 31 percent of children 12-23 months fully immunised. The method was also applied to UDHS data from Mbarara District in South West region for comparison with a UNICEF survey conducted in Mbarara in 1988 (Republic of Uganda, 1989b). The results are:

Immunisation Received

|  | BCG | DPT1 | DPT2 | DPT3 | PV1 | PV2 | PV3 | MSLS | All | Some | None |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UDHS | 60 | 61 | 48 | 33 | 60 | 48 | 31 | 50 | 31 | 33 | 36 |
| UNICEF | 52 | 49 | 38 | 26 | 49 | 39 | 25 | 29 | 17 | 41 | 42 |

Source: Baseline Survey for the South-West Integrated Project Mbarara. Ministry of Health, UNICEF. March-April 1988, Tables 10-14.

Based on the results, the UDHS estimates for Mbarara District, signal a higher immunisation coverage than that obtained in the UNICEF study. However this could be attributed to the assumption made earlier, which will eventually inflate the coverage value.


In the UDHS, information was collected on recent episodes of diarthoea and the treatment provided for children under the age of five. Respondents were asked whether each child had experienced an episode of dianthoea in the last 24 hours or in the last two weeks. Additional questions were asked about the occurrence of fever and respiratory illness in the four weeks preceding the interview and the treatment given to the children suffering from such illnesses. The data collected cannot be used to measure the incidence of such diseases but they provide an estimate of children under 5 years whose mothers report that they had illness during the specified number of weeks preceding the survey. Caution should be taken in interpreting the results of these questions, as the responses are clearly dependent upon what the mother understands as diarthoea, fever, or respiratory illness and her ability to recall when the episode of the illness in question occurred. The number of cases of diarthoea, fever, and respiratory illness also vary seasonally.

## Diarrhoea

Table 6.9 shows that 14 percent of children under 5 years of age were reported by the mother to have had diarmoea in the 24 hours before the interview and 24 percent had diarrhoea in the two weeks before the interview. Diarrhoea prevalence is highest among children $6-17$ months of age, with over 40 percent reported to have had an episode in the previous two weeks. This is the age when children begin to eat other foods besides breastmilk and may be exposed to more contaminating agents. Prevalence of diarrhoea is somewhat higher among rural children than urban children and among children in East region. Differences by sex of child and by education of mother are small, except for the highest level of education.

Table 6.10 indicates the percentage of children with diarrhoea in the two weeks before the survey who consulted a medical facility and the type of treatment received. Overall, 15 percent were taken to a medical facility, 15 percent received some form of oral rehydration therapy (ORT), 30 percent received some other treatment and 63 percent received no treatment. Children under 6 months of age are less likely than older children be taken to a medical facility or to receive treatment for their episode of diarrhoea. Children who live in urban areas, Kampala, or whose mothers have higher education are more likely to receive some treatment and more likely to receive ORT than other children. This is probably due to the increased access to health facilities in urban areas compared with limited access to similar facilities in rural areas.

Oral rehydration therapy is an effective and inexpensive way to treat the dehydration caused by diarrhoea. In the UDHS, all mothers of children under the age of five were asked if they knew of a product called Dalozi for treating diarthoea--specially prepared packets of oral rehydration salts (ORS). Table 6.11 shows that just under half of the mothers had heard of the packets. Knowledge about ORS increases dramatically with the level of education of women. It is also substantially higher among urban women and women in Kampala.

Table 6.9 Among children under 5 years of age, the percentage reported by the mother to have had diarrhoea in the past 24 hours and the past two weeks, according to background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89


Table 6.10 Among children under 5 years of age, who had diarrhoea in the past two weeks, the percentage consulting a medical facility, the percentage receiving different treatments as reported by the mother, and the percentage not consulting a medical facility and not receiving treatment, according to background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89

| Background Character1stic | Percent <br> Consult- <br> ing a <br> Medical <br> Facility | Percent of Children with Diarrhoea Treated with: ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Not Consulting Facility and No Treatment ${ }^{2}$ | Chil- <br> dren <br> with <br> Dlar- <br> rhoea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ORS <br> Packets | Home <br> Solu- <br> tion | Other <br> Treatment | No <br> Treatment |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 6 months | 7.2 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 80.5 | 12.8 | 119 |
| 6-11 months | 16.6 | 17.9 | 1.8 | 24.3 | 65.0 | 11.4 | 234 |
| 12-17 months | 19.7 | 14.7 | 1.7 | 33.7 | 57.6 | 10.6 | 229 |
| 18-23 months | 14.4 | 11.4 | 0.8 | 35.3 | 57.4 | 12.9 | 140 |
| 24-59 months | 13.0 | 12.1 | 1.5 | 32.0 | 61.0 | 11.9 | 332 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 13.8 | 13.2 | 1.6 | 28.6 | 63.3 | 11.2 | 540 |
| Female | 15.8 | 14.3 | 1.0 | 29.2 | 62.4 | 12.4 | 513 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 20.4 | 20.4 | 2.6 | 34.9 | 57.2 | 7.9 | 86 |
| Rural | 14.3 | 13.1 | 1.2 | 28.4 | 63.4 | 12.1 | 967 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 5.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 74.3 | 25.7 | 58 |
| East | 14.9 | 12.9 | 0.4 | 30.4 | 61.7 | 5.9 | 396 |
| Central | 16.7 | 12.2 | 4.3 | 32.1 | 62.7 | 11.9 | 206 |
| West | 20.0 | 12.5 | 2.5 | 25.0 | 67.5 | 15.0 | 66 |
| South West | 12.3 | 14.4 | 0.3 | 27.5 | 62.6 | 16.7 | 279 |
| Kampala | 23.0 | 24.1 | 2.3 | 36.8 | 55.2 | 9.2 | 49 |
| Luwero Triangle | 17.4 | 18.0 | 6.8 | 36.6 | 54.0 | 13.0 | 91 |


| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No education | 12.8 | 13.6 | 1.2 | 25.5 | 65.0 | 14.0 | 418 |
| Some primary | 16.8 | 12.6 | 0.5 | 31.7 | 60.8 | 9.8 | 469 |
| Primary completed | 17.1 | 21.4 | 4.6 | 30.8 | 60.4 | 9.7 | 97 |
| Middle | 7.1 | 7.7 | 4.1 | 20.9 | 73.5 | 11.2 | 55 |
| Higher | 19.5 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 22.7 | 14 |

[^6]Fever
The questions in the UDHS on fever were designed to obtain a rough estimate of the extent to which children experienced a bout of malaria during the 4 weeks preceding the interview and what type of treatment was given for the fever. It should be noted that malaria is endemic in Uganda and therefore most fevers in children are attributed to malaria infection.

Overall, 41 percent of the children under five were reported to have had a fever in the previous 4 weeks, of whom 45 percent were taken to a medical facility, 57 percent were treated with antimalarial drugs, and 70 percent were given other medicines (Table 6.12). While 4 percent were given antibiotics for treatment of fever, 14 percent were given no treatment at all. Fever prevalence is highest among children 6-17 months of age and among children in East region. As expected, medical consultation is higher for urban children and children in Kampala.

## Cough/Difficult Breathing

Upper respiratory tract infection is one of the three main causes of morbidity and mortality among children under five in Uganda. To obtain information on the prevalence of respiratory illness, respondents were asked whether for each child under age five there was an episode of severe cough with difficult or rapid breathing in the four weeks preceding the interview. Twenty-two percent of the children were reported to have had a cough in the past 4 weeks, of whom 48 percent consulted a medical provider, while 23 percent received antibiotics for treatment and another 23 percent got no treatment (Table 6.13). Fifteen percent of children who had severe cough with difficult or rapid breathing did not receive anything at all to treat the illness. This may be due to the inaccessibility of the respondents to a health unit, lack of money to pay for medical consultation, or lack of severity of the illness.

### 6.4 Nutritional Status of Children

Nutritional status assessment is based on the concept that in a well-nourished population, there will be a statistically predictable distribution of children of a given age with respect to height and weight. In terms of a particular index (say, height-for-age), the distribution will approximate the normal curve, that is, about 68 percent of children will have a height within 1 standard deviation from the mean for that age. About 14 percent will be relatively tall for their age, that is, between +1 and +2 standard deviations from the mean and another 14 percent will be relatively short for their age, that is, between -1 and -2 standard deviations from the mean. Finally, about 2 percent will be very tall for their age, that is, more than +2 standard deviations from the mean and another 2 percent will be very short for their age, that is, more than -2 standard deviations from the mean. Comparison of the distribution of height and weight in a given population with that of a standard reference population facilitates analyses across countries and over time. For comparative purposes, the nutritional status tables in this report use the reference population defined by the U.S. National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and accepted by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and the World Health Organisation (WHO).

Table 6.12 Among children under 5 years of age, the percentage who are reported by the mother as having had fever in the past four weeks, and, among them the percentage consulting a medical facility, the percentage receiving various treatments, and the percentage not consulting a medical facility and not recelving treatment, according to background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89

| Background Characteristic | Percent <br> with <br> Fever <br> In Past <br> 4 Weeks | Among Children with Fever, Percent Treated by: ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Not Consulting Medical Facility and No Treatment ${ }^{2}$ | Number of Children Under 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Consuit <br> Medical <br> Facility | Anti-malarlal | Ant 1-blotics | Other Medicine | No <br> Treatment |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 6 months | 35.3 | 40.3 | 44.7 | 3.6 | 57.3 | 20.4 | 15.3 | 433 |
| 6-11 months | 52.8 | 54.5 | 60.2 | 4.4 | 71.3 | 12.4 | 6.6 | 540 |
| 12-17 months | 51.2 | 48.3 | 56.3 | 1.6 | 71.2 | 13.1 | 9.0 | 541 |
| 18-23 months | 46.6 | 44.0 | 58.8 | 7.6 | 69.8 | 10.6 | 6.3 | 405 |
| 24-59 montchs | 36.9 | 41.0 | 58.2 | 4.1 | 70.8 | 13.4 | 8.8 | 2411 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boy | 41.4 | 46.7 | 56.9 | 5.4 | 69.1 | 14.0 | 8.5 | 2122 |
| Girl | 41.4 | 42.4 | 57.4 | 2.9 | 70.2 | 13.0 | 9.0 | 2208 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 31.6 | 62.8 | 55.6 | 8.8 | 75.3 | 9.2 | 2.9 | 425 |
| Rural | 42.5 | 43.0 | 57.3 | 3.7 | 69.2 | 13.8 | 9.3 | 3904 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 43.8 | 50.0 | 34.4 | 4.7 | 81.3 | 15.6 | 14.1 | 240 |
| East | 67.0 | 46.1 | 65.4 | 3.5 | 64.8 | 14.6 | 10.1 | 1178 |
| Central | 38.2 | 41.5 | 41.7 | 4.5 | 82.2 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 1083 |
| West | 34.8 | 38.6 | 70.2 | 1.8 | 80.7 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 269 |
| South West | 23.9 | 39.2 | 61.3 | 4.7 | 58.3 | 20.8 | 11.4 | 1331 |
| Kampala | 31.9 | 66.7 | 53.5 | 8.5 | 69.8 | 9.3 | 2.3 | 228 |
| Luwero Triangle | 42.9 | 45.2 | 62.5 | 3.2 | 78.0 | 8.2 | 5.6 | 447 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 39.4 | 42.8 | 53.3 | 3.1 | 64.8 | 18.1 | 13.0 | 1785 |
| Some primary | 44.3 | 44.1 | 58.0 | 3.9 | 69.9 | 12.4 | 8.0 | 1769 |
| Primary completed | 46.7 | 50.9 | 64.6 | 6.3 | 80.3 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 402 |
| Middle | 34.7 | 47.1 | 61.9 | 6.0 | 78.7 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 268 |
| Higher | 23.0 | 48.7 | 65.4 | 16.0 | 88.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 106 |
| Total | 41.4 | 44.5 | 57.1 | 4.1 | 69.7 | 13.5 | 8.8 | 4330 |

${ }^{2}$ Percents may add to more than 100 , since children may receive more than one treatment. * Some children did not consult a medical facility but received treatment from other sources.

| Table 6.13 Among children under 5 years of age, the percentage who are reported by the mother as having suffered from severe cough with difficult or rapid breathing in the past four weeks, and, among them the percentage consulting a medical facility, the percentage receiving various treatments, and the percentage not consulting a medical facility and not receiving treatment, according to background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Among Children with Cough, Percent Treated by: ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Not Consulting Medi.Facility 6 No Treat. | No. of Children Under 5 |
| Background Characteristic | Cough in Past 4 Weeks | Consult <br> Medical <br> Facility | Ant1-biotics | Cough Syrup | Other Med1cine | No Treatment |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 6 months | 22.4 | 29.8 | 14.7 | 21.0 | 61.7 | 31.1 | 24.6 | 433 |
| 6-11 months | 30.2 | 53.6 | 24.2 | 30.7 | 59.8 | 21.2 | 11.6 | 540 |
| 12-17 months | 24.7 | 51.6 | 20.0 | 26.0 | 57.7 | 24.6 | 14.5 | 541 |
| 18-23 months | 26.0 | 48.4 | 21.7 | 22.6 | 49.6 | 30.7 | 15.1 | 405 |
| 24-59 months | 19.6 | 49.2 | 25.6 | 28.3 | 58.8 | 19.5 | 13.5 | 2411 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 22.5 | 49.4 | 23.2 | 29.7 | 54.1 | 23.2 | 14.9 | 2122 |
| Female | 22.3 | 47.1 | 23.0 | 24.5 | 62.0 | 22.5 | 14.3 | 2208 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 20.1 | 56.6 | 21.7 | 52.0 | 51.3 | 14.5 | 5.3 | 425 |
| Rural | 22.7 | 47.4 | 23.2 | 24.6 | 58.8 | 23.7 | 15.5 | 3904 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Nile | 25.3 | 56.8 | 21.6 | 16.2 | 51.4 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 240 |
| East | 21.9 | 67.7 | 38.9 | 29.9 | 54.6 | 24.8 | 13.6 | 1178 |
| Central | 19.4 | 50.1 | 15.4 | 37.9 | 67.9 | 12.6 | 8.7 | 1083 |
| West | 15.2 | 52.0 | 12.0 | 32.0 | 72.0 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 269 |
| South West | 26.7 | 29.6 | 18.5 | 15.4 | 56.1 | 29.0 | 18.6 | 1331 |
| Kampala | 20.2 | 59.8 | 17.1 | 59.8 | 45.1 | 12.2 | 2.4 | 228 |
| Luwero Triangle | 17.6 | 50.7 | 27.9 | 28.6 | 72.9 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 447 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 21.3 | 44.2 | 24.5 | 21.3 | 57.1 | 28.2 | 19.4 | 1785 |
| Some primary | 25.2 | 47.4 | 21.4 | 24.9 | 60.8 | 20.7 | 12.9 | 1769 |
| Primary completed | 21.3 | 58.4 | 20.9 | 41.5 | 56.0 | 19.0 | 7.7 | 402 |
| Middle | 16.2 | 68.2 | 30.6 | 56.8 | 51.5 | 10.1 | 7.5 | 268 |
| Higher | 15.8 | 56.5 | 29.8 | 59.8 | 36.5 | 13.5 | 3.4 | 106 |
| Total | 22.4 | 48.2 | 23.1 | 27.0 | 58.1 | 22.9 | 14.6 | 4330 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Percents may add to more than 100 , since children may receive more than treatment. <br> : Some children did not consult a medical facility but received treatment from other sources. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In the UDHS, all children under the age of five born to women interviewed were eligible for measurement of weight and height. Of the 3621 eligible children, 3150 , or 87 percent were weighed and measured. The most common reason for not being measured was that the child was not in the house at the time of the interview.

The accuracy of anthropometric data depends heavily on the ability of the measurer to perform the measurements correctly. In order to minimise errors, team supervisors and field editors were trained to weigh and measure children following procedures described in the United Nations manual "How to Weigh and Measure Children". Each participant was provided with a copy of this manual to which he/she could refer. Equipment consisted of standardised $25-\mathrm{kg}$. hanging scales and portable, wooden measuring boards. Trainees were taught to measure to DHS standards: these are to weigh children to within 100 grams of true weight and to measure supine length within 0.5 centimeters of true length. A test of weighing accuracy was carried out and each team was given two members who passed the test. During the survey, spot checks on measurement techniques were made by an experienced anthropometrist and a second standardisation test was conducted to check on accuracy.

Inaccurate reporting of age of children can adversely affect the validity of the anthropometric data. While age in years is sufficient for most demographic analyses, age in months is required for anthropometric assessment. This is because a child can be misclassified as severely undemourished or ovemourished if his/her reported age is in error by just a few months. In the UDHS, efforts were made to obtain accurate information on birth dates of children by probing carefully and utilising the information on the health card whenever possible. The data presented in the subsequent tables are based on children with exact dates of birth from which exact ages were calculated. Figure 6.4 shows the distribution of all children, and of children measured, by age in months. The presence of minimal heaping indicates that UDHS anthropometric data are not influenced by misreported ages.

Figure 6.4 Age Distribution of Measured Children and All Children
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Four standard indices of physical growth that describe the nutritional status of children 0 through 60 months are presented in this report:

Height-for-age
Weight-for-height
Height-for-age by weight-for-height
Weight-for-age.

Each index provides different information on the nutritional status of children.
Height-for-age is a measure of linear growth. A child who is 2 or more standard deviations (SD) below the mean of the reference population in terms of height for age is considered short for his/her age which could reflect.the cumulative effect of chronic malnutrition. Such a child is referred to as "stunted".

Weight-for-height describes current nutritional status. A child who is 2 or more standard deviations below the mean of the reference population in terms of weight-for-height is considered thin for his/her age which could reflect a recent episode of illness resulting in acute malnutrition. Such a child is referred to as "wasted".

Height-for-age by weight for height is a cross tabulation of the above two indices (also known as a Waterlow table) and can indicate children who are both chronically and acutely undemourished. Children who are 2 or more standard deviations below the mean of the reference population on both indices are considered severely undemourished.

Weight-for-age is a composite index of weight for height and height for age and does not provide additional information beyond that already provided by the three indices described above. However weight-for-age is commonly used in clinical settings to monitor the growth of children on a longitudinal basis and is included in this report to provide a useful reference for clinical weight programmes.

The terms "stunted" and "wasted" are purely descriptive. Stunting is a measure of chronic undernutrition that indicates growth retardation. It is typically associated with poor economic conditions. Severe stunting is a relatively gradual process that represents the accumulated effects of undemutrition over a number of years. Wasting, on the other hand, can develop rapidly. Usually, a child will double its height during the first year of life but triple its weight. The term wasting refers to inadequate food intake which results in thinness or a deficit in tissue and fat mass compared to the amount expected in a healthy, well-fed child. Several factors can precipitate wasting such as infection and disease (most commonly diarrhoeal disease) and seasonal variations of food supply.

Figure 6.5 summarises the height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age findings according to the age of the child. At any age, children exceed the median measures of the reference population, indicating an inadequate nutritional status. Tables 6.14 to 6.17 present each index according to selected background characteristics.

## Height-for-age

Table 6.14 shows the percent distribution of children aged $0-60$ months by standard deviation category from the mean of height-for-age using the NCHS/CDC/WHO international reference. Overall, 45 percent of the children are 2 or more standard deviations below the mean of the reference population. These children are defined as stunted or chronically undernourished. Almost one in five children is severely stunted, that is, 3 or more standard deviations below the mean. These represent relatively high levels of chronic undemutrition. ${ }^{2}$

Stunting is equally distributed among males and females. By age, children become more stunted by 12-23 months but the stunting levels off and remains constant as the child grows older. Children born less than 2 years after a previous birth are more likely to be stunted than those born after intervals of 2 or more years. Multiple births are associated with extreme stunting, with 70 percent being 2 standard deviations or more below the mean of the reference population, compared to 44 percent of children from

[^7]
## Figure 6.5 Nutritional Status Children 3-36 Months
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single births. Children with recent diarrhoea, fever, cough, or other sickness are slightly more likely to be stunted than those who do not have such illnesses.

Urban children are far less likely to be stunted than rural children, with 26 percent moderately or severely stunted in urban areas, compared to 46 percent of children in rural areas. Kampala has the lowest percentage of children stunted ( 22 percent). The percentage of stunted children is also low in Central region ( 35 percent) and highest in South West region ( 54 percent). Stunting decreases as the education of the mother increases. Among women with no education, 48 percent of their children are $-2 S D$ or more below the reference mean, compared to 15 percent of children whose mothers have attained higher education.

## Weight-for height

Weight-for-height is an indicator of recent nutritional status. Children who are 2 or more standard deviations below the mean of the reference population are considered thin for their age (wasted) or acutely undemourished. Severe wasting represents the failure to receive adequate nutrition in the period immediately preceding the survey and may be the result of recent disease episodes, especially diarrhoea, or of seasonal variations in food supply. The weight-for-height index measures body mass in relation to body length and since age is not a variable included in this measure, weight-for-height is not influenced by any possible misreporting of age by the mother.

Table 6.14 Parcent dietribution of children aged 0-60 month by atandard doviation category from the mean of height-for-age, using the NCHS/CDC/WHO International reference population, according to background characteriatics, Uqanda, 1988/89


Table 6.15 shows the percentage of children who fall into various standard deviation categories from the mean of the reference population for weight for height. Approximately 2 percent of children $0-60$ months are 2 or more standard deviations below the reference mean. In fact, the overall distribution of children in Uganda is quite similar to the reference population, indicating that acute undernutrition is not a major problem in Uganda. ${ }^{3}$

There are few differentials by background characteristics in the proportion of children who are wasted. Acute undemutrition is higher among children 12-23 months of age than for children of other ages. The period between one and two years is crucial for children's nutrition, as this is the time they are weaned and supplementary feeding begins. Inadequate and inappropriate supplementary feeding, coupled with a high incidence of diarrhoeal and other infectious diseases predispose children in the age group 1223 months to acute undermutrition. Multiple births are also at higher risk of acute undernutrition, with 7 percent falling 2 or more standard deviations below the reference mean. Regionally, West Nile has the highest acute undernutrition in Uganda, with approximately 4 percent of children falling 2 or more standard deviations below the mean of the reference population.

## Height-for-age by weight-for-height

Table 6.16 and Figure 6.6 show the relationship between shortness and thinness (stunting and wasting) or chronic undernutrition and acute undernutrition. The results represent a cross-tabulation of height-for-age by weight-for-height and indicate that 1 percent of all children aged $0-60$ months are both stunted and wasted. These children fall 2 or more standard deviations below the mean of the reference population both in terms of their height-for-age and their weight-for-height. These are the most severely undemourished children under five years of age. The results also show approximately 38 percent of children in the age group $0-60$ months are stunted but not wasted. These children fall 2 or more standard deviations below the mean of the reference population in terms of height-for-age, but are not wasted. Such children are considered to represent "hidden undernutrition" because they do not look undemourished. They are short, but have more or less normal weight-for-height, so they just look small. Special nutrition programmes need to be developed and implemented in Uganda to address the problem of chronic undemutrition in children under five years of age.

## Weight-for age

Weight-for-age is a combined index which reflects long-term chronic undernutrition and recent acute undernutrition. It does not provide information beyond that already presented in the tables on height-for-age and weight-for-height. The weight-for-age index does not distinguish between a child who is underweight because of thinness and one who is underweight because of shortness. In addition, loss of body weight can occur rapidly and show seasonal fluctuation. Therefore, a single point estimate of weight-for-age, as was done in the UDHS, can sometimes be difficult to interpret, particularly when compared with other estimates obtained at different time periods. Weight-for-age is a measure commonly used in clinical pyrogrammes such as those found in young child clinics in Uganda.

[^8]


Table 6.17 shows the percentage of children aged $0-60$ months in each standard deviation category of weight-for-age. Overall, 23 percent of children fall 2 or more standard deviations below the mean of the reference population. A comparison of weight-for-age by age in months from the UDHS with the international reference population shows that the weight of children 12-23 months in Uganda is considerably lower than the international reference population. Children from multiple births and children in West Nile are more likely to have low weight-for age than other children ${ }^{4}$.

[^9]Figure 6.6
Cross-tabulation of Weight-for-Height and Height-for-Age


Table 6.17 Percent distribution of children aged $0-60$ months by standard deviation category from the mean of welght-for-age, using the NCHS/CDC/WHO international reference population, according to background characteristics, Uganda, 1988/89


| Fever in 4 weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fever | 6.0 | 20.7 | 32.5 | 37.3 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1649 |
| No fever | 4.2 | 16.4 | 32.2 | 42.5 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 2094 |


| Cough in 4 weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Cough | 6.3 | 21.1 | 30.4 | 39.0 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| No cough | 4.6 | 17.4 | 33.0 | 40.5 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 100.0 |
|  | 2846 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West Nile | 6.3 | 26.8 | 25.2 | 35.4 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 209 |
| East | 4.3 | 16.9 | 39.0 | 41.4 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1040 |
| Central | 4.8 | 16.1 | 30.5 | 43.6 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 905 |
| West | 5.5 | 17.2 | 35.9 | 40.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 238 |
| South Weat | 5.6 | 21.2 | 34.9 | 35.2 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1214 |
| Kampala | 2.2 | 10.5 | 20.6 | 58.8 | 6.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 183 |
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## Sample Design and Implementation

The sample used for the Uganda Demographic and Health Survey was a stratified, weighted probability sample of women aged 15-49 selected from 206 clusters. Due to security problems at the time of sample selection, 9 of the country's 34 districts, containing an estimated 20 percent of the population, were excluded from the sample frame. Primary sampling units in rural areas were sub-parishes, which, in the absence of a more reliable sampling frame, were selected with a probability proportional to the number of registered taxpayers in the sup-parish. This gives a first stage probability of:

$$
P_{1 i}=\left(a * T_{i}\right) / T
$$

where
a is the number of sub-parishes selected,
$T_{i} \quad$ is the number of taxpayers in the sub-parish, and
T is the total number for taxpayers in all sub-parishes.
Teams visited each selected sub-parish and listed all he households by name of the household head. Individual households were then selected for the interview from this list. The conditional household probability, $P_{2}$, was calculated so that $P_{1 i} * P_{2}=f$, where $f$ is the overall sampling fraction. The parameter $f$ was estimated with the housing listing operation by stopping at every 10th household and recording the number of persons who slept last night in that household. In addition the South West region and the area in Central region known as Luwero Triangle were each over-sampled to provide a sample with sufficient size to produce independent estimates of certain variables for these two areas.

The urban sector was over-sampled by a factor of three compared with a proportionate urban/rural sample. Since it was not possible to use an appropriate sampling frame in the urban area, it was necessary to look for an alternative procedure. A convenient solution avoiding excessive cost was to use a two-phase sampling. A description of each phase follows.

- 1st Phase: A complete list of all administrative urban areas known as Resistance Council Ones (RC1s) was compiled and a sampling frame was created by systematically selecting 200 of these units with equal probability for a complete household updating.
- 2nd Phase: After the first phase selection and updating was completed, a sub-sample of 50 RCls were selected with probability proportional to size (size as reported in the housing listing). At the subsequent stage, 20 households were then systematically selected in each of the 50 RCls for a total of 1,000 urban households.


## Characteristics of the Sample

Table A. 1 provides a summary of the outcome of the fieldwork for households and eligible women. Out of 5,587 addresses visited, 5,123 households were located. The remaining addresses (8.3 percent) were not valid households, either because the dwelling had been vacated or destroyed, or the household could not be located or did not exist. Of the located households, 5101 were successfully interviewed, producing a household response rate of 99.6 percent.


The household questionnaires identified 4,857 women eligible for the individual interview (that is, they were aged 15-49 and had spent the night before the interview in the selected household). This represents an average of slightly under one eligible women per household. Questionnaires were completed for 4,730 women, indicating an individual response rate of 98.4 percent. The overall response rate, that is, the product of response rates at the household and individual levels was 98.0 percent (Table A.1).

Contact was not made with 127 eligible women, either because the respondent was not at home during any of the visits by the interviewer, or because the respondent refused to be interviewed, or because of other reasons. In any case, the overall level of nonresponse is very low.

The response rates for the urban-rural areas, and regions were similar (Table A.2). In the urban areas, the overall individual response rate was 96.0 percent, compared with 97.7 percent for the rural areas. These lower rates of response in the urban areas are influenced by the low rates of response observed for Kampala.

| Table A. 2 | Summary of results of Household and Individual interviews and Response Rates by Place of Residence, UDHS 1988/89 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place of Residence | HOUSEHOLD |  | INDIVIDUAL |  |
|  | Number | Rates | Number | Rates |
| Urban | 931 | 98.4 | 1,004 | 96.0 |
| Rural | 4,192 | 99.8 | 3,853 | 97.7 |
| West Nile | 149 | 100.0 | 164 | 97.6 |
| East | 975 | 99.6 | 890 | 97.1 |
| Central | 1,849 | 99.0 | 1,433 | 97.4 |
| West | 382 | 99.0 | 349 | 98.6 |
| South West | 1,353 | 99.0 | 1,465 | 98.2 |
| Kampala | 448 | 96.7 | 556 | 95.0 |
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The results from sample surveys are affected by two types of errors: (1) nonsampling error and (2) sampling error. Nonsampling error is due to mistakes made in carrying out field activities, such as failure to locate and interview the correct household, errors in the way questions are asked, misunderstanding of the questions on the part of either the interviewer or the respondent, data entry errors, etc. Although efforts were made during the design and implementation of the UDHS to minimize this type of error, nonsampling errors are impossible to avoid and difficult to evaluate analytically.

The sample of women selected in the UDHS is only one of many samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and expected size. Each one would have yielded results that differed somewhat from the actual sample selected. The sampling error is a measure of the variability between all possible samples; although it is not known exactly, it can be estimated from the survey results. Sampling error is usually measured in terms of the "standard error" of a particular statistic (mean, percentage, etc.), which is the square root of the variance. The standard error can be used to calculate confidence intervals within which one can be reasonably assured that, apart from nonsampling errors, the true value of the variable for the whole population falls. For example, for any given statistic calculated from a sample survey, the value of that same statistic as measured in 95 percent of all possible samples with the same design (and expected size) will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error of that statistic.

If the sample of women had been selected as a simple random sample, it would have been possible to use strightforward formulas for calculating sampling errors. However, the UDHS sample design depended on stratification, stages, and clusters; consequently, it was necessary to utilize more complex formulas. The computer package CLUSTERS was used to assist in computing the sampling errors with the proper statistical methodology.

The CLUSTERS programme treats any percentage or average as a ratio estimate, $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{x}$. where both $x$ and $y$ are considered to be random variables. The variance of $r$ is computed using the formula given below, with the standard error being the square root of the variance:
$\operatorname{var}(r)=\frac{1-f}{x^{2}} \sum_{h=1}^{H}\left[\frac{m_{h}}{m_{h}-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} z_{h i}^{2}-\frac{z_{h}^{2}}{m_{h}}\right)\right]$
in which, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{hi}}-\mathrm{r} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{h}}$, and $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{rx}_{\mathrm{h}}$, where
h represents the stratum and varies from 1 to H ,
$m_{b} \quad$ is the total number of EAs selected in the $h$-th stratum,
$y_{\mathrm{ti}} \quad$ is the sum of the values of variable y in cluster i in the h -th stratum,
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{bi}} \quad$ is the sum of the number of cases (women) in cluster i in the h -th stratum, and
f is the overall sampling fraction, which is so small that the CLUSTERS programme ignores it.

In addition to the standard errors, CLUSTERS computes the design effect (DEFT) for each estimate, which is defined as the ratio between the standard error using the given sample design and the standard error that would result if a simple random sample had been used. A DEFT value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample; a value greater than 1.0 indicates the increase in the sampling error due to the use of a more complex and less statistically efficient design.

Sampling errors are presented in Tables B.2.1-B.2.12 for 35 variables considered to be of major interest. Results are presented for the whole country, for urban and rural areas, for women in three broad age groups, and for the six regions as defined in this report. For each variable, the type of statistic (mean, proportion) and the base population are given in Table B.1. For each variable, Table B.2.1-B.2.12 presents the value of the statistic, its standard error, the number of unweighted and weighted cases, the design effect, the relative standard error, and the 95 percent confidence limits.

The confidence interval has the following interpretation. For the mean number of children ever bom (CEB), the overall average from the sample is 3.493 and its standard error is 0.049 . Therefore, to obtain the 95 percent confidence limits, one adds and subtracts twice the standard error to the sample estimate, i.e., $3.493+$ or $-(2 \times 0.049)$, which means that there is a high probability ( 95 percent) that the true average number of children ever bom falls within the interval of 3.395 to 3.592 .

The relative standard error for most estimates for the country as a whole is small, except for estimates of very small proportions. The magnitude of the error increases as estimates for subpopulations such as particular age groups, and especially geographical areas, are considered. For the variable CEB, for example, the relative standard error (as a percentage of the estimated mean) for the whole country, rural areas, and Kampala is, respectively, 1.4 percent, 1.4 percent, and 7.1 percent. This means that the survey can provide estimates of CEB only with a margin of uncertainty (at the 95 percent confidence level) of $+/-2.8$ percent, 2.8 percent, and 14.2 percent respectively for these three domains.

| Variable | Type | Description | Base Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDUC | Proportion | With educa | All women |
| SECED | Proportion | Secondary or more | All women |
| CUNION | Proportion | Currently in union | All women |
| MBEFI日 | Proportion | Union before 18 | All women |
| BBEFI8 | Proportion | Birth before 18 | All women |
| CEB | Mean | Children ever born | All women |
| CEB40 | Mean | Children ever born | Women 40-49 |
| CSUR | Mean | Children surviving | All women |
| PREG | Proportion | Pregnant | All women |
| KNW | Proportion | Knowing any method | Women in union |
| KNWMOD | Proportion | Knowing any modern method | Women in union |
| KNWSRC | Proportion | Knowing method's source | Women in union |
| KNWOV | Proportion | Knows ovulatory cycle | Women in union |
| EVUS | Proportion | Ever use any method | Women in union |
| CURUSE | proportion | Currently using any method | Women in uion |
| PILLUS | Proportion | Using pill | Women in union |
| TRUSE | proportion | Using traditional methods | Women in union |
| APPRF | Proportion | Approving family planning | Women in union |
| WANTNM | Proportion | Wanting no more children | Women in union |
| WANT2 | Proportion | Wanting to delay $2+$ years | Women in union |
| BF | Mean | Breastfeeding interval | Births in last 3 years |
| AMEN | Mean | Amenorrhea interval | Births in last 3 years |
| ABST | Mean | Postpartum interval | Births in last 3 years |
| tetanu | Proportion | Received tetanus | Mothers, births last 5 years |
| ATTE | Proportion | Medical attention at blrth | Mothers, births last 5 years |
| WCARD | Proportion | Had health card seen | Children 12-23 monthg* |
| BCG | Proportion | Received BCG | Children 12-23 months* |
| DPT | Proportion | Received DPT (3 dosis) | Children 12-23 months* |
| POL | Proportion | Received Polio (3 dosis) | Children 12-23 months* |
| MEASL | Proportion | Received Measles | Children 12-23 months* |
| FULLIM | Proportion | Fully immunized | Children 12-23 months* |
| DIAR | Proportion | Diarrhea last 2 weeks | Children < 5 years |
| HAGE | Proportion | Height for age | Children < 5 years |
| WAGE | Proportion | Weight for age | Children < 5 years |
| WHEIGH | Proportion | Weight for height | Children < 5 years |

* With health card seen.

Table B.2.1 Sampling errors for the entire sample, UDHS, 1988/89

| Variable | Value | Standard orror | Unweighted number | Weightod number | Design offect | Relative error | Confidence Limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| EDUC | . 622 | . 012 | 4730.0 | 4730.0 | 1.679 | . 019 | . 598 | . 646 |
| SECED | . 103 | . 008 | 4730.0 | 4730.0 | 1.817 | . 078 | . 086 | .119 |
| CUNION | . 672 | . 009 | 4730.0 | 4730.0 | 1.301 | . 013 | . 655 | . 690 |
| MBEF18 | . 537 | . 011 | 4730.0 | 4730.0 | 1.571 | . 021 | . 514 | . 560 |
| BBEF18 | . 408 | . 010 | 4730.0 | 4730.0 | 1.465 | . 026 | . 387 | . 429 |
| CEB | 3.493 | . 049 | 4730.0 | 4730.0 | 1.032 | . 014 | 3.395 | 3.592 |
| CEB40 | 7.487 | . 167 | 619.0 | 649.6 | 1.177 | . 022 | 7.153 | 7.820 |
| CSUR | 2.827 | . 040 | 4730.0 | 4730.0 | 1.017 | . 014 | 2.746 | 2.907 |
| PREG | . 130 | . 005 | 4730.0 | 4730.0 | 1.124 | . 042 | . 119 | . 140 |
| KNW | . 840 | . 010 | 3055.0 | 3180.1 | 1.482 | . 012 | . 820 | . 859 |
| ICNWMOD | . 779 | . 012 | 3055.0 | 3180.1 | 1.659 | . 016 | . 754 | . 804 |
| IONWSRC | . 722 | . 013 | 3055.0 | 3180.1 | 1.614 | . 018 | . 696 | . 748 |
| KNWOV | . 102 | . 006 | 3055.0 | 3180.1 | 1.026 | . 055 | . 091 | . 113 |
| Evus | . 215 | .012 | 3055.0 | 3180.1 | 1.663 | . 058 | . 190 | . 239 |
| CURUSE | . 049 | . 005 | 3055.0 | 3180.1 | 1.166 | . 093 | . 040 | . 058 |
| PILLUS | . 011 | . 002 | 3055.0 | 3180.1 | . 996 | . 173 | . 007 | . 014 |
| TRUSE | . 024 | . 003 | 3055.0 | 3180.1 | 1.046 | . 122 | . 018 | . 029 |
| APPRFP | . 653 | . 015 | 3055.0 | 3180.1 | 1.797 | . 024 | . 622 | . 684 |
| WANTNM | . 194 | . 009 | 3055.0 | 3180.1 | 1.201 | . 044 | . 177 | . 211 |
| WANT2 | . 334 | . 009 | 3055.0 | 3180.1 | 1.082 | . 028 | . 316 | . 353 |
| BF | 18.613 | . 329 | 3165.0 | 3215.6 | 1.187 | . 018 | 17.954 | 19.272 |
| AMEN | 12.706 | . 301 | 3165.0 | 3215.6 | 1.088 | . 024 | 12.103 | 13.308 |
| ABST | 4.144 | . 271 | 3165.0 | 3215.6 | 1.344 | . 065 | 3.602 | 4.686 |
| tetanu | . 556 | . 014 | 4915.0 | 5003.9 | 1.625 | . 025 | . 528 | . 584 |
| ATte | . 028 | . 003 | 4915.0 | 5003.9 | 1.228 | . 119 | . 022 | . 035 |
| WCARD | .493 | . 021 | 481.0 | 466.7 | 1.263 | . 042 | . 452 | . 535 |
| BCG | . 980 | . 007 | 481.0 | 466.7 | 1.009 | . 007 | . 967 | . 993 |
| DPT | . 570 | . 027 | 481.0 | 466.7 | 1.179 | . 048 | . 515 | . 625 |
| POL | . 574 | . 028 | 481.0 | 466.7 | 1.189 | . 048 | . 518 | . 629 |
| MEASL | . 715 | . 030 | 481.0 | 466.7 | 1.415 | . 042 | . 655 | . 775 |
| FULLIM | . 477 | . 029 | 481.0 | 466.7 | 1.243 | . 061 | . 419 | . 536 |
| DIAR | .243 | . 009 | 4268.0 | 4329.6 | 1.338 | . 037 | . 225 | . 261 |
| HAGE | . 448 | . 010 | 4268.0 | 4329.6 | 1.074 | . 021 | . 429 | .467 |
| WAGE | . 234 | . 009 | 4268.0 | 4329.6 | 1.185 | . 038 | . 216 | . 251 |
| WHE IGH | . 019 | . 002 | 4268.0 | 4329.6 | 1.062 | . 129 | . 014 | . 024 |

Table B.2.2 Sampling errors for the urban population, UDHS, 1988/89

| Variable | Value | Standard error | Unweighted number | ```Weight- ed number``` | Design effect | Relative error | Confidence $R-2 S E$ | Limits <br> R+2SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDUC | . 866 | . 015 | 964.0 | 542.2 | 1.393 | . 018 | . 836 | . 897 |
| SECED | . 389 | . 030 | 964.0 | 542.2 | 1.935 | . 078 | . 328 | . 450 |
| CUNION | . 535 | . 022 | 964.0 | 542.2 | 1.381 | . 041 | . 491 | . 580 |
| MBEF18 | . 376 | . 025 | 964.0 | 542.2 | 1.584 | . 066 | . 326 | .425 |
| BBEF18 | . 331 | . 025 | 964.0 | 542.2 | 1.620 | . 074 | . 282 | . 380 |
| CEB | 2.611 | . 130 | 964.0 | 542.2 | 1.439 | . 050 | 2.350 | 2.872 |
| CEB40 | 6.845 | . 379 | 84.0 | 47.2 | . 921 | . 055 | 6.087 | 7.603 |
| CSUR | 2.225 | . 107 | 964.0 | 542.2 | 1.333 | . 048 | 2.010 | 2.440 |
| PREG | . 124 | . 010 | 964.0 | 542.2 | . 939 | . 080 | . 105 | . 144 |
| KNW | . 957 | . 009 | 516.0 | 290.2 | . 970 | . 009 | . 940 | . 975 |
| KNWMOD | . 942 | . 011 | 516.0 | 290.2 | 1.030 | . 011 | . 921 | . 963 |
| KNWSRC | . 903 | . 013 | 516.0 | 290.2 | 1.001 | . 014 | . 877 | . 929 |
| KNWOV | . 198 | . 026 | 516.0 | 290.2 | 1.475 | . 131 | . 146 | . 249 |
| EVUS | . 525 | . 029 | 516.0 | 290.2 | 1.302 | . 055 | . 468 | . 582 |
| CURUSE | .180 | . 021 | 516.0 | 290.2 | 1.248 | .117 | . 138 | . 222 |
| PILLUS | . 070 | . 013 | 516.0 | 290.2 | 1.151 | .185 | . 044 | . 096 |
| TRUSE | . 058 | . 010 | 516.0 | 290.2 | 1.014 | . 180 | . 037 | . 079 |
| APPRFP | . 793 | . 019 | 516.0 | 290.2 | 1.074 | . 024 | . 754 | . 831 |
| WANTNM | . 209 | . 023 | 516.0 | 290.2 | 1.271 | . 109 | . 164 | . 255 |
| WANT2 | . 364 | . 021 | 516.0 | 290.2 | . 991 | . 058 | . 322 | . 406 |
| BF | 15.337 | . 713 | 561.0 | 315.5 | 1.090 | . 047 | 13.910 | 16.764 |
| AMEN | 9.369 | . 630 | 561.0 | 315.5 | 1.020 | . 067 | 8.10910 | 10.629 |
| ABST | 5.904 | . 638 | 561.0 | 315.5 | 1.111 | .108 | 4.628 | 7.179 |
| TETANU | . 749 | . 013 | 866.0 | 487.1 | . 752 | . 018 | . 723 | . 776 |
| ATTE | . 122 | . 017 | 866.0 | 487.1 | 1.207 | .135 | . 089 | . 156 |
| WCARD | . 565 | . 047 | 87.0 | 48.9 | 1.174 | . 084 | .470 | . 660 |
| BCG | . 977 | . 017 | 87.0 | 48.9 | 1.029 | . 017 | .944 | 1.010 |
| DPT | . 851 | . 039 | 87.0 | 48.9 | . 952 | . 046 | . 773 | . 928 |
| POL | . 851 | . 039 | 87.0 | 48.9 | . 952 | . 046 | . 773 | . 928 |
| MEASL | . 839 | . 048 | 87.0 | 48.9 | 2.210 | . 057 | . 743 | . 935 |
| FULLIM | . 759 | . 047 | 87.0 | 48.9 | . 991 | . 062 | . 664 | . 853 |
| DIAR | . 201 | . 017 | 756.0 | 425.2 | 1.120 | . 086 | . 167 | . 236 |
| HAGE | . 257 | . 021 | 756.0 | 425.2 | 1.061 | . 081 | . 215 | . 299 |
| WAGE | . 128 | . 016 | 756.0 | 425.2 | 1.116 | . 125 | . 096 | . 160 |
| WHE IGH | . 010 | . 004 | 756.0 | 425.2 | 1.004 | . 406 | . 002 | . 018 |


| Table 8.2.3 Sampling errors for the rural population, UDHS, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Value | Standard error | Unwelghted number | Weighted number | Design effect | Relative error | Confi <br> R-2SE | ce Limits <br> R+2SE |
| EDUC | . 590 | . 013 | 3766.0 | 4187.8 | 1.662 | . 023 | . 564 | . 617 |
| SECED | . 065 | . 007 | 3766.0 | 4187.8 | 1.852 | .114 | . 050 | . 080 |
| CUNION | . 690 | . 009 | 3766.0 | 4187.8 | 1.256 | . 014 | . 671 | . 709 |
| MBEF18 | . 558 | . 012 | 3766.0 | 9187.8 | 1.484 | . 022 | . 534 | . 582 |
| BBEF 18 | . 418 | . 011 | 3766.0 | 9187.8 | 1.392 | . 027 | . 396 | . 440 |
| CEB | 3.607 | . 052 | 3766.0 | 4187.8 | . 952 | . 014 | 3.504 | 3.710 |
| CEB40 | 7.537 | . 178 | 535.0 | 602.3 | 1.172 | . 024 | 7.182 | 7.892 |
| CSUR | 2.905 | . 042 | 3766.0 | 4187.8 | . 947 | . 015 | 2.820 | 2.989 |
| PREG | .130 | . 006 | 3766.0 | 4187.8 | 1.105 | . 047 | . 118 | . 142 |
| KNW | . 828 | . 011 | 2539.0 | 2889.9 | 1.436 | .013 | . 806 | . 849 |
| KNWMMOD | . 763 | . 014 | 2539.0 | 2889.9 | 1.615 | . 018 | . 735 | . 790 |
| KNWSRC | . 704 | . 014 | 2539.0 | 2889.9 | 1.579 | . 020 | . 675 | . 732 |
| KNWOV | . 092 | . 006 | 2539.0 | 2889.9 | . 974 | . 061 | . 081 | . 104 |
| EvUS | .183 | . 013 | 2539.0 | 2889.9 | 1.733 | . 073 | . 157 | . 210 |
| CURUSE | . 036 | . 004 | 2539.0 | 2889.9 | 1.192 | . 123 | . 027 | . 044 |
| PILLUS | . 005 | . 002 | 2539.0 | 2889.9 | 1.113 | . 320 | . 002 | . 008 |
| TRUSE | . 020 | . 003 | 2539.0 | 2889.9 | 1.066 | . 148 | . 014 | . 026 |
| APPRFP | . 639 | . 017 | 2539.0 | 2889.9 | 1.766 | . 026 | . 605 | . 672 |
| WANTNM | . 193 | . 009 | 2539.0 | 2889.9 | 1.168 | . 047 | .174 | .211 |
| WANT2 | . 331 | . 010 | 2539.0 | 2889.9 | 1.068 | . 030 | . 311 | . 351 |
| BF | 18.969 | . 361 | 2604.0 | 2900.1 | 1.172 | . 019 | 18.247 | 19.691 |
| AMEN | 13.069 | . 327 | 2604.0 | 2900.1 | 1.059 | . 025 | 12.415 | 13.723 |
| ABST | 3.953 | . 292 | 2604.0 | 2900.1 | 1.336 | . 074 | 3.369 | 4.537 |
| tetanu | . 535 | . 015 | 4049.0 | 4516.8 | 1.594 | . 028 | . 505 | . 565 |
| ATte | . 018 | . 003 | 4049.0 | 4516.8 | 1.402 | . 179 | . 012 | . 025 |
| WCARD | .486 | . 022 | 394.0 | 417.8 | 1.226 | . 046 | . 441 | . 531 |
| BCG | . 980 | . 007 | 394.0 | 417.8 | . 984 | . 007 | . 966 | . 994 |
| DPT | . 537 | . 030 | 394.0 | 417.8 | 1.134 | . 055 | . 478 | . 596 |
| POL | . 541 | . 030 | 394.0 | 417.8 | 1.144 | . 055 | . 481 | . 601 |
| MEASL | . 700 | . 033 | 394.0 | 417.8 | 1.371 | . 047 | . 634 | . 766 |
| FULLIM | .445 | . 031 | 394.0 | 417.8 | 1.198 | . 070 | . 382 | . 507 |
| DIAR | .248 | . 010 | 3512.0 | 3904.4 | 1.303 | . 039 | . 228 | . 267 |
| HAGE | . 467 | . 010 | 3512.0 | 3904.4 | 1.008 | . 021 | . 447 | . 487 |
| WAGE | . 244 | . 009 | 3512.0 | 3904.4 | 1.129 | . 039 | . 225 | . 263 |
| WHE I GH | . 020 | . 003 | 3512.0 | 3904.4 | 1.017 | . 134 | . 015 | . 025 |


| Table B. 2. | Sampling errors for women aged 15-24, UDHS, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Value | Standard error | Unweigited number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight- } \\ & \text { ed } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ | Design effect | Rela- <br> tive <br> erior | Confidence Limits |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| EDUC | . 750 | . 013 | 2181.0 | 2142.4 | 1.900 | . 017 | . 724 | . 776 |
| SECED | . 130 | . 011 | 2181.0 | 2142.4 | 1.582 | . 088 | . 107 | . 153 |
| CUNION | . 528 | . 014 | 2181.0 | 2142.4 | 1.327 | . 027 | . 500 | . 557 |
| MBEF18 | . 441 | . 015 | 2181.0 | 2142.4 | 1.391 | . 034 | . 412 | . 471 |
| BBEFIA | .330 | . 013 | 2181.0 | 2142.4 | 1.337 | . 041 | . 304 | . 357 |
| CEB | 1.067 | . 035 | 2181.0 | 2142.4 | 1.310 | .033 | . 997 | 1.136 |
| CEB40 | . 000 | . 000 | . 0 | . 0 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| CSUR | . 884 | . 028 | 2181.0 | 2142.4 | 1.224 | . 032 | . 828 | . 940 |
| PREG | . 148 | . 009 | 2181.0 | 2142.4 | 1.137 | . 059 | . 130 | .165 |
| KNW | . 838 | .016 | 1083.0 | 1131.5 | 1.460 | . 020 | . 805 | . 870 |
| KNWMOD | . 768 | . 021 | 1083.0 | 1131.5 | 1.648 | . 028 | . 725 | . 810 |
| KNWSRC | . 717 | . 021 | 1083.0 | 1131.5 | 1.530 | . 029 | . 675 | . 759 |
| KNWOV | .100 | . 011 | 1083.0 | 1131.5 | 1.172 | . 107 | . 078 | . 121 |
| EVUS | .190 | . 014 | 1083.0 | 1131.5 | 1.204 | . 076 | . 161 | . 219 |
| curuse | . 024 | . 004 | 1083.0 | 1131.5 | . 946 | . 184 | . 015 | . 033 |
| PILLUS | . 010 | . 003 | 1083.0 | 1131.5 | 1.047 | . 312 | . 004 | . 017 |
| TRUSE | . 012 | . 003 | 1083.0 | 1131.5 | . 920 | . 249 | . 006 | . 019 |
| APPRFP | . 648 | . 021 | 1083.0 | 1131.5 | 1.425 | . 032 | .607 | . 689 |
| WANTNM | . 024 | . 006 | 1083.0 | 1131.5 | 1.211 | . 234 | . 013 | . 035 |
| WANT2 | . 466 | . 016 | 1083.0 | 1131.5 | 1.084 | . 035 | . 433 | . 499 |
| BF | 18.480 | . 607 | 1273.0 | 1301.5 | 2.366 | . 033 | 17.267 | 19.693 |
| AMEN | 11.516 | . 470 | 1273.0 | 1301.5 | 1.097 | . 041 | 10.576 | 12.456 |
| ABST | 4.193 | . 311 | 1273.0 | 1301.5 | . 982 | . 074 | 3.571 | 4.816 |
| tetanu | . 586 | . 016 | 1743.0 | 1789.9 | 1.204 | . 028 | . 554 | . 619 |
| ATte | . 032 | . 006 | 1743.0 | 1789.9 | 1.245 | . 186 | . 020 | . 044 |
| WCARD | . 502 | . 030 | 194.0 | 195.6 | 1.200 | . 061 | . 441 | . 563 |
| BCG | . 993 | . 005 | 194.0 | 195.6 | . 855 | . 005 | . 983 | 1.003 |
| DPT | . 564 | . 039 | 194.0 | 195.6 | 1.093 | . 069 | . 487 | . 641 |
| POL | . 568 | . 039 | 194.0 | 195.6 | 1.118 | . 069 | . 489 | . 647 |
| MEASL | . 716 | . 047 | 194.0 | 195.6 | 1.467 | . 066 | . 623 | . 810 |
| FULLIM | . 475 | . 043 | 194.0 | 195.6 | 1.194 | . 090 | . 390 | . 560 |
| DIAR | . 264 | . 013 | 1482.0 | 1521.4 | 1.145 | . 049 | . 238 | . 290 |
| hage | . 439 | . 018 | 1482.0 | 1521.4 | 1.200 | . 042 | . 402 | . 475 |
| WAGE | . 229 | . 014 | 1482.0 | 1521.4 | 1.158 | . 063 | . 200 | .257 |
| WHE I GH | . 016 | . 004 | 1482.0 | 1521.4 | 1.127 | . 248 | . 008 | . 024 |

Table B.2.5 Sampling errors for women aged 25-34, UDHS, 1988/89

| Variable | Value | Standard error | Unweighted number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight- } \\ & \text { ed } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ | Design effect | Relative error | Confidence Limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-25E | R+2SE |
| EDUC | . 593 | . 019 | 1478.0 | 1479.2 | 1.513 | . 033 | . 554 | . 632 |
| SECED | . 095 | . 011 | 1478.0 | 1479.2 | 1.394 | . 112 | . 074 | . 116 |
| CUNION | . 819 | . 011 | 1478.0 | 1479.2 | 1.120 | . 014 | . 796 | . 841 |
| MBEEIB | . 586 | . 015 | 1478.0 | 1479.2 | 1.193 | . 026 | . 555 | . 616 |
| BBEF18 | . 476 | . 015 | 1478.0 | 1479.2 | 1.138 | . 031 | . 447 | . 506 |
| CEB | 4.232 | . 065 | 1478.0 | 1479.2 | 2.120 | . 015 | 4.102 | 4.362 |
| CEB40 | . 000 | . 000 | . 0 | . 0 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| CSUR | 3.463 | . 059 | 1478.0 | 1479.2 | 1.137 | . 017 | 3.345 | 3.580 |
| PREG | . 158 | . 010 | 1478.0 | 1479.2 | 1.049 | . 063 | . 139 | .178 |
| KNW | . 856 | . 012 | 1181.0 | 1211.2 | 1.177 | . 014 | . 832 | . 880 |
| KNWMOD | . 806 | . 015 | 1181.0 | 1211.1 | 1.281 | . 018 | . 776 | . 835 |
| KNWSRC | . 744 | . 016 | 1181.0 | 1211.1 | 1.241 | . 021 | . 712 | . 775 |
| KNWOV | . 108 | . 010 | 1181.0 | 1211.1 | 1.132 | . 095 | . 088 | . 129 |
| EVUS | .234 | . 015 | 1181.0 | 1211.1 | 1.201 | . 063 | . 204 | . 264 |
| CURUSE | . 050 | . 007 | 1181.0 | 1211.1 | 1.090 | . 139 | .036 | . 064 |
| Pillus | . 011 | . 003 | 1181.0 | 1211.1 | . 000 | . 277 | . 005 | . 017 |
| truse | . 028 | . 004 | 1181.0 | 1211.1 | . 924 | . 160 | . 019 | . 036 |
| APPRFP | . 671 | . 017 | 1181.0 | 1211.1 | 1.221 | . 025 | . 637 | . 704 |
| WANTMM | . 158 | .013 | 1181.0 | 1211.1 | 1.223 | .082 | .132 | .184 |
| WANT2 | . 371 | . 015 | 1181.0 | 1211.1 | 1.097 | . 042 | . 341 | . 402 |
| BF | 18.359 | . 462 | 1379.0 | 1301.5 | 1.126 | . 025 | 17.434 | 19.283 |
| AMEN | 12.734 | . 418 | 1379.0 | 1301.5 | 1.009 | .033 | 11.898 | 13.571 |
| ABST | 3.715 | . 421 | 1379.0 | 1301.5 | 1.438 | . 113 | 2.873 | 4.556 |
| tetanu | . 545 | . 018 | 2273.0 | 2267.8 | 1.424 | . 034 | . 508 | . 582 |
| ATtE | . 028 | . 004 | 2273.0 | 2267.8 | 1.022 | . 154 | . 019 | . 037 |
| WCARD | . 474 | . 026 | 209.0 | 193.0 | 1.050 | . 055 | . 421 | . 526 |
| BCG | . 970 | . 012 | 209.0 | 193.0 | . 957 | . 012 | . 946 | . 994 |
| DPT | . 614 | . 040 | 209.0 | 193.0 | 1.131 | . 066 | . 533 | . 695 |
| POL | . 614 | . 041 | 209.0 | 193.0 | 1.148 | . 067 | . 532 | . 696 |
| MEASL | . 739 | . 043 | 209.0 | 193.0 | 1.342 | . 058 | . 654 | . 825 |
| FULLIM | . 509 | . 042 | 209.0 | 193.0 | 1.154 | . 083 | . 424 | . 593 |
| DIAR | .234 | . 011 | 1995.0 | 1972.8 | 1.081 | . 046 | . 212 | . 255 |
| HAGE | . 464 | . 014 | 1995.0 | 1972.8 | 1.031 | . 030 | . 437 | . 492 |
| WAGE | . 247 | . 013 | 1995.0 | 1972.8 | 1.150 | . 054 | . 220 | . 274 |
| WHEIGH | . 019 | .003 | 1995.0 | 1972.8 | . 979 | . 167 | . 013 | . 026 |



| Table B. 2.7 | Sampling errors for West Nile Region, UDHS, 1988/89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Value | Stan- <br> dard <br> error | Unveighted number | ```Weight- ed number``` | Design effect | Relative error | Confidence Limits |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| EDUC | . 348 | . 055 | 161.0 | 264.5 | 1.463 | . 158 | . 238 | . 458 |
| SECED | . 043 | . 034 | 161.0 | 264.5 | 2.079 | . 771 | -. 024 | .111 |
| CUNION | . 733 | . 035 | 161.0 | 264.5 | 1.012 | . 048 | . 662 | . 804 |
| MBEFI8 | . 559 | . 085 | 161.0 | 264.5 | 2.154 | . 151 | .390 | . 728 |
| BBEF18 | . 404 | . 066 | 161.0 | 264.5 | 1.708 | . 164 | . 271 | . 536 |
| CEB | 3.640 | . 078 | 161.0 | 264.5 | . 342 | . 022 | 3.483 | 3.796 |
| CEB40 | 7.381 | . 449 | 21.0 | 34.5 | . 935 | . 061 | 6.482 | 8.280 |
| CSUR | 2.832 | . 067 | 161.0 | 264.5 | . 365 | . 024 | 2.698 | 2.967 |
| PREG | . 112 | . 020 | 161.0 | 264.5 | .813 | . 181 | . 071 | . 152 |
| KNW | . 559 | . 040 | 118.0 | 193.9 | . 862 | . 071 | . 480 | . 638 |
| KNWMOD | . 178 | . 018 | 118.0 | 193.9 | . 503 | . 100 | . 142 | .214 |
| KNWSRC | .119 | . 023 | 118.0 | 193.9 | . 780 | . 196 | . 072 | . 165 |
| KNWOV | . 059 | . 012 | 118.0 | 193.9 | . 548 | . 202 | . 035 | . 083 |
| EVUS | . 085 | . 022 | 118.0 | 193.9 | . 866 | . 263 | . 040 | . 129 |
| curuse | . 008 | . 008 | 118.0 | 193.9 | . 909 | . 909 | -. 007 | . 024 |
| PILLUS | . 000 | . 000 | 118.0 | 193.9 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
| truse | . 008 | . 008 | 118.0 | 193.9 | . 909 | . 909 | -. 007 | . 024 |
| APPRFP | . 373 | . 058 | 118.0 | 193.9 | 1.298 | . 156 | . 257 | . 489 |
| WANTMM | . 110 | . 017 | 118.0 | 193.9 | . 585 | . 154 | . 076 | . 144 |
| WANT2 | . 364 | . 033 | 118.0 | 193.9 | . 744 | . 091 | . 298 | . 431 |
| BF | 25.667 | . 649 | 108.0 | 177.4 | . 926 | . 064 | 22.368 | 28.965 |
| AMEN | 20.000 | . 806 | 108.0 | 177.4 | 1.071 | . 090 | 16.388 | 23.612 |
| ABST | 10.667 | . 387 | 108.0 | 177.4 | . 877 | .130 | 7.892 | 13.441 |
| tetanu | . 575 | . 084 | 167.0 | 274.4 | 1.782 | .146 | . 407 | . 743 |
| ATTE | . 012 | . 012 | 167.0 | 274.4 | 1.471 | . 042 | -. 013 | . 037 |
| WCARD | .586 | . 068 | 17.0 | 27.9 | . 744 | .116 | . 450 | . 723 |
| BCG | 1.000 | . 000 | 17.0 | 27.9 | . 000 | . 000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| DPT | .294 | . 157 | 17.0 | 27.9 | 1.420 | . 535 | -. 021 | . 609 |
| POL | . 294 | . 157 | 17.0 | 27.9 | 1.420 | . 535 | -. 021 | . 609 |
| MEASL | . 824 | . 118 | 17.0 | 27.9 | 1.271 | .143 | . 588 | 1.059 |
| FULLIM | . 294 | . 157 | 17.0 | 27.9 | 1.420 | . 535 | -. 021 | . 609 |
| DIAR | .240 | . 061 | 146.0 | 239.9 | 1.633 | . 255 | . 118 | . 362 |
| HAGE | . 456 | . 050 | 146.0 | 239.9 | . 995 | . 109 | . 356 | . 556 |
| WAGE | . 336 | . 039 | 146.0 | 239.9 | . 827 | .117 | . 257 | . 415 |
| WHE I GH | . 040 | . 009 | 146.0 | 239.9 | . 500 | . 219 | . 023 | . 057 |


| Table B.2.8 Sampling errors for the East Region, UDHS, 1988/8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Value | Stan- <br> dard <br> error | Unweighted number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight- } \\ & \text { ed } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ | Design effect | Rela- <br> tive <br> error | Confidence Limits |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| EDUC | . 601 | . 024 | 865.0 | 1304.5 | 1.457 | . 040 | . 552 | . 649 |
| SECED | . 080 | . 017 | 865.0 | 1304.5 | 1.856 | . 214 | . 046 | .114 |
| CUNION | . 750 | . 019 | 865.0 | 1304.5 | 1.288 | . 025 | . 712 | . 788 |
| MBEF18 | . 646 | . 019 | 865.0 | 1304.5 | 1.167 | . 029 | . 608 | . 684 |
| BEEF18 | . 487 | . 020 | 865.0 | 1304.5 | 1.170 | . 041 | . 447 | . 526 |
| CEB | 3.641 | . 084 | 865.0 | 1304.5 | . 740 | . 023 | 3.474 | 3.809 |
| CEB40 | 7.126 | . 360 | 142.0 | 222.5 | 1.144 | . 051 | 6.406 | 7.846 |
| CSUR | 2.857 | . 074 | 865.0 | 1304.5 | . 815 | . 026 | 2.709 | 3.006 |
| PREG | . 115 | . 010 | 865.0 | 1304.5 | . 922 | . 087 | . 095 | . 135 |
| KNW | . 867 | . 019 | 639.0 | 978.5 | 1.438 | . 022 | . 828 | . 905 |
| KNWMOD | . 848 | . 022 | 639.0 | 978.5 | 1.515 | . 025 | . 805 | . 891 |
| KNWSRC | . 754 | . 028 | 639.0 | 978.5 | 1.618 | . 037 | . 699 | . 809 |
| KNWOV | . 065 | . 008 | 639.0 | 978.5 | . 828 | .124 | . 049 | . 081 |
| EVUS | . 256 | . 032 | 639.0 | 978.5 | 1.874 | . 126 | . 192 | . 321 |
| curuse | . 035 | . 009 | 639.0 | 978.5 | 1.212 | . 250 | . 018 | . 053 |
| PILLUS | . 002 | . 002 | 639.0 | 978.5 | . 898 | . 748 | -. 001 | . 006 |
| truse | . 015 | . 004 | 639.0 | 978.5 | . 891 | . 284 | . 007 | . 024 |
| APPRFP | . 692 | . 031 | 639.0 | 978.5 | 1.701 | . 045 | . 630 | . 754 |
| WANTNM | . 213 | . 020 | 639.0 | 978.5 | 1.256 | . 095 | . 273 | . 254 |
| WANT2 | . 285 | . 015 | 639.0 | 978.5 | . 844 | . 053 | . 255 | . 315 |
| BF | 18.604 | . 530 | 574.0 | 879.3 | . 822 | . 028 | 17.545 | 19.664 |
| AMEN | 13.016 | . 605 | 574.0 | 879.3 | . 950 | . 046 | 11.807 | 14.225 |
| ABST | 4.647 | . 686 | 574.0 | 879.3 | 1.406 | . 148 | 3.276 | 6.018 |
| tetanu | . 689 | . 019 | 900.0 | 1378.2 | . 994 | . 027 | . 651 | . 727 |
| Atte | . 019 | . 005 | 900.0 | 1378.2 | 1.103 | . 290 | . 008 | . 030 |
| WCARD | . 427 | . 032 | 74.0 | 111.9 | . 825 | . 074 | . 364 | .490 |
| BCG | . 966 | . 020 | 74.0 | 111.9 | . 957 | . 021 | . 925 | 1.006 |
| DPT | . 412 | . 061 | 74.0 | 111.9 | 1.064 | . 149 | . 290 | . 535 |
| POL | . 442 | . 062 | 74.0 | 111.9 | 1.055 | . 139 | . 319 | . 565 |
| MEASL | . 584 | . 081 | 74.0 | 111.9 | 1.399 | . 139 | . 422 | .746 |
| FULLIM | . 319 | . 068 | 74.0 | 111.9 | 1.242 | . 212 | . 184 | . 455 |
| DIAR | . 336 | . 021 | 769.0 | 1178.1 | 1.222 | . 062 | . 295 | . 378 |
| HAGE | . 457 | . 024 | 769.0 | 1178.1 | 1.140 | . 053 | . 408 | . 506 |
| WAGE | . 215 | . 018 | 769.0 | 1178.1 | 1.076 | . 084 | . 179 | . 251 |
| WHEIGH | . 014 | . 004 | 769.0 | 1178.1 | . 792 | . 260 | . 006 | . 021 |

Table B.2.9 Sampling errors for the Central Region, UJPS, 1988/89

| Variable | value | Standard error | Unweighted number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight- } \\ & \text { ed } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ | Design effect | Relative error | Confidence Limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| EDUC | . 736 | . 020 | 1392.0 | 1177.3 | 1.675 | . 027 | . 696 | . 775 |
| SECED | . 123 | . 016 | 1392.0 | 1177.3 | 1.809 | . 130 | . 091 | . 154 |
| CUNION | . 660 | . 014 | 1392.0 | 1177.3 | 1.076 | . 021 | . 633 | . 687 |
| MBEFI8 | . 565 | . 020 | 1392.0 | 1177.3 | 1.479 | . 035 | . 526 | . 604 |
| BBEF 18 | . 460 | . 016 | 1392.0 | 1177.3 | 1.218 | . 035 | . 427 | . 492 |
| CEB | 3.555 | . 088 | 1392.0 | 1177.3 | . 991 | . 025 | 3.378 | 3.732 |
| CEB40 | 7.252 | . 326 | 190.0 | 155.5 | 1.115 | . 045 | 6.601 | 7.903 |
| CSUR | 2.916 | . 075 | 1392.0 | 1177.3 | . 990 | . 026 | 2.766 | 3.066 |
| PREG | .143 | . 009 | 1392.0 | 1177.3 | . 922 | . 061 | . 125 | . 160 |
| KNW | . 878 | . 015 | 899.0 | 776.8 | 1.332 | . 017 | . 849 | . 907 |
| INWMMOD | . 787 | . 027 | 899.0 | 776.8 | 2.004 | . 035 | . 732 | . 842 |
| KNWSRC | . 741 | . 026 | 899.0 | 776.8 | 1.762 | . 035 | . 690 | . 793 |
| KNWOV | . 088 | . 011 | 899.0 | 776.8 | 1.217 | . 131 | . 065 | . 110 |
| EVUS | . 186 | . 016 | 899.0 | 776.8 | 1.240 | . 086 | . 154 | . 219 |
| CURUSE | . 047 | . 006 | 899.0 | 776.8 | . 918 | . 138 | . 034 | . 060 |
| PILLUS | . 011 | . 004 | 899.0 | 776.8 | 1.018 | . 315 | . 004 | . 019 |
| TRUSE | . 023 | . 005 | 899.0 | 776.8 | 1.052 | . 229 | . 012 | . 033 |
| APPRFP | . 613 | . 024 | 899.0 | 776.8 | 1.471 | . 039 | . 565 | . 661 |
| WANTMM | .173 | . 014 | 899.0 | 776.8 | 1.139 | . 083 | . 144 | . 202 |
| WANT2 | . 324 | . 016 | 899.0 | 776.8 | 1.044 | . 050 | . 291 | . 357 |
| BF | 16.701 | . 595 | 957.0 | 811.6 | 1.187 | . 036 | 15.511 | 17.892 |
| AMEN | 11.115 | . 489 | 957.0 | 811.6 | . 983 | . 044 | 10.137 | 12.093 |
| ABST | 3.572 | . 397 | 957.0 | 811.6 | 1.155 | . 111 | 2.778 | 4.366 |
| tetanu | . 479 | . 027 | 1483.0 | 1267.4 | 1.715 | . 055 | . 426 | . 532 |
| ATTE | . 039 | . 010 | 1483.0 | 1267.4 | 1.704 | . 253 | . 019 | . 058 |
| WCARD | .416 | . 036 | 133.0 | 104.0 | 1.218 | . 086 | . 345 | . 487 |
| BCG | . 984 | . 009 | 133.0 | 104.0 | . 826 | . 010 | . 965 | 1.003 |
| DPT | . 680 | . 051 | 133.0 | 104.0 | 1.211 | . 076 | . 577 | . 783 |
| POL | . 664 | . 056 | 133.0 | 104.0 | 1.306 | . 085 | . 551 | . 776 |
| MEASL | . 671 | . 078 | 133.0 | 104.0 | 1.819 | .116 | . 515 | . 826 |
| FULLIM | .494 | . 066 | 133.0 | 104.0 | 1.439 | .134 | . 361 | . 626 |
| DIAR | . 190 | . 015 | 1273.0 | 1083.4 | 1.285 | . 078 | . 160 | . 220 |
| hage | . 351 | . 017 | 1273.0 | 1083.4 | 1.024 | . 047 | . 318 | . 385 |
| WAGE | . 208 | .016 | 1273.0 | 1083.4 | 1.194 | . 076 | . 176 | . 239 |
| WHEIGH | . 028 | . 007 | 1273.0 | 1083.4 | 1.258 | . 256 | . 014 | . 042 |



Table B.2.11 Sampling errors for the South Weat Region, UDHS, 1988/89

| Variable | Value | Standard error | Unwe1ghted number | ```Weight- ed number``` | Design effect | Relative error | Confidence Limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | R+2SE |
| EDUC | . 540 | . 022 | 1619.0 | 1414.6 | 1.779 | . 041 | . 496 | . 584 |
| SECED | . 049 | . 011 | 1619.0 | 1414.6 | 1.996 | . 218 | . 028 | . 071 |
| CUNION | . 626 | . 017 | 1619.0 | 1414.6 | 1.390 | . 027 | . 593 | . 660 |
| MBEF18 | . 446 | . 021 | 1619.0 | 1414.6 | 1.674 | . 046 | . 405 | . 487 |
| BBEF18 | . 302 | . 021 | 1619.0 | 1414.6 | 1.856 | . 070 | . 260 | . 344 |
| CEB | 3.419 | . 111 | 1619.0 | 1414.6 | 1.348 | . 033 | 3.197 | 3.641 |
| CEB40 | 8.034 | . 236 | 212.0 | 187.2 | 1.125 | . 029 | 7.561 | 8.507 |
| CSUR | 2.822 | . 088 | 1619.0 | 1414.6 | 1.271 | . 031 | 2.646 | 2.999 |
| PREG | . 128 | . 012 | 1619.0 | 1414.6 | 1.401 | . 091 | . 105 | . 151 |
| KNW | . 858 | . 018 | 1013.0 | 886.2 | 1.615 | . 021 | . 823 | . 894 |
| KNWMOD | . 833 | . 017 | 1013.0 | 886.2 | 1.474 | . 021 | . 798 | . 867 |
| KNWSRC | . 799 | . 021 | 1013.0 | 886.2 | 1.645 | . 026 | . 758 | . 840 |
| KNWOV | . 144 | . 012 | 1013.0 | 886.2 | 1.095 | . 084 | . 120 | . 168 |
| Evus | . 148 | . 013 | 1013.0 | 886.2 | 1.207 | . 091 | . 121 | .175 |
| CURUSE | . 036 | . 000 | 1013.0 | 886.2 | 1.397 | . 227 | . 020 | . 052 |
| PILLUS | . 005 | . 002 | 1013.0 | 886.2 | 1.107 | . 492 | . 000 | . 010 |
| TRUSE | . 027 | . 007 | 1013.0 | 886.2 | 1.312 | . 246 | . 014 | . 041 |
| APPRFP | . 703 | . 029 | 1013.0 | 886.2 | 2.015 | . 041 | . 645 | . 761 |
| WANTNM | . 197 | . 014 | 1013.0 | 886.2 | 1.150 | . 073 | . 169 | . 226 |
| WANT2 | . 378 | . 018 | 1013.0 | 886.2 | 1.166 | . 047 | . 343 | . 414 |
| BF | 19.773 | . 588 | 1089.0 | 964.2 | 1.280 | . 030 | 18.598 | 20.948 |
| AMEN | 13.294 | . 534 | 1089.0 | 964.2 | 1.133 | . 040 | 12.226 | 14.361 |
| ABST | 2.906 | . 304 | 1089.0 | 964.2 | 1.028 | . 104 | 2.299 | 3.513 |
| tetanu | . 459 | . 026 | 1697.0 | 1498.6 | 1.794 | . 057 | . 407 | . 511 |
| Atte | . 010 | . 003 | 1697.0 | 1498.6 | . 994 | . 283 | . 004 | . 015 |
| WCARD | . 562 | . 052 | 189.0 | 158.8 | 1.872 | . 093 | . 458 | . 666 |
| BCG | . 979 | . 010 | 189.0 | 158.8 | . 975 | . 011 | . 959 | . 000 |
| DPT | . 626 | . 040 | 189.0 | 158.8 | 1.111 | . 064 | . 545 | . 706 |
| POL | . 626 | . 041 | 189.0 | 158.8 | 1.122 | . 065 | . 545 | . 707 |
| MEASL | . 823 | . 025 | 189.0 | 158.8 | . 883 | . 030 | . 772 | . 873 |
| FULLIM | . 569 | . 041 | 189.0 | 158.8 | 1.094 | . 071 | . 488 | . 650 |
| DIAR | . 209 | . 009 | 1511.0 | 1331.1 | . 831 | . 042 | . 192 | . 227 |
| HAGE | . 541 | . 012 | 1511.0 | 1331.1 | . 878 | . 023 | . 517 | . 566 |
| WAGE | . 269 | . 017 | 1511.0 | 1331.1 | 1.276 | . 062 | . 235 | . 302 |
| WHEIGH | . 018 | . 004 | 1511.0 | 1331.1 | 1.192 | . 237 | . 009 | . 026 |

Table B.2.:2 Sampling errors for Kampala, UDHS, 1988/89

| Variable | Value | Standard error | Unweighted number | Weighted number | Design effect | Relative error | Confidence Limita |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R-2SE | $\mathrm{R}+2 \mathrm{SE}$ |
| EDUC | . 905 | . 021 | 527.0 | 296.4 | 1.650 | . 023 | . 863 | . 947 |
| SECED | .446 | . 032 | 527.0 | 296.4 | 1.483 | . 072 | . 382 | . 510 |
| CUNION | . 509 | . 033 | 527.0 | 296.4 | 1.492 | . 064 | . 443 | . 574 |
| MBEF18 | . 355 | . 036 | 527.0 | 296.4 | 1.734 | . 102 | . 283 | . 427 |
| BBEF 18 | . 338 | . 038 | 527.0 | 296.4 | 1.847 | .113 | . 262 | . 414 |
| CEB | 2.579 | .183 | 527.0 | 296.4 | 1.474 | . 071 | 2.213 | 2.944 |
| CEB40 | 7.778 | . 466 | 36.0 | 20.2 | . 732 | . 060 | 6.846 | 8.709 |
| CSUR | 2.209 | . 154 | 527.0 | 296.4 | 1.371 | . 070 | 1.900 | 2.517 |
| PREG | . 133 | . 013 | 527.0 | 296.4 | . 884 | . 098 | . 107 | . 159 |
| KNW | . 966 | . 010 | 268.0 | 150.7 | . 891 | . 010 | . 947 | . 986 |
| KNWMOD | . 963 | . 010 | 268.0 | 150.7 | . 865 | . 010 | . 943 | . 983 |
| KNWSRC | . 933 | . 014 | 268.0 | 150.7 | . 899 | . 015 | . 905 | . 960 |
| KNWOV | . 216 | . 029 | 268.0 | 150.7 | 1.140 | .133 | . 159 | . 274 |
| EVUS | . 653 | . 026 | 268.0 | 150.7 | . 891 | . 040 | .601 | . 705 |
| CURUSE | . 246 | . 035 | 268.0 | 150.7 | 1.330 | . 142 | .176 | . 316 |
| PILLUS | . 101 | . 024 | 268.0 | 150.7 | 1.283 | . 235 | . 053 | . 148 |
| TRUSE | . 067 | . 013 | 268.0 | 150.7 | . 817 | . 186 | . 042 | . 092 |
| APPRFP | . 821 | . 017 | 268.0 | 150.7 | . 723 | . 021 | . 787 | . 855 |
| WANTNM | . 231 | .033 | 268.0 | 150.7 | 1.266 | . 141 | . 166 | . 297 |
| WANT 2 | . 373 | . 027 | 268.0 | 150.7 | . 915 | . 073 | . 319 | . 427 |
| BE | 14.865 | . 976 | 310.0 | 174.4 | 1.058 | . 066 | 12.913 | 16.816 |
| AMEN | 8.826 | . 918 | 310.0 | 174.4 | 1.100 | . 104 | 6.990 | 10.662 |
| ABST | 6.387 | . 789 | 310.0 | 174.4 | . 984 | . 124 | 4.809 | 7.966 |
| tetanu | . 797 | . 010 | 474.0 | 266.6 | . 473 | . 013 | . 777 | . 818 |
| ATTE | . 175 | . 024 | 474.0 | 266.6 | 1.128 | . 138 | . 127 | . 224 |
| WCARD | . 518 | . 065 | 44.0 | 24.7 | 1.191 | . 126 | . 387 | . 648 |
| BCG | . 977 | . 023 | 44.0 | 24.7 | 1.023 | . 024 | . 931 | 1.023 |
| DPT | . 886 | . 043 | 44.0 | 24.7 | . 900 | . 049 | . 800 | . 973 |
| POL | . 886 | . 043 | 44.0 | 24.7 | . 900 | . 049 | . 800 | . 973 |
| MEASL | . 818 | . 062 | 44.0 | 24.7 | 1.057 | . 076 | . 694 | . 942 |
| FULLIM | . 773 | . 055 | 44.0 | 24.7 | . 856 | . 071 | . 664 | . 882 |
| DIAR | . 215 | . 022 | 405.0 | 227.8 | . 995 | .103 | .171 | . 259 |
| HAGE | . 220 | . 022 | 405.0 | 227.8 | . 869 | . 098 | .177 | . 263 |
| WAGE | . 129 | . 021 | 405.0 | 227.8 | 1.072 | . 167 | . 086 | . 172 |
| WHEIGH | . 009 | . 006 | 405.0 | 227.8 | 1.017 | . 583 | -. 002 | . 020 |

## APPENDIX C

## UGANDA DEMOGRAPHIC and HEALTH SURVEY STAFF

# APPENDIX C <br> UGANDA DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH SURVEY STAFF 

Dr. Kaijuka M. Emmanuel<br>Project Director<br>Kaija Edward<br>Project Coordinator

## Listing and Mapping

Mwaka M. Victoria
Kiboma Gimui
Bamanyaki Y.K.
Rwothomio T.
Kibirige George

Field Co-ordinator
Field Supervisor
Field Supervisor
Field Supervisor
Field Supervior

## Computer

Galiwango Micheal
Data Entry Supervisor
Luganda

| Lumu Annet | Supervisor | Nampala R. | Supervisor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mubuya Johnie | Editor | Nakayiza A. | Interviewer |
| Nabatanzi C. | Interviewer | Namata A. | Interviewer |
| Namata S. | Interviewer | Nabawanuka C. | Interviewer |
| Nagawa E. | Interviewer | Bbosa C. | Interviewer |
| Nakamanya A. | Interviewer | Nakiyingi I. | Interviewer |
| Nakato Clare | Interviewer | Rugirehe J. | Driver |
| Wanda J. | Editor | Kiwanuka A. | Driver |


| Mukono P. | Supervisor | Musolo R. | Interviewer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tibagonzeka | Interviewer | Kwagala B. | Interviewer |
| Kahendeke | Editor | Magoola E. | Interviewer |
|  |  | Serwanga S. | Driver |

## Lugisu/Samia

Magoola R.
Wandwasi I.
Nambuba I.

| Supervisor | Nekesa T. | Interviewer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Editor | Kabuya C. | Interviewer |
| Interviewer | Gimono T. | Interviewer |
|  | Musisi E. | Driver |

## Runyoro/Rutoro

| Mbabazi Sarah | Supervisor | Mwamba G. | Interviewer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kyomya Amooti | Editor | Sabiiti G. | Interviewer |
| Kasigwa O. | Interviewer | Kiiza P. | Interviewer |
| Biingi J. | Interviewer | Ngarambe C. | Driver |

## Runyankore/Rukiga

| Sembatya A. | Supervisor | Bagonza A. | Interviewer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mugisha F. | Editor | Kyomukama A. | Interviewer |
| Kaitesi M. | Interviewer | Kusasira E. | Interviewer |
| Magoola M. | Interviewer | Kabege M. | Interviewer |
| Bainomugisha C. | Interviewer | Mugisha P. <br> Sulaiman | Driver Driver |
| Lugbara/Alur |  |  |  |
| Ajupo W. | Supervisor | Okua L. | Interviewer |
| Mindreru R. | Editor | Okulla K. | Interviewer |
| Atiku M. | Interviewer | Otto R. | Driver |
| Amira A. | Interviewer |  |  |

## Field Supervisor

| Kabasinguzi Rose | Field Supervisor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ssekammatte-Ssebuliba | Field Supervisor |

Computer

| Zigiti Catherine | Supervisor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lazobi C. | Data Entry Clerk |
| Bajeneza P. | Data Entry Clerk |
| Matsatsa S. | Data Entry Clerk |
| Manake A. | Data Entry Clerk |

Institute for Resource Development/Macro Systems, Inc.

| Vaessen M. | DHS Project Director | Scott C. | Sampling Specialist |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cross A. | Regional Coordinator for | Aliaga A. | Sampling Specialist |
|  | Anglophone Africa | Moore S. | Editor |
| Loaiza E. | Country Monitor | Mitchell K. | Production Coordinator |
| Pearson R. | Country Monitor | Wolf R. | Graphics |

## APPENDIX D

## QUESTIONNAIRES



| INTERVIEWER VISITS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | FINAL VISIT |  |
| DATE <br> INTERVIEWER'S NAME RESULT** |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { MONTH }}{\square}$ |  |
| NEXT VISIT: DATE |  |  |  | TOTAL NUMBER ${ }_{\text {OTS }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL TN HOUSEHOLD$\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { ELIGIBLE } \end{aligned}$ |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAME } \\ & \text { DATE } \end{aligned}$ | FIELD EDITED BY | OFFICE EDITED BY | KEYED BY | KEYED BY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Now we would like some information about the people who usually live in your household or who are staying with you now.

| no. | USUAL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS | RESIDENCE |  | SEX | AGE | FOSTERING | eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | Please give me the names of the persons who usually live in your household or are staying with you now, starting with the head of the household. | Does <br> (NAME) usually live here? (3) | Did <br> (NAME) <br> sleep here last night? (4) | Is (NAME) male or female? (5) | How old is he/she? <br> (6) | ONLY FOR CHILDREN UNDER 15 YEARS OLD: <br> Do any of his/her parents usually live in this household? <br> (7) | Circle line NUMBER OF WOMEN ELIGIBLE for INDIVIDUAL interview (8) |
| 01 |  | YES NO 12 | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { M } & \text { F } \\ 1 & 2\end{array}$ | In Years $\square$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | 01 |
| 02 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 02 |
| 03 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 03 |
| 04 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 04 |
| 05 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 05 |
| 06 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 06 |
| 07 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 07 |
| 08 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 08 |
| 09 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 09 |
| 10 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 10 |
| 11 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 11 |
| 12 | - | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 12 |

Just to make sure that $I$ have a complete listing:

1) Are there any other persons such as small children or infants that we have not listed?
2) In addition, are there any other people who may not be members of your family, such as domestic servants, lodgers or friends who usually live here?
YES $\square$ ENTER EACH IN TABLE
YES $\square$ ENTER EACH IN TABLE
YES $\square \square$ ENTER EACH IN TABLE


Now we would like some information about the people who usually live in your household or who are staying with you now.

| No. | USUAL RESIDENTS AND VISITORS | RES | dence | SEX | AGE | FOSTERING | eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | Please give me the names of the persons who usually live in your household or are staying with you now, starting with the head of the household. <br> (2) | Does <br> (NAME) <br> usually <br> live <br> here? <br> (3) | Did <br> (NAME) <br> sleep <br> here <br> lest <br> night? <br> (4) | Is (NAME) mate or female? (5) | How old is he/she? (6) | ONLY FOR CHILDREN UNDER 15 YEARS OLD: <br> Do any of his/her parents usually live in this household? <br> (7) | CIRCLE LINE NUMBER OF WOMEN ELIGIBLE FOR INDIVIDUAL INTERVIEH <br> (8) |
| 13 |  | YES NO 12 | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}M & F \\ 1 & 2\end{array}$ | IN Years | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | 13 |
| 14 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 14 |
| 15 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 15 |
| 16 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 16 |
| 17 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 17 |
| 18 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 18 |
| 19 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 19 |
| 20 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 20 |
| 21 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 21 |
| 22 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 22 |
| 23 |  | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 23 |
| 24 | - | 12 | 12 | 12 |  | 12 | 24 |

Just to make sure that $\mathfrak{l}$ have a complete listing:

1) Are there any other persons such as small children or infants that we have not listed?

tick here if continuation sheet used
total number of eligible homen ON THIS SHEET
total number oe eligible homen ON BOTH SHEETS


## DISTRICT

SUBCOUNTY/TOWN
PARISH/WARD $\qquad$ SUBPARISH/RC2 $\qquad$
CLUSTER NUMBER


| INTERVIEWER VISITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | 1 | 2 | 3 | FINAL VISIT |  |  |  |  |
| INTERVIEWER'S NAME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RESULT** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEXT VISIT: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**RESULT CODES:
1 COMPLETED
2 NOT AT HOME
3 POSTPONED
4 REFUSED
5 PARTLY COMPLETED
OTHER
(SPECIFY)


1



| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 117 | What kind of toilet does your household have? |  |
| 119 | Do you have, right now, soap in your house? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 120 | Does your house have: <br> Electricity? <br> A radio? <br> A hot plate/cooker? <br> A charcoal iron? <br> A television? <br> A refrigerator? <br> A charcoal stove? |  |
| 121 | Does any member of your household own: <br> A bicycle? <br> A motorcycle? <br> A motor vehicle (CAR, BUS, LORRY, TRACTOR) <br> A canoe? <br> A motor boat? |  |
| 122 | main material of the floor. (RECORD OBSERVATION.) | PARQUET OR POLISHED HOOD......... 1 <br> CERAMIC TILES.......................... 2 <br> CEMENT. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 <br> COH DUNG................................ 4 <br> EARTH/SAND . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) |
| 130 | What is your religion? | CATHOLIC. ............................... 1 <br> PROTESTANT. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> MUSLIH................................... 3 <br> SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST.............. 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ (SPECIFY) |




211 Now I would like to talk to you about all of your births, whether still alive or not, starting with the first one you had. (RECORD names of all the births in 212. ReCORD thins on separate lines and mark with a bracket.)

| 212 <br> What name was given to your (first, next) baby? | 213 <br> Is (NAME) a boy or a girl? | 214 <br> In what month and year was (NAME) born? <br> PROBE: <br> What is his/her birthday? OR: In what season? | 215 <br> Is (NAME) still alive? <br> 216 IF DEAD: <br> How old was (NAME) when he/she died? <br> RECORD DAYS IF LESS THAN ONE MONTH, MONTHS IF LESS ThAN TWO YEARS, OR YEARS. | 217 IF ALIVE: How old was (NAME) at his/ her last birthday? <br> RECORD AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS. | 218 IF ALIVE: Is he/she living with you? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (NAME) | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH. YEAR.... $\square$ |  | $\underset{\text { YEARS IN }}{\substack{\text { AGE } \\ \text { YEA } \\ \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |
| $02$ <br> (NAME) | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH... <br> YEAR.... $\square$ |   DAYS..... 1   <br> YES NO MONTHS...2   <br> 1 $2 \longrightarrow$ YEARS....3   <br> 1     <br> (GO TO 217) (GO TO NEXT BIRTH)    | $\underset{\text { AGE IN }}{\substack{\text { MEARS. } \\ \text { Y } \\ \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |
| $\frac{031}{\text { (NAME) }}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH... <br> YEAR.... $\square$ |  | $\underset{\text { AGE IN }}{\substack{\text { PEARS.. } \\ \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |
| 04 <br> (NAME) | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH... <br> YEAR.... $\square$ |  | AGE IN <br> YEARS. <br>  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |
| $05$ <br> (NAME) | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH. . . YEAR.... $\square$ |  | AGE IN YEARS. | YES NO <br> 1 2 |
| 06 <br> (NAME) | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH... <br> YEAR.... $\square$ |  | AGE IN YEARS.. | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |
| $\xrightarrow[\text { (NAME) }]{ }$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH <br> YEAR.... $\square$ |  | AGE IN YEARS.. | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |


| 212 <br> What name was given to your next baby? | 213 <br> Is (NAME) a boy or a girl? | 214 <br> In what month and year was (NAME) born? <br> PROBE: <br> What is his/her birthday? OR: In what season? | $\left.\begin{array}{l\|l}215 \\ \text { Is (NAME) } \\ \text { still alive? }\end{array} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}216 \text { If DEAD: } \\ \text { How old was (NAME) } \\ \text { when he/she died? }\end{array}\right.\right\}$RECORD DAYS IF LESS <br> THAN ONE MONTH, MONTHS <br> IF LESS THAN TWO <br> YEARS, OR YEARS. | 217 If ALIVE: <br> How old was (NAME) at his/ her last birthday? <br> RECORD AGE IN COMPLETED YEARS. | 218 If ALIVE: Is he/she living with you? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{081}{\text { (NAME) }}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH... <br> YEAR.... |  | AGE IN YEARS.. $\square$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |
| 09 <br> (NAME) | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH... <br> YEAR.... $\square$ |  | AGE IN YEARS. | YES NO <br> 1 2 |
| $10$ <br> (NAME) | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH... <br> YEAR.... $\square$ |  | AGE IN YEARS. $\square$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |
| 11 <br> (NAME) | BOY GIRL <br> 1 2 | MONTH. . . <br> YEAR.... $\square$ |  | AGE IN YEARS.. $\square$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |
| $12$ <br> (NAME) | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH YEAR.... $\square$ |  | AgE IN YEARS. . $\square$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { BOY } & \text { GIRL } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ | MONTH YEAR.... $\square$ |  | AGE IN YEARS.. $\square$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { YES } & \text { NO } \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |

219 COMPARE 208 WITH NUMBER OF BIRTHS IN HISTORY ABOVE AND MARK:


INTERVIEWER: FOR EACH LIVE BIRTH: YEAR OF BIRTH IS RECORDED
FOR EACH LIVE CHILD: CURRENT AGE IS RECORDED
FOR EACH DEAD CHILD: AGE AT DEATH IS RECORDED

| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { SKIP } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | Are you pregnant now? |  |
| 221 | For how many months have you been pregnant? | MONTHS..................... |
| 222 | Did you see anyone for a check on this pregnancy? |  |
| 223 | Whom did you see? <br> PROBE FOR TYPE OF PERSON AND RECORD MOST QUALIFIED. | DOCTOR. . ................................ 1 <br> TRAINED NURSE/MIDHIFE. ........... 2 <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH ATTENDANT..... 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .4 <br> (SPECIFY) |
| $224 \mathrm{~A}$ | Since you have been pregnant, have you been given any injection in your arm? |  |
| 224B | Did you receive a card when you were given an injection? If YES: May I see it please? | YES, SEEN.............................. 1 <br> YES, NOT SEEN.........................2- $\rightarrow 224$ <br> NO CARD |
| 224C | RECORD DATES OF TETANUS INJECTIONS <br> IF ONLY ONE, URITE "97" FOR SECOND. IF MORE THAN TWO, WRITE THE LAST TWO. | MONTH.. $\square$ YEAR... $\square$ <br> MONTH.. $\square$ YEAR... $\square$ |
| 224D | Where did you go to get the (last) injection? | GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL.............. 01 GOVERNMENT HEALTH CENTER........ 02 <br> FIELD HORKER....................... . 03 <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR...................... 04 <br> PRIVATE HOSPITAL OR CLINIC..... 05 <br> PHARMACY. . .......................... . . 06 <br> SCHOOL. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 07 <br> SHOP. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 08 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ D9 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DK. <br> .98 |



301 Now I would like to talk about a different topic. There are various ways or methods that a couple can use to delay or avoid a pregnancy. Which of these ways or methods have you heard about? CIRCLE CODE 1 IN 302 FOR EACH METHOD MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. THEN PROCEED DOUN THE COLUMN, READING THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF EACH METHOD NOT MENTIONED SPONTANEOUSLY. CIRCLE CODE 2 IF METHOD IS RECOGNIZED, AND CODE 3 IF NOT RECOGNIZED. FOR EACH METHOO WITH COOE 1 OR 2 CIRCLED IN 302, ASK 303-305 bEFORE PROCEEDING TO THE NEXT METHCO.

|  |  | 302 Have you ever heard of (METHOO)? <br> READ DESCRIPTIOW. | 303 Have <br> you ever used (METHOO)? | 304 Where would you go to obtain (METHOD) if you wanted to use it? <br> (COOES BELOW) | 305 In your opinion, what is the main problem, if any, with using (METHOD)? (CODES BELOW) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | PILL Women can take a pill every day. | YES/SPONT . . . . . . . . <br> YES/PROBED <br> NO................ 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | OTHER | OTHER |
| 02 | IUD Women can have a loop or coil placed inside their womb by a doctor or a nurse. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES/SPONT . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { YES/PROBED . . . . . } 2 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . } 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.... . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | OTHER | OTHER |
| 03 | INJECTIONS Women can have an injection by a doctor or nurse which stops them from becoming pregnant for a few months. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES /SPONT . . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { YES/PROBED . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES. . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | OTHER | OTHER |
| 04 | DIAPHRAGM/FOAM/JELLY Women can place jelly, cream, tablets, or a diaphragm around the neck of the womb before intercourse. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES / SPONT . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { YES/PROBED . . . . . } 2 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . } 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | OTHER $\qquad$ | OTHER |
| 05 | CONDOM Men can wear a rubber sheath during sexual intercourse. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES/SPONT . . . . . . . . } 1 \text { - } \\ & \text { YES/PROBED . . . . . . } 3 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . } 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | OTHER | OTHER |
| 06 | FEMALE STERILIZATION Women can have an operation to avoid having any more children. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES/SPONT . . . . . . . . }{ }^{1-} \\ & \text { YES/PROBED . . . . . . } 3^{2-} \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES.... . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | OT HER $\qquad$ | OTHER $\qquad$ |
| 07 | male sterilization men can have an operation to avoid having any more children. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES/SPONT . . . . . . . . } \\ & \text { YES/PROBED } .{ }^{1} \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . . . . . . . }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | OTHER $\qquad$ | OTHER |
| 08 | PERICDIC Abstinence Couples can avoid having sexual intercourse on certain days of the month when the woman is more likely to become pregnant. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES/SPONT . . . . . . . . } 1- \\ & \text { YES/PROBED . . . . . } 3^{2-} \\ & \text { NO . . . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | Where would you go to obtain advice on periodic abstinence? $\square$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ | OTHER $\qquad$ |
| 091 | WITHDRAWAL Men can be careful and pull out before climax. | YES/SPONT. ........ 1 -YES/PROBED........2NO................. 3 | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { YES..... } 1 \\ \text { NO...... } 2 \end{array}\right]$ |  | OTHER |
| 10 | ANY OTHER METHODS? Have you heard of any other ways or methods that women or men can use to avoid pregnancy? | YES/SPONT $\qquad$ <br> No. $\qquad$ <br> ASK 303-305 FOR EACH METHOD AS APPROPRIATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ | COOES FOR 304 <br> 01 GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL <br> 02 GOVERNMENT HEALTH CNTR <br> 03 FPAU CLINIC <br> 04 mobile CLINIC <br> 05 FIELD WORKER <br> 06 PRIVATE DOCTOR <br> 07 PRIVATE HOSP OR CLINIC <br> 08 PHARMACY/SHOP <br> 09 CHURCH <br> 10 FRIENOS/RELATIVES <br> 11 TRADITIONAL HEALER <br> 12 OTHER (SPECIFY) <br> 13 NOUHERE <br> 98 DK | COOES FOR 305 <br> 02 NOT EFFECTIVE <br> 03 HUSBAND DISAPPROVES <br> 04 HEALTH CONCERNS <br> 05 access/availability <br> 06 COSTS TO MUCH <br> 07 INCOWVENIENT TO USE <br> 09 METHCO PERMANENT <br> 11 OTHER (SPECIFY) <br> 12 NONE <br> 98 DK |
|  | 306 CHECK 303: NOT A SINGLE "YES"$\square$ AT LEAST ONE "YES" <br> (NEVER USED) <br> (EVER USED)$\quad \square \longrightarrow$ SKIP TO 309 |  |  |  |  |


| No. | QUESTIONS AND FILIERS | COOING Categories $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { SKIP } \\ \text { To }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 307 | Have you ever used anything or tried in any way to delay or avoid getting pregnant? <br> mark appropriate box with an 'x'. |  |
| 308 | What have you used or done? CORRECT 302-303 AND OBTAIN INFORMATION FOR 304 TO 306 as necessary. |  |
| 309 |  | $\left.\right\|_{\mid} ^{\mid}>311$ |
|  | The last time you used periodic abstinence, how did you determine on which days you had to abstain? | BASED ON CALENDAR.................. 1 <br> BASED ON BOOY TEMPERATURE........ 2 <br> based on cervical mucus <br> (BILLINGS) METHOD................. 3 <br> based on booy temperature and <br> MUCUS. <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .4 .5 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> NO SPECIFIC SYSTEM.................. 6 |
|  | How many living children, if any, did you have when you first used a method to avoid <br> getting pregnant? <br> IF NONE ENTER ' 0 ' | NUMBER OF CHILDREN......... $\square$ |
|  | CHECK 220: <br> not Pregnant <br> PREGNANT OR NOT SURE | $\left.\right\|_{1} 316$ |
|  | Are you currently using any method to avoid getting pregnant? |  |
|  | Which method are you using? |  |



Is it acceptable or not acceptable to you that family planning be taught in schools?

```
ACCEPTABLE.
1
NOT ACCEPABLE
. }
ACCEPABLE . . ........................
DK. . . . . . . . .......................... . . 8
```

| 401 CHECK 214:ONE OR MORE LIVE BIRTHSSINCE JAN. 1983 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 402 enter the mame, line number, and survival status of each birth since jan. 1983 in the table. begin with the last birth. ask the questions about all of the births. |  |  |  |  |
| LINE NUMBER FROM Q. 212 | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECOND-FROM-LAST } \\ & \text { NAME } \\ & \text { ALIVE } \square_{\text {DEAD }} \end{aligned}$ | THIRD-FROM-LAST <br> NAME $\qquad$ <br> alive $\square$ DEAD |
| 403 then you were pregnant with (NAME) were you given any injection to prevent the baby from getting tetanus, that is, convulsions after birth? | YES.................. 1 NO...................... 2 DK.................. 8 | YES.................. 1 <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> DK. $\qquad$ | YES. $\qquad$ <br> NO. $\qquad$ <br> DK. $\qquad$ | YES.................. 1 N0..................... 2 DK................... |
| 404 When you were pregnant with (NAME), did you see anyone for a check on this pregnancy? IF YES: Whom did you see? PROBE FOR THE TYPE OF PERSON and record the most QUALIFIED. | DOCTOR.............. 1 <br> trained nurse/ <br> MIDHIFE............ 2 <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDANT........ 3 OTHER $\qquad$ .4 <br> NO ONE ............. 5 | DOCTOR.............. 1 <br> TRAINED NURSE/ <br> MIDWIFE............ 2 <br> traditional birth <br> ATTENDANT......... 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .4 <br> no one | DOCTOR.............. 1 <br> TRAINED NURSE/ <br> MIDWIFE............ 2 <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDANT......... 3 OTHER $\qquad$ .4 <br> NO ONE ............. 5 | DOCTOR.............. 1 <br> TRAINED NURSE/ <br> MIDWIFE........... 2 <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDANT......... 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .4 <br> NO ONE ............. 5 |
| 405 tho assisted with the delivery of (NAME)? <br> PROBE FOR THE TYPE OF PERSON AND RECORD THE MOST QUALIFIED. | DOCTOR............... 1 <br> tRained nurse/ <br> MIDWIFE........... 2 <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDANT......... 3 <br> RELATIVE............ 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .5 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> NO ONE. $\qquad$ | DOCTOR............... 1 <br> TRAINED NURSE/ <br> MIDWIFE........... 2 <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> ATTENDANT......... 3 <br> RELATIVE............ 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .5 <br> NO ONE............... 6 | DOCTOR.............. . 1 <br> TRAINED NURSE/ <br> MIDWIFE............ 2 <br> TRADITIONAL BIRTH <br> attendant. $\qquad$ <br> RELATIVE............ ${ }^{4}$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .5 <br> NO ONE............... 6 | DOCTOR.............. . 1 <br> TRAINED NURSE/ <br> MIDWIFE........... 2 <br> traditional birth <br> ATtENDANT......... 3 <br> RELATIVE........... 4 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .5 <br> NO ONE............... 6 |
| 406 Did you ever breastfeed (MAME)? |  |  |  |  |
| 406A Why did you never feed (NAME) at the breast? | INCONVENIENT..... . 01 <br> HAD TO WORK....... 02 <br> INSUFFICNT MILK.. 03 <br> baby refused..... 04 <br> CHILD DIED........ 05 <br> CHILD SICK........ 06 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .07 <br> (ALL SXIP TO 409) | INCONVENIENT. .... 01 <br> had to work. ..... 02 <br> INSUFFICNT MILK.. 03 <br> baby refused..... 04 <br> CHILD DIED........ 05 <br> CHILD SICK....... 06 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ . 07 <br> (ALL SKIP TO 409) <- | INCONVENIENT. . . . . 01 <br> HAD TO WORK. ..... 02 <br> INSUFFICNT MILK. . 03 <br> baby refused..... 04 <br> CHILD DIED........ 05 <br> CHILD SICK....... 06 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .07 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> (ALL SKIP TO 409)<- | InCONVENIENT. . . . . 01 <br> HAD TO WORK....... 02 . <br> INSUFFICNT MILK.. $03-$ <br> BABY REFUSED..... 04 - <br> CHILD DIED........ 05 <br> CHILD SICK........ 06 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .07 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> (ALL SKIP TO 409) |
| 407 Are you still breastfeeding (NAME)? <br> (IF DEAD, CIRCLE '2') |  |  |  |  |
| 408 How many months did you breastfeed (NAME)? | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ UNTIL DEATH. $\square$ (SKIP TO 409)<- | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ UNTIL DEATH. $\square$ (SKIP TO 409)<- | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ UNTIL DEATH. $\square$ . . . . 96 (SKIP TO 409)< | MONTHS. $\qquad$ $\square$ UNTIL DEATH. $\square$ (SKIP TO 409) |




449 ENTER THE NAME, LINE NUMBER, AND SURVIVAL STATUS OF EACH BIRTH SINCE JAN. 1983 BELON. BEGIN WITH THE LAST BIRTH. the headings in the table should be exactly the same as those after a. 402. ASK THE QUESTIONS ONLY FOR LIVING CHILDREN.


| 422 Has (NAME) ever had a vaccination to prevent him/her from getting diseases? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 8 \\ & \text { DK. . . . . . . } \end{aligned}$ | YES.......... . . . . . . 11 NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 OK. . . . . . . . . . . 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 422A CHECK ON CHILD'S <br> ARM FOR A BCG SCAR and mark if present OR ABSENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCAR PRESENT . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { SCAR ABSENT. ......... } \\ & \text { CHILD NOT SEEN. . . . } 9 \end{aligned}$ | ```SCAR PRESENT......... . } SCAR ABSENT........... 2 CHILD NOT SEEN...... } (SKIP TO 423)``` | ```SCAR PRESENT......... } SCAR ABSENT.......... } CHILD NOT SEEN.......9 (SKIP TO 423)``` | ```SCAR PRESENT.........1 SCAR ABSENT..........2 CHILD NOT SEEN......O (SKIP TO 423)``` |
| 422B Where can you go if you want to get a vaccination for your chitd? | GOVT HOSPITAL....... 01 <br> GOVT HEALTK CENTER. 02 <br> FIELD WORKER........ 03 <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR..... 04 <br> PRIVATE HOSP/CLIN. 05 <br> PHARMACY............ . . 06 <br> SCHOOL. . . . . . . . . . . . . 07 <br> SPECIAL CAMP....... 08 <br> TRADITIONAL DOCTOR. 09 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .10 <br> DK.................... 98 |  |  |  |
| 423 Now I have some questions about (NAME'S) last episode of diarrhea. Has (NAME) had diarrhea in the last 24 hours? |  |  |  ```NO....................... 2 DK.....................8 (GO TO NEXT COL)<-``` |  |
| 424 Hes (NAME) had diarrhea in the last two weeks? |  |  |  |  |
| 424 A How many days ago did the diarrhea start? | DAYS......... $\square^{\square}$ DK............. 98 |  |  |  |
| 4248 Was the episode of diarrhea mild moderate or severe? | MILD . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 MODERATE . . . . . 2 SEVERE . . . . . . . . . . 3 | MILD. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> MODERATE.............. 2 <br> SEVERE. . . . . . . ....... 3 <br> (SKIP TO 424E) | MILD. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> MODERATE.............. 2 <br> SEVERE................. 3 <br> (SKIP TO 424E) | ```MILD..................... } MODERATE............. . . } SEVERE . . . . . . . . . . . . . } (SKIP TO 424E)``` |
| 424C CHECK 412: LAST CHILD STILL BREASTFED? | YES $\square^{\square}$ NO $\begin{gathered}\square \\ V \\ \\ \text { (SKIP TO 424E) }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 424D Did you breastfeed (NAME) when he/she had diarrhea then? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 424 E When (NAME) had diarrhea, did you give more, fewer, or the same amount of fluids? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MORE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\ & \text { FEWER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 3 \\ & \text { SAME . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | MORE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 FEWER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 SAME . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | MORE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 FEWER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 SAME . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |
| 424 F Did you give (NAME) any special fluids when he/she had diarrhea? | YES...................... . 1 <br> NO. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> (SKIP TO 424L)< |  |  |  |


| 424 G What fluids did you give? | HOHE SOLUTION OF SALT, SUGAR, HATER. 1 ORS PACKET SOLUTION. 2 <br> (SKIP TO 424I) < <br> FRUIT JUICE............3] <br> TEA OR SOUP.......... 4 - <br> SYRUPS. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5 . <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> (SKIP TO 424M) $<$ | HOME SOLUTION OF <br> SALT, SUGAR, WATER. 1 <br> ORS PACKET SOLUTION. 2 <br> (SKIP TO 4241) < <br> FRUIT JUICE...........37 <br> TEA OR SOUP..........4-4 <br> SYRUPS................. 5 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> (SKIP TO 424M)< | HOHE SOLUTION OF SALT, SUGAR, HATER. 1 ORS PACKET SOLUTION. 2 <br> (SKIP TO 424I)< <br> FRUIT JUICE.......... 3 <br> TEA OR SOUP........... 4 - <br> SYRUPS. $\qquad$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> (SKIP TO 424M) | HOHE SOLUTION OF SALT, SUGAR, HATER. 1 ORS PACKET SOLUTION. 2 <br> (SKIP TO 4241)<- <br> FRUIT JUICE.......... 3 <br> TEA OR SOUP.......... 4 <br> SYRUPS................. 5 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ 6 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> (SKIP TO 424M)<- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 424H Where did you learn how to prepare the salt, sugar, and water solution? | GOVT HOSPITAL...... 01 GOVT HEALTH CENTER. 02 FIELD HORKER........ 03 PRIVATE DOCTOR..... 04 PRIVATE HOSP/CLIN. 05 PHARMACY............ 06 TRADITIONAL DOCTOR. 07 OTHER $\qquad$ .08 (SPECIFY) DK. $\qquad$ | GOVT HOSPITAL....... 01 <br> GOVT HEALTH CENTER. 02 <br> FIELD HORKER........ 03 <br> PRIVATE DOCTOR..... 04 <br> PRIVATE HOSP/CLIN. 05 <br> PHARMACY. . . . . . . . . . 06 <br> TRADITIONAL DOCTOR. 07 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .08 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> DK.................... 98 | GOVT HOSPITAL....... 01 GOVT HEALTH CENTER. 02 FIELD WORKER....... . 03 PRIVATE DOCTOR..... 04 PRIVATE HOSP/CLIN. 05 PHARMACY. . . . . . . . . . . 06 TRADITIONAL DOCTOR. 07 OTHER $\qquad$ .08 (SPECIFY) DK. $\qquad$ | GOVT HOSPITAL...... 01 GOVT HEALTH CENTER. 02 FIELD WORKER....... . 03 PRIVATE DOCTOR..... 04 PRIVATE HOSP/CLIN. 05 PHARMACY. . . . . . . . . . 06 TRADITIONAL DOCTOR. 07 OTHER $\qquad$ .08 (SPECIFY) DK. $\qquad$ |
| 424I When you gave (ORS OR HOHE SOLUTION) to (NAME), did he/she get better, worse, or was there no change? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BETTER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 01 \\ & \text { WORSE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 02 \\ & \text { NO CHANGE . . . . . . . . . . . } 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BETTER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 01 \\ & \text { MORSE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 02 \\ & \text { NO CHANGE . . . . . . . . . . . } 03 \end{aligned}$ | BETTER . . . . . . . . . . . . . 01 WORSE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 02 NO CHANGE . . . . . . . . . . 03 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BETTER . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 01 \\ & \text { WORSE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 02 \\ & \text { NO CHANGE . . . . . . . . . . . } 03 \end{aligned}$ |
| 424J How much of the (ORS OR HOHE SOLUTION) did you give (NAME) each day when he/she had diarrhea? | ONE LITRE EVERY <br> 24 HOURS.......... 01 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .02 (SPECIFY) | ONE LITRE EVERY <br> 24 HOURS........... 01 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .02 <br> (SPECIFY) | ONE LITRE EVERY <br> 24 HOURS......... 01 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .02 (SPECIFY) | ONE LITRE EVERY <br> 24 HOURS........... 01 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .02 (SPECIFY) |
| 424 K for how many days did you give (NAME) (ORS OR HOHE SOLUtion)? | DAYS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DK. $\qquad$ 98 | DAYS $\qquad$ $\square$ DK. $\qquad$ .98 | DAYS. $\qquad$ $\square$ DK. $\qquad$ .98 | DAYS $\qquad$ $\square$ <br> DK. 98 $\qquad$ |
| 424 L How would you prepare a home solution of ORS? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANSWER CORRECT....... } 1 \\ & \text { ANSWER WRONG......... } \\ & \text { DK. . . . . . . . . . . . . . } 8 \end{aligned}$ | ANSWER CORRECT . . . . . 1 ANSWER WRONG. . . . . . 2 DK............ 8 | ANSWER CORRECT . . . . . 1 ANSWER WRONG. . . . . 2 DK.............. 8 | ANSWER CORRECT ....... 1 ANSWER WRONG. . . . . . . 2 DK................ 8 |
| 424M When (NAME) had diarrhea, did you give more, fewer, or the same amount of foods you gave before he/she had diarrhea? | MORE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> FEWER. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 <br> SAME . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 <br> SOLID FOOOS NOT YET <br> GIVEN............... . . 4 <br> DK..................... . 8 | MORE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 <br> FEWER................... 2 <br> SAME................... . . 3 <br> DK...................... . . 8 | MORE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 FEWER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 SAME . . . . . . 3 DK. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 | MORE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 FEWER . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 SAME . . . DK . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8 |
| 425 Was (NAME) taken to a private doctor, a hospital or clinic, a traditional doctor, or any other place during the last episode of diarrhea? <br> IF YES: Where was he/ she taken? | PRIVATE DOCTOR...... 1 HOSPITAL/CLINIC...... 2 TRADITIONAL DOCTOR.. 3 OTHER $\qquad$ .4 (SPECIFY) <br> CHILD NOT TAKEN..... 5 (SKIP TO 427) $\qquad$ | PRIVATE DOCTOR....... 1 HOSPITAL/CLINIC..... 2 traditional doctor.. 3 OTHER $\qquad$ .4 (SPECIFY) <br> CHILD NOT TAKEN..... 5 (SKIP TO 427) | PRIVATE DOCTOR....... 1 <br> HOSPITAL/CLINIC...... 2 <br> traditional doctor.. 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .4 (SPECIFY) <br> CHILD NOT TAKEN..... 5 <br> (SKIP TO 427)< | PRIVATE DOCTOR....... 1 <br> HOSPITAL/CLINIC...... 2 <br> TRADITIONAL DOCTOR.. 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .4 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> CHILD NOT TAKEN..... 5 <br> (SKIP TO 427) |

** CORRECT RECIPE FOR SALT AND SUGAR SOLUTION IS: ONE LITRE OF BOILED WATER, ONE LEVEL TEASPOON OF SALT, AND 8 LEVEL teaspoons of sugar. fruit Juice's such as orange or pineapple may be added to the basic ingredients.

426 What treatment did
(NAME) receive there?
(CIRCLE ALL TREATHENTS MENTIONED.) taken somewhere for treatment during the last episode of diarrhea?


INJECTION
IV (INTRA VENOUS)... 1 TABLETS OR PILLS.... 1 SYRUPS................... 1 ORS. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1 . OTHER

## (SPECIFY)

NOTHING GIVEN. . . .....
(ALL GO TO NEXT COL) \&

ILLNESS WAS MILD.... 1 MOTHER TOO BUSY..... 2 MOTHER WORKING....... 3 . NO FACILITIES
AVAILABLE........... . 4
home treatment
SUFFICIENT
OTHER
(SPECIFY)
(ALL GO TO 428) <-


INJECTION. . . . . . . . . . . 1 IV (INTRA VENOUS)... 1 TABLETS OR PILLS.... 1 SYRUPS
ORS.
OTHER $\qquad$
(SPECIFY)
NOTHING GIVEN.

1

IV (INTRA VENOUS) 1 . tablets or pills.... 1 SYRUPS................ 1 ORS. (ALL GO TO NEXT COL) <
(ALL GO TO 428)<-

no. $\frac{\text { Questions and filtige }}{}$


NO. .2

428A| Have you ever seen a packet like this before? (SHOW PACKET.)

YES.
NO.1

Do you think this packet is used to cure the diarrhea, or that it is used to prevent the child from drying out?

Have you ever used one of these packets for yourself or someone else?


4280
How do you prepare the medicine in the packet?
(CIRCLE ALL COOES MENTIONED.)


## 428E

How much water do you use to prepare the packet?

28 F
Where can you get these packets?

PROBE: Anywhere else

CIRCLE ALL PLACES MENTIONED

| ONE LITRE................... OTHER $\qquad$$\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL................ 1 GOVERNMENT HEALTH CENTER......... 1
FIELD WORKER.1PRIVATE DOCTOR1PRIVATE HOSP!TAL/CLINIC1
PHARMACY. ..... 1
SHOP .....  .1
TRADITIONAL DOCTOR ..... 1
OTHER .....  . 1(SPECIFY)

DK.
(SPECIFY) .8


ENTER THE NAME, LINE NUMBER, AND SURVIVAL STATUS OF EACH BIRTH SINCE JAN. 1983 BELON. BEGIN WITH THE LAST BIRTH. 429 The headings in the table should be exactly the same as those after a. 419. ASK THE QUESTIONS ONLY FOR LIVING CHILDREN.

| LINE NUMBER FROM Q. 212 | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square \square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NEXT-TO-LAST BIRTH <br> NAME $\qquad$ <br> $>$ ALIVE $\square$ DEAD $\square$ | SECOND-FROM-LAST <br> NAME $\qquad$ <br> >alive $\square$ DEAD $\square$ | THIRD-FROM-LAST <br> NAME $\qquad$ <br> >ALIVE $\square$ DEAD $\square$ |
| 430 Has (NAME) had fever in the last four weeks? |  |  |  |  |
| 431 Did you take (NAME) to a private doctor or to a hospital or clinic, traditional doctor or eny other place to treat the fever. <br> IF YES: Where taken? | DOCTOR................. 1 <br> HOSPITAL/CLINIC...... 2 <br> TRADITIONAL DOCTOR.. 3 OTHER $\qquad$ . .4 (SPECIFY) Child not taken..... 5 | DOCTOR................. 1 <br> HOSPITAL/CLINIC...... 2 <br> TRADITIONAL DOCTOR.. 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ . . 4 <br> (SPECIFY) <br> Child not taken..... 5 | DOCTOR................. 1 <br> HOSPITAL/CLINIC..... 2 <br> TRADITIONAL DOCTOR.. 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ . .4 $\qquad$ <br> Child not taken...... 5 | DOCTOR................. 1 <br> HOSPITAL/CLINIC..... 2 <br> TRADITIONAL DOCTOR.. 3 OTHER $\qquad$ . . 4 $\qquad$ <br> CHILD NOT TAKEN..... 5 |
| 432 Was there anything (else) you or somebody did to treat the fever? IF YES: What was done? CIRCLE CODE 1 for all MENTIONED.** | antimalarial......... 1 antibiotics. LIQUID OR SYRUP...... 1 ASPIRIN. INJECTION. $\qquad$ .1 OTHER $\qquad$ 1 nothing. |  | ANTIMALARIAL......... 1 <br> ANTIBIOTICS.......... 1 <br> LIQUID OR SYRUP..... 1 <br> ASPIRIN. <br> INJECTION. $\qquad$ <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .1 .1 <br> NOTHING. | ANTIMALARIAL......... ANTIBIOTICS......... LIQID OR SYRUP..... ASPIRIN.............. INJECTION........... OTHER $\frac{\text { (SPECIFY). }}{1}$ NOTHING.............. |
| 433 Has (NAME) suffered from severe cough or difficult or rapid breathing in the last four weeks? |  | YES.................... NO.................... ${ }^{2}$ (GO TO NEXT Col) 8 DK.................... 8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES................... } \\ & \text { NO.................. } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { (SKIP TO } 501)< \\ \text { DK............... } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| 434 Did you take (NAME) to a private doctor, a hospital or clinic, a traditional doctor, or any other place to treat the problem? IF YES: Where was he/ she taken? | DOCTOR................ 1HOSPITAL/CLINIC.... 2TRADITIONAL DOCTOR.. 3OTHER $\quad$(SPECIFY) <br> CHILD NOT TAKEN...... | DOCTOR................. 1 <br> HOSPITAL/CLINIC...... 2 <br> TRADITIONAL DOCTOR.. 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ . 4 $\qquad$ <br> Child not taken..... 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DOCTOR................ } 1 \\ & \text { HOSPITAL_CLINIC.... } \\ & \text { TRADITIONAL DOCTOR.. } 3 \\ & \text { OTHER_(SPECIFY) } . .4 \\ & \text { CHILD NOT TAKEN..... } 5 \end{aligned}$ | DOCTOR................. 1 <br> hOSPITAL/CLINIC...... 2 <br> TRADITIONAL DOCTOR.. 3 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ . .4 $\qquad$ <br> ChILD NOT TAKEN..... 5 |
| 435 Was there enything (else) you or somebody did to treat the problem? IF YES: What was done? CIRCLE COOE 1 FOR ALL mentioned. |  |  | ANTIBIOTICS.......... 1 <br> LIQUID OR SYRUP..... 1 <br> ASPIRIN............... 1 <br> INJECTION.............. 1 <br> OTHER $\qquad$ .1 <br> NOTHING................ 1 <br> (ALL GO TO NEXT COL) |  |


| NO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | CODING CATEGORIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 501 | Have you ever been married or lived with a man? |  |
| 502 | Are you now married or living with a man, or are you widowed, divorced or not now living together? |  |
| 503 | Does your husband/partner live with you or is he now staying elsewhere? | LIVING WITH HER....................... 1 STAYING ELSEWHERE.................... 2 |
| 504 | Does your husband/partner have any other wives besides yourself? |  |
| 505 | How many other wives does he have? | NUMBER $\qquad$ $\square$ DK. $\qquad$ |
| 506 | Are you the first, second, ...wife? | RANK...................... $\square$ |
| 507 | Have you been married or lived with a man only once, or more than once? | ONCE $\qquad$ <br> MORE THAN ONCE......................... 2 |
| 508 | In what month and year did you start living with your (first) husband or partner? |  |
| 509 | How old were you when you started living with him? | AGE. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\square$ |
| 510 | Are your mother and father still alive? |  |
| 511 | Are your (first) husband's/partner's mother and father still alive? |  |
| 512 |  | $\underset{\int}{\int_{-}}>515$ |
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| MO. | QUESTIONS AND FILTERS | COOING CATEGORIES | $\begin{gathered} \text { SKIP } \\ \text { TO } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 708 | CHECK 707: <br> DOES (DID) NOT <br> WORKS <br> WORK OW THE (WORKED) $\square$ <br> LaND <br> on the land |  | $\longrightarrow 710$ |
| 709 | Does (did) he earn a regutar wage or salary? | YES.... <br> NO. <br> DK..... | $\rightarrow 712$ |
| 710 | Does (did) your husbend/partner work mainly on his family land, or on someone else's land? | HIS/FAMILY LAND.......... <br> SOMEONE ELSE'S LAND..... | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} 712$ |
| 711 | Does (did) he work mainly for money or does (did) he work for a share of the crops? | MONEY <br> A SHARE OF CROPS. |  |
| 712 | Before you married your (first) husband, did you yourself ever work regularly to earn money, other than on a farm or in a business run by your family? | YES. NO. |  |
| 713 | Since you were first married, have you ever worked regularly to earn money other than on a farm or in a business run by your family? | YES............................. <br> NO | $->715$ |
|  | Have you ever worked regularly to earn money, other than on a farm or in a business run by your family? | YES. NO. | $\rightarrow 716$ |
|  | Are you now working to earn money on a farm or in a business run by your family? | YES. NO. |  |
|  | CORD THE TIME. | HOUR. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |
| 717 | MAIN MATERIAL OF THE ROOF (RECORD OBSERVATION) | THATCH. PAPYRUS <br> TINS <br> IRON SHEETS <br> ASBESTOS <br> TILES. <br> CONCRETE <br> OTHERS. |  |
| 718 | MAIN MATERIAL OF THE WALLS (RECORD OBSERVATION OR ASK) | THATCH. <br> MUD AND POLES. <br> EARTH BRICKS <br> CLAY BRICKS <br> CEMENT BLOCKS <br> CONCRETE. <br> STONES. <br> OTHER. |  |

SECTION 8. WEIGHT AND LENGTH
INTERVIEWER: iN 801-803, RECORD THE LINE NUMBERS, NAMES, AND BIRTH dates of all living children born since january 1, 1983 starting WITH THE YOUNGEST CHILD. CHECK AGE IN 804 TO IDENTIFY CHILDREN 0-60 MONTHS OF AGE. RECORD WEIGHT AND LENGTH IN 805 AND 806.

|  | 11 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Youngest } \\ & \text { Living Child }\end{aligned}$ | $12 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { NEXT-TO- } \\ & \text { YOUNGEST } \\ & \text { LIVING CHILD }\end{aligned}\right.$ | SECOND-TOYOUNGEST LIVING CHILO | $14 \begin{aligned} & \text { THIRD-TO- } \\ & \text { YOUNGEST } \\ & \text { LIVING CHILD }\end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 801 <br> line no. FROM 0.212 |  |  | $\square$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 802 \\ \text { NAME } \\ \text { FROM } & \\ \text { Q. } 212 \end{array}$ | (NAME) | (NAME) | (NAME) | (NAME) |
| 803 <br> DATE <br> OF BIRTH <br> FROM Q. 214 | MONTH YEAR..... $\square$ | MONTH. YEAR..... $\square$ | MONTH.... <br> YEAR..... $\square$ | MONTH <br> YEAR $\square$ |
| 804 check age: 0-60 $\qquad$ | yes $\square$ no $\square$ | YES $\square$ NO $\square$ | ${ }_{\text {YES }} \square$ NO $\square$ | Yes no $\square$ go to next page |
| $\begin{aligned} & 805 \\ & \text { WEIGHT } \\ & \text { (in kgs) } \end{aligned}$ | $\square . \square$ | $\square . \square$ | $\square . \square$ | $\square . \square$ |
| 806 LENGTH (in cms) |  <br> $\square$ | $\square . \square$ |  |  |
| 807 <br> STATE REASON If UNABLE TO RECORD |  |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| 808 <br> NAME OF MEASURER: |  | name of ASSISTANT: |  |  |

CODES FOR 807

1. Child at home but very sick
2. CHILD PRESENT DURING PRECEEDING NIGHT BUT NOW ELSEWHERE WITh RELATIVE
3. CHILD IN DISTANT HOSPITAL
4. Parent/relative refused
5. MEASURING BOARD SPOILT
6. SCALE SPOILT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
*** If unable to determine due to missing information, measure child.
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INTERVIEWER'S OBSERVATIONS
(To be filled in after completing interview.)


## SUPERVISOR'S OBSERVATIONS

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Name of Supervisor: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

EDITOR'S OBSERVATIONS
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| Name of Field Editor: $\quad$ Date: $\quad$ Neyer: $\quad$ Date: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name of |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ At the time of the survey there were 33 districts in Uganda. A 34th district consisting of islands in Lake Victoria was created recently.

[^1]:    * Based on women aged 15-49 interview

[^2]:    Note: SP - Some Primary, CP - Complete Primary

[^3]:    * Want next birth after two or more years

[^4]:    () = fewer than 20 unweighted cases.

[^5]:     87 percent had a BCG scar. Among children whose mothers reported at least one immunisation ( 26 percent) 68 percent had a BCG scar. Overall, 56 percent of the children under the age five have a BCG scar.

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ Percents may add to more than 100 , since children may receive more than treatment.
    ${ }^{2}$ Some children did not consult a medical facility but received treatment from other sources.

[^7]:    ${ }^{2}$ Some questions arise from these results, especially when compared with other sources. This can be illustrated for the district of Mbarara for which comparable information is available. The proportion of stunted children ( $0-60$ months) was estimated by the UNICEF Baseline Study as 31 percent, compared to 51 percent obtained for the same district in this study.

[^8]:    ${ }^{3}$ Contrasting with the results of stunting, the results of Table 6.15 seem to be conservative estimates. The district of Mbarara for example showed higher levels of acute undernutrition for the UNICEF Baseline Study (4 percent) than the UDHS ( 1 percent).

[^9]:    ${ }^{4}$ Values for the district of Mbarara are: 17 percent of children $0-60$ months 2 or more standard deviations below the mean based on the UNICEF Baseline Study, and 25 percent for the present study, UDHS.

